

Diversity and functional specialization of oyster immune cells uncovered by integrative single cell level investigations

Sébastien de la Forest Divonne, Juliette Pouzadoux, Océane Romatif, C. Montagnani, Guillaume Mitta, Delphine Destoumieux-Garzon, Benjamin Gourbal, Guillaume Charrière, Emmanuel Vignal

▶ To cite this version:

Sébastien de la Forest Divonne, Juliette Pouzadoux, Océane Romatif, C. Montagnani, Guillaume Mitta, et al.. Diversity and functional specialization of oyster immune cells uncovered by integrative single cell level investigations. 2024. hal-04782332

HAL Id: hal-04782332 https://hal.science/hal-04782332v1

Preprint submitted on 14 Nov 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Title

1

4

9

10

15

18

- 2 Diversity and functional specialization of oyster immune cells uncovered by integrative single
- 3 cell level investigations

Authors

- 6 Sébastien de La Forest Divonne¹, Juliette Pouzadoux¹, Océane Romatif¹, Caroline
- Montagnani¹, Guillaume Mitta², Delphine Destoumieux-Garzon¹, Benjamin Gourbal³,
- 8 Guillaume M. Charrière^{1#}, Emmanuel Vignal^{1#*}

Affiliations

- 11 ¹ IHPE, Univ Montpellier, CNRS, Ifremer, Univ Perpignan Via Domitia, Montpellier, France
- ¹² Ifremer, IRD, Institut Louis-Malardé, Univ Polynésie française, UMR 241 SECOPOL,
- 13 98179 Taravao, Tahiti Polynésie française, France
- ³ IHPE, Univ Montpellier, CNRS, Ifremer, Univ Perpignan Via Domitia, Perpignan, France
- 16 # EV and GC co-supervised the work.
- 17 * corresponding author: emmanuel.vignal@umontpellier.fr

19 Abstract

- 20 Mollusks are a major component of animal biodiversity and play a critical role in ecosystems
- and global food security. The Pacific oyster, Crassostrea (Magallana) gigas, is the most
- farmed bivalve mollusk in the world and is becoming a model species for invertebrate biology.
- 23 Despite the extensive research on hemocytes, the immune cells of bivalves, their
- 24 characterization remains elusive. Here we were able to extensively characterize the diverse
- 25 hemocytes and identified at least seven functionally distinct cell types and three hematopoietic
- lineages. A combination of single-cell RNA sequencing, quantitative cytology, cell sorting,
- 27 functional assays and pseudo-time analyses was used to deliver a comprehensive view of the

distinct hemocyte types. This integrative analysis enabled us to reconcile molecular and 28 cellular data and identify distinct cell types performing specialized immune functions, such as 29 phagocytosis, reactive oxygen species production, copper accumulation, and expression of 30 antimicrobial peptides. This study emphasized the need for more in depth studies of cellular 31 immunity in mollusks and non-model invertebrates and set the ground for further comparative 32 immunology studies at the cellular level. 33 34 Introduction 35 Mollusca is the second largest invertebrate phylum, after Arthropoda, and the largest marine 36 phylum, comprising approximately 23 % of all known marine organisms (1). Among them, 37 bivalves exhibit a high diversity and a rich evolutionary history (2). The Pacific oyster 38 39 Crassostrea (Magallana) gigas (C. gigas - Thunberg, 1793) (NCBI:txid29159) is a sessile filter-feeding bivalve that thrives in a variety of stressful environments ranging from intertidal 40 to deep-sea conditions (3). It is a key species for the aquaculture industry worldwide (4). 41 Several infectious diseases affect C. gigas at different life stages, which impacts its 42 production. Given the significant socio-economic value of this species, there has been an 43 increased focus on understanding and mitigating these diseases (5). The causes of these 44 mortalities can involve a variety of pathogens, including viruses, bacteria and parasites that 45 can be responsible for the mortality events affecting C. gigas (6). One of the most extensively 46 researched infectious diseases is POMS (Pacific Oyster Mortality Syndrome), a polymicrobial 47 disease responsible for mass mortalities of juvenile oysters (7). The disease is triggered by the 48 OsHV-1 uVar herpesvirus, which alters the immune defenses of oysters, allowing the 49 colonization of opportunistic bacteria, including Vibrio, that cause hemocyte lysis and 50 bacteremia, ultimately leading to animal death (7). Other bacterial pathogens have also been 51 identified as a contributing factor in mass mortalities of adult Pacific oysters in several 52 countries. The most notable is *Vibrio aestuarianus* which affects adult oysters in Europe (8, 9). 53

To date, the majority of oyster pathogens or opportunistic pathogens that have been

characterized in detail have been found to subvert hemocyte defenses for their own benefit. 55 These include the OsHV-1 µVar herpesvirus (10) and virulent Vibrio strains of the species 56 57 Vibrio crassostreae and Vibrio tasmaniensis (11), Vibrio aestuarianus and Vibrio harveyi (12), highlighting the critical role of hemocytes in ovster immunity. The development of immune-58 based prophylactic treatments, such as immune-priming and immune-shaping, represents a 59 promising avenue for enhancing the natural defenses of oysters against pathogens and 60 increasing their survival rate. Nevertheless, the advancement of such therapies is still 61 constrained by a dearth of knowledge regarding the underlying molecular and cellular 62 63 mechanisms (13, 14). The study of hemocytes has a long history, dating back to the 1970s (for review see (15)). 64 Hemocytes are cellular effectors of the immune system. They engage in phagocytosis to 65 engulf and destroy potential pathogens, neutralizing parasites by encapsulation, or preventing 66 pathogen dissemination by cell aggregation and the release of extracellular DNA traps (16). 67 Furthermore, they engage in the humoral response by releasing cytokines, antimicrobial 68 peptides, and reactive oxygen species (ROS), which enable them to combat pathogens (17). In 69 addition to their role in oyster immunity, hemocytes have been implicated in numerous 70 physiological processes, including shell repair (18), wound healing, nutrient transport, and 71 environmental contaminant removal (19). Despite this acquired knowledge, hemocytes remain 72 an under-characterized population of circulating immune cells. The lack of a unified 73 classification and of molecular and functional genetic tools hinders our understanding of 74 lineage ontogeny and functional specialization. Several studies have proposed different 75 classifications of hemocytes in the *Ostreidae* family, with 3 to 4 hemocyte types reported (15). 76 These classifications are primarily based on either microscopic or flow cytometry analyses. In 77 C. gigas, three primary hemocyte cell types have been classically identified: blast, 78 hyalinocyte, and granulocyte cells. While the immune response of C. gigas has been 79 extensively studied using classical transcriptomics at the whole animal, tissue, or circulating 80 hemocyte levels, these approaches have failed to consider the diversity of hemocyte cell types 81

and lineages that underpin these responses (20). However, it is still imperative to accurately 82 describe the diversity of these cells, understand their ontogeny, and delineate cell lineages to 83 comprehend their specific roles. The advent of single-cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-seq) 84 techniques has enabled the monitoring of global gene expression at the single-cell level with 85 thousands of individual cells in a single experiment. This provides a unique opportunity to 86 overcome these limitations and deepen our understanding of hemocyte diversity and function 87 in bivalves. Recently scRNA-seq was used to provide a first molecular description of a 88 hemocyte population in the ovster Crassostrea hongkongensis (21). However, in the absence 89 90 of morphological and/or functional characterization studies, the authors could not deduce the hemocyte cell types to be matched to the transcriptomic profiles generated by scRNA-seq. 91 While numerous transcriptomic analyses have been conducted on C. gigas hemocytes, none 92 93 have adopted a single-cell approach. In this study, we present an integrative analysis of the diversity of C. gigas hemocytes at the single-cell level. To this end, we combined scRNA-seq 94 from a pathogen-free adult oyster combined with cytological, cell fractionation and functional 95 assays. This approach allowed us to create a comprehensive transcriptomic, cytological and 96 functional atlas of hemocyte cell types. Our scRNA-seg analyses identified 7 distinct 97 transcriptomic populations and functional annotation revealed distinct populations with 98 specific functions, including phagocytosis, oxidative burst, energetic metabolism, enhanced 99 transcription, translation and cell division. Quantitative cytology enabled the identification of 100 101 7 morphologically distinct hemocyte cell types, which allowed us to reconcile molecular and cytological data. Density gradients were used to separate hemocyte cell types and qPCR or 102 functional assay analyses were performed to validate cell type-specific markers. By employing 103 104 this integrated approach, we could identify 1 type of hyalinocyte, 2 types of blasts and 4 types of granular cells. Furthermore, we identified cell types that perform antimicrobial functions 105 through phagocytosis, ROS production, copper accumulation, and expression of antimicrobial 106 peptides. Finally, trajectory analysis of scRNA-seq data combined with functional analysis 107 revealed distinct differentiation pathways that may control hemocyte ontology and 108

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

differentiation processes. Based on these findings, we propose a more comprehensive and upto-date classification of C. gigas hemocytes, with a more accurate description of the different cell types, their potential ontology and a precise description of their sub-functionalization. **Results** Single-cell RNA sequencing reveals 7 distinct transcriptomic clusters of circulating immune cells in oysters. Oysters are known to exhibit a high degree of individual genetic polymorphism, including Copy Number Variation (CNV) and Presence Absence Variation (PAV) (22). To prevent misinterpretation of the single-cell transcriptomic data, and to characterize the hemocyte cell types and their heterogeneity, we sampled hemocytes from a unique pathogen-free animal (Ifremer Standardized Animal, 18-month-old) and applied single-cell drop-seq technology to 3,000 single hemocytes (Fig. 1A). The scRNA-seq library was generated and sequenced, resulting in 127,959,215 high-quality filtered reads available for single-cell analysis (ENA project accession number PRJEB74031). Primary bioinformatics analysis was performed using the STAR solo aligner software (23) against the C. gigas genome (Genbank reference GCA 902806645.1) from the Roslin Institute (24). Of the 127,959,215 reads, 97 % showed a valid barcode and 89.2 % were successfully mapped to the genome with a saturation of 75.6 %. A total of 2,937 cells were profiled, yielding a median of 1,578 genes and 4,412 unique molecular identifiers (UMIs) per cell among the 23,841 total genes detected, with a sequencing saturation of 75.6 % (Supp. Table 1). Secondary bioinformatic data processing was conducted using the Seurat R package (version 4.3.0) (25). The data set was filtered to remove data corresponding to empty droplets or cell doublets. Cells with a gene number between 750 and 4,000 and less than 5 % mitochondrial genes were retained. After quality control processing, 120 cells corresponding to empty droplets and cell doublets were removed, and 2,817 cells were processed for data normalization. Finally, we performed linear

dimensional reduction and clustering on the 3,000 most variable genes from 2,817 cells

(Supp. Fig. S1). Dimension reduction and clustering led to identifying 7 transcriptomic clusters, within which hemocytes were distributed. These 7 different clusters represented 27.6, 23.1, 17.8, 16.9, 7, 4.6 and 3 % of the total cells (Fig. 1B). For each transcriptomic cluster, a pattern of over- and under-represented transcripts in each cell was identified (Fig. 1C). Average Log2FC values and percentage of expression in each cluster relative to all other clusters were calculated for the ten most differentially expressed genes (Fig. 1D and Table 1). Clear transcriptomic cell clusters were detected as well as specific gene markers for each cluster (Supp. Data 1). Only the transcriptomic signature of cluster 4 was less contrasting than that of the other clusters (Fig. 1D).




Fig. 1. scRNA-seq analysis of C. gigas circulating hemocytes reveals 7 transcriptomic cell clusters (A) Schematic of the scRNA-seq 10X Genomics Chromium microfluidic technology and bioinformatics processing workflow used. Dissociated hemocytes were collected from a pathogen-free oyster and encapsulated in droplets for processing. After sequencing, the data were processed bioinformatically. (B) Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection (UMAP) plot for dimensional reduction of the data set and summary of cells and the number of Differentially Expressed Genes (DEGs) in each cluster. The table shows the characteristics (number of cells, percentage of total cells and number of Differentially Expressed Genes in each cluster) of the seven clusters identified. (C) Heatmap showing the top 10 overexpressed genes in each cell per cluster as determined by FindAllMarkers() function in Seurat, corresponding to clusters in UMAP plots from Fig. 1B, ranked by log2FC. (D) Dot plot representing the ten most enriched DEGs per cluster based on average expression (avg_log2FC). The color gradient of the dot represents the expression level, while the size represents the percentage of cells expressing each gene per cluster.

Gene	log2FC	Pct 1	Pct 2	Description	
					Cluster 1
G29121	4.31	1	0.099	L-galactono-gamma-lactone oxidase	
G32145	4.18	0.992	0.079	Angiopoietin-1 receptor	
G32146	3.90	0.985	0.063	Angiopoietin-1 receptor	
G8841	3.13	0.988	0.049	C-type lectin domain-containing protein	
G32297	2.88	0.99	0.232	PNPLA domain-containing protein	
G30522	2.76	1	0.515	Cytochrome P450 2D28	
G35372	2.69	1	0.483	60S ribosomal protein L26	
G32147	2.45	0.969	0.131	Angiopoietin-1 receptor	
G14414	2.45	1	0.343	DUF4773 domain-containing protein	
G12549	2.38	0.974	0.132	Glutaredoxin domain-containing protein	
					Cluster 2
G30255	5.31	1	0.15	Metalloproteinase inhibitor 3	
G26854	4.24	1	0.16	Stanniocalcin	
G17965	4.21	0.94	0.04	Complement C1q-like protein 2	
G15010	3.99	0.99	0.17	Kyphoscoliosis peptidase	
G2459	3.82	1	0.21	Uncharacterized protein	
G23243	3.51	0.98	0.07	Fibronectin type-III domain-containing protein	
G30256	3.23	1	0.69	NTR domain-containing protein	
G17277	3.21	0.99	0.04	Putative modulator of levamisole receptor-1	
G11154	3.16	0.68	0.05	Collagen alpha-1(XII) chain	
G25104	3.1	0.84	0.08	C1q domain-containing protein	
					Cluster 3
G21091	5.51	0.99	0.13	X-box binding protein-like protein	
G12733	5.42	1	0.12	Galectin	
G21093	5.17	0.94	0.05	IolG	
G31109	4.36	0.77	0.03	Cystatin domain-containing protein	
G30939	4.25	1	0.86	Peptide ABC transporter permease	
G30622	3.95	1	0.21	BHLH domain-containing protein	
G35473	3.86	0.96	0.04	Uncharacterized protein	
G27614	3.78	0.96	0.13	G Protein Receptor F1-2 domain-containing protein	
G31119	3.77	0.99	0.42	Arrestin C domain-containing protein	
G990	3.6	0.93	0.03	LITAF domain-containing protein	
					Cluster 4
G29172	3.09	0.78	0.49	G Protein Receptor F1-2 domain-containing protein	
G24596	2.37	0.78	0.25	High mobility group protein DSP1	
G5854	1.8	0.64	0.38	N-acetyltransferase domain-containing protein	
G20685	1.59	0.88	0.53	Ribosomal protein L22	
G11965	1.57	0.92	0.7	Ribosome L4 associated C domain-containing protein	
G5652	1.54	0.97	0.75	60S ribosomal protein L30	
G15477	1.52	0.55	0.3	Metalloendopeptidase	
G4972	1.49	0.96	8.0	60S ribosomal protein L12	
G35227	1.46	0.55	0.06	ML domain-containing protein	
G21588	1.42	0.94	0.69	60S ribosomal protein L13	

	Description	Pct 2	Pct 1	log2FC	Gene
Cluster					
	Polyribonucleotide nucleotidyltransferase	0.06	0.38	5.24	G31601
	Galectin	0.03	0.85	3.99	G12472
	Uncharacterized protein	0.41	1	3.58	G21444
	Uncharacterized protein	0.02	0.6	3.42	G26307
	CUBN	0.08	0.84	3.35	G14441
	GTPase IMAP family member 4	0.01	0.9	3.21	G29323
	Putative Transmembrane protease serine 9	0.05	0.29	3.18	G24298
	Gliding motility-associated C-terminal domain-containing protein	0.01	0.59	3.13	G34885
	ETS-related transcription factor Elf-4	0.16	0.99	2.55	G7003
	Metalloendopeptidase	0.1	0.94	2.37	G22986
Cluster					
	Natterin-1	0.02	0.74	6.45	G3767
	Aspartate-semialdehyde dehydrogenase	0.02	0.99	6.16	G24301
	ncRNA	0.16	1	5.19	G3335
	Uncharacterized protein	0.01	0.59	4.57	G24293
	GATA-binding factor 3	0.13	1	3.56	G31054
	Membrane-associated guanylate kinase inverted 3	0.03	0.87	3.29	G14292
	Caveolin	0	0.99	3.2	G29512
	Neuronal acetylcholine receptor subunit alpha-6	0.02	0.97	2.93	G5551
	ncRNA	0.26	0.83	2.73	G13115
	Allograft inflammatory factor	0.2	1	2.62	G16883
Cluster					
	BZIP domain-containing protein	0.03	0.99	4.21	G10637
	Ras-related protein Rab-20	0.21	0.99	4.07	G4005
	G Protein Receptor F1-2 domain-containing protein	0.04	0.93	3.9	G32859
	Glutathione peroxidase	0.02	0.5	3.82	G1906
	Sporozoite and liver stage asparagine-rich protein	0.09	0.91	3.53	G28618
	ncRNA	0.05	0.94	3.5	G21981
	Rho-GAP domain-containing protein	0.01	0.85	3.4	G6991
	DBH-like monooxygenase protein 1	0.03	1	3.12	G13140
	SPRY domain-containing SOCS box protein 3	0.02	0.94	3.05	G2123
	Glutathione peroxidase	0.17	0.95	3.02	G1560

Table 1. Top 10 overexpressed genes identified in each transcriptomic cluster. The first column indicates the gene number according to the annotation. 'log2FC' represents the log2 fold change of the gene in the cluster compared to all other cells. 'Pct1' is the percentage of cells expressing the gene in the cluster and 'Pct2' is the fraction of cells expressing the gene in all other clusters. The description is the annotation of the expressed gene.

The ScRNA-seq data demonstrated that specific functions are carried out by the hemocyte cell

KEGG pathways and **GO**-terms analyses reveal functional diversity in *C. gigas* hemocytes.

