TITLE

Characterizing acute-onset small fiber neuropathy

AUTHORS AND AFFILIATIONS

- 5 Thierry Gendre^{1,2}*, Jean-Pascal Lefaucheur^{2,3,4}, Tarik Nordine^{2,3,4}, Yasmine Baba-Amer⁵,
- 6 François-Jérôme Authier^{2,5,6}, Jérôme Devaux⁷, Alain Créange^{1,2,4}
- 1. Service de Neurologie, CHU Henri Mondor APHP, Créteil, France
- 2. Centre de Référence des Maladies Neuromusculaires Nord/Est/Ile-de-France
- 3. Unité de Neurophysiologie Clinique, CHU Henri Mondor APHP, Créteil, France
- 4. Unité de Recherche EA 4391, Faculté de Santé, Université Paris Est Créteil, Créteil, France
- 5. IMRB INSERM U955-Equipe 10, Université Paris Est Créteil, Créteil, France
- 6. Service d'Anatomo-Pathologie, CHU Henri Mondor APHP, Créteil, France
- Faculté de Santé, Université F
10, Université Paris Est Créte
2, CHU Henri Mondor APHP,
ctionnelle, Université de N 7. Institut de Génomique Fonctionnelle, Université de Montpellier, CNRS, INSERM, Montpellier, France
-

***Corresponding author**

- Thierry Gendre
- 16-digit ORCID: 0000-0003-2514-6148
- Service de Neurologie
- CHU Henri Mondor APHP
- 1 rue Gustave Eiffel 94010 CRETEIL CEDEX (France)
- Phone: +33 (0)1 49 81 23 03
- Mail: thierry.gendre@aphp.fr

MANUSCRIPT FEATURES Article type: Research Article Title: 48 characters (140 characters max) Abstract: 349 (350 words max) Text: 3272 (4500 words max) Figures and Tables: 7 (7 max) References: 47 (50 max)

ABSTRACT

 Background and Objectives: Immune-mediated small fiber neuropathy (SFN) is increasingly recognized. Acute-onset SFN (AOSFN) remains poorly described. Herein, we report a series of AOSFN cases in which immune origins are debatable.

 Methods: We included consecutive patients with probable or definite acute-onset SFN. Diagnosis of SFN was based on the NEURODIAB criteria. Acute onset was considered when the maximum intensity and extension of both symptoms and signs were reached within 28 days. We performed the following investigations: clinical examination, neurophysiological assessment encompassing a nerve conduction study to rule out large fiber neuropathy, laser- evoked potentials (LEPs), warm detection thresholds (WDT), and electrochemical skin conductance (ESC), epidermal nerve fiber density (ENF), and patient serum reactivity against mouse sciatic nerve teased fibers, mouse dorsal root ganglion (DRG) sections, and cultured 46 DRG. The serum reactivity of healthy subjects $(n=10)$ and diseased controls $(n=12)$ was also analyzed. Data on baseline characteristics, biological investigations, and disease course were collected.

cateristics, biological investigated
cteristics, biological investigated
ing AOSFN were identified (
as definite in 18 patients (90%).
sent in 16 patients (80%).
-28]. Pain was present in 17 **Results:** Twenty patients presenting AOSFN were identified (60% women; median age: 44.2 years [IQR: 35.7–56.2]). SFN was definite in 18 patients (90%) and probable in two patients. A precipitating event was present in 16 patients (80%). The median duration of the progression phase was 14 days [5–28]. Pain was present in 17 patients (85%). Twelve patients (60%) reported autonomic involvement. The clinical pattern was predominantly non-length- dependent (85%). Diagnosis was confirmed by abnormal LEPs (60%), ENF (55%), WDT (39%), or ESC (31%). CSF analysis was normal in 5/5 patients. Anti-fibroblast growth factor 3 antibodies were positive in 4/18 patients (22%) and anti-contactin-associated protein-2 antibodies in one patient. *In vitro* studies showed IgG immunoreactivity against nerve tissue in 14 patients (70%), but not in healthy subjects or diseased controls. Patient serum antibodies bound to unmyelinated fibers, Schwann cells, juxtaparanodes, paranodes, or DRG. The patients' condition improved after a short course of oral corticosteroids (3/3). Thirteen patients (65%) showed partial or complete recovery. Others displayed relapses or a chronic course.

 Discussion: AOSFN primarily presents as an acute, non-length-dependent, symmetric painful neuropathy with a variable disease course. An immune-mediated origin has been suggested, based on *in vitro* immunohistochemical studies.

KEYWORDS (5)

- Small fiber neuropathy; acute inflammatory polyneuropathy; neuralgia; sensory neuropathy;
- peripheral neuropathy

⁷¹ **MAIN TEXT**

⁷² **INTRODUCTION**

73 Small fiber neuropathies (SFN) are characterized by preferential involvement of thinly 74 myelinated A δ -fibers and unmyelinated C-fibers.¹ Immune-mediated SFN are increasingly 75 recognized, 2^{-5} and might be immunotherapy-responsive.^{6,7}

76 Diagnosis of SFN is challenging. Indeed, SFN diagnostic criteria regularly evolve $8-10$ but 77 remain controversial.¹¹ Among the NEURODIAB criteria, SFN is typically chronic length-78 dependent polyneuropathy.^{1,9} However, several phenotypes have been described, such as non-79 length dependent SFN^{12} and acute-onset $SFN (AOSFN)^{13}$

e, mainly reported as single

Some AOSFN are associated

ity.¹⁵ We report a series of

and experimental assessment 80 The clinical presentation of AOSFN may be initially misleading, characterized by isolated 81 acute neuropathic pain or pure sensory symptoms, and normal nerve conduction studies.^{6,13–16} 82 Descriptions of AOSFN are rare, mainly reported as single cases or small series without 83 systematic investigations^{6,13–19}. Some AOSFN are associated with the transient presence of 84 antibodies of unknown specificity.¹⁵ We report a series of AOSFN cases with clinical, 85 neurophysiological, pathological, and experimental assessment.

