



HAL
open science

Toxicity of environmental and polystyrene plastic particles on the bivalve *Corbicula fluminea*: focus on the molecular responses

Oïhana Latchere, Coraline Roman, Isabelle Métais, Hanane Perrein-Ettajani, Mohammed Mouloud, Didier Georges, Agnès Feurtet-Mazel, Patrice Gonzalez, Guillemine Daffe, Julien Gigault, et al.

► To cite this version:

Oïhana Latchere, Coraline Roman, Isabelle Métais, Hanane Perrein-Ettajani, Mohammed Mouloud, et al.. Toxicity of environmental and polystyrene plastic particles on the bivalve *Corbicula fluminea*: focus on the molecular responses. *Ecotoxicology*, 2024, 33 (7), pp.709-721. <10.1007/s10646-024-02769-5>. <hal-04761750>

HAL Id: hal-04761750

<https://hal.science/hal-04761750v1>

Submitted on 31 Oct 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



HAL Authorization



HAL
open science

Toxicity of Environmental and Polystyrene Plastic Particles on the Bivalve *Corbicula Fluminea*: Focus on the Molecular Responses

Oihana Latchere, Coraline Roman, Isabelle Metais, Hanane Perrein-Ettajani, Mohammed Mouloud, Didier Georges, Agnes Feurtet-Mazel, Patrice Gonzalez, Cerise Daffe, Julien Gigault, et al.

► To cite this version:

Oihana Latchere, Coraline Roman, Isabelle Metais, Hanane Perrein-Ettajani, Mohammed Mouloud, et al.. Toxicity of Environmental and Polystyrene Plastic Particles on the Bivalve *Corbicula Fluminea*: Focus on the Molecular Responses. SSRN Electronic Journal, 2022, 10.2139/ssrn.4254496 . hal-04243868

HAL Id: hal-04243868

<https://hal.science/hal-04243868v1>

Submitted on 16 Oct 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 Toxicity of environmental and polystyrene plastic particles on the bivalve *Corbicula*
2 *fluminea*: focus on the molecular responses

3
4 Oïhana Latchere^{a,*}, Coraline Roman^a, Isabelle Métais^a, Hanane Perrein-Ettajani^a, Mohammed
5 Mouloud^a, Didier Georges^a, Agnès Feurtet-Mazel^b, Patrice Gonzalez^b, Cerise Daffe^b, Julien
6 Gigault^{cd}, Charlotte Catrouillet^{de}, Magalie Baudrimont^b, Amélie Châtel^a

7
8 ^aUniversité Catholique de l'Ouest, laboratoire BIOSSE, 3 place André Leroy, Angers, France

9 ^bUniversité de Bordeaux, UMR EPOC 5805, équipe Ecotoxicologie Aquatique, Station marine d'Arcachon,
10 Place Du Dr Peyneau, 33120, Arcachon, France

11 ^cUniversité Laval, Département de Biologie, Pavillon Alexandre-Vachon, 1045, Av. de La Médecine, Local
12 2064, Québec, Québec, G1V0A6, Canada

13 ^dUniv. Rennes, CNRS, Géosciences Rennes - UMR 6118, F-35000 Rennes, France

14 ^eUniversité de Paris, Institut de physique du globe de Paris, CNRS, F-75005 Paris, France

15
16 *Corresponding author : Oïhana LATCHERE. Email address : oihana.latchere@uco.fr

17 **Abstract**

18 Microplastics (MPs) and nanoplastics (NPs) are major ecotoxicological concerns in aquatic
19 environments. Among aquatic organisms, filter feeders are particularly exposed to the ingestion
20 of MPs and NPs, filtering large quantities of water for food and having an unselective feeding
21 strategy. The present study investigates the effect of environmental microplastics (ENV MPs)
22 and nanoplastics (ENV NPs) generated from macro-sized plastic debris collected in the
23 Garonne River (France), and polystyrene NPs (PS NPs) on the freshwater bivalve *Corbicula*
24 *fluminea* (Müller 1774). The organisms were exposed to each type of plastic particle at three
25 concentrations: 0.008, 10 and 100 $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ for 21 days. Gene expression measurements were
26 conducted in gills and visceral mass at 7 and 21 days to assess the effects of plastic particles
27 on different functions (endocytosis, detoxication, respiratory chain, oxidative stress, immunity,
28 apoptosis and neurotoxicity). Our results revealed that: i) an up-regulation of genes, mainly
29 involved in endocytosis, oxidative stress, immunity, apoptosis and neurotoxicity, was observed
30 at 7 days of exposure for almost all environmental plastic particles and at 21 days of exposure
31 for PS NPs in the gills, ii) PS NPs at the three concentrations tested and ENV MPs at 0.008 μg
32 L^{-1} induced strong down-regulation of genes involved in detoxication, oxidative stress,
33 immunity, apoptosis and neurotoxicity at 7 days of exposure in the visceral mass whereas ENV
34 MPs at 10 and 100 $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ and all ENV NPs induced less pronounced effects, iii) overall, PS
35 NPs and ENV MPs 0.008 $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ did not trigger the same effects as ENV MPs 10 and 100 μg
36 L^{-1} and all ENV NPs, either in the gills or the visceral mass at 7 and 21 days of exposure.

37 This study highlighted the need to use MPs and NPs sampled in the environment for future
38 ecotoxicological studies, compared to manufactured PS NPs as their properties (composition,
39 size distribution, surface charge, additive and adsorbed contaminants) induce different effects
40 at the molecular level to living organisms.

41

42 **Introduction**

43 Plastics are synthetic or semi-synthetic organic materials used for a wide range of applications
44 in the industrial sector. Omnipresent in our societies, their production has continued to increase
45 in recent decades. Thus, since the middle of the 20th century, global plastic production has
46 increased from 2 million tons in 1950 to 380 million tons in 2015 (Geyer et al., 2017). However,
47 only 5% of the plastics produced are recycled, mainly into secondary products which will not
48 be further recycled and end up in landfills or the environment (Sardon and Dove, 2018). The
49 mass production of plastics, combined with high durability and low recycling rates, have led
50 to their accumulation as wastes in the terrestrial, freshwater and marine environments (de
51 Souza Machado et al., 2018; Dioses-Salinas et al., 2020; Horton et al., 2017).

52 Plastic particles ranging in size from 1 to 5 mm are defined by the term “microplastics” (MPs)
53 (Browne et al., 2007; Fendall and Sewell, 2009). MPs are considered primary or secondary
54 depending on their sources. MPs are primary when produced during manufacture in the form
55 of small particles. They are notably present in certain cosmetic products, skin cleansers, and
56 production wastes from plastic processing plants (Wang et al., 2016). They are very stable in
57 this form, with a lifespan of over 1000 years (Cózar et al., 2014). Secondary MPs derive from
58 the fragmentation of larger pieces of plastic under the effect of different biotic and abiotic
59 factors such as photodegradation, waves, wind, microorganisms, and sediment abrasion
60 (Andrady, 2011; Kale et al., 2015). Secondary MPs represent a significant part of the MPs
61 present in the marine environment. Recently, smaller plastic particles have been identified and
62 described as nanoplastics (NPs) (Gigault et al., 2016). These particles have colloidal properties
63 in aqueous media (e.g., they do not sediment) and their size varies from 1 to 1000 nm in one
64 of the three dimensions of space (Gigault et al., 2021). NPs are also introduced in significant
65 quantities into the natural environment but their presence is difficult to estimate due to
66 methodological challenges (da Costa et al., 2016; Hernandez et al., 2017; Koelmans et al.,
67 2015). Owing to the massive use of plastics and to the additives they may contain, MPs and

