

Unlocking agro-ecosystem sustainability: exploring the bottom-up effects of microbes, plants, and insect herbivores

Wei Zhang, Lukasz L Stelinski, Amr A. Mohamed, Guangmin Wang, Gianluca Tettamanti, Moxian Chen, Mingsheng Hong, Ella Z Daly, Jan Bruin, David Renault, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Wei Zhang, Lukasz L Stelinski, Amr A. Mohamed, Guangmin Wang, Gianluca Tettamanti, et al.. Unlocking agro-ecosystem sustainability: exploring the bottom-up effects of microbes, plants, and insect herbivores. Integrative Zoology, 2024, 10.1111/1749-4877.12911. hal-04766819v2

HAL Id: hal-04766819 https://hal.science/hal-04766819v2

Submitted on 5 Nov 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - NoDerivatives 4.0 International License



Unlocking agro-ecosystem sustainability: exploring the bottom-up effects of microbes, plants, and insect herbivores

Wei ZHANG,¹ Lukasz L. STELINSKI,² Amr MOHAMED,³ ^(b) Guangmin WANG,¹ Gianluca TETTAMANTI,^{4,5} Moxian CHEN,¹ Mingsheng HONG,⁶ Ella Z. DALY,⁷ Jan BRUIN,⁸ David RENAULT,⁷ Nemat O. KEYHANI⁹ and Qi ZHAO^{1,10}

¹State Key Laboratory of Green Pesticide, Key Laboratory of Green Pesticide and Agricultural Bioengineering, Ministry of Education, Center for R&D of Fine Chemicals of Guizhou University, Guiyang, China, ²Entomology and Nematology Department, Citrus Research and Education Center, University of Florida, Lake Alfred, Florida, USA, ³Department of Entomology, Faculty of Science, Cairo University, Giza, Egypt, ⁴Department of Biotechnology and Life Sciences, University of Insubria, Varese, Italy, ⁵BAT Center-Interuniversity Center for Studies on Bioinspired Agro-Environmental Technology, University of Napoli Federico II, Napoli, Italy, ⁶Key Laboratory of Southwest China Wildlife Resources Conservation (Ministry of Education), China West Normal University, Nanchong, China, ⁷CNRS, ECOBIO (Ecosystems, biodiversity, evolution), UMR 6553, University of Rennes, Rennes, France, ⁸Institute for Biodiversity and Ecosystem Dynamics (IBED), Evolutionary Biology and Population Biology, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, ⁹Department of Biological Sciences, University of Illinois, Chicago, Illinois, USA and ¹⁰Key Laboratory of Plant Resource Conservation and Germplasm Innovation in Mountainous Region (Ministry of Education), College of Life Sciences/Institute of Agro-bioengineering, Guizhou University, Guiyang, China

Abstract

Agricultural ecosystem formation and evolution depend on interactions and communication between multiple organisms. Within this context, communication occurs between microbes, plants, and insects, often involving the release and perception of a wide range of chemical cues. Unraveling how this information is coded and interpreted

Correspondence: David Renault, CNRS, ECOBIO (Ecosystems, biodiversity, evolution), UMR 6553, Université Rennes, Avenue du Général Leclerc, 35042 Rennes, France.

Email: david.renault@univ-rennes.fr

Nemat O. Keyhani, Department of Biological Sciences, University of Illinois, Chicago, IL 60607, USA.

Email: keyhani@uic.edu

Qi Zhao, College of Life Sciences/Institute of Agro-bioengineering, Guizhou University, Guiyang 550025, China.

Email: zhaoqizq@yeah.net and qzhao7@gzu.edu.cn

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made.

^{© 2024} The Author(s). *Integrative Zoology* published by International Society of Zoological Sciences, Institute of Zoology/Chinese Academy of Sciences and John Wiley & Sons Australia, Ltd.

is critical to expanding our understanding of how agricultural ecosystems function in terms of competition and cooperation. Investigations examining dual interactions (e.g. plant-microbe, insect-microbe, and insect-plant) have resolved some basic components of this communication. However, there is a need for systematically examining multitrophic interactions that occur simultaneously between microorganisms, insects, and plants. A more thorough understanding of these multitrophic interactions has been made possible by recent advancements in the study of such ecological interactions, which are based on a variety of contemporary technologies such as artificial intelligence sensors, multi-omics, metabarcoding, and others. Frequently, these developments have led to the discovery of startling examples of each member manipulating the other. Here, we review recent advances in the understanding of bottom-up chemical communication between microorganisms, plants, and insects, and their consequences. We discuss the components of these "chemo-languages" and how they modify outcomes of multi-species interactions across trophic levels. Further, we suggest prospects for translating the current basic understanding of multitrophic interactions into strategies that could be applied in agricultural ecosystems to increase food safety and security.

Key words: chemical languages, communication, herbivorous insects, multitrophic interactions, plant cues, plant-associated microbes

INTRODUCTION

Ecosystems comprise ecological units, such as populations, species, and communities (Krebs et al. 2024), that are intertwined within complex networks that affect agricultural productivity, fertility, and sustainability (Guimarães 2020). In recent decades, expanding human activities have progressively increased the frequency and severity of disturbance events that alter the complexity, dynamics, and function of ecosystems, including those used for cultivation (Hoque 2023). In some instances, the intensity and extent of ecosystem alteration can be so severe that it may cause ecosystem collapse or reach a "point of no return," as, for instance, reported for environmental pollution with microplastics (Priva et al. 2022). There is a consensus that the overgrowth of livestock and crops and intensive agriculture in general, combined with the degradation and destruction of natural habitats caused by urbanization, industrialization, and overexploitation of natural resources, are threatening the stability and resilience of ecosystem units and their networks.

The increase in the global human population is accompanied by rising food demand, making sustainable agricultural productivity essential for meeting food security demands. In parallel, awareness of the importance of environmental quality, whether in terms of maintenance or restoration has led to greater demands for the development of "greener," that is, sustainable and renewable, agricultural practices, so that environmental degradation and/or pollution can be contained or even reversed (Sridhar *et al.* 2023). Crop production is one activity where production demands and environmental concerns intersect, as this sector has long relied on the (over-) use of chemical fertilizers, insecticides, antimicrobials, and other potentially environmentally harmful activities (Çakmakçı *et al.* 2023). Some of the negative consequences of these practices include the emergence of pesticide-resistant insects and antibioticresistant microbes, as well as environmental pollution that can affect water quality, species diversity, soil and plant health, interactions between trophic levels, and even the quality of the cultivated crop (Zhang *et al.* 2018).

Microorganisms, plants, and insects are three important actors in both man-made and natural ecosystems. Plants provide food sources and habitats for large numbers of microorganisms and insects, and, in turn, can significantly benefit from the presence of beneficial microorganisms and insects. There has been considerable research focused on di-trophic interactions occupying different trophic levels, that is, on microorganism-plant, plant-insect, or microorganism-insect interactions (Biedermann & Rohlfs 2017; Jones & Agrawal 2017; Vurukonda et al. 2018; Alhoraibi et al. 2019; Chen & Mao 2020; Ye et al. 2021; Wang et al. 2023). These investigations have often yielded insights into practical applications such as the development of novel pest and/or disease-specific remediation strategies (Beck & Vannette 2017; Cellini et al. 2021). Yet, our understanding of the interactions remains highly limited without considering three or more interactions across trophic levels simultaneously.

Examining such tri-trophic interactions, that is, between microorganisms, plants, and insects should yield insights for practical interventions to maintain or increase agricultural productivity and resilience. For instance,

^{© 2024} The Author(s). *Integrative Zoology* published by International Society of Zoological Sciences, Institute of Zoology/Chinese Academy of Sciences and John Wiley & Sons Australia, Ltd.

Insights from multitrophic interactions

inoculation of soil with beneficial microbes may induce tolerance or defenses among plants against insects, reducing damage and crop loss associated with herbivores (Pangesti et al. 2020). On the other hand, certain fungal microbes, for example, symbionts, can assist insects in detoxifying plant-produced defensive compounds, thus increasing their performance and/or host range (Li et al. 2021). These multitrophic interactions can also act as a driving force mediating biodiversity and adaptation, with imbalance disruptions between partners potentially resulting in significant negative consequences for ecosystem function and biodiversity (Jeavons et al. 2022; Ali 2023). Increased invasions of non-native (plant, microbial, and/or insect) species because of human activity (e.g. increasing global trade and travel) and/or climate change are a prime example. A variety of invasive plants have been shown to significantly change soil microbial communities, affecting the abundance and diversity of native insects, animals, and other plants (Tobin 2018; McLeod et al. 2021). Invasions by non-native microbial plant pathogens and insect pests can even lead to the functional extinction of indigenous plant species (Tobin 2018). Therefore, an increased understanding of multitrophic interactions may facilitate the restoration of ecological balances, and, in terms of pathogen and pest control, can help improve applications of integrated pest management practices in cultivated ecosystems (Stelinski et al. 2019).

Unraveling the functional characteristics of multitrophic interactions is crucial for establishing sustainable foundations for modern crop production that seek to maintain both food and environmental security. Recent progress in the application of emerging technologies such as metabarcoding, multi-omics, artificial intelligence, and various sensors has shed new insights into the mechanisms governing microbe-plant-insect interactions (Grunseich et al. 2019; Liu et al. 2019; Mason et al. 2019; Wan et al. 2020; McCary et al. 2021). One striking discovery is that plant-associated microbes can affect herbivore behavior and performance by altering plantproduced visual, olfactory, and gustatory cues (recognized by plant pests). These effects likely place selective pressure on the formation of ecological traits and evolutionary (co-) adaptations for each player, leading to competing changes to pest tolerance among plants and herbivore performance on those hosts (Kamali et al. 2022).

It should be kept in mind that both outcomes can occur, as the same physiological effects caused by microbes on plants can result in the repellence of some insects but attraction for others. Here, we explore potential mechanisms that regulate these tritrophic interactions and summarize the current understanding of how microbes affect plants, which in turn affect the behavioral responses of insects (Fig. 1). Furthermore, we discuss how investigations of these multi-trophic interactions integrate tools and techniques from related disciplines and may increase translation of results into practical outputs that could be integrated into pest and/or plant disease control. This information will facilitate further development of models in molecular ecology, increasing our understanding of ecosystem homeostasis for the purpose of managing and/or improving agroecosystems from the perspective of functional ecology.

