

# **Re-examining meristems through the lens of evo-devo** Moïra Arnoux-Courseaux, Yoan Coudert

### **To cite this version:**

Moïra Arnoux-Courseaux, Yoan Coudert. Re-examining meristems through the lens of evo-devo. Trends in Plant Science, 2024, 29 (4), pp.413-427. 10.1016/j.tplants.2023.11.003. hal-04770204

# **HAL Id: hal-04770204 <https://hal.science/hal-04770204v1>**

Submitted on 6 Nov 2024

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



[Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - NoDerivatives 4.0](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/) [International License](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)

# **Re-examining meristems through the lens of evo-devo**



- 
- 

### **Keywords**

- meristem; bryophytes; apical cell; ferns; lycophytes; *Physcomitrium*; *Marchantia*; *Anthoceros*; evo-
- devo; *CLAVATA*; *LEAFY*; *KNOX*; *WUSCHEL*; *WOX*

#### **The evolving concept of the meristem**

#### *Introductory remarks*

 In 1858, von Nägeli coined the term "meristem" based on microscopical observations of vascular plants. The word "meristem", originating from the Greek word *μερίζω* and meaning "to divide into parts", then referred to a transient, formative and dividing tissue capable of giving rise to all other plant tissues. Additionally, von Nägeli proposed the concept of "primordial meristem" to distinguish primary meristems found at shoot and root apices from secondary meristems, such as the cambium, which fulfill more specialized functions [1,2]. His work had a profound and lasting impact in the field of plant sciences, and it is now widely accepted that apical meristems underpin the development of all land plants, including both vascular plants and bryophytes. However, von Nägeli's definitions originally applied to multicellular structuresfound in vascular plants and did not include bryophytes. At the same time, Hofmeister proposed the alternative idea that a single apical cell is responsible for generating all other plant tissues, notably in bryophytes [3,4]. Later, cytological and functional studies have identified the features that characterize vascular plant and bryophyte apices. These findings have gradually blurred the conceptual distinction between single- celled and complex multicellular apices, thereby challenging the original definition of the meristem. In this context, this article pursues three main objectives. First, we present a concise

 overview of the rich historical context concerning the structure and function of meristems, with a focus on the shoot to highlight the main commonalities and differences between bryophytes and vascular plants. Then, we discuss how the new molecular understanding, when put into the context of evolutionary and developmental studies [5], has the potential to overturn some of the classical distinctions outlined earlier and lead us towards a more unified model of meristem function. Last, we speculate on how recent discoveries could provide new insights into the molecular events that accompanied the assembly of multicellular plant meristemsfrom simpler ancestral structures, over evolutionary times.

#### *Multiple types of shoot apical meristems in vascular plants*

 Since its elaboration, the concept of the meristem has been subject to a continuous re- appraisal. Subsequent histological and cytological studies in vascular plants have offered refined insights into the complex organization of apical meristems, which facilitated the reconciliation of von Nägeli and Hofmeister's seemingly contradictory theories. For instance, works by Hanstein, Schmidt and Foster have contributed to the establishment of distinct, non-mutually exclusive conceptual frameworks, including the histogen theory, the tunica-corpus theory and the cyto-histological zonation of meristems, respectively [6–9]. These theories have illuminated the

 composite nature of apical meristems and supported the idea that cytological zones could coincide with functional zones. Along this line, and building on a substantial collection of anatomical descriptions of vascular plant apices, Newman proposed in 1961 that apical meristems are made of two components: the 'initial cells' (or 'continuing meristematic residue'), which constitute a source of new cells emerging continuously and at a slow pace, and the 'general meristem' described as a broader region of comparatively rapid activity and short duration, where cells mature [10]. This view has been well reflected on substance through the more recent adoption of the concept of 'stem cells' co-opted from the animal biology field [6,8,11–13]. For instance, functional studies in the angiosperm *Arabidopsis thaliana* have established a model where the shoot apical meristem comprises a multilayered pool of slowly dividing stem cells, called the stem cell niche, underlaid by an organizing center. Stem cells have the capacity to self-renew and supply new cells to a peripheral zone of active cell proliferation and differentiation where lateral organs arise [14]. More generally, and in an attempt to capture the structural and functional diversity of vascular plant apical meristems, Newman defined three basic types of meristems named monoplex, simplex and duplex [10]. These meristem types are mainly distinguished by differences in the form, position and division patterns of initial cells, but not their number that can vary greatly between species (Figure 1). This nomenclature has proven particularly useful to distinguish the apical organization of spore- producing vascular plants, *i.e.* ferns and lycophytes, from that of seed-producing vascular plants, *i.e.* gymnosperms and angiosperms. In the following sections, we outline the main meristematic features of ferns and lycophytes, before discussing the similarities and differences with those of bryophytes.

#### *Distinctive features of fern and lycophyte shoot meristems*

87 In ferns and lycophytes, the diploid sporophyte constitutes the dominant phase of the life cycle. It is typically distinguished by a vegetative leafy shoot that produces leaf-like lateral organs, 89 called fronds in ferns and microphylls in lycophytes. In contrast, the haploid gametophyte comprises a small, short-lived, photosynthetic thallus in its more elaborate form. A peculiarity of most fern and lycophyte sporophyte meristems is the presence of at least one clearly distinguishable apical initial cell of a characteristic shape, usually resembling an inverted pyramid or a portion of pyramid, pointing downwards, with inclined side walls, and located at the surface of the apex (Figure 1). In this case, division of the apical initial cell occurs in a direction parallel with the inclined walls, ensuring growth of the shoot axis in both width and depth. Such features, which define Newman's monoplex meristem type, are missing from seed plant meristems. In contrast, initial cells in the latter have no easily recognizable shape and may undergo both anticlinal and

 periclinal divisions. Nonetheless, it has also been proposed that in the lycophytes *Isoetes* and *Lycopodium* the shoot apical cells may undergo both anticlinal and periclinal divisions, which results in multilayered structures resembling the simplex meristems of gymnosperms (see also [15–17] for a more exhaustive account on the structure and division patterns of fern and lycophyte apical cells).

 Besides classical anatomical studies, experimental approaches have allowed to determine the number of stem cells in the apical meristems of a few species. For example, clonal sector analyses coupled with predictive modeling have shown that the sporophytic shoot of the fern *Nephrolepis exaltata* is derived from a single apical cell [18]. In contrast, the tracking of X-ray induced sectors has revealed that a minimum of two transient apical cells underpins shoot growth in the lycophyte *Selaginella kraussiana*, a feature that could be shared with other ferns [19,20]. Similarly, the gametophyte of ferns develops by the activity of an apical cell that may be permanent or short-lived. If the apical cell is short-lived, growth after apical cell termination is orchestrated by a multicellular meristematic region, called the lateral or marginal meristem. However, its structure and function has never been experimentally evidenced [21–24]. Although no apical cell has been documented in the gametophyte of *S. kraussiana*, studies in lycophytes are too scarce to draw any general conclusion about the structure of gametophyte meristems in these plants [15]. Another important feature of the sporophyte meristem is the presence a multicellular zone of proliferation subtending the apical cells. This proliferative domain comprises actively dividing apical cell derivatives, known as merophytes, and correspondsto the 'general meristem' defined by Newman. This domain plays an essential role by contributing to the formation of lateral organs at a distance 118 from the apical initial cells [15,16].

#### *Concealed diversity in bryophyte meristems*

 In contrast with vascular plants, the dominant phase of the life cycle in bryophytes is the haploid gametophyte. Its morphology varies across the three lineages of bryophytes, namely the mosses, liverworts and hornworts [25]. In mosses and leafy liverworts, gametophyte development initiates with a transient stage called protonema. This stage is characterized by a filamentous, thalloid, or spherical tissue, from which leafy shoots called gametophores eventually emerge. In hornworts and thalloid liverworts, the prevalent growth form is a horizontally spreading mat of vegetative tissues called thallus. Regardless of their morphology, all bryophyte gametophytes produce determinate, unbranched and leafless sporophytes [26,27].

