

# Drug-induced skin ulcers: A disproportionality analysis from the WHO pharmacovigilance database

Dorothée Duron, Sophie Blaise, Jean-Luc Cracowski, Matthieu Roustit,

Charles Khouri

## ► To cite this version:

Dorothée Duron, Sophie Blaise, Jean-Luc Cracowski, Matthieu Roustit, Charles Khouri. Drug-induced skin ulcers: A disproportionality analysis from the WHO pharmacovigilance database. Journal of The American Academy of Dermatology, 2021, 85 (1), pp.229-232. 10.1016/j.jaad.2020.08.013 . hal-04760293

# HAL Id: hal-04760293 https://hal.science/hal-04760293v1

Submitted on 13 Nov 2024

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

## 1 Drug-induced skin ulcers: a disproportionality analysis from the WHO

## 2 pharmacovigilance database

### 3 Short running title: Drug-induced skin ulcer

4 Dorothée Duron<sup>1</sup>, PharmD, Sophie Blaise<sup>3</sup>, MD, PhD, Jean-Luc Cracowski<sup>1, 2</sup>, MD, PhD,

5 Matthieu Roustit<sup>1,2</sup>, PharmD, PhD, Charles Khouri<sup>1,2,4</sup>, PharmD, PhD.

<sup>1</sup> Clinical Pharmacology Department, INSERM CIC1406, Grenoble Alpes University
 7 Hospital, Grenoble, F-38000, France.

- <sup>8</sup> <sup>2</sup> INSERM UMR 1042 HP2, Univ. Grenoble Alpes, Grenoble, F-38000 France.
- <sup>3</sup>Vascular Medicine Department, Thorax and vessels Division, Grenoble Alpes University
   Hospital, Grenoble, F-38000, France
- <sup>4</sup> Pharmacovigilance Department, Grenoble Alpes University Hospital, Grenoble, F-38000
- 12 France.
- 13

```
14
```

### 15 **Corresponding author:**

- 16 Dr. Charles Khouri
- 17 Pharmacovigilance, CHU Grenoble Alpes, F-38000, Grenoble, France
- 18 Tel.: +33 4 76 76 92 60
- 19 Fax.: +33 4 76 76 92 62
- 20 E-mail: ckhouri@chu-grenoble.fr
- 21
- 22
- 23 Funding source: None
- 24 Word count: 498
- 25 **Figure:** 1
- 26 **Table:** 1
- 27 **References:** 5
- 28

29

30

#### 31 Conflict of Interest Disclosures

- 32 Dr. Roustit reports grants from United Therapeutics, from the French National Agency for
- 33 Research (ANR), and from the EU Framework Programme for Research and Innovation
- 34 (H2020), outside the submitted work; Pr. Cracowski reports grants from BIOPROJET, grants
- 35 from Pfizer France, grants from GIRCI Rhône-Alpes-Auvergne, grants from Association des
- 36 Sclérodermiques de France, outside the submitted work; The remaining authors have no37 disclosures to report.
- 38 ui
- 39
- 40
- $\odot$  2020 published by Elsevier. This manuscript is made available under the CC BY NC user license https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/

41 Skin ulcerations have occasionally been reported as adverse drug reactions (ADR). <sup>1</sup>
42 However, to our knowledge, no pharmacovigilance study has been performed to date, and
43 little is known about drug-related skin ulcers.

