

Building pyramids against the evolutionary emergence of pathogens

Sylvain Gandon, Martin Guillemet, François Gatchitch, Antoine Nicot, Ariane Renaud, Denise Tremblay, Sylvain Moineau

► To cite this version:

Sylvain Gandon, Martin Guillemet, François Gatchitch, Antoine Nicot, Ariane Renaud, et al.. Building pyramids against the evolutionary emergence of pathogens. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 2024, 291 (2018), 10.1098/rspb.2023.1529. hal-04753210

HAL Id: hal-04753210 https://hal.science/hal-04753210v1

Submitted on 25 Oct 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - NoDerivatives 4.0 International License

1	Building pyramids against the evolutionary emergence of pathogens
2	Sylvain Gandon* ¹ , Martin Guillemet ¹ , François Gatchitch ¹ , Antoine Nicot ¹ ,
3	Ariane C. Renaud ^{2,3} , Denise M. Tremblay ³ , and Sylvain Moineau ^{2,3}
4	
5	1: CEFE, Univ Montpellier, CNRS, EPHE, IRD, Montpellier, France
6	2: Département de biochimie, de microbiologie et de bio-informatique, Faculté des sciences
7	et de génie, Université Laval, Québec, Canada, G1V 0A6.
8	3: Félix d'Hérelle Reference Center for Bacterial Viruses, Université Laval, Québec City,
9	G1V 0A6, Canada
10	* Correspondence: sylvain.gandon@cefe.cnrs.fr

11 Abstract

12 Mutations allowing pathogens to escape host immunity promote the spread of 13 infectious diseases in heterogeneous host populations and can lead to major 14 epidemics. Understanding the conditions that slow down this evolution is key for the 15 development of durable control strategies against pathogens. Here we use theory and 16 experiments to compare the efficacy of three strategies for the deployment of 17 resistance: (i) a mixing strategy where the host population contains two single-resistant 18 genotypes, (ii) a pyramiding strategy where the host carries a double-resistant 19 genotype, (iii) a combining strategy where host population is a mix of a single-resistant 20 genotype and a double-resistant genotype. First, we use evolutionary epidemiology 21 theory to clarify the interplay between demographic stochasticity and evolutionary 22 dynamics to show that the pyramiding strategy always yields lower probability of 23 evolutionary emergence. Second, we test experimentally these predictions with the 24 introduction of bacteriophages into bacterial populations where we manipulated the 25 diversity and the depth of immunity using a CRISPR-Cas system. These biological 26 assays confirm that pyramiding multiple defenses into the same host genotype and 27 avoiding combination with single-defense genotypes is a robust way to reduce 28 pathogen evolutionary emergence. The experimental validation of these theoretical 29 recommendations has practical implications for the optimal deployment of resistance 30 varieties in agriculture and for the design of durable vaccination strategies.

Keywords: evolutionary emergence, epidemiology, demographic stochasticity, host
 resistance, CRISPR, bacteriophage, virus, infectious disease control.

34 Introduction

35 The spread of pathogen epidemics is driven by the composition of host populations and, in 36 particular, by the fraction f_R of resistant hosts. Larger values of f_R generate "herd immunity" in 37 well-mixed populations because a randomly chosen susceptible host is expected to be 38 surrounded by many resistant neighbors. Since resistant hosts cannot be successfully 39 infected, their presence shields susceptible individuals from the risk of being infected and 40 reduce the spread of a given pathogen. In fact, the epidemic is expected to stop growing when $f_R > 1 - 1/R_0$, where R_0 refers to the basic reproduction ratio of the pathogen. This 41 42 theoretical framework provides key guidelines for the deployment of control measures like 43 vaccination [1,2] or the deployment of resistant varieties of crops in agriculture [3,4].