 A conspicuous feature of the apex of bryophyte gametophytes, which is shared with ferns and lycophytes, is the presence of at least one morphologically distinct initial cell. In thalloid liverworts and hornworts, the apical initial cells are located in marginal and invaginated regions

 referred to as notches [27,28]. Although the precise cell division patterns in the notch meristem are not fully clear yet [29], anatomical observations have suggested that the number of initial cells might not be constant and could increase, at least transiently, during dichotomous branching of the thallus [30]. More recently, single-cell transcriptomics in the liverwort *Marchantia polymorpha* has suggested that the number of stem cells also decreases with age [31]. Recently developed tools for clonal analysis based on Cre-lox and CRISPR-Cas9 methodologies have provided valuable insights into the role of lineage and positional cues in the formation of dorsal organs in *M. polymorpha* [29]. Such tools hold the potential to provide a clearer understanding of the number and division patterns of apical initial cells, and their contribution to thallus development [29,32]. In leafy liverworts and mosses, the development of the gametophytic shoot is likely governed by a single apical initial cell. In contrast with vascular plants where lateral organs arise distantly from the apical cells, each immediate derivative of the shoot apical cell directly gives rise to a phyllid, the leaf-like organ of bryophytes [33–36]. This means that the phyllotactic pattern is probably a direct consequence of the cleavage patterns of the apical cell [33]. While histology has shown that divisions of shoot apical cell derivatives also contribute to the formation of stem tissues [37–39], the only clonal analysis ever conducted in a moss has not provided a definitive understanding of 148 the specific role of merophytes to the construction of the leafy shoot [35].

 Sporophyte development occurs through distinct developmental trajectories in the three bryophyte lineages. In mosses, it is conducted by at least one single apical initial cell. However, histology in various species has suggested that this stem cell is short-lived and ceases its activity after cutting off a small number of merophytes. Further growth in the apical region of the sporophyte is ensured by merophyte divisions [40,41]. The next growth stage is marked by the formation and proliferation of a multicellular intercalary meristem from early merophytes, at a distance below the apex, which serves to elongate the sporophyte axis until spore differentiation starts [42]. Similar to the marginal meristem described earlier, the stem cell number and division patterns of the intercalary meristem have not been formally determined. In contrast with mosses, hornwort and liverwort sporophytes lack conspicuous apical stem cells and likely extend by the activity of a multicellular basal meristem and diffuse cell expansion, respectively [27,43].

 While all the structures described above serve a 'primordial meristem' function in the sense that they give rise to all the other cells of the plant body, their diversity makes the definition of the bryophyte meristem not straightforward. This diversity not only reflects variations in the number of stem cells and their capacity for sustained proliferation through time (short-lived *versus* indeterminate), but also in the position of multicellular proliferative zones (apical *versus* non-apical).

 The data presented above collectively suggests that Newman's concept of the monoplex meristem aptly captures the features of initial cells found in bryophyte apices. However, notable differences emerge when comparing them to fern and lycophyte shoot apices. First, the 'general meristem' is considerably smaller in bryophytes. Then, lateral organs can either emerge directly from the cleavage of the apical cell itself, like in the moss leafy shoot, or not develop at all, as observed in the gametophyte of certain thalloid species or in the sporophyte of all bryophytes. Moreover, the activity of apical cells can be temporally and spatially decoupled from that of merophytes and multicellular proliferation zones, as exemplified with the intercalary meristem of the moss sporophyte. In some cases, it even appears that no apical cell is identifiable like in the 175 sporophyte of liverworts and hornworts where growth occur through diffuse cell proliferation and expansion.

 These differences prompt the question of whether meristem function, and specifically, the function of apical initial cells on one hand, and multicellular proliferation zones on the other, are governed by distinct or evolutionary conserved genetic regulatory mechanisms in bryophytes and vascular plants.

#### **Conserved genetic regulators operate in various land plant meristems**

 In the past few decades, genetic screens conducted in angiosperms such as *A. thaliana*, *Zea mays* (maize) and *Antirrhinum majus* (snapdragon) have successfully identified essential regulators of meristem function, including *KNOTTED1-LIKE HOMEOBOX (KNOX)* [44]*, LEAFY/FLORICAULA (LFY/FLO)* [45,46], *WUSCHEL (WUS)* [47,48] and *CLAVATA (CLV)* [49,50] genes. In recent years, advancements in genomic resources and genetic transformation methods in bryophytes [51–54], ferns and lycophytes [55,56] have offered unparalleled opportunities to investigate the conservation, expression pattern and role of these genes. Below, we explore the diverse contributions of *KNOX*, *LFY/FLO*, *CLV* and *WUS* homologues across different plant lineages, offering a molecular perspective on the evolution of meristems (Figure 2).

### *KNOX I genes underpin multicellular proliferation in sporophyte meristems*

 In angiosperms, class I *KNOX (KNOX I)* genes encode transcription factors responsible for maintaining cells in an undifferentiated state at the apex and are necessary and sufficient for shoot apical meristem specification (Figure 2A). For example, in *A. thaliana*, the *KNOX I* gene *SHOOT MERISTEMLESS* (*STM*) is expressed throughout the shoot apical meristem. *stm* mutants lack a shoot apical meristem and undergo growth arrest after germination [57], while *STM-*overexpressing plants develop ectopic meristems on their leaves [58]. The presence of *KNOX I* expression in shoot  apical meristem cells of the gymnosperm *Welwitschia mirabilis* suggests that this meristematic function may be conserved across seed plants [59]. *KNOX I* genes originated before the emergence of land plants and were likely lost in hornworts, but their function has been studied in other bryophytes [60].

 In the moss *Physcomitrium patens*, there are three *KNOX I* genes, named *MOSS KNOX2* (*MKN2)*, *MKN4* and *MKN5*. Among these, two are expressed in the sporophyte apical stem cell, but 206 phenotypes of corresponding mutants suggest that these genes are not needed for its function [61]. *MOSS KNOX I* genes are not required either for gametophyte apical cell function, even though their expression has been reported in the apex of initiating *P. patens* gametophytic leafy shoots [61,62]. 209 In contrast, all three genes are active in the middle region of the developing sporophyte. In particular, deletion of the *MKN2* gene prevents elongation of the sporophyte axis. Conversely, constitutive or inducible *MKN2* overexpression promotes its extension. Whilst this suggests that *MKN2* is essential and capable of modulating intercalary meristem activity, protracting *MKN2* expression in elongated sporophytes does not result in a persisting, indeterminate meristem [42]. In the liverwort *Marchantia polymorpha*, the only *KNOX I* gene identified, *MpKNOX1*, is expressed in the egg cell and throughout the developing sporophyte. Mutant sporophytes with *Mpknox1* deficiency are arrested in development soon after fertilization, suggesting a role for *MpKNOX1* in the haploid-to-diploid phase transition without ruling out a possible function in cell division beyond this stage [63,64]. In the lycophyte *Selaginella kraussiana*, *SkKNOX1* is expressed in a multicellular region subtending apical cells, and in the fern *Ceratopterisrichardii,* the *KNOX I* genes *CrKNOX1* and *CrKNOX2* are expressed in and around the apical cell of the sporophytic shoot but are not detected in gametophytic tissues [65,66].

 While ARP proteins play a crucial role in restraining *KNOX* activity in vascular plant meristems, the evolutionary significance of the KNOX-ARP regulatory module in bryophytes remains unclear [66]. Notably, *ARP* genes are absent from sequenced hornwort and moss genomes [51,52,67]. In the case of *M. polymorpha*, only one *ARP* homologue has been identified in its genome, yet its precise developmental function remains to be elucidated [53].

227 Thus, *KNOX I* genes are broadly associated with meristem function, both in apical and non- apical regions. *KNOX I* genes can be expressed in apical cells, merophytes and distal multicellular proliferative regions and are conserved regulators of sporophyte development.

*LEAFY controls meristem function in vascular plants and cell division in a moss*

 The transcription factor LFY/FLO (referred to as LFY hereafter) was first identified in snapdragon mutants that were unable to produce flowers and is primarily recognized for its  essential role in flower development (Figure 2B) [45,46]. However, it appears that *LFY* may also be required for vegetative meristem function, as demonstrated in the angiosperm *Cucumis sativus*, where a reduction of *LFY* expression leads to shoot apical meristem abortion, and other species even if this role may be hidden by other genetic regulators [68,69]. This dual function in vegetative and reproductive meristems is likely conserved in lycophytes like *Isoetes* and *Selaginella,* the horsetail *Equisetum* and the fern *Adiantum*, where *LFY* expression is detected in various reproductive and vegetative tissues of the sporophyte [70]. A study in *C. richardii* where *CrLFY1* and *CrLFY2* have been knocked-down by RNA interference has revealed that *LFY* is essential to sustain 242 stem cell division at the apex of the sporophyte body and also plays a critical role in gametophyte meristematic cells [71].

