

Sperm fertility in mice with oligo-astheno-teratozoospermia restored by in vivo injection and electroporation of naked mRNA

Charline Vilpreux, Guillaume Martinez, Magali Court, Florence Appaix, Jean-Luc Duteyrat, Maxime Henry, Julien Vollaire, Camille Ayad, Altan Yavz, Lisa de Macedo, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Charline Vilpreux, Guillaume Martinez, Magali Court, Florence Appaix, Jean-Luc Duteyrat, et al.. Sperm fertility in mice with oligo-astheno-teratozoospermia restored by in vivo injection and electroporation of naked mRNA. eLife, 2024, 10.7554/eLife.94514.1. hal-04780939

HAL Id: hal-04780939 https://hal.science/hal-04780939v1

Submitted on 13 Nov 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés. 1 2

Sperm fertility in mice with oligo-astheno-teratozoospermia restored by *in vivo* injection and electroporation of naked mRNA

3 Charline Vilpreux¹, Guillaume Martinez^{1,4}, Paul Fourquin¹ Magali Court¹, Florence Appaix⁶,

4 Jean-Luc Duteyrat², Maxime Henry⁷, Julien Vollaire⁷, Camille Ayad³, Altan Yavz³, Lisa De

5 Macedo¹, Geneviève Chevalier¹, Emeline Lambert¹, Sekou Ahmed Conte¹, Zeina Wehbe^{1,4}, Elsa

6 Giordani¹, Véronique Josserand⁷, Jacques Brocard², Coutton Charles^{1,4}, Bernard Verrier³,

- 7 Pierre F. Ray^{1,5}, Corinne Loeuillet¹, Christophe Arnoult¹ and Jessica Escoffier^{1*}.
- 8 ¹ Université Grenoble Alpes, Inserm U1209, CNRS UMR 5309, Team Genetic, Epigenetic and
- 9 Therapies of infertility, Institute for Advanced Biosciences 38 000 Grenoble, France
- 10 ² Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, CNRS UAR3444, Inserm US8, ENS de Lyon, SFR Biosciences,
- 11 Lyon 69007, France
- 12 ³Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1 Laboratoire de Biologie Tissulaire et d'Ingénierie
- 13 Thérapeutique, UMR 5305, Université Lyon 1, CNRS, IBCP, Lyon, France
- ⁴ UM de Génétique Chromosomique, Hôpital Couple-Enfant, CHU Grenoble Alpes, Grenoble,
- 15 France.
- ⁵ UM GI-DPI, CHU Grenoble Alpes, Grenoble, France.
- ⁶ Université Grenoble Alpes, Inserm U1209, CNRS UMR 5309, plateforme microcell, Institute
- 18 for Advanced Biosciences 38 000 Grenoble, France
- 19 ⁷ Université Grenoble Alpes, Inserm U1209, CNRS UMR 5309, plateforme Optimal, Institute
- 20 for Advanced Biosciences 38 000 Grenoble, France

* To whom correspondence should be addressed: Jessica Escoffier, Team "Genetics,
 Epigenetics and Therapies of Infertility", Institute for Advanced Biosciences (IAB), INSERM
 1209, CNRS UMR 5309 University Grenoble Alpes, Grenoble, FRANCE.

24 Contact:mail to jessica.escoffier@univ-grenoble-alpes.fr

- 25 tel: 33 (0)4 76 63 71 11
- 26

27 Ethics statement

- 28 All procedures involving animals were performed in line with the French guidelines for the
- 29 use of live animals in scientific investigations. The study protocol was approved by the local
- 30 ethics committee (ComEth Grenoble # 318) and received governmental authorization
- 31 (ministerial agreement # 38109-2022072716142778).

- 32 Abstract
- 33

Oligo-astheno-teratozoospermia (OAT), a recurent cause of male infertility, is the most 34 35 frequent disorder of spermatogenesis with a probable genetic cause. Patients and mice 36 bearing mutations in the ARMC2 gene have a decreased sperm concentration, and individual 37 sperm show multiple morphological defects and a lack of motility - a canonical OAT 38 phenotype. Intracytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI) is required to treat such a condition but it 39 is associated with a small increase in birth defects in comparison to pregnancies not involving 40 assisted conception . Consequently, new targeted treatments are needed to restore fertility. 41 Here, a combination of *in vivo* injection and electroporation of capped and poly-A-tailed naked 42 mRNA is tested as a strategy to treat ARMC2-related infertility in mouse. mRNAs coding for 43 several reporter genes are tested and the efficiency and the kinetic of expression are assessed 44 using *in vivo* and *in vitro* 2D and 3D imaging experiments. We show that mRNA-coded reporter 45 proteins are detected for up to 3 weeks in germ cells, making the use of mRNA possible to 46 treat infertility. We compare these results with those obtained with a non-integrative plasmid 47 Enhanced Episomal Vector (EEV), which induces low and transient expression in 48 spermatogenic cells. Consequently, injection and electroporation of naked mRNA-Armc2 into 49 the testes of Armc2-deficient males were performed and we show the presence of normal and motile sperm in the epididymis. These motile sperm were able to produce embryos by IVF and 50 51 ICSI. This study shows for the first time that mRNA-Armc2 efficiently restores fertility and 52 opens new paths for male infertility treatment.

- 53
- 54

Key words: Sperm cells, infertility, protein therapy, mRNA, EEV, *In Vivo* Microinjection and
Electroporation, *in vivo* imaging, Whole Testis Optical clearing, light sheet microscopy.

57 58

59 Introduction

Worldwide, 10-15 % of couples (or 70 million) face infertility [1]. Infertility is thus a major public health issue presenting significant medical, scientific and economic challenges (a multibillion € annual market)[2]. A significant proportion of infertilities is due to altered gametogenesis, where the sperm and eggs produced are incompatible with fertilization and/or embryonic development [3]. Approximately 40 % of cases of infertilities involve a male factor, either exclusively, or associated with a female deficiency [4].

Male gametogenesis, or spermatogenesis, is a highly complex physiological process which can be split into three successive steps: proliferation (mitosis of spermatogonia), reduction of the number of chromosomes (meiosis of spermatocytes), and morphogenesis of spermatozoa (spermiogenesis). The whole process involves around two thousands of genes, 60 % of which are expressed exclusively in the testes [5]. Because of this multiplicity of genes, spermatogenesis is strongly affected by genetic factors [5], with most severe disorders likely to be of genetic origin.

Among infertility disorders, oligo-astheno-teratozoospermia (OAT) is the most frequent (50 % [6]) and it is likely to be of genetic origin. Spermatocytograms of OAT patients show a decrease in sperm concentration, multiple morphological defects and defective motility [7, 8]. Because of these combined defects, patients are infertile and can only conceive by intracytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI).

78 ICSI can efficiently overcome the problems faced. Nevertheless, concerns persist regarding 79 the potential risks associated with this technique, including blastogenesis defect, cardiovascular defect, gastrointestinal defect, musculoskeletal defect, orofacial defect, 80 81 leukemia, central nervous system tumors, and solid tumors [9-12]. Statistical analyses of birth 82 records have demonstrated an elevated risk of birth defects, with a 30-40 % increased likelihood in cases involving ICSI [9-12], and a prevalence of birth defects between 1 % and 4 83 84 % [11]. To overcome these drawbacks, a number of experimental strategies have been 85 proposed to bypass ARTs and restore spermatogenesis and fertility, including gene therapy 86 [13-16].

3

Gene therapy consists of introducing a DNA sequence into the genome to compensate for a
defective gene. It can thus rescue production of a missing protein, and is now applied both in
research [17] and for the treatment of human diseases [18].

90 Given the genetic basis of male infertility, the first strategy, tested in mice, was to overcome 91 spermatogenic failure associated with monogenic diseases by delivery of an intact gene to 92 deficient germ cells [13]. Gene therapy is effective in germ cells, as numerous publications 93 have shown that conventional plasmids can be transferred into spermatogonia in several 94 species with success, allowing their transcription in all cells of the germinal lineage [13-16]. 95 Most experiments were performed in mouse models, delivering DNA constructs into living 96 mouse germ cells by testis electroporation after microinjection of a DNA-containing solution 97 into the seminiferous tubules. Using this method, it was possible to rescue meiosis and fertility 98 in mouse models of infertility [13, 16]. However, the genetic changes induced are transmitted 99 to any descendants. Consequently, gene therapy cannot be used to treat infertility in humans, 100 both for ethical reasons and to avoid any eugenic deviations, and currently transmissible 101 changes in humans are illegal in 39 countries [19]. Furthermore, the genetic modification of 102 germ cell lines poses biological risks, including the induction of cancer, off-target effects, and 103 cell mosaicism. Errors in editing may have adverse effects on future generations. It is 104 exceedingly challenging to anticipate the consequences of genetic mosaicism, for instance, in 105 a single individual [20, 21]. Gene therapies have thus raised both ethical controversy and long-106 term safety issues.

107 For these reasons, we decided to test an alternative strategy to DNA transfection based on 108 the use of naked mRNA. Thanks to this change, the risk of genomic insertion is avoided, and 109 thus there is no question of heritable alterations [22]. The first part of this study presents a 110 characterization of the protein expression patterns obtained following transfection of naked 111 mRNA coding for reporter genes into the testes of mice. The second part is to apply the 112 protocol to a preclinical mouse model of OAT. Patients and mice carrying mutations in the 113 ARMC2 gene present a canonical OAT phenotype and are infertile. The preclinical Armc2 114 deficient (Armc2 KO) mouse model is therefore a valuable model to assess whether in vivo 115 injection of naked mRNA combined with electroporation can restore spermatogenesis. We 116 chose this model for several reasons: first, Armc2 KO mice are sterile and all sperm exhibit 117 short, thick or coiled flagella [13]. As a result, 100 % of sperm are immobile, thus it should be easy to determine the efficacy of the technique by measuring sperm motility with a CASA
system. Second, the *Armc2* gene codes for an 867-amino acid protein and this large size
represents a challenge for expression in the testis following electroporation.

121 To determine the efficacy of naked mRNA transfection as a method to achieve protein 122 expression in the testes, we first assessed the level of transcription of reporter proteins after 123 mRNA injection compared to the injection of a non-integrating plasmid, the Enhanced 124 Episomal Vector (EEV). EEV is a vector derived from Epstein-Barr virus, it includes an origin of 125 replication (EBV Ori) and the EBNA1 protein. Both elements, allows the synchronous initiation 126 of extra-chromosomal EEV replication with host DNA at each S phase of the cell cycle and the 127 segregation of the EEV episome in daughter cells. It is notable that EEV is maintained at a rate 128 of 90-95% per cell division. It does not integrate or modify the host genome [11, 12].

129 In the present in vivo work, we injected and electroporated three distinct mRNAs coding for 130 the following reporter proteins: green fluorescent protein (GFP), luciferase (Luc) and mCherry, 131 and an EEV episome vector containing the sequences coding for both GFP and luciferase 132 reporter proteins. The initial step was to characterize and validate the method of injection in 133 adult males. Subsequently, the kinetics and patterns of expression of the electroporated 134 mRNAs and EEV were compared using a variety of methods, including whole testis imaging, in 135 vivo bioluminescence imaging, and tissue clearing. Subsequently, the mRNA transfection 136 protocol was tested in a preclinical mouse model of OAT with the objective of restoring 137 fertility.

138

139 Materials and methods

140 Animals

All procedures involving animals were performed in line with the French guidelines for the use of live animals in scientific investigations. The study protocol was approved by the local ethics committee (ComEth Grenoble # 318) and received governmental authorization (ministerial agreement # 38109-2022072716142778).

The animals used were (a) B6D2 F1 hybrid (♀ C57BL/6JRj crossed with ♂ DBA/2, Janvier
laboratories; France) adult male mice aged between 8 and 25 weeks, (b) the *Armc2* KO mouse

strain obtained by CRISPR-Cas9 [23] and (c) CD1 female 6 weeks old (Janvier laboratories, Le
Genest-Saint-Isle ,France). Experiments were carried out on wild type (WT) or *Armc2* KO adult
male mice aged between 8 and 15 weeks.

