

Eucalyptus brevifolia F. Muell and Eucalyptus stricklandii Maiden leaves extracts: HPLC-DAD, GC-MS analysis and in vitro biological activities, combined with the principal component analysis

Dorsaf Ben Hassine, Rami Rahmani, Jean Pierre Souchard, Manef

Abderrabba, Jalloul Bouajila

▶ To cite this version:

Dorsaf Ben Hassine, Rami Rahmani, Jean Pierre Souchard, Manef Abderrabba, Jalloul Bouajila. Eucalyptus brevifolia F. Muell and Eucalyptus stricklandii Maiden leaves extracts: HPLC-DAD, GC-MS analysis and in vitro biological activities, combined with the principal component analysis. South African Journal of Botany, 2022, 147, pp.826-839. 10.1016/j.sajb.2022.03.027 . hal-04755034

HAL Id: hal-04755034 https://hal.science/hal-04755034v1

Submitted on 13 Nov 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

| 1 | Eucalyptus brevifolia F. Muell and Eucalyptus stricklandii Maiden leaves extracts: |
|----------|--|
| 2 | HPLC-DAD, GC-MS analysis and in vitro biological activities, combined with the |
| 3 | principal component analysis |
| 4 | |
| 5 6 | Dorsaf Ben Hassine ^{1,2*} , Rami Rahmani ^{3,4} , Jean Pierre Souchard ⁵ , Manef Abderrabba ¹ and Jalloul Bouajila ⁵ * |
| 7 | |
| 8 9 | ¹ Laboratory Materials Molecules and Applications, IPEST, La Marsa, Carthage University, Tunisia. |
| 10 | ² National Agronomy Institute of Tunisia, Food Industry Department, 43 Avenue Charles |
| 11 | Nicolle, 1082, Tunis. Carthage University, Tunisia. |
| 12 | ³ Research Unit of Valorisation of Actives Biomolecules, Higher Institute of Applied Biology |
| 13 | Medenine, University of Gabes, 4119, Medenine, Tunisia. |
| 14 15 | ⁴ Department of Life Sciences, Faculty of Sciences, University of Gabes, Zrig, 6072, Gabes, Tunisia |
| 16 | ⁵ Laboratoire de Génie Chimique, UMR 5503, Université de Toulouse, CNRS, INPT, UPS, |
| 17 | Toulouse, France. |
| 18 | |
| 19 | *Corresponding authors: |
| 20 | Dr. Dorsaf Ben Hassine (Phone +216 92 37 85 65, E-mail: dorsaf_benhassine@yahoo.fr; |
| 21 | dorsaf.benhassine@inat.ucar.tn). |
| 22 | Dr. Jalloul Bouajila (Phone+335 62 25 68 85; Fax: +335 62 25 68 85; E-mail: |
| 23 | jalloul.bouajila@univ-tlse3.tr). |

25 Highlights

26 • The highest extraction yields were observed for the methanolic and aqueous 27 Eucalyptus leaves extracts. Phenolic acids, flavonoids and stilbenes are the most biochemical groups present in 28 • Eucalyptus leaves extracts. 29 Chemical compounds in the Eucalyptus leaves extracts were detected with HPLC-30 • DAD and GC-MS before and after derivatization. 31 Terpenes, phenols, alcohols, sugars, acids, ketones and fatty alcohols represent the 32 • abundant molecules reveled with GC-MS before and after derivatization. 33 *Eucalyptus* leaves extracts are endowed of several biological activities with health 34 • promoting benefits (antioxidant, anti-Alzheimer, anti-inflammatory, anti-xanthine 35 oxidase, cytotoxic effect). 36 37

38 Abstract

Natural products always have been relevant for the advancement of new pharmacological 39 products intended to prevent oxidative stress and many diseases since ancient times. Herein, 40 the chemical composition was investigated *via* chromatographic analysis of varying polarity 41 42 solvents (petroleum ether, ethyl acetate, methanol and distilled water) from Tunisian Eucalyptus brevifolia F.M and Eucalyptus stricklandii M. leaves. In vitro provision of 43 44 biological activities through their antioxidant power (ABTS⁺), ability to inhibit 15lipoxygenase (15-LOX), acetylcholinesterase (AChE), xanthine oxidase (XOD), as well as, 45 46 cytotoxic potency were undertaken. Chemical profiling showed that the methanolic extracts of both species were the richest in total phenolic compounds. GC-MS analysis of the two 47 48 *Eucalyptus* species revealed that spathulenol, globulol, epiglobulol, and trans-Z-α-bisaboleneepoxide were the main volatile compounds detected in the different extracts. In addition, the 49 50 derivatization procedure allowed the identification of ethylene glycol, and D-fructofuranose 51 as very abundant molecules. The HPLC-DAD has enabled the identification of eight new molecules among them icariin (1.1 mg/g dr), and 5-hydroxy-3'-methoxyflavone (7.5 mg/g dr) 52 in the E. stricklandii MeOH and EtOAc extracts. Aqueous E. brevifolia extract possessed the 53 highest anti-AChE with an IC₅₀ (10 µg/mL). Whereas, the MeOH E. stricklandii extract 54 possessed the highest anti 15-LOX with an IC₅₀ (9.7 μ g/mL), as well as, the most important 55 percentage of XOD inhibition 51.1%. All extracts revealed an antiproliferative potency 56 against human cancer cell lines HCT-116, MCF-7 and OVCAR, with an inhibition percentage 57 up to 96%. Taken together, both Eucalyptus species have a broad range of potential 58 applications in several fields, such pharmaceutical, medical and food industries as 59 nutraceutical supplements. 60

61

62 Keywords: *Eucalyptus*, HPLC, GC-MS derivatization, antioxidant, bioactivity, PCA.

- 63
- 64 65
- 66
- 67
- 68
- 69
- 70

72 List of "Abbreviations"

ABTS^{.+}: 2,2'-azino-bis(3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid; ACTHi: acetylthiocholine 73 74 iodide; AMDIS: automated mass spectral deconvolution and identification system; trifluoroacetamide; CYHA: 75 BSTFA:N,O-*bis*(trimethylsilyl) cyclohexane; DMEM: 76 Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium; DMSO: dimethyl sulfoxide; DNS: 3,5-dinitrosalicylic 77 acid; DTNB: dithio-bis-nitrobenzoate; EtOAc: ethyl acetate; GC-MS: gas chromatographymass spectrometry; HCT-116: human colon cancer; HPLC: high performance liquid 78 chromatography; MCF-7: human breast cancer; MeOH: methanol; MTT: 3-(4,5-dimethyl 79 thiazol-2-yl) 2,5-diphenyl tetrazolium bromide; Na₃PO₄: sodium phosphate; NDGA: 80 nordihydroguaiaretic acid; NIST: National Institute of Standards and Technology; OVCAR: 81 human ovarian cancer; PEth: petroleum ether; THF: tetrahydrofuran; TPC: total phenolics 82 83 content.

1. Introduction

86 Mainly an Australian genus, *Eucalyptus* is a monotypic one, which is considered as one of the world most important medicinal tree and widely planted genera (Elansary et al., 2017). The 87 essential oil obtained from the Eucalyptus tree is used as an antiseptic, a perfume, an 88 ingredient in cosmetics, a flavoring agent, and in aromatherapy (Sabo and Knezevic, 2019). 89 90 Currently, there are about 900 different Eucalyptus species over the world, of which about 300 containing volatile oils used as medications in traditional medicine (Sharifi-Rad et al., 91 2017). In order to overcome the deforestation in the arid areas, Tunisian authorities decided to 92 implant new species in the 1950s. This rehabilitation program, supervised by the Food and 93 Agriculture Organization, included mainly the plantation of *Eucalyptus* species (E. globulus, 94 E. erythrocorys, E. camaldulensis, etc.) (Elaieb et al., 2020). Thus, these species were very 95 96 well adapted to the Tunisian conditions, and now they tend to become invasive wood species, which need to be economically valued (Ossipov et al., 2020). In the last years, Eucalyptus 97 trees are increasingly used for their essential oils considered as natural sources of fragrance 98 compounds for cosmetics and cosmeceuticals (Sharmeen et al., 2021). Furthermore, 99 100 Eucalyptus trees are used for several fields. They represent the most important hard wood forestry crops worldwide and provide a major source of pulp-wood for high quality paper 101 production (Ben Hassine et al., 2013). In particular, the genus Eucalyptus is known to be a 102 rich source of bioactive compounds including, hydroxycinnamic acids, flavonoids, condensed 103 tannins, terpenoids, and phloroglucinol derivatives (Elansary et al., 2017). Eucalyptus 104 brevifolia, commonly known as snappy white gum, is a northern Australia endemic tree 105 (Alsharif et al., 2020). The specific epithet "brevifolia" is from the Latin words; brevis 106 meaning "short" and folium meaning "leaf", referring to the relatively short leaves (Von 107 Mueller, 1859). Eucalyptus stricklandii, a species of small tree, commonly known as 108 Strickland's gum, or also yellow flowered gum. It is endemic to Western Australia (Maiden, 109 1914). Nevertheless, with exception of the previous report on essential oils, there are no 110 111 scientific reports have yet been carried out on the phytochemical value, antioxidant and biological characterization of E. brevifolia and E. stricklandii leaves extracts. The desire to 112 113 return to natural ancient remedies has encouraged us to explore potential candidates from vast treasure of ethno medicinal knowledge. Consequently, the actual work was carried out to 114 115 investigate, for the first time, chemical composition (TPC, HPLC-DAD and GC-MS) and biological activities (antioxidant, anti-AChE, anti-15-LOX, anti-XOD and cytotoxicity) of 116