 Although the role of *LFY* in bryophytes remains poorly understood, a pioneering study has shown that the two *LFY* homologues of the moss *P. patens* are broadly expressed in the sporophyte. Impairment of *LFY* function blocks the first zygotic division after fertilization, which prevents the establishment of the apical cell. *P. patens LFY* genes are also expressed in the apex of the gametophytic leafy shoot, but the lack of phenotypic differences between *Pplfy* mutants and wild- type plants indicate that these genes are not needed for its function [72]. Transcriptome analyses in the hornwort *A. agrestis* suggest that its single *LFY* gene copy is expressed in both phases of the life cycle but predominantly active in the gametophyte, which points towards a putative role in vegetative tissues or an early function in the reproductive transition [51]. *LFY* in the liverwort *M. polymorpha* is highly transcribed in male sexual organs, but its developmental role remains unknown [73].

 Thus, *LFY* expression marks vegetative meristematic tissues in the gametophyte of bryophytes and spore-producing vascular plants. *LFY* also has essential roles in both vegetative meristems and reproductive structures of the sporophyte of vascular plants, and controls cell division in the sporophyte of a moss. Beyond *LFY*'s well-characterized function in floral development, these data suggest a possible conserved function in the regulation of cell proliferation in land plants [74].

### *WOX genes are likely not conserved regulators of meristem function in land plants*

 Identification of *WUS* revealed its essential role in controlling stem cell fate in both reproductive and vegetative shoot meristems of *A. thaliana* (Figure 2C) [47,48]. *WUS* gene is transcribed in the organizing center of the shoot apical meristem, underneath the stem cells, and the WUS protein moves apically to modulate their proliferation and differentiation [75]. WUS belongs to the WUS homeobox (WOX) transcription factor family that is conserved in land plants,

 but *WUS* orthologues are missing from sequenced bryophyte and lycophyte genomes. Phylogenetic analyses of *WOX* gene family members in leptosporangiate ferns suggest that a single *WUS* orthologue emerged in the most recent common ancestor of ferns and seed plants [76–78]. Data from multiple gymnosperms and angiosperms indicate that the association of *WUS* homologues 272 with reproductive structures is broadly conserved among seed plants [77]. However, few WUS orthologues share a similar expression pattern with *AtWUS* in the vegetative shoot apical meristem, which favors the hypothesis of a sub-functionalized function within the angiosperms [77,79].

 In the fern *C. richardii*, the *WUS* orthologue, *CrWUL*, and *WOX* homologues, *CrWOXA*, *CrWOXB* and *CrWOX13A*, are expressed in both gametophyte and sporophyte tissues. *In situ* hybridization experiments of *CrWUL*, *CrWOXA* and *CrWOXB* transcripts have produced contrasting results. Transcripts were detected in spatially restricted domains corresponding to apical cells or their derivatives in the sporophyte root [76] or, concerning *CrWOXB*, in broader regions of cell proliferation of the gametophyte and sporophyte [80]. *CrWUL* developmental function has not been elucidated yet. However, a reduction of *CrWOXB* expression by RNA interference delays 282 gametophyte development, and this correlates with a diminution of cell number. More striking phenotypes are observed in the sporophytic shoot, which produces fewer and less complex fronds and fewer and less branched roots, supporting the role of *CrWOXB* in promoting cell proliferation and meristematic activity. In *Selaginella moellendorffii*, *SmWOX,* that is not a *WUS* orthologue, is expressed in the proliferative zone of the sporophytic shoot apical meristem and initiating lateral organs, but its function has not been reported yet [81].

 In bryophytes, all the identified *WOX* genes belong to the *WOX13* phylogenetic clade, whose function is not associated with apical meristems in *A. thaliana* [82,83]. *Anthoceros* genomes contain up to six *WOX13-like (WOX13L)* homologues expressed in one or both phases of the life cycle [51,52]. Disruption of the single *M. polymorpha MpWOX* gene by genome editing results in mutant plants with reduced gametophyte body size but does not alter the size of the stem cell zone in the meristematic notch, indicating that *MpWOX* modulates cell proliferation outside the apical zone [84]. Among the three *PpWOXL13* genes identified in *P. patens*, *PpWOXLA* and *PpWOXLB* are broadly expressed in gametophyte and sporophyte tissues, but *PpWOXLC* activity is not detected. Fluorescently tagged PpWOXLA and PpWOXLB proteins exhibit strong signals in the zygote egg cell and regenerating protonemal cells from detached phyllids, consistent with their identified function 298 in cell growth initiation during protonemal stem cell regeneration and zygote formation. Whether defects observed in *Ppwox13l* mutant sporophytes result from a specific function in stem cell maintenance or mirror a broader role in cell proliferation or growth control has still to be clarified.

 Importantly, *P. patens WOX13L* genes are not essential for apical growth in the gametophytic leafy shoot [85].

 Thus, the association of *WUS* with shoot apical meristem function seems to be a derived trait in angiosperms. Functional data in the fern *C. richardii* indicate that *WOX* genes, non- orthologous to *WUS*, may be involved in the control of shoot apical meristem activity. Genetic studiesin the moss *P. patens* and the liverwort *M. polymorpha* suggest that *WOX13-L* genes are not required for meristem function in the bryophyte gametophyte.

- 
- 

#### *CLAVATA signaling is a conserved regulatory pathway of stem cell homeostasis*

 *CLAVATA* (*CLV*) mutants have been identified in *A. thaliana* due to their enlarged apices caused by meristem cell overproliferation, in contrast with *Atwus* mutants that fail to maintain actively dividing apical meristems (Figure 2D) [50,86]. CLV signaling involves multiple interacting partners including CLE (CLAVATA3/Endosperm surrounding region-related) peptides and receptor- like kinases, such as CLV1, and operates in a negative feedback loop with WUS to balance stem cell division and differentiation at the apex [87]. Studies of the *CLV* pathway in monocots like maize and dicots like tomato have demonstrated its conserved and essential role in the control of stem cell homeostasis in angiosperms [88]. *CLV* genes are conserved throughout land plants and likely originated in their last common ancestor [89]. Although the *CLV* pathway remains poorly studied in the context of gymnosperm, fern and lycophyte meristems, functional investigations in bryophytes have revealed shared roles with angiosperms [81,90].

 In the moss *P. patens*, the gametophore apical cell forms through a series of stereotypical, oblique divisions from a precursor cell located on the protonema [35]. In *P. patens Ppclv1a1b* and *Pprpk2* mutants, and *Ppcle* knock-down plants, the failure to properly orient cell division planes at an early developmental stage leads to the production of abnormally shaped or aborted gametophores [89]. Similar defects have been observed in others transgenic lines carrying unrelated mutations, like *Ppdek1* or *Pptpx2*, but also unable of controlling cell division plane orientation, which suggests that such perturbations likely impede the formation of a functional apical cell [91,92]. Cell division orientation defects observed in the root of *A. thaliana Atclv1/Atbam1/Atbam2/Atbam3* quadruple mutants indicate that this regulatory function of *CLV* signaling is shared with angiosperms [89]. Moreover, in the rare cases where *P. patens Ppclv1a1b*  or *Pprpk2* mutants grow beyond the bud stage, gametophores produce ectopic stem cells at their base and eventually terminate growth in a mass of proliferating apical cells[89,93]. By contrast, the application of synthetic analogs of PpCLE peptides to gametophores restricts overall growth and suppresses cell division in leaves. Treatment of *A. thaliana* roots either with AtCLV3 or PpCLE

 peptides inhibits meristem activity, which suggests that *P. patens* CLEs can operate through a molecular mechanism that is conserved with *A. thaliana* [89]. Thus, *CLV* signaling in *P. patens* regulates cell division orientation and controls gametophore meristem homeostasis by repressing stem cell identity.