150 Chemicals and reagents

151 Fast Green (FG) (F7258 – 25 g), phosphate buffered saline (PBS, D853 7- 500 mL), hematoxylin 152 (GH5316 - 500 mL), eosin (HT110216 - 500 mL), terbutanol (471712 - 100 mL), Histodenz (D2158 - 100 g), sorbitol (S1876 - 100 g), urea (U5128 - 500 g), Potassium Phosphate, 153 154 Monobasic (P0662), Magnesium Sulfate (anhydrous) (M9397), Sodium Bicarbonate Calcium 155 Chloride · 2H2O (22317), EDTA (E9884), Sodium Lactate (60 % syrup - d= 1,32 g L⁻¹) (L7900), 156 Glucose (G8270), Penicillin (P4333), Streptomycin (P4333), HEPES (H0887), PVA 30,000-70,000 157 (P8136), Albumin, Bovine Fraction V (A3803), M2 medium (M7167), Hyaluronidase (H3884), 158 mineral oil (M8410), PolyVinylPyrolidone (PVP360-100G), M16 medium (MR-016) and 159 Collagenase (C8176) were purchased from Sigma Aldrich (Saint-Quentin-Fallavier, France). 160 Sodium Chloride (1112-A) were purchased from Euromedex (Souffelweyersheim, France). 161 Potassium Chloride (26764) L-Glutamine (35050038), Sodium Pyruvate (11360039), NEAA 162 (11140050) and EAA (11130036) were purchased from Life Technologies, (Waltham, MA USA). 163 Schorr staining solution was obtained from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). Mfel HF (R35895) 164 and RNase-free DNase I (M03035) were obtained from New England Biolabs (Ipswich, MA, 165 USA). Paraformaldehyde (PFA, 15710) was obtained from Electron Microscopy Science 166 (Hatfield, PA, USA). Ketamine and xylazine were obtained from Centravet (Dinan, France). 167 Fluorescent i-particles (NIRFiP-180) were obtained from Adjuvatis (Lyon, France). Script Gurad 168 RNAse CleanCap AG (040N-7113-1), CleanCAp EGFP-mRNA (040L-7601-100), T7-FlashScribe 169 kit (C-ASF3507), poly(A) tail (C-PAP5104H) and CleanCap Luciferase-mRNA (L-7602-1000) were 170 obtained from Tebubio (Le Perray en Yvelines, France).

171 Plasmids

All plasmid, EEV *CAGs-GFP-T2A-Luciferase*, (EEV604A-2; System Bioscience, Palo Alto, CA, USA), mCherry plasmid (given by Dr. Conti MD at UCSF, San Francisco, CA, USA) and EEV-*Armc2-GFP* plasmid (CUSTOM-S017188-R2-3, Trilink, San Diego, CA, USA) were amplified by bacterial transformation (E. coli, EC0112; Thermo Fisher, Courtaboeuf, France). After expansion, plasmids were purified with a NucleoBond Xtra Midi kit (740410-50; MachereyNagel, Düren, Germany) using manufacturer's protocol. All plasmids DNA pellets were
solubilized in (DNAse- and RNAse-free) milliQ water (Sigma Aldrich, Saint-Quentin-Fallavier,
France), before used.

180 The EEV CAGs-GFP-T2A-Luciferase episome contains the cDNA sequences of Green 181 Fluorescent Protein (GFP) and luciferase, under the control of a CAGs promoter (Supp Fig 1). 182 After purification, the EEV CAGs-GFP-T2A-Luciferase plasmid concentration was adjusted to 183 9 μ g μ L⁻¹. Prior to injection, 3.3 μ L of this plasmid solution was mixed with 1 μ L 0.5 % Fast 184 Green and 5.7 μ L sterile PBS to obtain a final EEV concentration of 3 μ g μ L⁻¹. The EEV-Armc2-185 GFP plasmid contains the mouse cDNA sequences of Armc2 (ENSMUST00000095729.11) and the 186 Green Fluorescent Protein (GFP) genes under the control of a strong CAGs promoter (Supp Fig 187 1). After amplification and purification, the final plasmid concentration was adjusted to $9 \mu g$ 188 μ L⁻¹ in water. Prior to injection, 3.3 μ L of this plasmid solution was mixed with 1 μ L of 0.5 % Fast Green and 5.7 μ L of sterile PBS to obtain a final EEV concentration of 3 μ g μ L⁻¹. The 189 190 mcherry plasmid contains the cDNA sequence of *mCherry* under the control of CMV and T7 191 promoters (Supp Fig 1). After amplification and purification, the final plasmid concentration 192 was adjusted to 9 μ g μ L⁻¹.

193 Armc2-mRNA

194 Armc2-mRNA used for in vivo testes microinjection and electroporation was obtained from 195 Trilink (San Diego, CA, USA). The commercial Armc2-mRNA has an AG CleanCap, a poly(A) tail 196 of 120 adenosines and 3 HA-FLAG. The main challenge with mRNA-based therapy is mRNA 197 stability. To improve mRNA stability in vivo and avoid its degradation by ribonucleases, 198 optimization techniques were implemented. Thus, the used mRNA has codon optimization, a 199 poly(A) tail and a CleanCap. To verify the efficiency of cell transfection, an EGFP-mRNA was 200 injected together with the Armc2-mRNA. During the injection, the concentration of EGFP-201 mRNA and *Armc2*-mRNA were 300 ng μ L⁻¹ each.

202 *mcherry-mRNA* transcription *in vitro*

The circular *mCherry* plasmid was linearized using the restriction enzyme Mfel HF at 37 °C for 1 h. The pm-*mCherry* was then extracted and purified with the DNA Clean and Concentrator-25 [™] kit (D4033; Zymo Research, Irvine, CA, USA). The pm-*mCherry* was subsequently transcribed in vitro using the T7-FlashScribe kit (C-ASF3507; Tebubio, Le Perray en Yvelines, France). The mRNA was capped with a clean cap (CleanCap AG; 040N-7113-1, Tebubio, Le Perray en Yvelines, France), and a poly(A) tail (C-PAP5104H; Tebubio, Le Perray en Yvelines, France) was added before purification using the NucleoSpin RNA Clean Up kit (740948-50; Macherey-Nagel, Düren, Germany). Prior to injection, *mcherry*-mRNA was mixed with Fast Green to obtain a final concentration of 300 ng μ L⁻¹ (0.05 % FG, PBS).

212 Agarose gel electrophoresis of the Episomal Vector EEV and mRNA-mCherry

Before loading on a pre-stained (Gel Green) 1.5 % agarose gel, the EEV-plasmid and mRNA were mixed with a loading dye (Promega Blue Orange G1904, Promega, Charbonnières France). An aliquot of each sample (500 ng) was loaded into each well and electrophoresis was performed for 30 min at 100 V at room temperature (RT). A DNA size marker (Gene ruler SM1331, Thermo Scientific, Courtaboeuf, France) was used to assess molecular weight. Gel images were acquired using a ChemiDoc XRS+ (BIORAD, Marnes-la-Coquette, France).

219 In vivo microinjection and electroporation of testes

Electroporation was conducted as previously described [15]. Briefly, male mice B6D2, Armc2^{+/+} 220 221 or Armc2^{-/-}, depending of the experimental conditions, were anesthetized with 222 ketamine/xylazine solution (100 mg μ L⁻¹ and 10 mg μ L⁻¹ respectively). The testes were pulled 223 out from the abdominal cavity or scrotum. Under a binocular microscope and using 224 microcapillaries pipettes (FemtoTip II [®], Eppendorf, Montesson, France), 10 µL DNA (3 µg µL⁻ ¹ - 0.05 % FG) or mRNA (300 ng μ L⁻¹ -0.05 % FG) was injected into the seminiferous tubules 225 226 through the rete testis applying a constant pressure (microinjector, Femto Jet 4i, Eppendorf, 227 Montesson, France). Two series of 8 square electric pulses (25 V for 50 ms) were applied to 228 the testis using tweezer-type electrodes linked to an electroporator (Gemini, BTX, Holliston, 229 MA, USA). The testes were then replaced in the abdominal cavity, and the abdominal wall and 230 skin were sutured. For each animal, the left testis was injected and electroporated with the 231 different nucleic acids (mRNA, EEV), whereas the right testis was injected with a control 232 solution (PBS, 0.5 % FG) as a control. Both testes were electroporated.

233 Flash Freezing and Fluorescence Analysis of testes

Depending on the experimental condition, 1-, 3- or 7-days post injection, the testes were collected and washed for 5 min in PBS. Then, they were embedded in Peel-A-Way Cryomolds 236 filled with OCT Mounting media (VWR, Rosny-sous-Bois, France). The samples were flash 237 frozen in a 100 % isopentane solution (524391; Carlo ERBA, Val-de-Reuil, France), pre-cooled 238 with liquid nitrogen. Once frozen, they were cut into 20 µm sections using a cryostat. The 239 cryostat sections were then fixed with 4 % PFA-PBS for 10 min at 4 °C and counterstained with 240 1.8 µM DAPI (nuclear stain) before observation using an Axioscan Z1 slide scanner or a 241 confocal AxioObserver Z1 multiparameter microscope LSM710 NLO – LIVE7 – Confocor 3. The 242 fluorescence of the different reporter proteins was detected using appropriate filters for DAPI, 243 GFP, Texas Red (for mCherry), and Cy7 (for the Fluorescent i-particles NIRFiP-180).

244 Tissue collection and histological analysis

245 For histological analysis, treated and control B6D2 testes were fixed by immersion in 4 % 246 paraformaldehyde (PFA) for 14 h. They were then embedded in paraffin before cutting into 5 247 µm sections using a microtome (Leica biosystems, Wetzlar, Germany). After deparaffination, 248 the sections were stained with hematoxylin and eosin. Stained sections were digitized at 20x 249 magnification using an axioscan Z1-slide scanner (Zeiss, Léna, Germany). Spermatogenesis was 250 assessed by measuring the area of seminiferous tubules and the cross sections of round 251 tubules (μ m²) (n > 35 tubules per testis section; n=5 testis sections per condition). Statistical 252 significance of differences was determined using a Student's *t*-test.

253 Ex vivo Fluorescence Analysis

To analyze the expression of the reporter proteins GFP and mCherry in seminiferous tubules,
whole testes were examined under an inverted microscope (CKX53, Olympus, Shinjuku, Tokyo,
Japan). Exogenous fluorescence was detected using filters for GFP and Texas Red.

257 Harris-Shorr sperm Analysis

258 Sperm were collected from the caudae epididymides of mice (Control, injected with EEV-GFP, GFP-mRNA, or Armc2-mRNA). They were allowed to swim for 10 min at 37 °C in 1 mL M2 259 media. Sperm were centrifuged at 500 g, washed once with PBS, and fixed 4 % 260 261 paraformaldehyde in PBS for 1 min at RT. After washing with 1 mL acetate ammonia (100 mM), the sperm suspensions were spotted onto 0.1 % poly L-lysine precoated slides 262 263 (Thermo Scientific, Courtaboeuf, France) and left to dry. Harris–Schorr staining was then 264 performed according to the WHO protocol [24], and at least 150 sperm were analyzed per 265 animal.

266 Whole Testis Optical clearing and 3D image reconstructions

267 Optical clearing (adapted from uDISCO and Fast 3D clear protocols)

268 Adult mice were euthanized by cervical dislocation and then transcardiac perfused with 1X 269 PBS (Sigma Aldrich, Saint-Quentin-Fallavier, France). The testes were extracted and fixed for 270 two days at 4 °C in 4 % PFA (Electron Microscopy Sciences, Hatfield, PA, USA). Samples were 271 then washed with PBS for at least two days. Mouse testes were subsequently dehydrated in 272 graded series of tert-butanol solutions (Sigma Aldrich, Saint-Quentin-Fallavier, France) at 35 °C 273 as follows: 30 % overnight (O/N), 50 % for 24 h, 70 % for 10 h, 80 % O/N, 90 % for 10 h, 96 % 274 O/N, and 100 % for 10 h. The testes were cleared in clearing solution (96 % Histodenz,2 % 275 Sorbitol ,20 % Urea) for 2 days. Then, nuclei were stained with 3.6 µM DAPI (20 % DMSO; 2 % 276 Triton, 1 % BSA) for 2 days. Finally, the testes were then conserved in the clearing solution at 277 4°C until observation by microscopy. All these steps were carried out under agitation and 278 protected from light.

279 <u>3D tissue Imaging</u>

The optically-cleared mouse testes were imaged on a lightsheet fluorescence microscope (Blaze, Miltenyi Biotec, Germany), using a 4x NA 0.35 MI PLAN objective protected by a dipping cap for organic solvents, with an overall working distance of 15 mm. Acquisitions on the horizontal plane were obtained with a fixed lightsheet thickness of 3.9 μ m at both 488 nm and 561 nm with no overlap between horizontal planes. Voxel resolution x = 1.21; y = 1.21; z = 2 μ m. 3D reconstructions were created using Imaris software (Oxford Instruments plc, Abingdon, UK).