We therefore performed combined disproportionality analyses using data from VigiBase<sup>®</sup>, the World Health Organization pharmacovigilance database, and from the biomedical literature through Medline, to identify pharmacological classes suspected of inducing skin ulcers. <sup>2,3</sup> Then, all disproportionality signals were independently reviewed by a committee of experts in pharmacology and dermatology, and selected according to their clinical relevance, the strength of evidence and previous knowledge of possible associations (supplementary method, available on Mendeley 10.17632/sgnzg8k9tt.1). <sup>4</sup>

51 From the 21,421,352 cases available at the time of extraction (2020-03-03), we identified 19,887 reports of 'skin ulcer', 4,440 of 'decubitus ulcer', 596 of 'diabetic ulcer', 103 of 52 53 'varicose ulceration' and 79 of 'ischemic skin ulcer'. Sixty-one drugs displayed a 54 disproportionality signal for both 'skin ulcer' and at least one other specific skin ulcer term 55 (Supplementary Figure 1, available on Mendeley 10.17632/sgnzg8k9tt.1). Among 814 56 records of chemically-induced skin ulcers identified in the literature after screening titles and 57 abstracts, 241 reports regarding 59 drugs were selected, among which 10 had a 58 disproportionality signal.

Among the 61 drugs selected for signal validation, 22 were excluded for obvious indication or protopathic bias, or were combined substances (Supplementary Table 1, available on Mendeley 10.17632/sgnzg8k9tt.1). Then, after retrieving references databases, FDA/EMA labels and safety alerts, 21 drugs were considered as "already known" and the plausibility of the remainig 19 drugs was assessed through discussion during expert meeting based on cases analyses, potential bias and pharmacological mechanisms (Supplementary Table 2 and 3, available on Mendeley 10.17632/sgnzg8k9tt.1). Finally, 13 drugs were considered as having

potential signals for skin ulcer: three protein kinase inhibitors (afatinib, ibrutinib, tofacitinib), 66 67 two immunomodulators (thalidomide and lenalidomide), two interferons (alpha and beta), one bisphosphonate (alendronic acid), leflunomide, rofecoxib, mitomycin, ocrelizumab and 68 69 digoxin (Table 1 and Supplementary Table 4, available on Mendeley 10.17632/sgnzg8k9tt.1). Four main mechanisms have been proposed as being implicated in the pathogenesis of 70 71 iatrogenic skin ulcers: angiogenesis inhibition, direct skin toxicity and decreased skin perfusion through vasoconstriction or increased blood viscosity. <sup>1</sup> Except for ocrelizumab, for 72 73 which we did not find any pharmacological hypothesis, all identified drugs could be related to 74 these main mechanisms (Figure 2 and Supplementary Table 5, available on Mendeley 75 10.17632/sgnzg8k9tt.1).

This study has limitations. First, selective reporting of ADR in pharmacovigilance databases, inherent to the spontaneous nature of notifications, may distort the associations found in this study. <sup>5</sup> In addition, the development of a skin ulcer is often multifactorial, and clear causality with drug exposure might be difficult to assess given the high rate of missing clinical data.

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first pharmacovigilance study identifying iatrogenic etiologies of skin ulcers. The identified signals represent plausible ADR by considering the understanding of iatrogenic skin ulcers, biological and pharmacological drug activity and reported cases characteristics. However, they have yet to be evaluated by purpose-designed epidemiological studies.

85

### 86 Acknowledgments

We thank Vigibase for giving us access to the data. We also thank Dr. Alison Foote (GrenobleAlpes University Hospital) for critically editing our manuscript.

89 The data supplied to VigiBase come from a variety of sources and the likelihood of a causal
90 relationship is not the same in all reports. The information does not represent the opinions of
91 the UMC or the World Health Organization.

#### 94 **References**

- D'Epiro S, Salvi M, Luzi A, et al. Drug cutaneous side effect: focus on skin ulceration.
   *Clin Ter.* 2014;165(4):e323–329.
- 97 2. Bate A, Lindquist M, Edwards IR, et al. A Bayesian neural network method for adverse
  98 drug reaction signal generation. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol.* 1998;54(4):315-321.
- 3. Xu R, Wang Q. Large-scale combining signals from both biomedical literature and the
  FDA Adverse Event Reporting System (FAERS) to improve post-marketing drug safety
  signal detection. *BMC Bioinformatics*. 2014;15(1). doi:10.1186/1471-2105-15-17
- Guideline on good pharmacovigilance practices (GVP) Module IX Signal management
   (Rev 1). :25.
- Bate A, Evans SJW. Quantitative signal detection using spontaneous ADR reporting.
   *Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf.* 2009;18(6):427-436. doi:10.1002/pds.1742
- 106