163

164

165

166

167

168


169

170

171

172

types that comprise the seven transcriptomic clusters. A preliminary overview of the functions 173 174 over-represented in each cluster was obtained through a KEGG pathway analysis on the overrepresented transcripts (Log2FC > 0.25) using the C. gigas annotation provided by the 175 DAVID consortium (26), thereby identifying pathways specifically enriched in each cluster. 176 Cluster 1 demonstrated enrichment in viral processing and endocytosis (Figure 2A and 177 Supplemental Table S2). Additionally, it demonstrated enrichment in pyruvate metabolism, 178 glycolysis/gluconeogenesis and the pentose phosphate pathways. Clusters 1 and 3 exhibited a 179 distinctive enrichment in carbohydrate metabolism and endocytosis. In particular, cluster 3 180 exhibited enriched transcripts associated with glycolysis/gluconeogenesis and TCA cycle 181 182 activities. Cluster 4 was enriched in protein synthesis, including transcription (spliceosome, ribosome, nucleocytoplasmic transport and mRNA surveillance pathway) folding, sorting and 183 degradation of protein pathways. Clusters 2, 5 and 6 exhibited a shared signature of 184 185 enrichment in ribosome-related genes. Cluster 2 demonstrated a specific enrichment in motor protein-coding genes responsible for cell motility and xenobiotic metabolism. Remarkably, 186 clusters 1, 3, 4 and 5 exhibited enriched oxidative phosphorylation transcripts, whereas the 187 transcripts of cluster 7 were enriched in vesicular trafficking and endo-lysosomal pathways 188 (endocytosis, endosome, phagosome, lysosome, auto and mitophagy). 189



192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

Fig. 2. KEGG and Gene Ontology analysis of the gene signature in each cluster. (A) A synthetic representation of the KEGG pathway analysis is shown. Colored columns represent the 7 transcriptomic clusters. Each row is a KEGG pathway, the colored dot represents the log(p-value) and the dot size represents the number (count) of enriched genes in each pathway category. The fold enrichment is shown on the x-axis. Panels (B), (C) and (D) show the results of Gene Ontology terms (GO-terms) for Biological Processes (BP), Cellular Components (CC) and Molecular Functions (MF) respectively, obtained with the overexpressed genes of each cluster (Absolute value Log2FC > 0.25 and significant p-value < 0.001) using RBGOA analysis (p-value <= 0.001) for three different ontology universes. Each panel corresponds to one ontology universe, and the analysis highlights over- and under-enriched terms. The number in the heatmap and the scale indicate the proportion of significant positive GO-terms. Positive values indicate over-enrichment and negative values indicate under-enrichment of the respective BP, CC and MF ontologies. For a more detailed functional characterization of each transcriptomic cluster, we performed a re-annotation of the C. gigas genome using a combination of tools to enhance the GO term richness of the existing annotation prior to functional GO term analysis. To this end, we used the C. gigas genome (Genbank reference GCA 902806645.1) and the associated gff3 annotation file from the Roslin Institute (24). These files were used to extract and process the longest CDSs for GO-term annotation using the Orson pipeline (see Materials and Methods). Of the 30,724 extracted CDSs, 22,462 were annotated (GO-terms and sequence description), yielding an annotation percentage of 73.1 %. Of the 30,724 CDSs, 22,391 were annotated with Molecular Functions (MF), Biological Processes (BP), and Cellular Components (CC) GOterms (Supp. Fig. S2 and Supp. Data 2). Using the GO-term annotation and the Log2FC of genes calculated after scRNA-seq processing in each cluster, GO enrichment analysis was performed by rank-based gene ontology analysis (RBGOA) (27). RBGOA analysis was

performed on the GO-terms identified in each cluster (Supp. Data 3). The results are 217 presented in Figures 2B, C and D. 218 219 The scRNA-seg-based analysis identified seven distinct transcriptomic profiles for each cell 220 cluster, thereby shedding light on greater heterogeneity and functional diversity of C. gigas 221 hemocytes than previously described. Cluster 1, comprising 27.6 % of cells, is characterized 222 by its morphology and capacity to remodel actin cytoskeleton, as well as oxidoreductase 223 activity. This is evidenced by an enrichment in oxidoreductase activity acting on NAD(P)H 224 225 and kinase activities BP, actin nucleation MF and lamellipodium and early endosomes CC. Cluster 2, comprising 23.1 % of cells, has an increased translation activity, as indicated by 226 enrichment in BP, MF and CC terms related to rRNA binding, cytoplasmic translation, 227 maturation of LSU-rRNA, and ribosomes respectively. Cluster 3, representing 17.8 % of cells, 228 shows enrichment in cellular oxidation and actin nucleation, as evidenced by an enrichment in 229 BP and MF related to oxidoreductase activities acting on metal ions, electron transfer, cellular 230 oxidation and actin nucleation, and CC related to cytochromes, oxidoreductase complex, and 231 mitochondrial protein-containing complexes. Cluster 4, representing 16.9 % of cells, has 232 transcriptomic signatures reminiscent of spliceosome assembly, rRNA maturation, and ATP 233 biosynthesis (BP related to RNA binding, translation, and proteasome, MF related to 234 spliceosome assembly, rRNA maturation, and ATP biosynthesis, and CC related to nuclear 235 236 bodies and ribosomes). Cluster 5, comprising 7 % of cells, demonstrates enrichment in structural molecule activity, hydrolase regulation, and gene expression, as evidenced by its 237 BP, MF, and CC related to ribosome, midbody, and spindle localization. Cluster 6, comprising 238 4.6 % of cells, is characterized by a specific nucleosome organization, translation, and 239 biosynthetic processes, with related BP, MF, and CC tied to ribosomes, ribonucleoprotein 240 complex and rRNA binding. Cluster 7, representing a mere 3 % of cells, is characterized by 241 multivesicular body sorting, vacuolar transport, vacuole organization, and G protein-coupled 242

receptor signaling, with related BP, MF, and CC of the ESCRT machinery.

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267


268

269

270

Seven morphologically distinct immune cell types are identified by quantitative cytology and transcriptomic markers. Cytological studies were conducted using MCDH staining to better characterize the diversity of circulating hemocytes in C. gigas. Seven distinct hemocyte morphotypes were identified: 3 non-granular (acidophilic blasts, basophilic blasts and hyalinocytes) and 4 granular (small granule cells, big granule cells, vesicular cells and macrophage-like) (Fig. 3 and Supp. Fig. S3). Hyalinocytes (30 % of total hemocytes) are large cells with an irregular spreading membrane. They contain an azurophilic cytoplasm without granulations and their irregular nucleus varies in size (Fig. 3A panel H). Macrophage-like cells (19 % of the hemocytes) present an irregular membrane punctuated by rare pseudopodia with a polylobed nucleus and a basophilic cytoplasm where polychromatic inclusions of various sizes could be observed (Fig **3A. panel ML**). Basophilic blasts characterized by a basophil cytoplasm (Fig. 3A panel BBL) and acidophilic blasts with acidophil cytoplasm (Fig. 3A panel ABL) accounted for 18 % and 15 %, respectively, of the total hemocytes. They are rounded cells without granulation, with a uniform and regular dense nucleus and a high nucleo-cytoplasmic ratio. Small granule cells (12 % of total hemocytes) have an irregular membrane punctuated by rare pseudopodia, an acidophilic cytoplasm, and numerous homogeneous purple granules (Fig. 3A panel SGC). Big granule cells (4 % of total hemocytes) are rounded cells with a basophilic cytoplasm containing large, slightly dark purple to black vesicles of heterogeneous size (Fig. 3A panel **BGC**). Vesicular cells (2 % of total hemocytes) are rounded cells with acidophilic cytoplasm, rich in homogeneous transparent and fluorescent to UV light vesicles with an irregular nucleus (**Fig. 3A panel VC**). Only blasts (BBL, ABL) have a nucleo-cytoplasmic ratio less than 1, whereas the other hemocytes described have a nucleo-cytoplasmic ratio greater than 1. To gain further insight into the functional characterization of these diverse hemocyte morphotypes and to associate them with the transcriptomic clusters identified in the scRNAseq, we enhanced an existing hemocyte fractionation approach using an isopycnic Percoll density gradient to sort the hemocytes (28). Cell sorting was performed using a discontinuous

Percoll gradient with densities ranging from 1.0647 to 1.1049. Seven distinct density fractions were established (**Fig. 3B**) and the hemocyte composition was then characterized by cytological analysis of each fraction (**Fig. 3A**; see also **Supp. Fig. S4** for statistical significance). The uneven distribution of hemocyte morphotypes along the density gradient enabled a relative separation of the different cell populations (**Supp. Fig S4**). In summary, hyalinocytes were significantly enriched in the first fraction, while macrophage-like cells were significantly enriched in fraction 3 and fraction 4. Acidophilic blasts were significantly enriched in fractions 2 and 3. Vesicular cells were enriched in fraction 5, big granule cells were enriched in fraction 4 and small granule cells in fractions 6 and 7 (**Fig. 3C and Supp. Fig. S4**).






Fig 3. *C. gigas* naive hemocyte formula and Percoll gradient hemolymph fractionation (A) Morphology, percentages and characteristics of the 7 cell types identified by MCDH staining. **H**: Hyalinocyte, **ML**: Macrophage Like, **BBL**: Basophilic Blast Like cell, **ABL**:

Acidophilic Blast Like cell, SGC: Small Granule Cell, BGC: Big Granule Cell, VC: 285 Vesicular Cell. Scale bar : 5 μm. Hyalinocytes (54 %) and blast cells (ABL & BBL) (35 %) 286 were predominant in the first fraction. The second fraction showed an increase in blasts (ABL 287 26 %, BBL 36 %) and a decrease in hyalinocytes (21 %). Fraction 3 had a mixed content with 288 a decrease in hyalinocytes and blasts (15 %), and a majority of blasts and macrophage-like 289 cells. Fraction 4 had an increase in granular cells (SGC 22 %, BGC 10 %, VC 15 %) and a 290 decrease in blasts (ABL 8 %, BBL 9 %). Fraction 5 showed an increase in small granule cells 291 (36 %) and vesicular cells (28 %), and a decrease in big granule cells (5%). Fraction 6 had 292 293 fewer hyalinocytes, macrophage-like cells, and blast cells compared to small granule cells and vesicular cells. The last fraction consisted mainly of small granule cells (81 %). (B) Sorting of 294 hemocytes on a discontinuous Percoll gradient. 7 fractions were identified along the gradient 295 at the top of each density cushion (from d=1.0647 at #1 to d= 1.1049 at #7). (C) 296 Representation of the average values (from 5 different fractionation experiments) of the 297 different hemocyte types in the seven percoll gradient fractions compared to the average 298 hemolymph composition of a naive oyster (Total). VC: Vesicular Cells, BGC: Big Granule 299 Cells, SGC: Small Granule Cells, ABL: Acidophilic Blast Like cells, BBL: Basophilic Blast 300 Like cells, ML: Macrophage Like cells and H: Hvalinocytes respectively. (Supp. Fig. S4 for 301 statistics). 302 To identify the transcriptomic cell clusters corresponding to the different hemocyte 303 morphotypes, we used RT-qPCR to detect the expression of different cluster-specific marker 304 305 genes in the different hemocytes in the Percoll density fractions. The marker genes were selected without any a priori assumptions based solely on their expression levels (Log2FC) 306 and their percentage of expression (Pct1 / Pct2 ratio) in the cluster of interest relative to all 307 other cell clusters (Table 2). The expression level of each marker in the seven different 308 clusters confirmed that the 14 selected marker genes were differentially expressed in each 309 scRNA-seq transcriptomic cluster (Supp. Fig. S5). 310

Gene Number	Avg. Log2FC	Pct.1/Pct.2 Ratio	Cluster	Description	Marker Name
G8994	2.05	38.20	1	Laccase-24	LACC24
G8841	3.13	20.16	'	C-type lectin domain-containing protein	CLEC
G24846	2.31	31.52	2	EGF-like domain-containing protein 8	EGFL
G17277	3.21	23.00	2	Putative modulator of levamisole receptor-1	LEVAR
G22387	3.16	16.76	3	TGc domain-containing protein	TGC
G21091	5.51	7.69	3	X-box binding protein-like protein	XBOX
G35227	1.46	9.98	4	ML domain-containing protein	MLDP
G31074	0.94	6.51	4	High mobility group protein B1	HMGB1
G14441	3.35	11.01	5	Cubilin	CUBN
G12472	3.99	24.91	5	Galectin	GAL
G29512	3.20	246.25	6	Caveolin	CAV
G3767	6.45	41.11	6	Natterin-1	NAT1
G13140	3.12	40.00	7	DBH-like monooxygenase protein 1	MOX
G32859	3.90	23.82	7	G protein receptor F1-2 domain-containing protein	GPROT

Table 2. Table of the 14 marker genes specific to the different transcriptomic clusters.

Gene number, average Log2FC, pct1/pct2 ratio (percentage of cells expressing this transcript in the cluster divided by the percentage of all other cells expressing this transcript) and cluster number are reported. The description is taken from our annotation and the marker name is derived from the description.

RT-qPCR expression profiles in the hemocyte fractions obtained from the Percoll density gradient revealed distinct patterns according to the different transcriptomic cluster marker genes (Fig. 4A). Cluster 1 markers (LACC24 and CLEC) were overexpressed in fractions 1, 2, 3 and underexpressed in the remaining fractions relative to total hemocytes. Cluster 2 markers (EGFL and LEVAR) showed a decreasing pattern of expression from fraction 1 to fraction 7. Cluster 3 markers (TGC and XBOX) showed a significant increase in expression in fractions 4 to 7. Cluster 4 markers (MLDP and HMGB1) showed a gradually decreasing expression pattern from fraction 2 to fraction 7. Cluster 5 marker genes (GAL and CUBN) were underexpressed in fractions 4 to 7, but not differentially expressed in fractions 1 to 3 compared to total hemocytes. Cluster 6 marker genes (CAV and NAT1) were overexpressed in fraction 3, expressed similarly to total hemocytes in fraction 2, and underexpressed in

fractions 1, and 4 to 7. Finally, cluster 7 marker genes (MOX and GPROT) were

overexpressed only in fractions 3 to 7. Correlation analysis and statistical validation

- demonstrated a clear association between hemocyte morphotypes and 14 gene markers (Fig.
- 4B, Fig. 4C and Supp. Table S4). Cluster 3 marker genes (TGC and XBOX) correlated
- positively (r = 0.74 & 0.75) and specifically with small granule cells (SGC). Cluster 7 markers
- 333 (MOX and GPROT) correlated (r = 0.68 and r = 0.56) with vesicular cells (VC). Cluster 2
- markers (EGFL and LEVAR) correlated positively with hyalinocytes (H) (r=0.85 and r=0.81).
- No specific markers could be identified for blasts and macrophage-like cells.




Fig 4. Characterization of molecular markers specific to the different hemocyte

- gradient density sorting. The graphs show the relative expression of genes compared to their 339 expression in total hemocytes in the various fractions (red dotted line). Standard deviations 340 were calculated based on four independent experiments. (B) Average percentage of each 341 hemocyte type in the 7 Percoll gradient fractions used to quantify marker gene expression by 342 qPCR. (C) Correlation matrix between the relative gene expression of each marker gene in 343 each fraction and the percentage of each hemocyte type in the same fractions. Values and 344 color scale represent the Pearson correlation coefficient (r) ranging from -1 (inverse 345 correlation) to +1 (full correlation). H: Hyalinocyte, ML: Macrophage Like, BBL: 346 347 Basophilic Blast Like cell, ABL: Acidophilic Blast Like cell, SGC: Small Granule Cell, BGC: Big Granule Cell, VC: Vesicular Cell, LACC24: Laccase 24, CLEC: C-type lectin 348 domain-containing protein, EGFL: EGF-like domain-containing protein 8, LEVAR: 349 Putative regulator of levamisole receptor-1, TGC: TGc domain-containing protein, XBOX: 350 X-box binding protein-like protein, MLDP: ML domain containing protein, HMGB1: High 351 mobility group protein B1, CUBN: Cubilin, GAL: Galectin, CAV: Caveolin, NAT1: 352 Natterin-1, MOX: DBH-like monooxygenase protein 1, GPROT: G protein receptor F1-2 353 domain-containing protein. 354 By employing RT-qPCR, cell sorting on Percoll gradients, and scRNA-seq analysis, we could 355 identify cell types corresponding to three transcriptomic clusters. Cluster 3 corresponds to 356 small granule cells (SGC), cluster 2 to hyalinocytes (H), and cluster 7 to vesicular cells (VC). 357 Cluster 3, cluster 2 and cluster 7 represent 17.8 %, 23 % and 3 % of the total cells analyzed by 358 359 scRNA-seq, respectively. This is consistent with cytological data, which indicated the presence of 12.5 % +/- 5 % Small Granule Cells, 30 % +/- 9.3 % Hyalinocytes and 2.3 % +/-360 1.6 % Vesicular Cells (Fig. 1B and Fig. 3A). Furthermore, the molecular and cellular 361 functions derived from GO-term and KEGG analyses (Fig. 2) were in alignment with the 362 anticipated functions for these three cell types. 363
 - Only macrophage-like and small granule cells behave as professional phagocytes.

The hemocytes separated on the Percoll gradient were characterized functionally to gain

further insight into their functional specialization. Two hemocyte functions, namely

phagocytosis and production of Reactive Oxygen Species (ROS), are known to carry out

major cellular antimicrobial activities. Granular cells have been suggested to be the

professional phagocytes specialized for these functions (29). Phagocytosis and oxidative burst

were studied using cell response toward zymosan particles (30).