Microorganisms are key players affecting the biochemical and physical traits of plants, such as size, biomass, shape, structure, growth (root, stem, and leaf rates), spectral reflectance, color, and metabolite content. Some microbes, for example, rhizobia, may additionally increase the nutritional quality of plants by providing scarce or needed nutrients (e.g. nitrogen in exchange for carbon), which not only affects the plant but also modulates the quality and quantity of available plant metabolites attractive to insect herbivores (Shikano et al. 2017). Conversely, some plant microorganisms can stimulate plant defenses by promoting induced systemic resistance, in turn reducing attacks by insect herbivores (Pieterse et al. 2014). Thus, microorganisms can play important roles in determining the nature, persistence, and consequences of insect-plant interactions by shaping the gustatory, olfactory, and visual cues perceived by insect herbivores and by providing real-time information on the location and status (healthy or diseased) of a potential host plant and/or its nutritional and defense status. The feeding and oviposition behaviors of insect herbivores are also adjusted as a function of the information perceived by plants (Fig. 2).

MICROBIAL CHANGES TO VISUAL CUES PRODUCED BY PLANTS INDIRECTLY AFFECT INSECT BEHAVIOR

Beneficial plant microbes are usually thought to enhance plant growth and biomass; therefore, such microorganisms may induce visual cues associated with higher plant vigor and/or nutritional/defense status to potentially facilitate foraging and oviposition by insect herbivores (Grunseich *et al.* 2019). Increased plant biomass and resistance against abiotic stress are known to result from plant associations with arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF), for example, the association of *Glomus intraradices* with various herbaceous plants (Kempel *et al.*

^{© 2024} The Author(s). *Integrative Zoology* published by International Society of Zoological Sciences, Institute of Zoology/Chinese Academy of Sciences and John Wiley & Sons Australia, Ltd.



Figure 1 Model diagram of microbes regulating behaviors of insect herbivores via modification of plant-produced cues.

2010). In turn, such plant growth stimulation has been shown to enhance insect biomass, for example, increased growth of Egyptian cotton leafworm (Spodoptera littoralis) developing on AMF-inoculated plants. Moreover, by altering plant physical defense structures, AMF may also increase the foraging behavior of herbivorous insects. For example, plant trichomes act as the first line of plant defense against herbivory, and their density can be reduced in AMF-associated plants, for example, tomatoes (Malik et al. 2018). In turn, this may increase herbivore performance/damage, as has been reported for early-stage potato beetle larvae (Leptinotarsa decemlineata). These data suggest the importance of considering microbialmediated trade-offs when considering their applications; that is, the increase in plant growth seen in applying AMF may be offset by increased pest density, activity, and crop damage.

Plant growth and development can be promoted by microorganisms via regulation of different plant hormonegrowth and defense-related pathways, including those involved in the production of auxin, cytokinin, ethylene, gibberellin, abscisic acid (ABA), salicylic acid (SA), and jasmonic acid (JA) (Puga-Freitas & Blouin 2015). For example, ethylene acts as an inhibitor of root elongation, lateral growth, and root hair formation. Therefore, beneficial microorganisms may promote plant growth by reducing plant ethylene levels through the production of microbial 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate (Nascimento *et al.* 2018). Microorganisms may also tap into host signal transduction pathways to induce the production of plant growth-promoting hormones, such as auxin, and, in many cases, may themselves produce plant growth-stimulating hormone analogs that target the growth of their hosts (Mastretta *et al.* 2006).

A number of microorganisms increase plant biomass by enhancing nutrient absorption. Nitrogen-fixing bacteria convert atmospheric N₂ into ammonia via nitrogenase activity, which is then directly absorbed and used by plants (Pineda *et al.* 2010). Other soil- or root-associated microorganisms secrete organic acids (e.g. gluconic acid, 2-ketogluconic acid) that dissolve inorganic phosphate, solubilizing this essential nutrient for plant use (Hameeda *et al.* 2008). Various plant-associated microbes release extracellular phosphatases that mineralize organophosphate esters for plant utilization (Kim *et al.* 1998). Furthermore, some microorganisms bind Fe³⁺ for plant use by secreting siderophores that can then be taken up by plant cells via specific transporters (Compant *et al.* 2005).

Microorganisms also promote plant tolerance to a range of abiotic stresses, including drought, salt, and heavy metal stress in soils, thus increasing plant health and growth (Weyens *et al.* 2009; Yang *et al.* 2009; Pineda

© 2024 The Author(s). *Integrative Zoology* published by International Society of Zoological Sciences, Institute of Zoology/Chinese Academy of Sciences and John Wiley & Sons Australia, Ltd.



Figure 2 Model diagram of microbes regulating behaviors of insect herbivores via modification of plant-produced visual cues.

et al. 2010). In terms of drought stress, various plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) increase plant resistance by altering the expression of genes associated with stress response and modulating activity levels of antioxidant enzymes (Vurukonda et al. 2016). PGPR may even alter root morphology by producing extracellular polysaccharides, phytohormones, and phytohormone analogs (e.g. indole-3-acetic acid, gibberellin, and ABA), specific enzymes (e.g. 1-amino cyclopropane-1 carboxylate deaminase), volatiles (e.g. 2R,3R-butanediol), and osmolytes (e.g. proline, trehalose, and choline) (Vurukonda et al. 2016) that promote root health and growth. AMF (e.g. Glomus etunicatum and Glomus mosseae) have been shown to improve the salt tolerance of plants [e.g. soybean (*Glycine max*) and maize (*Zea mays*)] by (1) promoting the acquisition of plant nutrients (phosphorus, nitrogen, magnesium, and calcium), (2) facilitating the accumulation of osmoprotectants and/or antioxidants, (3) helping to maintain optimal K^+ :Na⁺ ratios, (4) regulating physiological processes (e.g. improving photosynthetic efficiency, maintaining water content, stimulating ABA accumulation, enhancing nodulation, and improving nitrogen fixation), and (5) affecting expression levels of drought resistance genes such as *PIP*, *Lsnced*, *Lslea*, *LsP5CS*, and various Na⁺/H⁺ antiporters (Evelin *et al.* 2009).

Unlike beneficial plant microbes, plant-pathogenic microbes often cause obvious disease symptoms, such as necrosis of leaves, stems, roots, flowers, and/or fruit, as well as changes to color, which can affect insect foraging and oviposition behavior (Hodge & Powell 2010; Jagiełło et al. 2019). In fact, vector-borne phytopathogens can enhance the attractiveness of plants to insect vectors by inducing plant disease symptoms, ultimately promoting the spread of the pathogenic microorganisms. For example, barley, wheat, and oats infected with the Barley yellow dwarf virus exhibit reduced leaf size and yellowing, which increases the attractiveness of infected host plants to the associated vectors (e.g. the aphids Metopolophium dirhodum and Sitobion avenae) (Ajayi & Dewar 1983). Similarly, pea plants (Pisum sativum) infected with the Pea enation mosaic virus exhibit symptoms of yellow spots

5

^{© 2024} The Author(s). *Integrative Zoology* published by International Society of Zoological Sciences, Institute of Zoology/Chinese Academy of Sciences and John Wiley & Sons Australia, Ltd.

and leaf curling. Compared to healthy plants, these visual attributes enhance the attractiveness of infected plants to pea aphids (*Acyrthosiphon pisum*). Furthermore, aphid growth and reproduction are increased on plants with well-developed *Pea enation mosaic virus* symptoms than on non-infected, healthy counterparts (Hodge & Powell 2010). Other examples include the leaves of broad bean (*Vicia faba*) and grapevines (*Vitis vinifera*), which become yellowish after infection by the bacterial agent, Flaves-cence dorée phytoplasma. This color change increases the attraction/prevalence of *Scaphoideus titanus* leafhopper nymphs and/or adults feeding on diseased bean plants and grapevines (Chuche *et al.* 2016).

Intriguingly, plant visual cues modified by non-vectorborne phytopathogens are often also recognized by nonvector herbivores but result in avoidance of egg laying on infected plants. For example, the non-vectoring leaf-miner species Cameraria ohridella selectively avoids ovipositing in the red-brown necrotic areas of leaves of horse chestnut (Aesculus hippocastanum) infected by the pathogenic fungus, Phyllosticta paviae (Desmazières) (Jagiełło et al. 2019). A similar phenomenon has also been documented for the light brown apple moth, Epiphyas postvittana, a polyphagous pest on pome and stone fruits that avoids laying eggs in the necrotic areas of moderately and heavily gray mold (Botrytis cinerea)-infected grapevines exhibiting soft rot symptoms (Rizvi et al. 2015). From an adaptive perspective, such behavior of non-vector insects to selectively avoid laying eggs on microbially infected plants or tissue sites should reduce the likelihood of them or their progeny becoming also infected by the same microbe(s) and/or suffering from potential performance loss on diseased and sub-optimal host plants.

Infection of host plants by pathogenic microorganisms may obstruct and/or decrease the efficiency of photosynthesis via tissue damage and loss of chloroplasts/ chlorophyll content or other pigment molecules, resulting in nutrient deficiency, disruption of carbon fixation, and reduced carbohydrate synthesis. Depending on the severity or progression of the disease, such damage becomes noticeable as changes to plant color (e.g. chlorosis and yellowing) (Pontes et al. 2020). Many pathogenic fungi and bacteria decompose and destroy plant cells and tissue structures by secreting a variety of enzymes. These enzymes include endo- β -1,4-xylanases and polygalacturonases that target plant xylan and homogalacturonan, respectively (Misas-Villamil & van der Hoorn 2008). The enzymes may also interfere with gene or protein expression levels in host plants by producing a variety of virulence factors, including effector proteins, secondary

metabolites, and even small RNA molecules (Doehlemann *et al.* 2017). Ultimately, this infection may result in plant cell necrosis or decay (Pontes *et al.* 2020).