METHODS

Patient population

 Between April 2017 and April 2022, we included all consecutive inpatients and outpatients referred to our tertiary neuromuscular center for AOSFN, that is probable or definite SFN 91 according to the NEURODIAB criteria, with a maximal four-week onset.

moduction study (NCS) at the
pain or paresthesia), negative
pus stimuli), positive signs of
nd negative signs (thermal
l fiber involvement.
xtension and maximal intensi All patients underwent a complete clinical examination, including evoked pain and thermal sensory assessment, by two neurologists (TG and AC). The diagnosis of probable SFN was based on the NEURODIAB criteria according to the presence of symptoms and clinical signs of small fiber damage in an anatomical distribution compatible with peripheral neuropathy 96 and without any clinical or neurophysiological signs of large fiber involvement.⁹ Indeed, patients were excluded if they presented any clinical signs of large fiber involvement (including ataxia, muscle weakness, proprioceptive impairment, or vibration sensation alteration) or abnormal nerve conduction study (NCS) at the time of the first investigation. Positive symptoms (neuropathic pain or paresthesia), negative symptoms (reduced or absent sensitivity to cold, heat, or noxious stimuli), positive signs of mechanical or thermal stimuli (allodynia or hyperalgesia), and negative signs (thermal or pain hypoesthesia) were considered compatible with small fiber involvement.

 Acute onset was defined as the extension and maximal intensity of both symptoms and signs reached within 28 days.

 A length-dependent pattern, based on patient history and clinical examination, was defined as predominant distal symmetric neuropathy, beginning at the feet and involving the fingers 108 when it ascends up to the knees.²⁰ Other patterns were considered non-length dependent.

Standard Protocol Approvals, Registrations, and Patient Consents

 This study was approved by an Independent Ethics Committee (CO-15-006; Bicêtre, 2015 112 December $18th$). All patients provided a written informed consent before participation.

Neurophysiological assessment

Large fiber assessment

- Conventional electrodiagnostic studies, including NCS and needle electromyography (EMG),
- were performed before inclusion and were normal for all patients.

Small fiber assessment

Equal the size of electrodes placed

ials were performed, and the

ss: 0.5-30 Hz) and trials cont

aw signal exceeding 70 μ V

ed by measuring N2-P2 pe

il if latencies were above 170

pplitude was below 15 uV at I The laser-evoked potentials (LEPs) were used to assess the function of Aδ-fibers. LEPs were recorded by laser stimulation of the dorsum of the hands (radial nerve territory) and feet (superficial fibular nerve territory) on both sides, using an ND:YAP laser (Stimul 1340 laser, Electronic Engineering, Florence, Italy). Each stimulus consisted of a brief radiant heat pulse (laser beam diameter 4mm, pulse duration 5ms, energy progressively increased from 1.5 to 124 2.5J by steps of 0.25J, resulting in energy density between 120 and 200mJ/mm^2 .²¹ Habituation, sensitization, and nociceptor fatigue were minimized by slightly moving the laser beam between stimuli. LEPs were recorded using a scalp electrode placed at Cz, according to the International 10-20 EEG System, referenced to the linked earlobes. A Velcro bracelet strapped around the left forearm was used as the ground electrode. The electrooculogram was recorded using pre-gelled surface adhesive electrodes placed at the right infra-orbital lateral margin. Two blocks of 10-12 trials were performed, and the results were averaged offline. The signal was filtered (bandpass: 0.5-30 Hz) and trials contaminated by eye blinks, ocular movements (saccades), or any raw signal exceeding 70 μV were excluded from averaging. The N2-P2 complex was studied by measuring N2-P2 peak-to-peak amplitude and N2 134 latency. The LEPs were abnormal if latencies were above 170 ms at upper limbs (UL) or 237 ms at lower limbs (LL), or the amplitude was below 15 μV at UL or 13 μV at LL.