Fig 5. Phagocytosis, Reactive Oxygen Species production capacity and copper storage of hemocytes. (A) Images of small granule cells (SGC) and macrophage-like (ML) cells with phagocytosed zymosan particles (panels a & c - red stars) and *Vibrio tasmaniensis*LMG20012^T bacteria (panels b & d - red stars) from whole hemolymph sample. Scale bar: 5

μm. (B) Quantification of the phagocytic activity of zymosan particles by each cell type. The

378

379

380

381

382

383

384

385

386

387

388

389

390

391

392

393

394

395

396

397

398

399

400

401

402

403

graph shows the result of 3 independent experiments. (C) Luminescence recording to detect the production of Reactive Oxygen Species (ROS). In orange, a biphasic curve was obtained on naive oyster hemolymph after zymosan addition at t = 0 min. In blue, the control condition corresponds to hemocytes without zymosan addition. (D) Graph showing the intensity of ROS production in each Percoll fraction. Normalized burst intensity was calculated from the luminescence peak obtained from each fraction. In blue, no drug was added to the experiment, in orange, ROS production was impaired by the addition of apocynin. (E) NBT (NitroBlueTetrazolium) staining of hemocytes exposed to zymosan particles. Hemocytes morphology after MCDH staining: Macrophage Like (a), Basophilic (b) and Acidophilic (c) Blast cells. NBT staining of the different types of hemocytes (d-f). Red stars show zymosan and bacteria particles. Black arrows indicate Macrophage-Like cells. Scale bar: 10 µm (F) Quantification of NBT-positive cells present in the total hemolymph of oysters exposed to zymosan. (H) UMAP plots showing cells expressing NADPH oxidase found in the scRNAseq dataset and their expression level. (G) Labeling of intracellular copper stores in C.gigas hemocytes. MCDH (upper panels) and rhodanine (lower panels) staining of oyster hemocytes to reveal copper accumulation. Scale bar: 10um. For panels (B), (D) and (F) the alphabetic characters displayed above the data points in each plot represent statistically significant differences among the groups, as determined by Tukey's test following ANOVA. Groups denoted by different letters differ significantly at the p < 0.05 level of statistical significance. H: Hyalinocytes, ABL: Acidophilic Blast-Like cells, BBL: Basophilic Blast-Like cells, ML: Macrophage-Like cells, SGC: Small Granule Cells, VC: Vesicular Cells and BGC: Big Granule Cells. The phagocytic activity of hemocytes was first tested on a sample of total oyster hemolymph. Cells were incubated for 1 hour with either zymosan particles or the bacterial strain *Vibrio* tasmaniensis LMG20012^T. Only the small granule cells and the macrophage-like cells exhibited efficient phagocytosis for both zymosan or vibrios, as observed after MCDH staining (Fig. 5A, Supp. Fig. 6 and Supp. Fig. 7A). Macrophage-like cells and small granule

cells showed a phagocytic activity of 49 % and 55 %, respectively, and a phagocytosis index 404 of 3.5 and 5.2 particles per cell respectively (Fig. 5B and Supp. Fig. 7B), as confirmed in 3 405 independent experiments. Very limited phagocytic activity was observed for hyalinocytes (1.7 406 %), basophilic blasts (0.18 %), and big granule cells (2.7 %) with a phagocytosis index of 2, 1, 407 and 2.5 particles per cell, respectively (Fig. 5B and Supp. Fig. 7B). These results confirmed 408 that only small granule cells and macrophage-like cells behave as professional phagocytes 409 demonstrating robust phagocytic activity. 410 Only macrophage-like cells produce Reactive Oxygen Species. 411 The next step was to assess the capacity of C. gigas hemocytes in each Pecoll fraction to 412 produce Reactive Oxygen Species (ROS) upon stimulation by zymosan, utilizing the Luminol 413 414 oxidation assay. Luminol luminescence peaked 25 minutes after exposure of hemocytes (isolated from total hemolymph) to zymosan, indicating a robust oxidative burst (Fig. 5C). 415 The production of ROS was dependent on a NADPH oxidase, as it was completely inhibited 416 417 by the NADPH oxidase-specific inhibitor apocynin (Fig. 5C). To ascertain which hemocyte types were involved in ROS production we tested Percoll density-sorted hemocytes for 418 oxidative burst activity. Fractions 2 and 3 displayed higher oxidative burst activity than the 419 other fractions. The burst intensity of fraction 3 was twice that of total hemocytes, and fraction 420 2 also exhibited significantly increased burst activity. In contrast, fraction 4 showed a 421 significant decrease in oxidative burst, and no activity was observed for fractions 1, 6 and 7 422 (Fig. 5D). These results indicate that NADPH-dependent oxidative burst activity is carried out 423 by fractions enriched in macrophage-like (ML) cells and blast-like cells (ABL and BBL). 424 Previous studies have shown that granular cells can produce ROS (29). In contrast, we found 425 that small granule cells collected in fraction 7 could not produce ROS through an oxidative 426 burst. To identify which type of hemocyte from blast-like cells and macrophage-like cells 427 produces ROS within a few minutes after exposure to zymosan, ROS production was 428 investigated using NitroBlueTetrazolium (NBT) reduction to stain hemocytes directly. 429

Correlative microscopic analysis using MCDH staining can then be conducted after the NBT 430 reduction reaction. Macrophage-like cells were strongly and significantly stained by NBT 431 reduction (33.2 %) (Fig. 5E, panels a & d). In contrast, some small granule cells were lightly 432 stained by NBT reduction (Fig. 5F). Blast-like cells, big granule cells, vesicular cells, and 433 hyalinocytes were never NBT stained, confirming that these cell types were not involved in 434 ROS production (Supp. Fig. S7). Taken together, these observations demonstrate that small 435 granule cells and macrophage-like cells are the two professional phagocytes among 436 hemocytes. However, only macrophage-like cells are capable of oxidative burst upon exposure 437 438 to zymosan. In light of these new functional data, we further analyzed the expression level of NADPH-oxidase-related enzymes in the scRNA-seq dataset. The cells in cluster 1 439 predominantly expressed two NADPH oxidase isoforms (gene numbers G34908 and G23852) 440 441 compared to other clusters (Fig. 5H). Furthermore, this cluster expresses macrophage-specific genes such as the angiopoietin receptor (Table 1), as well as maturation factors for dual 442 oxidase, an enzyme involved in peroxide formation (Supp. Fig. S8). Collectively, these data 443 indicated that cells of transcriptomic cluster 1 corresponded to macrophage-like cells. 444 Small granule cells and big granule cells accumulate intracellular copper. 445 The effects of copper on oyster hemocytes have been studied extensively due to its abundance 446 in polluted marine environments (19). In addition, several studies have shown that Vibrio 447 species pathogenic for C. gigas possess copper resistance genes, which are crucial for their 448 survival within hemocytes (9). This prompted us to investigate which hemocyte types are 449 450 involved in copper metabolism. To this end, total hemocytes were isolated from naive oysters and stained with rhodanine to reveal copper storage in cells. Rhodanine staining revealed that 451 33 % +/- 2 % of small granule cells and 30 % +/- 10 % of big granule cells exhibited a specific 452 reddish/brown staining indicative of a high concentration of copper in their granules (Fig. 5G) 453 454 and Supp. Fig. S9). These results provide functional proof that SGC are specialized in metal homeostasis in addition to phagocytosis, as suggested by the scRNAseq data (Cluster 3). 455 Antimicrobial peptides are expressed by agranular cells, blasts and hyalinocytes. 456

Antimicrobial peptides (AMPs) have long been studied for their role in the invertebrate humoral immune response. They are expressed by hemocytes, including those in *C. gigas (31)*. The aim was to ascertain whether different hemocyte cell types expressed distinct AMPs. However, due to the limited sequencing depth, the scRNA-seq analysis was not sensitive enough to reveal AMP expression. This limitation was addressed by investigating AMP expression through RT-qPCR on Percoll density-fractionated hemocytes. The results indicated that Cg-Bigdefs 1-2, Cg-BPI and hemocyte defensin were predominantly expressed by agranular cells, blasts and hyalinocytes (ABL, BBL and H) (Fig. 6A, B and C). The expression of these AMPs was associated with Blasts abundance, while the expression of Cg-BigDefs 1-2 was only associated with hyalinocytes (Fig. 6D). Granular cells (VC, ML, BGC and SGC) did not seem to express any of the analyzed AMPs. These data suggest that some of the agranular cells are specialized in the production of humoral effectors.




Fig 6. Hemocyte expression profiles of some antimicrobial peptides. (A) (B) and (C)
Relative Gene Expression in the 7 Percoll hemocyte fractions of Big-Defensin1 & 2 (Cg-BigDefs), BPI (Cg-BPI) and hemocyte defensin (Cg-Defh), respectively, in comparison to the gene expression level in unfractionated hemolymph. (D) Correlation matrix between the relative gene expression of BigDefensin1 & 2, BPI and hemocyte defensin gene in each fraction and the percentage of each hemocyte type in each fraction (H: Hyalinocytes, ABL:

Acidophilic Blast Like, BBL: Basophilic Blast Like, SGC: Small Granule Cell, ML: 476 Macrophage Like, **BGC**: Big Granule Cell, **VC**: Vesicular Cell. Values and color scale 477 represent the Pearson correlation coefficient (r) ranging from -1 (inverse correlation) to +1 478 (full correlation). 479 Tentative model of hemocyte lineages and differentiation pathways in C. gigas. 480 The ontogeny, lineage and differentiation pathways of bivalves remain largely unknown (32). 481 However, there are some indications of circulating and proliferating hemocyte progenitors in 482 the hemolymph of C. gigas (33). GO-terms analysis of the 7 transcriptomic clusters revealed 483 different functional signatures, including the transcriptomic signature of cluster 4, which 484 showed a high expression level of ribosomal proteins (Supp. Fig. S1J). This particularity has 485 been observed in hematopoietic stem cells in vertebrates (34–36). Furthermore, scRNA-seq 486 approaches can now be used to deduce differentiation pathways from mRNA splicing variant 487 analysis using bioinformatic tools like Monocle3 (37). This revealed an overexpression of 488

genes involved in the splicing, transcription and translation continuum in the same fourth

cluster (Fig. 2), thereby reinforcing the hypothesis of a pool of quiescent or immature cells

489

490

491

that can differentiate upon stimulation.




Figure 7. Pseudotime ordering of cells revealed 6 potential differentiation pathways of hemocytes. (A) UMAP plot of scRNA-seq analysis showing the 7 transcriptomic clusters used for pseudotime analysis. 4 clusters were identified cytologically (SGC for small granule cells - cluster 3, H for hyalinocytes - cluster 2, ML for Macrophage Like - cluster 1 and VC for vesicular cells - cluster 7), cl.4, cl.5, and cl.6 represent clusters 4, 5, and 6, respectively. **(B)**Graphical representation (UMAP projection) of the Monocle 3 pseudo-time order of the clustered cells. Cluster 4 (cl.4) was used as the origin for the pseudotime analysis. **(C) (D) (E) (F) (G) and (H)** show the gene expression level of selected marker genes obtained from the monocle3 trajectory analysis at the beginning and end of the modelized differentiation

503

504

505

506

507

508

509

510

511

512

513

514

515

516

517

518

519

520

521

522

523

524

525

526

527

528

pathways (in red on the UMAP plot) from cluster 4 to hyalinocytes, to cluster 5 cells, to cluster 6 cells, to Vesicular Cells (VC), to Macrophage-Like cells (ML) and to Small Granule Cells (SGC) respectively. The color scale represents the normalized expression level of each gene. (I) Dot plot showing the average expression and the percentage of cells expressing identified transcripts encoding for transcription factors in the scRNA-seq dataset. Average expression is expressed in Log2FC. Cluster 4 was chosen to enroot the pseudotime analysis to deduce differentiation pathways and cell lineages using Monocle3. (Fig. 7A). By temporally ordering the 2817 cells analyzed by scRNA-seq. 5 cell lineages could be defined (Fig. 7B). Differentiation pathway 1 leads to hyalinocytes (H) (Fig. 7C). This transition is characterized by the downregulation of 8 genes, two of which are transcription factors (G7003 and G31522). The hyalinocyte cluster was also characterized by the overexpression of genes involved in cell contractility (G22824, G153 and G11418). Differentiation pathway 2 leads to cells of cluster 5 and is characterized by the downregulation of about 30 genes, and 3 genes related to Zn finger protein, actin cleavage and Ig-like domain proteins were specifically overexpressed (G30887, G28864 and G32340) (Fig. **7D**). Differentiation pathway 3 leads to cells of cluster 6 and is characterized by the downexpression of 12 genes, and the upregulation of a GATA family transcription factor (G31054) (Fig. 7E). The pathways between clusters 4, 5 and 6 found by Monocle3 analysis were pseudo temporally short and few specific markers were identified, suggesting that they were transcriptionally close. Differentiation pathway 4 leads to vesicular cells (VC) (Fig. 7F) where a large number of genes were silenced to give rise to these cells. Seven genes were specifically overexpressed in VC (G32111, G17226, G687, G16200, G32756, G10149 and G23495). Interestingly, 6 genes encoding potential transcription factors were downregulated in this lineage (G30997, G10637, G1067, G13555, G2123 and G27827). Differentiation pathway 5 leads to macrophage-like cells (ML), and is characterized by the underexpression of 35 genes and the overexpression of 13 genes. Among the 35 genes, 6 are putative transcription factors (G2123, G10637, G13555, G27827, G1067 and G11196) (**Fig. 7G**). Finally, we can outline a

lineage ending in small granule cells (SGC) (Fig. 7H). This pathway involved cluster 4 529 (immature cells), VC, ML and SGC and was characterized by the downregulation of 27 genes. 530 including 5 potential transcription factors (G10637, G13555, G27827, G1067 and G2123). 531 SGC showed a distinct transcriptomic signature with 61 overexpressed genes, including 3 532 transcription factors (G1708, G21091 and G30622). Based on these findings, we postulate that 533 immature cluster 4 cells possess pluripotent potential and can give rise to four terminally 534 differentiated cell types: cluster 5 and 6 cells, hyalinocytes and small granule cells, and two 535 other transient hemocyte cell types: vesicular cells and macrophage-like cells. All data from 536 537 the Monocle3 analyses are available as Supplementary Data (Supp. Fig. S10). Differentiation pathway analysis thus revealed the over- or under-expression of various 538 transcription factors in the identified pathways. Given the established role of transcription 539 factors as master regulators of cell differentiation and their utility in delineating cell lineages. 540 we investigated the combinatorial expression patterns of transcription factors among the 541 different transcriptomic cell clusters. Based on GO-terms annotation, 28 different sequences 542 corresponding to transcription factors were isolated from the scRNA-seq dataset. The 543 transcription factor function was confirmed by manual annotation (Supp. Table S5) and 544 Figure 7I shows the average expression profiles of these factors in the different clusters. 545 **Supplementary Figure S11** illustrates their expression levels in single cells. Two 546 transcription factors, CgATF5 and CgCRBL1, exhibited a contrasting expression profile with 547 an increased average expression in macrophage-like cells, hyalinocytes and small granule cells 548 549 versus a low expression profile in cells in clusters 4, 5, 6 and vesicular cells. We also identified transcription factors that were specifically expressed in the different transcriptomic 550 clusters: CgSPDEF, CgSOCS3, CgFOS and CgTFEB were specific for vesicular cells, 551 CgSOX8, CgXBOX and CgELF3 were specific for small granule cells, CgCR3L1 and 552 553 CgTAL1 for hyalinocytes, and CgJUN, CgKLF5, CgKLF6 and CgCREM for macrophage-like cells. Eight additional transcription factors were specifically identified in cluster 6 554 (CgGATA3, CgPU.1 and CgELF2), cluster 5 (CgELF2, CgELK3 and CgIRF1) and cluster 4 555

(CgTAL1 and CgFOS1). These data potentially define four distinct hematopoietic lineages originating from one type of immature blast cells and give rise to hyalinocytes, SGC (via VC and ML), or two distinct differentiated blast-like cells. We also identified a combination of transcription factors specific to lineages and cell types that are potential master regulators of cell fate during hematopoiesis.

Discussion

556

557

558

559

560

561

562

563

564

565

566

567

568

569

570

571

572

573

574

575

576

577

578

579

580

581

582

583

The findings of our study represent a significant advancement in our understanding of the functional diversity and lineages of C. gigas hemocytes. Single-cell RNA-seq and cytology were combined to identify seven distinct hemocyte transcriptomic cell clusters and an equivalent number of morphotypes. These include four granular cell types (big granule cells, macrophage-like cells, small granule cells and vesicular cells), two distinct blast-like cells (basophilic and acidophilic blast-like cells), and one agranular epithelial-like cell type (hyalinocytes). A significant challenge was to identify correlations between transcriptomic and cytological data to fully define each cytological cell type. This challenge was overcome by combining multiple approaches, including isopycnic Percoll density gradient fractionation combined with the analysis of transcriptomic markers expression, and functional assays including phagocytosis, oxidative burst, copper accumulation, AMP expression and finally pseudotime analysis of gene expression. These results confirmed the historical classification of the 3 main cell groups: blasts, hyalinocytes, and granular cells (15) and deepened our understanding of the functional specificities of poorly characterized cell types. In particular, we identified distinct transcriptional and functional subtypes among blasts and granular cells with complementary immune specialization and lineage relationships between cells. One significant outcome of the present study is the identification of cell types involved in antimicrobial activities, including phagocytosis, intracellular copper accumulation, an oxidative burst and antimicrobial peptide production. These cell types have been extensively studied for their role in antibacterial and antiparasitic defenses, as they are found in the large

585

586

587

588

589

590

591

592

593

594

595

596

597

598

599

600

601

602

603

604

605

606

607

608

609

610

majority of invertebrates (17). Nevertheless, there has been considerable debate surrounding the cell types specialized for these critical immune functions in bivalves, particularly oysters. Moreover, the involvement of the different hemocyte subpopulations in immune functions is not yet fully understood. Our findings reveal that the macrophage-like (ML) cells and small granule cells (SGC) are the sole hemocyte cell types that function as professional phagocytes, as demonstrated against Zymosan or Vibrio. These two distinct cell types could be distinguished functionally. First, two distinct transcriptomic clusters were identified for each cell type (Cluster 1 for ML / BGC and Cluster 3 for SGC). Secondly, only ML induced a measurable oxidative burst. Thirdly, only SGC accumulated intracellular copper in specific granules. The two types of professional phagocytes belong to the same granular cell lineage, as determined by pseudotime analysis. The notion that ML could serve as a precursor for SGC may seem counterintuitive. However, this is not an isolated phenomenon among invertebrate hemocytes. For instance, in *Drosophila* larvae (38), some populations of professional phagocytes, the sessile plasmatocytes, give rise to crystal cells or lamellocytes that are morphologically and functionally distinct from plasmatocytes (39). Similarly, the existence of multiple professional phagocytes in the oyster is reminiscent of vertebrate macrophages, polynuclear neutrophils and dendritic cells, which possess distinct functional specializations, including efferocytosis (40), oxidative burst, NETosis, or antigen presentation (41). The characterization of professional phagocytes in oysters is of particular importance for a deeper understanding of oyster-Vibrio interactions during pathogenesis. Some of the most extensively studied oyster pathogens, including strains of V. tasmaniensis and V. aestuarianus francensis, harbor virulence traits that enable them to disrupt the phagocytic activity of hemocytes during pathogenesis. For instance, *V. tasmaniensis* behaves as a facultative intracellular pathogen with phagocytosis-dependent cytotoxicity (11). Additionally, both V.