287 <u>Cellular image analysis</u>

The optically-cleared mouse testes were imaged using a 'ConfoBright' system which is a unique adaptive confocal microscope (Nikon A1R MP, Nikon Europe B.V., The Netherlands) equipped with a deformable mirror module (AOS-micro, AlpAO, Montbonnot, France) for the correction of geometrical aberrations. Indeed, the deep confocal imaging of the cleared 3D sample requires long distance objectives and immersion media of different refractive index, resulting in optical aberrations. Images were acquired using Adaptive Optics optimization with an apo LWD 40x/1.15 water immersion objective (WD 600 µm) and a 10x/0.45 objective. FIJI software (Opened source software) was used to process and analyze images and Imarissoftware for the 3D reconstructions.

297 Bioluminescence imaging

In vivo Bioluminescence imaging was performed at several time points after in vivo Luciferase 298 299 -mRNA or EEV-GFP-luciferase injection and electroporation (n=5 mice per condition). Ten 300 minutes before imaging, mice received an intraperitoneal injection of 150 μ g g⁻¹ of D-luciferin 301 (Promega, Charbonnières France), and were then anesthetized (isoflurane 4 % for induction 302 and 1.5 % for maintenance) before placing in the optical imaging system (IVIS Lumina III, 303 PerkinElmer, Courtaboeuf, France). In vivo bioluminescence signals were measured in selected 304 regions of interest (injected testes) and were expressed as mean photons per second (mean 305 ± SEM). Background bioluminescence was measured on images from control mice. When the 306 in vivo bioluminescence signal was no longer detectable, testes were collected and immersed 307 in a luciferin solution for a few minutes before performing ex vivo imaging to confirm the 308 absence of signal.

309 Sperm motility

310 The cauda epididymis was dilacerated in 1 mL of M2 medium (Sigma Aldrich, Saint-Quentin-311 Fallavier, France) and spermatozoa were allowed to swim out for 10 min at 37 °C. After 312 incubation, 30 µl of the sperm suspension was immediately placed onto analysis chamber (2X-313 CEL Slides, 80 µm depth, Leja Products B.V., The Netherlands) kept to 37°C for microscopic 314 quantitative study of sperm movement. Motility of the spermatozoa was evaluated at 37°C 315 with an Olympus microscope and Computer Aided Sperm Analysis (CASA) (CEROS II apparatus; 316 Hamilton Thorne, Beverley, MA, USA). The settings employed for analysis were: acquisition 317 rate: 60 Hz; number of frames: 30; minimum contrast: 30; minimum cell size: 4; low-size gate: 318 0.13; high-size gate: 2.43; low-intensity gate: 0.10; high-intensity gate: 1.52; minimum 319 elongation gate: 5; maximum elongation gate: 100; magnification factor: 0.81.

The motility parameters measured were: straight line velocity (VSL); curvilinear velocity (VCL); averaged path velocity (VAP); amplitude of lateral head displacement (ALH); beat cross frequency (BCF); linearity (LIN); straightness (STR). Hyperactivated sperm were characterized by VCL > 250 μ m s⁻¹, VSL > 30 μ m s⁻¹, ALH > 10 μ m and LIN < 60, Intermediate by VCL > 120 μ m s⁻¹ and ALH > 10 μ m, progressive sperm by VAP > 50 μ m s⁻¹ and STR > 70 % and slow sperm by VAP < 50 μ m s⁻¹and VSL < 25 μ m s⁻¹.

326 Western blot

327 Western blotting was performed on HEK-293T cells (ATCC, Manassas, VA, USA) transfected 328 with EEV-Armc2 or Armc2-mRNA. Cells were transfected using JetPrime (101000027; Polyplus 329 Illkirch, France) for DNA and JetMessenger (101000056; Polyplus Illkirch, France) for mRNA 330 vectors, both according to the supplier's recommendations. After 48 h, the cells were washed 331 with PBS and scraped off before centrifuging at 4 °C, 1500 RPM for 5 min. The cell pellet was 332 then resuspended in lysis buffer (87787; Thermo Scientific, Courtaboeuf, France) 333 supplemented with an EDTA-free cocktail of protease inhibitors (11836170001; Roche, Bale, 334 Swiss). The suspension was stirred at 4 °C for 2 h, and then centrifuged at 16,000 g at 4 °C for 335 10 min. The protein content of the supernatant was estimated with QuantiPro[™] BCA Assay kit 336 (Sigma Aldrich, Saint-Quentin-Fallavier, France) before adding 5X Laemmli + 5 % β-337 mercaptoethanol and heating at 95 °C for 10 min. For the Western blot, 30 µg of proteins were 338 deposited on a ready-made Bis-Tris gel 12 % (Thermo Fisher, Courtaboeuf, France). After 339 transfer, the PVDF membrane was blocked with 5 % milk in Tris-Buffered Saline solution 340 containing 0.1 % Tween 20 (TTBS) before immunodetection. The anti HA antibody (11867423001; Sigma Aldrich, Saint-Quentin-Fallavier, France) was diluted in TTBS at 1/5000. 341 342 After incubation with secondary antibodies (AP136P; Sigma Aldrich, Saint-Quentin-Fallavier, 343 France) diluted at 1:10,000 in TTBS, binding was revealed with an enhanced 344 chemiluminescence detection kit (1705062; Clarity Max Western ECL Substrate; BIORAD, 345 Marnes-la-Coquette, France). Membranes were imaged on a ChemiDoc[™] system (BIORAD, 346 Marnes-la-Coquette, France).

347 Immunofluorescence

348 Immunofluorescence analysis of dissociated testicular cells was performed as follows. After 349 collection, the tunica albuginea was removed from the testes. Then the tissue was 350 mechanically separated with 18G needles. Once washed with PBS, the testicular cells were 351 placed in a dissociation medium containing 1 mg collagenase type V /mL RPMI for 20 min at 352 37 °C. After filtration (100 µm filter) and centrifugation (5 min at 200 g) the pellets were 353 resuspended in PBS before centrifugation once again. The pellet was then fixed in 1 mL PFA 4 354 % for 5 min. Then 10 mL of ammonium acetate (0.1 M) was added. Finally, 2 mL of medium 355 was spread on a slide. Testicular cells were permeabilized with 0.1 % PBS-Triton X-100 for 356 20 min at room temperature. Slides were then blocked in 10 % BSA with PBS-Tween 0.1 % for 357 30 min before incubating overnight at 4 °C with primary antibodies anti-rabbit ARMC2 (1/50) (HPA053696, Sigma Aldrich, Saint-Quentin-Fallavier, France) and anti-guinea pig tubulin 358 359 (1/100) (AA345, Swiss Institute of Bioinformatics, Lausanne, Swiss) diluted in PBS-Tween 0.1 360 % - 5 % BSA. Slides were washed with PBS before incubating for 1 h with secondary antibodies: 361 anti-guinea pig (1/500) (A-11073, Thermo Fischer, Courtaboeuf, France) and anti-rabbit 362 (1:1000) (A-11036, Thermo Fischer, Courtaboeuf, France). Samples were counterstained with 363 DAPI and mounted with DAKO mounting media (NC2313308; Life Technology, Courtaboeuf, 364 France). Fluorescence images were acquired under a confocal microscope (Zeiss, Jena, 365 Germany) fitted with a 63× oil immersion objective. Images were analyzed with ZEN lite 366 software (Zeiss, Jena, Germany).

367 Intra-cytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI)

368 Collection of gametes for ICSI

Armc2^{-/-} sperm or Armc2^{-/-} rescued sperm were harvested by dilaceration of the cauda epididymis. They were allowed to swim for 10 min at 37°C in 1 ml of CZB.HEPES medium containing (in mM) (HEPES 20, NaCl 81.6, KCl 4.8, MgSO₄ 1.2, CaCl₂ 1.7, KH₂PO₄ 1.2, EDTA.Na₂ 0.1, Na-lactate 31, NaHCO₃ 5, Na-pyruvate 0.3, polyvinyl alcohol 0.1 mg mL⁻¹, phenol red 10 mg mL⁻¹ (0.5 % (w/v) in DPBS), pH 7.4) KCl 125, NaCl 2.6, Na₂HPO₄ 7.8, KH₂PO₄ 1.4 and EDTA 3 (pH 7.0)).The sperm head was separated from the tail by applying multiple piezo pulses (PiezoXpert[®], Eppendorf, Montesson, France)

376 Eggs preparation

377 CD1 female mice, 7 weeks old, were superovulated by IP injection of 7.5 IU pregnant mare's 378 serum gonadotrophin (PMSG; Centravet, Dinan, France) followed by 7.5 IU HCG (Centravet, 379 Dinan, France) 48 h later. Eggs were collected from oviducts about 14 h after HCG injection. 380 Cumulus cells were removed with 0.1 % hyaluronidase in M2 medium for 5–10 min. Eggs were 381 rinsed thoroughly and kept in KSOM medium containing (in g L⁻¹: NaCl 5.55, KCl 0.19, 382 KH₂PO₄ 0.05, MgSO₄ 0.05, NaHCO₃ 2.1, CaCl₂ · 2H2O 0.250, EDTA 0.004, L-Glutamine 0.146, 383 Na-lactate 1.870, Na-pyruvate 0.020, Glucose 0.04, Penicillin 0.05, Streptomycin 0.07, BSA 384 1.000, NEAA 0.5 % and EAA 1 %.), at 15°C for at least 15 min until required for ICSI.

385 ICSI procedures

386 ICSI was performed according to the method described by Yoshida and Perry (2007)[25].For microinjection, Armc2^{-/-} sperm or Armc2^{-/-} -rescued motile sperm heads were separated from 387 the tail by applying multiple piezo pulses (PiezoXpert[®], Eppendorf, Montesson, France). Sperm 388 389 heads were introduced into the ooplasm using micromanipulators (Micromanipulator InjectMan[®], Eppendorf, Montesson, France) mounted on an inverted Nikon TMD microscope 390 391 (Nikon, Minato-ku, Tokyo, Japan). Eggs that survived the ICSI procedure were incubated in 392 KSOM medium at 37 °C under in an atmosphere of 5 % CO₂. Pronucleus formation was checked 393 at 6 h after ICSI, and outcomes were scored up to the blastocyst stage.

394 In vitro fertilization (IVF).

Armc2^{-/-} sperm or Armc2^{-/-} rescued sperm were harvested by dilaceration of the cauda 395 epididymis. They were allowed to swim in IVF well in capacitated in M16 + 4 % BSA at 37 °C 396 397 for 10 minutes. Eggs were collected from mature CD1 females (6 weeks old) synchronized with 398 7.5 units of pregnant mare serum gonadotrophin (PMSG) and 7.5 units of human chorionic 399 gonadotrophin (hCG) prior to collection. Cumulus were incubated for 10 minutes in 500 µL 400 M16 (MR-016; Sigma Aldrich, Saint-Quentin-Fallavier, France) / 1mg L⁻¹ collagenase (C8176, 401 Sigma Aldrich). Cumulus-free and zona-free eggs were collected, and rinsed twice with 500 µl M16 medium. Eggs were incubated with either Armc2^{-/-} sperm or Armc2^{-/-} rescued sperm) in 402 403 capacitated medium (37 °C, 5 % CO₂) for 4 hours. After incubation, unbound sperm were 404 washed away and eggs were incubated with KSOM at 37 °C, 5 % CO₂. Twenty-four hours after 405 fertilization, we scored the different stages (unfertilized oocytes, aborted embryos, and 2-cell embryos as an indication of successful fertilization). 406

407 Statistical analyses

408 Statistical analyses were performed using SigmaPlot (version 10; Systat Software, Inc., San 409 Jose, CA, USA). To account for sample variability between animals, a paired t-test, Mann-410 whitney rank sum test, on-way Anova and Wilcoxon test were used. Data are displayed as 411 mean \pm SEM. P values of $* \le 0.05$, $** \le 0.01$, or $*** \le 0.001$ were considered to represent 412 statistically significant differences.

413 Results

414 **1.** *In vivo* microinjection and electroporation of mouse testes

415 Two routes have been described for microinjection of DNA into the testes: direct injection 416 through the *tunica albuginea*, or injection into the lumen of the seminiferous tubules via the 417 rete testis. We chose the rete testis route and evaluated the efficacy of the microinjection 418 protocol. In particular, we wished to better characterize the diffusion of the injected solution 419 in the volume of the testis, as we were unable to find any information on this parameter in 420 the literature. The efficacy of microinjection via rete testis was assessed using fluorescent i-421 particles NIRFiP-180, and by measuring their diffusion in testis cross sections examined by 422 microscopy 3 days post-injection (Fig 1). To avoid lesions due to overfilling, the injection was 423 controlled by measuring the expansion of the staining of the peripheral seminiferous tubules 424 during the injection. Injections were stopped when the testes were filled to 2/3 of their 425 capacity (Fig 1A-B). In testis cross sections, the fluorescent i-particles NIRFiP-180 were 426 heterogeneously distributed, and mainly observed in seminiferous tubules located in the 427 peripheral region of the testes, with fewer particles present in the center of the testes (Fig 1C-428 D). Moreover, no fluorescent i-particles NIRFiP-180 were visible in the peritubular space. 429 These results indicated that microinjection through the rete testis did not produce a 430 homogenous distribution of the particles throughout the seminiferous tubules. Nevertheless, 431 the seminiferous tubules remained intact, as no signal was observed in the peritubular space 432 (Fig 1C-D).