44 The efficacy of these control strategies, however, is challenged by the potential 45 acquisition of escape mutations allowing the pathogen to infect a resistant host. Whether those 46 variants will appear, establish, and spread depend on multiple evolutionary forces, including 47 the composition of the host population. For instance, a larger fraction f_R of resistant hosts is 48 expected to reduce the growth rate of the wild-type pathogen and, consequently, to limit the 49 influx of escape mutations. But a larger fraction f_R of resistant hosts is also expected to 50 increase the fitness benefit associated with an escape mutation. This will increase the 51 probability of establishment of a given mutation (i.e. lower risk of stochastic extinction when 52 rare) and it will also increase the speed at which this variant will spread. The balance between 53 these two opposite effects may thus result in a higher risk of pathogen adaptation for 54 intermediate frequency of resistance. Hence, a better understanding of the influence of the 55 host population composition on pathogen adaptation may help identify more durable control 56 strategies.

57 Many theoretical studies have explored complex ecological scenarios to evaluate the 58 impact of various strategies for the deployment of host resistance genotypes across space and 59 time in agriculture [3,5–14]. In particular, several studies contrasted the efficacy of mixing 60 multiple single host resistant genotypes with the efficacy of *pyramiding* multiple resistant genes 61 within a single genotype. Earlier models did not incorporate demographic feedbacks or any 62 influence of demographic stochasticity and focused on the long-term deterministic evolutionary 63 outcomes. Under these conditions the *mixing* strategy can outperform the *pyramiding* strategy 64 because the former strategy can prevent the spread of pathogens carrying multiple escape 65 mutations [5,6]. More recent studies challenged this guidance and relied on simulation models 66 that highlight the importance of epidemiology, demographic stochasticity, and spatial structure 67 on both the epidemiology and the evolution of the pathogen [9–11,14,15]. Taken together, the 68 available theoretical literature may appear confusing because distinct studies make different 69 recommendations on the optimal strategy for the deployment of resistance against a pathogen

[16]. This confusion stems from the different assumptions of the models (e.g. with or without demography, with or without stochasticity) but also on the different optimality criteria used to identify the most effective pathogen control strategies (e.g. no evolution of multi-escape mutations, minimal disease incidence) [8,17,18]. Besides, experimental studies needed to evaluate the durability of control strategies against pathogens are notoriously difficult to carry out, particularly in agriculture [8,13,19]. This lack of experimental validation does not help to elucidate the pros and cons of distinct deployment of resistance strategies.

77 Here we develop a joint theoretical and experimental approach to analyse the durability 78 of different strategies for the deployment of host resistance. We focus on a very specific 79 quantity to evaluate the efficacy of a control strategy: the probability of pathogen emergence 80 with (or without) adaptation. This quantity provides a relevant measure of control efficacy 81 because it accounts for both short-term (epidemiological time scale) and long-term 82 (evolutionary time scale) dynamical processes [20]. Experimental measurements of 83 evolutionary emergence, however, are challenging because the stochastic nature of pathogen 84 extinction requires a large number of replicate populations to measure the probability of 85 emergence. These experiments require also the ability to manipulate the composition of the 86 host population and to track the evolution of the pathogen population. These hurdles can be 87 overcome by studying the evolutionary emergence of virulent bacteriophages in bacterial 88 populations that use the adaptive CRISPR immunity to prevent phage infections.

89 CRISPR-Cas adaptive immune systems are widespread among many bacteria and 90 archaea. A CRISPR immunity phenotype is genetically encoded by a so-called CRISPR locus 91 (Clustered Regularly Interspaced Short Palindromic Repeats)-an array of short repetitive and 92 unique nucleotide sequences ("repeats" and "spacers", respectively). "Spacers" are derived 93 from (foreign) genetic elements, such as viral genomes, and provide immunity to re-infection 94 based on recognition (via a short CRISPR RNA) and cleavage (by a Cas nuclease) of the 95 cognate sequence (known as "protospacer"). Crucially, a virus can adapt to CRISPR-Cas 96 immunity if it acquires escape mutations in the protospacer targeted by the host [21-23].