Pathogenic microorganisms may also disrupt the normal balance of plant metabolism and the production of hormones, which may either result in inhibition of plant cell growth or, conversely, in excessive proliferation leading to "tumors" or abnormal plant forms. For example, *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* and *Agrobacterium rhizogenes* regulate plant auxin, ethylene, and SA pathways to form tumors or galls on plant tissues, within which the bacteria proliferate and reside, accessing nutrients from the host (Lee *et al.* 2009).

MICROBIAL CHANGES TO OLFACTORY CUES PRODUCED BY PLANTS INDIRECTLY AFFECT INSECT BEHAVIOR

Plant-associated microorganisms affect the quantity and/or quality of plant volatile organic compounds (VOCs), many of which affect the behavioral response patterns of herbivorous insects. These VOCs reveal information regarding plant identity (Bruce et al. 2005), nutritional composition (Goff & Klee 2006), health/defense status, and/or the degree of predation risk by potential natural predators to foraging herbivores (Helms et al. 2019). Some VOCs, known as allomones, repel or deter insect herbivores as part of direct plant defense, whereas others, referred to as kairomones, may serve as host-finding or oviposition cues to herbivorous insects (Dicke 2016). Insects perceive changes in microbially altered, plantemitted chemical cues and respond accordingly (Kessler & Baldwin 2001; Touhara & Vosshall 2009). This process is dynamic, with plants under selection pressure to develop "deceptive" cues to ward off potential insect herbivores and insects under selection pressure to properly decode these cues to find suitable feeding and ovipositing sites.

Both direct and/or indirect plant defenses may be enhanced following inoculation of plants with beneficial microorganisms via changes in VOC composition and subsequent modulation of herbivore feeding and oviposition behavior (Table 1). For example, treating Lima bean plants (*Phaseolus lunatus*) with a combination of rhizobium (genus *Bradyrhizobium*) and JA resulted in a significant decrease in total volatile release (Ballhorn *et al.* 2013). However, this co-treatment also results in a significant increase in indole levels, a derivative product of the

^{© 2024} The Author(s). *Integrative Zoology* published by International Society of Zoological Sciences, Institute of Zoology/Chinese Academy of Sciences and John Wiley & Sons Australia, Ltd.

Table 1 Alterations of plant w	olatile organic compounds r	eleased after microbial inoculation and the corresp	onding behavioral changes occ	curring in inse	ct herbivores
Microorganism species	Plant species	Plant-produced olfactory cues	Herbivorous insect species	Insect behaviors	References
Beneficial bacteria					
Genus Bradyrhizobium	Lima bean (Phaseolus lunatus)	Total volatiles↓, indole↑	Mexican bean beetle (<i>Epilachna varivestis</i>)	Repellent	Ballhorn <i>et al.</i> (2013)
Pseudomonas fluorescens WCS417r	Arabidopsis thaliana	Terpene (E)-α-bergamotene↓, methyl salicylate↓, lilial↓	Cabbage moth (<i>Mamestra</i> brassicae)	_	Pangesti <i>et al.</i> (2015a)
Bacillus velezensis strain INR-7	Maize (Zea mays)	(E)-5-Methyl-2-methylene-2-hexen-1- ol↓, 3-Hexen-1-ol↓, linalool↑	European corn borer (<i>Ostrinia nubilalis</i>)	Repellent	Disi <i>et al.</i> (2017)
Bacillus velezensis strain AP-188, Bacillus mojavensis strain AP-209, Fictibacillus solisalsi strain AP-217, and Bacillus velezensis strain AP-218	Maize (Zea mays)	(E)-5-Methyl-2-methylene-2-hexen-1- ol↓, 3-hexen-1-ol↓	European corn borer (<i>Ostrinia nubilalis</i>)	Repellent	Disi <i>et al.</i> (2017)
Bacillus velezensis strain AP-136, Bacillus velezensis strain AP-219, and Bacillus velezensis strain AP-295	Maize (Zea mays)	(E)-5-Methyl-2-methylene-2-hexen-1- ol \downarrow , 3-hexen-1-ol \downarrow	European corn borer (<i>Ostrinia nubilalis</i>)	Repellent	Disi <i>et al.</i> (2017)
<i>Neotyphodium lolii</i> "wild-type" strain (E ^{WT})	Perennial ryegrass (Lolium perenne)	2-Ethyl-1-hexanol acetate \uparrow , (Z)-2-octen-ol \uparrow , butylated hydroxytoluene \uparrow	African black beetle (Heteronychus arator)	Repellent	Qawasmeh <i>et al.</i> (2015)
<i>Neotyphodium loli</i> i AR1 strain (E ^{AR1})	Perennial ryegrass (Lolium perenne)	2-Ethyl-1-hexanol acetate↑, (Z)-2-octen-ol↑, butylated hydroxytoluene↑, octanal↑, 5-methyl-1-heptene↑, (E)-2-hexen-1-ol↑	African black beetle (Heteronychus arator)	Repellent	Qawasmeh <i>et al.</i> (2015)
Neotyphodium uncinatum	Grass hybrid (Festuca pratensis × Lolium perenne)	Acetic acid, ethanol-water cluster, hexanal/ hexanols, monoterpenes, acetaldehyde, dimethylsulphide, $CO_2\uparrow$	Grass grub (<i>Costelytra</i> zealandica)	Repellent	Rostás <i>et al.</i> (2015)
					(Continued)

© 2024 The Author(s). *Integrative Zoology* published by International Society of Zoological Sciences, Institute of Zoology/Chinese Academy of Sciences and John Wiley & Sons Australia, Ltd.

7

RIGHTSLINKA)

Table 1 (Continued)					
Microorganism species	Plant species	Plant-produced olfactory cues	Herbivorous insect species	Insect behaviors	References
Pathogenic bacteria <i>Erwinia tracheiphila</i>	Wild gourd (<i>Cucurbita</i> <i>pepo</i> ssp. <i>texana</i>)	Foliar volatiles: 1-pentanol↑, Toluene↑, Hexenal↑, e-2-hexenal↑, z-3-hexen-1-ol↑, Xylenes↑, Ocimene↑; Floral volatiles: Linalool↓, Nonatriene↓, 1,4-methoxybenzene↓	Striped cucumber beetle (Acalymma vittatum)	Attracted to leaves; repellent to flowers	Shapiro <i>et al.</i> (2012)
Candidatus Liberibacter asiaticus	Citrus (<i>Citrus sinensis</i>)	Methyl salicylate↑, methyl anthranilate↓, D-limonene↓	Asian citrus psyllid (Diaphorina citri)	Attractive then repellent	Mann <i>et al.</i> (2012)
Pathogenic fungi Botrytis cinerea	Grapevines (Vitis vinifera)	3-Methyl-1-butanol↑	European grapevine moth (<i>Lobesia botrana</i>)	Repellent	Tasin <i>et al.</i> (2012)
Rose powdery mildew (Podosphaera pannosa)	China rose (Rosa chinensis)	_	Beet armyworm (Spodoptera exigua)	Repellent	Yang <i>et al.</i> (2013)
Anther smut fungus (Microbotryum violaceum)	White campion (<i>Silene</i> <i>latifolia</i>)	Lilac aldehyde↓, (Z/E)-arbusculone↓, phenylacetaldehyde↓, lilac degradation↓, (E)-β-ocimene↓	Noctuid moth (<i>Hadena</i> bicruris)	Repellent	Dötterl <i>et al.</i> (2009)
Virus					
Cucumber mosaic virus (family Bromoviridae)	Cultivated squash plants (<i>Cucurbita pepo</i> cv. Dixie)	Total volatiles↑	Myzus persicae; Aphis gossypii	Attractive then repellent	Mauck <i>et al.</i> (2010)
Potato leaf roll virus	Potato (Solanum tuberosum)	2-Hexen-1-ol \uparrow , nonane \uparrow , myrcene \uparrow , limonene \uparrow , pinene \uparrow , nonanal \uparrow , undecane \uparrow , decanal \uparrow , cadinene \uparrow , caryophyllene \uparrow , α -humulene \uparrow , 7-11-dimethyl-3-methyl-dodecatriene \uparrow , β -sesquiphellandrene \uparrow , longifolene \uparrow	Myzus persicae	Attractive	Eigenbrode <i>et al.</i> (2002)
Barley yellow dwarf virus	Barley (Hordeum vulgare)	<pre>Methoxybenzene↑, (Z)-3-hexenyl acetate↑, undecane↑, nonanl↑, napthalene↑, dodecane↑, decanal↑, 2-methyl dodecane↑, tridecane↑, 2-methyl tridecane↑, copaene↑, tetradecane↑, caryophyllene↑, unidentified sesquiterpene↑, 9-methyl nonadecane↑, pentadecane↑, 2-methyl pentadecane↑,</pre>	Bird cherry-oat aphid (Rhopalosiphum padi)	Attractive	Jiménez- Martínez <i>et al.</i> (2004)

8

© 2024 The Author(s). *Integrative Zoology* published by International Society of Zoological Sciences, Institute of Zoology/Chinese Academy of Sciences and John Wiley & Sons Australia, Ltd.

RIGHTSLINKA

plant shikimic acid pathway. These changes, in turn, affect insects, in particular reducing feeding by the Mexican bean beetle (*Epilachna varivestis*) (Ballhorn *et al.* 2013). Indole has also been shown to promote the synthesis of volatiles such as terpene, JA-isoleucine conjugate, and ABA. These compounds act to enhance plant systemic resistance to insect herbivores (Erb *et al.* 2015). Similarly, the generalist herbivore, Egyptian cotton leafworm (*S. lottiralis*), prefers feeding and ovipositing on indoledeficient maize mutants over wild-type plants (Veyrat *et al.* 2016).