 Quantitative Sensory Testing (QST) was performed on the dorsum of the hands and feet (the same territories used for LEPs). The detection thresholds for warm stimuli (WDT) were used 138 to assess the function of the sensory C-fibers. We measured WDT using a 16cm^2 Peltier probe 139 connected to a Thermal Sensory Analyzer TSA 2001 (Medoc, Ramat Yishai, Israel)²² and the 140 method of limits.²³ After an adaptation period at a neutral temperature of 32 °C, the 141 temperature increased (heating up to 50 °C) at a linear rate of 1 °C/s, until the patient pressed a signal button when they began to feel warm ('first perception' or 'detection' threshold). The detection thresholds (in °C) were determined as the average value from three trials and the absolute difference between the measured threshold and the neutral baseline temperature of 145 32 °C. The WDT were abnormal if they were above 5.8 °C at UL or 10.5 °C at LL. These normative values have been established in a large number of healthy subjects and are evenly 147 distributed in terms of age and sex.²⁴

 Electrochemical Skin Conductance (ESC) was used to assess autonomic C-fibers. ESC was 149 performed with Sudoscan® (Impeto Medical, Paris, France).^{25,26} The patient stood, placing both palms and soles on large nickel electrode plates, through which low-voltage direct current (less than 4 V) was delivered for 2 minutes. The electrodes were alternately used as anode and cathode, and the current between the electrodes was generated by reverse iontophoresis. The local conductance (in microsiemens, μS) was measured simultaneously at the four extremities from the electrochemical reaction between sweat chloride ions released by the sweat glands and the nickel electrode plates delivering the direct current. The ESC was considered abnormal if it was below 64 μS at the UL or 67 μS at the LL.

Pathological investigation

Figure 11 and the proximal lateral

Let skin biopsies were fixed,

antibodies against panaxonal

Let biopsy specimen. ENF

each biopsy specimen. ENF

ntile values of previously pu

data for ENF in the thigh. Ho Epidermal innervation was assessed using 3 mm punch skin biopsies taken from the right calf (10 cm above the lateral malleolus) and the proximal lateral right thigh (20 cm below the 161 anterosuperior iliac spine).²⁷ The skin biopsies were fixed, cryoprotected, sectioned, and immunostained with polyclonal antibodies against panaxonal marker, protein gene product 9.5 (PGP9.5). Observers assessed the linear density/mm of ENF from three to six 50 μm thick sections selected at random from each biopsy specimen. ENF was considered abnormal in the 165 calf if it was below the $5th$ percentile values of previously published age- and sex-normative 166 data.²⁸ There were no normative data for ENF in the thigh. However, normal ENF in the thigh 167 is reported to be 130% higher than the ENF in the calf.²⁹ Therefore, we considered abnormal 168 ENF in the thigh if it was below the $5th$ percentile values of previously published age- and sex-normative data for the calf, or if it was below the value of ENF in the calf in the same 170 patient (i.e., $ENF_{\text{thish}}/ENF_{\text{calf}}$ ratio < 1).

Amyloid staining was ruled out with a minor salivary gland biopsy in nine patients.

Biological investigation

 The following tests were performed: complete blood count (20 patients), renal (20), liver (20) and thyroid (20) function tests, lipid screen (20), C-reactive protein (20), fasting blood glucose (20), glycosylated hemoglobin (20), antinuclear antibody (20), anti-SSA and SSB antibodies (19), antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibodies (14), serum immunofixation (19), cryoglobulin (7), hepatitis B and C titers (18), Lyme titer (15), HIV titer (18), vitamin B12

 and folate levels (18), anti-transglutaminase and anti-endomysium antibodies (13), anti- thyroperoxydase (anti-TPO) and anti-thyroglobulin antibodies (anti-Tg) (7), antiganglioside antibodies (10), onconeural antibodies such as antiHu antibodies (13), anti-contactin- associated protein-2 antibodies (anti-CASPR2, 20), antibodies targeting the node and the paranode of Ranvier [anti-contactin-associated protein (anti-CASPR1), anti-contactin (anti- CNTN1), anti-neurofascin 155 (anti-Nfasc155) antibodies, 20], anti-fibroblast growth factor 3 antibodies (anti-FGFR3, 18), genetic testing for *TTR* (5), genetic testing for *SCN9A, SCN10A*, and *SCN11A* (4), and CSF analyses (5).

Other investigations

 Spinal cord MRI was performed on ten patients. Several patients underwent chest radiography and chest and abdominal tomography. History ruled out neurotoxic drugs, heavy metal intoxication, or occupational exposure.

Nerve and dorsal root ganglion (DRG) immunohistochemical labeling

posure.
 Confidence 13 Million (DRG)

Sorsal root ganglion (DRG) sec

scribed.³⁰ Tissues were post-f

with blocking solution (5% fi Sciatic nerve teased fibers and dorsal root ganglion (DRG) sections were prepared from adult 195 C57BL/6J mice as previously described.³⁰ Tissues were post-fixed with acetone for 10 min at -20°C, washed, blocked for 1 h with blocking solution (5% fish skin gelatin, 0.1% Triton X-197 100 in phosphate-buffered saline), then incubated overnight at 4° C with the patients' sera diluted at 1:200 and goat anti-contactin-1 antibodies (1:2000; R&D Systems) to label paranodes or chicken anti-peripherin antibodies (1:500; Aves Labs) to stain unmyelinated axons. The next day, the slides were washed three times and incubated for 1 h with donkey anti-human IgG conjugated to Alexa 488, donkey anti-human IgM conjugated to Alexa 594, and donkey anti-goat IgG conjugated to Alexa 647 (1:500; Jackson Immunoresearch). The slides were mounted and counterstained with 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) to stain the nuclei. Sera from healthy subjects (n=10), patients with *PMP22* duplication (n=7), and patients with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (n=5) were also analyzed.