- two *Eucalyptus* species extracts; *E. brevifolia* F. Muell and *E. stricklandii* Maiden growing in
 Tunisia.
- 119 2. Material and Methods

120 **2.1.** Chemicals and reagents

All chemicals used were of analytical reagent grade. All reagents were purchased fromSigma-Aldrich-Fluka (Saint-Quentin, France).

123

124 2.2. Plant material

The leaves of *E. brevifolia* and *E. stricklandii* were picked from trees growing in the Hajeb Layoun arboretum, located in Kairouan (center of Tunisia). They were stored at a dry place for fifteen days. Specimens were identified at the Regional Station of the National Institute of Research in Farming Studies, Waters and Forests. A voucher specimen (reference 0109) was deposited at the Department of Biology. Dried leaves were subjected to preparation of the various extracts.

131

132 **2.3.** Extraction procedure

The sequential extraction with solvents of increasing polarity was used to obtain the different 133 extracts. Solvents were: petroleum ether (PEth), ethyl acetate (EtOAc), methanol (MeOH) and 134 distilled water. Ten grams (10 g) of harvested leaves were grounded using a blender type 135 Moulinex AR 1105(France). The obtained powder of 2 µm of diameter were placed in (100 136 mL) of PEth for 16 h under frequent agitation at ambient pressure and temperature. The 137 mixture was filtered using Wattman paper (GF/A, circle format of 110 mm of diameter and 138 139 1.6 µm pore size). The solvent was evaporated using a rotary evaporator (Rotavapor® - Fisher UK Extranet, R 210/215) under vacuum at 35°C. Then, the firstly extracted powder was 140 extracted with EtOAc under the same conditions as with PEth. The same procedure was 141 applied for the following solvents. Extracts were kept in amber vials and stored at 4°C for 142 further analysis. 143

144

145 **2.4.** Determination of total phenolics content (TPC)

The TPC of the extracts were estimated using spectrophotometric methods such as FolinCiocalteu procedure. Gallic acid was used as reference and results were expressed as mg of
gallic acid equivalent per g of extract dry residue (GAE mg/g dr).

150 **2.5.** Chromatographic analysis

151

152 **2.5.1. HPLC analysis**

The analysis of various Eucalyptus extracts was performed using analytical HPLC-DAD 153 (Rahmani et al., 2020). The separation was conducted at ambient temperature, using a 154 Phermerex RP-C18 column, 25 cm x 4.6 mm and particle size 5 µm, equipped with a 5 µm 155 C18 guard column. The mobile phases were as follow: (A) acidified water (acetic acid, pH =156 2.65) and (B) water/ACN (20:80, v/v, pH = 2.65). The gradient used was 0-35 min, 0.1-30% 157 B; 35-40 min, 30-50% B; 40-45 min, 50-99.9% B and 45-60 min, 99.9-0.1% B. Twenty µL 158 (20 µL) of the diluted samples were injected, and the detection was performed at 280 nm, 159 with a solvent flow rate 1.2 mL/min. Thereafter, the different samples were ultra-sonicated, 160 161 the samples were filtered through a Millex HA 0.25 µm filter before HPLC-DAD analysis. The phenolic compounds were identified by comparing the retention time of the unknown 162 163 molecules with those of the standards.

164

165 **2.5.2.** GC-MS analysis

A GC coupled to MS (GCT 1er Waters), piloted by the software MassLynx was used to 166 identify the volatile compounds. One microliter (1 µL) of the diluted sample was injected in 167 the split mode (split ratio 1:10). Inlet pressure was 1 kPa and the carrier gas was helium at an 168 on-column flow of 1 mL/min. The gas chromatograph was programmed as follows: 169 isothermal at 60°C for 5 min, temperature gradient 60-270°C at 15°C/min, isothermal at 170 270°C for 6 min, then a second gradient was applied to 300°C at 50°C/min and finally 171 172 isothermal at 300°C for 4.5 min. Total analysis time was 30 min. High-resolution mass spectrometer was adjusted for an emission current of 663 µA and electron multiplier voltage 173 174 70 eV. Trap temperature was 250°C and that of the transfer line was 300°C. Mass scanning was performed from 40 to 650 amu. The volatile compounds were identified by the 175 determination of their formula using MassLynx and by comparison with those cited in NIST 176 177 11 spectrum library.

178

179 **2.5.2.1.** Derivatization method

180 In order to identify the non-volatile compounds, the extracts were undergone a derivatization 181 step, using silvlation method. Briefly, 150 μ L Pierce BSTFA + 1% TMCS was added to extracts (1 mL, 5 mg/mL) in THF anhydrous, and mixed in a (2 mL) vial. Then, the mixture was aerated with bubbling using nitrogen and shacked for 30 seconds. The reaction mixture was maintained at 40°C for 15 min. One microliter (1 μ L) of each derivatized solution was finally injected into the GC-MS and analyzed as described in the previous section.

186

187 **2.6. Biological activities**

188

189 **2.6.1.** Antioxidant activity (ABTS⁺)

The antioxidant potential was evaluated by the radical cation ABTS (2,2'-azinobis-3-190 ethylbenzothiazoline6-sulphonate). 7 mM solution of ABTS at pH 7.4 (5 mM NaH₂PO₄, 5 191 192 mM Na₂HPO₄ and 154 mM NaCl) was mixed with 2.5 mM potassium persulfate (final concentration) and stored in the dark at room temperature for 16 h before use. A dilution with 193 194 persulfate buffer of the mixture gave an absorbance of 0.70 ± 0.02 units at 734 nm using a spectrophotometer (Helios, Unicam, Cambridge, UK). Then, 100 µL of each extract was 195 196 allowed to react with fresh ABTS solution (900 µL), and the absorbance was read 6 min after initial mixing. Ascorbic acid was used as a standard. The capacity of free radical scavenging 197 198 was expressed by IC_{50} (µg/mL) values which represents the concentration required to 199 scavenge 50% of ABTS radicals.

200

201 2.6.2. Anti-15-lipoxygenanse assay (15-LOX)

202 The anti-inflammatory activity of various organic Eucalyptus extracts was performed. All samples were tested at the concentration of 50 µg/mL in 96-well plates incubated at 25°C for 203 10 min. Each well contained a total volume of (250 µL) distributed as follows: 150 µL 204 phosphate buffer (pH 7.4), 20 µL sample, 60 µL of linoleic acid (3.5 mmol/L) and 20 µL 15-205 LOX (soybean 500 U). The absorbance was measured at 234 nm in a microplate reader 206 (Multiskan Go, Finland). The nordihydroguaiaretic acid (NDGA) was used as standard. The 207 activity was defined as the percentage of inhibition of the 15-LOX enzyme, using the 208 209 following formula: % inhibition =100 x (A blank - A sample)/ A blank.

Where A sample was the absorbance of sample with the test material, and A blank was theabsorbance of sample without the enzyme.