 Treating *M. polymorpha* with a synthetic form of MpCLE2, its sole AtCLV3 peptide orthologue, leads to an expansion of the apical notch and a promotion of stem cell activity. In contrast, disrupting *MpCLE2* or *MpCLV1* gene function reducesstem cell number. This suggeststhat *CLV* signaling has a positive effect on stem cell activity in *M. polymorpha* gametophyte, which is the opposite of what has been observed in *A. thaliana* shoot apical meristem [84]. These contrasting behaviors mirror antagonistic *AtCLV3* and *MpCLE2* expression patterns in apical stem cells and the stem cell-surrounding proliferative zone, respectively. Together with another study on MpCLE1 peptide, these results have led to a model where the zonation of meristems evolved by *CLE* gene duplication (see [94,95] for further details).

 Thus, *CLV* signalling is a shared regulator of stem cell activity in bryophyte gametophytes and angiosperm sporophytes. However, it remains to be formally tested whether *CLV* regulates stem cell function in bryophyte sporophytes.

 The aforementioned data collectively suggests that, despite exhibiting great anatomical diversity, meristems in bryophytes and vascular plants function, at least partially, through evolutionarily conserved gene families. *KNOX I* gene activity is associated with the multicellular proliferative zones of apical and non-apical meristems in the sporophyte. *CLV* signaling regulates stem cell identity and proliferation in both the gametophyte and sporophyte. The function of *CLV* can be either promoting or inhibitory, depending on the species and developmental context. It is noteworthy that these genes are not exclusive regulators of primary meristem function and may operate in other developmental contexts [88,96]. *LFY* homologues are broadly expressed in the vegetative and reproductive meristems of vascular plants and have demonstrated roles in the regulation of meristem activity and fate in multiple angiosperms and a fern. Although *LFY* homologues control the first division of the moss zygote, hence affecting the formation of the sporophyte apical cell, their precise contribution to meristem function has not been conclusively demonstrated. Similarly, *WUS* has a recognized function in controlling stem cell homeostasis in angiosperms, but related members of the *WOX13L* clade have no identified role in meristem function in bryophytes yet.

 Furthermore, recent studies have unveiled the involvement of Arabidopsis LSH1 and Oryza G1 (ALOG) proteins in lateral organ formation in *M. polymorpha* [97], and GIBBERELLIC ACID

 INSENSITIVE, REPRESSOR OF GA and SCARECROW (GRAS) [98,99] and APETALA2 (AP2)-type [100] transcription factors in stem cell formation and homeostasis in *P. patens*. Additional layers of hormonal and epigenetic control also contribute to the intricate mechanisms governing meristem function both in bryophytes and vascular plants [26,33,101,102]. Recent works have notably evidenced the conserved contribution of auxin and cytokinin and addressed how these hormones may interact with each other and with *CLV* signaling to regulate stem cell proliferation [33,93,102– 107]. Based on current molecular knowledge, we therefore conclude that shared genetic control 376 stands as a unifying feature of the varied land plant meristems.

 We note that research in bryophytes has primarily concentrated on testing the biological function of homologues of known regulators identified in angiosperms. In the years to come, a key challenge will be to ascertain the extent of conservation in the genetic control of meristems in vascular plants and bryophytes since their divergence from their more recent common ancestor, and beyond known regulators. This could be accomplished through various means. The development of forward genetic screens in *P. patens*, *M. polymorpha* and *A. agrestis* should facilitate the identification of novel genetic components crucial to meristem function in bryophytes. By applying this knowledge to vascular plants, we may gain a deeper comprehension of meristem control within this lineage and illuminate the mechanism controlling meristem function in the earliest land plants, much like the recent accomplishments with the mechanism underpinning the development of tip-growing rooting cells. Moreover, we anticipate that the application of single- cell RNA sequencing methodologies to bryophyte meristems will enable us to gain a more profound understanding of the diversity of cell identities in these tissues but also identify new molecular signatures and gene expression domains shared with vascular plants.

#### **Multiple evolutionary mechanisms underpin meristem diversification**

 Recent phylogenomic studies have proposed that bryophytes and vascular plants form two monophyletic lineages, diverging from a common ancestor approximately half a billion years ago [108,109]. Following this divergence, bryophytes likely experienced substantial gene loss and body organization simplification [110,111]. However, due to the scarcity of fossils, the fundamental nature and precise morphology of this putative ancestor remains hypothetical [112]. Moreover, the cellular architecture of meristems from early diverging and intermediary, extinct land plant lineages is largely under-documented or inaccessible [113]. Consequently, establishing homology at the anatomical level between extant bryophyte and vascular plant meristems poses challenges, and our understanding of the gradual assembly of extant meristems is very limited. Nevertheless, we

 have shown that meristem function in distantly related species is underpinned by multiple conserved molecular regulators. Such regulators often act within modules, *i.e.* sub-units of a molecular network consisting of two or more interacting partners. Through cross-species comparisons of module function and expression domains, we can infer homology at the genetic level and speculate about the mechanisms that might have led to the emergence of novel meristem features during evolution.

#### *Gene duplication and neofunctionalization*

 Gene duplication and neofunctionalization may underpin the elaboration of new modules, as exemplified hereafter with *CLV* and *WUS* (see also [95]). The interaction between CLE peptides and CLV receptors defines a minimal regulatory module that coordinates intercellular communication to ensure stem cell division and homeostasis. This module operates in bryophyte gametophytes and angiosperm sporophytes, but its function in bryophyte sporophytes has not yet been demonstrated [84,89]. Under the hypothesis that the morphology of extant bryophytes partially reflects that of the earliest land plants, this could imply a potential co-option of the CLV module from the gametophyte to the sporophyte generation during the emergence of vascular plants. The origin of CLV coincided with plant terrestrialization, whereas *WUS* evolved more recently as a result of gene duplication and neo-functionalization in the *WOX* lineage [76,89] (Figure 3A). Typically, following gene duplication, one of the two duplicates retains the ancestral function, while the other undergoes neofunctionalization, acquiring a new molecular function. The recruitment of WUS to regulate meristem function in interaction with *CLV* therefore likely represents a synapomorphy of ferns and seed plants, *i.e.* a shared characteristic gained by their last common ancestor and conserved to this day.

 In contrast, experiments reported in the previous section show that perturbations of *CLV* and *WOX13L* gene function in the gametophyte of *P. patens* and *M. polymorpha* lead to different and unrelated developmental phenotypes [84,85]. Moreover, *Mpwox* mutant thalli remain sensitive to MpCLE2 peptide treatment [84]. This suggests that *CLV* and *WOX13L* gene pathways 430 operate independently from each other in the gametophyte of bryophytes. Nevertheless, based on current data, we cannot definitively rule out the possibility of an interaction between these pathways in the sporophyte. To investigate the relationship between CLV and WOX13L, the first step would be to determine the phenotype of corresponding mutant sporophytes. Subsequently, interactions between both pathways could be examined by combining mutations through genetic crosses.

 Based on the expression domain of *WUS* orthologues in ferns and angiosperms, we speculate that the evolution of CLV-WUS interaction enabled cross-talk between apical stem cells and more distal proliferation zones, potentially increasing meristem size and resilience against harmful mutations. Functional studies in relevant fern and gymnosperm models would be needed to test the contribution of putative *CLV*-*WOX* regulatory modules in the evolution of meristem function and size.

#### *Molecular heterotopy*

 Molecular heterotopy refers to a spatial change in a gene's expression domain. It can lead to new interactions between pre-existing, but spatially isolated modules, as exemplified by *KNOX* genes. For instance, the class I *KNOX* gene *STM* acts through the *ISOPENTENYL TRANSFERASE 7* (*IPT7*) gene to promote cytokinin biosynthesis and maintain *A. thaliana* shoot apical meristem indeterminacy [114]. Although the moss sporophyte is a determinate structure, the *class I KNOX* homolog *MKN2* also acts through *IPT*-dependent cytokinin biosynthesis to promote *P. patens* intercalary meristem function and sporophyte axis elongation. This indicates a commonality between moss and angiosperm sporophytes through a KNOX-cytokinin module, suggesting a deep homology between non-apical and apical multicellular proliferative zones [42]. Looking at *KNOX* expression patterns in lycophyte and fern shoot apices (Figure 2), we speculate that the repositioning of a KNOX-cytokinin module to an apical position might have contributed to the emergence of indeterminate vascular plant meristems (Figure 3B). Moreover, this could have been facilitated by novel interactions with pre-existing modules. Recent research in *A. thaliana* supports this modular view by demonstrating that STM and WUS proteins cooperate through heterodimerization and bind to the *CLV3* promoter to control its expression and sustain stem cell production [115]. Further investigations are now required to understand when and how the spatial and temporal interaction between KNOX-cytokinin and CLV (or CLV-WUS) modules arose in evolution, and their functional consequences during the diversification of meristems. For example, it would be interesting to manipulate *KNOX* expression domain in the moss sporophyte, aiming to sustain its activity in a more apical position throughout its development. This experiment could provide valuable insights into how such an alteration might influence the activity of other apically expressed genes and modulate stem cell determinacy.