Neuron-based assay

 Cultured DRG were prepared from 1-month-old C57Bl/6J mice on 12 mm coverslips and maintained for 3 days *in vitro.* Live neurons were incubated with patients' sera diluted 1:50 in 211 DMEM (Thermo Fisher) for 1 h at 37°C, washed several times, and fixed for 20 min with 2% paraformaldehyde. The cells were permeabilized with blocking solution, incubated for 1 h at room temperature with chicken antibodies against neurofilament heavy (1:2000; Ab5539; Merck Millipore), and incubated with secondary antibodies. Sera from healthy subjects and diseased controls were also analyzed.

Statistical analyses

 We performed the descriptive analyses with *Microsoft Excel*. We calculated percentages for qualitative data and medians and interquartile ranges for quantitative data.

Data availability

CONFIDENCE Data are available on request to the corresponding author.

RESULTS

Clinical features

 Twenty patients were included (60% women, median age [IQR] 44.2 years [35.7–56.2]). The clinical features of the patients are shown in *Table 1*. A precipitating event was present in 16 patients (80%): an infectious event in eight, a recently introduced treatment in five (antibiotics 230 in two, anti-tumor necrosis factor α , vitamin, enzyme replacement therapy), and vaccination in three. The median duration of the progression phase was 14 days [5–28]. Seventeen patients reported pain (85%). Paresthesia was observed in 14 patients (70%). The symptom distributions at onset and last follow-up are presented individually in *Figure 1*. Autonomic involvement was reported in 12 patients (60%), mainly faintness and tachycardia. Pinprick alteration was present in 18 patients (90%), including hypoalgesia in 11 patients, hyperalgesia in five, or both in different sites in two patients. Responses to heat and cold were altered in 18 patients (90%), including hypoalgesia in 13 patients and hyperalgesia or allodynia in five. The clinical pattern was not length-dependent in 17 patients (85%).

Diagnostic evaluation

gesta in 15 patients and nyper
pendent in 17 patients (85%).
alongical features are present
vsiological test. These tests s The neurophysiological and pathological features are presented in *Table 2*. All the patients underwent at least one neurophysiological test. These tests showed evidence of small fiber involvement in 15 patients (75%). The recording of LEPs was the most sensitive test (60%). The sensitivities of WDT and ESC were lower (39% and 31%). Among the five patients with normal neurophysiological findings, patient 3 was assessed after spontaneous complete recovery of symptoms. Eleven patients underwent skin biopsies. ENF was reduced in six 247 patients (55%). Five patients had normal ENF in the calf but exclusively reduced in the thigh. Therefore, considering both neurophysiological studies and ENF, SFN was definite in 18 patients (90%) and remained probable in two patients.

Immunological studies

 CSF analyses revealed normal white cell counts and protein levels in all five tested patients. Oligoclonal bands were absent in one patient. One patient tested negative for CSF onconeural and neural surface antibodies.

 Abnormal laboratory results and immunological features of the patients are presented in *Table 3*. Anti-CASPR2 IgG antibodies were present in 1/20 of the patients, as we previously 257 reported.³¹ Anti-FGFR3 IgG antibodies were positive for $4/18$ patients. Two of the seven tested patients had anti-thyroid antibodies.

 In vitro studies revealed mouse sciatic nerve fiber or DRG immunostaining with the sera from 14 patients (70%). Immunostaining was not observed with sera from either healthy subjects or diseased controls. Immunostaining was obtained only with anti-IgG, but not with anti-IgM antibodies. Different immunostaining patterns in sciatic nerve fibers and DRG are shown in *Figures 2* and *3* respectively. The sera from three patients contained antibodies that lead to paranodal immunostaining of Schwann cells (No. 6) or axons (Nos. 11 and 13). The serum from patient No. 10 had antibodies that caused juxtaparanode immunostaining, consistent 266 with circulating anti-CASPR2 antibodies, but also unmyelinated fiber immunostaining.³¹ The sera from five patients lead to unmyelinated fiber immunostaining. The sera from a total of 268 five of the tested patients contained antibodies for DRG.

Disease course and treatment response

ed antibodies for DRG.
 CONFIDENTIAL
 CONFI The disease course varied (*Table 1*). Thirteen patients (65%) reported recovery of symptoms, complete in four patients or partial in nine. Five patients (25%) experienced a steady and chronic course after an acute onset. Four patients (20%) reported relapses, followed by a chronic clinical condition in two, complete recovery in one, and partial recovery in one. Three patients received a one-month course of oral corticosteroids at 1mg/kg during the acute phase and presented with complete or partial recovery. Two patients received intravenous immunoglobulin (IVIg) after the acute phase, without any efficacy. Patient 10, with anti- CASPR2 antibodies, also underwent plasma exchange without any efficacy. None of the 20 patients had developed large fiber involvement at the last follow-up (median 4.8 years [3.2– 8.0]).