212

213 **2.6.3.** Anti-acetylcholinesterase assay (AChE)

The anti-acetylcholinesterase activity of different extracts was determined by the detection of the 5-thio-2-nitrobenzoic acid, yellow product, formed after the action of thiocholine on

DTNB. A volume (25 μ L) of each diluted extract (0.5 μ g/ μ L), 50 μ L of phosphate buffer (pH 216 8), 125 µL of DTNB and 25 µL of the AChE were added in 96-well plates. After 15 min pre-217 incubation at 25°C, 25 µL of acetylcholine iodide was added and the absorbance was 218 219 measured at 412 nm after 10 min incubation, using a microplate reader. Similarly, the control 220 was prepared using the same procedure replacing extracts with 5% dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO). Positive control was prepared using the same procedure replacing Eucalyptus 221 extracts by galanthamine. The percentage of inhibition of each sample was calculated using 222 the following formula: % inhibition = 100 x (A blank - A sample)/ A blank. 223

- Where A sample was the absorbance of sample with the test material, and A blank was the absorbance of sample without the enzyme.
- 226

227 2.6.4. Anti-xanthine oxidase (XOD) assay

Briefly, 60 μ L of sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.5), 30 μ L of xanthine oxidase (0.1 μ mol/L), and 50 μ L of extract (0.2 mg/mL) were placed in 96- well plates. After 15 min pre-incubation at 25°C, 60 μ L of xanthine was added and the plate was incubated for 10 min. The control was prepared using the same procedure replacing the extract with 5% DMSO solution. Then, the absorbance was measured at 295 nm, using a microplate reader. Allopurinol, a potent antixanthine oxidase was used as a positive control. The percentage of inhibition of each sample was calculated using the following formula:

- 235 % inhibition =100 x (A blank A sample)/ A blank
- 236 Where A sample was the absorbance of the sample with the test material, and A blank was the
- absorbance of the sample without the enzyme.
- 238

239 **2.6.5.** Cytotoxic assay

Extracts were tested for their cytotoxic activity against the following cells lines: human colon 240 cancer (HCT-116), human breast cancer (MCF-7) and human ovarian cancer (OVCAR). 241 MCF-7 cells line was maintained in DMEM growth medium and the two other cells lines 242 were maintained in RPMI growth medium. Cytotoxic effect was determined using a modified 243 MTT (3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyl-tetrazolium bromide) method. In 96-well 244 plates, 100 µL of culture medium containing 10⁴ cells/well were placed. After 24 h incubation 245 at 37°C, 100 µL of culture medium containing eucalyptus organic extracts were added. The 246 final sample concentration was 50 µg/mL per well. Then, the mixture was incubated at 37°C 247 for 48 h in an humidified atmosphere, with 5% CO₂. The mixture was removed and replaced 248 with 50 µL of 1 mg/mL MTT, after that incubated for 40 min at 37°C. The MTT solution was 249

then replaced with 50 μ L of DMSO to dissolve the MTT formazan precipitate and the absorbance was read at 605 nm, using a microplate reader. The cytotoxic effect of different extracts was estimated in terms of growth inhibition percentage. Tamoxifen (0.2 mg/L) was used as reference.

- 254
- 255

2.7. Statistical Analysis

All measurements were carried out in quadruplicate. Two-way ANOVA was used for the significance calculation using SPSS 20.1. Statistical differences between the solvents used in the study were estimated using Tukey's test. The determination of the relationship between TPC and biological activities was assessed by the coefficient of determination (R²). Principal component analysis (PCA) was done using XLSTAT (version 2014.5.03) for visualization of discrimination between the different parameters.

263 **3. Results and Discussion**

264

265 **3.1. Extraction Yield and total polyphenols content**

266 According to the literature, no study has been previously reported on the effect of solvents on the extraction yield and TPC of E. stricklandii and E. brevifolia leaves extracts. Both species 267 leaves powder were extracted using four organic solvents of increasing polarity (PEth, 268 EtOAc, MeOH and distilled water). The various extracts presented a remarkable difference in 269 terms of extraction yield. The highest extraction yields were observed for the MeOH and 270 271 aqueous extracts, for both species, with a superiority for the E. brevifolia (23 and 15%, respectively) (Fig. 1). The polar extraction yield (MeOH) was about five-fold and two-fold 272 273 greater than the medium polar extracts yields (PEth and EtOAc), for E. stricklandii and E. 274 brevifolia, respectively. The variability in extraction yield might be explained by the 275 differences and the biochemical composition of the samples and the polarity of used solvents 276 (Ben Lataief et al., 2020).

Regarding the TPC, statistically, there was a significant difference between various solvents 277 used (p \leq 0.05). However, there was no significant difference between the two species (p > 278 0.05). While PEth and EtOAc extracts, for both species, exhibited a low TPC, which does not 279 exceed 100 mg GAE/g dr, the MeOH extracts showed a high level of phenolic compounds. 280 The E. brevifolia and E. stricklandii showed a TPC of 482 and 305 mg GAE/g dr, respectively 281 (Fig. 2). In addition, the aqueous extracts, showed a TPC of 59.8 and 216.4 mg GAE/g dr, 282 with superiority in favor of *E. stricklandii*. Quantitatively, TPC for the two *Eucalyptus* leaves 283 polar extracts (MeOH and aqueous) was greater than that reported in ethanolic extracts of E. 284 globulus Labill. and Corymbia ficifolia leaves (Dezsi et al., 2015). The authors found a TPC, 285 286 which not exceed the amount of 25 mg GAE/g dr. Furthermore, actual results were in the range with previous work of Nile and Keum (2018) who illustrated that the TPC in E. 287 288 globulus Labill. was solvents dependent. They found that the aploar extract (CYHA) exhibited a low content (100 mg GAE/g dr), while the polar extract (MeOH) reached the 289 290 amount of 240 mg GAE/g dr.

- **3.2.** Chromatographic analysis
- 293
- **3.2.1. HPLC analysis**

The phytochemical screening was established using HPLC-DAD. For each extract 295 chromatogram, the retention time of each peak was sequentially compared with those of 296 standards with known retention time. Overall, eight phenolic compounds were identified and 297 quantified, by means of their relative retention (Table 1). These compounds were divided into 298 299 three classes as follows: (i) phenolic acids (gallic acid, 7-hydroxycoumarin-3-carboxylic acid and 2.4-dihydroxy-3.6-dimethylbenzoic acid), (ii) flavonoids (3'.5'-dihydroxyflavone, icariin, 300 3.6.3'-trimethoxyflavone and 5-hydroxy-3'-methoxyflavone) and (iii) stilbenes (pinosylvin 301 302 monomethyl ether) (Table 1).

Actual results were consistent with those of Piug et al. (2018), reporting that the phenolic profile of *E. globulus* leaves extracts revealed the presence of the same phenolic classes. In addition, the contents of the identified compounds were ranged from 0.1 to 2.5 mg/g dr for *E. brevifolia* and from 0.3 to 7.5 mg/g dr for *E. stricklandii*. When examining the chromatograms of both species (Fig. 3; Fig. 4), it was observable that more than one compound, with low intensity (0 to 75 mV), were detected in the PEth extracts (between 40 and 60 min).

HPLC-DAD analysis of EtOAc extracts showed that E. brevifolia and E. stricklandii 310 311 chromatograms were different, in terms of profile and intensity. While the first extract showed 312 an intensity between 0 and 100 mV, the second one showed a maximum intensity of 600 mV. Despite the low peaks intensity, the E. brevifolia EtOAc extract exhibited the largest number 313 of peaks, compared to the *E. stricklandii* one and the other extracts. Overall, three compounds 314 315 were identified, in *E. brevifolia* EtOAc extract, and four compounds were identified in the *E*. stricklandii one. Gallic acid and 3.6.3'-trimethoxyflavone were the common compounds 316 317 between the two species. The E. brevifolia EtOAc extract showed the concentrations of 0.1 and 0.2 mg/g dr, respectively, whereas E. stricklandii one showed the concentrations of 0.2 318 and 0.6 mg/g dr. The other uncommon compounds were pinosylvin monomethyl ether (0.3 319 mg/g dr) in the E. brevifolia EtOAc extract and 7-hydroxycoumarin-3-carboxylic acid (0.7 320 mg/g dr) and 5-hydroxy-3'-methoxyflavone (7.5 mg/g dr) in the *E. stricklandii* one (Fig. 3; 321 322 Fig. 4). The last mentioned compound displayed the highest concentration among all the identified compounds in the different extracts of the two species. In addition, the highest 323 intensities (mV) were observed in the polar extracts (MeOH and aqueous), with an intensity, 324 which riched the 2500 mV. The total of six phenolic compounds were identified in the E. 325 brevifolia and E. stricklandii MeOH extracts, distributed as follows; four compounds in the E. 326 brevifolia (gallic acid: 0.1 mg/g dr; 7-hydroxycoumarin-3-carboxylic acid: 2.5 mg/g dr; 327 pinosylvin monomethyl ether: 0.2 mg/g dr and 3.6.3'-trimethoxyflavone: 0.1 mg/g dr). While, 328

only two compounds were identified in the *E. stricklandii* extract, which were icariin and 2.4dihydroxy-3.6-dimethylbenzoic acid with the contents of 1.1 and 0.4 mg/g dr, respectively (Table 1; Fig. 3; Fig. 4). Concerning the aqueous extracts, phenolic compounds were identified only the *E. brevifolia* species. The two compounds, were gallic acid (0.4 mg/g dr) and 3'.5'-dihydroxyflavone (0.3 mg/g dr). By comparison with the literature, all the identified phenolic compounds were found for the first time in *E. brevifolia* and *E. stricklandii* leaves extracts.