612

613

614

615

616

617

618

619

620

621

622

623

624

625

626

627

628

629

630

631

632

633

634

635

636

637

tasmaniensis and V. aestuarianus display resistance to copper toxicity through CopA and CusA/B transporters. This trait is essential for the survival and virulence of these pathogens in oysters (9, 42). Since SGCs have been demonstrated to be professional phagocytes with copper-rich granules, the cellular interactions between SGCs and these vibrios are likely to be critical during the antibacterial host response and pathogenesis. ML are phagocytes that possess a very potent NADPH-oxidase-dependent oxidative burst. The oxidative burst is a rapid and potent antimicrobial response observed in professional phagocytes, such as polynuclear neutrophils in mammals. It is worth noting that NADPH-dependent ETosis has been observed in C. gigas in a manner analogous to that observed in human neutrophils (43). This cell death is characterized by the projection of DNA extracellular traps that capture and kill some pathogens like Vibrio (43). Therefore, it is reasonable to hypothesize that ML may also be involved in ETosis. The specialized functions of the two other types of granular cells, the BGCs and the VCs, remain unclear. Despite the difficulty in identifying a specific scRNAseq transcriptomic cluster for BGCs, the level of expression of laccase 24 was found to be higher in a particular subcluster among ML (Supp. Fig. S8) and pseudo-time analysis highlighted the same subcluster as an alternative differentiation state among ML (Fig. 7B). The enrichment of transcripts involved in oxido-reduction pathways, particularly laccase 24, aligns with their potential role in melanization and response to oxidative stress (44). While melanin-like deposits have been observed in some cases of infestation by the parasites *Martelia* or Bonamia in the Sydney rock oyster (45), this mechanism is not as robust as that described in arthropods, which perform melanization through a prophenoloxidase activation cascade (46, 47). In many marine invertebrates (44), a type of hemocyte known as Brown Cells could be related to the BGCs described here. When observed without any staining (as in Fig. 5F), their big granules with a yellow to dark brown content appear to align with the historical description of brown cells that often infiltrate tissues (like gills) in animals exposed to polluted waters (44). It has

639

640

641

642

643

644

645

646

647

648

649

650

651

652

653

654

655

656

657

658

659

660

661

662

663

664

therefore been theorized that these cells are involved in detoxification processes. Our pseudotime analysis indicates that they likely originate from ML, with limited phagocytosis activity and a specialized role in melanization and potentially heavy metal detoxification, as evidenced by rhodanine staining showing copper accumulation in some of their granules (Fig. **5G**). Further studies are recommended to clarify the role of BGCs, particularly in the context of parasitic infestation or exposure to toxic stresses. Lastly, VC are granular cells that remain to be functionally characterized. Their transcriptomic profile suggests strong intracellular vesicular trafficking and autophagy activity. However, our functional assays did not reveal any particular immune-related function. Their clear granules appear auto-fluorescent when illuminated with UV light (Supp. Fig. S11) but the biochemical nature of the content of these granules remains to be characterized. As they also appear as cell intermediates along the granular cell differentiation pathway in pseudotime analysis, they could represent functionally immature precursors of the other three granular cell types, much like promyelocytes which possess specific azurophilic granules but are functionally immature precursors during granulocyte differentiation in humans. However, the enrichment of autophagy-related transcripts in VC calls for further investigation into a potential role in antiviral immunity, as autophagy has been suggested to play a role in the response to OsHV-1 virus (48). Hyalinocytes are a homogenous cell type, with only one morphotype matching one transcriptomic cluster. It can be deduced from pseudotime analysis that they originate from a specific and very different differentiation pathway than the granular cells. According to the literature, hyalinocytes are involved in the early stages of inflammation and can infiltrate wounds and interact with foreign particles. In the flat oyster Ostrea edulis, they contribute to shell production and wound healing (49). In the Sydney rock oyster they play a role in cell aggregation (50), while in C. virginica (51) they contribute to encapsulation, reminiscent of lamellocytes in *Drosophila*. Our results suggest an important role of AMP expression in the immune response. Indeed, Cg-BigDefs, which participate in the control of ovster microbiota

666

667

668

669

670

671

672

673

674

675

676

677

678

679

680

681

682

683


684

685

686

687

(52), were found to be expressed in both hyalinocytes and blast-like cells. Moreover, hyalinocytes from the oyster O. edulis have been shown to express the AMP Myticin C (53). which lends further support to this immune function. Among the AMPs, we also found that Cg-BPI and Cg-Defh, are more expressed in BBL, ABL than in other cell types. These results are somewhat unexpected, given the prevailing assumption that AMPs are stored in granules of granular cells, rather than agranular cells (16). These results highlight the necessity to reassess the role of specific agranular cell types in the active production of humoral immune effectors. Our findings suggest that hyalinocytes and/or the blast-like cells may be a cellular target of the OsHV-1 virus, the causal agent of POMS, which dampens the expression of certain AMPs (7), thereby inducing bacterial dysbiosis. It is still unclear whether this is due to a decreased expression of AMPs and/or inhibition of immature blast cell differentiation involved in the renewal of agranular cell types. It should be noted that the complexity of blast-like cells could not be fully elucidated in this study, as 3 clusters and only 2 morphotypes were identified. Cell fractionation using Percoll gradient failed to yield pure blast-enriched fractions (ABL and BBL), preventing precise functional characterization. The enrichment in transcripts of the transcription synthesis degradation continuum aligns with the definition of undifferentiated blast-type cells and with the basophilic staining obtained in MCDH for BBL cells, as immature blast cells are characterized by a basophilic cytoplasmic staining in humans. However, our results show that certain blast populations can produce AMPs, suggesting that these cells may also play a role in the production of humoral effectors. Ultimately, it remains unclear whether these circulating immature cells are indeed the stem cells from which all hemocytes originate.



cytological and functional results obtained. Cells are colored according to the same color code as the transcriptomic clusters. Cluster numbers and cell types are indicated. To the left of the cells are the overexpressed transcription factors and to the right are the identified marker genes in each cluster. Functional characteristics of hyalinocytes, macrophage-like cells and small granule cells are marked in red. (AMP: AntiMicrobial Peptide, Burst: ROS production, Phago: phagocytosis)

In the animal kingdom, the innate immune system relies on specialized cells derived from pluripotent precursors through hematopoiesis. Transcription factors in particular have been found to exhibit a high degree of conservation throughout the animal kingdom, from invertebrates to vertebrates. However, the mechanisms underlying the functional differentiation of bivalves are only partially available or understood (54). Recent research has indicated the potential existence of hemocyte progenitors, also known as blast-like cells in several bivalve species. These include clams, mussels, scallops, marine mussels, freshwater mussels, oysters, pearl oysters, and wing shells (54). However, various models for

705

706

707

708

709

710

711

712

713

714

715

716

717

718

719

720

721

722

723

724

725

726

727

728

729

730

hematopoiesis in bivalves have been proposed and extensively debated without a clear consensus or definitive proof. Single-cell RNA sequencing and pseudotime analysis have enabled us to propose a refined model of hematopoiesis at an unprecedented level of detail. In this model, the different types of hemocytes are likely produced through four differentiation pathways that originate from a common progenitor. One pathway results in the formation of agranular hyalinocytes, while another independent pathway gives rise to the granular cells. including VC, ML, BGC, and SGC. Furthermore, two additional differentiation pathways have been identified that may lead to terminally differentiated blast-like cells. The differentiation of mature hemocytes involves the establishment of lineage-specific gene expression profiles, which rely on transcription factors to modulate the expression of their target genes. Our study identified a combination of transcription factors that are differentially expressed during C. gigas hematopoiesis and are specific to differentiation stages and cell lineages, including many well-known hematopoietic transcription factors such as GATA, PU-1, TAL1 and SOX factors, which are positioned in this model of C. gigas hematopoiesis (55– 57) (Fig. 8). This study significantly advances our understanding of bivalve immunity, especially in comparison to arthropods. By introducing a standardized reference hemocytogram for ovsters using MCDH staining, defining cell type-specific markers and key transcription factors likely involved in cell fate determination, as well as clarifying the functions of different hemocytes. we have paved the way for future in-depth studies. This will facilitate further studies of the ovster's immune response to various biotic and abiotic stress at the cellular level. Improved comprehension of antiviral and antibacterial responses in bivalves, along with an enhanced understanding of immune priming and immune memory at the cellular level in bivalves, will benefit health and population management practices for sustainable aquaculture production. These findings will also contribute to the broader field of evolutionary immunology by enabling comparative studies and elucidating the diversification of immune cells and immunity-related genes in a protostome.

731

732

733 734

735

736

737

738

739

740

741

742

743

744

745

746

747

748

749

750

751

752

753

754

755

756

757

758

759

Materials and Methods Conservation of oysters The work described here was performed using two different sources of oysters of the same species Crassostrea (Magallana) gigas. ISA (Ifremer Standardized Oysters - La tremblade -France) oysters for the scRNA-seq experiment and oysters provided by a local supplier (https://www.huitres-bouzigues.com). Animals were washed and kept in 10 L tanks containing seawater and a bubbler to oxygenate and homogenize the water. Water was changed daily and oyster health was monitored. All animals used in this study were 18 months of age. Hemocyte collection and processing Crassostrea gigas hemocytes were collected from live animals by puncture of the adductor muscle. The oyster shell was incised on the posterior side with forceps. Hemolymph was collected using a 23Gx1" needle mounted on a 5 mL syringe prefilled with 2 mL of ice-cold Alsever modified medium (20.8 g glucose – 8 g trisodium citrate - 22.5 g sodium chloride -0.4 g BSA - pH=7.5). Samples were centrifuged for 4 minutes at 200 g - 4 °C and the supernatant was removed and replaced with 1 mL of fresh Alsever modified medium. Each hemocyte sample was thoroughly checked for quality, counted under a microscope using KOVA (Kova International, USA) slides, and stored on ice prior to processing. For scRNAseq analysis, resuspended hemocytes were filtered on 30 µm filters, counted, the solution was adjusted to 1.10⁶ cells per mL and stored on ice prior to 10X genomic library preparation. C. gigas genome annotation The C. gigas genome (Genbank GCA 905397895.1) (24) was used as a reference. Prior to annotation, the longest CDS sequences were extracted from the gff3 file. Annotation was realized using the ORSON script (https://gitlab.ifremer.fr/bioinfo/workflows/orson). ORSON combines cutting-edge tools for annotation processes within a Nextflow pipeline. The ORSON script performs a sequence similarity search with BLAST (58) against the Uniprot-Swissprot and Uniprot-trEMBL databases, and functional prediction with InterProScan (59) and eggNOG

(60) orthogroup annotation. Interproscan analysis was performed against Pfam, Prosite, CDD, 760 TIGR. SMART. SuperFamily, PRINTS and Hamap databases, Results were collected and 761 processed using Blast2GO (61) for annotation mapping and validation. 762 **Drop Seg-based scRNA-seg library generation** 763 The 10X Genomics protocol, Single Cell 3' Reagent Kits v2 User Guide from the 764 manufacturer (10X Genomics, USA) was followed to prepare gel in emulsion beads (GEM) 765 containing single cells, hydrogel beads, and reverse transcription reagents, perform barcoded 766 cDNA synthesis, and generate sequencing libraries from pooled cDNAs. The concentration of 767 768 single-cell suspensions was approximately 1000 cells / µL, as estimated by manual counting, and cells were loaded according to the 10X protocol to capture approximately 3000 cells per 769 reaction. Library construction (after GEM digestion) was performed using 10X reagents 770 771 according to the manufacturer's instructions. Libraries (paired-end reads 75 bp) were sequenced on an Illumina NovaSeq (Illumina, USA) using two sequencing lanes per sample. 772 scRNA-seq analysis 773 Reads were aligned to the C. gigas reference genome (Genbank GCA 905397895.1) (27) 774 using STAR solo software (v 2.7.10) (23). Unique molecular identifiers (UMIs) were extracted 775 and counted for each cell, and an expression matrix was generated for further analysis. Single-776 cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-seq) data analysis was performed using the R programming 777 language (version 4.2.1) (R Core Team, 2018) and the Seurat package (version 4.3.0) (62). The 778 779 data were then pre-processed to remove unwanted sources of variation and to normalize gene expression. Cells with small library sizes and a high proportion of mitochondrial genes were 780 excluded. Data normalization was performed using the SCTransform method. After 781 782 normalization, highly variable genes were identified using the FindVariableFeatures function and the top variable genes were selected for downstream analyses. Dimensionality reduction 783 was performed using Principal Component Analysis (PCA), followed by Uniform Manifold 784 Approximation and Projection (UMAP) to visualize the data. Cell clustering was performed 785 using the 'FindClusters' function, using the previously identified significant principal 786

components (dims = 6) and a resolution parameter (r = 0.1) to define cluster granularity. 787 Differential expression analysis was performed using the 'FindAllMarkers' function (pct.min 788 = 0.25) to identify genes differentially expressed between clusters, with statistical significance 789 determined using the Wilcoxon rank sum test. Functional enrichment analysis of differentially 790 expressed genes was performed using gene set enrichment analysis (RBGOA) (27). 791 **KEGG** pathway analysis 792 KEGG analysis was performed using DAVID Bioinformatics Resources (NIAID/NIH) (26). 793 Gene lists of specifically overexpressed genes in each cluster were obtained after scRNA-seq 794 795 processing (genes with Log2FC > 0.25 and significant p-value < 0.001) and used for KEGG annotation. The C. gigas reference genome from the DAVID bioinformatics resource was 796 used for this analysis, with thresholds of 2 for counts and 0.05 for EASE value (p-value). 797 798 KEGG annotation results were post-processed and presented as a heatmap showing the KEGG pathway, fold enrichment, p-value significance and number of positive terms. 799 **Rank-Based Gene Ontology Analysis** 800 RBGOA first clusters GOs according to their representative genes to identify the most 801 significant GOs, and then ranks the identified biological processes according to their average 802 expression levels (over all representative genes). Finally, biological processes, molecular 803 functions and cellular components significantly enriched in DEGs are identified by a Mann-804 Whitney rank test with a strict FDR correction. The files generated by the GO-MWU scripts 805 (https://github.com/z0on/GO MWU) were post-processed to extract the category names and 806 the fraction indicating the number of "good candidates" relative to the total number of genes 807 belonging to that category. The "good candidates" were the genes that exceeded an arbitrary 808 809 'absValue' cutoff (defined as 0.001) in their significance measure. The results were presented as a heatmap. 810 **Percoll Density Gradient Separation of Hemocytes** 811 A concentration series of Percoll (Cytiva, Sweden) diluted in Alsever modified medium was 812 prepared as follows: 10, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60 and 70 % (vol/vol). Discontinuous density gradients 813

(from 10 % Percoll with a density of 1.0647 to 70 % Percoll with d=1.1049) were made using 814 1.5 mL of each concentration and loaded with 1 mL of the hemocyte suspension 815 corresponding to approximately 2.10⁷ cells. Centrifugation was performed (30 min, 800 g, 4 816 °C) in a swinging bucket on a Beckman Coulter JS-13.1 rotor (Beckman Coulter, USA). 817 Hemocytes concentrated at each density interface were collected separately with a 70 mm long 818 20Gx2.75" needle mounted on a 1 mL syringe. The hemocytes were then washed from the 819 Percoll by adding 10 mL of ice-cold Alsever modified medium, pelleted by centrifugation (10 820 min, 200 g, 4°C) and resuspended in Alsever modified medium or filtered seawater. 821 822 Cytological description of the hemocyte populations 200,000 fresh hemocytes were seeded onto a slide using a Cytospin 4 centrifuge (Thermo 823 824 Scientific, USA). The samples were then stained using the panoptic MCDH (Micro Chromatic 825 Detection for Hematology) (Cellavision, Sweden) staining protocol. This protocol produces purple hues typical of Romanowsky-Giemsa staining results. After staining, the samples were 826 observed using a LEICA DMR (Leica AG, Germany) transmitted light microscope with a 40x 827 magnification objective. Each slide was imaged and the hemocytes were counted and 828 characterized based on their morphology. 829 Real Time-quantitative Polymerase Chain Reaction (RT-qPCR) 830 Total RNA was extracted using the RNeasy kit (Qiagen, the Netherlands) and cDNA was 831 synthesized from 1 ug total RNA using the Superscript IV kit (ThermoFisher Scientific, USA) 832 833 with oligo(dT) primers. RT-PCR was performed on LightCycler© 480 thermocycler using the SYBR Green 1 Master kit (Roche, Switzerland). Primers were used at 200 nM. Primer 834 sequences are listed in Supplementary Table S3. Expression was normalized to Cg-rps6 835 reference gene. The standard cycling conditions were 95°C pre-incubation for 3 minutes 836 followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 10 seconds, 60°C for 20 seconds, and 72°C for 25 seconds. 837 Each pair of primers was first tested and validated on a total hemocyte RNA preparation to 838 control melting curves and establish calibration lines. 839

Oxidative Burst Assay

The production of reactive oxygen species was quantified by luminescence assay. Briefly, hemocytes from hemolymph puncture or Percoll density gradient were washed once with filtered sterile water. 50 µL of hemocytes were plated in triplicate on a 96-well plate (3.10⁵) cells/cm²). 50 µL of 40 mM luminol (Merck and Co, USA) was added to each well. After 45 minutes of incubation at room temperature, the oxidative burst was induced by adding 100 µL of zymosan (Merck and Co, USA) at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 50:1. The plate was immediately placed in a Berthold Centro XS3 LB 960 luminescence microplate reader (Berthold GmbH, Germany) to measure luminescence emission every 2 minutes for 2 hours. Phagocytosis assay 400 µL of filtered, sterile water-washed hemocytes were seeded in a 24-well plate at a concentration of 3.10⁵ cells/cm². After 15 minutes, 50 µL of zymosan was added to the fractions at an MOI of 20:1. For LMG20012^T Vibrio, 50 µL of bacteria was added to a total hemolymph at an MOI of 5:1. After 1 hour of contact at room temperature, the cells were resuspended and 200 µL of the suspension was applied to a microscope slide using a Cytospin centrifuge. The slides were then stained with MCDH and observed under a LEICA DMR transmitted light microscope with a 40x magnification objective (Leica AG, Germany) to count phagocytic cells. NitroBlueTetrazolium (NBT) staining ROS production was measured using NitroBlue Tetrazolium reduction after zymosan stimulation. Briefly, 1 mL (1.10⁶ cells) of hemocyte solution was mixed with 50 µL of filtered NBT solution (15 mg/mL in water). Zymosan was added at a 4:1 MOI and the mixture was incubated at room temperature for 10 minutes on a rocking shaker. Then, 50 µL of each sample was plated onto glass coverslips and observed under a transmitted light microscope LEICA DMR with a 40x magnification objective (Leica AG, Germany) to count NBT-positive cells. The positions of positive NBT cells were recorded prior to MCDH staining to identify hemocyte types.

