

# 义

### ▶ To cite this version:

## HAL Id: hal-04762946 https://hal.science/hal-04762946v1

Submitted on 1 Nov 2024

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.





https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-024-06168-8

# თmmmmmmmmmmmmmmm,

Check for updates

ਿ r

Cellular morphologies powered by the actin cytoskeleton are essential to support developmental processes and cellular functions. Among the actindriven structures produced by cells, filopodia assist relevant processes such as axonal guidance, establishing neuronal synapses, zippering of epithelial sheets, or myoblast fusion<sup>1–3</sup>. Filopodia are specialized 60–200 nm diameter finger-like structures made of paired actin filaments of a few to hundred microns in length<sup>4,5</sup>. The remodeling of the membrane/actin interface plays a central role in the formation and shape maintenance of filopodia. This function is driven by modular proteins that connect actin microfilaments with the plasma membrane, typically via phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate (PI(4,5)P<sub>2</sub>)-rich interfaces, as in the case of ezrin-radixin-moesin (ERM) domain proteins<sup>6–8</sup>, or the inverse-Bin1-Amphiphysin-Rvs (I-BAR) domain protein IRSp53<sup>9,10</sup>.

Bin/Amphiphysin/Rvs (BAR) domain proteins are multi-functional effectors characterized by a modular architecture consisting of an intrinsically curved membrane-binding BAR domain followed by auxiliary domains mediating protein-protein interactions and Rho GTPase signaling domains<sup>11,12</sup>. One of the most prevalent auxiliary domains is the Src homology 3 (SH3)<sup>13</sup>, and in many BAR domain proteins, it binds directly 4

increases the PI(4,5)P<sub>2</sub> density to recruit dynamin on membranes selectively<sup>28</sup>. Moreover, the PI domain controls a conformational switch that facilitates BIN1 SH3 domain interaction with dynamin<sup>23,29</sup>, and to other BIN1 partners such as the neuronal Wiskott-Aldrich syndrome (N-WASP) protein that regulates actin polymerization through the Arp2/3 complex<sup>16,17,30</sup>. Furthermore, in vitro and in cellulo approaches have shown that neuronal and muscle-specific BIN1 isoforms directly bind F-actin<sup>17,31</sup>. However, it is unknown how BIN1 remodels the plasma membrane/actin interface and whether it fulfils a functional role in forming actin-rich protrusion.

Here, we report that BIN1 is a multi-functional platform to promote filopodia formation in myoblasts. Our results show that BIN1 assembles actin bundles in vitro. We also identified additional BIN1-binding partners, including IRSp53 and ezrin, during filopodia formation. We show that BIN1 regulates the membrane-to-cortex mechanics, and it is required to recruit active phosphorylated ezrin at filopodia. Thus, we propose that BIN1 constitutes a functional membrane and actin-remodeling scaffold that, unexpectedly, as an N-BAR domain protein, orchestrates antagonistic membrane topologies at the cell cortex, such as the formation of filopodia.

#### Results

#### BIN1 expression is necessary and sufficient for filopodia formation

The association of different BIN1/Amphiphysin2 isoforms with F-actin and actin regulators such as the neuronal Wiskott-Aldrich syndrome (N-WASP)<sup>16,17,31</sup>, prompt us to investigate if BIN1 proteins participate in actinrich structure formation. Therefore, we expressed in HeLa cells GFP and several GFP-tagged amphiphysin isoforms: amphiphysin1 (typically associated with clathrin-coated structures)<sup>32</sup>, the largest BIN1 isoform (BIN1 iso1, expressed in neurons), and the muscle-specific isoform BIN1 (BIN1 iso8), which contains the in-frame exon 11 encoding a polybasic motif binding phosphoinositides (PIs)<sup>33</sup>, and stained F-actin. We observed that BIN1 iso8 drastically enhanced filopodia density, whereas BIN1 iso1 and Amphiphysin1 led to a low to null increase in filopodia density, respectively (Fig. 1a).

To inquiry into the molecular mechanisms of BIN1-mediated filopodia formation, we turned out to myoblast cells because actin protrusions have been implicated in the adhesion and fusion of muscle cells<sup>20,34</sup> and BIN1 expression increases during myoblast differentiation (Fig. 1b)<sup>26</sup>. To this end, we generated C2C12 myoblast expressing specific short interfering RNA (shRNA) against Bin1 or luciferase (CTRL shRNA) to ensure a homogenous knock-down of Bin1 in the myoblast cell population. In undifferentiated Bin1 shRNA C2C12 cells, depletion of BIN1 expression was almost complete (Fig. 1c). We then performed a detailed analysis of the cellular morphology of control and C2C12 Bin1 shRNA myoblasts by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) either under proliferative conditions and at 80-90% confluence to favor the formation of cell-cell junctions preceding myoblast differentiation and fusion. Furthermore, BIN1 depletion was associated with reduced membrane extensions between cells and a smoother dorsal plasma membrane (Fig. 1d-contacting cells and Supplementary Fig. 1a-isolated cells). This phenotype was evident at the cell periphery and cell-cell junctions, indicating that BIN1 might participate in adjoining myoblasts' intercellular zippering. Conversely, we observed a dense interface of filopodia interconnecting two or more adjacent myoblasts in control cells. In Bin1 knock-down cells, the filopodia densities are significantly decreased (3.6-fold reduction in filopodia density, Fig. 1e). These results show that in myoblasts, BIN1 depletion affects the morphology and the number of filopodia at cell-cell junctions and at the cell periphery (i.e., away from intercellular junctions).

Next, we analyzed BIN1 localization by multi-color life-cell imaging of C2C12 cells co-expressing Lifeact-mCherry and BIN iso8. We found that at the cell periphery BIN1 co-localized with actin at filopodia (Fig. 1f). Furthermore, kymograph analysis of the GFP-BIN1 signal showed that it often precedes that of Lifeact-mCherry (blue arrowheads, Fig. 1f). Moreover, BIN1 signal is present during the initiation, extension, and retraction of filopodial actin filaments (Fig. 1f).

#### **BIN1** promotes actin bundling

BIN1 iso1 was previously reported to bundle actin in vitro<sup>31</sup> and its N-BAR and SH3 domains share a strong homology with BIN1 iso8<sup>33</sup>. Thus, we sought to determine if the muscle-specific BIN1 iso8 also has the ability to associate and remodel F-actin. First, we performed a high-resolution analysis of BIN1 and F-actin using structured illumination microscopy (SIM) imaging in C2C12 cells. The endogenous BIN1 staining (Fig. 2a) or base of filopodia and displays a discontinuous labeling along these F-actinrich structures. Consequently, we determined whether BIN1 localization in filopodia is related to its association with actin. Thus, we performed coimmunoprecipitation experiments with GFP-tagged amphiphysin1, BIN1 iso1, and BIN1 iso8 (Fig. 2c). Immuno-blotting showed that in cellulo, only BIN1 iso8 appears associated with actin. Next, we determined the contribution of the BIN1 N-terminal N-BAR and the C-terminal SH3 domain for its association with actin in cellulo (Fig. 2d). To this end, we tested by co-which carries a mutation in the N-BAR domain, which interferes with the curvature-sensing abilities and capacity to oligomerize<sup>35</sup>, and of the BIN1  $\Delta$ SH3 mutant, which displays a truncated SH3 domain shown to prevent its interaction with cellular effectors<sup>24</sup> or N-WASP<sup>16</sup>. As shown in Fig. 2d, both mutants associate with actin in cellulo.

Next, we analyzed the effect of the N-BAR and SH3 domain of BIN1 on filopodia formation in cellulo (Fig. 2g-k and Supplementary Fig. 1c). Therefore, GFP, GFP-BIN1 iso8, or GFP-tagged BIN1 D151N and BIN1 ΔSH3 mutants were co-expressed with Lifeact-mCherry to monitor the ॅं () density de GFP-BIN1 iso8 in C2C12 cells increased filopodia density compared to control conditions (GFP alone). However, we did not detect a significant increase in their density with the D151N or  $\Delta$ SH3 BIN1 mutants (Fig. 2g, h). We observed an increase in the % of static filopodia (i.e., filopodia that do not collapse for a period of time  $\geq 120$  s) upon BIN1 wild-type expression and the two BIN1 mutants (Fig. 2I), in agreement with their similar asso-differences in the mean lifetime (i.e., the time until filopodia collapse) of dynamic filopodia for any of the conditions tested (Fig. 2j). Furthermore, we observed that expression of the human form of BIN1 iso8 reestablished filopodia density on mouse shRNA Bin1 knock-down C2C12, whereas this was not the case of the D151N and  $\Delta$ SH3 mutants (Fig. 2k).