- 336
- 337

3.2.2. GC-MS analysis

The GC-MS analysis of *E. brevifolia* and *E. stricklandii* different organic extracts made it possible to identify eleven and fifteen volatile compounds, respectively, before and after derivatization (Table 2).

341 The volatile profile from the different extracts showed the presence of three organic compound classes: terpenes, phenols and others. More than the 2/3 of the volatile compounds 342 was found in the PEth extract of E. brevifolia, whereas, no compounds were found in the 343 same extract of *E. stricklandii*, as well as, in the aqueous extracts, of both species (Table 2). 344 The rest of the compounds were distributed as follows: three compounds in the EtOAc 345 extracts and three in the MeOH ones. Since the majority of compounds were a sesquiterpene, 346 several studies mentioned their presence in the essential oil of Eucalyptus genus 347 (Benabdesslem et al., 2020). The most abundant compounds, such as, spathulenol and 348 eucalyptol, detected in the PEth extract of *E. brevifolia* were known by their antiproliferative 349 350 activity (Ivanov et al., 2021). In order to identify more volatile compounds in the different extracts, a derivatization reaction was used to generate silvlated products with 351 chromatographic properties and volatility. This step led to the identification of 15 compounds 352 in the different leaves extracts of both Eucalyptus species (Table 2). None of these 353 354 compounds was identified before now in the Eucalyptus genus. The volatile profile of the different species extracts showed the presence of 5 classes of organic compounds: alcohols, 355 356 sugars, acids, ketones and fatty alcohols. The compounds were distributed as follows: twelve and six compounds in the E. brevifolia and E. stricklandii extracts. The majority of the 357 358 identified compounds in the E. brevifolia species were found in the MeOH extract (twelve), whereas the EtOAc one was the extract, which occupied the majority of molecules for the E. 359 360 *stricklandii* species (four). Only three compounds (ethylene glycol, α-D-xylose and glycerol)

were in common between the two species extracts. While ethylene glycol was very abundantin the EtOAc extracts, glycerol was weakly abundant in the MeOH one (Table 2).

363

3.3. Biological activities

This is the first study that investigated, at the same time, the antioxidant, anti-15-LOX, anti-AChE, anti-XOD, and cytotoxic activities of *E. strciklandii* and *E. brevifolia* leaves extracts.

- 366
- 367

3.3.1. Antioxidant activity

Figure 5 summarizes the antioxidant activity of the different *Eucalyptus* leaves extracts. This activity was expressed in terms of inhibitory concentration (IC₅₀) in μ g/mL.

It should be noted that the PEth extracts of E. brevifolia leaves have not been analyzed. 370 Regardless the eucalyptus species, MeOH extracts exhibited the best antiradical scavenging 371 activity. Aqueous extracts gained the second place, followed by EtOAc extracts, then PEth, 372 with respective IC₅₀ values (μ g/mL) (3.0, 6.3, 23.3, and 97.5%) for *E. stricklandii*, and (5.4, 373 7.2, 46.4 and 99.2%) for and E. brevifolia. Vitamin C was used as standard and showed an 374 IC_{50} value equal to 2 μ g/mL (Fig. 5). Remarkably, the antioxidant power was improved with 375 the polarity of the extracts. Indeed, the aqueous and MeOH extracts (polar extracts) were 376 more antioxidant (active), than the PEth and EtOAc extracts (less polar). This variability of 377 antioxidant activity depending on the solvents polarity could be explained by the ability of the 378 379 solvent itself to dissolve the bioactive groups found in a mixture of compounds contained in a natural raw material (Mendes et al., 2016). Statistically, the antioxidant activity of the 380 different extracts, for the two species, depends on the solvents polarity. Thus, there was a 381 significant difference ($p \le 0.05$) between the PEth and EtOAc extracts of the two species, 382 383 compared to the other ones (PEth and EtOAc), in terms of antioxidant inhibition (Fig. 5). In this context, it was noted that antioxidant activity of E. blakelyi leaves MeOH extract has a 384 higher value than that obtained with the aqueous extract, which is in accordance with the 385 current study (Boukhalfoun et al., 2020). Concerning aqueous extract, it was cited that E. 386 robusta extract presented an ABTS⁺ value of 832.8 mg BHT/g which is lower than the IC₅₀ 387 found in our study (Vuong et al., 2015). Interestingly, since the low IC_{50} value, traduces the 388 power of antioxidant activity generated by the extract, there was a high negative correlation 389 between the TPC and their respective antioxidant activity (ABTS) ($R^2 = -0.64$). These 390 391 findings might be attributed to the richness of the extracts in phenolic acids, flavonoids, 392 tannins and the synergy between them.

394

3.3.2. Anti-AChE activity

From the results illustrated in Table 3, it was inferred that all *E. stricklandii* and *E. brevifolia*leaves extracts exert an inhibitory AChE activity.

397 The inhibition percentage varies from 41.2 to 97.1%. Among them, MeOH one was the most efficient. The following classification was provided by the increasing potency of AChE 398 inhibitory activity for E. brevifolia: Aqueous > MeOH > PEth > EtOAc. Contrariwise, the 399 potency of E. stricklandii extracts against AChE was as follow: MeOH > PEth > EtOAc > 400 401 Aqueous. Results depicted in Table 3 showed that E. brevifolia polar extracts (MeOH and aqueous) exhibited the highest IC₅₀ value equal to 10 and 13 µg/mL, respectively. Mat-Ur-402 403 Rasool et al. (2020) scrutinized the ability of E. globulus MeOH extract to inhibit AChE with an IC₅₀ value equal to 1.1 mg/mL, while others demonstrated that *Eucalyptus galbie* MeOH 404 405 extract was exempt from cholinesterase activity (Gholam-Hoseinian et al., 2009). Some 406 reports, suggested a higher correlation between TPC and AChE inhibition activity (Amessis-Ouchemoukh et al., 2014). Whereas, in this study there was a significant modest correlation 407 $(R^2 = 0.48)$ between TPC and anti-AChE activity for both *Eucalyptus* species (Table 5). 408 Therefore, this anti-AChE activity may be related to polyphenolic compounds, which were 409 stipulated as neuroprotective agents (González-Burgos et al., 2018). 410

- 411
- 412

3.3.3. Anti-15-LOX activity

413 From table 3, it can be deduced that only the polar extracts (MeOH and aqueous), for both species, showed an inhibitory activity against 15-LOX. Statistically, there was a significant 414 difference ($p \le 0.05$) between the mentioned previous solvents. However, PEth and EtOAc 415 416 extracts does not show any inhibitory activity for both *Eucalyptus* species. The highest IC₅₀ values were obtained with the MeOH. As for *E. brevifolia*, the IC₅₀ value was 21.3 µg/mL, 417 418 while for *E. stricklandii* was about 9.7 µg/mL (Table 3). The current results were higher than the inhibition activity highlighted by the aqueous extract of *E. citriodora* Kino species, which 419 420 showed an IC₅₀ equal to 103.56 μ g/mL. It was reported that the anti-15-LOX activity was rather caused by the EtOAc extract of E. citriodora Kino (Hung et al., 2018). Elgorashi and 421 422 McGaw (2019) indicated that the polar extracts (EtOH 50% and MeOH) of *E. camaladulensis* Dehnh. were studied for their *in-vivo* pharmacological activities. Their findings elucidated 423 424 that E. camaladulensis Dehnh. extract can be considered non-lethal up to a dose of 2000 425 mg/kg, and these *Eucalyptus* leaf extract could be safe for use. The question is, what is behind this anti-inflammatory power? The high correlation found between TPC and anti-15-LOX activity ($R^2 = 0.74$), suggested the presence of other powerful phenolic compounds able to inhibit 15-LOX enzyme. Due to their richness in polyphenols, *Eucalyptus* trees are known to have a good anti-15-LOX activity by chelating the iron of the active site of the lipoxygenase and/or by reducing the ferric form of the enzyme to an inactive ferrous form (Hartung et al., 2019).