Rhodanine copper staining of hemocytes

841

842

843

844

845

846

847

848

849

850

851

852

853

854

855

856

857

858

859

860

861

862

863

864

865

866

868

869

870

871

872

873

874

875

876

877

878

879

880

881

882

883

884

885

886

887

888

889

890

891

892

893

894

The storage of copper by hemocytes was examined by Rhodanine staining. Briefly, 1.10⁵ hemocytes were plated on Superfrost slides using a cytospin and circled with a hydrophobic pen to retain the staining solution. The Copper Stain Kit (SkyTek, USA) was used to stain the hemocytes. As described by the kit manufacturer, one drop of Rhodanine solution was added to 9 drops of acetate to form the working solution. Five drops were placed on the cytospin cells and a 5 mL Eppendorf tube with the cap removed was placed over the cells to prevent evaporation of the working solution. The slide and the balanced Eppendorf tube were placed in a beaker of boiling distilled water for 20 minutes. The slide was then washed with 5 drops of acetate and 3 drops of hematoxylin were placed on the slide for 1 minute at room temperature. The slides were then washed a final time with acetate and observed under a LEICA DMR transmitted light microscope with a 40x magnification objective (Leica AG, Germany) to count rhodanine-positive cells. The positions of rhodanine-positive cells were recorded prior to MCDH staining to identify hemocyte types. Pseudotemporal ordering of cells with Monocle3 Cells from the C. gigas dataset were analyzed using Monocle3 (https://github.com/coletrapnell-lab/monocle3) (37). The Monocle3 analysis was performed on the Seurat object following the aforementioned processing steps. Clustering information (features, genes, partitions, clusters and UMAP coordinates) was transferred to a CDS object. The cell trajectory was calculated using the *learn graph* function. The *choose graph segments* function was used to select three lineages. The gene expression along pseudotime data was extracted from the result. Then, the data were used to plot genes along pseudotime in three lineages using ggplot2 v3.4.4 R package and the heatmap was generated using the pheatmap v1.0.12 R package. **Statistical Analysis** To evaluate differences between samples, a statistical analysis was performed using (version 4.2.1) (R Core Team, 2018) and appropriate packages. All data were examined for normality, and statistical tests were selected accordingly. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was

used for normally distributed data. Seven different hemolymph samples were used for 895 cytological analysis. Oysters were provided by our local supplier) and approximately 300 896 897 hemocytes were counted per sample. Seven independent experiments were performed for Percoll density gradient separation. A Tukey test was used to evaluate the statistical difference 898 between the proportions of hemocyte types. The phagocytic capacity of hemocytes was tested 899 900 in three independent experiments and statistical differences were evaluated using the Tukey test for both the phagocytic capacity between hemocyte types and the number of particles per 901 phagocyte. Finally, oxidative burst capacity was tested 3 times on Percoll-separated 902 903 hemocytes. The Tukey test was also used to assess statistical differences between conditions. The null hypothesis was rejected at a significance level of p = 0.05. 904

References

905906907

- 1. Y. M. Bar-On, R. Phillips, R. Milo, The biomass distribution on Earth. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **115**, 6506–6511 (2018).
- 2. B. Leicester Bayne, *Biology of Oysters* (Academic Press, 1st Edition., 2017)vol. 41.
- 3. G. Zhang, L. Li, J. Meng, H. Qi, T. Qu, F. Xu, L. Zhang, Molecular Basis for Adaptation of Oysters to Stressful Marine Intertidal Environments. *Annu. Rev. Anim. Biosci.* **4**, 357–381 (2016).
- 4. FAO, Global aquaculture production. Fisheries and aquaculture division (2023).
- 5. F. Pernet, C. Lupo, C. Bacher, R. J. Whittington, Infectious diseases in oyster aquaculture require a new integrated approach. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **371**, 20150213 (2016).
- 6. A. Alfjorden, M. Areskog, D. Bruno, R. Carnegie, D. Cheslett, S. Feist, S. Ford, S. Jones, A. Lillehaug, L. Madsen, T. Renault, N. Ruane, P. Vennerstrom, New Trends in Important Diseases Affecting the Culture of Fish and Molluscs in the ICES Area 2002–2015. *ICES cooperative research report*, doi: 10.17895/ices.pub.2800 (2017).
- J. de Lorgeril, A. Lucasson, B. Petton, E. Toulza, C. Montagnani, C. Clerissi, J. Vidal-Dupiol, C. Chaparro, R. Galinier, J.-M. Escoubas, P. Haffner, L. Dégremont, G. M. Charrière, M. Lafont, A. Delort, A. Vergnes, M. Chiarello, N. Faury, T. Rubio, M. A. Leroy, A. Pérignon, D. Régler, B. Morga, M. Alunno-Bruscia, P. Boudry, F. Le Roux, D. Destoumieux-Garzón, Y. Gueguen, G. Mitta, Immune-suppression by OsHV-1 viral infection causes fatal bacteraemia in Pacific oysters. *Nat Commun* 9, 4215 (2018).
- 8. N. M. Coyle, C. O'Toole, J. C. L. Thomas, D. Ryder, E. J. Feil, M. Geary, T. P. Bean, A. W. Joseph, A. Waine, D. Cheslett, D. W. Verner-Jeffreys, Vibrio aestuarianus clade A and clade B isolates are associated with Pacific oyster (Magallana gigas) disease outbreaks across Ireland. *Microbial Genomics* 9, 001078 (2023).
- 9. A. Mesnil, M. Jacquot, C. Garcia, D. Tourbiez, L. Canier, A. Bidois, L. Dégremont, D. Cheslett, M. Geary, A. Vetri, A. Roque, D. Furones, A. Garden, P. Orozova, I. Arzul, M. Sicard, G. M. Charrière, D. Destoumieux-Garzón, M.-A. Travers, Emergence and clonal expansion of Vibrio aestuarianus lineages pathogenic for oysters in Europe. *Molecular Ecology* 32, 2869–2883 (2023).

- D. Oyanedel, A. Lagorce, M. Bruto, P. Haffner, A. Morot, Y. Labreuche, Y. Dorant, S. de La Forest Divonne, F. Delavat, N. Inguimbert, C. Montagnani, B. Morga, E. Toulza, C. Chaparro, J.-M. Escoubas, Y. Gueguen, J. Vidal-Dupiol, J. de Lorgeril, B. Petton, L. Degremont, D. Tourbiez, L.-L. Pimparé, M. Leroy, O. Romatif, J. Pouzadoux, G. Mitta, F. Le Roux, G. M. Charrière, M.-A. Travers, D. Destoumieux-Garzón, Cooperation and cheating orchestrate Vibrio assemblages and polymicrobial synergy in oysters infected with OsHV-1 virus. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 120, e2305195120 (2023).
- T. Rubio, D. Oyanedel, Y. Labreuche, E. Toulza, X. Luo, M. Bruto, C. Chaparro, M. Torres, J. de Lorgeril, P. Haffner, J. Vidal-Dupiol, A. Lagorce, B. Petton, G. Mitta, A. Jacq, F. Le Roux, G. M. Charrière, D. Destoumieux-Garzón, Species-specific mechanisms of cytotoxicity toward immune cells determine the successful outcome of Vibrio infections. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 116, 14238– 14247 (2019).
- 12. Y. Labreuche, F. Le Roux, J. Henry, C. Zatylny, A. Huvet, C. Lambert, P. Soudant, D. Mazel, J.-L. Nicolas, Vibrio aestuarianus zinc metalloprotease causes lethality in the Pacific oyster Crassostrea gigas and impairs the host cellular immune defenses. *Fish Shellfish Immunol* **29**, 753–758 (2010).
- 13. M. Lafont, A. Vergnes, J. Vidal-Dupiol, J. de Lorgeril, Y. Gueguen, P. Haffner, B. Petton, C. Chaparro, C. Barrachina, D. Destoumieux-Garzon, G. Mitta, B. Gourbal, C. Montagnani, A Sustained Immune Response Supports Long-Term Antiviral Immune Priming in the Pacific Oyster, Crassostrea gigas. *mBio* 11, 10.1128/mbio.02777-19 (2020).
- M. Fallet, C. Montagnani, B. Petton, L. Dantan, J. de Lorgeril, S. Comarmond, C. Chaparro, E. Toulza, S. Boitard, J.-M. Escoubas, A. Vergnes, J. Le Grand, I. Bulla, Y. Gueguen, J. Vidal-Dupiol, C. Grunau, G. Mitta, C. Cosseau, Early life microbial exposures shape the Crassostrea gigas immune system for lifelong and intergenerational disease protection. *Microbiome* 10, 85 (2022).
- 15. N. R. de la Ballina, F. Maresca, A. Cao, A. Villalba, Bivalve Haemocyte Subpopulations: A Review. *Front. Immunol.* **13**, 826255 (2022).
- 16. E. Bachère, R. D. Rosa, P. Schmitt, A. C. Poirier, N. Merou, G. M. Charrière, D. Destoumieux-Garzón, The new insights into the oyster antimicrobial defense: Cellular, molecular and genetic view. *Fish & Shellfish Immunology* **46**, 50–64 (2015).
- J.-M. Escoubas, B. Gourbal, D. Duval, T. J. Green, G. M. Charrière, D. Destoumieux-Garzón, C. Montagnani, "Immunity in Molluscs" in *Encyclopedia of Immunobiology*, M. J. H. Ratcliffe, Ed. (Academic Press, Oxford, 2016; https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/B9780123742797120041), pp. 417–436.
- 18. A. S. Mount, A. P. Wheeler, R. P. Paradkar, D. Snider, Hemocyte-Mediated Shell Mineralization in the Eastern Oyster. *Science* **304**, 297–300 (2004).
- 19. W. S. S. Fischer, "Environmental influence on bivalve hemocyte function" in *Am Fish Soc Symp* (1988)vol. 18, pp. 225–237.
- 20. T. V. Nguyen, A. C. Alfaro, F. Merien, Omics approaches to investigate host–pathogen interactions in mass mortality outbreaks of Crassostrea gigas. *Reviews in Aquaculture* **11**, 1308–1324 (2019).
- 21. J. Meng, G. Zhang, W.-X. Wang, Functional heterogeneity of immune defenses in molluscan oysters Crassostrea hongkongensis revealed by high-throughput single-cell transcriptome. *Fish & Shellfish Immunology* **120**, 202–213 (2022).
- 22. R. D. Rosa, P. Alonso, A. Santini, A. Vergnes, E. Bachère, High polymorphism in big defensin gene expression reveals presence—absence gene variability (PAV) in the oyster Crassostrea gigas. *Developmental & Comparative Immunology* **49**, 231–238 (2015).
- B. Kaminow, D. Yunusov, A. Dobin, STARsolo: accurate, fast and versatile mapping/quantification of single-cell and single-nucleus RNA-seq data. bioRxiv [Preprint] (2021). https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.05.442755.
- 24. C. Peñaloza, A. P. Gutierrez, L. Eöry, S. Wang, X. Guo, A. L. Archibald, T. P. Bean, R. D. Houston, A chromosome-level genome assembly for the Pacific oyster Crassostrea gigas. *GigaScience* **10** (2021).

- 25. R. Satija, J. A. Farrell, D. Gennert, A. F. Schier, A. Regev, Spatial reconstruction of single-cell gene expression data. *Nat Biotechnol* **33**, 495–502 (2015).
- 26. B. T. Sherman, M. Hao, J. Qiu, X. Jiao, M. W. Baseler, H. C. Lane, T. Imamichi, W. Chang, DAVID: a web server for functional enrichment analysis and functional annotation of gene lists (2021 update). *Nucleic Acids Research* **50**, W216–W221 (2022).
- 27. R. M. Wright, G. V. Aglyamova, E. Meyer, M. V. Matz, Gene expression associated with white syndromes in a reef building coral, Acropora hyacinthus. *BMC Genomics* **16**, 371 (2015).
- 28. E. Bachère, D. Chagot, H. Grizel, Separation of Crassostrea gigas hemocytes by density gradient centrifugation and counterflow centrifugal elutriation. *Developmental & Comparative Immunology* **12**, 549–559 (1988).
- W. Wang, M. Li, L. Wang, H. Chen, Z. Liu, Z. Jia, L. Qiu, L. Song, The granulocytes are the main immunocompetent hemocytes in Crassostrea gigas. *Developmental & Comparative Immunology* 67, 221– 228 (2017).
- C. Lambert, P. Soudant, G. Choquet, C. Paillard, Measurement of Crassostrea gigas hemocyte oxidative metabolism by flow cytometry and the inhibiting capacity of pathogenic vibrios. *Fish & Shellfish Immunology* 15, 225–240 (2003).
- 31. P. Schmitt, R. Rosa, M. Duperthuy, J. de Lorgeril, E. Bachère, D. Destoumieux-Garzon, The Antimicrobial Defense of the Pacific Oyster, Crassostrea gigas. How Diversity may Compensate for Scarcity in the Regulation of Resident/Pathogenic Microflora. *Frontiers in Microbiology* **3** (2012).
- 32. G. Vogt, Hidden Treasures in Stem Cells of Indeterminately Growing Bilaterian Invertebrates. *Stem Cell Rev and Rep* **8**, 305–317 (2012).
- 33. M. Jemaà, N. Morin, P. Cavelier, J. Cau, J.-M. Strub, C. Delsert, Adult somatic progenitor cells and hematopoiesis in oyster. *Journal of Experimental Biology*, jeb.106575 (2014).
- 34. S. P. Fahl, M. Wang, Y. Zhang, A.-C. E. Duc, D. L. Wiest, Regulatory Roles of Rpl22 in Hematopoiesis: An Old Dog with New Tricks. *Crit Rev Immunol* **35**, 379–400 (2015).
- 35. M. Rehn, A. Wenzel, A.-K. Frank, M. B. Schuster, S. Pundhir, N. Jørgensen, K. Vitting-Seerup, Y. Ge, J. Jendholm, M. Michaut, E. M. Schoof, T. L. Jensen, N. Rapin, R. T. Sapio, K. L. Andersen, A. H. Lund, M. Solimena, M. Holzenberger, D. G. Pestov, B. T. Porse, PTBP1 promotes hematopoietic stem cell maintenance and red blood cell development by ensuring sufficient availability of ribosomal constituents. *Cell Reports* 39, 110793 (2022).
- 36. E. I. Athanasiadis, J. G. Botthof, H. Andres, L. Ferreira, P. Lio, A. Cvejic, Single-cell RNA-sequencing uncovers transcriptional states and fate decisions in haematopoiesis. *Nat Commun* **8**, 2045 (2017).
- 37. J. Cao, M. Spielmann, X. Qiu, X. Huang, D. M. Ibrahim, A. J. Hill, F. Zhang, S. Mundlos, L. Christiansen, F. J. Steemers, C. Trapnell, J. Shendure, The single-cell transcriptional landscape of mammalian organogenesis. *Nature* **566**, 496–502 (2019).
- 38. D. Hultmark, I. Andó, Hematopoietic plasticity mapped in Drosophila and other insects. *eLife* **11**, e78906 (2022).
- 39. U. Banerjee, J. R. Girard, L. M. Goins, C. M. Spratford, Drosophila as a Genetic Model for Hematopoiesis. *Genetics* **211**, 367–417 (2019).
- 40. E. Boada-Romero, J. Martinez, B. L. Heckmann, D. R. Green, The clearance of dead cells by efferocytosis. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* **21**, 398–414 (2020).
- 41. D. C. Dale, L. Boxer, W. C. Liles, The phagocytes: neutrophils and monocytes. *Blood* **112**, 935–945 (2008).
- 42. A. S. Vanhove, T. P. Rubio, A. N. Nguyen, A. Lemire, D. Roche, J. Nicod, A. Vergnes, A. C. Poirier, E. Disconzi, E. Bachère, F. Le Roux, A. Jacq, G. M. Charrière, D. Destoumieux-Garzón, Copper homeostasis