These data indicate that the N-BAR and SH3 domains are likely to contribute to BIN1-induced filopodia formation, possibly favoring the association with BIN1-binding partners.

#### IRSp53 is required for BIN1-mediated filopodia formation

To evaluate the contribution of potential BIN1 partners in filopodia formation, we performed a proteomic analysis using GFP-BIN1 iso8 to identify its binding partners (Supplementary Fig. 2). We found already known BIN1 partners (in gray Supplementary Fig. 2): dynamin 1 and 2<sup>24,26</sup> and actin (this study and ref. 17), and unknown partners (in yellow Supplementary Fig. 2)



**Fig. 1** | **BIN1 expression promotes the formation of filopodia. a** Wild-field deconvoluted images of HeLa cells transfected with GFP or GFP-tagged Amphiphysin1, BIN1 iso1 and BIN1 iso8 (in gray) and stained for F-actin (phalloidin, red). Scale bar, 10 μm. Quantification of the density of HeLa cells expressing GFP or GFP-tagged Amphiphysin1, BIN1 iso1 and BIN1 iso8. Number of cells: *n* = 26, 11, 25 and 18, respectively from three independent experiments. **b** Western-blot analysis of the endogenous expression of actin, BIN1, and Troponin T in C2C12 myoblasts in growth medium (0 h, undifferentiated) and grown in differentiation medium (DM) at 24 h and 48 h. **c** Western-blot analysis of the endogenous expression of actin and BIN1 on parental, CTRL shRNA (i.e., Luciferase) and *Bin1* shRNA C2C12 myoblast cells. **d** Scanning electron microscopy images of CTRL shRNA and *Bin1* shRNA C2C12 myoblasts cultured in growth factor-containing medium. Scale bar, 10 μm

and 5 µm for the magnified images. e Quantification of the number of filopodia per cell at the cell periphery and at intercellular junctions measured from the SEM images. Cells: n = 25, 19 and 27, 38 for CTRL and *Bin1* shRNA cells at the cell periphery and cell-cell junctions, respectively. f Snap-shots of spinning disk life cell imaging (500 ms exposure, during 60 s. Total time acquisition = 120 s) of C2C12 myoblasts co-transfected with Lifeact-mCherry (red) and GFP-BIN1 iso8 (gray) at t = 0 s. Kymograph analysis along the blue dashed line in the corresponding image at t = 0 s, highlight the recruitment and binding of BIN1 iso8 to filopodia. Scale bar, 10 µm. Scale bar in kymograph and ROI, 2 µm. Bottom, representative time-lapse snapshots from the ROI region showing the localization of BIN1 iso8 on F-actin during filopodia formation in C2C12 cells, as highlighted by the blue arrowheads. Error bars represent s.d.; ANOVA test: n.s > 0.1, \*P < 0.05, \*\*\*\*P < 0.0001.

such as IRSp53 and ezrin. Interestingly, IRSp53 is involved in the Cdc42dependent formation of filopodia<sup>9,3637</sup>. Expression of GFP-BIN1 iso8 and IRSp53-mCherry on C2C12 myoblasts showed that both proteins localize together on >75% of IRSp53-positive filopodia (Fig. 3a, b). However, this trend was decreased in the presence of the BIN1 D151N or  $\Delta$ SH3 mutants. Furthermore, we confirmed by immunofluorescence labeling that endogenous IRSp53 and of GFP-BIN iso8 co-localize at filopodia (Supplementary Fig. 3). Co-immunoprecipitation experiments using BIN1 iso8 and the BIN1 D151N or  $\Delta$ SH3 mutant showed that only wild-type BIN1 and the D151N mutant associate with IRSp53 in cellulo (Fig. 3c), suggesting that the SH3 domain of BIN1 is likely to mediate the interaction with IRSp53.



suggesting that BIN1-mediated filopodia formation requires the I-BAR protein IRSp53.

The topology at the neck of filopodia is compatible with the binding of proteins with positive curvature BAR-domain sensors like BIN1<sup>12</sup>.

Therefore, we inquire whether BIN1 iso8 can be recruited to negativelycurved membranes induced by IRSp53 using an in vitro reconstituted assay and confocal microscopy (Fig. 3e), as previously reported<sup>38</sup>. We generated 5% mole  $PI(4,5)P_2$ -containing giant unilamellar vesicles (GUVs) doped Fig. 2 | BIN1 interacts with F-actin in vitro and in cellulo. a C2C12 myoblasts stained for endogenous BIN1 (C99D antibody, gray) and F-actin (phalloidin, red). Magnified images of two representative regions of interest (ROIs). Scale bar, 10 µm. b SIM images of C2C12 myoblasts expressing GFP-BIN1 (gray) stained for F-actin (phalloidin, red) and magnified images of two representative ROI in the corresponding image. Scale bar, 10 µm. c GFP pull-downs using extracts from HeLa cells expressing either GFP, or GFP-BIN1 iso8, GFP-BIN1 iso1 and GFP-Amphiphysin1. Actin was detected by western blotting. HeLa cells were used to provide an unbiased cellular context. IP = immunoprecipitate. d Domain representation (N-BAR, phosphoinositide-binding motif, PI, and SH3 domains) of BIN1 iso8 full-length. Stars highlight the D151N mutant at the N-BAR and the stop codon of the BIN1 ΔSH3 mutant. e GFP-Trap pull-downs using extracts from C2C12 myoblasts expressing either GFP alone or fused with BIN1 iso8, BIN1  $\Delta$ SH3 or BIN1 D151N. Actin was revealed by western blotting. IP = immunoprecipitate. f In vitro assay with purified actin and BIN1 iso8 or BIN1 D151N and BIN1 ∆SH3 mutants. TIRF images showing pre-polymerized F-actin filaments in the absence (-BIN1 iso8, CTRL) or in the presence of 1 µM of BIN1 iso8 and BIN1 mutants and the corresponding quantification of the BIN1 bundling activity as denoted by the actin intensity in each

condition. Scale bar, 20  $\mu$ m. g Snap-shots of spinning disk life cell imaging at t = 0 of C2C12 cells co-transfected with mCherry-Lifeact and either GFP (empty), GFP-BIN1 iso8, GFP-BIN1 D151N or GFP-BIN1 ΔSH3 (inverted LUT images of the actin and GFP signal). Time projection of the filopodia tracks (500 ms exposure, during 60 s. Total time acquisition = 120 s) obtained from the corresponding Lifeact images. Scale bar, 10 µm. Fire LUT color scale is 120 s. h Quantification of filopodia density; cells: n = 11, 12, 12 and 12 for GFP, BIN1 iso8, D151N and  $\Delta$ SH3 mutants, respectively. **i** % of dynamic (filopodia that collapsed for a period  $\leq 120$  s, red) and static (filopodia that do not collapse for a period  $\ge$  120 s, gray) filopodia; Number of filopodia: n = 49, 64, 29, and 48 for GFP, BIN1 iso8, D151N and  $\Delta$ SH3 mutants, respectively. Chi-square test: \**P* < 0.1, \*\*\*\**P* < 0.0001. j Lifetime of dynamic filopodia (in seconds); Number of filopodia: n = 36, 20, 15, and 25 for GFP, BIN1 iso8, D151N and  $\Delta$ SH3 mutants, respectively, **k** Ouantification of filopodia density; Cells: n = 20, 19, 25, 31, 25, and 25 for CTRL shRNA and Bin1 shRNA C2C12 cells cotransfected with either GFP, GFP-BIN1 iso8, GFP-BIN1 D151N or GFP-BIN1 ∆SH3 and Lifeact-mCherry, respectively. Error bars represent s.d.; ANOVA test: n.s > 0.1, \*P < 0.05, \*\*P < 0.01, \*\*\*P < 0.001, \*\*\*P < 0.001, \*\*\*\*P < 0.0001.

with Oregon Green 488 DHPE, as PI(4,5)P<sub>2</sub> is a central phosphoinositide for BIN1 and IRSp53 membrane interactions<sup>28,39</sup>. As expected, the addition of the full-length IRSp53 led to the formation of inward membrane tubes on PI(4,5)P<sub>2</sub>-GUVs. The addition of recombinant alexa fluor A647-labeled BIN1 iso8 showed that it accumulates to membrane indentations that are generated by IRSp53 (Fig. 3g). To confirm that the presence of IRSp53 mediates BIN1 localization to the base of inward membrane tubes, we generated dark  $PI(4,5)P_2$ -containing GUVs and monitored the colocalization of recombinant alexa fluor A488-labeled IRSp53 and alexa fluor A647-labeled BIN1 iso8 (Fig. 3h). Our results confirmed that IRSp53 allows BIN1 recruitment at the base of negatively-curved membrane structures.