- 432
- 433

3.3.4. Anti-XOD activity

434 None of previous researches on E. stricklandii and E. brevifolia has investigated its inhibitory capacity towards the XOD, an enzyme implicated in gout disease, catalyzes the oxidation of 435 436 hypoxanthine and xanthine to uric acid (Sung et al., 2021). Statistically, there was a significant difference ($p \le 0.05$) between MeOH extract, compared to the other extracts (PEth, 437 438 EtOAc and aqueous extracts). The percentage of inhibition depicted in Table 3, ranged from 6.9 to 51.1% (Table 3). It was demonstrated that all tested extracts exert inhibition potency 439 440 toward XOD, except E. brevifolia PEth and aqueous extracts, in addition to E. stricklandii EtOAc extract. For both species, MeOH extracts gained the first place with an inhibition 441 442 percentage equal to 51.1 and 21.9%, respectively, for E. stricklandii and E. brevifolia (Table 3). It was reported that *E. deglupta* in Puerto Rico showed a high inhibition percentage of 443 XOD 51%, with an IC₅₀ equal to 44.5 µg/mL (Mehta and Nayeem, 2014), since there was a 444 high correlation between TPC and XOD ($R^2 = 0.66$). This considerable XOD activity might 445 446 be due to the presence of the phenolic compounds. It was also elucidated that E. gunii Hook. F. MeOH extract exhibited higher antioxidant activity using XOD test compared to the 447 essential oil (Mimica-Dukić et al., 2012). 448

- 449
- 450

3.3.5. Cytotoxic activity

From Table 4, it was depicted that all cancer cell lines were highly inhibited by PEth followedby EtOAc, aqueous then MeOH extracts.

The highest percentage of inhibition (96.2%), was assigned to *E. stricklandii* PEth extract against HCT-116 followed by the same solvent used for *E. brevifolia*, with an inhibition percentage equal to 92.5%, which is too close to the tamoxifen standard (99.5%) (Table 4). HCT-116 was also strongly sensitive to EtOAc of both *Eucalyptus* species with an inhibition percentage equal to 89.2 and 75.5%, respectively, for *E. stricklandii* and *E. brevifolia*. Among the eight tested extracts, only the *E. stricklandii* MeOH was the less powerful against HCT-116 (2.3%). The cytotoxicity of the different extracts depends on the solvents polarity.

Statistically, there was a significant difference ($p \le 0.05$) between the four solvents. 460 Regarding MCF-7 and OVCAR cell lines, they were, also, affected by PEth and EtOAc 461 extracts of both Eucalyptus species, with an inhibition percentage comprised between 41.2 462 463 and 65.1% (Table 4). The previous study showed that flavonoids displayed an anticancer effect against the OVCAR cells (Tavsan and Kayali, 2019). Moreover, it was cited that the 464 phenolic compounds identified in E. globulus bark EtOH extracts were responsible for the 465 antiproliferative potency of the latter plant material against breast cancer cell line MDAMB-466 231 (Bhuyan, 2018). These precedent findings are proved by the current work, where there 467 468 was a high correlation between the different cell lines (MCF-7, HCT-116, and OVCAR), and TPC, with R^2 of 0.87, 0.73, and 0.77, respectively. 469

470

471

3.4. PCA analysis

472 To better understand the relationship between TPC and the different phenolic compounds with the biological activities of the two *Eucalyptus* species (*E. brevifolia* and *E. stricklandii*), 473 474 PCA analysis was done. The structuring of accessions showed 62.52% of the total variation (Fig. 6). Axes were retained because they expressed 43.76% (PC1) and 18.77% (PC2). 475 476 Simultaneously, the loadings in the PCA loading plot express showed well that the principal components correlate with the original variables, and also the correlations between the 477 different activities and TPC or the different phenolic compounds. As shown in Fig. 6, PC1 478 axis has a strong positive correlation with 15-LOX, XOD, and TPC for a loading of 0.96, 0.87 479 480 and 0.84, respectively. This being less pronounced for the compounds, 2.4-dihydroxy-3.6dimethylbenzoic acid and icariin (r = 0.75), and for the AChE activity (r = 0.60). Besides, 481 PC1 axis showed, also, a good correlation, in the negative side, with more than activity. PC1 482 was well negatively correlated with the level of cytotoxic activities (OVCAR, HCT-116 and 483 MCF-7 cell lines) with r = -0.94, r = -0.89 and r = -0.82, respectively (Table 5). However, it 484 was less pronounced for the variable, antioxidant activity (r = -0.73). Through this 485 distribution on PC1, several explanations can be deduced. On the one hand, TPC was closer to 486 487 the 15-LOX, XOD and AChE activities, and in a symmetrical position to the antioxidant activity, expressed in IC_{50} , which suggest that the inhibition of this activity was greatly 488 enhanced by these compounds. Furthermore, icariin and 2,4-dihydroxy-3.6-dimethylbenzoic 489 acid were, probably, the most efficient molecules against XOD enzyme. On the other hand, 490 MCF-7, HCT-116, and OVCAR, were placed in a symmetrical position compared to the TPC, 491 which explain that these last, were not the responsible molecules for the mentioned activities. 492 493 For the PC2, there was a good positive correlation with the two phenolic compounds gallic

- 494 acid, 3,6,3'-trimethoxyflavone, and 7-hydroxycoumarin-3-carboxylic acid with loading of 495 0.82, 0.59 and 0.58, respectively. The two other axis (F3 and F4) showed a high positive 496 correlation with 3',5'-dihydroxyflavone (r = 0.91) and 5-hydroxy-3'-methoxyflavone (r =497 0.71) (Table 5). Oval forms shown on Figure 7 grouped the different organic extracts in four 498 classes: C1 (*E. stricklandii* EtOAc), C2 (*E. brevifolia* MeOH and *E. brevifolia* aqueous), C3
- 499 (E. stricklandii PEth, E. brevifolia PEth, and E. brevifolia EtOAc), while C4 contains (E.
- 500 stricklandii- aqueous, E. stricklandii MeOH).
- 501 If the two plots (biplot) (Fig. 8) were gathering, many suggestions could be made. It was seen
- 502 that the high TPC level was related to the *E. brevifolia* MeOH extract, which exhibited the
- highest anti-AChE activity. In addition, the richness of *E. stricklandii* MeOH extract with
 icariin and 2,4-dihydroxy-3.6-dimethylbenzoic acid compounds, could explain its high XOD
- 505 activity.
- Not only PCA showed the relationship between chemical composition and biological activities. It was demonstrated that gallic acid plays a role as a strong antioxidant and free radical scavenging activities, anti-inflammatory power, protects against degenerative disease (Gao et al., 2019). This interesting chemical compound was present in EtOAc extract of both eucalyptus species, as well as MeOH and aqueous *E. brevifolia* extracts. 7-Hydroxycoumarin-3-carboxylic acid, found in *E. brevifolia* MeOH extract (IC₅₀ = 13 μ g/mL) was demonstrated to be as cholinesterase inhibitors (Alipour et al., 2014).
- It was reported, that icariin, which was present in the MeOH E. stricklandii extract, is a 513 molecule endowed with biological effects to counter many pathophysiological processes of 514 Alzheimer disease, and possess an impact on oxidative stress and inflammatory cascade 515 (Angeloni et al., 2019). 2,6-Dihydroxyacetophenone also known as 2-acetylresorcinol 516 observed after derivatization in PEth and EtOAc E. stricklandii extracts was reported to be 517 effective against severe diseases such as cancer, osteoporosis, and gastric ulcers (Durairaj, 518 519 2005). This is in agreement with our findings since the inhibitory percentage against HCT116, MCF7 and OVCAR ranges from 96 to 41%. 520
- 521

522 **4.** Conclusion

523 Interestingly, based on the findings discussed for the first time in this report, *E. brevifolia* and 524 *E. stricklandii* various extracts could be used as a readily available source of natural bioactive 525 molecules. They have displayed an antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, anti-Alzheimer, anti-526 xanthine oxidase, and antiproliferative properties. It was demonstrated that each *Eucalyptus* 527 extract species was selective for one or different bioactivities, as summarized in the principal

component analysis biplot. Nevertheless, it was shown that the polarity of solvent extracts has 528 been involved in the wide range of the biological activities of both E. brevifolia and E. 529 stricklandii. Moreover, the chemical composition analysis, using HPLC-DAD allowed the 530 531 identification of eight phenolic compounds belonging to the phenolic acids, flavonoids and 532 stilbenes chemical classes. GC-MS analysis provided eleven volatile molecules before derivatization and fifteen ones after derivatization. The abundancy of these molecules was 533 solvent-extract dependent. We suggested that the Eucalyptus extracts mode of action was 534 related to the combination of the existing mixture of biomolecules, and not only to a single 535 536 one as, icariin or gallic acid, etc. Therefore, further studies are required to establish the suitability of the investigated Eucalyptus extracts, connected to health benefits, for 537 538 incorporation either as a dietary supplement or as medicine.

539

540 Acknowledgments

We are very grateful to Pr. Mohamed Larbi Khouja Professor at the National Research Institute for Rural Engineering Water and Forests, Ariana, Tunisia for providing us with plant material; to Dr. Yahyaoui Marwa from Laboratory Materials molecules and application, Tunisia and Miss Sylvie Cazaux from Paul Sabatier University of Toulouse France, for their help.