DISCUSSION

 We report a series of AOSFN cases, with 20 patients collected over five years from a tertiary center, suggesting that it is a rare condition. To date, only a few small series have been reported ranging from one to six patients, some of which are them restricted to post-vaccinal 287 or post-infectious contexts (*Table 4*).^{13,6,32,14,17,18,15,19,16} In the present series, we draw a picture of AOSFN, including clinical, neurophysiological, pathological, and experimental features. Patients with AOSFN have variable disease courses, and one-third of patients have unfavorable outcomes. *In vitro* analyses provided arguments for a possible immune-mediated process.

ion or vaccination. In a p
ents had an acute onset.¹² Hov
³³ During the acute phase
ination and NCS study r
In addition, we found norma
³⁻¹⁵. Functional neurological
y painful presentation with n The diagnosis of AOSFN may be challenging in clinical practice. Patients usually present with acute symmetric non-length-dependent neuropathic pain, frequently preceded by a particular event, such as infection or vaccination. In a previous cohort of non-length-296 dependent SFN, one-third of patients had an acute onset.¹² However, patients may be painless, 297 as in other SFN presentations.³³ During the acute phase, the absence of large fiber involvement on clinical examination and NCS study ruled out acute inflammatory 299 demyelinating polyneuropathy.³⁴ In addition, we found normal CSF, while results were more 300 discrepant in previous studies. $6,13-15$. Functional neurological disorders should be considered in the context of a predominantly painful presentation with normal investigations. However, this was not the case in our series of patients who presented clinical signs of sensory disorders and abnormal neurophysiological or neuropathological findings, leading to the diagnosis of definite SFN in 90% of patients. Two patients were diagnosed with probable SFN because their neurophysiological findings were normal, but a skin biopsy was unfortunately not 306 performed. In the present study, we used a battery of neurophysiological tests to assess $A\delta$ and C sensory fibers and C autonomic fibers. In previously published series, neurophysiological assessment was rarely performed or limited to a single test. Interestingly, LEPs have been the most sensitive indicator of SFN. In contrast, thermal QST and ESC exhibited poor sensitivity, below 40%. This is consistent with our previously published data 311 on the sensitivity of neurophysiological tests for diagnosing SFN ²⁴. In contrast, ENF was reduced more often in the thigh than in the calf, which is consistent with non-length- dependent neuropathy. In this context, other laboratory tests investigating small nerve fibers 314 would have been useful to perform, such as corneal confocal microscopy.³⁵ Symptoms

 associated with small nerve fibers involvement, such as pain, can be explained by lesions of 316 these fibers, but also by their dysfunction (leading to nerve hyperexcitability³⁶), or a 317 combination of these phenomena.³⁷ Thus, the normality of neuropathological and neurophysiological investigations cannot rule out the involvement of small fibers in a clinical picture characterized by only positive sensory symptoms.. In the present series, the patients had variable disease courses, as was also the case for acute-onset inflammatory neuropathies affecting large-diameter fibers. AOSFN may have complete recovery or sequelae, such as 322 Guillain-Barré syndrome, or may be the onset of chronic neuropathy, such as acute-onset 323 chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy.³⁹ One cannot exclude that patients may later develop an associated large-fiber involvement, although the median follow-up was 4.8 years in this study. The proportion of patients with an unfavorable course may be overestimated because our hospital is a tertiary neuromuscular center. Therefore, patients with AOSFN whose symptoms had complete and rapid resolution may not have benefited from neurological evaluation at our center, which may have been requested for patients with a more prolonged course of the disease.

External that immune dysfunction

y found, either infection or value to the types of neuropathies, such three patients with AOSE The present investigation suggests that immune dysfunction is the cause of AOSFN. A precipitating event was frequently found, either infection or vaccination, as in previous series 333 of AOSFN^{13-16,18,19}, as well as other types of neuropathies, such as Guillain-Barré syndrome³⁸ 334 or post-COVID neuropathy.⁴⁰ In three patients with AOSFN, their sera showed transient 335 immunoreactivity to murine small fibers during the acute phase.¹⁵ The present *in vitro* studies are also compatible with antibody-associated pathophysiology. Indeed, *in vitro* studies demonstrated IgG immunostaining by the sera of most patients with AOSFN. Although heterogeneous binding sites suggest non-specific findings, the absence of immunostaining from the sera of healthy subjects or diseased controls supports an immune-mediated process in AOSFN. Patient sera contained antibodies to unmyelinated or thinly myelinated fibers, including the paranodal region, and DRGs. IgG deposits on nerves have also been found in 342 other types of chronic inflammatory neuropathy.⁴¹ However, one cannot exclude the possibility that these immunoreactions were a consequence of peripheral nervous system lesions and not the cause of these lesions. Indeed, an antibody probably responsible for the occurrence of AOSFN was identified in only one previously reported patient with CASPR2- 346 related juxtaparanodopathy, which exclusively involved small nerve fibers.^{31,42} The number of autoantibodies associated with SFN has increased in recent years, including anti-TS-HDS and

348 anti-FGFR-3 antibodies which have been associated with AOSFN in some cases.^{3,43} However, 349 their pathogenicity in SFN remains uncertain.⁴⁴ Moreover, we observed IgG cell membrane 350 immunostaining. This contrasts with the reported anti-TS-HDS antibodies which are IgM.³ It also contrasts with anti-FGFR-3 IgG antibodies which usually target the intracellular portion 352 of FGFR-3. Thus, the extracellular immunostaining pattern in the present study is not consistent with anti-FGFR-3 antibody reactivity, despite circulating anti-FGFR-3 antibodies being identified in 20% of our patients. Anti-plexin D1 IgG antibodies seem to be frequently f found in painful neuropathies in Japanese patients,^{2,46} and induce hyperexcitability of DRG 356 sensory neurons.⁴⁷ It would be interesting to look for the presence of anti-plexin D1 antibodies, notably in patients with immunostaining involving DRG. Our *in vitro* studies did not reveal signs of autoimmunity in some patients. However, patients' sera were collected several months after the disease onset and this may have led to missing a transient immune 360 process.¹⁵ Finally, although paraneoplastic neuropathies were excluded from our series on the basis of the negativity of onconeural antibodies and clinical follow-up, such a cause could be possibly encountered in a context of AOSFN, even if we lack data to make this assumption.