#### *Gene co-option*

 Gene co-option serves as a possible mechanism contributing to the diversification of meristem identity, as exemplified by LFY. The LFY transcription factor plays a crucial role in the floral

 development of angiosperms. However, data gathered from a moss, a fern and various angiosperms suggest that *LFY* has a conserved and ancestral role in controlling cell division and/or vegetative meristems. It is plausible that *LFY* was co-opted into a floral role once it gained the capacity to regulate gene regulatory networks associated with reproduction (Figure 3C). Unlike the WOX lineage, *LFY* appears as a single orthologue in most angiosperm genomes, indicating that the same molecule fulfills both ancestral and derived functions. However, the precise mechanism by which *LFY* achieves this dual function within a single species remains unclear [116]. More generally, how *LFY* was co-opted into floral development remains largely elusive, although evidence suggests that its connection to MADS-box gene targets, central to flower development, likely predates the origin of flowers [117]. Additionally, it remains unknown whether LFY acts across all land plants by targeting conserved or distinct genes. Deciphering the repertoire of LFY targets, as well as the role of its transcriptional cofactor UFO, beyond *A. thaliana* should help solve this question [118–120]. Nevertheless, a study in the angiosperm *C. sativus* has shown that LFY interacts with WUS protein to regulate *WUS* expression and maintain vegetative growth. This provides initial evidence that LFY gene regulatory network has diverged in evolution, as *WUS* is absent in bryophytes [68]. This result further supports the idea that the evolution of meristems involved the rewiring of interactions between pre-existing molecular modules.

#### **Concluding remarks**

 Recent evo-devo studies have shed light on the molecular function of meristems in both bryophytes and vascular plants, revealing the role of deeply conserved genetic regulators like *CLV*, *KNOX I*, and *LFY*. The emergence of complex, apical multicellular meristems in vascular plants likely required the spatial rearrangement of ancient genetic regulatory modules, as well as the evolution of new factors such as *WUS*, alongside the rewiring of pre-existing molecular interactions. Many gene families involved in meristematic control are conserved in streptophyte algae, which are the closest relatives to land plants. This suggests that meristem-associated genes existed in the algal ancestor of land plants, thus predating the origin of multicellular meristems (Figure 2) [121–123].

 A major challenge now is to decipher the ancestral function of meristem-associated genes and understand how they were integrated into the development of land plant meristems, ultimately enabling the evolution of multicellular apical growth [124]. A first step towards answering this question comes from a functional study of *Gsm1*, a *KNOX* orthologue in the unicellular green alga *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*, which has provided evidence of its role in the alternation of generations [125]. In this context, the development of streptophyte algal models will

- be pivotal to gain a deeper understanding of this fundamental problem in a broader evolutionary context [126].
- 

### **Acknowledgements**

- We thank Joe Cammarata and François Parcy for commenting on a draft version of this article, and two anonymous reviewers for their constructive suggestions to improve our manuscript. This work was supported by an ANR PRC research grant (ANR-21-CE20-0024) to Y.C., a PhD fellowship from the University Grenoble Alpes and a research grant from the GRAL LabEX (ANR-10-LABX-49-01) within the framework of the CBH-EUR-GS (ANR-17-EURE-0003) to M.A.-C.. The authors apologise to colleagues whose work may not have been cited due to space limitations.
- 
- 
- 

#### **Figure legends**

**Figure 1**





 **Fundamental types of vascular plant shoot apices defined by Newman** [10]. These three types represent an abstraction of the initial cell niche's structure, position, and division patterns within vascular plant shoot apical meristems. (A) In the monoplex apex, a single layer of cell initiation is situated at the surface of the apex. Typically, it comprises a single apical cell undergoing division parallel to the oblique, outer walls. In certain cases, multiple juxtaposed initial cells may be present. The monoplex type is characteristic of ferns and lycophytes. (B) The simplex apex also features a single layer of cell initiation at the surface of the apex. Initial cells may divide both periclinally and anticlinally, *ie*. parallel and perpendicular to the surface, respectively. This apex type predominates among the gymnosperms, and is found in some ferns and lycophytes, such as lycopodiales and isoetales. (C) In the duplex apex, two discrete yet contiguous regions of cell initiation exist. The outer region may consist of one to several cell layers (only one is depicted on the diagram), and cells within each layer divide solely anticlinally. In the inner region, cells undergo division both periclinally and anticlinally. This distinction in cell division behaviour between the outer and inner regions also defines the tunica-corpus zonation. The duplex apex is characteristic of angiosperms and is present in some gymnosperms like *Gnetum*, *Ephedra* and *Welwitschia*. In the diagrams, initial cells are represented in purple, and the general meristem, arising from the coordinated proliferation of these initials, is shown in grey. Only initial cells and their immediate derivatives are shown. Arrows indicate the general direction of cell displacement in the distinct layers. Diagrams were adapted from [10,16]. 

#### **Figure 2**



 **plants and their algal sister lineage.** (A-D) Gene conservation, expression and function data are inferred from [42,57,60–66,127–132] for class I *KNOTTED1-LIKE HOMEOBOX (KNOX I)*,[51,70–74,117,122,133,134] for *LEAFY (LFY)*, [48,76–78,80,81,84,85,135,136] for *WUSCHEL homeobox* (*WOX)/ WUSCHEL (WUS)* and [84,89,90,94,95,137,138] for *CLAVATA (CLV)*. *MpKNOXI* (a), and *PpLFY1* and *PpLFY2* (b) are expressed throughout sporophyte development in *M. polymorpha* and *P. patens*, respectively. "Notch" diagrams represent gametophyte meristems in hornworts, liverworts and ferns, and "tip" diagrams represent other types of gametophyte and sporophyte meristems. Liverwort sporophytes are not represented as they grow by diffuse cell division and expansion.

#### 551 **Figure 3**





553 **Evolutionary mechanisms underpinning meristem diversification in land plants.** (A) *WOX* gene family 554 underwent duplication and neo-functionalization leading to the emergence of the *WUS* clade in ferns and 555 seed plants. Similar mechanisms have been proposed to explain the diversification of *CLE* gene function. (B) 556 A KNOX-cytokinin regulatory module is active in the intercalary region of a moss sporophyte and sustains 557 indeterminate meristem function in the sporophyte of angiosperms, suggesting that heterotopy might have 558 allowed the redeployment of this module from non-apical to apical regions during evolution. (C) *LFY* activity 559 is associated with vegetative and reproductive tissues in vascular plants, and more particularly flowers in 560 angiosperms. Data from bryophytes point towards a more general role in cell proliferation, suggesting that 561 LFY could have been secondarily co-opted into reproductive and floral development. GRN means gene 562 regulatory network.

- 563
- 564

#### 565 **Declaration of interests**

566 The authors have no competing interests to declare.

567 568

#### 569 **Declaration of generative AI and AI-assisted technologies in the writing process**

 After drafting this manuscript, the authors who are non-native English speakers[139] used ChatGPT (version 3.5) in order to enhance the readability of the English text. After using this tool, the authors reviewed and edited the content as needed and take full responsibility for the content of the publication. 574