68 NPs pose environmental risks (Besseling et al., 2019; Koelmans et al., 2022). In addition,
69 chemicals can be adsorbed on plastic particles due to the surface alteration of the particles and
70 to the small size of the particles which increases their surface. When chemical conditions
71 changed (pH for example), such pollutants can be desorbed. Moreover, due to their nanoscale
72 properties, NPs can easily cross biological barriers and accumulate in tissues and organs (Chae
73 and An, 2017; Mattsson et al., 2018). In addition, they have a longer retention time than MPs
74 in bivalves (Ward and Kach, 2009). The ingestion of MPs and NPs by aquatic organisms is of
75 particular concern since numerous studies have demonstrated their harmful effects (Al-
76 Thawadi, 2020; Issac and Kandasubramanian, 2021). Indeed, plastic particles induce effects
77 from the cellular to the ecosystem levels by impairing, for example, metabolic and
78 physiological processes, morphology, food absorption and behavior (Al-Thawadi, 2020;
79 Gardon et al., 2018; Sussarellu et al., 2016; Watts et al., 2015).

80 Among aquatic organisms, filter feeders are particularly exposed to the ingestion of MPs and
81 NPs because they filter large quantities of water for food and because of their unselective
82 feeding strategy (Wesch et al., 2016). *Corbicula fluminea* is an endobenthic bivalve used as a
83 bioindicator for the assessment of environmental quality (Arini et al., 2019; Guo and Feng,
84 2018; Zhou et al., 2008). These organisms assimilate small particles from both the sediment
85 and freshwater. They can bioaccumulate chemical substances and are widely used to evaluate
86 the toxicity of freshwater and sediment (Guo and Feng, 2018). Recent studies have been
87 conducted on this species to assess the effects of plastic particles (Fu et al., 2022; Guilhermino
88 et al., 2018; Guo and Feng, 2018; Li et al., 2021). However, the plastic particles tested in most
89 of these studies are standard beads and are not representative of the particles in the
90 environment. Composed of a single type of plastic, mainly PS, perfectly spherical and uniform
91 in size, they differ from secondary MPs and NPs resulting from the degradation of plastic
92 wastes (Gigault et al., 2018, 2016; Haegerbaeumer et al., 2019). Some studies have already
93 started to demonstrate the more deleterious effects of environmental NPs compared to
94 reference ones at environmental levels of exposure in *C. fluminea* (Baudrimont et al., 2019),
95 underlining the relevancy of using this type of NPs for ecotoxicological studies.

96 Thus, this study aims to investigate the effect of both MPs and NPs resulting from the
97 degradation of macroplastics sampled in the field, and standard PS NPs on the bivalve *C.*
98 *fluminea*. To this end, bivalves were exposed to plastic particles at different environmentally
99 relevant concentrations for 21 days. Of the various analyses carried out to evaluate the effects
100 of environmental pollutants, the measurement of gene expression levels is helpful for

101 identifying the mechanisms involved in the toxicant-specific responses and characterizing
102 stress-induced expression patterns (Piña et al., 2007; Snell et al., 2003). These molecular
103 markers can also provide early-detection of environmental stress. Therefore, we chose to study
104 the influence of different sources of plastic particles (standard and environmental) and of size
105 scale (micro and nano) on the expression level of a panel of genes involved in the responses to
106 environmental stressors.

107 **Materials and methods**

108 **Collection, preparation and characterization of environmental derived MPs and NPs**

109 Plastic wastes were collected by hand with pliers on the right bank of the Garonne River at low
110 tide, near the Langoiran bridge (44°42'14.56"N, 0°24'3.91"W). The most oxidized plastic
111 debris was sampled, rinsed in the laboratory with ultra-pure water and dried at 45°C for 48h
112 before preparation for micro and nanoplastic solutions.

113 **Environmental micro and nanoplastics production**

114 Environmental microplastics (ENV MPs) and nanoplastics (ENV NPs) were generated from
115 macro-sized plastic debris according to the protocol described by Blanco et al. (2021). Briefly,
116 NPs and MPs were produced by coupling agitation and sonification in aquatic media. The size
117 range was between 235 ± 70 nm for ENV NPs and between 1.2 and 300 μ m for ENV MPs.
118 ENV NPs and ENV MPs were characterized in terms of composition, size, shape and surface
119 properties by Pyrolysis (Pyrolyzer PY-3030 Frontier Lab) coupled to gas chromatography-
120 mass spectrometry (Py-GC-MS) (5977B, Agilent Technologies). Plastic analysis showed that
121 ENV NPs and ENV MPs were mainly composed of polyethylene (PE) (95%). They were
122 anisotropic, polydisperse in size and possessed high levels of carboxylic groups on their
123 surface. In addition to ENV NPs, carboxylated polystyrene nanobeads (PS NPs) with 200 nm
124 of size, were used as reference material (Polysciences). Contrary to the ENV MPs and NPs, PS
125 NPs did not contain additives.

126 **Acidic digestion and ICP-MS measurements**

127 To optimize the total digestion, 100 mg of microplastics and nanoplastics powder were acid-
128 digested (12 N HNO₃ subgrade) using a multi-step procedure with a microwave oven
129 (MW7000 system from Anton-Paar; increasing ramp of the temperature of 6.6°C per minute
130 until reaching 250°C, then 25 min at 250°C under 140 bar of pressure). Metal concentrations

131 were measured by ICP-MS from Agilent Technologies (7700x Model, Agilent)
132 (Supplementary Information Table A). The solution of three tubes was mixed, evaporated at
133 90°C, and solubilized in 0.37 N HNO₃ before ICP-MS measurements. The digestion and
134 analysis process were validated using reference materials (ERM-EC 680 and ERM-EC 681)
135 from the Joint Research Centre of the European Commission (JRC, Ispra, Italy).

136 **Suspensions of microplastics**

137 For each type of plastic particle (ENV MPs, ENV NPs and PS NPs), stock suspensions at 1 and
138 0.1 g L⁻¹ were prepared in ultra-pure (milliQ) water at pH 7. A working solution at 0.1 mg L⁻¹
139 was obtained for each type of plastic particle by three serial dilutions of the stock suspension
140 at 0.1 g L⁻¹ in ultra-pure water (milliQ) at pH 7 as performed in Revel et al. (2019). A specific
141 volume of the stock suspension (1 g L⁻¹ or 0.1 g L⁻¹) or the working solution (0.1 mg L⁻¹) was
142 distributed in the aquaria to obtain the final concentrations of 0.008, 10 and 100 µg L⁻¹. Each
143 solution was well mixed before adding it to the aquaria. No surfactant was used during MPs
144 and NPs preparation to prevent any additional effect. All MPs and NPs solutions were prepared
145 and spilled in the aquaria every 3 days just after a water change to maintain the same
146 concentration during exposure.

147 **Bivalve collection and laboratory exposure assay**

148 Individuals of *Corbicula fluminea* were collected in the lake of Parentis-Biscarrosse (France).
149 Clams were transported to the laboratory in boxes with sediment from the collection site.
150 Bivalves were then transferred into aquaria (30L) containing 27L of tap water in a temperature-
151 controlled room at 15°C for an acclimatization period of 7 days. Photoperiod was maintained
152 at 12 hours:12 hours. The aquarium water was renewed entirely every three days. Clams were
153 fed once a week with microalgae of the genus *Scenedesmus* (Greensea).