# BIN1-mediated recruitment of ezrin participates in filopodia formation

Interestingly, our proteomic study identified additional BIN1 interactors, such as the members of the ezrin-radixin-moesin (ERM) protein family (Supplementary Fig. 2), previously found to accumulate at the shaft of filopodia and to co-localize with IRSp53 on microvilli<sup>7,40</sup>. To study if specificity exists between different BIN isoforms and the two ERM members ezrin and moesin, we performed co-immunoprecipitation experiments using several amphiphysin isoforms: amphiphysin1, BIN1 iso1 and BIN1 iso8. Our results showed that only BIN1 iso8 associated with ezrin in cellulo (Fig. 4a), while both BIN1 iso1 and iso8 isoforms associate with moesin (Supplementary Fig. 4a). We also found that only the BIN1 D151N mutant, but not the  $\Delta$ SH3 mutant, associates with ezrin (Fig. 4b), suggesting that the SH3-containing C-terminal domain of BIN1 mediates the interaction with ezrin.

Next, we tested the association of BIN1 and ezrin during filopodia formation. We performed multi-color time-lapse live-cell imaging of C2C12 cells co-expressing mCherry-ezrin together with either GFP-BIN1 iso8 and the ΔSH3 mutant that, according to our biochemical assay does not associate with ezrin (Fig. 4c and Supplementary Fig. 4b). Spinning-disk images showed that BIN1 and ezrin co-localize at the cell periphery (Fig. 4c, d). Detailed analysis of the dynamics of ezrin and BIN1 also showed that both proteins co-localized during filopodia formation (Fig. 4d, magnified ROI in yellow and kymograph analysis along the blue-dashed line in C, and Fig. 4e). In addition, co-expression of ezrin with BIN1 potentiated the formation of filopodia compared to BIN1 alone (Fig. 4e), suggesting that BIN1 and ezrin might cooperate in this process. Conversely, an absence of co-localization and of effect on filopodia density was observed between ezrin and the BIN1 ASH3 mutant, indicating that BIN1-ezrin mediated filopodia formation might require ezrin association to BIN1 via the SH3 domain of BIN1 (Supplementary Fig. 4b).

Ezrin displays different conformational states, including a cytosolic closed-conformation, which results from the intramolecular interaction of

the N-terminal FERM domain with the C-terminal ERM-associated domain (C-ERMAD), a membrane-bound opened-conformation, which requires a sequential activation through the interaction with  $PI(4,5)P_2$ , a phosphorylated conformation, which displays a phosphorylation of a conserved threonine in the actin-binding site of the C-ERMAD (T567, in ezrin) and finally, the interaction of the C-ERMAD with F-actin<sup>6</sup>. Interestingly, we previously showed that BIN1 clusters PI(4,5)P2 to recruit PI(4,5) P2-interacting downstream partners on membranes28. Therefore, we analyzed if PI(4,5)P<sub>2</sub>, a key phosphoinositide for the recruitment of actin regulatory proteins promoting actin polymerization and filopodia formation at the plasma membrane<sup>41,42</sup> could participate in ezrin membrane recruitment by BIN1. We confirmed that filopodia positive for BIN1 are highly enriched in PI(4,5)P<sub>2</sub> (Supplementary Fig. 4c). Next, using an in vitro reconstituted assay consisting of supported lipid bilayers doped with 5% PI(4,5)P<sub>2</sub>, we quantified the binding of recombinant wild-type ezrin and its phosphomimetic form (ezrin-T567D) in the presence of recombinant BIN1 iso8 (Fig. 4f). As a control we used amphiphysin 1, a protein that does not interact with ezrin, but that mediates PI(4,5)P2 clustering28. We estimated the relative binding of ezrin, wild type or T567D, from the ratio between the intensity of ezrin proteins bound on membranes in the presence of BIN1 iso8 or of amphiphysin1, normalized by the intensity of ezrin proteins in the absence of BIN1 or amphiphysin1. We obtained a ~2.5-fold and 1.5-fold increase in the relative membrane binding of ezrin and ezrin-T567D, respectively, in the presence of BIN1 and PI(4,5)P2 (Fig. 4f). In agreement with the results in Fig. 4a, amphiphysin1 did not affect ezrin binding to membranes. Altogether these observations suggest that BIN1-mediated ezrin recruitment on membranes is probably mediated by protein-protein interactions (Fig. 4b) on PI(4,5)P2 interfaces.

ৈ

#### BIN1 regulates the cell cortex architecture of myoblast

In myoblast cells, BIN1 expression increases during differentiation (Fig. 5a)<sup>24,26</sup>, and its inhibition is associated with impaired myotube formation<sup>25,26</sup>, data that we also confirmed (Supplementary Fig. 5). Furthermore, we observed that the expression of different differentiation



**Fig. 3** | **BIN1** associates with IRSp53 on membrane protrusions. a Confocal images of C2C12 cells transfected with GFP-BIN1 iso8 (in green) and IRSp53-mCherry (magenta). Magnified image of the corresponding ROI. Scale bar, 10 µm. Scale bar ROI, 5 µm. **b** % of IRSp53-positive filopodia displaying an overlapping of mCherry/GFP signal (red) or no overlapping of signals (gray) upon co-expression of GFP-BIN1 iso8, GFP-BIN1 D151N or GFP-BIN1  $\Delta$ SH3 and IRSp53-mCherry. Number of filopodia: n = 377, 360 and 475, respectively. Chi-square test: n.s > 0.1, \*\*\*\**P* < 0.0001. **c** GFP-Trap pull-downs from extracts of HeLa cells transfected with plasmids encoding GFP, GFP-BIN1 iso8 and the BIN1 mutants D151N and  $\Delta$ SH3. IRSp53 was revealed by western-blotting (n = 3). **d** Western-blot analysis of the endogenous expression of actin and IRSp53 on CTRL siRNA (i.e., Luciferase) and IRSp53 siRNA C2C12 cells. **e** Z-projected confocal images showing the actin



markers was not affected in *Bin1* shRNA C2C12 (Supplementary Fig. 5), suggesting a potential role of BIN1 during the fusion stages of myoblast cells.

Previous works showed that the SH3 domain of BIN1 mediates the interaction with its downstream partner dynamin<sup>23,43</sup>, a GTPase well known to bundle actin filaments and having an important role during filopodia

formation promoting myoblast fusion in vivo<sup>19,20,44,45</sup>. Therefore, we confirmed by immunofluorescence that endogenous BIN1 co-localizes with GFP-dynamin2 at actin-rich structures on C2C12 cells (Supplementary Fig. 6). In contrast, the density and morphology of dynamin2-positive actinrich protrusions appeared affected in C2C12 *Bin1* shRNA myoblasts (Supplementary Fig. 6). Collectively, supporting that, in addition to their Fig. 4 | BIN1 regulates ezrin localization on membranes. a GFP-Trap pull-downs from extracts of HeLa cells transfected with plasmids encoding GFP, GFP-tagged amphiphysin1, BIN1 iso1 and BIN1 iso8. Ezrin was revealed by western-blotting. **b** GFP-Trap pull-downs using extracts from C2C12 myoblasts expressing either GFP alone or fused with BIN1 iso8, BIN1 ∆SH3 or BIN1 D151N. Ezrin was revealed by western-blotting. c Time projected spinning disk movies (500 ms exposure, during 60 s. Total time acquisition = 120 s) of C2C12 cells co-expressing mCherryezrin (magenta) and GFP-BIN1 iso8 (green). d Time-lapse snapshots from the corresponding yellow ROI showing the co-localization of BIN1 and ezrin during filopodia formation (white arrows). Kymograph analysis along the blue dashed line. Scale bar, 10 µm. Scale bar in kymographs, 1 µm. e % of ezrin-positive filopodia displaying an overlapping of mCherry/GFP signal (red) or no overlapping of signals (gray) upon co-expression of GFP-BIN1 iso8, GFP-BIN1 D151N or GFP-BIN1  $\Delta$ SH3 and mCherry-ezrin. Number of filopodia: n = 384, 191 and 146, respectively. Error bars represent s.d.; Chi-square test: n.s > 0.1, \*\*\*\*P < 0.0001. **f** Quantification of filopodia density; n = 19, 17, 12, 10, and 10 for ezrin, ezrin-T567D, BIN1, ezrin + BIN1 iso8, and ezrin + BIN1  $\Delta$ SH3 mutant, respectively. *n* = number of cells from

shared role in membrane remodeling, BIN1 and its partner dynamin2 can also interact on actin-rich protrusions.