546

547 **Funding information**

This work was supported by the Tunisian Ministry of Higher Education and Scientific Research as well as to the University of Carthage for the work-study grant addressed to Dr. Dorsaf Ben Hassine. The High Level Scientific Stays Grants (recognised as SSHN) delivered to Dr. Ben Hassine by the French Cooperation Institute of the French Embassy in Tunisia (Campus France) (grant folder number 866242H) allowed the realization of this work.

553

554 Author Contribution Statement

555 D. B. H conceived, performed the experiments, analyzed the data, and wrote the article. R. R. 556 performed the HPLC section, statistical analysis and contributed to the redaction of the 557 manuscript. J. P. S, M. A and J. B contributed samples/reagents/materials/analysis tools, 558 conceived experiments and validated results to be ready for publication.

559

560 **Conflict of interest**

561 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.



Figure 1: Extraction yield of various *E. brevifolia* and *E. stricklandii* organic extracts. Capital
letter means the difference between species; Lowercase letter means difference between
solvents; (PEth: petroleum ether; EtOAc: ethyl acetate; MeOH: methanol; Aqueous: distilled
water).



Figure 2: Total phenolics content (TPC) of differents leaves extracts of *E. brevifolia* and *E. stricklandii*. Capital letter means the difference between species; Lowercase letter means
difference between solvents; (PEth: petroleum ether; EtOAc: ethyl acetate; MeOH: methanol;
Aqueous: distilled water).



Figure 3: Antioxidant activity (anti-ABTS^{.+}) of the two *Eucalyptus* species (*E. brevifolia* and *E. stricklandii*). Capital letter means the difference between species; Lowercase letter means
difference between solvents; (PEth: petroleum ether; EtOAc: ethyl acetate; MeOH: methanol;
Aqueous: distilled water).



Figure 4: HPLC chromatograms of *E. brevifolia* leaves extracts. (PEth: petroleum ether;
EtOAc: ethyl acetate; MeOH: methanol; Aqueous: distilled water). Peaks: (1) gallic acid; (2)
7-hydroxycoumarin-3-carboxylic acid; (3) 3'.5'-dihydroxyflavone; (6) pinosylvin monomethyl
ether (7) 3.6.3'-trimethoxyflavone.



Figure 5: HPLC chromatograms of *E. stricklandii* leaves extracts. (PEth: petroleum ether;
EtOAc: ethyl acetate; MeOH: methanol; Aqueous: distilled water). Peaks: (1) gallic acid; (2)
7-hydroxycoumarin-3-carboxylic acid; (4) 2.4-dihydroxy-3.6-dimethylbenzoic acid; (5)
icariin; (8) 5-hydroxy-3'-methoxyflavone.



599 Figure 6: Principal components analysis "loading plot" of chemical composition (TPC: total phenolics content) and biological properties; ABTS: antioxidant activity; XOD: anti-xanthine 600 oxidase activity; 15-LOX: anti-15-lipoxygenase activity; AChE: anti-acetylcholinesterase 601 602 activity; MCF-7, HCT-116 and OVCAR: cytotoxic activity) of E. brevifolia and E. stricklandii leaves extracts.C1: gallic acid; C2: 7-hydroxycoumarin-3-carboxylic acid; C3: 603 3'.5'-dihydroxyflavone; C4: 2.4-dihydroxy-3.6-dimethylbenzoic acid; C5: icariin; C6: 604 monomethyl ether; C7: 3.6.3'-trimethoxyflavone; 605 pinosylvin **C8:** 5-hydroxy-3'-606 methoxyflavone.



Figure 7: Principal components analyses "score plot" of different *Eucalyptus* species extracts
(PEth: petroleum ether; EtOAc: ethyl acetate; MeOH: methanol; Aqueous: distilled water).



Figure 8: Principal components analysis biplot of biological activities of different *Eucalyptus*species extracts. C1: gallic acid; C2:7-hydroxycoumarin-3-carboxylic acid; C3: 3'.5'dihydroxyflavone; C4: 2.4-dihydroxy-3.6-dimethylbenzoic acid; C5: icariin; C6: pinosylvin
monomethyl ether; C7: 3.6.3'-trimethoxyflavone; C8: 5-hydroxy-3'-methoxyflavone.

Table 1: Identified and quantified phenolic compounds in *E. brevifolia* and *E. stricklandii*

619 extracts using HPLC-DAD.

| | Concentration (mg/g dr) | | | | | | | | | | |
|----|--|-------------|--------|-------------------------------|------|---------|------|-------|------|---------|--------------------------|
| N° | Compounds and chemical structure | | E. bre | E. brevifolia E. stricklandii | | | | | | _ | |
| | | Rt (min) | PEth | EtOAc | МеОН | Aqueous | PEth | EtOAc | MeOH | Aqueous | References |
| 1 | HO OH OH Callic acid | 3.48 | - | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.4 | - | 0.3 | - | - | (Singh et al., 2017) |
| 2 | 7-hydroxycoumarin-3- carboxylic acid | 19.83 | - | - | 2.5 | - | - | 0.7 | - | - | (Wahdan et al., 2019) |
| 3 | 2' 5' dihydroyyflayong | 27.73 | _ | - | - | 0.3 | - | - | - | - | (Mnif and Aifa, 2015) |
| 4 | $\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} & & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ \end{array} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & $ | 35.21 | - | _ | - | - | - | - | 0.4 | - | (Filho et al., 2014) |
| 5 | $H_{0} + H_{0} + H_{0$ | 41.99 « | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1.1 | - | (Yang et al., 2020) |
| 6 | H ₃ CO U OH pinosylvin monomethyl | 47.05 | - | 0.3 | 0.2 | - | - | - | - | - | (Vek et al., 2020) |

28

ether



Table 2: Volatile compounds identified in *E. brevifolia* and *E. stricklandii* extracts before and after 623 derivatization.

| | | | E. | brevifol | ia | | | | E. strick | landii |
|----|---|-------------|------|----------|------|---------|------|-------|-----------|---------|
| N° | Compounds and chemical structure | Rt (min) | PEth | EtOAc | MeOH | Aqueous | PEth | EtOAc | MeOH | Aqueous |
| | Before deravitazion | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | H ₃ H ₃ C Eucalyptol | 8.31 | ++ | - | ++ | - | - | - | + | - |
| 2 | H ₃ C CH ₃ CH ₃ OH Isoborneol | 10.32 | + | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 3 | Trans-sesquisabinene hydrate | 13.28 | ÷ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 4 | $H_{2}C \xrightarrow{HO CH_{3}} CH_{3}$ H ₂ C $H_{2}C$ | 14.29 | +++ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 5 | HO H ₃ C Globulol | 14.34 | +++ | - | - | - | - | ++ | - | - |
| 6 | H ₃ C H ₃ C CH ₃ H H ₃ C CH ₃ H H ₁ C CH ₃ | 14.43 | +++ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Epiglobulol



| 14 46 | | | | | | | | |
|-------|---|-----|-----|---|---|---|---|---|
| 11.10 | - | +++ | +++ | - | - | - | - | - |

Trans-Z-α-bisabolene-

epoxide

14.66 ++ - - - - - - -

1H-indene.1-ethylidene octahydro-7a-methy-cis

н 2-methylene- 5α -cholestan-

3β-ol

15.09

10

7

8

9

CH O)

15.49

15.84

+

Isoaromadendren-epoxide

ĊН



11

Cyclohexene.4isopropenyl.1-methoxy-

methoxymethyl



| но он он Сімаата | 11.30 | - | - | + | - | - | - | + | - |
|---|-------|---|---|-----|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | | | | |
| ОН | 13.45 | - | - | - | - | - | - | + | - |
| <i>meso-</i> Erytnrioi он | | | | | | | | | |
| о он он | 14.11 | - | - | ++ | - | - | - | - | - |
| Malic acid | | | | | | | | | |
| он он 2,3,4-Trihydroxybutyric | 15.35 | - | - | + | - | - | - | - | - |
| acid | | | | | | | | | |
| HO H ₃ C CH_3 CH_3 CH_3 | 15.58 | - | - | + | - | - | - | - | - |
| (hydroxymethyl)ethyl 2- | | | | | | | | | |
| HO HO HO HO HO HO HO HO HO HO HO HO HO H | 15.64 | - | - | + | - | - | + | - | - |
| HU U α-L-Xvlose | | | | | | | | | |
| | 15.78 | - | - | ++ | - | - | _ | - | - |
| α-D-tagatofuranose | | | | | | | | | |
| HO OH HO OH | 15.84 | - | - | +++ | - | - | - | - | - |