- at the host vibrio interface: lessons from intracellular vibrio transcriptomics. *Environmental Microbiology* **18**, 875–888 (2016).
- 43. A. C. Poirier, P. Schmitt, R. D. Rosa, A. S. Vanhove, S. Kieffer-Jaquinod, T. P. Rubio, G. M. Charrière, D. Destoumieux-Garzón, Antimicrobial Histones and DNA Traps in Invertebrate Immunity. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **289**, 24821–24831 (2014).
- 44. G. Zaroogian, P. Yevich, Cytology and biochemistry of brown cells in *Crassostrea virginica* collected at clean and contaminated stations. *Environmental Pollution* **79**, 191–197 (1993).
- 45. B. Allam, D. Raftos, Immune responses to infectious diseases in bivalves. *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology* **131**, 121–136 (2015).
- 46. J. Nakhleh, L. El Moussawi, M. A. Osta, "Chapter Three The Melanization Response in Insect Immunity" in *Advances in Insect Physiology*, P. Ligoxygakis, Ed. (Academic Press, 2017)vol. 52 of *Insect Immunity*, pp. 83–109.
- 47. P. Amparyup, W. Charoensapsri, A. Tassanakajon, Prophenoloxidase system and its role in shrimp immune responses against major pathogens. *Fish & Shellfish Immunology* **34**, 990–1001 (2013).
- 48. S. Picot, N. Faury, C. Pelletier, I. Arzul, B. Chollet, L. Dégremont, T. Renault, B. Morga, Monitoring Autophagy at Cellular and Molecular Level in Crassostrea gigas During an Experimental Ostreid Herpesvirus 1 (OsHV-1) Infection. *Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol.* **12** (2022).
- 49. N. R. de la Ballina, A. Villalba, A. Cao, Differences in proteomic profile between two haemocyte types, granulocytes and hyalinocytes, of the flat oyster *Ostrea edulis*. *Fish & Shellfish Immunology* **100**, 456–466 (2020).
- 50. S. Aladaileh, S. V. Nair, D. Birch, D. A. Raftos, Sydney rock oyster (*Saccostrea glomerata*) hemocytes: Morphology and function. *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology* **96**, 48–63 (2007).
- 51. E. Rifkin, T. C. Cheng, H. R. Hohl, An electron-microscope study of the constituents of encapsulating cysts in the American oyster, *Crassostrea virginica*, formed in response to *Tylocephalum* metacestodes. *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology* **14**, 211–226 (1969).
- 52. N. De San Nicolas, A. Asokan, R. D. Rosa, S. N. Voisin, M.-A. Travers, G. Rocha, L. Dantan, Y. Dorant, G. Mitta, B. Petton, G. M. Charrière, J.-M. Escoubas, V. Boulo, J. Pouzadoux, H. Meudal, K. Loth, V. Aucagne, A. F. Delmas, P. Bulet, C. Montagnani, D. Destoumieux-Garzón, Functional Diversification of Oyster Big Defensins Generates Antimicrobial Specificity and Synergy against Members of the Microbiota. *Marine Drugs* 20, 745 (2022).
- 53. N. R. de la Ballina, A. Villalba, A. Cao, Shotgun analysis to identify differences in protein expression between granulocytes and hyalinocytes of the European flat oyster Ostrea edulis. *Fish Shellfish Immunol* **119**, 678–691 (2021).
- 54. E. A. Pila, J. T. Sullivan, X. Z. Wu, J. Fang, S. P. Rudko, M. A. Gordy, P. C. Hanington, Haematopoiesis in molluscs: A review of haemocyte development and function in gastropods, cephalopods and bivalves. *Developmental & Comparative Immunology* **58**, 119–128 (2016).
- 55. M. de Bruijn, E. Dzierzak, Runx transcription factors in the development and function of the definitive hematopoietic system. *Blood* **129**, 2061–2069 (2017).
- 56. E. W. Scott, M. C. Simon, J. Anastasi, H. Singh, Requirement of transcription factor PU.1 in the development of multiple hematopoietic lineages. *Science* **265**, 1573–1577 (1994).
- 57. I. Bergiers, T. Andrews, Ö. Vargel Bölükbaşı, A. Buness, E. Janosz, N. Lopez-Anguita, K. Ganter, K. Kosim, C. Celen, G. Itir Perçin, P. Collier, B. Baying, V. Benes, M. Hemberg, C. Lancrin, Single-cell transcriptomics reveals a new dynamical function of transcription factors during embryonic hematopoiesis. *eLife* 7, e29312 (2018).
- 58. S. F. Altschul, W. Gish, W. Miller, E. W. Myers, D. J. Lipman, Basic local alignment search tool. *Journal of Molecular Biology* **215**, 403–410 (1990).

- P. Jones, D. Binns, H.-Y. Chang, M. Fraser, W. Li, C. McAnulla, H. McWilliam, J. Maslen, A. Mitchell, G. Nuka, S. Pesseat, A. F. Quinn, A. Sangrador-Vegas, M. Scheremetjew, S.-Y. Yong, R. Lopez, S. Hunter, InterProScan 5: genome-scale protein function classification. *Bioinformatics* 30, 1236–1240 (2014).
- 60. C. P. Cantalapiedra, A. Hernández-Plaza, I. Letunic, P. Bork, J. Huerta-Cepas, eggNOG-mapper v2: Functional Annotation, Orthology Assignments, and Domain Prediction at the Metagenomic Scale. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **38**, 5825–5829 (2021).
- 61. S. Götz, J. M. García-Gómez, J. Terol, T. D. Williams, S. H. Nagaraj, M. J. Nueda, M. Robles, M. Talón, J. Dopazo, A. Conesa, High-throughput functional annotation and data mining with the Blast2GO suite. *Nucleic Acids Research* **36**, 3420–3435 (2008).
- Y. Hao, S. Hao, E. Andersen-Nissen, W. M. Mauck, S. Zheng, A. Butler, M. J. Lee, A. J. Wilk, C. Darby, M. Zager, P. Hoffman, M. Stoeckius, E. Papalexi, E. P. Mimitou, J. Jain, A. Srivastava, T. Stuart, L. M. Fleming, B. Yeung, A. J. Rogers, J. M. McElrath, C. A. Blish, R. Gottardo, P. Smibert, R. Satija, Integrated analysis of multimodal single-cell data. *Cell* 184, 3573-3587.e29 (2021).

Acknowledgments

909 910 911

912

915

920

- We are grateful to the staff of the Ifremer platform of "La Tremblade" for technical support in
- animal housing. scRNA-seq data generated and used in this work were produced through the
 - MGX platform (University of Montpellier, CNRS, INSERM). We thank the bioinformatic
- 916 service of Ifremer (SEBIMER) for their help in bioinformatics and the qPCR platform GenSeq
- 917 (University of Montpellier). We would like to thank Viviane Boulo and Danielle Mello for
- their enriching discussions, as well as all the members of the 2MAP laboratory team for the
- 919 fruitful discussions throughout this project.
- 921 Ethics
- 922 This work did not require ethical approval from a human subject or animal welfare committee.
- 924 **Funding**
- This work was funded by the Agence Nationale de la Recherche (MOSAR-DEF, ANR-19-
- 926 CE18-0025; DECICOMP, ANR-19-CE20-0004 and TRANSCAN ANR-18-CE35-0009), the
- 927 University of Montpellier, iSite MUSE and the "GT-Huître" initiative from Ifremer. This
- 928 study falls within the framework of the "Laboratoires d'Excellence (LABEX)" Tulip (ANR-
- 929 10-LABX-41). Sébastien De La Forest Divonne was awarded a PhD grant from the Region

930 Occitanie (HemoFight project) and the University of Perpignan Via Domitia graduate school ED305. 931 932 933 **Author contributions** Conceptualization: G.M., D.D.-G., B.G., G.M.C. and E.V. 934 Methodology: S.d.L.F.D., J.P., G.M., D.D.-G., B.G., G.M.C. and E.V. 935 Investigation: S.d.L.F.D., J.P., G.M.C. and E.V. 936 Supervision: G.M.C. and E.V. 937 938 Writing (original draft): S.d.L.F.D. and E.V. Writing (review and editing): all authors. 939 940 941 **Competing interests** 942 The authors declare that they have no competing interests. 943 944 **Declaration of AI use** We have not used AI-assisted technologies in creating this article. 945 946 Data and materials availability 947 All data needed to evaluate the conclusions in the paper are present in the paper and/or the 948 Supplementary Materials. Raw reads are available at ENA under the project accession number 949

PRJEB74031.

Supplementary Materials for

Diversity and functional specialization of oyster immune cells uncovered by integrative single cell level investigations

Sébastien de la Forest Divonne et al.

 $*Corresponding\ author.\ Email:emmanuel.vignal@umontpellier.fr$

This PDF file includes:

Data S1 to S3 Tables S1 to S5 Figs. S1 to S12 **Data S1. Single-cell RNA-seq analysis result file.** CSV file containing the scRNA-seq analysis results with cluster number (<cluster>), gene number (<gene>), chromosome reference where the gene is located (<chromosome>), average expression level (<avg_log2FC>), percentage in the cluster (<pct1>) and in other clusters (<pct2>), pct1 / pct2 ratio (<pct. ratio>), adjusted p-val and p-val values (<p-val> and <p_val_adj>), and gene description (<description>).

Data S2. Annotation file of CDS extracted from the *Crassostrea gigas* genome file. CSV file containing the annotation results collected and processed using Blast2GO for annotation mapping and validation.

Data S3. Compilation file for RBGOA results. CSV file containing concatenated results of all RBGOA tests used to draw gene ontology analysis heatmaps with cluster number (<cluster_number>), GO term universe (<goterm_universe>), number of good gene candidates (<number_of_good_candidates>), total number of genes in this GOTerm category (<total_number_of_genes_of_this_category>), GO term name (<goterm_name>), adjusted p-value (<pval-adj>) and GO term name variation (<variation>).

Number of Reads	127,959,215			
Reads With Valid Barcodes	97.03 %			
Sequencing Saturation	75.63 %			
Q30 Bases in CB+UMI	96.14 %			
Q30 Bases in RNA read	93.62 %			
Reads Mapped to Genome: Unique+Multiple	89.22 %			
Reads Mapped to Genome: Unique	82.01 %			
Reads Mapped to Gene: Unique+Multiple Gene	72.26 %			
Reads Mapped to Gene: Unique Gene	72.26 %			
Estimated Number of Cells	2937			
Unique Reads in Cells Mapped to Gene	67,950,796			
Fraction of Unique Reads in Cells	73.48 %			
Mean Reads per Cell	23,136			
Median Reads per Cell	18,145			
UMIs in Cells	16,306,374			
Mean UMI per Cell	5552			
Median UMI per Cell	4412			
Mean Gene per Cell	1578			
Median Gene per Cell	1434			
Total Gene Detected	23,841			

Table S1. STARsolo summary metrics report. Metrics dashboard obtained after the STARsolo step, describing the quality of the sequencing and the various characteristics of the cells detected after aligning the reads to the *C. gigas* genome from the Roslin Institute.