- 575
- 576

#### **References**

- 
- 1. Nägeli, K.W. von (1858) *Beiträge zur Wissenschaftlichen Botanik*
- 2. Schleiden, M.J. and Nägeli, C. (1844) Zeitschrift für wissenschaftliche Botanik
- 3. Hofmeister, W. and Currey, F. (1862) On the germination, development and fructification of the higher cryptogamia, and on the fructification of the coniferae. *Ray Society (Publications)*
- 4. Hofmeister, W. (1851) Vergleichende Untersuchungen der Keimung, Entfaltung und Fruchbildung Höherer
- Kryptogamen und der samenbildung der coniferen
- 5. Delaux, P.-M. *et al.* (2019) Reconstructing trait evolution in plant evo–devo studies. *Current Biology* 29, R1110–R1118
- 6. Hanstein, J.L.E.R. von (1868) *Die Scheitelzellgruppe im Vegetationspunkt der Phanerogamen*, A. Marcus
- 7. Tooke, F. and Battey, N. (2003) Models of shoot apical meristem function. *The New Phytologist* 159, 37–52
- 8. Schmidt, A. (1925) *Histologische Studien an phanerogamen Vegetationspunkten*, Repertorium
- 9. Foster, A.S. (1939) Problems of Structure, Growth and Evolution in the Shoot Apex of Seed Plants. *Bot Rev* 5, 454–470
- 10. Newman, I.V. (1961) Pattern in the meristems of vascular plants. II. A review of shoot apical meristems of
- gymnosperms, with comments on apical biology and taxonomy, and a statement of some fundamental concepts. *Proceedings of the Linnean Society of New South Wales* 86, 9–59
- 11. Laux, T. (2003) The stem cell concept in plants: a matter of debate. *Cell* at
- [<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S009286740300312X>;](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S009286740300312X%3e)
- 12. Dawe, R.K. and Freeling, M. (1991) Cell lineage and its consequences in higher plants. *Plant J* 1, 3–8
- 13. Foster, A.S. (1939) Problems of Structure, Growth and Evolution in the Shoot Apex of Seed Plants. *Bot Rev* 5, 454–470
- 14. Meyerowitz, E.M. (1997) Genetic control of cell division patterns in developing plants. *Cell* 88, 299–308
- 15. Plackett, A.R.G. *et al.* (2015) Ferns: the missing link in shoot evolution and development. *Front. Plant Sci.* 6, 5328–19
- 16. Romanova, M.A. *et al.* (2023) All together now: Cellular and molecular aspects of leaf development in lycophytes, ferns, and seed plants. *Front. Ecol. Evol.* 11, 1097115
- 17. Philipson, W.R. (1990) The significance of apical meristems in the phylogeny of land plants. *Plant Syst Evol* at [<https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/BF00937760>;](https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/BF00937760%3e)
- 18. Sanders, H.L. *et al.* (2011) Sector analysis and predictive modelling reveal iterative shoot-like development in fern fronds. *Development* 138, 2925–2934
- 19. Gola, E.M. and Jernstedt, J.A. (2011) Impermanency of Initial Cells in Huperzia lucidula (Huperziaceae) Shoot Apices. *International Journal of Plant Sciences* 172, 847–855
- 20. Harrison, C.J. *et al.* (2007) Growth from two transient apical initials in the meristem of Selaginella kraussiana. *Development* 134, 881–889
- 21. Nayar, B.K. and Kaur, S. (1971) Gametophytes of homosporous ferns. *Botanical Rev* 37, 295–396
- 22. Takahashi, N. *et al.* (2015) Developmental morphology of the typical cordate gametophyte of a
- homosporous leptosporangiate fern, Lygodium japonicum(Lygodiaceae), focusing on the initial cell behavior of two distinct meristems. *Am J Bot* 102, 197–207
- 23. Takahashi, N. *et al.* (2009) Developmental morphology of strap-shaped gametophytes of Colysis decurrens: a new look at meristem development and function in fern gametophytes. *Ann Bot* 104, 1353–1361
- 24. Banks, J.A. (1999) GAMETOPHYTE DEVELOPMENT IN FERNS. *Annu Rev Plant Physiol Plant Mol Biol* 50, 163– 186
- 25. Glime, J. *et al.* (2021) *Bryophyte Ecology*, Michigan Technological University
- 26. Naramoto, S. *et al.* (2022) The bryophytes Physcomitrium patens and Marchantia polymorpha as model systems for studying evolutionary cell and developmental biology in plants. *Plant Cell* 34, 228–246
- 27. Frangedakis, E. *et al.* (2020) The Hornworts: Morphology, evolution and development. *The New Phytologist* DOI: 10.1111/nph.16874
- 28. Bowman, J.L. (2015) A Brief History of Marchantia from Greece to Genomics. *Plant Cell Physiol* DOI: 10.1093/pcp/pcv044
- 29. Suzuki, H. *et al.* (2020) Positional cues regulate dorsal organ formation in the liverwort Marchantia polymorpha. *J Plant Res* 133, 311–321
- 30. Coudert, Y. (2017) The evolution of branching in land plants: between conservation and diversity. *Evolutionary Developmental Biology Guide* DOI: 10.1007/978-3-319-33038-9\_63-1
- 31. Wang, L. *et al.* (2023) The maturation and aging trajectory of Marchantia polymorpha at single-cell resolution. *Dev. Cell* DOI: 10.1016/j.devcel.2023.05.014
- 32. Donà, M. *et al.* (2023) A versatile CRISPR-based system for lineage tracing in living plants. *Plant J.* 115, 1169–1184
- 33. Véron, E. *et al.* (2021) Phyllotaxis from a Single Apical Cell. *Trends Plant Sci* 26, 124–131
- 34. Stotler, B. (1972) Morphogenetic patterns of branch formation in the leavy Hepaticae: A resume. *Bryologist* 75
- 35. Harrison, C.J. *et al.* (2009) Local cues and asymmetric cell divisions underpin body plan transitions in the moss Physcomitrella patens. *Current Biology* 19, 461–471
- 36. Lin, W. *et al.* (2021) Leaf morphogenesis: insights from the moss Physcomitrium patens. *Front. Plant Sci.* DOI: 10.3389/fpls.2021.736212
- 37. Berthier, J. (1970) Organisation à l'aisselle des feuilles chez les bryophytes. *Bulletin de la Société Botanique de France* at [<http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/00378941.1970.10838824>;](http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/00378941.1970.10838824%3e)
- 38. Berthier, J. (1973) *Recherches sur la structure et le développement de l'apex du gamétophyte feuillé des mousses*, Revue bryologique et lichenologique
- 39. Berthier, J. (1974) Types d'expressions morphogénétiques observés au cours de la réactivation des initiales secondaires du gamétophyte feuillé des mousses. *Bulletin de la Société Botanique de France* 121, 19–27
- 40. French, J.C. and Paolillo, D.J. (1975) INTERCALARY MERISTEMATIC ACTIVITY IN THE SPOROPHYTE OF FUNARIA (MUSCI). *Am J Bot* 62, 86–96
- 41. Budke, J.M. (2019) The moss calyptra: A maternal structure influencing offspring development. *The Bryologist* 122, 471–21
- 42. Coudert, Y. *et al.* (2019) A KNOX-Cytokinin Regulatory Module Predates the Origin of Indeterminate Vascular Plants. *Curr Biol* 29, 2743-2750.e5
- 43. Ligrone, R. *et al.* (2012) The origin of the sporophyte shoot in land plants: a bryological perspective. *Ann Bot-london* 110, 935–941
- 44. Vollbrecht, E. *et al.* (1991) The developmental gene Knotted-1 is a member of a maize homeobox gene family. *Nature* 350, 241–243
- 45. Coen, E.S. *et al.* (1990) floricaula: a homeotic gene required for flower development in antirrhinum majus. *Cell* 63, 1311–1322
- 46. Weigel, D. *et al.* (1992) LEAFY controls floral meristem identity in Arabidopsis. *Cell* 69, 843–859
- 47. Laux, T. *et al.* (1996) The WUSCHEL gene is required for shoot and floral meristem integrity in Arabidopsis. *Development* 122, 87–96
- 48. Mayer, K.F.X. *et al.* (1998) Role of WUSCHEL in Regulating Stem Cell Fate in the Arabidopsis Shoot Meristem. *Cell* 95, 805–815
- 49. Clark, S.E. *et al.* (1996) CLAVATA1 , a regulator of meristem and flower development in Arabidopsis. *Development* 119, 397–418