Microbial infection of plants causing reduced VOC production may also indirectly affect plant defense processes (Table 1). Plants inoculated with Pseudomonas fluorescens WCS417r respond to the cabbage moth, Mamestra brassicae, by releasing lower amounts of (E)- α -bergamotene and methyl salicylate, which is associated with reduced expression of the terpene synthase genes TPS03 and TPS04. This results in increased attraction of the parasitoid wasp, *Microplitis mediator*, which acts as an indirect defense against the cabbage moth (Pangesti et al. 2015a, 2016). Various strains of the endophytic fungus, Neotyphodium lolii, colonizing ryegrass (Lolium perenne) differentially affect the VOC composition of the plants they infect (Qawasmeh et al. 2015). Specifically, colonization by EWT and EAR1 strains causes infected hosts to produce higher levels of 2-ethyl-1-hexanol acetate, (Z)-2-octen-ol, and butylated hydroxytoluene relative to control plants without fungi. The African black beetle (Heteronychus arator) was less attracted by E^{WT} and EAR1-infected ryegrass compared to control plants (Oawasmeh et al. 2015). In addition, colonization of maize with different PGPR strains reduced VOC production. For example, three strains of PGPR inoculation suppressed the release of 3-hexen-1-ol and significantly decreased the levels of (E)-5-methyl-2-methylene-2hexen-1-ol in maize. These changes were associated with decreased oviposition by the European corn borer (Ostrinia nubilalis) on PGPR-colonized maize as compared to control plants (Disi et al. 2017). Colonization of the vegetative portion of the grass hybrid Festuca pratensis \times L. perenne by the endophytic fungus Neotyphodium uncinatum decreased total VOC production but increased CO₂ content in roots. These changes decreased the attractiveness of the endophytic fungus-treated grass to the root herbivore, grass grub (Costelvtra zealandica), as compared to uncolonized plants, thus benefiting the plant (Rostás et al. 2015).

The transmission and spread of many phytopathogens are mediated by insect vectors. Certain pathogens indirectly manipulate insect vector behavior by changing the composition or concentration of VOCs released by their host plants to recruit potential insect vectors to infected plants (Table 1), which may result in increased pathogen transmission (Sharifi et al. 2017). For instance, the total amount of VOCs released by cultivated squash plants (Curcubita pepo cv. Dixie) increases after infection by the non-persistently transmitted Cucumber mosaic virus (family Bromoviridae). The increased emission of these VOCs, in turn, renders infected plants more attractive to the aphids, Myzus persicae and Aphis gossypii. Although aphids are initially attracted to infected plants as a result of the pathogen-altered VOCs, over time, the aphids disperse to uninfected plants because diseased plants are of lower nutritional quality, which ultimately promotes the spread of the virus (Mauck et al. 2010). Also, persistently transmitted viruses, such as the Potato leaf roll virus, cause an increase in the total amount of VOCs released by potato plants (Solanum tuberosum). These include greater production of β -sesquiphellandrene, 2hexen-1-ol, and cadinene, which causes the aphid vector, M. persicae, to preferentially feed on infected over uninfected potato plants (Eigenbrode et al. 2002). Barley yellow dwarf virus is also a persistently transmitted virus and induces an increase in the total amount of volatiles in infected plants. This causes the vector insect, that is, the bird cherry-oat aphid (Rhopalosiphum padi), to preferentially feed on infected than uninfected barley (Hordeum vulgare) (Jiménez-Martínez et al. 2004).

In addition to plant viruses, bacterial pathogens transmitted by phytophagous insects also promote the spread of pathogens by modifying plant VOC release (Martini et al. 2016) (Table 1). For example, wild gourd (Cucurbita pepo ssp. texana) infected with the bacterial pathogen, Erwinia tracheiphila, exhibits increased leaf VOC content (e.g. 1-pentanol, toluene, and hexenal) and decreased floral VOC content (e.g. linalool, nonatriene, and 1,4methoxybenzene), which increases the attractiveness of leaves to the insect vector, the striped cucumber beetle (Acalymma vittatum). In this pathosystem, the pathogen is thought to indirectly modulate vector behavior by initially attracting vectors to the leaves of infected plants for pathogen acquisition and subsequently attracting vectors to the flowers of healthy plants for pathogen inoculation (Shapiro et al. 2012). In citrus (Citrus sinensis), infection with a bacterial pathogen (Candidatus Liberibacter asiaticus) increases the release of methyl salicylate, which attracts the Asian citrus psyllid (Diaphorina citri) vector to infected over uninfected plants (Mann et al. 2012). Congruent with the "deceptive host phenotype hypothesis" (Mauck et al. 2010), the Asian citrus psyllid subsequently disperses to healthy plants,

^{© 2024} The Author(s). *Integrative Zoology* published by International Society of Zoological Sciences, Institute of Zoology/Chinese Academy of Sciences and John Wiley & Sons Australia, Ltd.

possibly because they are nutritional superior to infected counterparts (Mann *et al.* 2012). With the broad use of various odor collection/adsorption devices coupled with gas or liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry, it is becoming easier to describe the quantitative and qualitative changes in VOCs released by plants as mediated by disease progression. These techniques may be further combined with assays of insect behavior or GCelectroantennography to screen and identify critical VOCs released by plants after microbial colonization that affect insect behavior.

In contrast to the indirect effects of pathogens on insect vectors, pathogen-induced changes to plant cues (i.e. VOCs) typically reduce behavioral responses of nonvectors to infected plants (Table 1). For example, infection of grapevines by the fungal gray mold (B. cinerea) pathogen increases the production of 3-methyl-1-butanol, which in turn decreases the attractiveness of infected plants to the non-vector insect herbivore, the European grapevine moth (Lobesia botrana). This presumably benefits the insect by decreasing egg laying on fungusinfected plants suffering from rot symptoms, which would be sub-optimal for offspring development (Tasin et al. 2012). Yang et al. (2013) found a similar phenomenon in China rose (Rosa chinensis) after infection by rose powdery mildew (Podosphaera pannosa); VOC release reduced feeding and oviposition behavior of the non-vector insect, beet armyworm (Spodoptera exigua), on infected plants. In addition, the total amount of volatiles released by the white campion, Silene latifolia, decreased after infection by the anther smut fungus, Microbotryum violaceum, including decreased release of lilac aldehyde, an attractant cue for the non-vector noctuid moth, Hadena bicruris. Consequently, H. bicruris avoids feeding and ovipositing on infected plants that are presumably rendered sub-optimal for offspring development (Dötterl et al. 2009). A summary of VOC production results following inoculation of plants with various microorganisms and the corresponding changes in herbivore behavior is provided in Table 1.

Microorganisms affect insect behavior via changes to VOC release by modulating plant signaling cascades (Sharifi *et al.* 2017). For example, the plant hormone JA induces the expression of genes related to the synthesis of a spectrum of volatile compounds, with JA levels regulated by a series of signal transduction pathways. However, the jasmonate ZIM domain (JAZ) protein has a negative regulatory effect on volatile biosynthetic gene expression induced by JA (Thines *et al.* 2007). In the resting state, JAZ binds to the transcription factor MCY2, which in turn binds to the G-box region of the JA response gene promoter, thereby inhibiting the expression of JA-responsive genes (Wasternack & Hause 2013). In the presence of an external stimulus that can include the addition of JA itself, COI1 recruits the JAZ protein to the Skp1/Cullin/F-box protein complex via E3 ubiquitin ligase activity. This leads to JAZ protein ubiquitination and subsequent degradation by the 26S proteasome. With the degradation of the JAZ protein, MCY2 is free to activate the expression of JA-responsive genes (Wasternack & Hause 2013). Recent studies have shown that some pathogenic microorganisms alter plant volatile profiles (in some cases increasing and in others decreasing VOC production) by targeting the JAZ protein. For example, the effector protein 2b released by the Cucumber mosaic virus interacts with and prevents JA-induced degradation of the host JAZ protein. This inhibits the expression of volatile synthesis genes in plants, resulting in changes to the VOC content emitted by infected plants. The altered profile, in turn, increases the attractiveness of infected plants to the phytopathogen vector, M. persicae (Wu et al. 2017). Conversely, coronatine, a toxin secreted by Pseudomonas syringae, promotes the interaction between JAZ and COI1 (Melotto et al. 2008). This might lead to the degradation of JAZ and the subsequent promotion of the expression of JA-dependent volatile biosynthetic genes, which requires further investigation. Pathogenassociated molecular patterns (PAMPs) produced by pathogenic microorganisms have also been shown to affect levels of plant volatiles that regulate the behavior of insect herbivores. For example, the treatment of plants with the bacterial flagellin peptide Flg22, a typical bacterial PAMP, significantly increases JA levels. Moreover, longer durations (2 and 3 h) of plant exposure to Flg22 significantly increase volatile content, which can include heptanal, nonanal, hendecanal, and other compounds (Tu et al. 2017). Considering that plant hormone signals play pivotal roles in both VOC production and growth regulation, the indirect effects of microorganisms (PAMPs and effectors) on insect behavior via plant hormone signaling pathways deserve further investigation.

MICROBIAL CHANGES TO GUSTATORY CUES PRODUCED BY PLANTS INDIRECTLY AFFECT INSECT BEHAVIOR

The interaction between microorganisms and plants often causes changes in plant quality with respect to nutrients made available to insect herbivores. These may 1/1/2024]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/1/149-4877.12911 by Université De Rennes, Wiley Online Library on [05/1/1/2024]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/terms-and-conditions) on Wiley Online Library or rules of use; OA articles are governed by the applicable Creative Commons Licenses

© 2024 The Author(s). *Integrative Zoology* published by International Society of Zoological Sciences, Institute of Zoology/Chinese Academy of Sciences and John Wiley & Sons Australia, Ltd.



Figure 3 Model diagram of microbes regulating the behaviors of insect herbivores via modification of plant-produced gustatory cues.

include altered levels of carbohydrates, amino acids, and/ or plant-defensive secondary metabolites, which may directly affect host–plant selection and/or reproductive strategies employed by herbivorous insects (Aqueel *et al.* 2014). These non-volatile compounds are important gustatory cues specifically perceived by various receptors on insect herbivore antennae, mouthparts, tarsi, and/or ovipositor structures, which may affect various behaviors, including feeding and/or oviposition (Grunseich *et al.* 2019) (Fig. 3).

Beneficial microbes improve plant nutritional status by promoting nutrient absorption, thus affecting host selection by insect herbivores. For example, the rice water weevil, *Lissorhoptrus oryzophilus*, usually lays its eggs in the sheath of rice leaves, and emerging larvae feed on rice roots. Association of the AMF, *Glomus intraradices* with rice, increases concentrations of phosphorus and nitrogen in rice shoots and increases nitrogen content in roots, which enhances insect oviposition on AMF-colonized plants (Cosme *et al.* 2011). Given the microbe-mediated sequestration of nutrients in AMFcolonized plants, preferential oviposition on such plants results in higher survival rates of subsequent offspring, thus favoring insects that are able to recognize AMFcolonized plants.