1	Cluster	KEGG Prefix	KEGG Number	KEGG Pathway	Fold Enrichment	P-value (<0.05)	Genes
1	1						
1	1	crg	00030	Pentose phosphate pathway	10.19	4.25E-05	G30122, G34645, G20982, G31268, G25073
1	1	crg	00190	Oxidative phosphorylation	4.45	3.29E-04	G20983, G16716, COX2, COX1, ND1
1	1	crg	01200	Carbon metabolism	3.54	1.77E-03	G30122, G18442, G34645, G20982, G31268
1				Glycolysis / Gluconeogenesis			G34645, G20982, G11958, G23780, G7839
1		_		, , , , , ,			G18442, G22426, G22427, G23780
2 og 00010 Ribosome 11.87 5.465.27 SHE, COSTT, CASHA, GASTELOZORIS GRIPOL, CASSAS, CITAG, CHIND CASSAS, CASSAS		_		-			G22034, G32778
2	- '	cig	00200	VIIIOTI - FIGIPOSVIIUS	0.14	4.55L-02	G5571, G25192, G5077, G3494, G33210,G26985, G26901, G23358, G1703, G1900
2	2	crg	03010	Ribosome	11.87	5.45E-27	G4635, G3304, G25620, G5649, G3526,G740, G24712, G26976, G25626, G15236 G34618, G34615, G18702
3 crg 0100 Methodische Protechopische 20 August 1	2	crg	04814	Motor proteins	3.64	3.62E-04	G15286, G1299, G153, G22991, G1471
3	2	crg	00980	Metabolism of xenobiotics by cytochrome P450	4.61	2.17E-02	
3	3	crg	00190	Oxidative phosphorylation	8.03	1.47E-12	G31970, G3073, G5034, G3298, G5653,G27276, G25266, G650, G331, G23645 G1779, G16296, G23701, G13193, G27816,G10181, G15131, G21371, G2133, G34736
3	3	crg	01200	Carbon metabolism	5.42	4.82E-08	G26194, G5034, G4037, G3500, G20764,G16296, G23701, G14096, G22912, G15171
3	3	crg	00010	Glycolysis / Gluconeogenesis	7.41	5.01E-06	G26194, G14096, G22912, G21794, G19054
3	3	crg	01230	Biosynthesis of amino acids	5.77	1.41E-05	G26194, G14096, G22912, G21794, G4037,G25300, G34649, G7042, G25304, G9692
3 crg 00020 Cirate cyde (TCA cycle) 8.23 1.48E-04 16006869.23771.037878 (2017)	3	crq	04144	Endocytosis	3.2	3.22E-05	G10612, G34830, G4232, G31680, G28015,G28554, G2650, G35288, G23530, G22892
3 crg 01100 Metabolic pathways 1.27 1.48E-02 (3303, 64037, 63034, 62037, 62036, 62037, 62036, 62037, 62036, 62037, 62036, 62037, 62036, 62037, 62036, 62037, 62036, 62037, 62036,		_					G16296, G23701, G5034, G15171, G27816
3 crg 01100 Melabolic pathways 1.27		cig	00020	Olean Cycle (TOA Cycle)	0.25	1.402-04	G13605, G5034, G3012, G3298, G650,G331, G22961, G5758, G14096, G27816
3 crg 00430 Taurine and hypotaurine metabolism 5.05 4 23E-02 224822 (34394, G25763 (9342 (34394, G25763 (9349 (34321) (33395, G3115, G3555, G1164 (9349 (9349 (34321) (33395, G3116, G2769 (9349 (34321) (33395, G31413, G3395, G31413, G28984, G4635 (9349 (4432 (3449 (34321) (43394 (4439 (4432 (4449 (4439 (44	3	crg	01100	Metabolic pathways	1.27	1.48E-02	[613193, G21533, G15171, G19054, G15131,G1375, G21371, G12861, G9692, G3073 [G8883, G31970, G30520, G25177, G27276,G5653, G16296, G2133, G16455, G34649 [G7042, G9342, G26194, G23482, G6556,G23164, G5106, G25300, G25266, G25304 [G23645, G25904, G22912, G21794, G15078,G10181, G22919, G16488, G34736, G12763]
3	3	crg	00250	Alanine, aspartate and glutamate metabolism	4.26	2.79E-02	
4	3	crg	00430	Taurine and hypotaurine metabolism	5.05	4.23E-02	G23482, G34394, G25763
Georgia	4	crg	03010	Ribosome	11.18	2.38E-62	G32202, G25192, G4582, G5077, G3494,G33210, G33225, G5115, G5555, G11948 G31071, G23694, G4502, G732, G1703, G1900, G21588, G18212, G1643, G28190 G12279, G13687, G26274, G10525, G7023,G27586, G3387, G11817, G26894, G4635 G3304, G2853, G24036, G5649, G24712,G26976, G1172, G12546, G27592, G11965 G5671, G4085, G5375, G5652, G23471,G32582, C26985, G26901, G23358, G18432 G16773, G21360, G10473, G2172, G35349,G5185, G26391, G4452, G4972, G3885 G25420, G25620, G3526, G740, G2636, G25626, G162242, G15236, G34618, G31550
4 crg 03040 Spliceosome 4.6 [63068] 623272 G3035, G27237, G4644, G67, G3357, C28266, G21225, G22448 G3167, G2366, G20122, G31757, G12256, G12448, G599, G2099, G16144, G1767, G12566, G16144, G17626, G19821, G5284 G177, G2366, G3388, G35242, G23100, G2866, G5803, G21555, G22119, G18742 G1767, G12566, G14016, G10466 4 crg 03015 mRNA surveillance pathway 3.18 1.10E-03 G1897, G3707, G3298, G28024, G34551, G11926, G27610, G650, G2799, G17080 G1779, G1500, ND3, G31998 4 crg 03013 Nucleocytoplasmic transport 2.44 9.12E-03 G18921, G15119 5 crg 04141 Protein processing in endoplasmic reticulum 2.06 128E-02 G18921, G2707, G3208, G2808,	4	crg	03050	Proteasome	9.09	3.16E-14	G11447, G11879, G9178, G28086, G6441,G27078, G6503, G27105, G4954, G21626 G1667, G22517, G11085, G13469, G32646,G1140, G29381, G12565, G30766, G34613
4 crg 00190 Oxidative phosphorylation 3.14 3.88E-04 G31970, G3097, G3298, G26024, G34551,G11926, G27610, G650, G2799, G17080 4 crg 03015 mRNA surveillance pathway 3.18 1.10E-03 G21555, G1788, G21245, G23072, G3035,G1892, G27237, G22452, G12561, G11265 G188, G1921, G17519 4 crg 03013 Nucleocytoplasmic transport 2.44 9.12E-03 G21555, G1788, G23072, G4818, G3035,G2485, G31807, G27237, G12561, G11265 G1922, G27208 4 crg 04141 Protein processing in endoplasmic reticulum 2.06 1.28E-02 G11932, G29430, G10911, G22082, G26491, G29962, G2451, G2694, G6732, G4985 G20713, G204, G20332, G2690 G10994 5 crg 03010 Ribosome 7.41 1.88E-12 G1932, G29430, G10911, G22082, G26491, G29962, G2451, G2694, G6732, G4985 G20713, G204, G2853, G18212, G18432, G16773, G11948, G269985, G3885, G2884 5 crg 00190 Oxidative phosphorylation 4.59 6.31E-04 G340, CDX2, CDX1, ND1, CYTB 5 crg 04137 Mitophagy - animal 4.65 8.54E-03 G3487, G25746, G22415, G3710, G22596 G11817, G26894, G4533, G2409, G4582, G24910, G12279, G13687, G10525, G7023, G27586, G27592, G27582, G27592, G27586, G27582, G27592, G275	4	crg	03040	Spliceosome	4.6	1.62E-13	G30069, G23072, G3035, G27237, G4644, G67, G3357, G28826, G21225, G22448 G21463, G20152, G31757, G12256, G16599,G2099, G16114, G11265, G19821, G5284 G4177, G23063, G3388, G35242, G23100,G2886, G5503, G21555, G22119, G18742
4 crg 03015 mRNA surveillance pathway 3.18 1.10E-03 G21555, G1788, G21245, G23072, G3035,G1892, G27237, G22452, G12561, G11265 G18821, G151119 4 crg 03013 Nucleocytoplasmic transport 2.44 9.12E-03 G1855, G1788, G22072, G4818, G3035,G2485, G31807, G27237, G12561, G11265 G18821, G27008 4 crg 04141 Protein processing in endoplasmic reticulum 2.06 1.28E-02 G21555, G1788, G22072, G4818, G3035,G2485, G31807, G27237, G12561, G11265 G19821, G27084 5 crg 03010 Ribosome 7.41 1.68E-12 G3044, G20332, G2609, G10994 G27586, G11948, G26985, G3885, G28694 G2712, G12279 5 crg 00190 Oxidative phosphorylation 4.59 6.31E-04 G3304, G2853, G18212, G18432, G16773, G1543, G16242, G21360, G13687, G2172 G12279 6 crg 04137 Mitophagy - animal 4.65 8.54E-03 G30487, G25794, G29415, G3710, G22696 G12500 7 crg 03010 Ribosome 16.48 2.26E-55 G18187, G26894, G4835, G2491, G21279, G13687, G10525, G7023, G27586, G3387 G2150, G3187,	4	crg	00190	Oxidative phosphorylation	3.14	3.88E-04	G31970, G3077, G3298, G26024, G34551,G11926, G27610, G650, G2799, G17080
4 crg 03013 Nucleocytoplasmic transport 2.44 9.12E-03 G21555, G1768, G23072, G4818, G3035,G2485, G31807, G27237, G12561, G11265 4 crg 04141 Protein processing in endoplasmic reticulum 2.06 1.28E-02 G11932, G29430, G10911, G22082, G26491, G29962, G2451, G2694, G6732, G4985 5 crg 03010 Ribosome 7.41 1.68E-12 G3304, G2853, G18212, G18432, G16773, G1543, G16242, G21360, G13687, G2172 5 crg 00190 Oxidative phosphorylation 4.59 6.31E-04 G3430, COX2, COX1, ND1, CYTB 5 crg 04137 Mitophagy - animal 4.65 8.54E-03 G3487, G25784, G29415, G3700, G22696 6 crg 03010 Ribosome 16.48 2.26E-55 G3575, G4885, G3894, G5115, G5555, G11948, G4502, G732, G1703 6 crg 03010 Ribosome 16.48 2.26E-55 G3571, G4882, G3494, G5115, G5555, G11948, G4502, G732, G1703 7 crg 04144 Endocytosis 4.04 4.91E-09 G25752, G4582, G3891, G23853, G2891, G23854, G24712, G26876, G27582 7 crg 04144 Endocyto	4	crg	03015	mRNA surveillance pathway	3.18	1.10E-03	G21555, G1768, G21245, G23072, G3035, G1892, G27237, G22452, G12561, G11265
4 crg 04141 Protein processing in endoplasmic reticulum 2.06 1.28E-02 G11932, G29430, G10911, G22082, G26491, G29962, G2451, G2694, G6732, G4985 5 crg 03010 Ribosome 7.41 1.68E-12 G3758, G3202, G5185, G5375, G33210, G27586, G11948, G26985, G3885, G26894 5 crg 00190 Oxidative phosphorylation 4.59 6.31E-04 G3304, G2833, G18212, G18432, G16773, G1543, G16242, G21300, G13687, G2172 5 crg 04137 Mitophagy - animal 4.65 8.54E-03 G30487, G25784, G29415, G3701, G22696 G12500 6 crg 03010 Ribosome 16.48 2.26E-55 G318143, G28190, G12279, G13687, G11948, G4502, G732, G7703, G27586, G3789 6 crg 03010 Ribosome 16.48 2.26E-55 G5671, G4085, G5652, G4984, G28941, G3115, G5555, G11948, G4502, G732, G7788, G27592 7 crg 04144 Endocytosis 4.04 4.91E-09 G25818, G34618, G18702 G26985, G28901, G2896, G26901, G27582, G27586, G27524 7 crg 04137 Mitophagy - animal 4.99 3.50E-04 G18612, G27998, G27534, G12733, G16871, G2151, G3185, G211	4	crg	03013	Nucleocytoplasmic transport	2.44	9.12E-03	G21555, G1768, G23072, G4818, G3035,G2485, G31807, G27237, G12561, G11265
5 crg 03010 Ribosome 7.41 1.68E-12 G2759, G32202, G5188, G5375, G33210,G27586, G11948, G26985, G3885, G26894 G3304, G2853, G18212, G18432, G16773,G1543, G16242, G21360, G13687, G2172 G12279 5 crg 00190 Oxidative phosphorylation 4.59 6.31E-04 G3304, G2853, G18212, G18432, G16773,G1543, G16242, G21360, G13687, G2172 G12279 5 crg 04137 Mitophagy - animal 4.65 8.54E-03 G30487, G25784, G29415, G3710, G22696 G12500 G1	4	crg	04141	Protein processing in endoplasmic reticulum	2.06	1.28E-02	G11932, G29430, G10911, G22082, G26491,G29962, G2451, G2694, G6732, G4985
5 crg 00190 Oxidative phosphorylation 4.59 6.31E-04 G3490, COX2, COX1, ND1, CYTB ND3, ND2, ND5, ND4 5 crg 04137 Mitophagy - animal 4.65 8.54E-03 G30487, G25784, G29415, G3710, G22696 G12500 6 crg 03010 Ribosome 16.48 2.26E-55 611817, G26884, 46435, G33404, G385, G34048, G5649, G24712, G26976, G7022, G77982, G7023, G7798, G7023, G77982, G7023, G77982, G7023, G77983, G7023, G77983, G7023, G7798, G7023, G7798, G7023, G77983, G7023, G7798, G7023, G7798, G7023, G7798, G7023, G7798, G7023, G7798, G7023, G7798, G7023, G	5		03010		7.41	1.68E-12	G27592, G32202, G5185, G5375, G33210,G27586, G11948, G26985, G3885, G26894 G3304, G2853, G18212, G18432, G16773,G1543, G16242, G21360, G13687, G2172
5 crg 04137 Mitophagy - animal 4.65 8.54E-03 G30487, G25794, G29415, G3710, G22696 G3710, G22696 6 crg 03010 Ribosome 16.48 2.26E-55 G12500 G4882, G3494, G5115, G5555, G11948, G4502, G722, G1703 G21588, G18212, G1543, G28190, G12279, G13687, G10525, G7023, G27586, G3387 7 crg 03010 Ribosome 16.48 2.26E-55 G1817, G26894, G4635, G3304, G2885, G24096, G5640, G24712, G26876, G27592 3577, 40408, G5652, G29985, G26991, G23358, G18432, G16773, G21896, G10473 G2172, G5188, G28591, G3885, G28402, G25262, G16273, G21896, G10473 6 crg 04144 Endocytosis 4.04 4.91E-09 G2450, G31680, G4232, G28015, G2650, G35288, G23424, G12273, G16951, G2151, G3185, G6211, G13317 7 crg 04137 Mitophagy - animal 4.99 3.50E-04 G18587, G25794, G11088, G2334, G30759 7 crg 04145 Phagosome 2.82 1.88E-03 G3185, G22181, G3430, G28015, G24310, G17795, G14056, G2026, G1780, G30759 7 crg 04140 Autophagy - animal 2.97 3.47E-03 G20346, G3180, G1208, G3234, G3110, G17795, G14056, G2024, G1780, G30759	5	crg	00190	Oxidative phosphorylation	4.59	6.31E-04	G3430, COX2, COX1, ND1, CYTB
6 crg 03010 Ribosome 16.48 2.26E-55 G18242 G3434, G2819, G12279, G13878, G16152, G7152, G1758, G27586, G3387 G21588, G18212, G1543, G28190, G12279, G13878, G16152, G7052, G27586, G3387 G18187, G28904, G2863, G24036, G58649, G24712, G28976, G27592 G3571, G4085, G5652, G26985, G2	5	crg	04137	Mitophagy - animal	4.65	8.54E-03	G30487, G25784, G29415, G3710, G22696
7 crg 04144 Endocytosis 4.04 4.91E-09 G2450, G31680, G4232, G28015, G2650, G35288, G23342, G20567, G17254, G18762 G19412, G21991, G1774, G1993, G30759 7 crg 04137 Mitophagy - animal 4.99 3.50E-04 G18587, G25784, G11088, G2334, G30759 G3710, G27737, G30997, G12500 7 crg 04145 Phagosome 2.82 1.88E-03 G3185, G22181, G3430, G28015, G24310,G17795, G14056, G2026, G1780, G30759 G32778, G32842, G90 7 crg 04140 Autophagy - animal 2.97 3.47E-03 G20344, G5397, G25608, G25784, G11385,G2024, G11088, G30759, G10907, G21965 G21500 7 crg 04310 Wnt signaling pathway 2.9 6.82E-03 G24405, G23180, G11208, G35235, G16231 G2024, G114736, G2797 7 crg 04142 Lycscome 1.22 4.51E-02 G11645, G5397, G22181, G3430, G2026,G27524, G18705, G26324, G16202, G2976	6	crg	03010	Ribosome	16.48	2.26E-55	G32202, G25192, G4582, G3494, G5115,G5555, G11948, G4502, G732, G1703 G21588, G18212, G1543, G28190, G12279,G1887, G10525, G7023, G27586, G3387 G1817, G26894, G4635, G3304, G2853,G24036, G5649, G24712, G26976, G27592 G5571, G4085, G5652, G26985, G26901,G23358, G18432, G16773, G21360, G10473 G2172, G5185, G26391, G3885, G25420,G25620, G740, G2636, G25626, G16242 G15236, G34618, G34615, G18702
7 crg 04145 Phagosome 2.82 1.88E-03 G3710, G27737, G30997, G12500 7 crg 04140 Autophagy - animal 2.97 3.47E-03 G20344, G5397, G25608, G25744, G11385, G2024, G11088, G30759, G12500 7 crg 04140 Writ signaling pathway 2.9 6.82E-03 G24405, G23180, G3128, G35235, G16231 G2024, G14136, G2397, G25608, G25764, G11088, G30759, G1907, G21965 G12500 7 crg 04310 Writ signaling pathway 2.9 6.82E-03 G24405, G23180, G31280, G31280	7	crg	04144	Endocytosis	4.04	4.91E-09	G10612, G23299, G27538, G22524, G12273,G16951, G2151, G3185, G6211, G13317 G2450, G31680, G4232, G28015, G2650,G35288, G23342, G20567, G17254, G18762 G19412, G21991, G1774, G1993, G30759
7 crg 04143 Phagosorie 2.92 1.86E-03 G32778, G32842, G90 7 crg 04140 Autophagy - animal 2.97 3.47E-03 G20344, G52508, G25784, G11385,G2024, G11088, G30759, G10907, G21965 G12500 7 crg 04310 Wnt signaling pathway 2.9 6.82E-03 G24405, G23180, G11208, G35235, G16231 G2024, G11436, G2334, G1780, G2797 7 crg 04142 Lycscome 1.92 4.51E-02 G11645, G5397, G22181, G3430, G2026,G27524, G18705, G26324, G16202, G2976	7	crg	04137	Mitophagy - animal	4.99	3.50E-04	G3710, G27737, G30997, G12500
7 crg 04140 Autophagy - animal 2.97 3.47E-03 G12500 7 crg 04310 Wnt signaling pathway 2.9 6.82E-03 G24405, G23180, G11208, G35235, G16231 G2024, G14136, G2334, G1780, G2797 7 crg 04142 Lysseyme 1.02 4.54E-02 G11645, G5397, G22181, G3430, G2026,G27524, G18705, G26324, G16202, G2976	7	crg	04145	Phagosome	2.82	1.88E-03	G32778, G32842, G90
7 Crg 04142 Lyssephe 1 92 4 515-02 G1045, G2334, G1780, G2797	7	crg	04140	Autophagy - animal	2.97	3.47E-03	G12500
7 cm 0442 Lyecome 1.92 4.515-02 G11645, G5397, G22181, G3430, G2026,G27524, G18705, G26324, G16202, G2976	7	crg	04310	Wnt signaling pathway	2.9	6.82E-03	G2024, G14136, G2334, G1780, G2797
	7	crg	04142	Lysosome	1.92	4.51E-02	G11645, G5397, G22181, G3430, G2026,G27524, G18705, G26324, G16202, G2976

Table S2. Table presenting the result of KEGG analysis performed using DAVID Bioinformatics Resources. GO term enrichment analysis was conducted on specifically overexpressed genes in each cluster obtained after scRNA-seq processing (genes with Log2FC > 0.25 and significant p-value < 0.001) to highlight the most relevant GO terms associated with a given gene list. The visualization of the different pathways can be obtained from the KEGG website using the KEGG prefix and KEGG number (https://www.genome.jp/kegg/pathway.html)

Target	Primer name	Sequence
1 24	LACC24-F	CCT-TGA-TTC-TTG-CCA-TCC-G
Laccase 24	LACC24-R	AAA-GCT-TGC-GAT-CTT-TGG-CAA
C-type lectin domain-	CLEC-F	ATC-GGC-TTC-TAC-ATG-GAC-TGA-C
containing protein	CLEC-R	GTG-TCT-AAA-GCT-GCG-CCG-AT
Putative modulator of	LEVAR-F	GTG-ACA-GAC-TTC-CCT-CAC-CCT
levamisole receptor-1	LEVAR-R	GCA-CTG-AGT-CGA-GTC-GTA-TGT
EGF-like domain-	EGFL-F	GAG-TGT-TTG-ACA-GGA-CGA-AGC
containing protein 8	EGFL-R	CAT-CAT-CGT-TTC-CAA-CTG-AGG-C
X-Box binding protein-	XBOX-F	GGG-TCA-ACA-GTG-CTA-GGC-AAT
like domain	XBOX-R	GTA-AGC-CAC-CAT-CCC-TAC-CAC
Transglutaminase-like	TGC-F	CTA-CAA-GCT-GGA-CAC-CAC-CAA
domain containing protein	TGC-R	GCA-TTG-ACC-AGT-GAC-ACA-GTC
MD-2-related lipid-	MLDP-F	CTT-GGA-CCT-CGT-TAT-CTT-CGC
recognition domain containing protein	MLDP-R	CTC-CCT-CTG-GTC-CAC-AAA-CAA
High mobility group	HMGB1	GCC-CAC-GCT-GAA-CTA-TAC-AAG
protein B1	HMGB1	CAC-CTT-GTA-GTC-CCT-GAG-TGG
O a la a l'a	GAL-F	CCA-CAG-TAT-CAA-CGA-CCC-TCC
Galectin	GAL-R	TCA-CTA-CCG-TCA-TAG-GGA-CCG
Cubilin	CUBN-F	TAA-GTT-CAC-TCT-GGC-CCA-AGG
Cubilin	CUBN-R	GCT-CAT-GAT-CGT-AGT-GGT-GCT
Natterin-1	NAT1-F	CCG-TAC-GAT-GGT-GAG-GAG-AAA
ivallerin- i	NAT1-R	CCG-TCC-CAC-ATA-CTT-GTC-GTT
Caveolin	CAV-F	TCC-AAA-TGA-CCA-TGA-CCC-AGA
Caveoliii	CAV-R	ACT-CTA-TTC-TTG-GTC-GCC-TGG
G Protein receptor F1-	GPROT-F	CGA-ACG-CCT-GCT-TCT-GAT-ATG
2 domain-containing protein	GPROT-R	TCC-ACA-TCG-AAT-GCT-CTG-TCT
DBH-like	MOX-F	CCT-CCG-CAG-CAA-GAA-GAA-GTA
monooxygenase protein 1	MOX-R	TTC-TGT-TTC-GTC-CTC-TCC-ACG
Bib l t - i - 00	RPS6-F	CAG-AAG-TGC-CAG-CTG-ACA-GTC
Ribosomal protein S6	RPS6-R	AGA-AGC-AAT-CTC-ACA-CGG-AC
Dia Dafaraia 482	BigDef1-2-F	TTC-GCC-TGC-TTC-CAT-ACT-GG
Big Defensin 1&2	BigDef1-2-R	GTC-ATG-GTC-ACT-CCT-TAT-TC
Llamanuta deferencia	HemDef-F	CTA-CCA-GTT-GTT-CAT-ACA-GAG
Hemocyte defensin	HemDef-R	TCT-TGG-TCA-GAT-TCA-GTC-TGG
Bactericidal	BPI	GGA-GGC-GGA-AAT-GGA-TTA-CT
Permeability Increasing Protein	BPI	TGG-TTG-ACA-TCG-TTG-CTG-AC


Table S3. Sequences of primers used in this study. Name of the transcript targeted by the primer pair, name of the primer used in this study and nucleotide sequence of each primer.