Furthermore, ezrin, one of our BIN1-interacting partners during filopodia formation, was recently shown to be involved in myoblast differentiation and fusion<sup>46</sup>. Therefore, to investigate if the association of BIN1/ ezrin on filopodia is maintained during myoblast differentiation, we analyzed the expression (Fig. 5a, b) and co-localization of endogenous BIN1 and the active phosphorylated form of ezrin (phospho-ezrin) in proliferating C2C12 cells (Day 0), i.e.,  $\leq$ 80–90% cell confluency in growth medium, and at 1 day of differentiation (Day 1), i.e., 24 h in differentiation medium. We observed that during differentiation BIN1 expression is conveyed with an increase in phospho-ezrin expression (Fig. 5a, b). Furthermore, BIN1 appears colocalized with phospho-ezrin on filopodia both under proliferating and differentiation conditions (Fig. 5c). Thus, pointing out that the expression and membrane localization of BIN1 and the active form of ezrin must be finely regulated during myoblasts differentiation.

As a central protein linking the actin cytoskeleton to the inner leaflet of the plasma membrane, ezrin is an important regulator of the mechanical cohesion of the membrane/actin interface<sup>8,47,48</sup>. We thus assessed the cortexto-membrane mechanics from the force required to form membrane tethers on myoblasts, as previously described<sup>47</sup>. To this end, we used atomic force microscopy (AFM) cantilevers coated with poly-L-lysine to pull membrane tethers from the plasma membrane of control and Bin1 knock-down C2C12 cells (Fig. 5d). We measured the static tether force,  $f_0$ , which is required to hold a membrane tether at a constant height<sup>49</sup> (as detailed in the methods section). We found that the apparent static tether force is decreased in Bin1 shRNA cells as compared to control conditions (Fig. 5d). In agreement, we also observed, using time-lapse phase-contrast videomicroscopy, the presence of prolonged retraction fibers similar to membrane tethers behind migrating Bin1 knock-down cells (Fig. 5e: see yellow arrows pointing to membrane tethers). Therefore, suggesting that BIN1 regulates, either directly or through its association with ezrin, the stability of the plasma membrane/actin interface.

#### Discussion

We unravel here an unexpected role of BIN1 in promoting filopodia formation in skeletal muscle cells, structures that play a crucial role in myoblast adhesion and fusion in mammalian cells and *Drosophila*<sup>20,34</sup>. The sequence of events that can be envisioned for the BIN1-mediated formation of filopodia at the myoblast cortex is presented in Fig. 6.

Whereas BIN1 was shown to promote positive membrane curvature<sup>26,28</sup>, we show here that BIN1 could also regulate the formation of membrane protrusions that have a negatively curved membrane geometry. Indeed, BIN1 expression in skeletal muscle cells promotes filopodia formation, and, reciprocally, its knock-down decreases their density at the cell surface, including at intercellular cell-cell contacts. High-resolution microscopy revealed that BIN1 is present at the base and all along the

live cell imaging experiments. Error bars represent s.d.; ANOVA test: n.s > 0.1, \*P < 0.05, \*\*\*P < 0.001, \*\*\*\*P < 0.0001. **g** Confocal images of the co-localization of recombinant BIN1-Alexa647 (magenta), ezrin or phospho-mimetic ezrin (T567D) tagged with Alexa488 (green) and TopFluor-TMR-PI(4,5)P2 (cyan) on supported lipid bilayers containing 5% of PI(4,5)P2. Scale bar, 3 µm. Fold increase in the binding of recombinant ezrin and ezrin-T567D on supported lipid bilayers containing 5% PI(4,5)P2 in the presence of BIN1 or amphiphysin1 (Amph1). h Westernblot analysis of the endogenous expression of ezrin and phosphorylated ezrin (phospho-ezrin), BIN1 and actin on cell extracts from CTRL (blue) and Bin1 shRNA (yellow) C2C12 myoblasts, and the corresponding quantification of the signal of each protein normalized by actin. i CTRL and Bin1 shRNA C2C12 myoblasts cultured in growth factor-containing medium and stained for endogenous phosphorylated ezrin (phospho-ezrin, yellow) and F-actin (phalloidin, cyan). Inset, highmagnification images showing the localization of endogenous phosphorylated ezrin. Maximum intensity projected airyscan images. Scale bar, 10 µm and 5 µm, respectively.

filopodia. Such an unexpected function was also reported in the case of PACSIN2/Syndapin II, an F-BAR domain protein shown to be involved in cellular protrusion formation<sup>50</sup>, presumably through the binding to the positively curved membrane at the base and the tip of filopodia<sup>51</sup>.

Our proteomic analysis revealed that BIN1 is associated with proteins having important functions during filopodia formation such as actin, dynamin, IRSp53, and the ERM proteins. We demonstrated that the generation of these filopodia by BIN1 requires IRSp53, an I-BAR protein that initiates the formation of filopodia<sup>9,10,37</sup> and is implicated in the generation and maintenance of filopodia during the fusion process in Drosophila<sup>34</sup>. IRSp53 acts as a membrane-curvature sensing platform for assembling protein complexes<sup>52</sup>. Our in vitro data with purified IRSp53, which is active in this condition in the absence of Cdc42<sup>53</sup>, show that IRSp53 allows BIN1 recruitment at the base of negatively-curved membranes and that the SH3 domain of BIN1 is likely to participate in this process. BAR domain proteins are well known to generate stable lipid membrane microdomains<sup>28,54</sup>. BIN1 has a PI domain that targets it to membrane domains, and we previously showed that BIN1 induces the clustering of PI(4,5)P2. IRSp53 directly binds PI(4,5)P2-rich membranes and deforms them<sup>39</sup>, and might also promote the formation of PI(4,5)P<sub>2</sub> clusters<sup>54</sup>. We thus could envision a positive feedback loop with both proteins favoring their respective recruitment at specific membrane sites, where IRSp53 recruitment, in turn, assists BIN1 localization at the base of filopodial structures. Moreover, these  $PI(4,5)P_2$  clusters might facilitate the recruitment of proteins having PI(4,5)P2 binding motifs, such as actin regulators involved in protrusion formation<sup>41,42</sup>. The recruitment of actin regulators could also be directly mediated by BIN1 and IRSp53-dependent protein-protein interactions. Among the BIN1 partners known to regulate actin remodeling are WASP and dynamin<sup>19,20,30</sup>. Moreover, the SH3 domain of IRSp53 allows it to interact with VASP9, N-WASP37, WAVE and mDia55 or also EPS8, an actinfilament bundling and capping protein<sup>56,57</sup>.

Actin filament-bundling and membrane interactions are both essential features for the shape maintenance of filopodium<sup>4,5</sup>. BIN1 could participate in F-actin bundling at least via two mechanisms. We discovered through in vitro assays a direct role of BIN1 in F-actin bundling, which combined with its direct association with actin, explains why BIN1 is closely connected with F-actin along filopodia. Moreover, the interaction of BIN1 with its downstream partner dynamin<sup>23,43</sup> is likely to enhance the formation of actinrich protrusions via its multifilament actin-bundling ability required for efficient myoblast fusion<sup>20</sup>. Our study shows that other BIN1/amphiphysin family members can promote filopodia formation, although to a lesser extent. In vitro BIN1 iso8 already displays an actin-bundling ability at lower concentrations than the neuronal isoform (BIN1 iso 1<sup>31</sup>), which might explain the differences between the two isoforms in promoting filopodia formation in cellulo. However, other factors could also contribute to differences in the filopodia density phenotype observed. For instance, the selective targeting of BIN1 iso8 to the plasma membrane through its PI(4,5)  $P_2$ -binding motif<sup>26</sup>, which is not present in BIN1 iso1, might enhance its

![](_page_9_Figure_2.jpeg)

**Fig. 5** | **BIN1 regulates the cell cortex architecture. a** Western-blot analysis of the endogenous expression of BIN1, ezrin and phosphorylated ezrin (phospho-ezrin) in C2C12 myoblasts under proliferative conditions (Day 0) or at 24 h and 48 h in differentiation medium (Day 1 and Day 2, respectively). **b** Maximum intensity projected confocal images of proliferative myoblasts (Day 0) or at 24 h in differentiation medium (Day 1) stained for endogenous BIN1 (C99D antibody, green) and phosphorylated ezrin (phospho-ezrin, magenta). Scale bar, 20 µm. **c** Airyscan images of C2C12 myoblasts at day 0 and day 1 stained for endogenous BIN1 (green) and phospho-ezrin (magenta). Cross-section along a representative filopodia (BIN1

![](_page_10_Figure_2.jpeg)

ញdighgespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespeciespecies

Furthermore, our proteomic analysis of the BIN1 partners confirmed its association with dynamin and actin and, importantly, identified the ERM family proteins. BIN1 is the only amphiphysin member tested to associate with ezrin. We focused on the BIN1/ezrin interaction and function because ezrin is a membrane-actin linker that anchors F-actin to the plasma membrane at different types of membranes protrusions<sup>58</sup>, and appears enriched in filopodia<sup>59</sup>. We showed that BIN1 and the phosphorylated active form of ezrin are co-localized in filopodia, and in the absence of BIN1, phosphorylated ezrin is no more enriched in filopodia. BIN1 could participate in ezrin recruitment through several non-exclusive mechanisms. First, BIN1 SH3 domain is crucial for its association with ezrin, suggesting direct protein-protein interaction. Secondly, ezrin binding could also be mediated by the IRSp53 protein which was shown to enrich ezrin on negatively curved membranes8. Local depletion of ezrin from the plasma membrane is required to initiate actin-driven protrusion formation<sup>60</sup>. Our study shows that BIN1-mediated filopodia formation is likely to occur once the protrusion is initiated, as it requires IRSp53 for its localization at negatively-curved membrane indentations. Thus, BIN1/ezrin association might enhance the membrane/actin interaction at later stages of filopodia formation. This is in agreement with the described role of ezrin as a major regulator linking the plasma membrane to the cortical actin while allowing the rapid remodeling of both structures<sup>47,61,62</sup>.

The membrane-to-cortex architecture is tightly tuned in dynamic processes such as membrane protrusion formation during cell migration or membrane fusion in myoblasts<sup>21,47,48,63</sup>. Using AFM, we identified that BIN1 regulates the apparent static tether force. The absence of BIN1 would contribute to a disorganization of the  $PI(4,5)P_2/ezrin$  interface at the plasma membrane and consequently, to a loose membrane-to-cortex attachment and a decrease in the formation of filopodia. Indeed, the long membrane tails in migrating cells that we observed upon BIN1 knock-down would reflect a loosening of membrane-cortex attachments. Once filopodia formation is

initiated, its growth rate (or retraction) is determined by the difference between actin polymerization speed and retrograde flow<sup>64</sup>. This retrograde flow arises from the friction between filopodia and lamellipodia since these structures appear connected at the cell surface<sup>65</sup>. Thus, while a loose membrane-cortex would favor the filopodia initiation process<sup>60</sup>, it might have an opposite effect on later stages, for instance, affecting the friction required for a force production via retrograde flow during filopodia growing and secondly, on the membrane-actin cohesion of the resulting filopodia ፊ these stages would likely impact filopodia density, as we observed upon Bin1 knock-down. Interestingly, all the BIN1-mediated processes here identified (F-actin bundling) and partners (IRSp53, dynamin) are mechanisms previously identified to participate in the formation of membrane protrusion required for myoblast fusion in mammals and insects<sup>20,34,22,66-69</sup>. Accordingly, we confirmed that BIN1 is required for myoblast fusion in C2C12 myoblasts, as previously reported in cellulo<sup>25</sup> and in vivo<sup>26,70,71</sup>). BIN1 isoform 8 appears dispensable for muscle development but is required for muscle regeneration in adulthood<sup>70</sup> and the D151N or the  $\Delta$ SH3 BIN1 mutants are associated with the autosomal recessive form of centronuclear myopathies<sup>24,43</sup>.

0

#### Methods

#### Reagents

Natural and synthetic phospholipids, including POPS, POPC, POPE, brain total lipid extract, brain L- $\alpha$ -phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate, and

The following antibodies were used in this study: monoclonal mouse antibody to BIN1 (clone C99D against exon 17) from Millipore, polyclonal rabbit antibody to IRSp53 (BAIAP2) from Atlas Antibodies (HPA023310), HRP-conjugated beta-actin monoclonal antibody from Proteintech (HRP-60008), ezrin antibody from M. Arpin laboratory<sup>75</sup>, monoclonal rabbit antibody to phospho-Ezrin (Thr567)/Radixin (Thr564)/Moesin (Thr558) Cell Signaling (Cat. 3726). Atto390 phalloidin was from Sigma.

#### Constructs

pGEX-Sumo vector coding for GST-BIN1 isoform 8 was obtained by Gibson assembly from ref. 28. pGEX vectors coding for GST-BIN1 isoform 8  $\Delta$ SH3 and D151N were obtained as in ref. 28. 6xHis-Sumo ezrin and T567D vectors were obtained as in ref. 8. pEGFP-BIN1 D151N, pEGFP-BIN1  $\Delta$ SH3 were obtained as in ref. 24. pEGFP-BIN1 isoform 8 was obtained from P. De Camilli (Yale University, New Haven). pEYFP-BIN1 isoform 1 and pEGFP-Amphiphysin1 were obtained from L. Johannes (Institut Curie UMR144, Paris). pEGFP-dynamin2 was a gift from Sandra Schmid (Addgene plasmid # 34686). mCherry-ezrin WT and T567 was obtained from ref. 76 after cloning into pENTR1A Gateway entry vector (Invitrogen) and recombined into mCherry-C1. full-length human IRSp53 (UniProt, no. Q9UQB8; Homo sapiens) was subcloned into the pGEX-6P-1 vector (Cytiva)<sup>53</sup>. All the plasmids used in this study (Table 1) were sequenced.

#### Cell culture

HeLa cells (CCL2 from ATCC) were cultured in DMEM medium (Gibco BRL) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 100 U/ml penicillin/ streptomycin, and 2 mM glutamine. C2C12 mouse myoblasts (ATCC CRL-1772) were grown in DMEM/Ham's F-12 (1:1) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum. To induce differentiation, the growth medium was replaced with differentiation medium consisting of DMEM/Ham's F-12 supplemented with 2% fetal calf serum (Hyclone/Perbio Sciences, Brebieres, France). All cells were tested mycoplasma free.

# Co-immunoprecipitation, western blot experiments, and proteomic assays

To test the interaction between Amphiphysin isoforms and endogenous actin or ERM proteins, HeLa cells (CCL2 from ATCC) were transfected for 24 h with either GFP, full-length GFP-BIN1 isoform 8, GFP-BIN1 isoform 1 and GFP-Amphiphysin1 using x-tremGENE9 (Roche). Cells were then trypsinized, washed once in PBS, and incubated on ice for 60 min in a lysis buffer: 25 mM Tris pH 7.5, 50–100 or 200 mM NaCl, and 0.1% NP40. Cells were then centrifuged 10 min at  $10,000 \times g$  to collect the supernatant. Extracts were processed for co-immunoprecipitation using GFPTrap beads (Chromotek) (20 µl GFP-Trap beads per condition) for 3 h at 4 °C in lysis buffer. Beads were washed four times in lysis buffer and then processed for western blotting.

To test the interaction of BIN1 and its mutated variants, C2C12 myoblasts were transfected for 24 h with either GFP, full-length GFP-BIN1 isoform 8 WT,  $\Delta$ SH3 and D151N mutants using JetPEI (Ozyme). Co-immunoprecipitation assay was performed as detailed above.

For western-blot experiments, cell lysis was performed in 25 mM Tris pH 7.5, 50 mM NaCl, 0.1% NP40, and a protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma). The following primary antibodies were used: rabbit anti-ezrin (from M. Arpin laboratory<sup>75</sup>; 1:1000), mouse anti-actin (Sigma; 1:1000), mouse anti-BIN1 (clone C99D from Millipore; 1:1000), phosphor-ezrin. Secondary Horseradish Peroxidase (HRP)-coupled antibodies were from Jackson Laboratories.