154 Clams were exposed for 21 days to manufactured polystyrene nanoplastics (PS NPs, 200 nm,
155 Polysciences), field derived microplastics (ENV MPs, 1.2-300 µm) and field derived
156 nanoplastics (ENV NPs, 235 ± 70 nm) at the following concentrations: 0.008µg L⁻¹, 10µg L⁻¹
157 and 100 µg L⁻¹. These concentrations were chosen in accordance following the study of Revel
158 et al. (2020) to expose clams to concentrations of MPs and NPs which are close to the ones
159 measured in coastal regions and gyres (Goldstein et al., 2013). The experimental conditions are
160 abbreviated in the results section: for example, ENV MPs 10 is used for environmental

161 microplastics at $10 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$. One group of individuals was used as control (no added plastic
162 particles). The use of plastic material was avoided during all the experiments.

163 After 7 days and at the end of the experiment at 21 days, 3 individuals per condition and per
164 replicate were sampled for gene expression. Gills and visceral mass were dissected and
165 immediately frozen at -80°C for further gene expression measurements.

166 **Analysis of gene expression by quantitative PCR**

167 *Corbicula fluminea* samples were pooled by three for each condition. Triplicates were analyzed
168 by quantitative RT-PCR for each condition. Total RNA was extracted using TRIzol reagent®
169 (Life Technologies) from the gills and the visceral mass, according to the manufacturer's
170 recommendations and precipitated with propan-2-ol. RNA concentration ($\mu\text{g}\cdot\mu\text{L}^{-1}$) was
171 quantified using a NanoDrop 2000 spectrophotometer (ThermoScientific®). First-strand
172 cDNA was synthesized from 5 μg of total RNA using the Invitrogen™ SuperScript™ III kit
173 (ThermoScientific® ; T100™ Thermal Cycler, BIORAD®) according to the manufacturer's
174 recommendations. The expression levels of twenty genes involved in endocytosis, oxidative
175 stress, detoxication, respiratory chain, immunity, neurotoxicity and apoptosis. They were
176 analyzed using a set of forward and reverse primers by quantitative RT-PCR. Three genes were
177 used as housekeeping genes, including β -actin, elongation factor 1 α (*ef1 α*) and ribosomal
178 protein 7 (*rpl7*) (Table 1). Specific primers for *ache* and *acp* genes were designed using the
179 software Primer 3 V 4.0. Previously, the quality of each pair of primers was checked: cDNA
180 tests were amplified by PCR (T100™ Thermal Cycler, BIORAD®. 30 cycles: 30s à 95°C , 30s
181 à 60°C , 30s à 72°C), then the amplification products were separated on 1.5% agarose
182 electrophoresis gel. After staining with ethidium bromide, the presence and size of each
183 amplicon were verified. Quantitative PCR (qPCR) amplifications were carried out in triplicate
184 in 96-well microplates (CFX Connect™ Real-Time System, BIORAD®) using SYBR™
185 Master Mix PCR Power SYBR™ Green (Invitrogen) containing the SYBR Green dye, DNA
186 Taq Polymerase and dNTPs. For each reaction, 1 μL of each primer ($50\text{ng}\cdot\mu\text{L}^{-1}$), 6.25 μL of
187 SYBR Green mix, 3.75 μL of water treated with DEPC (DNase-free water) and 0.5 μL of cDNA
188 were added in each well. The qPCR reactions consisted of the first step of 10 min at 95°C
189 (enzyme activation) followed by 40 cycles (95°C for 30 s, 60°C for 30 s and 72°C for 30 s) and
190 5 min at 72°C . Expression levels were estimated by evaluating the fluorescence signal emitted
191 by SYBR-Green®. This fluorescent marker binds to double-stranded DNA (dsDNA) and the
192 fluorescence emitted is proportional to the dsDNA present in the reaction mix. Calculations are

193 based on cycle threshold (Ct) values. The relative gene expression ratio of each target gene was
194 calculated following the delta-delta method normalized with reference genes (Livak and
195 Schmittgen, 2001), which is defined as:

$$ratio = \frac{2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct (exposed)}}{2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct (control)}}$$

196

197

198 **Statistical analysis**

199 The statistical analyzes were performed using the software XLSTAT 2019 (version
200 21.4.63762). The normality of data distribution and homogeneity of variance were tested using
201 the Shapiro-Wilk test and Bartlett test, respectively. As the assumptions for parametric tests
202 were not met for the gene expression measurements, we used the Kruskal-Wallis test to test for
203 differences between the treatments. As the overall test was significant, a Dunn procedure was
204 performed to determine which means were significantly different. p values ≤ 0.05 were
205 considered statistically significant,

206

207 **Results**

208 *Gills*

209 As shown in Table 2, at 7 days post-exposure, genes were mainly up-regulated in gills for
210 almost all environmental plastic particles (ENV MPs 10, ENV MPs 100, ENV NPs 0.008, ENV
211 NPs 10 and ENV NPs 100). These genes are involved in endocytosis (*cltl*, *cav*), detoxication
212 (*gst*), oxidative stress (*sod2*, *sod1*), immunity (*atg12*, *acp*, *gal*), apoptosis (*bcl2*, *bax*, *gadd45*)
213 and neurotoxicity (*ache*). Downregulations were also observed for genes involved in
214 detoxication (*mdr*), the respiratory chain (*cox1*, *12s*) and immunity (*atg13*) for some of the
215 environmental plastic particles. There was no clear dose-dependent effect for ENV MPs
216 treatments and ENV NPs treatments. Results for PS NPs treatments showed different trends
217 compared to environmental plastic particles. Only a few genes were impacted in gills with both
218 up and downregulations. Up-regulated genes after PS NPs 100 treatment were involved in
219 detoxication (*gst*), immunity (*atg12*, *acp*, *gal*), apoptosis (*p53*) and neurotoxicity (*ache*). Other
220 genes were upregulated after PS NPs 0.008 (*cltl*) and after PS NPs 10 (*cav* and *gpx7*). Down-
221 regulated genes concerned detoxication (*mt*, *mdr*), the respiratory chain (*cox1*) after treatment

222 with PS NP at one or two of the concentrations tested. Two genes were downregulated for the
223 ENV MPs 0.008 treatment (*mdr* and *l2s*).

224 At 21 days post-exposure (Table 3), a clear difference of gene expression responses in the gills
225 was observed between two groups: 1) the PS NPs treatment whatever the tested concentration
226 and ENV MPs 0.008, and 2) ENV MPs 10, ENV MPs 100 and all the ENV NPs. For the first
227 group, many genes were up-regulated, particularly concerning immunity, apoptosis,
228 detoxication and neurotoxicity functions. For the second group, only few genes were
229 upregulated for one or two treatments.

230

231 *Visceral mass*

232 After 7 days of exposure to the different plastic conditions, two trends were observed in the
233 visceral mass (Table 4). For the first group (all PS NPs concentrations and ENV MPs 0.008),
234 almost all the studied genes involved in immunity (*atg13*, *atg12*, *acp*, *gal*), apoptosis (*bcl2*,
235 *p53*, *bax*, *gadd45*), neurotoxicity (*ache*) and some of the genes involved in the oxidative stress
236 (*cat*, *gpx7*) and detoxication (*mdr*, *gst*) were strongly downregulated. Only a few genes were
237 overexpressed for some of these treatments and were involved in endocytosis (*clt1*),
238 detoxication (*mt*) and oxidative stress (*sod1*, *sod2*). For the second group (ENV MPs 10, ENV
239 MPs 100 and all the ENV NPs concentration), the gene' responses were relatively similar to
240 the first group for the functions related to endocytosis, detoxification, respiratory chain and
241 oxidative stress. However, a clear difference regarding the genes involved in oxidative stress,
242 immunity, apoptosis and neurotoxicity was depicted, since very few of these genes were under-
243 expressed compared to the first group.