Fraction	Cells	Average	Std.Dev	Std.Erro	r Min	Max	Fraction	Cells	Average	Std.Dev.	Std.Error	Min	М
1	Н	46.43	9.47	4.73	37.50	59.63	5	Н	4.29	4.41	2.21	0.76	10
1	ML	20.26	9.09	4.55	7.34	28.38	5	ML	19.67	12.04	6.02	3.03	30
1	BBL	14.47	2.29	1.15	12.16	16.50	5	BBL	3.56	1.91	0.95	1.49	6.
1	ABL	15.50	4.94	2.47	8.11	18.35	5	ABL	3.35	2.12	1.06	0.75	5.
1	G	0.35	0.44	0.22	0.00	0.92	5	G	40.92	7.31	3.66	33.82	49
1	BGC	0.38	0.75	0.38	0.00	1.50	5	BGC	4.50	2.98	1.49	1.47	8.
1	VC	2.62	1.97	0.98	0.92	5.41	5	VC	23.71	4.48	2.24	17.91	28
raction	Cells	Average	Std.Dev.	Std.Error	Min	Max	Fraction	Cells	Average	Std.Dev.	Std.Error	Min	М
2	Н	20.17	5.26	2.63	14.10		6	Н	3.57	3.82	1.91	0.65	9.
2	ML	27.64	4.48	2.24	22.79	33.33	6	ML	13.95	4.91	2.45	7.10	18
2	BBL	27.21	9.94	4.97	16.22	39.71	6	BBL	1.19	1.38	0.69	0.00	2.
2	ABL	20.17	2.36	1.18	16.91	22.52	6	ABL	1.54	0.65	0.33	0.65	2.
2	G	1.14	0.79	0.39	0.00	1.80	6	G	68.00	10.11	5.06	58.70	78
2	BGC	1.03	1.26	0.63	0.00	2.56	6	BGC	1.69	1.99	1.00	0.00	3.
2	VC	2.64	1.56	0.78	0.74	4.50	6	VC	10.06	5.30	2.65	3.08	15
raction	Cells	Average	Std.Dev.	Std.Error	Min	Max	Fraction	Cells	Average	Std.Dev.	Std.Error	Min	M
3	Н	12.49	3.78	1.89	10.08	18.04	7	Н	2.43	3.04	1.52	0.00	6.
3	ML	28.26	8.90	4.45	17.12	38.66	7	ML	8.50	6.35	3.18	1.93	17
3	BBL	21.18	3.11	1.55	16.89	24.32	7	BBL	1.67	1.51	0.75	0.00	3.
3	ABL	17.71	5.78	2.89	11.86	25.21	7	ABL	0.36	0.72	0.36	0.00	1.
3	G	7.96	5.30	2.65	3.09	14.41	7	G	81.18	8.99	4.49	68.18	88
3	BGC	4.71	4.16	2.08	0.00	10.14	7	BGC	2.68	1.50	0.75	0.90	4.
3	VC	7.68	2.87	1.43	4.20	10.81	7	VC	3.18	2.92	1.46	0.00	6.
raction	Cells	Average	Std.Dev.	Std.Error	Min	Max							
4	Н	7.82	5.97	2.99		14.29							
4	ML	32.69	13.33	6.66		50.82							
4	BBL	9.64	3.40	1.70		12.30							
4	ABL	5.37	0.97	0.48	4.42	6.67							
4	G	18.29	10.39	5.19	5.74	30.94							
4	BGC	3.90	1.51	0.76	2.20	5.71							

Table S4. Hemocyte composition of the 7 Percoll fractions used for qPCR analysis. For each cell type in each fraction, the table presents the average percentage, standard deviation, standard error, minimum, maximum, and median count values.

			Blast a	Homo sapiens Homologs						
Gene Number	Annotation Name	TF Name	Best Hit Blast Name	Specie Accession number		Bit Score	Percentage Identity	Coverage	Name	Uniprot Number
G29966	Krueppel-like factor 15	CgKLF6	Krueppel-like factor 6	C.gigas	XP_011428991	659.448	100	100	HsKLF8	O95600
G30997	BHLH domain-containing protein	CgTFEB	Transcription factor EC isoform X1	C.gigas	XP 011447558	1089.72	100	100	HsTFEB	P19484
G17147	BZIP domain-containing protein	CgCR3L1	cAMP-responsive element-binding protein 3-like protein 1 isoform 1	H.sapiens	NP_443086	1060.06	100	100	HsCR3L2	Q70SY1
G3043	Transcriptional regulator	CgMYC	Transcriptional regulator Myc-A-like	C.angulata	XP 052688275	548.128	100	100	HsMYC	P01106
G31054	GATA-binding factor 3	CgGATA3	Transcription factor GATA-3 isoform X1	C.gigas	XP 011412780	966.066	100	100	HsGATA2	P23769
G11196	BZIP domain-containing protein	CaFOS	Proto-oncogene c-Fos	C.gigas	XP 011446784	664.84	100	100	HsATF3	P18847
G10637	BZIP domain-containing protein	CaFOS2	Fos-related antigen 2	C.gigas	XP 011439871	271.937	100	100	HsATF4	P18848
G2123	SPRY domain-containing SOCS box protein 3	CqSOCS3	SPRY domain-containing SOCS box protein 3	C.gigas	XP 011413771	488.804	100		HsSPSB4	Q96A44
G1708	SoxE	CqSOX8	Transcription factor Sox-8-like	C.gigas	NP 001295801	947.192	99.8	100	HsSOX9	P48436
G3506	BZIP domain-containing protein	CgATF5	cAMP-dependent transcription factor ATF-4	C.gigas	XP_011439092	566.614	85.9	100	HsATF5	Q9Y2D1
G11198	BZIP domain-containing protein	CaFOS3	Fos-related antigen 1	C.gigas	XP 034323853	456.062	100	99.62	none	none
G10636	BZIP domain-containing protein	CqATF1	Basic leucine zipper transcriptional factor ATF-like isoform X1	C.gigas	XP 011439867	270.011	100	99.41	none	none
G13555	BZIP domain-containing protein	CqJUNB	Transcription factor jun-B isoform X1	C.gigas	XP 011440881	224.557	100	99.33	none	none
G21091	X-box binding protein like protein	CgXBOX	X-box binding protein-like protein	C.ariakensis	AEF33390	375.491	80.5	99.12	none	none
G12164	Estrogen receptor	CgRXRB	Estrogen receptor beta	C.gigas	XP 011424805	1395.56	100	98.79	HsRXRA	P19793
G748	Interferon regulatory factor	CgIRF1	Interferon regulatory factor 1 isoform X1	C.gigas	XP_011449592	802.742	100	95.51	HsIRF1	P10914
G5204	ETS-related transcription factor Elf-3	CgELF3	ETS homologous factor isoform X1	C.gigas	XP_034310128	883.248	100	95.25	HsELF3	P78545
G28398	ETS domain-containing protein	CgELK3	ETS domain-containing protein Elk-3 isoform X1	C.gigas	XP_011421195	753.051	100	93.91	HsELK3	P41970
G35512	cAMP-responsive element modulator	CgCREM	cAMP-responsive element modulator isoform X2		XP_011448206	572.778	100	92.79	HsCREB1	P16220
G11013	BZIP domain-containing protein	CgTEF	Thyrotroph embryonic factor-like	C.virginica	XP 022332233	570.466	86.4	85.1	HsTEF	Q10587
G7003	ETS-related transcription factor Elf-4	CgELF2	ETS-related transcription factor Elf-2	C.gigas	XP_011447491	523.857	99.6	84.54	HsELF1	P32519
G27920	LIM domain transcription factor LMO4.1	CgLMO4	LIM domain transcription factor LMO4.1 isoform X2	C.gigas	XP_011443581	319.316	98.2	81.86	HsLMO4	P61968
G10865	BZIP domain-containing protein	CgVBP	Transcription factor VBP	C.gigas	XP_011457057	438.343	100	77.95	HsHLF	Q16534
G35439	BZIP domain-containing protein	CgCEBPG	CCAAT/enhancer-binding protein beta	C.gigas	XP_011452433	442.195	100	75.61	HsCEBPG	P53567
G2334	Proto-oncogene c-Jun	CgJUN	Transcription factor AP-1	C.gigas	XP_034313954	429.098	100	58.31	HsJUN	P05627
G26127	Krueppel-like factor 5	CgKLF5	Krueppel-like factor 5 isoform X1	C.gigas	XP_011421316	905.975	100	57.28	HsKLF7	O75840
G20682	cAMP-responsive element-binding protein-like 2	CgCRBL1	cAMP responsive element binding protein-like, partial	C.gigas	AAU93879	318.546	99.4	56.83	HsCRBL2	O60519
G17294	Runt-related transcription factor 1	CgRUNX1	Runt-related transcription factor 1-like isoform X5	C.angulata	XP_052711215	1085.86	100	56.68	HsRUNX3	Q13761
G10077	BZIP domain-containing protein	CgCR3L4	Clumping factor A isoform X1	C.gigas	XP_011425092	775.393	100	56.11	HsCR3L3	Q68CJ9
G2021	BHLH domain-containing protein	CgTAL1	T-cell acute lymphocytic leukemia protein 1-like isoform X1	C.gigas	XP_011439324	394.045	100	49.23	HsTAL2	Q16559
G2933	Putative transcription factor SOX-14	CgSOX11	Transcription factor Sox-11	C.gigas	XP_011445203	424.091	100	43.97	HsSOX12	O15370
G11358	BZIP domain-containing protein	CgGIANT	Protein giant	C.gigas	XP_011417277	486.108	100	38.41	HsTEF	Q10587
G6354	ETS domain-containing protein	CgPU.1	ETS-related transcription factor Elf-3	C.gigas	XP_034320185	189.119	100	36	HsPU.1	P17947
G10633	BZIP domain-containing protein	CgFOS1	Fos-related antigen 1	C.gigas	XP_019918193	371.719	99.5	31.4	HsFOSL1	P15407
G6394	ETS domain-containing protein	CgSPDEF	SAM pointed domain-containing Ets transcription factor-like isoform X2	C.gigas	XP_052697855	356.681	99.4	30.12	HsSPDEF	O95238
G32716	cAMP-responsive element-binding protein-like 2	CgCRBL2	cAMP-responsive element-binding protein-like 2 isoform X1	C.gigas	XP_034309865	297.745	83.4	26.7	HsCRBL2	O60519

Table S5. Transcription factors identified in the scRNA-seq dataset of *Crassostrea gigas* **hemocytes.** Transcription factors identified in the scRNA-seq dataset and their homology with human proteins, as indicated by the blast alignment results. Each entry includes the gene identifier, the protein it represents in *C.gigas*, and its human counterpart. The bit score and coverage indicate the strength and extent of the alignment, respectively.






Figure S1. ScRNA-seq quality control metrics. Distribution of **A)** unique molecular identifiers (UMIs), **B)** genes and **C)** percentage of mitochondrial genes detected per cell. Each dot represents one cell. **D)** Plot of the percentage of mitochondrial genes versus the number of genes detected in each cell. The red box represents the cells selected for further analysis (number of genes detected between 750 and 4000 and with a percentage of mitochondrial genes less than 5%) **E)** The *FindVariableFeatures()* function was used to identify features with high cell-to-cell variation in the dataset to highlight the biological signal in the single cell dataset. **F)** Table

summarizing some quality control metrics. The table shows the thresholds to remove poor quality cells (doublets or empty droplets). The number of cells, UMIs and genes before and after filtering are shown. **G)** Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection (UMAP) plot of the cells with the number of expressed genes. **H)** UMAP plot of the number of reads per cell. **I)** UMAP plot of the percentage of mitochondrial genes in each cell. **J)** UMAP graph of the percentage of ribosomal protein transcripts in each cell.




Figure S2. Results of the *C. gigas* genome re-annotation. A) The number and percentage of Coding DNA Sequences (CDS) with valid annotation after each annotation step are shown. A BLAST query was performed against the TrEMBL/Uniprot database and InterproScan annotation against Pfam, PrositeSiteProfiles, CDD, TIGRFAM, PRINTS, SMART, SUPERFAMILY and Hamap databases. Blast and InterProScan results were compiled and processed using Blast2Go. A first mapping step was used to enrich the Blast result with GOterms, and the annotation step was used to optimize and validate the GO-terms annotations. B) C) and D) show the distribution of the various categories of GO-terms across the three primary domains of Gene Ontology: Molecular Function, Cellular Component and Biological Process, respectively.

Figure S3. Whisker plot showing the distribution of counts of different hemocyte populations. Hemolymph was collected from eight different oysters and hemocytes were plated on a slide using cytospin centrifugation. The proportion of each hemocyte type in these hemolymphs was calculated after MCDH staining. **H**: Hyalinocytes, **ML**: Macrophage-Like cells, **BBL**: Basophilic Blast-Like cells, **ABL**: Acidophilic Blast-Like cells, **SGC**: Small Granule Cells, **BGC**: Big Granule Cells, and **VC**: Vesicular Cells. The calculation of the proportion of each cell type in these hemolymphs reveals a heterogeneous composition of hemocytes between individuals as well as between cell types.

ABL

Hemocyte types

BĠC

SĠC

VĊ

МL

Ĥ

BBL




Figure S4. Statistical significance of enrichment in different hemocyte types in Percoll gradient fractions. (A) a,b,c,d,e,f, and g: MCDH staining of cells from the 7 fractions isolated from the percoll gradient in (B). Scale bar: 10 µm. Fraction 1 to 7: Quantification of the different types of hemocytes found in each of the 7 fractions from 5 independent fractionation experiments. (B) Statistical significance of enrichment of different hemocyte types in Percoll gradient fractions. Results are from six independent experiments. Statistical significance is indicated by letters, as different letters indicate a significant difference between enrichments of cell types within the Percoll density gradient fractions (ANOVA, Tukey's test, p-value <0.05). Hyalinocytes (H) were significantly enriched in the first fraction compared to the other fractions and compared to unsorted hemocytes. However, they were significantly depleted in fractions 4, 5, 6 and 7 compared to unsorted hemocytes. Macrophage-like cells (ML) were significantly enriched in fractions 3 and 4 compared to fractions 1, 6 and 7. They were depleted in fraction 1 compared to unsorted hemocytes. Acidophilic blasts (ABL) were significantly depleted in fractions 4, 5, 6, and 7 compared to unsorted hemocytes. Basophilic blasts (BBL) were significantly enriched in fractions 2 and 3 compared to fractions 4, 5, 6, and 7 and in fraction 1 compared to fractions 6 and 7. Compared to unsorted hemocytes, basophilic blasts (BBL) were significantly enriched in fraction 2 and depleted in fractions 6 to 7. Small granule cells (SGC) were significantly depleted in fractions 1, 2, and 3 compared to fractions 4, 5, 6, and 7, and also significantly depleted in fractions 4 and 5 compared to fractions 6 and 7. In addition, small granule cells (SGC) were significantly enriched in fractions 5, 6, and 7 compared to unsorted hemocytes. The distribution of the big granule cells (BGC) showed a significant enrichment in fraction 4, compared to fractions 1 and 2, but no significant changes were observed with unsorted hemocytes. Vesicular cells (VC) were enriched in fraction 5 compared to fractions 1, 2,

3, 6, 7 and unsorted hemocytes in fractions 1, 2, and 3 compared to fraction 5 and enriched in fraction 5 compared to fraction 7 and unsorted hemocytes.




Figure S5. Cluster specificity and expression level of the 14 selected cluster markers A) Violin graph showing the average expression level (Log2FC) of the 14 selected marker transcripts specific to the different scRNA-seq clusters. B) Identification of cells expressing the selected markers on the UMAP plot. Positive cells are colored purple according to the Log2FC value. LACC24 and CLEC are specific of cluster 1, EGFL and LEVAR of cluster 2, TGC and XBOX of cluster 3, MLDP and HMGB1 of cluster 4, CUBN and GAL of cluster 5, CAV and NAT1 of cluster 6 and MOX and GPROT of cluster 7. LACC24: Laccase 24, CLEC: C-type lectin domain-containing protein, EGFL: EGF-like domain-containing protein 8, LEVAR: Putative regulator of levamisole receptor-1, TGC: TGc domain-containing protein, XBOX: X-box binding protein-like protein, MLDP: ML domain-containing protein, HMGB1: High mobility group protein B1, CUBN: Cubilin, GAL: Galectin, CAV: Caveolin, NAT1: Natterin-

1, MOX : DBH-like monooxygenase protein 1, GPROT : G protein receptor F1-2 domain-containing protein.




Figure S6. Measurement of the ability of *C.gigas* hemocytes to phagocytose *Vibrio tasmaniensis* LMG20012^T. Oyster hemocytes were challenged with non-pathogenic *Vibrio tasmaniensis* LMG20012^T and phagocytosis was measured by observing intracellular bacteria after MCDH staining. (A) MCDH staining of hemocytes after phagocytosis assay. <u>Scale bar:</u> 10μm. (B) Bar plot showing the proportion of each cell type and the proportion of phagocytic cells. H: Hyalinocytes, ABL: Acidophilic Blast-Like cells, BBL: Basophilic Blast-Like cells, ML: Macrophage-Like cells, SGC: Small Granule Cells, VC: Vesicular Cells and BGC: Big Granule Cells.




Figure S7. NBT (NitroBlueTetrazolium) staining of oyster hemolymph exposed to zymosan particles. (A) Hemocyte morphology after MCDH staining: Macrophage Like (a), Small Granule Cells (b), Hyalinocyte (c), Basophilic (d) and Acidophilic (e) Blast cells, Big Granule Cells (f) and Vesicular Cells (g). NBT staining of the different hemocyte types (h-n). Red stars show zymosan and bacteria particles. Black arrows identify Macrophage-Like cells. Scale bar: 10 μm. (B) Quantification of the phagocytic activity of each cell type for zymosan particles from 3 independent experiments. Results of quantification of the phagocytic activity of each cell type and number of zymosan particles per cell type. The graph shows the result of 3 independent experiments.




Figure S8. Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection (UMAP) plots of cells expressing Macrophage-Like markers. Cluster numbers are indicated on each cluster. Each point in the UMAP plot represents a single hemocyte, and the clustering of these points reveals the distinct transcriptional profiles of macrophage-like specific markers within the hemocyte population.




Figure S9. Labeling of intracellular copper stores in C.gigas hemocytes. MCDH (upper panels) and rhodanine (lower panels) staining of oyster hemocytes to reveal copper accumulation. Cells were first processed for copper staining and then stained according to MCDH protocol. H: Hyalinocytes, ABL: Acidophilic Blast-Like cells, BBL: Basophilic Blast-Like cells, ML: Macrophage-Like cells, SGC: Small Granule Cells, VC: Vesicular Cells and BGC: Big Granule Cells. Bar: 10μm.




Figure S10. Results of scRNA-seq trajectory analysis using Monocle3. Analysis was performed using cluster 4 as the zero pseudotime. (A) Lineage from immature cells to hyalinocytes, (B) to cluster 5 cells, (C) to cluster 6 cells, (D) to vesicular cells, (E) to macrophage-like cells and (F) to small granule cells. For each lineage, cell trajectories are shown

in red and heat maps of pseudo time-dependent genes are shown. Blue indicates low expression, and red indicates high expression. Pseudotime flows from right to left.




Figure S11. Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection (UMAP) plots of cells expressing transcription factors. 28 UMAP representation for the transcription factors

identified in the scRNA-seq dataset. Each UMAP plot shows cells expressing the transcription factor in purple. Log2FC expression level is also reported.




Figure S12. Observation of autofluorescence of vesicular cells in hemolymph. Freshly punctured total hemolymph was cytospun, directly observed under a microscope using a DAPI filter set (DAPI Blue ex : 350/50 nm, DC : 400 nm and em : 460/50 nm) and then processed for MCDH staining. Arrows indicate autofluorescent cells. Scale bar : 10 μm