97 This natural microbial system offers a unique opportunity to study the dynamics of viral 98 adaptation in heterogeneous host populations: (i) many replicates can be carried out 99 simultaneously using bacteria and phages in 96-well plates [20]; (ii) CRISPR immunity 100 provides a very convenient way to manipulate both the *diversity* of host immunity (different 101 bacteria derived from the same population can carry different "spacers" in their CRISPR array 102 [20,24]) and the *depth* of host immunity (multiple "spacers" can be stacked within the CRISPR 103 array of the same multiresistant bacterium [25]); (iii) the most common mechanism of phage 104 adaptation to CRISPR-based immunity is well documented: virulent phages escape CRISPR 105 resistance through mutation in their target sequence (the "protospacer") [21-23,26].

106 In the next sections we present the theoretical framework used to compute the 107 probability of evolutionary emergence of pathogens after being introduced in a heterogeneous 108 host population. We use this model to understand the effect of multiple factors on the fate of 109 the pathogen: (i) the number of viruses introduced, (ii) the proportion of resistant hosts, (iii) the 110 diversity and the depth of immunity of resistant hosts. This allows us to contrast the influence 111 of different strategies of resistance deployment on the probability of pathogen evolutionary 112 emergence. In a second step, we manipulated the heterogeneity of bacterial CRISPR immunity 113 to experimentally test the validity of our theoretical predictions on the evolutionary emergence 114 of phage populations.

115

116 Materials and Methods

117 **Theory**

118 Pathogen emergence is defined as the ability to escape early extinction and thus to initiate an 119 epidemic after the introduction of a small quantity of pathogens in the host population. This 120 probability of emergence depends both on the host (e.g. proportion and diversity of resistant 121 hosts) and the pathogen (e.g. inoculum size, viral mutation rate, genetic composition) [20,27-122 29]. For a pathogen to emerge, we assume that the host population contains a fraction 123 $(1 - f_R)$ of individuals fully susceptible to the pathogen while the remaining fraction f_R of the 124 population is resistant. Resistance is assumed to be perfect (a virus cannot infect a resistant 125 host without a matching escape mutation) but we previously showed in [20] how it is possible 126 to expand this analysis to imperfect immunity. Among the resistant hosts, we consider three 127 alternative scenarios (Figure 1):

- 128 (i) a *mixing* scenario, in which the resistant fraction of the population is a mix of two single-129 resistance genotypes (A+B) aiming at distinct pathogen *target sites* (a target site is defined 130 here as a region of the pathogen genome recognized by immune effectors and where a 131 mutation or a deletion may allow escape recognition by host immunity). We allow the 132 frequency of the two resistant hosts to vary and f_A refers to the frequency of the resistant host A among the resistant hosts ($f_B = 1 - f_A$ is the frequency of the resistant host B 133 134 among the resistant hosts); 135 (ii) a *pyramiding* scenario, in which the resistant fraction of the population is monomorphic with
- a double-resistance genotype (AB);
- 137 (iii) a *combining* scenario, in which the resistant fraction of the population results from a mix of
- single-resistance genotypes (say A) and a double-resistance genotype (AB). We allow the
- 139 frequency of the two resistant hosts to vary and f_A refers to the frequency of resistant host
- 140 A among the resistant hosts ($f_{AB} = 1 f_A$ is the frequency of the resistant host AB among

141 the resistant hosts). Note that the *pyramiding* scenario is a limit case of the *combining* 142 scenario when $f_A = 0$.

143 Even if host resistance is assumed to be perfect the pathogen can evade recognition by 144 acquiring escape mutations in the corresponding immunity target sites. Therefore, a pathogen 145 with escape mutation *i* can infect a fraction $(1 - f_R) + f_R P_i$ of the total host population, where $P_i = \sum_{h \in \{A,B,AB\}} f_h P_i^h$ is the fraction of the resistant hosts that can be infected by the pathogen 146 with genotype *i* and P_i^h measures the ability of the pathogen genotype *i* to infect of the host 147 148 genotype $h \in \{\emptyset, A, B, AB\}$. In the *pyramiding* scenario, pathogens with less than 2 escape mutations can only infect a fraction $(1 - f_R)$ of the total host population and only pathogens 149 150 with 2 escape mutations can infect all the hosts. In the *mixing* and in the *combining* scenario, 151 the fitness of a single escape mutant depends on the identity of the single-resistance genotype 152 in the host population and the composition of the resistant population (see supplementary 153 information).