244 As shown in Table 5, fewer genes were impacted after 21 days of exposure than at 7 days in
245 the visceral mass. The PS NPs and ENV particles (MPs and NPs) did not induce the same
246 effects. The PS NPs had little effect on the studied genes, whatever the concentration tested.
247 Concerning the ENV MPs and NPs, some genes were under-expressed for some concentration
248 tested and were involved mainly in these different functions: detoxication (*mdr* and *gst*),
249 oxidative stress (*cat*), immunity (*atg13*, *atg12* and *acp*) and apoptosis (*bcl2* and *gadd45*). Some
250 genes were up-regulated for the ENV MPs and NPs treatments such as the ones involved in
251 endocytosis (*cav*), respiratory chain (*l2s*), oxidative stress (*gpx7*), immunity (*gal*) and
252 neurotoxicity (*ache*) for some conditions and concentrations tested.

253

254 **Discussion**

255

256 The present study investigated the effects of field-derived ENV MPs and NPs and standard PS
257 NPs on the expression of genes involved in the molecular response to toxicity in two tissues,
258 gills and visceral mass, in *C. fluminea*. Our results first highlighted that the exposure led to
259 changes in gene expression patterns at environmentally relevant concentrations whether the
260 plastic source, manufactured plastics beads or environmental particles. Two main types of
261 responses emerged from the analysis of two target tissues (gills and visceral mass) : firstly, the
262 earlier pattern of response, after 7 days of exposure, was linked to exposure to ENV NPs and
263 MPs in gills and to PS NPs in visceral mass; secondly, after a more prolonged exposure (21
264 days), the effects of PS NPs on gene expression was highlighted in gills while in the visceral
265 mass, modifications in gene expression were instead linked to environmental plastic particles.

266

267 **Endocytosis**

268 Endocytosis is a main process involved in the uptake of nanoparticles in many species (Weng
269 et al., 2022). In our study, caveolin (*cav*) and clathrin (*cltl*) gene expression varied significantly
270 under NPs and MPs exposures, showing their role in the plastic particles uptake.

271 In the gills, endocytosis seems to be an entry pathway for MPs and NPs since the caveolin (*cav*)
272 and clathrin (*cltl*) genes were over-expressed for specific concentrations in the three plastic
273 conditions (both ENV MPs and NPs, and PS NPs) at 7 days of exposure. Indeed, the
274 internalization rate of 50 nm PS NPs is lower when caveolae and clathrin endocytosis pathways
275 were inhibited in the mussel *Mytilus galloprovincialis* (Sendra et al., 2020). These mechanisms
276 were also already observed in oysters exposed to environmental NPs, attesting of an easy
277 uptake of these particles in bivalves (Arini et al., 2022b). But endocytosis by caveolin or
278 clathrin pathways is limited to sizes of particles below 500nm or 200nm respectively (Rejman
279 et al, 2004), suggesting in the case of exposure to ENV MPs the presence of NPs in solution.

280

281 **Oxidative stress and detoxication**

282 In the gills, the genes related to oxidative stress (*gpx*, *sod1* and *sod2*) were overexpressed for
283 ENV MPs and NPs at 7 days of exposure. The *cat*, *sod2* and *gpx* genes were overexpressed

284 after 21 days of exposure to PS NPs for some concentrations. Conversely, *cat* and *gst* genes
285 were under-expressed in the visceral mass after exposure to PS NPs for 7 days. Catalase is an
286 enzyme that acts as a defense mechanism against reactive oxygen species, allowing the
287 disproportionation of hydrogen peroxide into water and dioxygen. The GST enzyme protects
288 cells against toxicants by conjugating the glutathione as substrate to xenobiotics. The increased
289 expression of both *cat* and *gst* genes observed in the gills of *C. fluminea* is a sign of cellular
290 oxidative stress. A previous study also showed an increase in the activity of the catalase in the
291 gills of *C. fluminea* after an exposure to PS MPs (200 μm) at a concentration of 2 mg L^{-1} for 7
292 days (Parra et al., 2021), while in our study, this is observed for PS NPs and ENV MPs at
293 considerably lower concentrations. The gene relating to the detoxification system *mdr* was
294 overexpressed in the gills after 21 days for the different plastic conditions and specific
295 concentrations. This may be related to the increased expression of the *gst* gene. Indeed, GSTs
296 are enzymes that catalyze the conjugation of reduced glutathione (GSH) with metabolites and
297 reactive electrophiles, representing an essential chemical detoxification route. This suggests
298 the presence of additives and/or some chemical compounds adsorbed on the surface of the
299 plastic particles. This is consistent with the high metal concentrations measured in the ENV
300 MPs and NPs used in this study (SI Table A).

301

302 **Respiratory chain**

303 12S ribosomal RNA refers to the mitochondrial metabolism. Thus, an overexpression of the
304 12S gene represents an increasing number of mitochondria necessary to respond to oxidative
305 stress in the bivalves. In our study, an under-expression was observed in both tissues after 7
306 days of exposure. The same observation was followed by a decrease in the activity of isocitrate
307 dehydrogenase, involved in the Krebs cycle and therefore mitochondrial activity, in the fish
308 *Pomatoschistus microps* after exposure to 0, 18.4 and 184 $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ of PE MPs (1-5 μm) for 96h
309 (Oliveira et al., 2013). At the opposite, an over-expression of the 12S gene was demonstrated
310 in the visceral mass of oysters *Isognomon alatus* after 7 days of exposure to PS NPs and
311 derived-field NPs at 7.5 $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ (Arini et al., 2022a). In their study, the authors suggest that the
312 overexpression of the 12S gene was linked to the repression of the *cox1* gene and would be
313 involved in a compensatory mechanism aimed at maintaining mitochondrial metabolism (Arini
314 et al., 2022a). In our study, we observed both the *cox1* and *12S* genes repression after 7 days

315 of exposure to ENV MPs 100 and all ENV NPs in the visceral mass, suggesting an excessive
316 oxidative stress which the mitochondria cannot support.

317

318 **Immunity**

319 The responses of the organisms to the environmental and polystyrene particles exposure were
320 different in the gills and the visceral mass. In the gills, ENV MPs and NPs induced an over-
321 expression of 3 of the 4 genes involved in immunity after 7 days of exposure (*atg12*, *AcP*, *gal*).
322 After 21 days of exposure, the organisms exposed to the PS NPs showed an over-expression
323 of the 4 genes studied (*atg13*, *atg12*, *AcP* and *gal*). This indicates an important immune system
324 activity even at low concentrations of plastic particles. Such a shift in the immune response has
325 already been reported for bivalves exposed to MPs and NPs (Auguste et al., 2020; Mkuye et
326 al., 2022).