433 Next, we assessed the overall safety of the rete testis microinjection and electroporation of 434 mRNA and EEV into testes. The safety of the protocol was evaluated by comparing 435 macroscopic and microscopic anatomies of control (injected with control solution, PBS, 0.05 436 % FG), and treated testes (injected either with EEV-GFP (PBS, 0.05 % FG) or GFP-mRNA (PBS, 437 0.05 % FG)). Three days post-injection, the testes were first observed under a binocular 438 microscope to identify possible macroscopic degeneration of the seminiferous tubules (Fig 2 439 A1 and 2 B1). Degenerations appear as pearly white lesions at the surface of the testis as 440 illustrated in Supp Fig 2 following over electroporation. With the protocol we have developed, 441 no such lesions were observed. Next, the testes were measured and weighed. No statistical 442 differences in length and weight were observed between control and treated testes (Fig 2 A2, 443 A3, B2, B3). Then, microscopic differences were sought by histological analysis of 5 µm 444 sections (Fig 2C). No difference was observed between the control condition and EEV-GFP or 445 GFP-mRNA on the full cross sections (Fig 2 C1, C2, C3). Next, we observed all the different 446 testicular cells, including all germ cell types and Sertoli cells (Fig 2 D1, D2, D3) for each 447 condition. The layered structure of germ cells was identical in in all conditions. Analysis of the 448 histological sections revealed no differences in the tubules area of the testes injected either 449 with EEV-GFP or GFP-mRNA (Fig 2 E). At last, Harris-Shorr staining of the epididymal sperm 450 cells demonstrated that there were no increases in morphological defects when mRNA and 451 EEV were used in comparison with the control (Fig 2 F4). Taken together, these results suggest 452 that in vivo microinjection and electroporation of EEV or mRNA did not perturb 453 spermatogenesis.

454

2. Analysis of EEV-GFP and GFP-mRNA testicular expression by whole testis imaging

455 After validating the injection method, we compared the kinetics of GFP expression and the 456 maintenance of the fluorescent signals for mRNA and EEV. To do so, we injected and 457 electroporated one testis of adult B6D2 mice with EEV-GFP (n=129) or with GFP-mRNA (n=65). 458 At 0-, 1-, 7-, 15-, 21-, 28-, 35-, 42- and 49-days post-injection, the whole testes were observed 459 under an inverted microscope. The exogenous fluorescence was directly visible at the surface 460 of the testes when illuminated with light at the appropriate wavelength (Fig 3 and Fig 4). No 461 testicular lesions were observed on the testes at any post injection time (Fig 3 A1-H1 and Fig 462 4 A1-F1). In addition, both GFP-mRNA and EEV-GFP induced GFP expression in the testes (Fig 463 3 A2-H2 and Fig 4 A2-F2). It is worth noting that both vectors induced GFP expression at one 464 day post-injection. However, the durations of fluorescent signals were different. For EEV, GFP 465 fluorescence was still observable on day 42 for 100 % of samples, and 56 % of samples were 466 positive on day 49, indicating that expression lasted around 1.5 months (Fig 4G). In contrast, 467 for mRNA, 100 % of testes were labelled on day 21, but none showed any fluorescence on day 468 28 (Fig 4G). Thus, EEV transfection allowed a considerably longer duration of expression than 469 mRNA. (Fig 3 and 4). It is important to underline that the signal measured is the fluorescence 470 emitted by the GFP. This signal is dependent of both the half-lives of the plasmid/mRNA and 471 the GFP. Therefore, the kinetic of the signal persistence (which is called here expression) is a 472 combination of the persistence of the vector and the synthetized protein. In addition to 473 differences in duration of expression, the GFP expression patterns were clearly different: 474 mRNA produced a large, diffuse pattern, highlighting the shape of the seminiferous tubules; 475 EEV-GFP produced a punctiform pattern (Fig 3B and 4B.) These results suggest that GFP-mRNA

and EEV-*GFP* targeted different seminiferous cell types, such as Sertoli cells and all germlinecells, or that there were differences in terms of transfection efficiency.

478

3. Kinetics of EEV and mRNA expression assessed by *in vivo* imaging.

479 To further assess and compare the kinetics of expression of the two vectors, we expressed 480 exogenous luciferase in the testis using EEV or mRNA and observed the level of luciferase by 481 in vivo bioluminescence imaging. For EEV, we took advantage of the fact that the EEV plasmids 482 contains the DNA sequence of the luciferase protein (CAGs-GFP-T2A-Luciferase) in addition to 483 the DNA sequence of the GFP fluorescent protein (Supp Fig 1). For mRNA, we injected commercial naked *luciferase*-mRNA into the *rete testis* according to the same protocol as used 484 485 for GFP-mRNA. For this set of experiments, we injected the EEV-GFP-Luc and luciferase-mRNA 486 into the testes of 6 adult mice on day 0. We injected a similar number of copies of mRNA and 487 EEV. The testes were imaged in vivo to detect bioluminescence expression, on day 1 and 488 weekly until disappearance of the signal, no more than 120 days post-injection (Fig 5). For 489 EEV-GFP-Luciferase, the bioluminescence induced by transfection was detected from day 1. 490 After a rapid decrease in signal intensity over the first 3 weeks, a weak but constant signal 491 remained detectable for 3 months, then faded away (Fig 5 A1-A2). For Luciferase-mRNA, 492 expression was also detected from day 1. The bioluminescence decreased gradually over 3 493 weeks, becoming undetectable thereafter (Fig 5B1-B2). These results are consistent with our 494 previous results (Fig 3) and confirm that EEV allows a longer expression of exogenous protein 495 within the testis. Fig 5C compare the kinetics of expression observed with EEV and mRNA. 496 Overall, our results indicated stronger expression for mRNA than for EEV, but with expression 497 decreasing rapidly over-time, and almost no remaining signal after 3 weeks. In contrast, 498 residual expression was detectable for several months with EEV.

499 500

4. Assessing testicular and cellular *GFP*-mRNA expression using whole testicle optical clearing, light sheet microscopy, and 3D-microscopic reconstructions

To better characterize the spatial distribution of *GFP*-mRNA expression in the testis, we performed whole testicular optical clearing. On day 0, we injected and electroporated testes with *GFP*-mRNA (n=6). On day 1, we harvested the testes and cleared them with a modified optical clearing protocol, as described in the MM section. After complete clearing (Fig 6 A), we observed the testes under a light sheet microscope and performed 3D reconstruction (videos 506 1, 2 and Fig 6 B). From this 3D reconstruction, we determined the volume of testis stained 507 with GFP. The testis was sliced into 500 stacks, and the volume occupied by GFP staining was 508 estimated by measuring the GFP-positive area in each stack and multiplying it by the thickness 509 of the stack (10 µm). Measurements were possible only for the first 250 stacks due to optical 510 problems with the last 250 stacks. To overcome this problem, and acquire information for the 511 whole testis, the sample was turned by 180 ° and the measures repeated. A total GFP-stained 512 surface of 0.51 mm³ and 0.23 mm³ was determined for face 1 and face 2, respectively. The 513 corresponding total volume for the 250 stacks was measured as 60 mm³, therefore 0.81 % and 514 0.24 % of the testis volume was transfected for face 1 and face 2 respectively (Fig 6 B).

515 5. Assessing GFP cellular expression using whole testicle optical clearing and confocal 516 microscopy

517 Because the GFP fluorescence patterns were different for the two nucleic vectors when 518 observed under the inverted microscope (Fig 3), we wondered whether the same cell types 519 were targeted in both cases. To address this question, the whole optical cleared testes from 520 EEV-GFP and GFP-mRNA-transfected mice were observed under a confocal microscope. The 521 different cell types were identified based on their positions within the seminiferous tubule, 522 their cellular shape and their nuclear morphology - revealed by nuclear staining. For instance, 523 Sertoli cells have an oval to elongated nucleus and the cytoplasm presents a complex shape 524 ("tombstone" pattern) along the basement membrane [26]. Round spermatids have small, 525 round and compact nuclei with a nucleolus and are localized between the spermatocytes and 526 elongated spermatids [27]. For EEV-GFP, on day 1 post injection and electroporation, a strong 527 punctiform green fluorescence was visible inside the seminiferous tubules (Fig 7 A). Based on 528 the different morphological criteria, this fluorescent signal was detected in spermatocytes, 529 round spermatids and Sertoli cells (Fig 7 BC). On day 7, the GFP signal induced by EEV-GFP was 530 reduced and only isolated signals in a few seminiferous tubules (1 per 11 tubules) were 531 observed (Fig 7 D). These signals were associated only with Sertoli cells only (Fig 7 E).

For the mRNA vector, on day 1 and day 7 post-injection and electroporation, an intense fluorescence was observed in all the germ cells and in Sertoli cells in the seminiferous tubules (Fig 8A). At the cellular level, this fluorescent signal was associated with spermatogonia, spermatocytes, round spermatids, elongated spermatids, and mature spermatids cells to similar extents for both post-injection times (Fig 8 B, D). In contrast to what was observed with EEV on day 7, no reduction in the number of fluorescent seminiferous tubules was noted when
using *GFP*-mRNA, with 8 out of 10 tubules stained (Fig 8 CD).

539

6. Expression of naked *mcherry*-mRNA in testis following electroporation

540 Heterologous expression is well known to depend on the protein studied, we therefore tested 541 the same reporter proteins to compare the mRNA and EEV vectors in the experiments 542 presented above. Apart from the bioluminescence experiments with luciferase, we compared 543 only GFP protein expression. To validate and confirm the capacity of naked mRNA to express 544 proteins in the testes after injection and electroporation, we further challenged the method 545 with mCherry, another reporter protein (Supp Fig 1BD). We injected homemade naked mRNA 546 coding for mCherry into the testes. As previously with GFP-mRNA, no testicular lesions were 547 observed (Supp Fig 3 A1, B1, C1, D1, E1, F1).

The assessment of the temporal persistence of testicular mCherry fluorescent protein expression revealed a robust red fluorescence from day 1 post-injection, which remained detectable for at least 15 days (Supp Fig 3 B2, C2, and D2). At the cellular level, the fluorescent signal was detected in germ cells, including spermatogonia, spermatocytes, round spermatids, mature spermatids, and Sertoli cells on days 1 and 7 post-injection (Supp Fig 4).

553 Finally, we compared the kinetics and levels of expression of the three different mRNA 554 molecules, coding for mCherry, GFP and luciferase. By comparing the number of mice 555 expressing *mCherry*-mRNA, *GFP*-mRNA and *luciferase*-mRNA fluorescence/luminescence over 556 21 days, we observed first that expression was detectable for all mRNAs on day 1, and second 557 that the duration of expression was slightly different for the individual mRNAs. For instance, 558 on day 15, 100 %, 80 % and 60 % of mice injected with GFP-mRNA, mcherry-mRNA, and mRNA-559 *luciferase*, respectively presented fluorescence/bioluminescence and on day 21, 100 % of mice 560 expressed GFP, whereas no signal was observed for mCherry or Luciferase (Supp Fig 5).

561

7. Endogenous expression of ARMC2 in germ cells

562 Before attempting to rescue expression, we felt it was important to better characterize *Armc2* 563 expression in healthy germ cells, and in particular to study the timing of expression.

564

IF was used to determine when ARMC2 protein was detectable during spermatogenesis. For these experiments, dissociated cells from testes were observed to detect the presence of ARMC2 on different spermatogenic cells. ARMC2 was present only in the flagella of the elongated spermatids (Fig 9A and Supp Fig 6A). The specificity of the signal was validated using testicular cells from Armc2 KO mice, where no signal was observed on all spermatogenic cells (Fig. 9B). In transversal sections of WT seminiferous tubules, ARMC2 signal was not present in spermatogonia and spermatocytes (Supp Fig 6B), but detected in spermatid layers.