154 We further assume a classic birth-death process to model the epidemiological 155 dynamics where a host infected with a pathogen that does not carry escape mutations spreads 156 this pathogen in a fully susceptible host population at rate b and dies at rate d. Host resistance 157 prevents infection and may thus affect the effective birth rate, but without affecting d. Whereas 158 escape mutations may allow the pathogen to infect a larger fraction of the host population, they 159 also carry a fitness cost c which causes pathogens with i escape mutations to reproduce at rate $b_i = b(1-c)^i$. The probability of acquiring an escape genotype $i \in \{A, B, AB\}$ by 160 161 mutation is noted μ_i and may vary among target sites. Note that different genetic changes in 162 the protospacer could allow the virus to escape recognition by the CRISPR-Cas immunity [30]. 163 This increases the mutation rate towards an escape phenotype, but we do not need to 164 distinguish these different mutations to model the dynamics of the virus. Crucially, the 165 acquisition of 2 escape mutations requires two independent changes of the phage genome, 166 which is expected to occur at a much smaller rate than the rate of single escape mutations: 167 $\mu_{AB} \approx \mu_A \mu_B \ll \mu_A, \mu_B$. For the sake of simplicity, we assume that escape mutations are fixed and cannot revert to the ancestral types. These reversions to the wild-type target are expected 168 169 to have a negligible effect on the probability of evolutionary emergence when the target site 170 mutation rate remains small [20,31].

We detailed in the **supplementary information** how we compute the probability of emergence (with or without viral evolution) after the introduction of an inoculum of *V* phage particles in a heterogeneous bacterial host population. We also derive approximations for the probability of evolutionary emergence inspired from models of evolutionary rescue. Those approximations help to contrast the effects of the composition of the host population on the risk of evolutionary emergence.

177

178 **Experiments**

179 We used the Gram-positive bacterial strain Streptococcus thermophilus DGCC 7710 which is 180 susceptible to the virulent phage 2972. We also used three CRISPR-resistant clones (also 181 referred as bacteriophage-insensitive mutants: BIMs) that were derived from S. thermophilus 182 DGCC 7710 and differ only in their CRISPR arrays (Tables S1 and S2). Two of these clones 183 carried a single additional spacer (strains A and B) targeting the genome of phage 2972, while 184 the remaining clone carried a combination of these two spacers (strain AB) precisely obtained 185 using the approach developed by Hynes et al. [25]. The addition of a single spacer in the 186 CRISPR1 array of S. thermophilus DGCC 7710 provides a robust resistance against infection 187 by the wild-type virulent phage 2972 [21–23] (Table S2). The rate at which phage 2972 188 acquires a mutation allowing to escape CRISPR immunity was found to be approximately equal 189 to 2.8*10⁻⁷ mutations/locus/replication [32]. The acquisition of a single escape mutation may 190 or may not yield significant fitness costs for the phage [22,32].

191 We monitored the dynamics of the phage population after introducing an inoculum of V192 viruses in each well of a 96-well plate containing 200 μL of replicate bacterial populations with 193 a proportion $f_R = 90\%$ of resistant cells and $1 - f_R = 10\%$ of susceptible cells. This virus 194 inoculum was sampled from a lysate obtained after amplifying a single plaque of the wild-type 195 phage 2972 on S. thermophilus DGCC 7710 (the initial frequency of single and double escape 196 mutants was estimated in **Table S3**: $3.7*10^{-6}$ and $3.7*10^{-6}$ against single resistance A and 197 B, respectively, but we did not detect double escape mutants). We manipulated the 198 composition of the resistant bacterial population to produce three experimental treatments to 199 test the predictions of the theoretical model (Figure 1): (i) *mixing* (strains A and B in equal 200 frequency), (ii) *pyramiding* (only strain AB), (iii) *combining* strains A and AB in equal frequency (combining A) or *combining* strains B and AB in equal frequency (combining B). After an 201 202 overnight incubation (22 h) we quantified the abundance and the evolution of the phages after 203 spotting a fraction of each replicate $(2 \mu L)$ on a lawn of the different bacterial strains to 204 measure: (i) the presence/absence of phages using a lawn of susceptible cells (ii) the 205 presence/absence of escape mutations in the phage population using lawns of single-206 resistance bacteria (A or B) and a lawn of double-resistance bacteria (AB) [20,32].