327 In the visceral mass, we observed an opposite trend. An intense repression was depicted after
328 7 days of exposure to PS NPs and ENV MPs 0.008 whereas little effect was observed for ENV
329 MP 10 and 100 and ENV NPs. After 21 days of exposure, almost no effect of PS NPs and a
330 down-regulation of some genes were observed for the ENV MPs and NPs. Our results
331 suggested that PS NPs induced a stronger response in the short term than ENV MPs and NPs.
332 Due to their small size (200 nm) and potentially their carboxyl groups, PS NPs may reach the
333 visceral mass faster while ENV MPs and NPs may tend to be retained in the gills explaining
334 the responses observed at 7 days of exposure. These results are in agreement with two studies
335 which demonstrated a more significant accumulation of PS plastic particles in the digestive
336 gland tissues than in the gills of the mussel *Mytilus galloprovincialis* (Fabbri et al., 2020; Wei
337 et al., 2021).

338

339 **Apoptosis**

340 Apoptosis is the process of programmed cell death which plays a significant role in the immune
341 response triggered by various factors including virus, diseases and toxic agents (Ekert and
342 Vaux, 1997; Romero et al., 2015). Our results on apoptosis were consistent with those obtained
343 for immunity genes and demonstrated significant differences in the response to the two types
344 of plastics (PS NPs vs ENV MPs and NPs), both in the gills and in visceral mass. At 7 days of
345 exposure, the ENV MPs and NPs induced an up-regulation of 3 genes involved in apoptosis

346 processes (*bcl2*, *bax* and *gadd45*) in gills. In contrast, only one gene (*p53*) was up-regulated
347 for the highest concentration of PS NPs. The apoptosis response induced by environmental
348 plastic particles can be related to eliminating damaged cells to maintain the tissue's integrity
349 and to preserve the physiological activity of gill filaments (Romero et al., 2015). In the visceral
350 mass, after 7 days of exposure, organisms exposed to PS NPs and ENV MPs 0.008 $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$
351 showed an intense repression of the 4 genes involved in apoptosis.

352 In contrast, the ones exposed to environmental particles showed little or no effect for MPs 10
353 and 100 $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ and NPs. MPs have been shown to induce apoptosis in bivalves, particularly via
354 caspase-related genes (Mkuye et al., 2022; Shi et al., 2020; Sun et al., 2021). However, very
355 few studies described the effects of NPs on apoptosis processes in bivalves. One study related
356 to direct exposure to environmental NPs derived from plastic macro-wastes reported effect on
357 apoptotic genes in gills and visceral mass in the oyster *I. alatus* (Arini et al., 2022b). Our
358 divergent results from those on the oyster *I. alatus* could be partly explained by the different
359 environmental plastics tested, specifically by differences in plastic characteristics (i.e.,
360 composition, surface charge, size, shape, additives and adsorbed chemicals).

361

362 **Neurotoxicity**

363 Acetylcholinesterase (AChE) is the primary enzyme responsible for the hydrolytic metabolism
364 of the neurotransmitter acetylcholine (ACh) into choline and acetate to remove the neurotoxic
365 effects of pollutants. The exposure to plastic particles induced an inhibition of the AChE
366 activity in different bivalves (Avio et al., 2015; Oliveira et al., 2013; Ribeiro et al., 2017). This
367 is consistent with the inhibition of *ache* gene expression we observed in the visceral mass after
368 an exposure of 7 days to PS NPs (for all tested concentrations) and ENV MPs (0.008 $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$).
369 This inhibition may reflect a possible disturbance of nerve impulse transmission and could be
370 due to the toxicity of plastic particles and the chemical compounds they carry.

371 At the opposite, our results indicated an induction of the *ache* gene in the gills after a 7 days
372 exposure to ENV MPs and NPs and after a 21 days exposure to PS NPs and ENV MPs (0.008
373 $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$). In the same way, an increase of the AChE activity has been reported in barnacle nauplii
374 exposed to PS MPs for 48h at 0.001, 0.01 and 1 mg L^{-1} (Gambardella et al., 2017) and in the
375 freshwater insect larvae *Culex quinquefasciatus* exposed to PE at 4.24×10^6 particles m^{-3} for 5
376 days (Malafaia et al., 2020). This increase in *ache* gene expression in our study may be related

377 to the inflammation of the visceral mass since it has been reported that inflammatory conditions
378 can trigger the up-regulation of *ache* gene expression (Oliveira et al., 2012).

379 It would be interesting to compare the *ache* gene expression levels with animal behavioral
380 responses such as valve movement activity or filtration capacity. Indeed, inhibition of AChE
381 activity was reported combined with a decrease of the filtration capacity of *C. fluminea* exposed
382 to 10 mg mL⁻¹ of PS NPs (Guo et al., 2021). Moreover, disturbances in the behaviour of
383 zebrafish at the larval stage were measured together with an inhibition of AChE activity after
384 an exposition to 2 mg L⁻¹ of MPs (Santos et al., 2021).

385

386 **Testing environmental particles for a realistic assessment of environmental risk**

387 In this study, we tested the effects of manufactured PS NPs and environmental MPs and NPs
388 derived from macroplastics sampled in the environment on *C. fluminea*. These particles differ
389 in plastic composition, size, shape, additives, adsorbed pollutants than the commercial ones.
390 We showed that the two types of particles (manufactured vs. environmental) induced
391 differential responses, whatever the sampling time or the tissue studied. Therefore, in the gills,
392 the genes involved in immunity, apoptosis and neurotoxicity are overexpressed after 7 days of
393 exposure to the ENV MPs and NPs. In contrast, it was not the case for the PS NPs. At 21 days,
394 these results are reversed with an overexpression of these genes for the PS NPs and only the
395 ENV MPs 0.008. In the visceral mass, the organisms exposed to the PS NPs and ENV MPs
396 0.008 showed an intense repression of the genes involved in immunity, apoptosis and
397 neurotoxicity after 7 days of exposure whereas little effect was observed for the organisms
398 exposed to the ENV MPs 10 and 100 and all the ENV NPs. Such differences between the
399 effects of manufactured and environmental particles have already been demonstrated in oysters
400 *Isognomon alatus* (Arini et al., 2022a,b; Lebordais et al, 2021). In these studies, the authors
401 showed notably that nanoplastic particles derived from microplastics sampled in the
402 environment triggered more effects on gene expression than PS NPs.

403 As part of this study, the effects of environmental particles of different sizes: ENV MPs (1.2-
404 300 µm) and ENV NPs (235 ± 70 nm) were tested. The results did not demonstrate differences
405 in molecular responses between the organisms exposed to the two particle sizes. In contrast, it
406 has been found that the small size of NPs and their high surface area make them more toxic to
407 the organisms than MPs (Zhang et al., 2021). However, the studies investigating the effects of

408 NPs on aquatic organisms have emerged in recent years and there is still a knowledge gap on
409 this topic (Ferreira et al., 2019).

410 Indirect toxicity of MPs and NPs can also be due to the additives they contain and/or the
411 pollutants adsorbed on their surface. These chemical compounds can be transferred to the
412 organisms (Avio et al., 2015; Gomiero et al., 2018) and can lead to joint toxicity (synergistic,
413 additive, antagonistic, independent) (Ding et al., 2022). But studies on the effects of MPs and
414 NPs from the environment and whose pollutants have been characterized are currently very
415 scarce. In our study, the concentrations of different metals and metalloids in the environmental
416 MPs and NPs were measured. They were found to be very high and could be related to the
417 immune responses, apoptosis and neurotoxicity observed in the different tissues in *Corbicula*
418 *fluminea*. Another study revealed a higher growth inhibition of a freshwater algae with
419 environmental NPs compared to manufactured NPs (Baudrimont et al., 2019). The
420 concentration of different trace metals was shown to be higher in the environmental NPs than
421 in the manufactured ones which could explain the toxicity differences between the two types
422 of plastic particles (Baudrimont et al., 2019). Moreover, a mixture of MPs and mercury has
423 been shown to cause oxidative stress and lipid peroxidation damage in *C. fluminea* (Oliveira et
424 al., 2018). However, the authors also pointed out antagonistic effects between MPs and
425 mercury on filtration rate and the enzymatic activities of ChE and GST (Oliveira et al., 2018).
426 The interactions between the MPs, NPs and the chemicals are complex and far from
427 understood. Additional research is needed to better understand the mechanisms of toxicity of
428 MPs and NPs from the environment.