For proteomic analysis, HeLa cells were transiently transfected with either GFP or GFP-BIN1 using X-tremeGENE 9 (Sigma-Aldrich), according to the manufacturer's instructions. HeLa cells, with high 

#### Short interfering RNA (shRNA)

#### **RNA** interference (siRNA)

#### Time-lapse fluorescence microscopy

Designation	Protein species	Backbone	Source or reference	Additional information
pEGFP-BIN1-iso8 (plasmid)	Human	pEGFP-C1	Lee et al. Science <sup>26</sup>	P. De Camilli Yale University New Haven USA
pEGFP-BIN1-D151N (plasmid)	Human	pEGFP-C1	Nicot et al. Nat Genet <sup>24</sup>	J. Laporte IGBMC Illkirch, France
pEGFP-BIN1- $\Delta$ SH3 (plasmid)	Human	pEGFP-C1	Nicot et al. Nat Genet <sup>24</sup>	J. Laporte IGBMC Illkirch, France
pEGFP-amphiphysin-1 (plasmid)	Human	pEGFP-C2		L. Johannes UMR144 Institut Curie, Paris, France
pEGFP-BIN1-iso1 (plasmid)	Human	pEYFP-C1		J. Laporte IGBMC Illkirch, France
pEGFP-dynamin2 (plasmid)	Rat	pEGFP-N1		Sandra Schmid (Addgene plasmid # 34686
Lifeact-mCherry (plasmid)		pIRES	Miserey-Lenkei et al. <sup>83</sup>	G. Montagnac INSERM U1279 Villejuif, France
mCherry-Ezrin (Gateway System) (plasmid)	Human	pmCherry-C1	This study	M. Arpin laboratory. Institut Curie, Paris, France
mCherry-Ezrin-T567D (Gateway System) (plasmid)	Human	pmCherry-C1	This study	M. Arpin laboratory. Institut Curie, Paris, France
IRSp53-mCherry (plasmid)	Human	pmCherry-N1	Tsai et al. Science Advances <sup>53</sup>	F. Tsai UMR168 Institut Curie, Paris, France
pGEX-BIN1 (plasmid)	Human	pGEX6P1	Picas et al. Nat Comm <sup>28</sup>	
6xHis-Sumo-Ezrin (plasmid)	Human	pET28-N-His-SUMO	Tsai et al. eLife <sup>8</sup>	F. Tsai UMR168 Institut Curie, Paris, France
6xHis-Sumo-Ezrin -T567D (plasmid)	Human	pET28-N-His-SUMO	Tsai et al. eLife <sup>8</sup>	F. Tsai UMR168 Institut Curie, Paris, France
pGEX-IRSp53 (plasmid)	Human	pGEX6P1	Tsai et al. Science Advances <sup>53</sup>	F. Tsai UMR168 Institut Curie, Paris, France
pSIREN-ShRNA Luciferase (CTRL plasmid)		pSIREN-RetroQ	This study	
pCIDEN ShDNA Bin1 (plaamid)	Mouro	nSIDEN Datra	This study	L Laporto ICBMC Illivirob Eranoa

#### Immunofluorescence microscopy

Fixed cells were obtained after incubation with 3.2% paraformaldehyde (PFA) for 10 min at room temperature, washed with PBS, incubated with PBS-0.1 M  $\rm NH_4Cl$  for 5 min and then washed with PBS. Finally, cells were permeabilized in 0.1% Triton X-100 for 3 min and blocked with 1% BSA during 10 min. Fixed cells were mounted using mowiol mounting agent and visualized using a Leica DMRA and a CoolSnapHQ2 camera, 100× objective NA 1.25 oil Ph 3 CS (HCX PL APO) and analyzed with the Metamorph software. 3D stacks were acquired and deconvolved to build a projection on one plane using Image J.

For myotube imaging, images were acquired on a Zeiss LSM880 Airyscan confocal microscope (MRI facility, Montpellier). Excitations sources used were: 405 nm diode laser, an Argon laser for 488 nm and 514 nm and a Helium/Neon laser for 633 nm. Acquisitions were performed on a  $63 \times 1/1.4$  objective. Multidimensional acquisitions were performed via an Airyscan detector (32-channel GaAsP photomultiplier tube (PMT) array detector). Images are presented as a z-projection of all planes.

Tether pulling experiments. Tether-pulling experiments were performed on a JPK Nanowizard III mounted on an inverted Zeiss wide-field microscope. Olympus Biolevers ( $k = 6 \text{ mN} \cdot \text{m}^{-1}$ ) were cleaned in acetone for 5 min and then plasma-cleaned for 10 min. Then, cantilevers were soaked briefly in 0.1 M of NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (pH 9.0), air dried and immersed in 0.01% poly-L-lysin overnight at 4 °C in a humid chamber. Before the measurements, cantilevers were rinsed three times in PBS and mounted on the AFM cantilever holder. The cantilever spring constant was determined by the thermal noise method, as detailed in Schillers et al.<sup>78</sup>. For the measurement, cells seeded for 24 h on FluoroDish cell culture dishes were kept on DMEM-F12 medium at 37 °C and not used longer than 1 h for data acquisition. Static tether force measurements were performed by retracting the cantilever for 6  $\mu$ m at a speed of 10  $\mu$ m·s<sup>-1</sup>, and the position was kept constant for 30 s. Resulting force-time curves were analyzed using the JPK analysis software. Tether force values represent the average value obtained from tether pulling maps performed over the whole apical membrane of adherent C2C12 cells after applying  $3 \times 3$  grids of 10  $\mu$ m<sup>2</sup>.

The static tether force,  $f_0$ , depends on the bending stiffness of the membrane ( $\kappa$ ), the in-plane membrane tension ( $\sigma$ ), and the energy density of the membrane-to-cortex attachments ( $W_0$ )<sup>49</sup>:

$$f_0 = 2\pi (2(\sigma + W_0)\kappa)^{1/2}$$

#### Protein purification and fluorescent labeling

Recombinant human full-length BIN1 isoform 8, Amphiphysin 1, ezrin wild-type and ezrin T567D were expressed in Rosetta 2 bacteria and purified by affinity chromatography using glutathione Sepharose 4B beads<sup>8,28</sup>. Recombinant proteins were labeled by conjugation with either Alexa Fluor 488 or 647 following maleimide chemistry (Invitrogen)<sup>8,28</sup>.

Recombinant human full-length IRSp53 (Uniprot #Q9UQB8) was purified by affinity purification with GSTrap FF (Cytiva) and labeled with Alexa Fluor  $488^{53}$ .

Muscle actin was purified from rabbit muscle and isolated in monomeric form in G-buffer (5 mM Tris-Cl-, pH 7.8, 0.1 mM CaCl2, 0.2 mM ATP, 1 mM DTT, 0.01% NaN3)<sup>79</sup>.

#### Lipid bilayer experiments

Supported lipid bilayers were prepared as described in ref. 80. The lipid mixture consisted of: 60% POPC, 20% POPE, 10% POPS and 5% PI(4,5)P<sub>2</sub>. Fluorescent TopFluor-TMR-PI(4,5)P<sub>2</sub> was added to 0.1%. Experiments were performed by injecting 15  $\mu$ L of buffer (10 mM Tris, pH 7.4, 100 mM NaCl and 0.5 mg·ml<sup>-1</sup> of casein). Supported lipid bilayers were imaged on a Zeiss LSM880 Airyscan confocal microscope (MRI facility, Montpellier). Excitations sources used were: Argon laser for 488 nm and 514 nm and a Helium/Neon laser for 633 nm. Acquisitions were performed on a 63×/1.4 objective.

#### **GUV** preparation and observation

The lipid mixture used contains total brain extract supplemented with 5 mol%  $PI(4,5)P_2$  at 0.5 mg/mL in chloroform. If needed, the lipid mixture is further supplemented with 0.5 mol% BODIPY TR ceramide or 0.5 mol% OG-DHPE.

GUVs were prepared by the polyvinyl alcohol (PVA) gel-assisted formation method<sup>81</sup>. Briefly, a PVA gel solution (5%, w/w, dissolved in

Article

280 mM sucrose and 20 mM Tris, pH 7.5) warmed up to 50 °C was spread on clean coverslips (20 mm × 20 mm). The coverslips were cleaned with ethanol and then ddH<sub>2</sub>O twice. The PVA-coated coverslips were incubated at 50 °C for 30 min. Then, around 5  $\mu$ l of the lipid mixture was spread on the PVA-coated coverslips, followed by placing them under vacuum at room temperature for 30 min. The coverslips were then placed in a petri dish and around 500  $\mu$ l of the inner buffer was pipetted on the top of the coverslips. The inner buffer contains 50 mM NaCl, 20 mM sucrose and 20 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5. The coverslips were kept at room temperature for at least 45 min, allowing GUVs to grow. Once done, we gently "ticked" the bottom of the petri dish to detach GUVs from the PVA gel. The GUVs were collected using a 1 ml pipette tip with its tip cut to prevent breaking the GUVs.

For all experiments, coverslips were passivated with a  $\beta$ -casein solution at a concentration of 5 g.L<sup>-1</sup> for at least 5 min at room temperature. Experimental chambers were assembled by placing a silicon open chamber on a coverslip.

GUVs were first incubated with IRSp53 in the outer buffer (60 mM NaCl and 20 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5) for at least 15 min at room temperature before adding BIN1 into the GUV-IRSp53 mixture. The final GUV-protein mixture was then incubated for at least 15 min at room temperature before observation. Samples were observed using a Nikon Eclipse Ti microscope equipped with Yokogawa CSU-X1 spinning disk confocal head, 100× CFI Plan Apo VC objective (Nikon) and a sCMOS camera Prime 95B (Photometrics).