572 By analyzing the RNA-seq database produced by Gan's team [28], we show that the mRNA 573 encoding ARMC2 starts to be expressed at the pachytene spermatocyte stage, then shows a 574 gradual increase at the round spermatid stage, and finally becomes predominantly expressed 575 at the elongated spermatid stage (Supp Fig 6C), a result in agreement with a post-meiotic 576 function of the protein. Finally, we also consistently observed a staining at the base of the 577 manchette of elongating spermatids but we have no explanation for that (Fig 9A3).

578 In conclusion, the results presented here demonstrate that the ARMC2 protein is expressed 579 and translated at the late stages of spermatogenesis.

5808. Co-injection of Armc2-mRNA and eGFP-mRNA followed by electroporation is safe581and induces green fluorescence in the seminiferous tubules.

582 We next tested whether the injection and electroporation of Armc2-mRNA molecules had any 583 deleterious effects on testis anatomy and seminiferous tubule structure. We first verified the 584 quality of Armc2-mRNA synthesis by transfecting HEK cells and performing Western blot (Supp 585 Fig 7). After this validation, we co-injected Armc2-mRNA and eGFP-mRNA into the left testes 586 of mice, using the right testes as untreated controls. *eGFP*-mRNA was co-injected to verify and 587 monitor transfection efficiency. The testes were observed under a binocular microscope at 588 different times (3-, 6-, 10-, 15-, 21-, 28- and 35-days) after electroporation to identify possible 589 macroscopic degeneration of the seminiferous tubules. No morphological defects were 590 observed in the testes co-injected with Armc2-mRNA and eGFP-mRNA. An example of control 591 and injected testes from day 15 is presented in Fig 10 A1, B1. The testes were also weighed at 592 different times post-injection, and the weight ratios of injected testes to non-injected control 593 testes were determined. For all time points, this ratio was close to 1 (Fig 10 C), confirming that 594 the method and the mRNAs did not cause any injury at the organ level. Next, under blue light,

595 the efficiency of the transfection was assessed by observing the GFP fluorescence at the 596 surface of the testes. GFP fluorescence was observed on testes injected with *Armc2*-mRNA 597 and *eGFP*-mRNA 2 weeks after injection (Fig 10 B2), indicating that the naked mRNA was 598 successfully transfected into testicular cells.

599 600

9. Motile sperm cells detected in *Armc2* KO mice following *Armc2*-mRNA injection and electroporation into testes

We then assessed whether the injection of *Armc2*-mRNA into the testes in *Armc2* KO mice restored sperm motility. We examined motility of sperm cells present in the caudal part of the epididymis at different times post-injection (3- to 35-days post-injection). For each condition, between 3 and 16 KO mice were used.

605 The Armc2 KO model used is known to produce sperm cells with short and irregular flagella 606 that are therefore immotile on day 0. No motile sperm were observed on days 3, 6, 10, 15 or 607 28 after surgery (Fig 11 A). However, motile sperm cells were found in the epididymis of some 608 Armc2 KO mice at 21- and 35-days post-treatment (Fig 11 A). Indeed, 1 in 3 mice had motile 609 sperm at 21 days post-surgery, rising to 3 in 4 mice at 35 days post-injection. Nevertheless, 610 the number of motile sperm observed remained low: 5.5 % after 21-days and 7.15 % after 35-611 days post-injection (Fig 11 A1). The sperm motility parameters of Armc2^{-/-}-rescued motile sperm were characterized in comparison to those of Armc2^{+/+} sperm using the computer-612 613 assisted semen analysis (CASA) system (Fig 11 A2). These parameters included VAP, VSL, VCL, 614 ALH, BCF, and STR. We have observed significant differences between WT and rescued sperm. 615 In particular, the VSL and LIN parameters are lower for rescued sperm. Next sperm were 616 sorted as progressive, Intermediate, hyperactivated, or slow according motility parameters of 617 motile sperm and recorded from their track (Figure 11A3). The percentage of hyperactivated 618 sperm and the proportion of intermediates in the Armc2^{-/-}-rescued motile sperm population 619 were found to be increased in comparison to the control. Videos showing sperm motility in 620 different conditions are available in the online material associated with this article (Videos 3 621 to 6).

After verifying motility, we looked at the morphology of the spermatozoa present in the cauda
epididymis. Six days after injection of *Armc2*-mRNA, the cells detected were mostly round cells
and abnormal spermatozoa with a short or coiled flagellum measuring between 7 and 20 μm.

The same cell types were observed at 3-, 10-, 15- and 28-days post-surgery. In contrast, the
motile sperm detected on days 21 and 35, had a normal morphology with a long flagellum
(greater than 100 μm) and a hook-shaped head (Fig 11 B and Supp Fig 8).

628 10. Motile sperm cells detected in *Armc2* KO mice following *Armc2*-mRNA injection and 629 electroporation into testes are fertile

630 We subsequently evaluated the efficacy of Armc2-mRNA injection into the testes of Armc2 KO 631 mice in restoring sperm fertility. The fertility outcome was assessed through in vitro 632 fertilization (IVF) and intracytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI) experiments. The sperm rescued 633 from *Armc2^{-/-}* mice were capable of successfully fertilizing eggs and producing embryos at the two-cell stage by IVF (Fig 12 A1-A2). Notably, 62.7% of two-cell embryos were obtained with 634 the *Armc2^{-/-}*-rescued sperm by IVF, compared to only 2.67% with the *Armc2^{-/-}* sperm. Three % 635 of eggs became 2-cell embryos when fertilized with sperm from Armc2^{-/-}, a rate not 636 significantly different to that observed for eggs incubated 24 h without sperm, and likely 637 638 corresponding to parthenogenesis activation (Fig 12 A1-A2).

639 To gain further insight, a comparative analysis of the developmental outcomes of mouse embryos generated by ICSI with spermatozoa from wild-type (WT), Armc2^{-/-} and Armc2^{-/-} 640 treated mice was performed. It should be noted that in case of Armc2^{-/-} treated mice, the ICSI 641 procedure was performed only with the motile *Armc2^{-/-}*-rescue spermatozoa. The percentage 642 643 of live injected oocytes that reached the blastocyst embryos was 46% for WT spermatozoa, 25% for Armc2^{-/-}-rescued spermatozoa and 13% for Armc2^{-/-} spermatozoa (Fig. 12B). The 644 645 findings indicate that the developmental potential of the embryos was enhanced when Armc2⁻ $^{/-}$ -rescued sperm were utilized as opposed to Armc2^{-/-} sperm. 646

647 Overall, these results demonstrate that the *Armc2^{-/-}*-rescue motile spermatozoa can 648 successfully fertilize eggs and produce embryos capable of developing properly into 649 blastocysts.

650 Discussion

The challenge of treating male infertility remains to be addressed. Current assisted reproduction techniques (ARTs) are unable to treat all patients, and alternative strategies need to be developed to meet the legitimate desire to be a father. The aim of this study was to evaluate the potential of naked mRNA as a means to induce expression of exogenous proteins in male germ cells in a preclinical adult mouse model. Based on previous studies using electroporation, we investigated whether the combination of the injection of naked mRNA and in *vivo* electroporation could lead to efficient protein expression in spermatogenic cells. We chose to first study the efficiency of capped and poly(A)-tailed mRNA coding for reporter proteins and compared results to those obtained with a non-integrative enhanced episomal vector plasmid. No EEV plasmid has ever been tested in the context of infertility treatment before this study.

662 Using an adult mouse model, we optimized the micro-injection and electroporation method 663 described by Michaelis et al [15]. We show that the microinjection through the rete testis did 664 not provide a homogenous distribution of the particles throughout the seminiferous tubules. 665 Nevertheless, the seminiferous tubules remained intact, with no signal detected in the 666 peritubular space. The peripheral expression observed was due to the close vicinity of cells to 667 the electrodes, and to a peripheral dispersal of the injected solution, as shown by the 668 distribution of the fluorescent i-particles NIRFiP-180. Our results also showed that the 669 combination of injection and electroporation did not perturb spermatogenesis when electric 670 pulses are carefully controlled. Using such a protocol, we were able to induce the expression of 3 reporter proteins, GFP, mCherry and luciferase in the testis by mRNA 671 672 injection/electroporation.

673 Using whole testicular optical clearing, the reporter proteins were synthesized from the 674 injected mRNA in different types of cells including Sertoli cells, spermatogonia, spermatocytes, 675 round spermatids, and mature spermatids from day 1, and were still detectable after 1 week. 676 These results deserve two comments: first, the expression is very fast and synthetized protein 677 is detectable 24 h injection, second all cell types have the ability to translate mRNAs. 678 Furthermore, the fact that we observed motile sperm at 21 days after injection confirms that 679 spermatids are transfected and that the translation of the protein of interest is possible at this 680 stage. For EEV, we have a similar results at day 1. However, the yields of seminiferous tubule 681 and cellular transfection are lower. In particular, a lower level of transfection of germ cells was 682 observed than with the mRNA. It is worth to note that after one week, the reporter proteins 683 synthetized from injected EEV, were only discernible in the Sertoli cells.

684

685 Based on whole testes fluorescence and, for the first time, in vivo bioluminescence imaging of 686 testes, we characterized the kinetics of mRNA expression. The signal measured is the 687 fluorescence or the bioluminescence emitted by the GFP or luciferase. This signal is dependent 688 of both the half-lives of the plasmid/mRNA and the proteins. Therefore, the kinetic of the 689 signal persistence is a combination of the persistence of the vector and the synthetized 690 protein. For mRNA, it's difficult to determine the lifespan of our mRNAs because these mRNAs 691 have been modified at different levels including 5'CAP, mRNA body, poly(A)tail, which increase 692 mRNA stability and translation [29]. Nevertheless their half-lives should not exceed few days 693 and therefore the fluorescent signal observed, ranging from 15- to 21-days, depending on the 694 molecule being expressed, likely corresponds to the persistence of the protein synthetized 695 during the time window of mRNA expression. The persistence of the reporter proteins is in 696 line with the fact that proteins involved in spermatogenesis exhibit a markedly low turnover 697 rate [30]. This is due to the fact that these proteins are stored within sperm organelles, such 698 as the acrosome, manchette, centrioles or fibrous sheath. These organelles, made during 699 spermiogenesis, remain stable for weeks until the fertilization process occurs because there 700 is no protein synthesis in mature sperm. For example, the Ca_v3.2 calcium channel is expressed 701 during meiosis at the pachytene stage and contributes to calcium signaling during acrosome 702 reaction [31-34]. When using the EEV vector, expression persisted for longer – up to 119 days 703 - due to the intrinsec property of the EEV plasmid which allow its replication in synchronous 704 manner with the host genome.

These results suggest that although EEV expression lasted longer, mRNAs, by targeting more efficiently male germ cells and allowing higher transfection yields of seminiferous tubules, could be a more effective and potent tool to express exogenous proteins in germ cells. By expressing a missing protein in the case of male infertility due to monogenic causes, it could be possible to restore failed spermatogenesis and thus to treat infertility.

710 ARMC2 is expressed in late spermatogenesis stages

We show that ARMC2 was localized in the flagellum of spermatids obtained by enzymatic dissociation. No *Armc2* expression was detected in earlier germ cell type lineages like spermatogonia or spermatocytes. These results suggest that the ARMC2 protein is expressed late during spermatogenesis, which explains why motile sperm were found in the cauda epididymis from 3-weeks after injection in our treated mice. Indeed, full spermiogenesis (from round cell to sperm) takes around 15 days [35], and the journey across the epididymis lasts
around 8 days, making a total of 3-weeks. Our results also confirm those recently published
by Lechtreck et al. [36] from their study of the role of ARMC2 in the Intra-Flagellar Transport
(IFT) of radial spokes in *Chlamydomonas*. They suggested that the transport of the radial
spokes along the flagellum involves ARMC2, acting as an IFT adapter [36]. The presence of
ARMC2 in the flagella of elongating spermatid supports this hypothesis.

722

723 Exogenous Armc2-mRNA expression rescued the motility of oligo-astheno-724 teratozoospermic sperm

This is the first demonstration that proteins can be expressed in the testis following electroporation with optimized, capped and poly(A)-tailed mRNA.