D-fructofuranose



625 -: not detected; +++: very abundant; +: weakly abundant

| Species | | Anti-AC | hE | Anti-15- | Anti-XOD | |
|-----------------|--------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|
| | Solvents | IP (%) at 50 | IC ₅₀ | IP (%) at 50 | IC ₅₀ | IP (%) at 50 |
| | | μg/mL | (µg/mL) | µg/mL | (µg/mL) | μg/mL |
| | PEth | 57.5±1.6 | - | na | - | Na |
| E. brevifolia | EtOAc | 56.3±3.1 | - | na | - | 11.0±3.6 |
| | МеОН | 96.8±0.0 | 13.0±1.7 | 75.6±0.1 | 21.3±1.5 | 21.9±7.4 |
| | Aqueous | 97.1±0.0 | 10.0±0.0 | 45.2±0.1 | 59.3±1.1 | na |
| | PEth | 61.5±3.3 | - | na | - | 7.9±1.0 |
| E. stricklandii | EtOAc | 58.1±2.3 | - | na | - | na |
| | MeOH | 84.1±0.0 | 48.7±0.6 | 100.9±8.5 | 9.7±0.6 | 51.1±2.3 |
| | Aqueous | 41.2±0.1 | - | 10.4±0.0 | - | 6.9±0.0 |
| | Galanthamine | - | 1.0±0.6 | - | - | - |
| Standards | NDGA | - | - | - | 2.2±0.1 | - |
| | Allopurinol | - | - | - | - | 60.0±1.3 |

Table 3: Biological activities of *E. brevifolia* and *E. stricklandii* extracts.

- 631 Table 4: Cytotoxic activities of *E. brevifolia* and *E. stricklandii* organic extracts against human cancer cell lines
- 632 (HCT-116. MCF-7 and OVCAR) expressed as percentage inhibition (at 50 µg/mL) means.

| Species | Solvents | HCT-116 (%) | MCF-7 (%) | OVCAR (%) |
|-----------------|----------|-------------|-----------|-----------|
| | PEth | 92.5±0.6 | 65.1±1.7 | 63.5±6.2 |
| E. brevifolia | EtOAc | 75.5±5.5 | 48.4±0.9 | 62.6±15.9 |
| | МеОН | 17.8±0.3 | 15.6±2.7 | 35.4±3.4 |
| | Aqueous | 26.1±1.5 | 39.2±3.9 | 49.2±6.5 |
| | PEth | 96.2±0.4 | 59.1±1.3 | 56.9±3.8 |
| | EtOAc | 89.2±0.6 | 41.2±2.6 | 47.0±1.6 |
| E. stricklandii | МеОН | 18.0±0.2 | 24.7±3.1 | 16.22±1.3 |
| | Aqueous | 13.7±4.2 | 20.1±3.9 | 39.3±6.9 |
| Tamoxifen | | 99.5±0.0 | 47.2±4.3 | 60.5±4.3 |

PEth: petroleum ether; **EtOAc**: ethyl acetate; **MeOH**: methanol; **Aqueous**: distilled water.

Tamoxifen (a standard) was tested at 0.2 mg/L.

| | F1 | F2 | F3 | F4 |
|----------------------|--------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|------------------|
| ТРС | 0.84 | 0.21 | -0.38 | -0.29 |
| AChE | 0.60 | 0.37 | 0.42 | -0.20 |
| XOD | 0.87 | -0.36 | -0.18 | 0.10 |
| 15-LOX | 0.96 | -0.01 | 0.14 | 0.01 |
| MCF-7 | -0.82 | -0.37 | 0.18 | 0.09 |
| HCT-116 | -0.89 | -0.12 | -0.21 | 0.15 |
| OVCAR | -0.94 | -0.00 | 0.08 | -0.27 |
| ABTS.+ | -0.73 | -0.54 | -0.05 | -0.13 |
| C1 | -0.13 | 0.82 | 0.45 | 0.26 |
| C2 | 0.35 | 0.58 | -0.46 | -0.40 |
| C3 | 0.01 | 0.41 | 0.91 | -0.03 |
| C4 | 0.75 | -0.45 | 0.04 | 0.43 |
| C5 | 0.75 | -0.45 | 0.04 | 0.43 |
| C6 | 0.03 | 0.21 | -0.40 | -0.58 |
| C7 | -0.28 | 0.59 | -0.50 | 0.53 |
| C8 | -0.27 | 0.53 | -0.36 | 0.71 |
| C1: gallic acid; C2: | 7-hydroxycoumarin-3-carboxylic | acid; C3: | 3'.5'-dihydroxy | flavone; C4: 2.4 |

C1: gallic acid; C2:7-hydroxycoumarin-3-carboxylic acid; C3: 3'.5'-dihydroxyflavone; C4: 2.4dihydroxy-3.6-dimethylbenzoic acid; C5: icariin; C6: pinosylvin monomethyl ether; C7: 3.6.3'trimethoxyflavone; C8: 5-hydroxy-3'-methoxyflavone.

640

641 **References**

- Alipour, M., Khoobi, M., Moradi, A., Nadri, H., Moghadam, F. H., Emami, S.,
 Hasanpour, Z., Foroumadi, A., Shafiee, A., 2014. Synthesis and anti-cholinesterase
 activity of new 7-hydroxycoumarin derivatives. European Journal of Medicinal
 Chemistry 82, 536-544.
- Alsharif, W., Saad, M. M., Hirt, H., 2020. Desert microbes for boosting sustainable
 agriculture in extreme environments. Frontiers in Microbiology 11, 1666.
- Amat-Ur-Rasool, H., Symes, F., Tooth, D., Schaffert, L. N., Elmorsy, E., Ahmed, M.,
 Hasnain, S., Carter, W. G., 2020. Potential nutraceutical properties of leaves from
 several commonly cultivated plants. Biomolecules 10, 1-22.
- Amessis-Ouchemoukh, N., Madani, K., Falé, P. L. V., Serralheiro, M. L., Eduarda,
 M., Araújo, M., 2014. Antioxidant capacity and phenolic contents of some
 Mediterranean medicinal plants and their potential role in the inhibition of
 cyclooxygenase-1 and acetylcholinesterase activities. Industrial Crops and Products
 53, 6-15.
- Angeloni, C., Barbalace M. C., Hrelia, S., 2019. Icariin and its metabolites as potential
 protective phytochemicals against Alzheimer's disease. Frontiers in Pharmacology 10,
 271.
- Ben Hassine, D., Abderrabba, M., Cazaux, S., Bouajila, J., 2013. Contribution to the chemical and biological study of *Eucalyptus* leaves extracts: Effect on frying oil. Journal of Medical and Bioengineering 2, 242-247.
- Ben Lataief, S., Zourgui, M. N., Rahmani, R., Najjaa, H., Gharsallah, N., Zourgui, L.,
 2020. Chemical composition, antioxidant, antimicrobial and cytotoxic activities of
 bioactive compounds extracted from *Opuntia dillenii* cladodes. Journal of Food
 Measurement and Characterization 15, 782-794.
- Benabdesslem, Y., Hachem, K., Mébarki, M., 2020. Chemical composition of the essential oil from the leaves *of Eucalyptus globulus* Labill. growing in southwest
 Algeria. Journal of Essential Oil Bearing Plants 23, 1154-1160.
- Bhuyan, D. J., 2018. Phytochemicals derived from Australian *Eucalypts* as anticancer
 agents for pancreatic malignancies. Thesis 356 pp. University of Newcastle, Australia.
- Boukhalfoun, L., Kirouani, A., Behidj, N., Gana, S., 2020. Assessment of some biological activities of *Eucalyptus blakelyi* Maiden using the essential oil, methanolic and aqueous extracts. Journal of Essential Oil Bearing Plants 23, 266-275.