- 50. Clark, S.E. *et al.* (1995) CLAVATA3 is a specific regulator of shoot and floral meristem development affecting the same processes as CLAVATA1. *dev.biologists.org* at
- [<https://dev.biologists.org/content/121/7/2057.short>;](https://dev.biologists.org/content/121/7/2057.short%3e)
- 51. Li, F.-W. *et al.* (2020) Anthoceros genomes illuminate the origin of land plants and the unique biology of hornworts. *Nature Plants* DOI: 10.1038/s41477-020-0618-2
- 52. Zhang, J. *et al.* (2020) The hornwort genome and early land plant evolution. *Nature Plants* DOI: 10.1038/s41477-019-0588-4
- 53. Bowman, J.L. *et al.* (2017) Insights into Land Plant Evolution Garnered from the Marchantia polymorpha Genome. *Cell* 171, 287-299.e15
- 54. Lang, D. *et al.* (2018) The Physcomitrella patens chromosome-scale assembly reveals moss genome structure and evolution. *The Plant Journal* 93, 515–533
- 55. Banks, J.A. (2009) Selaginella and 400 million years of separation. *Annu Rev Plant Biol* 60, 223–238
- 56. Marchant, D.B. *et al.* (2019) The C-Fern (Ceratopteris richardii) genome: insights into plant genome evolution with the first partial homosporous fern genome assembly. *Scientific Reports* 9, 18181
- 57. Long, J.A. *et al.* (1996) A member of the KNOTTED class of homeodomain proteins encoded by the STM gene of Arabidopsis. *Nature* 379, 66–69
- 58. Scofield, S. *et al.* (2013) The Arabidopsis homeobox gene SHOOT MERISTEMLESS has cellular and meristem-organisational roles with differential requirements for cytokinin and CYCD3 activity. *Plant J* 75, 53–66
- 59. Pham, T. and Sinha, N. (2003) Role of Knox Genes in Shoot Development of Welwitschia mirabilis. *International Journal of Plant Sciences* 164, 333–343
- 60. Frangedakis, E. *et al.* (2016) Nonreciprocal complementation of KNOX gene function in land plants. *New Phytol* DOI: 10.1111/nph.14318
- 61. Sakakibara, K. *et al.* (2008) Class 1 KNOX genes are not involved in shoot development in the moss Physcomitrella patens but do function in sporophyte development. *Evol Dev* 10, 555–566
- 62. Frank, M.H. and Scanlon, M.J. (2015) Cell-specific transcriptomic analyses of three-dimensional shoot development in the moss Physcomitrella patens. *Plant J* 83, 743–751
- 63. Hisanaga, T. *et al.* (2021) Deep evolutionary origin of gamete-directed zygote activation by KNOX/BELL transcription factors in green plants. *eLife Sciences* 10
- 64. Dierschke, T. *et al.* (2021) Gamete expression of TALE class HD genes activates the diploid sporophyte program in Marchantia polymorpha. *eLife Sciences* 10
- 65. Sano, R. *et al.* (2005) KNOX homeobox genes potentially have similar function in both diploid unicellular and multicellular meristems, but not in haploid meristems. *Evol Dev* 7, 69–78
- 66. Harrison, C.J. *et al.* (2005) Independent recruitment of a conserved developmental mechanism during leaf evolution. *Nature* 434, 509–514
- 67. Rensing, S.A. *et al.* (2008) The Physcomitrella genome reveals evolutionary insights into the conquest of land by plants. *Science* 319, 64–69
- 68. Zhao, W. *et al.* (2018) CsLFY is required for shoot meristem maintenance via interaction with WUSCHEL in cucumber (Cucumis sativus). *New Phytol* 218, 344–356
- 69. Chahtane, H. *et al.* (2013) A variant of LEAFY reveals its capacity to stimulate meristem development by inducing RAX1. *Plant J* 74, 678–689
- 70. Rodríguez-Pelayo, C. *et al.* (2022) Evolution and expression of LEAFY genes in ferns and lycophytes. *Evodevo* DOI: 10.1186/s13227-021-00188-9
- 71. Plackett, A.R. *et al.* (2018) LEAFY maintains apical stem cell activity during shoot development in the fern Ceratopteris richardii. *eLife Sciences* 7, 1130
- 72. Tanahashi, T. *et al.* (2005) Diversification of gene function: homologs of the floral regulator FLO/LFY control the first zygotic cell division in the moss Physcomitrella patens. *Development* 132, 1727–1736
- 73. Kawamura, S. *et al.* (2022) MarpolBase Expression: A Web-Based, Comprehensive Platform for
- Visualization and Analysis of Transcriptomes in the Liverwort Marchantia polymorpha. *Plant Cell Physiol* 63, 1745–1755
- 74. Moyroud, E. *et al.* (2010) LEAFY blossoms. *Trends Plant Sci* 15, 346–352
- 75. Yadav, R.K. *et al.* (2011) WUSCHEL protein movement mediates stem cell homeostasis in the Arabidopsis shoot apex. *Gene Dev* 25, 2025–2030
- 76. Nardmann, J. and Werr, W. (2012) The invention of WUS-like stem cell-promoting functions in plants predates leptosporangiate ferns. *Plant Mol Biol* 78, 123–134
- 77. Nardmann, J. *et al.* (2009) Discrete shoot and root stem cell-promoting WUS/WOX5 functions are an evolutionary innovation of angiosperms. *Mol Biol Evol* 26, 1745–1755
- 78. Alvarez, J.M. *et al.* (2015) The WUSCHEL-RELATED HOMEOBOX 3 gene PaWOX3 regulates lateral organ formation in Norway spruce. *New Phytol* 208, 1078–1088
- 79. Stuurman, J. *et al.* (2002) Shoot meristem maintenance is controlled by a GRAS-gene mediated signal from differentiating cells. *Genes Dev.* 16, 2213–2218
- 80. Youngstrom, C.E. *et al.* (2019) A fern WUSCHEL-RELATED HOMEOBOX gene functions in both gametophyte and sporophyte generations. *BMC Plant Biology* DOI: 10.1186/s12870-019-1991-8
- 81. Frank, M.H. *et al.* (2015) Dissecting the molecular signatures of apical cell-type shoot meristems from two ancient land plant lineages. *New Phytol* 207, 893–904
- 82. Romera-Branchat, M. *et al.* (2013) The WOX13 homeobox gene promotes replum formation in the Arabidopsis thaliana fruit. *Plant J* 73, 37–49
- 83. Deveaux, Y. *et al.* (2008) Genes of the most conserved WOX clade in plants affect root and flower development in Arabidopsis. *Bmc Evol Biol* 8, 291
- 84. Hirakawa, Y. *et al.* (2020) Induction of Multichotomous Branching by CLAVATA Peptide in Marchantia polymorpha. *Current Biology* DOI: 10.1016/j.cub.2020.07.016
- 85. Sakakibara, K. *et al.* (2014) WOX13-like genes are required for reprogramming of leaf and protoplast cells into stem cells in the moss Physcomitrella patens. *Development* 141, 1660–1670
- 86. Clark, S.E. *et al.* (1993) CLAVATA1, a regulator of meristem and flower development in Arabidopsis. *Development* 119, 397–418
- 87. Schoof, H. *et al.* (2000) The Stem Cell Population of Arabidopsis Shoot Meristems Is Maintained by a Regulatory Loop between the CLAVATA and WUSCHEL Genes. *Cell* 100, 635–644
- 88. Somssich, M. *et al.* (2016) CLAVATA-WUSCHEL signaling in the shoot meristem. *Development* 143, 3238– 3248
- 89. Whitewoods, C.D. *et al.* (2018) CLAVATA Was a Genetic Novelty for the Morphological Innovation of 3D Growth in Land Plants. *Current Biology* DOI: 10.1016/j.cub.2018.05.068
- 90. Whitewoods, C.D. (2020) Evolution of CLE peptide signalling. *Semin Cell Dev Biol* DOI: 10.1016/j.semcdb.2020.04.022
- 91. Perroud, P.-F. *et al.* (2014) Defective Kernel 1 (DEK1) is required for three-dimensional growth in Physcomitrella patens. *New Phytol* 203, 794–804
- 92. Kozgunova, E. *et al.* (2022) Spindle motility skews division site determination during asymmetric cell division in Physcomitrella. *Nat Commun* 13, 2488
- 93. Cammarata, J. *et al.* (2022) Cytokinin-CLAVATA cross-talk is an ancient mechanism regulating shoot meristem homeostasis in land plants. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 119, e2116860119
- 94. Hirakawa, Y. *et al.* (2019) Control of proliferation in the haploid meristem by CLE peptide signaling in Marchantia polymorpha. *PLoS Genet* 15, e1007997-20