727 Our objective was to develop a new targeted therapeutic approach for infertility associated 728 with monogenic defects. The objective of this preclinical study was to ascertain the efficacy of 729 messenger RNA (mRNA) in expressing a missing protein, ARMC2, in a mouse model exhibiting 730 oligo-astheno-teratozospermia due to the absence of Armc2, with the aim of restoring 731 flagellar motility and fertility. Our results strongly suggest that the strategy did not alter 732 spermatogenesis, as injection and electroporation of Armc2-mRNA or EEV-Armc2 had no 733 effect on testicular morphology or weight. More importantly, the technique was effective, 734 with motile sperm cells found in cauda epididymis 3 and 5 weeks after Armc2-mRNA injection 735 into testes from Armc2 KO males. Nevertheless, it should be noted that not all injected mice 736 were efficiently treated. For example, only 87.5% of the treated mice (14 of the 16) exhibited 737 motile sperm after 5 weeks. The absence of motile sperm at 5 weeks may be attributed to the 738 specific types of spermatogenic cells that were transfected during the electroporation phase. 739 It is possible that the transfected cells may differ between individuals, potentially influenced 740 by the injection and the position of the electrodes during electroporation. If the mRNA 741 transfection occurs in a spermatogonia, it may take more than six weeks (including the time 742 required to cross the epididymis) before motile epididymal sperm cells emerge. This potential 743 timeline could explain the absence of motile sperm in some mice at 5 weeks.

744 Due to the quantity of motile sperm obtained, it was not possible to produce offspring through 745 natural mating. However, the *Armc2*-/--rescued sperm exhibited normal morphology, motility, 746 and *in vitro* fertility. Indeed, the *Armc2*-/--rescued motile spermatozoa have successfully 747 fertilized eggs and produced embryos that were capable of developing properly into 748 blastocysts. These results provide compelling evidence that the method can effectively 749 produce fertile sperm. It worth to note that the significant modifications of the CASA 750 parameters observed for rescued sperm did not impact their fertilizing potential. Naked mRNA 751 injection/electroporation is therefore a promising method to treat infertility. In contrast, no 752 motile spermatozoa were found after injection/electroporation of EEV-Armc2, confirming our 753 previous results suggesting that this nucleic tool does not efficiently enter or transfect germ 754 cells.

755 Although this success, the transfection rate deserves to be improved to obtain a larger number 756 of sperm cells to produced offspring through natural mating. To increase the testicular 757 transfection rate, encapsulation of mRNA into lipids nanoparticles could be used, as used for 758 Covid vaccination [39]. During the writing of this manuscript, Dong team [40], used a self-759 amplifying RNA (saRNA) encapsulated in cholesterol-amino-phosphate derived lipid 760 nanoparticle to restore spermatogenesis in infertile mice. They successfully restore the 761 expression of the DNA Meiotic Recombinase 1 (DMC1) [41-43] in Dmc1 KO infertile mice by 762 injecting a self-amplifying RNA-Dmc1 in the testes. saRNA are genetically engineered replicons 763 derived from self-replicating single-stranded RNA viruses [44]. The saRNA contains the 764 alphavirus replicase genes and encodes an RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RdRP) complex 765 which amplifies synthetic transcripts in situ and the target RNA sequence. The target RNA is 766 expressed at high levels as a separate entity. As a result of their self-replicative activity, saRNAs 767 can be delivered at lower concentrations than conventional mRNA to achieve comparable 768 expression levels [45]. Moreover, saRNA constructs need to be condensed by a cationic carrier 769 into a nanoparticle measuring ~100 nm to enable their uptake into target cells and protect the 770 saRNA from degradation [46]. Finally, saRNA will amplify the RNA without cellular regulation. 771 For all these reasons, if such a strategy is to be pursued, a potential toxicity effect due to 772 saRNA over expression must be investigated in the testes and progeny. Another difficulty with 773 saRNA relates to the size of the molecular construct. saRNA sequences are large and complex. 774 The length of the sequence RdRP is around 7 kilobases, which often makes the full length of 775 saRNA more than 9 kilobases once the sequence for the protein of interest has been 776 integrated [46]. Dong et al. [40] successfully used their saRNA construct to rescue 777 spermatogenesis failure induced by the absence of the small protein Dmc1 (37 KDa), but it may be more challenging with larger proteins such as the structural proteins involved in OAT,

including the 98-kDa ARMC2 [23].

Our next step will be to assess whether encapsulating *Armc2*-mRNA in LNP-CAP could allow a
larger number of germ cells to be transfected.

782 Naked mRNA, a new therapeutic strategy to treat severe infertility

783 Non-obstructive Azoospermia (NOA) and severe oligozoospermia (SO) are the most severe 784 disorders of spermatogenesis and are the most likely to be of genetic origin. NOA is defined 785 by the complete absence of spermatozoa in the ejaculate. Approximately 10–15 % of infertile 786 men have azoospermia, and a further 15 % have SO [47]. For patients with NOA, few clinical 787 solutions are currently available. Generally, testicular sperm extraction is attempted to collect 788 some spermatozoa from the seminiferous tubules, which can then be used for ICSI [48]. When 789 no sperm are retrieved, intra-conjugal conception is impossible. The results of this study 790 strongly suggest that transient mRNA expression of a missing protein in NOA testes by 791 electroporation could be sufficient to produce normal sperm for IVF and obtain embryos.

792 In conclusion, this paper presents the first *in vivo* testicular injection and electroporation of 793 capped and poly(A)-tailed mRNA, demonstrating that it is an efficient strategy to transfect 794 male germ cells and that the duration of expression of the resulting proteins is compatible 795 with restoring spermatogenesis. Our comprehensive study revealed mRNA to be more 796 efficient than an episomal vector, despite longer-lived expression in male germ cells with EEV. 797 The difference was linked to EEV achieving a lower rate of seminiferous tubule transfection 798 and a shorter duration of expression in germ cells. Our findings have also demonstrated, for 799 the first time, that sperm motility and fertility can be restored in mice with an oligo-astheno-800 teratozoospermia phenotype through a technique that combines injection and 801 electroporation of capped and poly(A)-tailed mRNA. The findings presented open new 802 opportunities to develop efficient strategies to treat male infertility with monogenic causes.

803

804

805 Legends

806 Figure 1: Distribution of I-particles NIRFIP-180 in testis injected *via* the rete testis route.

807 (A) A solution containing 0.05 % Fast Green and 1 % fluorescent i-particles NIRFiP-180 was 808 prepared, 10 µL was injected into the seminiferous tubules of adult males, through the rete 809 testes and its efferent channels. Injection was performed at constant pressure under a 810 binocular microscope. The progression of filling of the seminiferous tubules was monitored 811 thanks to the Fast Green. (B) The testes were only filled to 2/3 capacity in order to prevent 812 damage to the tissue. (C) Representative distribution of fluorescent i-particles NIRFiP-180 in a 813 whole cross-section of an injected testis. Nuclei were counterstained with DAPI (blue 814 emission) to reveal tubules. (D) Enlargement of a seminiferous tubule showing particles 815 localized inside the lumens of the tubules. Scales bars: 1 mm and 500 μ m.

Figure 2: *In vivo* injection and electroporation do not alter the morphological structure of the testes, seminiferous tubules, or sperm cells.

818 (A, B) Testicular morphology was not affected by in vivo injection and electroporation of EEV-819 GFP (A) or GFP-mRNA (B). Controls correspond to contralateral testes injected/electroporated 820 with control solution (PBS, 0.05 % FG). (A1, B1) comparison of the testicular morphology of 821 adult testes injected with nucleic acid vectors or control solutions. (A2, B2) Comparison of 822 testicular weight and (A3, B3) testicular length on day 7 after injection/electroporation. Data 823 are represented as a box plot median (n=4 for each condition). A Wilcoxon matched pairs test 824 was used to assess the significance of any differences in testis weights and lengths, and p 825 values of \leq 0.05 were considered statistically significant. (C) Intact testicular structure after in 826 vivo injection and electroporation with EEV-GFP and GFP-mRNA. Comparison of testicular 827 cross section structures. Testes paraffin sections were stained with eosin/hematoxylin and 828 observed by light microscopy (20x magnification). (C1) Control, (C2) EEV-GFP injected and (C3) 829 *GFP*-mRNA injected. Scales bars: 1000 μm.

(D) Seminiferous tubule structures are not affected by *in vivo* injection and electroporation with EEV-*GFP* and *GFP*-mRNA. Enlargement of cross sections showing the fine structure of a seminiferous tubule for control (D1), EEV-*GFP* (D2) and *GFP*-mRNA (D3). In each tubule the different layers of spermatogenic cells are indicated, Sertoli cells (S), Spermatogonia (Sg), Spermatocytes (Scytes), rounds Spermatids (Stids) and sperm cells (Spz), Leydig cells (L).
Scales bars: 20 μm.

(E) The area of seminiferous tubules is not affected by *in vivo* injection and electroporation with EEV-*GFP* and *GFP*-mRNA. Comparison of the seminiferous tubule diameter after injection of nucleic acid vectors or control solutions. Data are represented as a box plot median. The areas of seminiferous tubules (μ m²) were measured for round cross sections of *n* > 35 tubules per testis section (n= 5 testis sections per condition). Statistical significance was verified using a Student's *t*-test.

(F) Injection/electroporation do not impact epidydimal sperm cells. Representative sperm
observed by light microscopy on day 7 after injection/electroporation with Control solution
(F1), EEV-*GFP* (F2), or *GFP*-mRNA (F3). Scale bars: 10 μm. (F4) Percentage of normal
epidydimal sperm cells in each condition. The number of males were n=5 for EEV-*GFP*; n=6 for *GFP*-mRNA and n=9 for WT. More than 150 sperm by males were analyzed. Statistical
significance was verified using a one-way ANOVA test.

Figure 3: Kinetics of EEV-*GFP* expression following *in vivo* injection/electroporation: whole testicular expression

(A1-H1) Whole-mount testes on days 0, 1, 7, 14, 21, 28, 35 and 42 after *in vivo*injection/electroporation with EEV-*GFP*. (A2-H2) Under fluorescence observation, GFP
expression was detectable in transfected testes from 12-week-old B6D2 mice. (C3-H3) Insets
show the absence of autofluorescence in non-transfected control testes, observed under 4X
magnification. The GFP expression presented a punctiform pattern in seminiferous tubules
and was detected from 1 day to 42 days. Scales bars: 1 mm and 100 µm.

Figure 4: Kinetics of *GFP*-mRNA expression following *in vivo* injection/electroporation: whole testicular expression

(A1-F1) Whole-mount testes on days 0, 1, 7, 15, 21 and 28 after *in vivo* injection/
electroporation with *GFP*-mRNA. (A2-F2) Under fluorescence observation, GFP expression was
detectable in transfected testes from 12-week-old B6D2 mice. (A3-F3) Insets show the
absence of autofluorescence in non-transfected control testes, observed under 4X
magnification. The GFP expression presented a continuous pattern in seminiferous tubules and
was detected from day 1 to day 15. Scale bars: 1 mm and 100 μm.

(G) Comparison of the percentage of injected mice exhibiting reporter gene expression. Mice
injected with *GFP*-mRNA exhibited GFP expression from day 1 to day 21. Mice injected with
EEV-GFP exhibited GFP expression from day 1 to day 49. (for EEV-*GFP* n=11 on day 1; n=13 on
day 2; n=10 on day 3; n=14 on day 7; n= 5 on day 10; n= 12 on day 15; n=11 on day 21; n= 12
on day 28; n=15 on day 35; n=17 on day 42 and n=9 on day 49); (for *GFP*-mRNA n=3 on day
1; n=4 on day 3; n=15 on day 7; n= 21 on day 15; n=15 on day 21 and n= 5 on day 28).

870 Figure 5: Kinetics of EEV and mRNA expression by *in vivo* bioluminescence imaging.

(A) *In vivo* bioluminescence imaging quantification of luciferase expression over time following
injection/electroporation of EEV-*GFP-luc*. (A1) EEV-*GFP-Luc* was injected into the testes and
electroporated on day 0. Bioluminescence signal was quantified at several time points. Results
are expressed as the percentage of the maximal signal (mean ± SEM; n=5 mice up to D2; n=4
from D3 to D28; n=3 from D35 to D98 and n=3 from D105 to D119). (A2) *In vivo*bioluminescence images of a representative mouse at several time points after administering
EEV-*GFP-LUC* or PBS, and ex vivo bioluminescence images of testes after 119 days.

(B) *In vivo* bioluminescence imaging quantification of luciferase expression over time induced
by injection/electroporation of *LUC*-mRNA. (B1) *LUC*-mRNA was injected into the testes and
electroporated on day 0. Bioluminescence signal was quantified in the whole testis at several
time points. Results are expressed as the percentage of the maximal signal (mean ± SEM; n =
5 mice). (B2) *In vivo* bioluminescence images of a representative mouse at several time points
after administering *LUC*-mRNA or PBS, and *ex vivo* bioluminescence images of caput, testes,
and cauda after 28 days.