429

430 Conclusion

431

432 The present study evaluated the effects of PS NPs and environmental MPs and NPs in the
433 bivalve *C. fluminea* at environmentally relevant concentrations and under the same laboratory
434 conditions. We have evidenced major differences in the bivalve molecular responses between
435 manufactured NPs composed of polystyrene and field-derived MPs and NPs especially in the
436 oxidative stress, immunity, apoptosis and neurotoxicity. These results highlight the importance
437 of conducting further investigations including plastic particles from the environment, from
438 nano to micro size and to fully characterize these particles (composition, shape, size,
439 chemicals...) for a realistic assessment of environmental risk.

440

441 Funding: This work was supported by the project TROPHIPLAST, funded by the French
442 national agency ANSES 558 (grant agreement 2018/1/017). Through the support of the
443 GeOHeLiS analytical platform of Rennes University, this publication is also supported by the
444 European Union through the European Regional Development Fund (FEDER), the French
445 Ministry of Higher Education and Research, the French Region of Brittany and Rennes
446 Metropole. The authors are grateful to M. Bouhnik Le Coz and M. Pattier for their assistance
447 in the ICP-MS measurements.

448

449 **Bibliography**

450 Al-Thawadi, S., 2020. Microplastics and Nanoplastics in Aquatic Environments: Challenges and Threats
451 to Aquatic Organisms. Arab. J. Sci. Eng. 45, 4419–4440. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s13369-020-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13369-020-04402-z)
452 04402-z

453 Andrady, A.L., 2011. Microplastics in the marine environment. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 62, 1596–1605.
454 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2011.05.030>

455 Arini, A., Gigault, J., Venel, Z., Bertucci, A., Baudrimont, M., 2022a. The underestimated toxic effects
456 of nanoplastics coming from marine sources: A demonstration on oysters (*Isognomon alatus*).
457 Chemosphere 295. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2022.133824>

458 Arini, A., Pierron, F., Mornet, S., Baudrimont, M., 2019. Bioaccumulation dynamics and gene regulation
459 in a freshwater bivalve after aqueous and dietary exposures to gold nanoparticles and ionic gold.
460 Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 27, 3637–3650. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-018-4009-4>

461 Arini, A., Venel, Z., Tabuteau, H., Gigault, J., Baudrimont, M., 2022b. Early molecular responses of
462 mangrove oysters to nanoplastics using a microfluidic device to mimic environmental exposure.
463 J. Hazard. Mater. 436. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2022.129283>

464 Auguste, M., Balbi, T., Ciacci, C., Canonico, B., Papa, S., Borello, A., Vezzulli, L., Canesi, L., 2020. Shift in
465 Immune Parameters After Repeated Exposure to Nanoplastics in the Marine Bivalve *Mytilus*.
466 Front. Immunol. 11, 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2020.00426>

467 Avio, C.G., Gorbi, S., Milan, M., Benedetti, M., Fattorini, D., D’Errico, G., Pauletto, M., Bargelloni, L.,
468 Regoli, F., 2015. Pollutants bioavailability and toxicological risk from microplastics to marine
469 mussels. Environ. Pollut. 198, 211–222. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2014.12.021>

470 Baudrimont, M., Arini, A., Guégan, C., Venel, Z., Gigault, J., Pedrono, B., Prunier, J., Maurice, L., Ter
471 Halle, A., Feurtet-Mazel, A., 2019. Ecotoxicity of polyethylene nanoplastics from the North
472 Atlantic oceanic gyre on freshwater and marine organisms (microalgae and filter-feeding
473 bivalves). Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 27, 3746–3755. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-019-04668-3>

474 Besseling, E., Redondo-Hasselerharm, P., Foekema, E.M., Koelmans, A.A., 2019. Quantifying ecological
475 risks of aquatic micro- and nanoplastic. Crit. Rev. Environ. Sci. Technol. 49, 32–80.
476 <https://doi.org/10.1080/10643389.2018.1531688>

477 Blanco, F., Davranche, M., Fumagalli, F., Ceccone, G., Gigault, J., 2021. A reliable procedure to obtain
478 environmentally relevant nanoplastic proxies. Environ. Sci. Nano 8, 3211–3219.

- 479 <https://doi.org/10.1039/D1EN00395J>
- 480 Browne, M.A., Galloway, T., Thompson, R., 2007. Microplastic-an emerging contaminant of potential
481 concern? *Integr. Environ. Assess. Manag.* 3, 559–561.
482 <https://doi.org/10.1002/ieam.5630030412>
- 483 Chae, Y., An, Y.J., 2017. Effects of micro- and nanoplastics on aquatic ecosystems: Current research
484 trends and perspectives. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* 124, 624–632.
485 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2017.01.070>
- 486 Cózar, A., Echevarría, F., González-Gordillo, J.I., Irigoien, X., Úbeda, B., Hernández-León, S., Palma, Á.T.,
487 Navarro, S., García-de-Lomas, J., Ruiz, A., Fernández-de-Puelles, M.L., Duarte, C.M., 2014. Plastic
488 debris in the open ocean. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 111, 10239–10244.
489 <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1314705111>
- 490 da Costa, J.P., Santos, P.S.M., Duarte, A.C., Rocha-Santos, T., 2016. (Nano)plastics in the environment
491 - Sources, fates and effects. *Sci. Total Environ.* 566–567, 15–26.
492 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.05.041>
- 493 de Souza Machado, A.A., Kloas, W., Zarfl, C., Hempel, S., Rillig, M.C., 2018. Microplastics as an
494 emerging threat to terrestrial ecosystems. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 24, 1405–1416.
495 <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14020>
- 496 Ding, T., Wei, L., Hou, Z., Li, J., Zhang, C., Lin, D., 2022. Microplastics altered contaminant behavior and
497 toxicity in natural waters. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 425, 127908.
498 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2021.127908>
- 499 Dioses-Salinas, D.C., Pizarro-Ortega, C.I., De-la-Torre, G.E., 2020. A methodological approach of the
500 current literature on microplastic contamination in terrestrial environments: Current knowledge
501 and baseline considerations. *Sci. Total Environ.* 730, 139164.
502 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.139164>
- 503 Ekert, P.G., Vaux, D.L., 1997. Apoptosis and the immune system. *Br. Med. Bull.* 53, 591–603.
504 <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.bmb.a011632>
- 505 Fabbri, D., Rombolà, A.G., Vassura, I., Torri, C., Franzellitti, S., Capolupo, M., Fabbri, E., 2020. Off-line
506 analytical pyrolysis GC–MS to study the accumulation of polystyrene microparticles in exposed
507 mussels. *J. Anal. Appl. Pyrolysis* 149, 104836. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaap.2020.104836>
- 508 Fendall, L.S., Sewell, M.A., 2009. Contributing to marine pollution by washing your face: Microplastics
509 in facial cleansers. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* 58, 1225–1228.
510 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2009.04.025>
- 511 Ferreira, I., Venâncio, C., Lopes, I., Oliveira, M., 2019. Nanoplastics and marine organisms: What has
512 been studied? *Environ. Toxicol. Pharmacol.* 67, 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.etap.2019.01.006>
- 513 Fu, L., Xi, M., Nicholaus, R., Wang, Z., Wang, X., Kong, F., Yu, Z., 2022. Behaviors and biochemical
514 responses of macroinvertebrate *Corbicula fluminea* to polystyrene microplastics. *Sci. Total*
515 *Environ.* 813, 152617. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.152617>
- 516 Gambardella, C., Morgana, S., Ferrando, S., Bramini, M., Piazza, V., Costa, E., Garaventa, F., Faimali,
517 M., 2017. Effects of polystyrene microbeads in marine planktonic crustaceans. *Ecotoxicol.*
518 *Environ. Saf.* 145, 250–257. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2017.07.036>
- 519 Gardon, T., Reisser, C., Soye, C., Quillien, V., Le Moullac, G., 2018. Microplastics Affect Energy Balance
520 and Gametogenesis in the Pearl Oyster *Pinctada margaritifera*. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 52, 5277–
521 5286. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.8b00168>