- 95. Hirakawa, Y. (2022) Evolution of meristem zonation by CLE gene duplication in land plants. *Nature Plants* 8, 735–740
- 96. Hay, A. and Tsiantis, M. (2010) KNOX genes: versatile regulators of plant development and diversity. *Development* 137, 3153–3165
- 97. Naramoto, S. *et al.* (2019) A conserved regulatory mechanism mediates the convergent evolution of plant shoot lateral organs. *PLoS Biol* 17, e3000560-20
- 98. Beheshti, H. *et al.* (2021) PpGRAS12 acts as a positive regulator of meristem formation in Physcomitrium patens. *Plant Mol Biol* 107, 293–305
- 99. Geng, Y. *et al.* (2021) Conservation and diversification of HAIRY MERISTEM gene family in land plants. *Plant J.* 106, 366–378
- 100. Aoyama, T. *et al.* (2012) AP2-type transcription factors determine stem cell identity in the moss Physcomitrella patens. *Development* 139, 3120–3129
- 101. Hata, Y. and Kyozuka, J. (2021) Fundamental mechanisms of the stem cell regulation in land plants: lesson from shoot apical cells in bryophytes. *Plant Mol Biol* DOI: 10.1007/s11103-021-01126-y
- 102. Thelander, M. *et al.* (2022) Apical dominance control by TAR-YUC-mediated auxin biosynthesis is a deep homology of land plants. *Current Biology* 32, 3838-3846.e5
- 103. Thelander, M. *et al.* (2019) Minimal auxin sensing levels in vegetative moss stem cells revealed by a ratiometric reporter. *The New Phytologist* 144, 427–14
- 104. Bennett, T.A. *et al.* (2014) Plasma Membrane-Targeted PIN Proteins Drive Shoot Development in a Moss. *Current Biology* 24, 2776–2785
- 105. Eklund, D.M. *et al.* (2015) Auxin Produced by the Indole-3-Pyruvic Acid Pathway Regulates Development and Gemmae Dormancy in the Liverwort Marchantia polymorpha. *The Plant Cell Online* 27, 1650–1669
- 106. Ishida, S. *et al.* (2022) Diminished Auxin Signaling Triggers Cellular Reprogramming by Inducing a Regeneration Factor in the Liverwort Marchantia polymorpha. *Plant Cell Physiol* 63, 384–400
- 107. Cammarata, J. *et al.* (2023) The ratio of auxin to cytokinin controls leaf development and meristem initiation in Physcomitrium patens. *J. Exp. Bot.* DOI: 10.1093/jxb/erad299
- 108. Puttick, M.N. *et al.* (2018) The Interrelationships of Land Plants and the Nature of the Ancestral Embryophyte. *Current Biology* DOI: 10.1016/j.cub.2018.01.063
- 109. Morris, J.L. *et al.* (2018) The timescale of early land plant evolution. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 115, E2274–E2283
- 110. Harris, B.J. *et al.* (2022) Divergent evolutionary trajectories of bryophytes and tracheophytes from a complex common ancestor of land plants. *Nat Ecol Evol* DOI: 10.1038/s41559-022-01885-x
- 111. Harris, B.J. *et al.* (2020) Phylogenomic Evidence for the Monophyly of Bryophytes and the Reductive Evolution of Stomata. *Current Biology* DOI: 10.1016/j.cub.2020.03.048
- 112. Kenrick, P. (2018) Changing expressions: a hypothesis for the origin of the vascular plant life cycle. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond, B, Biol Sci* 373
- 113. Hetherington, A.J. and Dolan, L. (2018) Stepwise and independent origins of roots among land plants. *Nature* DOI: 10.1038/s41586-018-0445-z
- 114. Yanai, O. *et al.* (2005) Arabidopsis KNOXI proteins activate cytokinin biosynthesis. *Curr Biol* 15, 1566–1571
- 115. Su, Y.H. *et al.* (2020) Integration of pluripotency pathways regulates stem cell maintenance in the Arabidopsis shoot meristem. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 117, 22561–22571
- 116. Gao, B. *et al.* (2019) Ancient duplications and grass-specific transposition influenced the evolution of LEAFY transcription factor genes. *Communications Biology* DOI: 10.1038/s42003-019-0469-4
- 117. Moyroud, E. *et al.* (2017) A link between LEAFY and B-gene homologues in Welwitschia mirabilissheds light on ancestral mechanisms prefiguring floral development. *New Phytol* 7, 297–13
- 118. Winter, C.M. *et al.* (2011) LEAFY Target Genes Reveal Floral Regulatory Logic, cis Motifs, and a Link to Biotic Stimulus Response. *Dev Cell* 20, 430–443
- 119. Rieu, P. *et al.* (2023) The F-box protein UFO controls flower development by redirecting the master transcription factor LEAFY to new cis-elements. *Nature Plants* DOI: 10.1038/s41477-022-01336-2
- 120. Rieu, P. *et al.* (2023) Thinking outside the F-box: how UFO controls angiosperm development. *N. Phytol.* DOI: 10.1111/nph.19234
- 121. Nishiyama, T. *et al.* (2018) The Chara Genome: Secondary Complexity and Implications for Plant Terrestrialization. *Cell* 174, 448-464.e24
- 122. Cheng, S. *et al.* (2019) Genomes of Subaerial Zygnematophyceae Provide Insights into Land Plant Evolution. *Cell* 179, 1057-1067.e14
- 123. Wang, S. *et al.* (2019) Genomes of early-diverging streptophyte algae shed light on plant terrestrialization. *Nature Plants* DOI: 10.1038/s41477-019-0560-3
- 124. Fouracre, J.P. and Harrison, C.J. (2022) How was apical growth regulated in the ancestral land plant? Insights from the development of non-seed plants. *Plant Physiol* 190, 100–112
- 125. Lee, J.-H. *et al.* (2008) Early Sexual Origins of Homeoprotein Heterodimerization and Evolution of the Plant KNOX/BELL Family. *Cell* 133, 829–840
- 126. Rensing, S.A. (2017) Why we need more non-seed plant models. *N. Phytol.* 216, 355–360
- 127. Ambrose, B.A. and Vasco, A. (2016) Bringing the multicellular fern meristem into focus. *New Phytol* 210, 790–793
- 128. Frank, M.H. and Scanlon, M.J. (2015) Transcriptomic evidence for the evolution of shoot meristem
- function in sporophyte-dominant land plants through concerted selection of ancestral gametophytic and sporophytic genetic programs. *Mol Biol Evol* 32, 355–367
- 129. Spencer, V. *et al.* (2020) What can lycophytes teach us about plant evolution and development? Modern perspectives on an ancient lineage. *Evol Dev* 23, 174–196
- 130. Kawai, J. *et al.* (2010) Class 1 KNOX Gene Expression Supports the Selaginella Rhizophore Concept. *J Plant Biol* 53, 268–274
- 131. Cruz, R. *et al.* (2020) Class I KNOX Is Related to Determinacy during the Leaf Development of the Fern Mickelia scandens (Dryopteridaceae). *Int J Mol Sci* 21, 4295
- 831 132. Bueno, N. *et al.* (2020) Characterization of the KNOTTED1-LIKE HOMEOBOX (KNOX) gene family in Pinus pinaster Ait. *Plant Sci* 301, 110691
- 133. Mouradov, A. *et al.* (1998) NEEDLY, a Pinus radiata ortholog of FLORICAULA/LEAFY genes, expressed in both reproductive and vegetative meristems. *Proc National Acad Sci* 95, 6537–6542
- 134. Yang, T. *et al.* (2017) Two LEAFY homologs ILFY1 and ILFY2 control reproductive and vegetative developments in Isoetes L. *Scientific Reports* DOI: 10.1038/s41598-017-00297-3
- 135. Nardmann, J. and Werr, W. (2013) Symplesiomorphies in the WUSCHEL clade suggest that the last common ancestor of seed plants contained at least four independent stem cell niches. *New Phytol* 199, 1081– 1092
- 136. Ge, Y. *et al.* (2016) Identification of WOX Family Genes in Selaginella kraussiana for Studies on Stem Cells and Regeneration in Lycophytes. *Front. Plant Sci.* 7, 615–10
- 137. Fletcher, J.C. *et al.* (1999) Signaling of cell fate decisions by CLAVATA3 in Arabidopsis shoot meristems. *Science* 283, 1911–1914
- 138. Brand, U. *et al.* (2000) Dependence of Stem Cell Fate in Arabidopsis on a Feedback Loop Regulated by CLV3 Activity. *Science* 289, 617–619
- 139. Amano, T. *et al.* (2023) The manifold costs of being a non-native English speaker in science. *PLOS Biol.* 21, e3002184
-