(C) Decay over time of the number of mice expressing reporter genes. Mice were injected on
day 0 with *LUC*-mRNA or EEV-*GFP-LUC* and the number of mice showing bioluminescence in
the testis was counted at different time points, from day 1 to day 119. For EEV-*GFP*: n=13 at
D1; n=13 at D2; n=4 from D3 to D28; n=3 from D35 to D98 and n=3 from D105 to D119. For *LUC*-mRNA: n = 5 mice for all time points.

Figure 6: Testicular and cellular *GFP*-mRNA expression measured on optically cleared testis after 3D image reconstructions from light sheet microscopy acquisitions. Testes were injected/electroporated with *GFP*-mRNA on day 0. On day 1, whole testes were fixed and subjected to optical clearing. (A) Testes were observed before and after optical clearing on a 894 binocular microscope. The right image shows the transparency of the testis after complete 895 clearing, revealing the blue mesh throughout the organ. (B) The internal structure of a cleared 896 testis was 3D reconstructed after lightsheet microscopy image acquisition. The reconstruction 897 was possible only for a half testis due to light penetration constraints. Two opposing faces of 898 the same testis are presented, allowing the distribution of GFP fluorescence throughout the 899 seminiferous tubules to be measured. Pink fluorescence corresponds to the autofluorescence 900 of interstitial cells located around the seminiferous tubules. Scale bars A: 1 mm and B: 500 901 μm.

902

903 Figure 7: Cellular expression of EEV-GFP following in vivo injection/ electroporation. Testes 904 were injected/electroporated with EEV-GFP on day 0. On day 1 and on day 7, whole testes 905 were fixed and subjected to optical clearing. Cleared tested were observed by fluorescence 906 microscopy. (A1-A3) On day 1, transfected seminiferous tubules showed dotted green 907 fluorescence at low magnification (10x/0.45). Nuclei were counterstained with DAPI (blue 908 staining) to reveal the structure of the seminiferous tubules. At the cellular level, fluorescence 909 was detectable (B1-B3) in germ cells including Spermatogonia (Sg), Spermatocytes (Scytes) 910 and round Spermatids (RStids), as well as (C1-C3) in Sertoli cells (SC). (D1-D3) On day 7, the 911 GFP signal was lower at low magnification (10x/0.45) and detectable (E1-E3) only in Sertoli 912 cells (40x/1.15 WI) (n=3) (PTc = Peri-tubular myoid cell). E4 is an enlargement of the red square 913 in E3, allowing the cell type to be identified. Scale bars: 100 μ m, 15 μ m and 3 μ m.

914 Figure 8: Cellular expression of GFP-mRNA following in vivo injection/electroporation. 915 Testes were injected/electroporated with GFP-mRNA on day 0. On day 1 and day 7, whole 916 testes were fixed and subjected to optical clearing. Cleared testes were observed by 917 fluorescence microscopy. (A1-A3) On day 1, transfected seminiferous tubules showed strong 918 broad-ranging green fluorescence at low magnification (10x0/0.45). Nuclei were 919 counterstained with DAPI (blue staining) to reveal the structure of the seminiferous tubule. At 920 the cellular level, fluorescence was detectable in germ cells (B1-B3) including Spermatogonia 921 (Sg), Spermatocytes (Scytes) and round Spermatids (RStids), mature spermatids cells (m-922 Sptids) and Sertoli cells (SC). B4 is an enlargement of the red square in B3, allowing the cell 923 types to be identified. (D1-D3) On day 7, the GFP signal remained strong at low magnification 924 (10x/0.45) and was still detectable in (E1-E3) all germ cell types and Sertoli cells (40x/1.15 WI)

925 (n=3). E4 is an enlargement of the red square in E3, showing that testicular sperm were also
926 stained. Scale bars: 100 μm, 15 μm and 3 μm.

927 **Figure 9: ARMC2** localization in dissociated testicular cells observed by 928 immunofluorescence. Cells from WT and *Armc2* KO mice were counterstained with Hoechst 929 (A1-B1) and stained with antibodies against tubulin (A2-B2, green signal) and ARMC2 (A3-B3, 930 red signal). (A4-B4) overlay of the different staining. In WT mice, ARMC2 is located in the 931 flagellum of spermatids. In KO mice, no ARMC2 signal (red fluorescence) was observed in any 932 cells.

933 Figure 10: In vivo co-injection of Armc2-mRNA and eGFP-mRNA followed by electroporation 934 do not affect testes morphology and weight. Adult WT mouse testes were injected with a 935 solution containing Armc2-mRNA and eGFP-mRNA. After injection, the testes were 936 electroporated and mice were euthanized two weeks later. (A): Whole testis under white and 937 blue lights on a fluorescence microscope (A1): control testes not injected/electroporated (A2): 938 testes injected with Armc2-mRNA and eGFP-mRNA. eGFP-mRNA was co-injected to follow the 939 transfection efficiency. (B): Ratio of injected/electroporated testis weights to control testis 940 weights at several time points post-injection (3-, 6-, 10-, 15-, 21-, 28- and 35-days post-941 surgery). n= 1 mouse per time.

942 Figure 11: Sperm motility is restored in Armc2 KO mice at 21 and 35 days after injection and 943 electroporation of Armc2-mRNA. (A) Adult Armc2 KO mouse testes were injected with a 944 solution containing Armc2-mRNA. After injection, the testes were electroporated. At different 945 times (3-, 6-, 10-, 15-, 21-, 28-, and 35-days post-injection), sperm were extracted from the 946 cauda epididymis of the injected testis, and the sample was then examined with a CASA 947 system to identify the percentage of motile spermatozoa (A1). n= 2 for day 15, n= 3 for days 948 3, 6 and 21, n= 4 for day 10, and n= 5 for days 28 and 35. (A2) Sperm motility parameters of *Armc2^{-/-}*-rescued sperm in comparison to *Armc2^{+/+}* sperm. The motility parameters measured 949 950 were: averaged path velocity (VAP); straight line velocity (VSL); curvilinear velocity (VCL); 951 amplitude of lateral head displacement (ALH); beat cross frequency (BCF); straightness (STR); 952 linearity (LIN). Black dots: sperm cells from Armc2 null mice, green dots: sperm cells from Armc2 null mice 35 days after injection with Armc2-mRNA. Results are expressed as 953 954 mean \pm SD. (A3) Sperm motility population of Armc2^{-/-} -rescued sperm in comparison to Armc2^{-/-} sperm. Black column: sperm cells from Armc2 null mice, green column: sperm cells 955

956 from *Armc2* null mice 35 days after injection with *ARmc2*-mRNA. Statistical significance was 957 verified using a Mann-Whitney sum test. Data are displayed as mean \pm SEM. P values of * \leq 958 0.05, ** \leq 0.01, or *** \leq 0.001 were considered to represent statistically significant 959 differences.

(B) Morphology of sperm cells in *Armc2* KO mice injected or not with *Armc2*-mRNA. (B1-B2):
microscopic observation of epididymal sperm cells from a mature WT mouse. (B3-B4):
epididymal sperm cells from a mature *Armc2* KO mouse 35 days after
injection/electroporation with *Armc2*-mRNA. (B5-B6): epididymal sperm cells from a control *Armc2* KO male. Normal sperm cells were observed in the injected condition with *Armc2*mRNA. (White arrow), Scale bars: 10 µm.

966 Fig 12: Armc2^{-/-}-rescued sperm can fertilize eggs and produce embryos by IVF and ICSI.

967 (A) Armc2 ^{-/-}-rescued sperm can fertilize eggs by IVF. (A1) Illustration of 2-cell embryo obtained 968 W/O sperm, by IVF with Armc2^{-/-} sperm and by IVF with Armc2^{-/-} rescued sperm; green 969 asterisks show 2-cell embryos obtained by fertilization, red asterisks show 2-cell embryos 970 obtained by parthenogenesis and white asterisks show unfertilized oocytes or degenerated. 971 (A2) Histograms showing the mean percentage ± SD of alive eggs reaching the 2-cell embryo stage at 24 hours after IVF without sperm (n=4), with Armc2^{-/-} sperm (n=4), and with Armc2^{-/-} 972 973 rescued sperm (n=5). Statistical significance was verified using a one-way ANOVA test. (B) 974 Comparative analysis of percentage of blastocysts produced by ICSI with spermatozoa from wild-type (WT), Armc2^{-/-} and Armc2^{-/-}-rescued individuals. For Armc2^{-/-}-rescued individuals, 975 only motile sperm were injected. IVF and ICSI schemes were retrieved from Biorender. 976

977 Supp Fig 1: EEV and mRNA maps

978 (A) EEV-plasmid map. The EEV-plasmid contains GFP, EVB ori, GFP, Luciferase, and EBNA 979 sequences under the control of the GAGs promotor. (B) The mCherry plasmid contains the 980 mCherry gene under the control of a T7 promotor. (C) The EEV-Armc2 plasmid contains GFP, 981 oriP, EBNA and Armc2 sequences under the control of the CMV and T7 promotors. (D) 982 mcherry-mRNA was synthetized as described in Material and Methods. It was validated by 983 agarose gel electrophoresis: Lane 1: DNA size marker ladder (100 bp), lane 2: capped mcherry-984 mRNA (IVT product), lane 3: mcherry-mRNA after DNAse treatment. Capped and poly A tailed 985 *mcherry*-mRNA migrated to the expected size of 876 bp.

986 Supp Fig 2: Damaged tubules observed by optical microscopy following overstimulation

Adult mouse testes were *in vivo* injected and over electroporated using 10 square electric pulses to induce damage. (A) Control testis (no injection/electroporation). (B1-B2) Over electroporated testes showing damaged tubules as pearly white striations. Scale bars: 1 mm.

990 Supp Fig 3: Testicular expression of *mcherry*-mRNA following in vivo electroporation

991 (A1, B1, C1, D1, E1 and F1) Whole-mount testes on days 0, 1, 7, 14, 21, 28 after *in vivo*992 injection/ electroporation. (A2, B2, C2, D2, E2 and, F2) Using fluorescence microscopy,
993 transfected testes from 12-week-old B6D2 mice express red mCherry fluorescence. mCherry
994 was detected in a diffuse pattern throughout the seminiferous tubules from day 1 to day 15.
995 (A3, B3, C3, D3, E3 and F3) images showing the absence of autofluorescence in non996 transfected control testes observed at 4x magnification. Scales bars: 1 mm and 100 μm.

997 Supp Fig 4: Cellular expression of *mcherry*-mRNA following in vivo injection/ 998 electroporation.

999 Cross sections (20 μm) of mouse testes on day 1 (AB) and day 7 (CD) after in vivo injection and electroporation with *mcherry*-mRNA, observed under fluorescence microscopy. Red signals correspond to successfully transfected testicular tubular cells; nuclei were counterstained with DAPI (blue). At the cellular level, mCherry fluorescence was detectable in Sertoli cells (SC); Spermatogonia (SG); Spermatocytes (Scytes); round Spermatids (RStids), and mature spermatids (m-Sptids); Scale bars: 10 μm and 5 μm.

1005 Supp Fig 5: Decay over time of the number of mice exhibiting reporter gene expression 1006 following injection/electroporation of the three different mRNAs.

Mice were injected on day 0 with *LUC*-mRNA, *GFP*-mRNA or *mcherry*-mRNA and the number of mice showing bioluminescence or fluorescence in the testis was counted at different time points. For *LUC*-mRNA n= 5 mice at each time point. For *mcherry*-mRNA n=3 on day 1; n=4 on day3; n=15 on day 7; n= 21 on day 15; n=15 on day 21; n= 5 on day 28; n=5 on day 35; and for *GFP*-mRNA n=3 on day 12; n=7 on day 2; n=7 on day 3; n=12 on day 7; n= 13 on day 15; n=10 on day 21; n= 9 on day 28; n=17 on day 35 and n=5 on day 42.

1013 Supp Fig 6: Armc2 expression and localization in mice testis

1014 (A) IF experiment on dissociated spermatogenic cell from WT male. (A1) Nuclei were 1015 counterstained with DAPI (blue staining), (A2) tubulin (green signal) and (A3) ARMC2 (red 1016 signal). (A4) overlay. ARMC2 is located in the flagellum of spermatids Scale bars: 5 µm. (B) 1017 Cross-sections of seminiferous tubules (B1) Nuclei were counterstained with DAPI (blue 1018 staining), (B2) and (B2) ARMC2 (red signal). (B3) overlay. B4 is an enlargement of the red 1019 square in B3, showing that only elongating/mature spermatids were stained. (C) Expression of 1020 Armc2 in mouse spermatogenic cells based on the RNA-sequencing study from Gan et al. 2013. 1021 Primitive type A spermatogonia (priSG-A) were isolated from 6 dpp mice; Type A 1022 spermatogonia (SG-A) and type B spermatogonia (SG-B) were from 8 dpp mice; preleptotene 1023 spermatocytes (plpSC) and pachytene spermatocytes (pacSC) were from 17 dpp mice; and 1024 round spermatids (rST), elongating spermatids (eST) and spermatozoa (SZ) were from 60 dpp 1025 mice. Artificial units (AU). Dpp: day post partum

1026 Supp Fig 7: Validation of Armc2-mRNA in HEK cells.

HEK cells were transfected with *Armc2*-mRNA or EEV-*Armc2*. ARMC2 protein was detected by
Western blot with an anti-HA primary antibody. The expected size for the ARMC2 protein is
98 kDa.