- 522 Geyer, R., Jambeck, J.R., Law, K.L., 2017. Production, use, and fate of all plastics ever made. *Sci. Adv.*
523 3, 25–29. <https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1700782>
- 524 Gigault, J., El Hadri, H., Nguyen, B., Grassl, B., Roweczyk, L., Tufenkji, N., Feng, S., Wiesner, M., 2021.
525 Nanoplastics are neither microplastics nor engineered nanoparticles. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* 16, 501–
526 507. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41565-021-00886-4>
- 527 Gigault, J., Halle, A. ter, Baudrimont, M., Pascal, P.Y., Gauffre, F., Phi, T.L., El Hadri, H., Grassl, B.,
528 Reynaud, S., 2018. Current opinion: What is a nanoplastic? *Environ. Pollut.* 235, 1030–1034.
529 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2018.01.024>
- 530 Gigault, J., Pedrono, B., Maxit, B., Ter Halle, A., 2016. Marine plastic litter: The unanalyzed nano-
531 fraction. *Environ. Sci. Nano* 3, 346–350. <https://doi.org/10.1039/c6en00008h>
- 532 Goldstein, M.C., Titmus, A.J., Ford, M., 2013. Scales of spatial heterogeneity of plastic marine debris
533 in the northeast Pacific Ocean. *PLoS One* 8. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0080020>
- 534 Gomiero, A., Strafella, P., Pellini, G., Salvalaggio, V., Fabi, G., 2018. Comparative Effects of Ingested
535 PVC Micro Particles With and Without Adsorbed Benzo(a)pyrene vs. Spiked Sediments on the
536 Cellular and Sub Cellular Processes of the Benthic Organism *Hediste diversicolor*. *Front. Mar. Sci.*
537 5, 99. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2018.00099>
- 538 Guilhermino, L., Vieira, L.R., Ribeiro, D., Tavares, A.S., Cardoso, V., Alves, A., Almeida, J.M., 2018.
539 Uptake and effects of the antimicrobial florfenicol, microplastics and their mixtures on
540 freshwater exotic invasive bivalve *Corbicula fluminea*. *Sci. Total Environ.* 622–623, 1131–1142.
541 <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.12.020>
- 542 Guo, X., Cai, Y., Ma, C., Han, L., Yang, Z., 2021. Combined toxicity of micro / nano scale polystyrene
543 plastics and ciprofloxacin to *Corbicula fluminea* in freshwater sediments. *Sci. Total Environ.* 789,
544 147887. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.147887>
- 545 Guo, X., Feng, C., 2018. Biological toxicity response of Asian Clam (*Corbicula fluminea*) to pollutants in
546 surface water and sediment. *Sci. Total Environ.* 631–632, 56–70.
547 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.03.019>
- 548 Haegerbaeumer, A., Mueller, M.T., Fueser, H., Traunspurger, W., 2019. Impacts of micro- and nano-
549 sized plastic particles on benthic invertebrates: A literature review and gap analysis. *Front.*
550 *Environ. Sci.* 7. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2019.00017>
- 551 Hernandez, L.M., Yousefi, N., Tufenkji, N., 2017. Are there nanoplastics in your personal care products?
552 *Environ. Sci. Technol. Lett.* 4, 280–285. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.estlett.7b00187>
- 553 Horton, A.A., Walton, A., Spurgeon, D.J., Lahive, E., Svendsen, C., 2017. Microplastics in freshwater
554 and terrestrial environments: Evaluating the current understanding to identify the knowledge
555 gaps and future research priorities. *Sci. Total Environ.* 586, 127–141.
556 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.01.190>
- 557 Issac, M.N., Kandasubramanian, B., 2021. Effect of microplastics in water and aquatic systems.
558 *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* 28, 19544–19562. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-021-13184-2>
- 559 Kale, S.K., Deshmukh, A.G., Dudhare, M.S., Patil, V.B., 2015. Microbial degradation of plastic: a review.
560 *J Biochem Tech* 6, 952–961.
- 561 Koelmans, A.A., Besseling, E., Shim, W.J., 2015. Nanoplastics in the Aquatic Environment. *Critical*
562 *Review*, in: Bergmann, M., Gutow, L., Klages, M. (Eds.), *Marine Anthropogenic Litter*. Springer
563 International Publishing, Cham, pp. 325–340. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-16510-3_12
- 564 Koelmans, A.A., Redondo-Hasselerharm, P.E., Nor, N.H.M., de Ruijter, V.N., Mintenig, S.M., Kooi, M.,