of AOSFN, even if we lack of
tr supports the concept of A
al pattern is associated with a monophasic or relapsing
numune pathogenesis Regardi In conclusion, the present cohort supports the concept of AOSFN and refines its clinical description. The typical clinical pattern is associated with acute non-length-dependent symmetric painful neuropathy with a monophasic or relapsing chronic course. *In vitro* studies have provided clues regarding immune pathogenesis. Regarding treatment, corticosteroids in the acute phase could have a beneficial effect, whereas IVIg infusions were ineffective in two patients who were treated for chronic symptoms long after the acute phase.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Not applicable.

FUNDING

We received no funding for this work.

COMPETING INTERESTS

TG received consulting fees from Alnylam, ArgenX, and Alexion, and supports for congress

- from Alnylam, Pfizer, LFB, CSL Behring, and Elivie.
- JPL reports no conflict of interest.
- TN reports no conflict of interest.
- YBA reports no conflict of interest.
- FJA reports no conflict of interest.
- JD received a research grant form CSL Behring and is conducting a research contract with
- ArgenX.
- AC received grants and non-financial support from Medday, personal fees from Merck, grants
- and personal fees from Alexion, Biogen, Novartis, and Roche outside the submitted work.
-

REFERENCES

- **CONFIDENTIAL**
 CONFIDENTIAL 1. Terkelsen AJ, Karlsson P, Lauria G, Freeman R, Finnerup NB, Jensen TS. The diagnostic challenge of small fibre neuropathy: clinical presentations, evaluations, and causes. Lancet Neurol. 2017;16:934–944.
- 393 2. Fujii T, Yamasaki R, Iinuma K, et al. A Novel Autoantibody against Plexin D1 in Patients with Neuropathic Pain. Ann Neurol. 2018;84:208–224.
- 395 3. Levine TD, Kafaie J, Zeidman LA, et al. Cryptogenic small-fiber neuropathies: Serum 396 autoantibody binding to trisulfated heparan disaccharide and fibroblast growth factor receptor-3. Muscle Nerve. 2020;61:512–515.
- 4. Tiwana HK, Lawson VH. Green shoots but deep roots: New antibodies in small fiber neuropathy. Muscle Nerve. 2020;61:433–435.
- 5. Xu M, Bennett DLH, Querol LA, et al. Pain and the immune system: emerging concepts of IgG- mediated autoimmune pain and immunotherapies. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 2020;91:177–188.
- 6. Dabby R, Gilad R, Sadeh M, Lampl Y, Watemberg N. Acute steroid responsive small-fiber sensory neuropathy: a new entity? J Peripher Nerv Syst JPNS. 2006;11:47–52.
- 7. Liu X, Treister R, Lang M, Oaklander AL. IVIg for apparently autoimmune small-fiber polyneuropathy: first analysis of efficacy and safety. Ther Adv Neurol Disord. 2018;11:175628561774448.
- 8. Devigili G, Tugnoli V, Penza P, et al. The diagnostic criteria for small fibre neuropathy: from symptoms to neuropathology. Brain J Neurol. 2008;131:1912–1925.
- 9. Tesfaye S, Boulton AJM, Dyck PJ, et al. Diabetic neuropathies: update on definitions, diagnostic criteria, estimation of severity, and treatments. Diabetes Care. 2010;33:2285–2293.
- 10. Freeman R, Gewandter JS, Faber CG, et al. Idiopathic distal sensory polyneuropathy: ACTTION diagnostic criteria. Neurology. 2020;95:1005–1014.
- 11. Haroutounian S, Todorovic MS, Leinders M, et al. Diagnostic criteria for idiopathic small fiber neuropathy: A systematic review. Muscle Nerve. Epub 2020 Sep 29.
- 12. Gorson KC, Herrmann DN, Thiagarajan R, et al. Non-length dependent small fibre neuropathy/ganglionopathy. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 2008;79:163–169.
- 13. Seneviratne U, Gunasekera S. Acute small fibre sensory neuropathy: another variant of Guillain-Barré syndrome? J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 2002;72:540–542.
- 14. Souayah N, Ajroud-Driss S, Sander HW, Brannagan TH, Hays AP, Chin RL. Small fiber neuropathy following vaccination for rabies, varicella or Lyme disease. Vaccine. 2009;27:7322–7325.
- 15. Yuki N, Chan AC, Wong AHY, et al. Acute painful autoimmune neuropathy: A variant of Guillain-Barré syndrome. Muscle Nerve. 2018;57:320–324.
- E. 2018;57:320–324.