- 1030 Supp Fig 8: Morphology of sperm cells from WT, Armc2 KO and Armc2-rescued males.
- Microscopic observation of epididymal sperm cells from WT, *Armc2* KO and *Armc2* KO-*Armc2* mRNA injected males at 20x (left column), 40x (middle column) and 100x (right column)
 magnifications. In the rescue condition, rescued sperm cells were labelled with a white
 asterisk. Scale bars: 100, 50 and 10 μm.
- 1035 Videos 1 and 2: 3D-microscopic reconstructions of face 1 and 2 of a testis injected with *GFP* 1036 mRNA.
- 1037 Video 3: CASA recording of WT epidydimal sperm cells
- 1038 Video 4: CASA recording of Armc2 KO epidydimal sperm cells
- 1039 Videos 5 and 6: CASA recordings of epidydimal sperm cells from *Armc2* KO mice on day 21
- and day 35, respectively, after injection/electroporation with *Armc2*-mRNA.
- 1041
- 1042 Acknowledgments

- 1043 This work was supported by CNRS, INSERM and ANR-20-CE18-0007 grant to JE. This work was
- supported by the Fondation pour la Recherche Médicale, grant number « ECO202006011669
- 1045 » to CV.
- 1046 Bibliography
- 10471.Boivin, J., et al., International estimates of infertility prevalence and treatment-seeking:1048potential need and demand for infertility medical care. Hum Reprod, 2007. 22(6): p. 1506-12.
- 10492.Thonneau, P. and A. Spira, Prevalence of infertility: international data and problems of1050measurement. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol, 1991. **38**(1): p. 43-52.
- 10513.Kekalainen, J., Genetic incompatibility of the reproductive partners: an evolutionary1052perspective on infertility. Hum Reprod, 2021. 36(12): p. 3028-3035.
- 10534.Kumar, N. and A.K. Singh, Trends of male factor infertility, an important cause of infertility: A1054review of literature. J Hum Reprod Sci, 2015. 8(4): p. 191-6.
- 1055 5. Uhlen, M., et al., *Transcriptomics resources of human tissues and organs*. Mol Syst Biol, 2016.
 1056 12(4): p. 862.
- 10576.Thonneau, P., et al., Incidence and main causes of infertility in a resident population (1,850,000)1058of three French regions (1988-1989). Hum Reprod, 1991. 6(6): p. 811-6.
- Cavallini, G., *Male idiopathic oligoasthenoteratozoospermia*. Asian J Androl, 2006. 8(2): p. 143 57.
- 10618.Colpi, G.M., et al., European Academy of Andrology guideline Management of oligo-astheno-1062teratozoospermia. Andrology, 2018. 6(4): p. 513-524.
- 10639.Hansen, M., et al., Assisted reproductive technologies and the risk of birth defects--a systematic1064review. Hum Reprod, 2005. 20(2): p. 328-38.
- 106510.Halliday, J.L., et al., Increased risk of blastogenesis birth defects, arising in the first 4 weeks of1066pregnancy, after assisted reproductive technologies. Hum Reprod, 2010. 25(1): p. 59-65.
- 1067 11. Davies, M.J., et al., *Reproductive technologies and the risk of birth defects*. N Engl J Med, 2012.
 366(19): p. 1803-13.
- 106912.Kurinczuk, J.J., M. Hansen, and C. Bower, The risk of birth defects in children born after assisted1070reproductive technologies. Curr Opin Obstet Gynecol, 2004. 16(3): p. 201-9.
- 1071 13. Usmani, A., et al., A non-surgical approach for male germ cell mediated gene transmission
 1072 through transgenesis. Sci Rep, 2013. 3: p. 3430.
- 107314.Raina, A., et al., Testis mediated gene transfer: in vitro transfection in goat testis by1074electroporation. Gene, 2015. 554(1): p. 96-100.
- 1075 15. Michaelis, M., A. Sobczak, and J.M. Weitzel, *In vivo microinjection and electroporation of mouse testis*. J Vis Exp, 2014(90).
- 107716.Wang, L., et al., Testis electroporation coupled with autophagy inhibitor to treat non-
obstructive azoospermia. Mol Ther Nucleic Acids, 2022. **30**: p. 451-464.
- 107917.Duan, D., Full-length dystrophin gene therapy for Duchenne muscular dystrophy. Mol Ther,10802024. **32**(9): p. 2817-2818.
- 108118.Jacobson, S.G., et al., Safety and improved efficacy signals following gene therapy in childhood1082blindness caused by GUCY2D mutations. iScience, 2021. 24(5): p. 102409.
- 1083 19. Liu, S., Legal reflections on the case of genome-edited babies. Glob Health Res Policy, 2020. 5:
 p. 24.
- 108520.Sadelain, M., E.P. Papapetrou, and F.D. Bushman, Safe harbours for the integration of new DNA1086in the human genome. Nat Rev Cancer, 2011. 12(1): p. 51-8.
- 1087 21. Ishii, T., *Germ line genome editing in clinics: the approaches, objectives and global society.* Brief
 1088 Funct Genomics, 2017. 16(1): p. 46-56.
- 108922.Parhiz, H., E.N. Atochina-Vasserman, and D. Weissman, mRNA-based therapeutics: looking1090beyond COVID-19 vaccines. Lancet, 2024. 403(10432): p. 1192-1204.

- 1091 23. Coutton, C., et al., *Bi-allelic Mutations in ARMC2 Lead to Severe Astheno-Teratozoospermia*1092 *Due to Sperm Flagellum Malformations in Humans and Mice*. Am J Hum Genet, 2019. **104**(2):
 1093 p. 331-340.
- 109424.in Transforming and Scaling Up Health Professionals' Education and Training: World Health1095Organization Guidelines 2013. 2013: Geneva.
- 1096 25. Yoshida, N. and A.C. Perry, *Piezo-actuated mouse intracytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI).*1097 Nat.Protoc., 2007. 2(2): p. 296-304.
- 109826.Ruthig, V.A. and D.J. Lamb, Updates in Sertoli Cell-Mediated Signaling During Spermatogenesis1099and Advances in Restoring Sertoli Cell Function. Front Endocrinol (Lausanne), 2022. 13: p.1100897196.
- 110127.de Boer, P., M. de Vries, and L. Ramos, A mutation study of sperm head shape and motility in1102the mouse: lessons for the clinic. Andrology, 2015. **3**(2): p. 174-202.
- 110328.Gan, H., et al., Dynamics of 5-hydroxymethylcytosine during mouse spermatogenesis. Nat1104Commun, 2013. 4: p. 1995.
- 110529.Liu, A. and X. Wang, The Pivotal Role of Chemical Modifications in mRNA Therapeutics. Front1106Cell Dev Biol, 2022. 10: p. 901510.
- 110730.Hermann, B.P., et al., The Mammalian Spermatogenesis Single-Cell Transcriptome, from1108Spermatogonial Stem Cells to Spermatids. Cell Rep, 2018. 25(6): p. 1650-1667 e8.
- 110931.Arnoult, C., et al., Control of the low voltage-activated calcium channel of mouse sperm by egg1110ZP3 and by membrane hyperpolarization during capacitation. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 1999.111196(12): p. 6757-62.
- 111232.Escoffier, J., et al., Expression, localization and functions in acrosome reaction and sperm1113motility of Ca(V)3.1 and Ca(V)3.2 channels in sperm cells: an evaluation from Ca(V)3.1 and1114Ca(V)3.2 deficient mice. J Cell Physiol, 2007. 212(3): p. 753-63.
- 111533.Saunders, C.M., et al., PLC zeta: a sperm-specific trigger of Ca(2+) oscillations in eggs and1116embryo development. Development, 2002. 129(15): p. 3533-44.
- 111734.Saunders, C.M., K. Swann, and F.A. Lai, *PLCzeta, a sperm-specific PLC and its potential role in*1118fertilization. Biochem Soc Symp, 2007(74): p. 23-36.
- 1119 35. Ibtisham, F., et al., *Progress and future prospect of in vitro spermatogenesis*. Oncotarget, 2017.
 1120 8(39): p. 66709-66727.
- 112136.Lechtreck, K.F., et al., Chlamydomonas ARMC2/PF27 is an obligate cargo adapter for1122intraflagellar transport of radial spokes. Elife, 2022. 11.
- 112337.Kubo, T., et al., Together, the IFT81 and IFT74 N-termini form the main module for intraflagellar1124transport of tubulin. J Cell Sci, 2016. 129(10): p. 2106-19.
- 112538.Dai, J., et al., In vivo analysis of outer arm dynein transport reveals cargo-specific intraflagellar1126transport properties. Mol Biol Cell, 2018. **29**(21): p. 2553-2565.
- 112739.Schoenmaker, L., et al., mRNA-lipid nanoparticle COVID-19 vaccines: Structure and stability. Int1128J Pharm, 2021. 601: p. 120586.
- 112940.Du, S., et al., Cholesterol-Amino-Phosphate (CAP) Derived Lipid Nanoparticles for Delivery of1130Self-Amplifying RNA and Restoration of Spermatogenesis in Infertile Mice. Adv Sci (Weinh),11312023. 10(11): p. e2300188.
- 113241.Takemoto, K., et al., Meiosis-Specific C19orf57/4930432K21Rik/BRME1 Modulates Localization1133of RAD51 and DMC1 to DSBs in Mouse Meiotic Recombination. Cell Rep, 2020. **31**(8): p. 107686.
- Habu, T., et al., *The mouse and human homologs of DMC1, the yeast meiosis-specific homologous recombination gene, have a common unique form of exon-skipped transcript in meiosis*. Nucleic Acids Res, 1996. 24(3): p. 470-7.
- 113743.Shinohara, A., et al., Saccharomyces cerevisiae recA homologues RAD51 and DMC1 have both1138distinct and overlapping roles in meiotic recombination. Genes Cells, 1997. 2(10): p. 615-29.
- 1139 44. Tews, B.A. and G. Meyers, *Self-Replicating RNA*. Methods Mol Biol, 2017. **1499**: p. 15-35.
- 114045.Bloom, K., F. van den Berg, and P. Arbuthnot, Self-amplifying RNA vaccines for infectious1141diseases. Gene Ther, 2021. 28(3-4): p. 117-129.
- 1142 46. Kim, J., et al., *Self-assembled mRNA vaccines*. Adv Drug Deliv Rev, 2021. **170**: p. 83-112.

- 1143 47. Cocuzza, M., C. Alvarenga, and R. Pagani, *The epidemiology and etiology of azoospermia*.
 1144 Clinics (Sao Paulo), 2013. 68 Suppl 1: p. 15-26.
- 114548.Ma, Y., et al., A risk prediction model of sperm retrieval failure with fine needle aspiration in1146males with non-obstructive azoospermia. Hum Reprod, 2019. **34**(2): p. 200-208.

1147





Figure 2, Vilpreux et al 2023

EEV-GFP



GFP-mRNA





Fig4, Vilpreux et al 2023

Α

EEV-LUC expression





LUC-mRNA expression





GFP-mRNA 1 day post injection





Face A

Face B



Figure 7, Vilpreux et al 2023



GFP-mRNA 7 days post injection

С

100 µm

100 µm

100 µm



Figure 8, Vilpreux et al 2023



5 µm

Merge

Figure 9, Vilpreux et al 2023

10 µm



B Armc2-mRNA + eGFP-mRNA, 7 day post injection









В



WT

Armc2 KO+ Armc2-mRNA



Armc2 KO



Figure 11, Vilpreux et al 2023





D





Pearly white lesions



mCherry-mRNA



mCherry-mRNA , 1 day post injection



mCherry-mRNA, 7 days post injection





Supp Fig 5, Vilpreux et al 2023



α-ARMC2





Α



Merge







Supp Fig 6, Vilpreux et al 2023

Armc2 expression (UA)





Supp Fig 8, Vilpreux et al 2023