- 565 2022. Risk assessment of microplastic particles. *Nat. Rev. Mater.* 7, 138–152.
566 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41578-021-00411-y>
- 567 Li, Z., Feng, C., Pang, W., Tian, C., Zhao, Y., 2021. Nanoplastic-Induced Genotoxicity and Intestinal
568 Damage in Freshwater Benthic Clams (*Corbicula fluminea*): Comparison with Microplastics. *ACS*
569 *Nano* 15, 9469–9481. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsnano.1c02407>
- 570 Livak, K.J., Schmittgen, T.D., 2001. Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time
571 quantitative PCR and the $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$ method. *Methods* 25, 402–408.
572 <https://doi.org/10.1006/meth.2001.1262>
- 573 Malafaia, G., da Luz, T.M., Guimarães, A.T.B., Araújo, A.P.C., 2020. Polyethylene microplastics are
574 ingested and induce biochemical changes in *Culex quinquefasciatus* (Diptera: Culicidae)
575 freshwater insect larvae. *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Contam.* 15, 79–89.
576 <https://doi.org/10.5132/eec.2020.01.10>
- 577 Mattsson, K., Jovic, S., Doverbratt, I., Hansson, L.A., 2018. Nanoplastics in the aquatic environment,
578 Microplastic Contamination in Aquatic Environments: An Emerging Matter of Environmental
579 Urgency. Elsevier Inc. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-813747-5.00013-8>
- 580 Mkuye, R., Gong, S., Zhao, L., Masanja, F., Ndandala, C., Bubelwa, E., Yang, C., Deng, Y., 2022. Effects
581 of microplastics on physiological performance of marine bivalves, potential impacts, and
582 enlightening the future based on a comparative study. *Sci. Total Environ.* 838, 155933.
583 <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.155933>
- 584 Oliveira, P. De, Gomes, A.Q., Pacheco, T.R., Almeida, V.V. De, Saldanha, C., 2012. Cell-specific
585 regulation of acetylcholinesterase expression under inflammatory conditions 51, 129–137.
586 <https://doi.org/10.3233/CH-2011-1520>
- 587 Oliveira, M., Ribeiro, A., Hylland, K., Guilhermino, L., 2013. Single and combined effects of
588 microplastics and pyrene on juveniles (0+ group) of the common goby *Pomatoschistus microps*
589 (*Teleostei, Gobiidae*). *Ecol. Indic.* 34, 641–647. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2013.06.019>
- 590 Oliveira, P., Barboza, L.G.A., Branco, V., Figueiredo, N., Carvalho, C., Guilhermino, L., 2018. Effects of
591 microplastics and mercury in the freshwater bivalve *Corbicula fluminea* (Müller, 1774): Filtration
592 rate, biochemical biomarkers and mercury bioconcentration. *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.* 164, 155–
593 163. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2018.07.062>
- 594 Parra, S., Varandas, S., Santos, D., Fernandes, L., Cabecinha, E., Monteiro, S.M., 2021. Multi-Biomarker
595 Responses of Asian Clam *Corbicula fluminea*. *Water*.
- 596 Piña, B., Casado, M., Quirós, L., 2007. Analysis of gene expression as a new tool in ecotoxicology and
597 environmental monitoring. *TrAC - Trends Anal. Chem.* 26, 1145–1154.
598 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trac.2007.09.009>
- 599 Revel, M., Châtel, A., Perrein-Ettajani, H., Bruneau, M., Akcha, F., Sussarellu, R., Rouxel, J., Costil, K.,
600 Decottignies, P., Cognie, B., Lagarde, F., Mouneyrac, C., 2020. Realistic environmental exposure
601 to microplastics does not induce biological effects in the Pacific oyster *Crassostrea gigas*. *Mar.*
602 *Pollut. Bull.* 150, 110627. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2019.110627>
- 603 Revel, M., Lagarde, F., Perrein-Ettajani, H., Bruneau, M., Akcha, F., Sussarellu, R., Rouxel, J., Costil, K.,
604 Decottignies, P., Cognie, B., Châtel, A., Mouneyrac, C., 2019. Tissue-specific biomarker responses
605 in the blue mussel *Mytilus* spp. exposed to a mixture of microplastics at environmentally relevant
606 concentrations. *Front. Environ. Sci.* 7, 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2019.00033>
- 607 Ribeiro, F., Garcia, A.R., Pereira, B.P., Fonseca, M., Mestre, N.C., Fonseca, T.G., Ilharco, L.M., Bebianno,
608 M.J., 2017. Microplastics effects in *Scrobicularia plana*. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* 122, 379–391.

- 609 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2017.06.078>
- 610 Romero, A., Novoa, B., Figueras, A., 2015. The complexity of apoptotic cell death in mollusks: An
611 update. *Fish Shellfish Immunol.* 46, 79–87. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsi.2015.03.038>
- 612 Santos, D., Luzio, A., Matos, C., Bellas, J., Monteiro, S.M., Luís, F., 2021. Microplastics alone or co-
613 exposed with copper induce neurotoxicity and behavioral alterations on zebrafish larvae after a
614 subchronic exposure 235. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2021.105814>
- 615 Sardon, H., Dove, A.P., 2018. Plastics recycling with a difference. *Science* (80-.). 360, 380–381.
616 <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aat4997>
- 617 Sendra, M., Saco, A., Yeste, M.P., Romero, A., Novoa, B., Figueras, A., 2020. Nanoplastics: From tissue
618 accumulation to cell translocation into *Mytilus galloprovincialis* hemocytes. resilience of immune
619 cells exposed to nanoplastics and nanoplastics plus *Vibrio splendidus* combination. *J. Hazard.*
620 *Mater.* 388, 121788. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2019.121788>
- 621 Shi, W., Han, Y., Sun, S., Tang, Y., Zhou, W., Du, X., Liu, G., 2020. Immunotoxicities of microplastics and
622 sertraline, alone and in combination, to a bivalve species: size-dependent interaction and
623 potential toxication mechanism. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 396, 122603.
624 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2020.122603>
- 625 Snell, T.W., Brogdon, S.E., Morgan, M.B., 2003. Gene Expression Profiling in Ecotoxicology.
626 *Ecotoxicology* 12, 475–483. <https://doi.org/10.1023/B:ECTX.0000003033.09923.a8>
- 627 Sun, S., Shi, W., Tang, Y., Han, Y., Du, X., Zhou, W., Zhang, W., Sun, C., Liu, G., 2021. The toxic impacts
628 of microplastics (MPs) and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) on haematic parameters in
629 a marine bivalve species and their potential mechanisms of action. *Sci. Total Environ.* 783,
630 147003. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.147003>
- 631 Sussarellu, R., Suquet, M., Thomas, Y., Lambert, C., Fabioux, C., Pernet, M.E.J., Goïc, N. Le, Quillien, V.,
632 Mingant, C., Epelboin, Y., Corporeau, C., Guyomarch, J., Robbens, J., Paul-Pont, I., Soudant, P.,
633 Huvet, A., 2016. Oyster reproduction is affected by exposure to polystyrene microplastics. *Proc.*
634 *Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 113, 2430–2435. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1519019113>
- 635 Wang, J., Tan, Z., Peng, J., Qiu, Q., Li, M., 2016. The behaviors of microplastics in the marine
636 environment. *Mar. Environ. Res.* 113, 7–17. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marenvres.2015.10.014>
- 637 Ward, J.E., Kach, D.J., 2009. Marine aggregates facilitate ingestion of nanoparticles by suspension-
638 feeding bivalves. *Mar. Environ. Res.* 68, 137–142.
639 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marenvres.2009.05.002>
- 640 Watts, A.J.R., Urbina, M.A., Corr, S., Lewis, C., Galloway, T.S., 2015. Ingestion of Plastic Microfibers by
641 the Crab *Carcinus maenas* and Its Effect on Food Consumption and Energy Balance. *Environ. Sci.*
642 *Technol.* 49, 14597–14604. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.5b04026>
- 643 Wei, Q., Hu, C.Y., Zhang, R.R., Gu, Y.Y., Sun, A.L., Zhang, Z.M., Shi, X.Z., Chen, J., Wang, T.Z., 2021.
644 Comparative evaluation of high-density polyethylene and polystyrene microplastics pollutants:
645 Uptake, elimination and effects in mussel. *Mar. Environ. Res.* 169, 105329.
646 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marenvres.2021.105329>
- 647 Weng, N., Meng, J., Huo, S., Wu, F., Wang, W.-X., 2022. Hemocytes of bivalve mollusks as cellular
648 models in toxicological studies of metals and metal-based nanomaterials. *Environ. Pollut.* 312,
649 120082. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2022.120082>
- 650 Wesch, C., Bredimus, K., Paulus, M., Klein, R., 2016. Towards the suitable monitoring of ingestion of
651 microplastics by marine biota: A review. *Environ. Pollut.* 218, 1200–1208.

652 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2016.08.076>

653 Zhang, B., Chao, J., Chen, L., Liu, L., Yang, X., Wang, Q., 2021. Research progress of nanoplastics in
654 freshwater. *Sci. Total Environ.* 757, 143791. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.143791>

655 Zhou, Q., Zhang, J., Fu, J., Shi, J., Jiang, G., 2008. Biomonitoring: An appealing tool for assessment of
656 metal pollution in the aquatic ecosystem. *Anal. Chim. Acta* 606, 135–150.
657 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aca.2007.11.018>

658

Preprint not peer reviewed