et al. Acute small fiber neuropa

ort of three cases and review of

al. Acute superficial sensory neu

sia. Muscle Nerve. 2011;43:286

Ill Fiber Neuropathy Following V 16. Abbott MG, Allawi Z, Hofer M, et al. Acute small fiber neuropathy after Oxford-AstraZeneca ChAdOx1-S vaccination: A report of three cases and review of the literature. J Peripher Nerv Syst. 2022;27:325–329.
- 17. Miyazaki Y, Koike H, Ito M, et al. Acute superficial sensory neuropathy with generalized anhidrosis, anosmia, and ageusia. Muscle Nerve. 2011;43:286–288.
- 18. Kafaie J, Kim M, Krause E. Small Fiber Neuropathy Following Vaccination. J Clin Neuromuscul Dis. 2016;18:37–40.
- 19. Faignart N, Nguyen K, Soroken C, et al. Acute monophasic erythromelalgia pain in five children diagnosed as small-fiber neuropathy. Eur J Paediatr Neurol. 2020;28:198–204.
- 20. Willison HJ. CLINICAL EVALUATION AND INVESTIGATION OF NEUROPATHY. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 2003;74:3ii–8.
- 21. Lefaucheur J-P, Abbas SA, Lefaucheur-Ménard I, et al. Small nerve fiber selectivity of laser and intraepidermal electrical stimulation: A comparative study between glabrous and hairy skin. Neurophysiol Clin Clin Neurophysiol. 2021;51:357–374.
- 22. Yarnitsky D, Sprecher E. Thermal testing: normative data and repeatability for various test algorithms. J Neurol Sci. 1994;125:39–45.
- 23. Fruhstorfer H, Lindblom U, Schmidt WC. Method for quantitative estimation of thermal thresholds in patients. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 1976;39:1071–1075.
- 24. Lefaucheur J-P, Wahab A, Planté-Bordeneuve V, et al. Diagnosis of small fiber neuropathy: A comparative study of five neurophysiological tests. Neurophysiol Clin Neurophysiol. 2015;45:445–455.
- 25. Mayaudon H, Miloche P-O, Bauduceau B. A new simple method for assessing sudomotor function: relevance in type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Metab. 2010;36:450–454.
- 26. Gin H, Baudoin R, Raffaitin CH, Rigalleau V, Gonzalez C. Non-invasive and quantitative assessment of sudomotor function for peripheral diabetic neuropathy evaluation. Diabetes Metab. 2011;37:527–532.
- 27. Lauria G, Cornblath DR, Johansson O, et al. EFNS guidelines on the use of skin biopsy in the diagnosis of peripheral neuropathy. Epub 2005.:12.
- 28. Lauria G, Bakkers M, Schmitz C, et al. Intraepidermal nerve fiber density at the distal leg: a worldwide normative reference study. J Peripher Nerv Syst. 2010;15:202–207.
- 29. Umapathi T, Tan WL, Tan NCK, Chan YH. Determinants of epidermal nerve fiber density in normal individuals. Muscle Nerve. 2006;33:742–746.
- 30. Lonigro A, Devaux JJ. Disruption of neurofascin and gliomedin at nodes of Ranvier precedes demyelination in experimental allergic neuritis. Brain J Neurol. 2009;132:260–273.
- 31. Gendre T, Lefaucheur J, Devaux J, Créange A. A patient with distal lower extremity neuropathic pain and anti‐contactin‐associated protein‐ 2 antibodies. Muscle Nerve [online serial]. 2021;64. Accessed at: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/mus.27364. Accessed March 13, 2022.
- ary.whey.com/aor/10.1002/md.
Divic SS, Oaklander AL. Defining a
cent Autoimmune Small-Fiber A
i R, et al. Diagnostic criteria for s
019;142:3728–3736.
C. Sensory Guillain–Barré syndro 32. Paticoff J, Valovska A, Nedeljkovic SS, Oaklander AL. Defining a Treatable Cause of Erythromelalgia: Acute Adolescent Autoimmune Small-Fiber Axonopathy: Anesth Analg. 2007;104:438–441.
- 33. Devigili G, Rinaldo S, Lombardi R, et al. Diagnostic criteria for small fibre neuropathy in clinical practice and research. Brain. 2019;142:3728–3736.
- 34. Oh SJ, LaGanke C, Claussen GC. Sensory Guillain–Barré syndrome. Neurology. Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. on behalf of the American Academy of Neurology; 2001;56:82–86.
- 35. Gemignani F, Ferrari G, Vitetta F, Giovanelli M, Macaluso C, Marbini A. Non-length-dependent small fibre neuropathy. Confocal microscopy study of the corneal innervation. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. BMJ Publishing Group Ltd; 2010;81:731–733.
- 36. Serra J, Bostock H, Solà R, et al. Microneurographic identification of spontaneous activity in C-nociceptors in neuropathic pain states in humans and rats. PAIN. 2012;153:42–55.
- 37. Finnerup NB, Kuner R, Jensen TS. Neuropathic Pain: From Mechanisms to Treatment. Physiol Rev. 2021;101:259–301.
- 38. Shahrizaila N, Lehmann HC, Kuwabara S. Guillain-Barré syndrome. The Lancet. 2021;397:1214– 1228.
- 39. Ruts L, Drenthen J, Jacobs BC, van Doorn PA, Dutch GBS Study Group. Distinguishing acute- onset CIDP from fluctuating Guillain-Barre syndrome: a prospective study. Neurology. 2010;74:1680–1686.
- 40. Oaklander AL, Mills AJ, Kelley M, et al. Peripheral Neuropathy Evaluations of Patients With Prolonged Long COVID. Neurol Neuroimmunol Neuroinflammation. 2022;9:e1146.
- 41. Dalakas MC, Engel WK. Immunoglobulin and complement deposits in nerves of patients with chronic relapsing polyneuropathy. Arch Neurol. 1980;37:637–640.
- 485 42. Ramanathan S, Tseng M, Davies AJ, et al. Leucine-Rich Glioma-Inactivated 1 versus Contactin- Associated Protein‐like 2 Antibody Neuropathic Pain: Clinical and Biological Comparisons. Ann Neurol. 2021;90:683–690.
- 43. Tholance Y, Moritz CP, Rosier C, et al. Clinical characterisation of sensory neuropathy with anti-FGFR3 autoantibodies. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 2020;91:49–57.
- 44. Chompoopong P, Rezk M, Mirman I, et al. TS-HDS autoantibody: clinical characterization and utility from real-world tertiary care center experience. J Neurol. 2023;270:4523–4528.
- 45. Tholance Y, Antoine J-C, Mohr L, et al. Anti-FGFR3 antibody epitopes are functional sites and correlate with the neuropathy pattern. J Neuroimmunol. 2021;361:577757.
- 46. Fujii T, Yamasaki R, Miyachi Y, Iinuma K, Kira J. Anti‐plexin D1 antibody–mediated neuropathic pain. Clin Exp Neuroimmunol. 2020;11:48–52.
- **CONFIDENTIAL** 47. Fujii T, Lee E-J, Miyachi Y, et al. Antiplexin D1 Antibodies Relate to Small Fiber Neuropathy and Induce Neuropathic Pain in Animals. Neurol - Neuroimmunol Neuroinflammation. 2021;8:e1028.