We used logistic regression models with the presence/absence on susceptible bacteria (or on resistant bacteria) as the response variable as a function of the inoculum size and the composition of the host population (see **supplementary information**).

211 **Results**

212 Emergence and evolutionary emergence

We derive the probability of pathogen emergence after the introduction of an inoculum of *V* pathogens. This inoculum is sampled from a population where some phage genotypes may already carry escape mutations: p_i refers to the frequency of genotype $i \in \{\emptyset, A, B, AB\}$. In the following we focus mainly on scenarios where the frequencies of preexisting escape mutations remain low (i.e. $p_{\emptyset} \approx 1$). **Figure 2** shows the effect of the inoculum size and the frequency of resistance on pathogen emergence under different deployment strategies.

In the absence of pathogen mutations ($p_{\phi} = 1$ and $\mu = 0$) the probability of pathogen emergence is equal to $P_E = 1 - (f_R + 1/R_0)^V$ when $f_R + 1/R_0 > 1$, where $R_0 = b/d$ is the basic reproduction ratio of the pathogen [20]. As indicated with a dashed line in **Figure 2**, this probability of pathogen emergence drops rapidly with the increase in the proportion of resistant hosts and pathogen emergence becomes impossible when $f_R > 1 - 1/R_0$. Note that this threshold is independent of the deployment strategy because they all share the same value of f_R .

226 However, the pathogen population may avoid extinction through the acquisition of 227 escape mutations. The term evolutionary emergence refers to these situations where 228 emergence is consecutive to pathogen evolution [28,29]. In Figure 2 we compare the 229 probabilities of evolutionary emergence in a symmetric scenario where $f_A = 1/2$ for increasing 230 values of f_R (Figure 2A) and V (Figure 2B). Crucially, the probability that the pathogen adapts 231 to host resistance depends on the deployment of host resistance strategies and the pyramiding 232 treatment always yields lower probability P_{EE} of evolutionary emergence. Indeed, in both the 233 mixing and the combining treatments, the presence of a single-resistance genotype provides 234 a "stepping stone", allowing the virus to recover the ability to grow in the host population after 235 the acquisition of a single escape mutation. Besides, the acquisition of this first escape 236 mutation may allow the pathogen to acquire later on the ability to escape both types of 237 resistance. The lower probability to acquire both escape mutations at the same time explains 238 the step-like shape of the probability of emergence in Figure 2 (see also Figure S1). As 239 expected, preexisting mutations always increase the probability of pathogen emergence and 240 allow the pathogen population to escape extinction even in the extreme case where $f_R = 1$ 241 and no fully susceptible hosts are present in the host population (Figure 2A).

We can generalize these results for asymmetric scenarios where $f_A \neq 1/2$. Interestingly, variations of f_A have different effects in the mixing and combining treatments (**Figure 3**). In the *mixing* treatment, the probability of emergence is minimized when f_A is close to $\frac{1}{2}$ and thus when the amount of diversity is maximized in line with the effect of diversity discussed in Chabas et al [20]. In the *combining* treatment, the risk of emergence is minimized when $f_A = 0$ because this is the case where all the resistant hosts carry two resistances (i.e. *pyramiding* treatment).