#### F-actin bundling assay

Actin (1  $\mu$ M, non-labeled) was polymerized 1 h in a buffer containing 100 mM KCl, 1 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.2 mM EGTA, 0.2 mM ATP, 10 mM DTT, 1 mM DABCO, 5 mM Tris pH 7.5 and 0.01% NaN3 in the presence of BIN1 at different concentrations. Then, 5  $\mu$ l of the protein mixtures was diluted 20 times (i.e., 50 nM of filamentous actin in the presence of BIN1 or BIN1 mutants) in the same buffer supplemented with 0.3% methylcellulose and 660 nM of Alexa Fluor 546-phalloidin. Samples were observed using TIRF microscopy (Eclipse Ti inverted microscope, 100× TIRF objectives, Quantum 512SC camera).

#### Image processing and analysis

Protein binding was quantified by measuring the mean gray value of still confocal images of either AlexaFluor 488 ezrin, WT or T567D, in the absence of BIN1 or Amphiphysin 1. The obtained average intensity was then used to estimate the fold increase in the binding of ezrin, WT or T567D, in the presence of 0.1 µM of BIN1 or Amphiphysin 1 tagged with AlexaFluor 647. Each data set was performed using the same supported lipid bilayer preparation and confocal parameters were kept constant between experiments and samples. Mean gray values were measured once the steady-state of protein binding was reached, which was estimated to be  $\geq 600$  s. Mean gray values were measured using Image J<sup>82</sup>.

To obtain actin fluorescence intensities on a filament or bundles, we manually defined a ROI, a 6 pixel-width line perpendicularly to the filament or bundle. We then obtained the intensity profile of the line in which the x-axis of the profile is the length of the line and the y-axis is the averaged pixel intensity along the width of the line. The actin intensity was the maximum intensity value in the intensity profile.

Filopodia density, morphology and dynamics were analyzed by a custom written program allowing the semi-automatic tracking the Lifeactin signal of time-lapse movies using Image J.

#### Statistics and reproducibility

#### Data availability

Received: 2 May 2023; Accepted: 9 April 2024; Published online: 09 May 2024

#### References

- 1. Chen, E. H. Invasive podosomes and myoblast fusion. In *Current Topics in Membranes*. Vol. **68**, 235–258 (Elsevier, 2011).
- Abmayr, S. M. & Pavlath, G. K. Myoblast fusion: lessons from flies and mice. *Development* 139, 641–656 (2012).
- Gallop, J. L. Filopodia and their links with membrane traffic and cell adhesion. Semin. Cell Dev. Biol. 102, 81–89 (2020).
- Mattila, P. K. & Lappalainen, P. Filopodia: molecular architecture and cellular functions. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 9, 446–454 (2008).
- 5. Svitkina, T. The actin cytoskeleton and actin-based motility. *Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Biol.* **10**, a018267 (2018).
- Fievet, B. T. et al. Phosphoinositide binding and phosphorylation act sequentially in the activation mechanism of ezrin. *J. Cell Biol.* 164, 653–659 (2004).
- Garbett, D., Sauvanet, C., Viswanatha, R. & Bretscher, A. The tails of apical scaffolding proteins EBP50 and E3KARP regulate their localization and dynamics. *MBoC* 24, 3381–3392 (2013).
- 8. Tsai, F.-C. et al. Ezrin enrichment on curved membranes requires a specific conformation or interaction with a curvature-sensitive partner. *eLife* **7**, e37262 (2018).
- Disanza, A. et al. CDC42 switches IRSp53 from inhibition of actin growth to elongation by clustering of VASP. *EMBO J.* 32, 2735–2750 (2013).
- Prévost, C. et al. IRSp53 senses negative membrane curvature and phase separates along membrane tubules. *Nat. Commun.* 6, 8529 (2015).
- ॅ<list-item><list-item><list-item>
- Suetsugu, S., Toyooka, K. & Senju, Y. Subcellular membrane curvature mediated by the BAR domain superfamily proteins. *Semin. Cell Dev. Biol.* 21, 340–349 (2010).
- Carman, P. J. & Dominguez, R. BAR domain proteins—a linkage between cellular membranes, signaling pathways, and the actin cytoskeleton. *Biophys. Rev.* 10, 1587–1604 (2018).
- Itoh, T. et al. Dynamin and the actin cytoskeleton cooperatively regulate plasma membrane invagination by BAR and F-BAR Proteins. *Dev. Cell* 9, 791–804 (2005).
- Ferguson, S. et al. Coordinated actions of actin and BAR proteins upstream of dynamin at endocytic clathrin-coated pits. *Dev. Cell* 17, 811–822 (2009).
- Falcone, S. et al. N-WASP is required for Amphiphysin-2/BIN1dependent nuclear positioning and triad organization in skeletal muscle and is involved in the pathophysiology of centronuclear myopathy. *EMBO Mol. Med.* 6, 1455–1475 (2014).
- D'Alessandro, M. et al. Amphiphysin 2 orchestrates nucleus positioning and shape by linking the nuclear envelope to the actin and microtubule cytoskeleton. *Dev. Cell* **35**, 186–198 (2015).
- Daumke, O., Roux, A. & Haucke, V. BAR domain scaffolds in dynaminmediated membrane fission. *Cell* **156**, 882–892 (2014).
- Chuang, M.-C. et al. Tks5 and dynamin-2 enhance actin bundle rigidity in invadosomes to promote myoblast fusion. *J. Cell Biol.* 218, 1670–1685 (2019).

- 20. Zhang, R. et al. Dynamin regulates the dynamics and mechanical strength of the actin cytoskeleton as a multifilament actin-bundling protein. *Nat. Cell Biol.* **22**, 674–688 (2020).
- 21. Kim, J. H. et al. Mechanical tension drives cell membrane fusion. *Dev. Cell* **32**, 561–573 (2015).
- თ guier, gu
- 23. Kojima, C. et al. Regulation of Bin1 SH3 domain binding by phosphoinositides. *EMBO J.* **23**, 4413–4422 (2004).
- Nicot, A.-S. et al. Mutations in amphiphysin 2 (BIN1) disrupt interaction with dynamin 2 and cause autosomal recessive centronuclear myopathy. *Nat. Genet.* **39**, 1134–1139 (2007).
- Wechsler-Reya, R. J., Elliott, K. J. & Prendergast, G. C. A role for the putative tumor suppressor bin1 in muscle cell differentiation. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 18, 566–575 (1998).
- Lee, E. et al. Amphiphysin 2 (Bin1) and T-tubule biogenesis in muscle. 297, 5 (2002).
- Fugier, C. et al. Misregulated alternative splicing of BIN1 is associated with T tubule alterations and muscle weakness in myotonic dystrophy. *Nat. Med.* 17, 720–725 (2011).
- Picas, L. et al. BIN1/M-Amphiphysin2 induces clustering of phosphoinositides to recruit its downstream partner dynamin. *Nat. Commun.* 5, 5647 (2014).
- Wu, T. & Baumgart, T. BIN1 membrane curvature sensing and generation show autoinhibition regulated by downstream ligands and PI(4,5)P<sub>2</sub>. *Biochemistry* 53, 7297–7309 (2014).
- Yamada, H. et al. Dynamic interaction of amphiphysin with N-WASP regulates actin assembly. *J. Biol. Chem.* 284, 34244–34256 (2009).
- Dräger, N. M. et al. Bin1 directly remodels actin dynamics through its BAR domain. *EMBO Rep.* 18, 2051–2066 (2017).
- McMahon, H. T. & Boucrot, E. Molecular mechanism and physiological functions of clathrin-mediated endocytosis. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **12**, 517–533 (2011).
- Prokic, I., Cowling, B. S. & Laporte, J. Amphiphysin 2 (BIN1) in physiology and diseases. *J. Mol. Med* 92, 453–463 (2014).
- Segal, D., Dhanyasi, N., Schejter, E. D. & Shilo, B.-Z. Adhesion and fusion of muscle cells are promoted by filopodia. *Dev. Cell* 38, 291–304 (2016).
- Wu, T., Shi, Z. & Baumgart, T. Mutations in BIN1 associated with centronuclear myopathy disrupt membrane remodeling by affecting protein density and oligomerization. *PLoS One* **9**, e93060 (2014).
- Scita, G., Confalonieri, S., Lappalainen, P. & Suetsugu, S. IRSp53: crossing the road of membrane and actin dynamics in the formation of membrane protrusions. *Trends Cell Biol.* 18, 52–60 (2008).
- Lim, K. B. et al. The Cdc42 effector IRSp53 generates filopodia by coupling membrane protrusion with actin dynamics. *J. Biol. Chem.* 283, 20454–20472 (2008).
- Inamdar, K. et al. Full assembly of HIV-1 particles requires assistance of the membrane curvature factor IRSp53. *eLife* 10, e67321 (2021).
- Mattila, P. K. et al. Missing-in-metastasis and IRSp53 deform PI(4,5) P2-rich membranes by an inverse BAR domain–like mechanism. *J. Cell Biol.* **176**, 953–964 (2007).
- Gandy, K. A. O. et al. Sphingosine 1-phosphate induces filopodia formation through S1PR2 activation of ERM proteins. *Biochem. J.* 449, 661–672 (2013).
- Senju, Y. et al. Mechanistic principles underlying regulation of the actin cytoskeleton by phosphoinositides. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci.* 114, E8977–E8986 (2017).
- Senju, Y. & Lappalainen, P. Regulation of actin dynamics by PI(4,5)P2 in cell migration and endocytosis. *Curr. Opin. Cell Biol.* 56, 7–13 (2019).