⁵⁰⁰ **TABLES**

501 **Table 1. Clinical features of the patients**

503 AS: ankylosing spondylitis. Anti-TNFα: anti-tumor necrosis factor α. COVID-19: coronavirus disease 2019. CS: corticosteroids. EMC: erythema

504 migrans chronica. F: female. FLS: flu-like syndrome. GSD II: glycogen storage disease type II. HCV: hepatitis C virus. Hyper: hyperalgesia.

505 Hypo: hypoalgesia. IVIg: intravenous immunoglobulin. M: male. PLEX: plasma exchange. POTS: postural orthostatic tachycardia syndrome.

506 TRAPS: TNF receptor-associated periodic syndrome.

507 **Table 2. Neurophysiological and pathological features of the patients**

508

509

511 LEP: Laser-evoked potential. LL: lower limbs. m: mean. N: normal. ND: not done. UL: upper

512 limbs. SFN: small fiber neuropathy. WDT: warm detection threshold. Y: Yes.

513

⁵¹⁰ Ab: abnormal. ENF: epidermal nerve fiber density. ESC: electrochemical skin conductance.

515 **Table 3. Laboratory and** *in vitro* **studies of the patients**

516

517

518 CASPR2: contactin-associated protein-2. DRG: Dorsal root ganglion. FGFR3: fibroblast growth factor receptor 3. IF: Immunofluorescence. N:

519 normal. TG: thyroglobulin. TPO: thyroperoxidase. +: staining.

520 **Table 4. Literature synthesis of acute-onset small fiber neuropathy**

522 AAN: antinuclear antibody. CS: corticosteroids. ENF: epidermal nerve fiber density. ESC: electrochemical skin conductance. GalNAc-GD1a: N-

523 acetylgalactosaminyl-GD1a. IVIg: intravenous immunoglobulins. NCS: nerve conduction study. QST: quantitative sensory testing. SSR:

524 sympathetic skin response.

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. Individual distribution of sensory symptoms at the peak and the last follow-up.

 Body diagrams representing the regional distribution of sensory symptoms at the peak (A) and last follow-up (B) for the front (left) and back (right) of 20 patients with acute-onset small fiber neuropathy. The numbers on the top left of each figure correspond to the patient numbers in Tables 1, 2, and 3.

Figure 2. Patterns of nerve fiber staining.

 This figure shows teased fibers from mouse sciatic nerves immunostained with patient IgG (green), goat anti-contactin-1 IgG (CNTN1; red) to localize to the node of Ranvier (A, B, C, D, F), or chicken anti-peripherin IgG (red) to localize unmyelinated axons (E). IgG deposition was observed on Schwann cells (A), at the juxtaparanodes in patients with circulating anti- CASPR2 antibodies (B), on the surface of Schwann cells near the paranodal region (C), at paranodes (D), or on unmyelinated fibers (E). IgG deposition was not observed in some 540 patients (F). Scale bar: 10 um.

Figure 3. Patterns of cultured dorsal root ganglion staining

CONFIDENTIFY SET ASSEM SET ASSEM SCHEFFER SET AND SET Live dorsal root ganglia (DRG) were incubated with patient sera, fixed, and immunostained for human IgG (green) and antibodies against neurofilament heavy (NF-H; red) to stain DRG.

Some patients did not show reactivity toward the DRG (A). Other patients showed strong IgG

reactivity against surface antigens expressed by both large and small cells in DRG (B-C).

Patient 10, who presented with circulating anti-CASPR2 antibodies, showed strong reactivity

against the soma and axons of the DRG (B). Patient 8, without any identified antibody target,

549 also showed strong reactivity against the DRG soma and axons (C). Scale bar: 10 μ m.