Beneficial microorganisms may also affect insect behavior by modulating levels of plant defensive compounds. For example, colonization of cotton (Gossypium hirsutum) by PGPR (Bacillus sp.) increases levels of gossypol, a phenolic yellow pigment that inhibits dehydrogenase activity in cotton seeds, roots, and stems (Zebelo et al. 2016). Gossypol acts as a plant defense against insect herbivores by decreasing insect fertility, and Bacillus sp. increases the expression of several gossypol synthesis-related genes in plants (e.g. $(+)-\delta$ -cadinene synthase gene family members). Ultimately, this effect decreases the survival/reproductive rates of beet armyworm larvae on PGPR-colonized plants (Zebelo et al. 2016). With respect to beneficial fungi, AMF colonization has been shown to change the nitrogen and phosphorus contents of milkweed (Asclepias spp.), as well as alter levels of several defensive compounds, including cardenolide and latex; cardenolide is a toxic steroid while latex inhibits feeding (Tao et al. 2016). The monarch butterfly 17494877, 0, Downloaded form https://onlinelibary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/1749-4877.12911 by Universite De Rennes, Wiley Online Libary on [05/11/2024]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://onlinelibary.wiley.com/etra-s-and-conditions) on Wiley Online Libary for rules of use; OA articles are governed by the applicable Creative Commons Licens

^{© 2024} The Author(s). *Integrative Zoology* published by International Society of Zoological Sciences, Institute of Zoology/Chinese Academy of Sciences and John Wiley & Sons Australia, Ltd.

(*Danaus plexippus*) preferentially lays eggs on milkweed plants with low levels of cardenolides, likely to facilitate offspring survival (Jones & Agrawal 2019).

Pathogenic microorganisms may also affect insect behavior by modulating nutritional content and/or defensive metabolites in plants, which can be perceived by herbivores as changes in gustatory cues. For example, infection of peanut (Arachis hypogaea) with the pathogenic fungus, Sclerotium rolfsii Sacc., increases concentrations of soluble sugars, with a concomitant decrease in levels of defensive compounds (e.g. soluble phenolics) (Cardoza et al. 2003a,b). Infected plants often receive greater oviposition from the beet armyworm. Overall, insect larvae exhibit higher survival, develop faster, and achieve greater pupal weight when developing on infected plants (Cardoza et al. 2003b). When compared with uninfected coffee beans, female coffee berry borers (Hypothenemus hampei) produce more offspring on beans infected with Fusarium solani, where the fungal infection causes increased production of ergosterol (Morales-Ramos et al. 2000). Ergosterol plays an important role in regulating the growth and development of insects (Wen et al. 2023), and because insects are unable to synthesize ergosterol de novo, they must obtain it from food. Thus, F. solani indirectly promotes the growth and development of beetles by providing enriched sources of ergosterol in infected plants (Morales-Ramos et al. 2000).

In some instances, plants may transfer anywhere between 4% and 20% of the carbon fixed by photosynthesis to the AMF mutualists in the form of sugars and lipids (Kaur & Suseela 2020). The consumption of plant carbon by these fungi increases the photosynthetic activity of the plants by forming a carbon "sink" in the roots. Consequently, levels of carbon metabolism in AMFassociated plants are usually higher than in their AMFlacking counterparts, resulting in very different levels of carbon-containing compounds (e.g. sugars and amino acids) between the two (Kaur & Suseela 2020). The influence of microorganisms on non-volatile defensive compounds (e.g. isoflavonoids, alkaloids, tannins, and phenolics) often depends on the particular plant and microbial species associated with the plant (Korenblum & Aharoni 2019). However, in most instances, the underlying regulatory mechanisms that cause these differences remain unclear, with further research needed to better understand the mechanisms affecting plant nutritional status as well as how insect herbivores perceive the associated changes via gustatory cues.

MICROBES INDUCE PLANT-MEDIATED CROSSTALK VIA MULTIPLE CUES TO AFFECT INSECT BEHAVIOR

Tritrophic interactions between microbes, plants, and insect herbivores are mediated by visual, olfactory, and gustatory perception. Insects usually do not rely on a single sensory system to locate host plants (George et al. 2020). Microbial colonization of plants may simultaneously affect multiple plant cues, and the resulting changes are likely to act in concert to affect the behavior of insect herbivores. For instance, colonization of cucumber with the PGPR, B. pumilus (strain INR-7), promotes an increase in biomass but also reduces the concentration of cucurbitacin (Zehnder et al. 1997a,b). Cucurbitacin is a bitter secondary metabolite produced by cucurbitaceous plants that is toxic to most insect herbivores. However, the cucumber beetle (Diabrotica undecimpunctata) is not only able to tolerate this metabolite but actually sequesters it as a defensive compound against its own natural enemies (Brzozowski et al. 2020). Moreover, the number of beetles feeding on cucumbers is positively correlated with total cucurbitacin content. In this instance, the bacterial association with host plants affects both plant visual and gustatory cues and results in a decreased prevalence of cucumber beetles on infected plants with lower cucurbitacin levels (Zehnder et al. 1997a,b).

Another example of behavioral manipulation of herbivores caused by plant viruses occurs in rice infected with a combination of two viruses (Rice tungro bacilliform virus and Rice tungro spherical virus) causing tungro disease. Infection of rice by these viruses modifies plant cues to attract the vector insect, green leafhopper (Nephotettix virescens). Whereas the vector initially prefers to feed on infected plants, 24 h after the initial feeding, the insects disperse and aggregate on healthy plants as their preferred food source (Khan & Saxena 1985). Free sugars and soluble protein content in tungro disease-infected rice are increased, yet the palatability of the infected plants to the leafhoppers is reduced. Although the mechanism causing the initial attractiveness of infected plants to the planthopper remains unclear, it is likely the result of altered visual and/or olfactory cues released by virus-infected plants. The coordinated direct versus indirect effects that microbes have on vector behavior following plant infection (which may result in either attraction or deterrence of specific insects) are worth exploring further,

^{© 2024} The Author(s). *Integrative Zoology* published by International Society of Zoological Sciences, Institute of Zoology/Chinese Academy of Sciences and John Wiley & Sons Australia, Ltd.

particularly with respect to how these coordinated effects operate through integrated visual, olfactory, and gustatory cues. One hypothesis is that insects rely on olfactory cues for long-distance orientation and subsequently switch to visual cues to distinguish between potential plant hosts and identify specific targets for oviposition to maximize the growth and development of their progeny.

Plants simultaneously confront a variety of pathogenic microorganisms in both natural and agricultural ecosystems. Due to the highly diverse range of species of plantassociating microorganisms, the modulation of herbivore behavior by microorganisms should be considered a dynamic process that may change over time (even within a single day), and these changes are likely affected by factors including circadian rhythms, fluctuations in abiotic conditions, changes in soil constituent contents (e.g. phosphorus and nitrogen levels), and interactions among the microbes themselves as they co-occur in various combinations on different species of host plants. For example, infection of the broad bean by the rust fungus (Uromvces viciae-fabae) increases nitrogen concentration in plants (Al-Naemi & Hatcher 2013). The black bean aphid (Aphis *fabae*) prefers feeding on *U. viciae-fabae*-infected leaves to uninfected counterparts, as these plants improve aphid growth rates and fertility (Al-Naemi & Hatcher 2013). Conversely, infection by the necrotrophic fungus gray mold (B. cinerea) results in decreased nitrogen content in the broad bean. In this case, the growth rate and fecundity of the aphids are reduced on gray mold-infected plants compared with healthy plants. Under conditions where the two fungi simultaneously infect the broad bean, there is a decrease in plant nitrogen content, but this does not seem to affect the growth rate and/or fecundity of the insects. However, when the two fungi successively infect the broad bean, the nitrogen content is decreased, and aphid fecundity is decreased (Al-Naemi & Hatcher 2013). These results highlight the complexity of interactions that can occur even when there are relatively few species interacting and the potential importance of succession, that is, the order of microbial association.

A similar phenomenon was also observed in a plantvirus-herbivore interaction (Peñaflor *et al.* 2016). Soybean plants infected with the *Bean pod mottle virus* exhibit increased levels of glucose and several amino acids in their leaves. These infected plants were more attractive to Mexican bean beetles (*E. varivestis*) than uninfected counterparts (Peñaflor *et al.* 2016). In the case of the *Soybean mosaic virus*-infection, soybean plants are characterized by decreased JA levels, increased SA levels, and increased leaf glucose, leaf and phloem sucrose, and total and specific amino acid concentrations. The attractiveness of infected plants to both Mexican bean beetles and soybean aphids, *Aphis glycines*, is greater than that of their uninfected counterparts (Peñaflor *et al.* 2016). *Bean pod mottle virus* and the *Soybean mosaic virus* co-infected soybean plants exhibit decreased JA levels, increased SA levels, and increased phloem sucrose, and total and partial amino acid content. However, the co-infected plants only showed increased attractiveness to the soybean aphids (Peñaflor *et al.* 2016). Collectively, these studies suggest that co-infection of pathogenic microorganisms in plants may have differential effects on the interaction between plants and insect herbivores, and this can change the dynamics of pathogen spread.

CONCLUSIONS AND OUTLOOK

In natural ecosystems, tritrophic interactions among plants, insects, and microorganisms facilitate stability. Plant-associated microorganisms regulate the preference and performance of insect herbivores by modulating variable plant-produced cues. Plant associations with both beneficial and pathogenic microbes affect various physiological and molecular processes in plants, such as phytohormone signaling, biosynthesis, and nutrient absorption, and this includes regulation at the gene and protein expression levels. The consequences of these activities are changes in plant-produced visual, olfactory, and gustatory cues that can subsequently affect the growth, survival, feeding, and ovipositing preferences of insect herbivores. The fluid nature of horizontal gene transfer between microbes, insects, and plants confers selective advantages to the recipient organism and may provide important evolutionary mechanisms for enhanced adaptations for all three associates (Matveeva et al. 2012; Stritzler et al. 2018). Discovering not only additional examples of horizontal gene transfer events, but also considering gene loss, diversification, and convergent evolution (e.g. genes affecting plant hormone levels) is necessary for a more detailed understanding of how these systems function.