- 44. Gu, C. et al. Direct dynamin–actin interactions regulate the actin cytoskeleton. *EMBO J.* **29**, 3593–3606 (2010).
- ॅ<list-item><list-item><list-item>
- ե
- Diz-Muñoz, A. et al. Control of directed cell migration in vivo by membrane-to-cortex attachment. *PLoS Biol.* 8, e1000544 (2010).
- Sens, P. & Plastino, J. Membrane tension and cytoskeleton organization in cell motility. *J. Phys. Condens. Matter* 27, 273103 (2015).
- Sheetz, M. P. Cell control by membrane–cytoskeleton adhesion. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 2, 392–396 (2001).
- Qualmann, B. & Kelly, R. B. Syndapin isoforms participate in receptormediated endocytosis and actin organization. *J. Cell Biol.* 148, 1047–1062 (2000).
- Shimada, A. et al. Mapping of the basic amino-acid residues responsible for tubulation and cellular protrusion by the EFC/F-BAR domain of pacsin2/Syndapin II. *FEBS Lett.* **584**, 1111–1118 (2010).
- Tsai, F.-C. et al. Activated I-BAR IRSp53 clustering controls the formation of VASP-actin–based membrane protrusions. *Sci. Adv.* 8, eabp8677 (2022).
- 54. Zhao, H. et al. Membrane-sculpting BAR domains generate stable lipid microdomains. *Cell Rep.* **4**, 1213–1223 (2013).
- 55. Goh, W. I. et al. mDia1 and WAVE2 proteins interact directly with IRSp53 in filopodia and are involved in filopodium formation. *J. Biol. Chem.* **287**, 4702–4714 (2012).
- Disanza, A. et al. Regulation of cell shape by Cdc42 is mediated by the synergic actin-bundling activity of the Eps8–IRSp53 complex. *Nat. Cell Biol.* 8, 1337–1347 (2006).
- Fehon, R. G., McClatchey, A. I. & Bretscher, A. Organizing the cell cortex: the role of ERM proteins. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 11, 276–287 (2010).
- ഥ
- 60. Welf, E. S. et al. Actin-membrane release initiates cell protrusions. *Dev. Cell* **55**, 723–736.e8 (2020).
- Rouven Brückner, B., Pietuch, A., Nehls, S., Rother, J. & Janshoff, A. Ezrin is a major regulator of membrane tension in epithelial cells. *Sci. Rep.* 5, 14700 (2015).
- 奋ዹዺዺዺዺዺዺዺዺዺዺዺዺዺዺዺዺዺዺዺዺዺዺዺዺ
- Chakraborty, M., Sivan, A., Biswas, A. & Sinha, B. Early tension regulation coupled to surface myomerger is necessary for the primary fusion of C2C12 myoblasts. *Front. Physiol.* **13**, 976715 (2022).
- 64. Bornschlögl, T. How filopodia pull: What we know about the mechanics and dynamics of filopodia. *Cytoskeleton* **70**, 590–603 (2013).
- Svitkina, T. M. et al. Mechanism of filopodia initiation by reorganization of a dendritic network. *J. Cell Biol.* **160**, 409–421 (2003).
- Vasyutina, E., Martarelli, B., Brakebusch, C., Wende, H. & Birchmeier, C. The small G-proteins Rac1 and Cdc42 are essential for myoblast fusion in the mouse. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci.* **106**, 8935–8940 (2009).

- Shilagardi, K. et al. Actin-propelled invasive membrane protrusions promote fusogenic protein engagement during cell-cell fusion. *Science* **340**, 359–363 (2013).
- Bothe, I., Deng, S. & Baylies, M. Pl(4,5)P2 regulates myoblast fusion through Arp2/3 regulator localization at the fusion site. *Development* 141, 2289–2301 (2014).
- Prokic, I. et al. Differential physiological roles for BIN1 isoforms in skeletal muscle development, function and regeneration. *Dis. Model. Mech.* 13, dmm044354 (2020).
- Fernando, P. et al. Bin1 Src Homology 3 Domain Acts as a Scaffold for Myofiber Sarcomere Assembly. *J. Biol. Chem.* 284, 27674–27686 (2009).
- 72. Dobramysl, U. et al. Stochastic combinations of actin regulatory proteins are sufficient to drive filopodia formation. *J. Cell Biol.* **220**, e202003052 (2021).
- 73. Galic, M. et al. Dynamic recruitment of the curvature-sensitive protein ArhGAP44 to nanoscale membrane deformations limits exploratory filopodia initiation in neurons. *eLife* **3**, e03116 (2014).
- Veltman, D. M. et al. Functional analysis of Dictyostelium IBARa reveals a conserved role of the I-BAR domain in endocytosis. *Biochem. J.* 436, 45–52 (2011).
- Algrain, M., Turunen, O., Vaheri, A., Louvard, D. & Arpin, M. Ezrin contains cytoskeleton and membrane binding domains accounting for its proposed role as a membrane-cytoskeletal linker. *J. Cell Biol.* 120, 129–139 (1993).
- Gautreau, A., Louvard, D. & Arpin, M. Morphogenic effects of ezrin require a phosphorylation-induced transition from oligomers to monomers at the plasma membrane. *J. Cell Biol.* **150**, 193–204 (2000).
- 77. Rodríguez-Pérez, F. et al. Ubiquitin-dependent remodeling of the actin cytoskeleton drives cell fusion. *Dev. Cell* **56**, 588–601.e9 (2021).
- Schillers, H. et al. Standardized Nanomechanical Atomic Force Microscopy Procedure (SNAP) for Measuring Soft and Biological Samples. *Sci. Rep.* 7, 5117 (2017).
- Spudich, J. A. & Watt, S. The regulation of rabbit skeletal muscle contraction. *J. Biol. Chem.* 246, 4866–4871 (1971).
- Braunger, J. A., Kramer, C., Morick, D. & Steinem, C. Solid supported membranes doped with PIP <sub>2</sub>: influence of ionic strength and pH on bilayer formation and membrane organization. *Langmuir* 29, 14204–14213 (2013).
- Weinberger, A. et al. Gel-assisted formation of giant unilamellar vesicles. *Biophys. J.* **105**, 154–164 (2013).
- Schindelin, J. et al. Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis. *Nat. Methods* 9, 676–682 (2012).
- Miserey-Lenkei, S. et al. Rab and actomyosin-dependent fission of transport vesicles at the Golgi complex. *Nat. Cell. Biol.* 12, 645–654 (2010).

#### Acknowledgements

The authors thank J. Viaud for kindly providing the PH-PLCd-Alexa647 probe. We thank Y. Senju (Okayama University, Japan) and Pekka Lappalainen (University of Helsinki, Finland) for IRSp53 purification and C. Le Clainche (Institute for Integrative Biology of the Cell, Gif-sur-Yvette, France) for actin purification. We also thank B. Cowling for the design of *Bin1* shRNA constructs. We also thank C. Cazevieille for assistance in TEM and J. Mateos-Langerak in SIM OMX imaging. The authors thank P. Sens for 

#### **Author contributions**

ພ</sup>, Wage, Wage

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare no competing interests.

#### **Additional information**

Supplementary information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-024-06168-8.

**Correspondence** and requests for materials should be addressed to Laura Picas, Cécile Gauthier-Rouvière or Stéphanie Miserey.

**Peer review information** *Communications Biology* thanks the anonymous reviewers for their contribution to the peer review of this work. Primary Handling Editors: Gregory Lavieu and Manuel Breuer. A peer review file is available.

# Reprints and permissions information is available at http://www.nature.com/reprints

**Publisher's note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

© The Author(s) 2024