The influence of host composition on the probability of evolutionary emergence can be captured within the framework of evolutionary rescue models. This framework is relevant as soon as $f_R > 1 - 1/R_0$ because the wild-type virus is doomed to go extinct when the proportion f_R of resistant hosts leads to a negative growth rate of the wild-type virus population. We derive approximations for the probability of evolutionary emergence under the assumption that the viral mutation rate is small (**supplementary information**). In the symmetric scenario (i.e. $f_A = 1/2$) this yields:

Mixing:
$$P_{EE}^{M} \approx 2V\mu \left(1 - \frac{d}{b(1-c)(1-f_R/2)}\right) + O(\mu^2)$$

Combining: $P_{EE}^{C} \approx V\mu \left(1 - \frac{d}{b(1-c)(1-f_R/2)}\right) + O(\mu^2)$ (1)
Pyramiding: $P_{EE}^{P} \approx O(\mu^2)$

This approximation captures both the effect of a larger inoculum size and the effect of treatment on P_{EE} illustrated in **Figure 2**. Note that larger inoculum sizes are also expected to increase P_{EE} via the introduction of pre-existing mutants, not modelled in (1). The above approximation is particularly useful to discuss the effect of the composition of the host population. In particular, in the *mixing* strategy the P_{EE} is expected to be twice larger than in the *combining* strategy in the symmetric scenario. And both these strategies are expected to have higher P_{EE} than the *pyramiding* strategy because μ_{AB} is assumed to be much smaller than μ_A and μ_B .

263

264 **Experiments**

Increasing the size *V* of the virus inoculum increased the ability to observe the presence of phages on fully susceptible bacterial populations (Type II Anova: LR Chi-square =3744.2, df=1, $P<2.2*10^{-16}$) and reached its maximal value when $V > 10^3$ (**Figure S2**). We found an effect of host treatment on the probability to detect phages on fully susceptible bacteria which is difficult to interpret because it interacts with the inoculum size (**supplementary information**). Importantly, note that this treatment effect is not due to pathogen evolution since pathogen evolution is not detectable when $V < 10^3$.

272 It is tempting to equate our measure of the presence of phages on susceptible bacteria 273 with the probability of emergence P_E . Yet, as soon as the wild-type phages start to replicate, the proportion of susceptible bacteria is expected to drop and f_R is expected to be ≈ 1 after the overnight culture. So, the presence/absence of phage on susceptible bacteria may actually result from the detection of some of the phages that have been inoculated but did not adsorb to a host cell yet. In the following, we prefer to focus on the analysis of the presence/absence of phage able to replicate on different types of resistant hosts (i.e. host A, B or AB) because it provides an unambiguous measure of the probability of pathogen adaptation to host immunity.

280 Our analysis of the probability of the phage to adapt to at least one type of resistance 281 confirms our predictions on the effect of inoculum and host composition (Figures 4 and 5). In particular, we recover the predicted relationship $P_{EE}^M > P_{EE}^C > P_{EE}^P$ when we focused on the 282 Combining B treatment (i.e. a combination of strains B and AB): Tukey HSD test, P_{EE}^{M} -283 P_{EE}^{CB} =0.78, z=3.08, P=0.011 ; $P_{EE}^{CB} - P_{EE}^{P}$ =2.67, z=9.40, P<0.001. However, we find no 284 significant differences between the probabilities of viral evolution in the Mixing and in the 285 Combining A treatments (i.e. a combination of strains A and AB): Tukey HSD test, P_{EE}^{M} -286 P_{EE}^{CA} =0.12, z=0.49, P=0.96. This suggests that the probability for a virus of acquiring an escape 287 288 mutation against resistance A is higher than against resistance B. Note that the expected 289 twofold increase in the probability of viral evolution in the Combining treatment relative to the 290 Mixing treatment (see equation (1)) lies in the 95% confidence intervals we compute: P_{EE}^{M}/P_{EE}^{CA} = 1.13 [0.60;2.13]; P_{EE}^{M}/P_{EE}^{CA} = 2.17 [1.14;4.15] (Figure 5B, red dashed line). 291