Insect herbivore-associated microbes also play important roles in the ecology and evolution of insect-plant interactions (Ma *et al.* 2023; Zhang *et al.* 2023). The effects of both plant- and insect-associated microbes should be considered simultaneously in future studies. Furthermore, most recent investigations of plant-insect-microbe interactions continue to be conducted in a largely controlled lab or semi-field environments. However, in real-world scenarios, biotic factors like co-infection or co-infestation by multiple species of microbes or insect herbivores and abiotic factors like salt stress, drought stress, and

^{© 2024} The Author(s). *Integrative Zoology* published by International Society of Zoological Sciences, Institute of Zoology/Chinese Academy of Sciences and John Wiley & Sons Australia, Ltd.



Figure 4 Future directions to monitor the dynamic changes occurring during interactions among various organisms in agricultural ecosystems. Construction of such surveillance networks with capabilities in metabarcoding, image capture, and gustatory and olfactory cue surveillance combined with insect-attractant traps could identify critical organisms or their components modulating ecosystem changes and facilitate understanding of the "languages" that mediate interactions among organisms.

nutrient deficiency also affect tritrophic interactions. Future research integrating the effects of various abiotic factors is needed to better understand the mechanisms governing tritrophic interactions.

In particular, this research may have important applications for the improvement of pest control strategies for agricultural applications. For example, understanding how microbial associations with plants affect pest behavior could determine the timing and nature of integrated pest management practices (Stelinski et al. 2019; Bai et al. 2023). For instance, some entomopathogenic fungi not only promote plant growth but also increase plant defense responses against insect herbivores (Jaber & Enkerli 2017). Therefore, reshaping the soil microbiome is one possible strategy for pest management in agroecosystems or for restoration in degraded natural ecosystems (Pineda et al. 2017). This can be accomplished by developing and commercializing beneficial microbial inoculants (Bai et al. 2015; Yu et al. 2022). Similarly, microbial inoculates can stimulate host plants to produce insect-repellent volatiles and/or defensive compounds. Furthermore, soils with beneficial bacterial and/or fungal communities could be purposefully transplanted to promote

soil disease-suppressive properties (Mendes *et al.* 2011; Raaijmakers & Mazzola 2016; Wubs *et al.* 2016) and/or to regulate environmental factors (e.g. soil temperature or moisture levels) to alter the residing soil microbiome composition to a desired beneficial state (Dessaux *et al.* 2016; Raaijmakers & Mazzola 2016; Kolton *et al.* 2017; Chen *et al.* 2020; DuPont *et al.* 2021; Liu *et al.* 2021). Plant factors, including plant species, ontogeny, and root exudates, may modify the soil microbiome directly or indirectly. Thus, crop rotation, intercropping, or cover crops are also useful tactics for shaping the desired soil microbiome in agroecosystems.

Future investigations should also aim to classify and resolve the cues involved in tritrophic interactions that shape natural and agricultural ecosystems (Fig. 4). For instance, specific microbial species or their components may be identified and then employed to either attract natural predators or parasitoids or to repel herbivorous pests by modifying plant visual cues. For example, the use of light and/or infrared light detection in agricultural crops via cameras has been applied to monitor coloration as a marker for plant health and/or pest infestations. Combining such data outputs with insect trapping and

© 2024 The Author(s). *Integrative Zoology* published by International Society of Zoological Sciences, Institute of Zoology/Chinese Academy of Sciences and John Wiley & Sons Australia, Ltd.

metabarcoding technologies may enable automated quantification of human influence within small ecosystems and allow manipulation for pest management within agroecosystems.

Unraveling the chemistry of multitrophic interactions in phytoplasma disease systems may advance the applications for pest control. For example, synthetic mixtures of phytopathogen-induced volatile odorants may be used to manipulate vector behavior. In addition, certain microbial or herbivore-induced odorants may be used to attract parasitoids. Dynamic monitoring of plant volatiles by GC-MS combined with microbial metabarcoding and insect behavioral assays can be employed to understand these interactions for the development of practical tools.

Similarly, many microbial or herbivore-induced metabolites exhibit antiviral, insecticidal, and fungicidal activities. Determining the factors that induce the production of these metabolites might yield applications with limited negative effects on ecosystems (Elshafie et al. 2023). For instance, dynamic surveillance of plant/ soil/water microbes or their products during ecosystem change or growth could facilitate the re-construction of functional networks that resolve interactions between the species involved. This could be used to identify indicator species that signal the occurrence of stress or that indicate the occurrence of random disturbance that may require human intervention. The construction of such surveillance networks could benefit humans by enabling the reconstruction of ecosystems damaged by previous anthropogenic activities. An increasing number of intelligent surveillance sensors and associated instruments have been developed that include capabilities for metabarcoding, image capture, and e-nose sensors. The application of these surveillance sensors and instruments could greatly accelerate investigations of communication networks within ecosystems and lay the foundations for further functional and theoretical studies that benefit ecosystem management.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (32360775, 32160666, 32472644), the Guizhou Province Science and Technology Support Project ([2022] General 239), the Education Department of Guizhou Province Scientific Research Program of Higher Education Institutions (Young Scientific Program) (Qianjiaoji, 2022, No.118), and the Research Funds for Introduced Talents of Guizhou University (Guizhou University, renjihezi, 2021, No. 34).

REFERENCES

- Ajayi B, Dewar A (1983). The effect of barley yellow dwarf virus on field populations of the cereal aphids, *Sitobion avenae* and *Metopolophium dirhodum*. *Annals of Applied Biology* **103**, 1–11.
- Alhoraibi H, Bigeard J, Rayapuram N, Colcombet J, Hirt H (2019). Plant immunity: The MTI-ETI model and beyond. *Current Issues in Molecular Biology* **30**, 39–58.
- Ali A (2023). Biodiversity–ecosystem functioning research: Brief history, major trends and perspectives. *Biological Conservation* **285**, 110210.
- Al-Naemi F, Hatcher P (2013). Contrasting effects of necrotrophic and biotrophic plant pathogens on the aphid *Aphis fabae*. *Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata* 148, 234–45.
- Aqueel MA, Collins CM, Raza AB, Ahmad S, Tariq M, Leather SR (2014). Effect of plant nutrition on aphid size, prey consumption, and life history characteristics of green lacewing. *Insect Science* **21**, 74–82.
- Bai J, Xu Z, Li L *et al.* (2023). Gut bacterial microbiota of *Lymantria dispar asiatica* and its involvement in *Beauveria bassiana* infection. *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology* **197**, 107897.
- Bai Y, Müller D, Srinivas GR *et al.* (2015). Functional overlap of the *Arabidopsis* leaf and root microbiota. *Nature* **528**, 364–69.
- Ballhorn D, Kautz S, Schädler M (2013). Induced plant defense via volatile production is dependent on rhizobial symbiosis. *Oecologia* 172, 833–46.
- Beck J, Vannette R (2017). Harnessing insect-microbe chemical communications to control insect pests of agricultural systems. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* **65**, 23–28.
- Biedermann P, Rohlfs M (2017). Evolutionary feedbacks between insect sociality and microbial management. *Current Opinion in Insect Science* **22**, 92–100.
- Bruce T, Wadhams L, Woodcock C (2005). Insect host location: A volatile situation. *Trends in Plant Science* 10, 269–74.
- Brzozowski L, Gore M, Agrawal A, Mazourek M (2020). Divergence of defensive cucurbitacins in independent *Cucurbita pepo* domestication events leads to differences in specialist herbivore preference. *Plant Cell & Environment* 43, 2812–25.
- Çakmakçı R, Salık MA, Çakmakçı S (2023). Assessment and principles of environmentally sustainable food and agriculture systems. *Agriculture* **13**, 1073.

^{© 2024} The Author(s). *Integrative Zoology* published by International Society of Zoological Sciences, Institute of Zoology/Chinese Academy of Sciences and John Wiley & Sons Australia, Ltd.

- Cardoza Y, Lait C, Schmelz E, Huang J, Tumlinson J (2003a). Fungus-induced biochemical changes in peanut plants and their effect on development of beet armyworm, *Spodoptera exigua* Hübner (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) larvae. *Environmental Entomology* **32**, 220–28.
- Cardoza Y, Teal P, Tumlinson J (2003b). Effect of peanut plant fungal infection on oviposition preference by *Spodoptera exigua* and on host-searching behavior by *Cotesia marginiventris*. *Environmental Entomology* **32**, 970–76.
- Cellini A, Spinelli F, Donati I, Ryu C, Kloepper J (2021). Bacterial volatile compound-based tools for crop management and quality. *Trends in Plant Science* **26**, 968– 83.
- Chen C, Mao Y (2020). Research advances in plant-insect molecular interaction. *F1000Research* **9**, F1000.
- Chen S, Qi G, Ma G, Zhao X (2020). Biochar amendment controlled bacterial wilt through changing soil chemical properties and microbial community. *Microbiological Research* 231, 126373.
- Chuche J, Boudon-Padieu E, Thiéry D (2016). Host preferences of the leafhopper *Scaphoideus titanus*, vector of "flavescence dorée" phytoplasma. *Phytopathogenic Mollicutes* **6**, 38.
- Compant S, Duffy B, Nowak J, Clément C, Barka E (2005). Use of plant growth-promoting bacteria for biocontrol of plant diseases: Principles, mechanisms of action, and future prospects. *American Society for Microbiology* **71**, 4951–59.
- Cosme M, Stout M, Wurst S (2011). Effect of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (*Glomus intraradices*) on the oviposition of rice water weevil (*Lissorhoptrus oryzophilus*). *Mycorrhiza* 21, 651–58.
- Dessaux Y, Grandclément C, Faure D (2016). Engineering the rhizosphere. *Trends In Plant Science* **21**, 266– 78.
- Dicke M (2016). Plant phenotypic plasticity in the phytobiome: A volatile issue. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology* **32**, 17–23.
- Disi J, Zebelo S, Kloepper J, Fadamiro H (2017). Seed inoculation with beneficial rhizobacteria affects European corn borer (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae) oviposition on maize plants. *Entomological Science* **21**, 48–58.
- Doehlemann G, Ökmen B, Zhu W, Sharon A (2017).
 Plant pathogenic fungi. *Microbiology Spectrum* 5, https://doi.org/10.1128/microbiolspec.funk-0023-2016