- Dezsi, Ş., Bădărău, A. S., Bischin, C., Vodnar, D. C., Silaghi-Dumitrescu, R., Gheldiu,
 A. M., Mocan, A., Vlase, L., 2015. Antimicrobial and antioxidant activities and
 phenolic profile of *Eucalyptus globulus* Labill. and *Corymbia ficifolia* (F. Muell.)
 K.D. Hill & L.A.S. Johnson leaves. Molecules 20, 4720-4734.
- Durairaj, R. B., 2005. Resorcinol chemistry in pharmaceuticals applications. In:
 Resorcinol. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg. https://doi.org/10.1007/3-540-28090-1_9
- Elaieb, M. T., Ayed, B. S., Dumarçay, S., De-Faria, B. F. H., Thévenon, M. F.,
 Gérardin, P., Candelier, K., 2020. Natural durability of four Tunisian *Eucalyptus* spp.
 and their respective compositions in extractives. Holzforschung 74, 260-274.
- Elansary, H. O., Salem, M. Z. M., Ashmawy, N. A., Yessoufou, K., El-Settawy, A. A.
 A., 2017. *In vitro* antibacterial, antifungal and antioxidant activities of *Eucalyptus* spp.
 leaf extracts related to phenolic composition. Natural Product Research 31, 2927 2930.
- Elgorashi, E. E., McGaw, L. J., 2019. African plants with *in vitro* anti-inflammatory activities: A review. South African Journal of Botany 126, 142-169.
- Erdenetsogt, U., Nadmid, S., Paulus, C., Chanagsuren, G., Dolgor, E., Gotov, C.,
 Dahse, H.M., Luzhetskyy, A., Dagvadorj, E., 2020. Bioactive flavonoids from plant
 extract of *Pyrethrum pulchrum* and its acute toxicity. Natural Product Research.
 DOI: 10.1080/14786419.2020.1806271
- Filho, M. B., Caputo, D. C. S., Marin, P. J., Chang, S., 2014. The mechanism of auriculotherapy: A case report based on the fractal structure of meridian system.
 African Journal of Traditional, Complementary and Alternative Medicines 11, 30-37.
- Gao, J., Hu, J., Hu, D., Yang, X., 2019. A role of gallic acid in oxidative damage
 diseases: A comprehensive review. Natural Product Communications 1-9.
- Gholam-Hoseinian, A., Moradi, N. M., Sharifi-Far, F., 2009. Screening the methanol extracts of some Iranian plants for acetylcholinesterase inhibitory activity.
 Research in Pharmaceutical Sciences 4, 105-112.
- González-Burgos, E., Liaudanskas, M., Viskelis, J., Zvikas, V., Janulis, V., Gomez Serranillos, M. P., 2018. Antioxidant activity, neuroprotective properties and bioactive
 constituents' analysis of varying polarity extracts from *Eucalyptus globulus* leaves.
 Journal of Food and Drug Analysis 26, 1293-1302.
- Hartung, N. M., Fischer, J., Ostermann, A. I., Willenberg, I., Rund, K. M., Schebb, N.
 H., Garscha, U., 2019. Impact of food polyphenols on oxylipin biosynthesis in human

- neutrophils. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta Molecular and Cell Biology of Lipids
 1864, 1536-1544.
- Hung, W. J., Chen, Z. T., Lee, S. W., 2018. Antioxidant and lipoxygenase inhibitory activity of the kino of *Eucalyptus citriodora*. Indian Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences 80, 955-959.
- Ivanov, M., Kannan, A., Stojkovic, D. S., Glamoclija, J., Calhelha, R. C., Ferreira, I.
 C. F. R., Sanglard, D., Sokovic, M., 2021. Camphor and eucalyptol-anticandidal spectrum, antivirulence effect, efflux pumps interference and cytotoxicity.
 International Journal of Molecular Sciences 22, 1-14.
- Maiden, J. H., 1914. Critical revision of the genus *Eucalyptus*.
 http://adc.library.usyd.edu.au/view?docId=ozlit/xml-main-texts/p00109v2.xml
- Mehta, S. K., Nayeem, N., 2014. Natural xanthine oxidase inhibitors for management
 of gout: A Review. Journal of Medical and Health Sciences 3, 4-13.
- Mendes, M., Carvalho, A. P., Magallanes, J. M. C. S., Moreira, M., Guido, L., Gomes,
 A. M., Delerue-Matos, C., 2016. Response surface evaluation of microwave-assisted
 extraction conditions for *Lycium barbarum* bioactive compounds. Innovative Food
 Science and Emerging Technologies 33, 319-326.
- Mimica-Dukić, N., Jovin, E., Grbović, S., Knežević-Vukčević, J., Mitić-Ćulafić, D.,
 Orčić, D., 2012. *Eucalyptus gunii* Hook. F. New source of valuble bioactive
 compounds. Planta Medica 78, PI206.
- Mnif, S., Aifa, S., 2015. Cumin (*Cuminum cyminum* L.) from traditional uses to
 potential biomedical applications. Chemistry and Biodiversity 12, 733-742.
- Nile, S. H., Keum, Y. S., 2018. Chemical composition, antioxidant, anti-inflammatory
 and antitumor activities of *Eucalyptus globulus* labill. Indian Journal of Experimental
 Biology 56, 734-742.
- Ossipov, V., Koivuniemi, A., Mizina, P., Salminen, J. P., 2020. UPLC-PDA-Q
 extractive orbitrap-MS profiling of the lipophilic compounds product isolated from
 Eucalyptus viminalis plants. Heliyon 6, e05768.
- Puig, C. G., Reigosa, M. J., Valentão, P., Andrade, P. B., Pedrol, N., 2018.
 Unravelling the bioherbicide potential of *Eucalyptus globulus* Labill: Biochemistry and effects of its aqueous extract. PLOS One 13, 1-16.

- Rahmani, R., Jouaidi, M., Bouajila, J., Debouba, M., 2020. African mustard (*Brassica tournefortii*) as source of nutrients and nutraceuticals properties. Journal of Food Science 85, 1856-1871.
- Sabo, A.V., Knezevic, P., 2019. Antimicrobial activity of *Eucalyptus camaldulensis* Dehn. plant extracts and essential oils: A review. Industrial Crops and Products 132,
 413-429.
- Sharifi-Rad, J., Sureda, A., Tenore, G.C., Daglia, M., Sharifi-Rad, M., Valussi, M.,
 Tundis, R., Sharifi-Rad, M., Loizzo, M. R., Ademiluyi, A. O., Sharifi-Rad, R.,
 Ayatollahi, S. A., Iriti, M., 2017. Biological activities of essential oils: From plant
 chemoecology to traditional healing systems. Molecules 22,70.
- Sharmeen, J. B., Mahomoodally, F. M., Zengin, G., Maggi, F., 2021. Essential oils as natural sources of fragrance compounds for cosmetics and cosmeceuticals. Molecules 26, 666.
- Singh, A., Gupta, R., Pandey, R., 2017. Exogenous application of rutin and gallic acid
 regulate antioxidants and alleviate reactive oxygen generation in *Oryza sativa* L.
 Physiology and Molecular Biology of Plants 23, 301-309.
- Sung, Y. Y., Yuk, H. J., Kim, D. S., 2021. Saengmaeksan, a traditional herbal formulation consisting of *Panax ginseng*, ameliorates hyperuricemia by inhibiting xanthine oxidase activity and enhancing urate excretion in rats. Journal of Ginseng Research 565-574.
- Tavsan, Z., Kayali, H. A., 2019. Flavonoids showed anticancer effects on the ovarian cancer cells: Involvement of reactive oxygen species, apoptosis, cell cycle and invasion. Biomedicine and Pharmacotherapy 116, 109004.
- Vek, V., Poljanšek, I., Humar, M., Willför, S., Oven, P., 2020. *In vitro* inhibition of
 extractives from knot wood of Scots pine (*Pinus sylvestris*) and black pine (*Pinus nigra*) on growth of *Schizophyllum commune*, *Trametes versicolor*, *Gloeophyllum trabeum* and *Fibroporia vaillantii*. Wood Science and Technology 54, 1645-1662.
- Voirin, B., 1983. UV spectral differentiation of 5-hydroxy- and 5-hydroxy-3 methoxyflavones with mono-(4';), di-(3',4') or tri-(3',4',5')-substituted B rings.
 Phytochemistry 22, 2107-2145.
- Von Mueller, F., 1859. Monograph of the Eucalypti of tropical Australia. Journal of
 the Proceedings of the Linnean Society. Botany 3, 84.

- Vuong, Q. V., Hiruna, S., Chuena, T. L. K., Goldsmitha, C. D., Munro, B., Bowyera,
 M. C., Chalmers, A. C., Sakoff, J. A., Phillips, P. A., Scarlett, C. J., 2015.
 Physicochemical, antioxidant and anticancer activity of a *Eucalyptus robusta* (Sm.)
 leaf aqueous extract. Industrial Crops and Products 64, 167-174.
- Wahdan, O. A., Aly-Badr, S. E. R., Abdelfattah, M. S., 2019. Phytochemical analysis,
 antibacterial and anticancer activities of the *Physalis peruviana* calyces growing in
 Egypt. Food and Nutrition Journal 4, 197-203.
- Yang, X., Chen, J., Huang, W., Zhang, Y., Yan, X., Zhou, Z., Wang, Y., 2020.
 Synthesis of icariin in tobacco leaf by over expression of a glucosyl transferase gene from *Epimedium sagittatum*. Industrial Crops and Products 156, 112841.