107

108

### 109 Figure legends

110

**Figure 1.** Schematic representation of the mechanisms of iatrogenic skin ulcers. Drugs previously unknown to be associated with skin ulcers are in orange. G-protein-coupled receptors (GPCR); endothelial growth factor (EGF); fibroblast growth factor (FGF); plateletderived growth factor (PDGF); vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF); membrane glucocorticoid receptor (mbGC-R).

116

#### 117 **Table**

Table 1. Results of the disproportionality analyses. The lower boundary of the Information
Component (IC025) in the WHO pharmacovigilance database and in Medline (if significant)
is provided for each drug. Warnings about skin ulcers, retrieved from references databases,
EMA, FDA summary product characteristics are indicated. New safety signals are in bold.

- 122 SDR: signal of disproportionate reporting.
- 123

Drug classes	Drugs	SDR in WHO pharmacovigilance database	SDR in Medline	Known associations
Protein kinase	Sorafenib	2.19		Ulceration <sup>§</sup>
inhibitors	Sunitinib	1.99		Cracking of skin <sup>§</sup>
	Afatinib	1.02		C
	Erlotinib	2.12		Skin fissure <sup>§</sup>
	Ibrutinib	2.10		
	Cabozantinib	4.63		Skin ulcer <sup>¶</sup>
	Lenvatinib	2.56		Impaired wound healing <sup>±</sup>
	Pazopanib	1.10		Skin ulcer <sup>§</sup>
	Tofacitinib	0.51		
	Regorafenib	0.97		Impaired wound healing <sup>±</sup>
	Ponatinib	0.77		Skin pain
mTOR inhibitors	Everolimus	1.15		Impaired wound healing <sup>±</sup>
	Sirolimus	1.66		Impairment of Wound
				Healing <sup>§</sup>
Antimetabolites	Methotrexate	1.52	1.84	Skin ulcer <sup>§</sup>
	Hydroxycarbamide	5.85	5.95	Leg ulcer <sup>§</sup>
	Teriflunomide	0.02		
Calcineurin inhibitor	Tacrolimus	0.16		Skin ulcer <sup>§</sup>
Immunomodulators	Lenalidomide	0.19		
	Thalidomide	0.15		
Antitumor antibiotic	Mitomycin	2.52	0.39	
CD-20 antibody	Ocrelizumab	0.12		
Bisphosphonates	Alendronic acid	1.49		
VEGF inhibitors	Bevacizumab	1.33		Wound healing complications <sup>§</sup>
TNF alpha inhibitors	Adalimumab	0.65		Signal of skin ulcer <sup>¥</sup>
	Etanercept	1.11		Leg ulcer <sup>¶</sup>
Interferons	Interferon beta	0.14	1.59	
	Interferon alpha	0.99	0.81	
Cardiac glycosides	Digoxin	0.77		
Non-opioid analgesic	Ziconotide	0.06		Skin ulcer <sup>§</sup>
Cox 2 inhibitors	Rofecoxib	0.96		
Glucocorticoids	Prednisone	1.38		Impairs wound healing <sup>§</sup>

SGLT-2 inhibitors	Canagliflozin	4.41		Ulcers of the lower limbs <sup>§</sup>
Potassium channel	Nicorandil	5.02	4.85	Skin ulceration <sup>§</sup>
activators				
Opiate analgesics	Pentazocine	1.13	3.75	Ulceration of the skin <sup>§</sup>

124 §: FDA summary of product characteristics; ¶: EMA summary of product characteristics; ±: Micromedex
 125 database; ¥: PRAC safety signal assessment.