- DuPont S, Hewavitharana S, Mazzola M (2021). Field scale application of Brassica seed meal and anaerobic soil disinfestation for the control of apple replant disease. *Applied Soil Ecology* **166**, 104076.
- Dötterl S, Jurgens A, Wolfe L, Biere A (2009). Disease status and population origin effects on floral scent: Potential consequences for oviposition and fruit predation in a complex interaction between a plant, fungus, and noctuid moth. *Journal of Chemical Ecology* **35**, 307– 19.
- Eigenbrode S, Ding H, Shiel P, Berger P (2002). Volatiles from potato plants infected with potato leafroll virus attract and arrest the virus vector, *Myzus persicae* (Homoptera: Aphididae). *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **269**, 455–60.
- Elshafie HS, Camele I, Mohamed AA (2023). A comprehensive review on the biological, agricultural and pharmaceutical properties of secondary metabolites basedplant origin. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences* **24**, 3266.
- Erb M, Veyrat N, Robert C *et al.* (2015). Indole is an essential herbivore-induced volatile priming signal in maize. *Nature Communications* **6**, 6273.
- Evelin H, Kapoor R, Giri B (2009). Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in alleviation of salt stress: A review. *Annals of Botany* **104**, 1263–80.
- George J, Paris TM, Allan SD *et al.* (2020). UV reflective properties of magnesium oxide increase attraction and probing behavior of Asian citrus psyllids (Hemiptera: Liviidae). *Scientific Reports* **10**, 1890.
- Goff S, Klee H (2006). Plant volatile compounds: Sensory cues for health and nutritional value? *Science* **311**, 815–19.
- Grunseich J, Thompson M, Aguirre N, Helms A (2019). The role of plant-associated microbes in mediating host-plant selection by insect herbivores. *Plants* (*Basel*) **9**, 6.
- Guimarães PR (2020). The structure of ecological networks across levels of organization. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics* **51**, 433–60.
- Hameeda B, Harini G, Rupela O, Wani S, Reddy G (2008). Growth promotion of maize by phosphatesolubilizing bacteria isolated from composts and macrofauna. *Microbiological Research* **163**, 234–42.
- Helms A, Ray S, Matulis N *et al.* (2019). Chemical cues linked to risk: Cues from below-ground natural enemies enhance plant defences and influence herbivore behaviour and performance. *Functional Ecology* 33, 798–808.

© 2024 The Author(s). *Integrative Zoology* published by International Society of Zoological Sciences, Institute of Zoology/Chinese Academy of Sciences and John Wiley & Sons Australia, Ltd.

- Hodge S, Powell G (2010). Conditional facilitation of an aphid vector, *Acyrthosiphon pisum*, by the plant pathogen, pea enation mosaic virus. *Journal of Insect Science* **10**, 155.
- Hoque M (2023). Unveiling the ripple effect: How human activities reshape ecosystems. *Romanian Journal* of Ecology & Environmental Chemistry **5**, 17–28.
- Jaber L, Enkerli J (2017). Fungal entomopathogens as endophytes: Can they promote plant growth? *Biocontrol Science and Technology* **27**, 28–41.
- Jagiełło R, Łakomy P, Łukowski A, Giertych M (2019). Spreading-the-risk hypothesis may explain *Cameraria ohridella* oviposition in relation to leaf blotch disease. *Arthropod-Plant Interactions* **13**, 787–95.
- Jeavons E, Chevrie O, Le Lann C *et al.* (2022). Exploitative competition for floral resources reduces sugar intake but differently impacts the foraging behaviour of two non-bee flower visitors. *Oikos* **2022**, e08576. https: //doi.org/10.1111/oik.08576
- Jiménez-Martínez E, Bosque-Pérez N, Berger P, Zemetra R, Ding H, Eigenbrode S (2004). Volatile cues influence the response of *Rhopalosiphum padi* (homoptera: Aphididae) to barley yellow dwarf virus– infected transgenic and untransformed wheat. *Environmental Entomology* 33, 1207–16.
- Jones P, Agrawal A (2017). Learning in insect pollinators and herbivores. *Annual Review of Entomology* **62**, 53– 71.
- Jones P, Agrawal A (2019). Beyond preference and performance: Host plant selection by monarch butterflies, *Danaus plexippus. Oikos* **128**, 1092–102.
- Kamali S, Javadmanesh A, Stelinski LL *et al.* (2022). Beneficial worm allies warn plants of parasitic attack belowground and reduce aboveground herbivore preference and performance. *Molecular Ecology* **31**, 691– 712.
- Kaur S, Suseela V (2020). Unraveling arbuscular mycorrhiza-induced changes in plant primary and secondary metabolome. *Metabolites* **10**, 335.
- Kempel A, Schmidt A, Brandl R, Schädler M (2010). Support from the underground: Induced plant resistance depends on arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. *Functional Ecology* 24, 293–300.
- Kessler A, Baldwin I (2001). Defensive function of herbivore-induced plant volatile emissions in nature. *Science* **291**, 2141–44.
- Khan Z, Saxena R (1985). Behavior and biology of *Nephotettix virescens* (Homoptera: Cicadellidae) on tungro virus-infected rice plants: Epidemiology

implications. *Environmental Entomology* **14**, 297–304.

- Kim K, Jordan D, Mcdonald G (1998). Effect of phosphate-solubilizing bacteria and vesiculararbuscular mycorrhizae on tomato growth and soil microbial activity. *Biology and Fertility of Soils* **26**, 79–87.
- Kolton M, Graber E, Tsehansky L, Elad Y, Cytryn E (2017). Biochar-stimulated plant performance is strongly linked to microbial diversity and metabolic potential in the rhizosphere. *New Phytologist* **213**, 1393–404.
- Korenblum E, Aharoni A (2019). Phytobiome metabolism: Beneficial soil microbes steer crop plants' secondary metabolism. *Pest Management Science* 75, 2378–84.
- Krebs C, Boutin S, Boonstra R (2024). Population and community ecology: Past progress and future directions. *Integrative Zoology*, https://doi.org/10.1111/ 1749-4877.12863
- Lee C, Efetova M, Engelmann J *et al.* (2009). *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* promotes tumor induction by modulating pathogen defense in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant Cell* **21**, 2948–62.
- Li H, Young S, Poulsen M, Currie C (2021). Symbiontmediated digestion of plant biomass in fungus-farming insects. *Annual Review of Entomology* **66**, 297–316.
- Liu H, Macdonald C, Cook J, Anderson I, Singh B (2019). An ecological loop: Host microbiomes across multitrophic interactions. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* **34**, 1118–30.
- Liu T, Ye N, Wang X *et al.* (2021). Drought stress and plant ecotype drive microbiome recruitment in switch-grass rhizosheath. *Journal of Integrative Plant Biology* **63**, 1753–74.
- Ma M, Chen X, Li S, Luo J, Han R, Xu L (2023). Composition and diversity of gut bacterial community in different life stages of a leaf beetle *Gastrolina depressa*. *Microbial Ecology* **86**, 590–600.
- Malik R, Ali J, Bever J (2018). Mycorrhizal composition influences plant anatomical defense and impacts herbivore growth and survival in a life-stage dependent manner. *Pedobiologia* **66**, 29–35.
- Mann R, Ali J, Hermann S *et al.* (2012). Induced release of a plant-defense volatile 'deceptively' attracts insect vectors to plants infected with a bacterial pathogen. *PLoS Pathogens* **8**, e1002610.
- Martini X, Willett D, Kuhns E, Stelinski L (2016). Disruption of vector host preference with plant

^{© 2024} The Author(s). *Integrative Zoology* published by International Society of Zoological Sciences, Institute of Zoology/Chinese Academy of Sciences and John Wiley & Sons Australia, Ltd.

volatiles may reduce spread of insect-transmitted plant pathogens. *Journal of Chemical Ecology* **42**, 357–67.

- Mason C, Jones A, Felton G (2019). Co-option of microbial associates by insects and their impact on plantfolivore interactions. *Plant, Cell & Environment* 42, 1078–86.
- Mastretta C, Barac T, Vangronsveld J, Newman L, Taghavi S, van der Lelie D (2006). Endophytic bacteria and their potential application to improve the phytoremediation of contaminated environments. *Biotechnology and Genetic Engineering Reviews* **23**, 175– 207.
- Matveeva T, Bogomaz D, Pavlova O, Nester E, Lutova L (2012). Horizontal gene transfer from genus Agrobacterium to the plant Linaria in nature. Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions Journal 25, 1542–51.
- Mauck K, De Moraes C, Mescher M (2010). Deceptive chemical signals induced by a plant virus attract insect vectors to inferior hosts. *PNAS* **107**, 3600–3605.
- McCary M, Phillips J, Ramiadantsoa T, Nell L, McCormick A, Botsch J (2021). Transient top-down and bottom-up effects of resources pulsed to multiple trophic levels. *Ecology* **102**, e03197.
- McLeod M, Bullington L, Cleveland C, Rousk J, Lekberg Y (2021). Invasive plant-derived dissolved organic matter alters microbial communities and carbon cycling in soils. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* **156**, 108191.
- Melotto M, Mecey C, Niu Y *et al.* (2008). A critical role of two positively charged amino acids in the Jas motif of *Arabidopsis* JAZ proteins in mediating coronatineand jasmonoyl isoleucine-dependent interactions with the COI1 F-box protein. *The Plant Journal* **55**, 979–88.
- Mendes R, Kruijt M, de Bruijn I et al. (2011). Deciphering the rhizosphere microbiome for diseasesuppressive bacteria. Science 332, 1097–100.
- Misas-Villamil J, van der Hoorn R (2008). Enzymeinhibitor interactions at the plant-pathogen interface. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology* **11**, 380–88.
- Morales-Ramos J, Rojas M, Sittertz-Bhatkar H, Saldaña G (2000). Symbiotic relationship between *Hypothenemus hampei* (Coleoptera: Scolytidae) and *Fusarium solani* (Moniliales: Tuberculariaceae). *Annals of the Entomological Society of America* **93**, 541–47.
- Nascimento F, Rossi M, Glick B (2018). Ethylene and 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate (ACC) in plant– bacterial interactions. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 9, 114.

- Pangesti N, Pineda A, Dicke M, van Loon JJA (2015a). Variation in plant-mediated interactions between rhizobacteria and caterpillars: potential role of soil composition. *Plant Biology* 17, 474–83.
- Pangesti N, Pineda A, Hannula S, Bezemer T (2020). Soil inoculation alters the endosphere microbiome of chrysanthemum roots and leaves. *Plant and Soil* **455**, 107–19.
- Pangesti N, Reichelt M, van de Mortel J *et al.* (2016). Jasmonic acid and ethylene signaling pathways regulate glucosinolate levels in plants during rhizobacteriainduced systemic resistance against a leaf-chewing herbivore. *Journal of Chemical Ecology* **42**, 1212–25.
- Pangesti N, Weldegergis B, Langendorf B et al. (2015b). Rhizobacterial colonization of roots modulates plant volatile emission and enhances the attraction of a parasitoid wasp to host-infested plants. Oecologia 178, 1169–80.
- Peñaflor M, Mauck K, Alves K, De Moraes C, Mescher M, Bell J (2016). Effects of single and mixed infections of *Bean pod mottle virus* and *Soybean mosaic virus* on host-plant chemistry and host-vector interactions. *Functional Ecology* **30**, 1648–59.
- Pieterse C, Zamioudis C, Berendsen R, Weller D, Van Wees S, Bakker P (2014). Induced systemic resistance by beneficial microbes. *Annual Review of Phytopathol*ogy 52, 347–75.
- Pineda A, Kaplan I, Bezemer T (2017). Steering soil microbiomes to suppress aboveground insect pests. *Trends in Plant Science* **22**, 770–78.
- Pineda A, Zheng S, van Loon J, Pieterse C, Dicke M (2010). Helping plants to deal with insects: The role of beneficial soil-borne microbes. *Trends in Plant Science* 15, 507–14.
- Pontes J, Fernandes L, Dos Santos R, Tasic L, Fill T (2020). Virulence factors in the phytopathogen-host interactions: An overview. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* 68, 7555–70.
- Priya A, Jalil A, Dutta K *et al.* (2022). Microplastics in the environment: Recent developments in characteristic, occurrence, identification and ecological risk. *Chemosphere* **298**, 134161.
- Puga-Freitas R, Blouin M (2015). A review of the effects of soil organisms on plant hormone signalling pathways. *Environmental and Experimental Botany* **114**, 104–16.
- Qawasmeh A, Raman A, Wheatley W (2015). Volatiles in perennial ryegrass infected with strains of

© 2024 The Author(s). *Integrative Zoology* published by International Society of Zoological Sciences, Institute of Zoology/Chinese Academy of Sciences and John Wiley & Sons Australia, Ltd.

endophytic fungus: Impact on African black beetle host selection. *Journal of Applied Entomology* **139**, 94–104.

- Raaijmakers J, Mazzola M (2016). Soil immune responses. *Science* **352**, 1392–93.
- Rizvi S, Raman A, Wheatley W, Cook G, Nicol H (2015). Influence of *Botrytis cinerea* (Helotiales: Sclerotiniaceae) infected leaves of *Vitis vinifera* (Vitales: Vitaceae) on the preference of *Epiphyas postvittana* (Lepidoptera: Tortricidae). *Austral Entomology* 54, 60–70.
- Rostás M, Cripps M, Silcock P (2015). Aboveground endophyte affects root volatile emission and host plant selection of a belowground insect. *Oecologia* 177, 487– 97.
- Shapiro L, De Moraes C, Stephenson A, Mescher M, van der Putten W (2012). Pathogen effects on vegetative and floral odours mediate vector attraction and host exposure in a complex pathosystem. *Ecology Letters* **15**, 1430–38.
- Sharifi R, Lee S, Ryu C (2017). Microbe-induced plant volatiles. *New Phytologist* **220**, 684–91.
- Shikano I, Rosa C, Tan C, Felton G (2017). Tritrophic interactions: Microbe-mediated plant effects on insect herbivores. *Annual Review of Phytopathology* 55, 313– 31.
- Sridhar A, Balakrishnan A, Jacob MM et al. (2023). Global impact of COVID-19 on agriculture: role of sustainable agriculture and digital farming. Environmental Science and Pollution Research 30, 42509–25.
- Stelinski LL, Willett D, Rivera MJ, Ali JG (2019). 'Tuning' communication among four trophic levels of the root biome to facilitate biological control. *Biological Control* **131**, 49–53.
- Stritzler M, Soto G, Ayub N (2018). Plant growthpromoting genes can switch to be virulence factors via horizontal gene transfer. *Microbial Ecology* 76, 579– 83.
- Tao L, Ahmad A, Roode J, Hunter M, Heijden M (2016). Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi affect plant tolerance and chemical defences to herbivory through different mechanisms. *Journal of Ecology* **104**, 561–71.
- Tasin M, Knudsen G, Pertot I (2012). Smelling a diseased host: Grapevine moth responses to healthy and fungus-infected grapes. *Animal Behaviour* **83**, 555–62.
- Thines B, Katsir L, Melotto M *et al.* (2007). JAZ repressor proteins are targets of the SCFCOI1 complex during jasmonate signalling. *Nature* **448**, 661–65.

- Tobin P (2018). Managing invasive species. *F1000 Research* 7(F1000 Faculty Rev), 1686.
- Touhara K, Vosshall L (2009). Sensing odorants and pheromones with chemosensory receptors. *Annual Review of Physiology* **71**, 307–32.
- Tu S, Yang R, Xu X *et al.* (2017). Flg22-triggered oxylipin production in *Pyropia haitanensis*. *Phycological Research* **65**, 86–93.
- Veyrat N, Robert C, Turlings T, Erb M, De Deyn G (2016). Herbivore intoxication as a potential primary function of an inducible volatile plant signal. *Journal of Ecology* **104**, 591–600.
- Vurukonda S, Giovanardi D, Stefani E (2018). Plant growth promoting and biocontrol activity of *Strepto*myces spp. as endophytes. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences* 19, 952.
- Vurukonda S, Vardharajula S, Shrivastava M, Sk Z (2016). Enhancement of drought stress tolerance in crops by plant growth promoting rhizobacteria. *Microbiological Research* 184, 13–24.
- Wan N, Zheng X, Fu L *et al.* (2020). Global synthesis of effects of plant species diversity on trophic groups and interactions. *Nature Plants* **6**, 503–10.
- Wang X, Fu X, Shi M *et al.* (2023). Multiple interaction networks reveal that Lepidoptera larvae and adults prefer various host plants for diet and pollination. *Integrative Zoology* **19**, 763–76.
- Wasternack C, Hause B (2013). Jasmonates: Biosynthesis, perception, signal transduction and action in plant stress response, growth and development. An update to the 2007 review in Annals of Botany. *Annals of Botany* **111**, 1021–58.
- Wen D, Chen Z, Wen J, Jia Q (2023). Sterol regulation of development and 20-hydroxyecdysone biosynthetic and signaling genes in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Cells* 12, 1739.
- Weyens N, van der Lelie D, Taghavi S, Newman L, Vangronsveld J (2009). Exploiting plant-microbe partnerships to improve biomass production and remediation. *Trends in Biotechnology* 27, 591–98.
- Wu D, Qi T, Li W *et al.* (2017). Viral effector protein manipulates host hormone signaling to attract insect vectors. *Cell Research* 27, 402–15.
- Wubs E, Van der Putten W, Bosch M, Bezemer T (2016). Soil inoculation steers restoration of terrestrial ecosystems. *Nature Plants* **2**, 16107.
- Yang FZ, Li Y, Yang B (2013). The inhibitory effects of rose powdery mildew infection on the oviposition

^{© 2024} The Author(s). *Integrative Zoology* published by International Society of Zoological Sciences, Institute of Zoology/Chinese Academy of Sciences and John Wiley & Sons Australia, Ltd.

behaviour and performance of beet armyworms. Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata 148, 39–47.

- Yang J, Kloepper J, Ryu C (2009). Rhizosphere bacteria help plants tolerate abiotic stress. Trends in Plant Science 14, 1-4.
- Ye M, Liu M, Erb M et al. (2021). Indole primes defence signalling and increases herbivore resistance in tea plants. Plant, Cell & Environment 44, 1165-77.
- Yu Y, Gui Y, Li Z, Jiang C, Guo J, Niu D (2022). Induced systemic resistance for improving plant immunity by beneficial microbes. Plants 11, 386.
- Zebelo S, Song Y, Kloepper J, Fadamiro H (2016). Rhizobacteria activates (+)-delta-cadinene synthase genes and induces systemic resistance in cotton against beet armyworm (Spodoptera exigua). Plant, Cell & Environment 39, 935-43.

- Zehnder G, Kloepper J, Tuzun S et al. (1997a). Insect feeding on cucumber mediated by rhizobacteriainduced plant resistance. Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata 83, 81-85.
- Zehnder G, Kloepper J, Yao C, Wei G (1997b). Induction of systemic resistance in cucumber against cucumber beetles (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) by plant growthpromoting rhizobacteria. Journal of Economic Entomology 90, 391-96.
- Zhang L, Yan C, Guo Q et al. (2018). The impact of agricultural chemical inputs on environment: global evidence from informetrics analysis and visualization. International Journal of Low-Carbon Technologies 13, 338-52.
- Zhang Y, Zhang S, Xu L (2023). The pivotal roles of gut microbiota in insect plant interactions for sustainable pest management. npj Biofilms and Microbiomes 9, 66.

Cite this article as:

Zhang W, Stelinski LL, Mohamed A et al. (2024). Unlocking agro-ecosystem sustainability: exploring the bottomup effects of microbes, plants, and insect herbivores. Integrative Zoology 00, 1-20. https://doi.org/10.1111/1749-4877.12911