292 Interestingly, similar treatment effects were found when we analysed the ability of 293 phage 2972 to acquire both escape mutations (Figure S4). In particular, we found that the 294 Mixing treatment was most favourable for the emergence of double escape mutations (Tukey $\mathsf{HSD} \mathsf{ test}, \ P^M_{EE_2} - P^{CA}_{EE_2} \texttt{=} \texttt{1.75}, \ \texttt{z=} \texttt{6.71}, \ \mathsf{P<} \texttt{0.001} \ \texttt{;} \ P^M_{EE_2} - P^{CB}_{EE_2} \texttt{=} \texttt{0.84}, \ \texttt{z=} \texttt{3.39}, \ \mathsf{P=} \texttt{0.0039} \texttt{;} \ P^M_{EE_2} - P^{CB}_{EE_2} \texttt{=} \texttt{0.84} \texttt{,} \ \texttt{z=} \texttt{3.39} \texttt{,} \ \mathsf{P=} \texttt{0.0039} \texttt{;} \ P^M_{EE_2} - P^{CB}_{EE_2} \texttt{=} \texttt{0.84} \texttt{,} \ \texttt{z=} \texttt{3.39} \texttt{,} \ \mathsf{P=} \texttt{0.0039} \texttt{;} \ P^M_{EE_2} - P^{CB}_{EE_2} \texttt{=} \texttt{0.84} \texttt{,} \ \mathsf{z=} \texttt{3.39} \texttt{,} \ \mathsf{P=} \texttt{0.0039} \texttt{;} \ \mathsf{P}^M_{EE_2} = \texttt{0.84} \texttt{,} \ \mathsf{q=} \texttt{0.84} \texttt{,} \$ 295 296 $P_{EE_2}^P$ =1.78, z=6.81, P<0.001), even if none of the bacteria carry both resistance in this 297 treatment. This effect likely results from the sequential acquisition of multiple mutations, which 298 is facilitated in the mixing treatment. In other words, the *mixing* strategy is far less durable than 299 the pyramiding strategy. Besides, as predicted by our theoretical model, the probability of 300 evolutionary emergence under the *combining* strategy falls in between the two other strategies 301 and confirms that the presence of single-resistant genotypes speeds up the acquisition of 302 escape mutations and promotes evolutionary emergence even when some hosts are 303 multiresistant.

304

305 Discussion

306 In this study, we have explored the influence of several factors such as pathogen life history 307 traits (birth and death rates), mutation rates, pathogen initial inoculum size, fraction and depth 308 of host resistance, on the ultimate fate of a pathogen introduced in a heterogeneous host 309 population. In particular, we showed that larger inoculum size favors the emergence and the 310 adaptation of the pathogen to the host population because of two main effects. First, larger 311 inoculum size increases the probability of the introduction of a preexisting escape mutation 312 which further increases the evolutionary potential of the pathogen population. Second, even in 313 the absence of preexisting mutations in the inoculum, a larger inoculum size of the wild-type 314 pathogen provides more opportunities for the emergence of escape genotypes by mutation.

315 Our theoretical analysis yielded clear predictions on the effect of the host composition 316 on the probability of evolutionary emergence of a pathogen: pyramiding is the most effective 317 way to reduce the risk of pathogen adaptation, even in the presence of preexisting escape 318 mutants in the pathogen inoculum (**Figure 2**). The worst strategy is the fully asymmetric *mixing* 319 strategy (e.g. $f_A = 1$) because it takes only a single escape mutant to exploit the whole host 320 population. The fully symmetric *mixing* strategy is better than the asymmetric *mixing* strategy 321 because, as shown by previous studies, higher host diversity reduces the probability of 322 evolutionary emergence [20,24,33–35]. The efficacy of the combining treatment is intermediate 323 and is very sensitive to the relative proportion of single and multiple resistances. In particular, 324 we showed that the overlap between the resistance genes carried by single- and double-325 resistant host genotypes in the combining treatment may greatly enhance the risk of 326 evolutionary emergence because escaping single-resistance may provide a "stepping stone" 327 towards the acquisition of multiple escape mutations. We show in the supplementary 328 information how these theoretical predictions can be generalized with more than two resistance 329 genotypes (equations S11 and S12). In particular, we confirm that larger resistance diversity 330 decreases the probability of evolutionary emergence in the mixing strategy [20], and the 331 durability of the *pyramiding* strategy increases with the accumulation of resistances.

332 Our experimental results confirmed both the positive effect of larger inoculum size and 333 the hierarchy in the efficacy of different host treatments on the probability of pathogen 334 adaptation. Note, however, that our model oversimplifies several features of the pathogen 335 dynamics taking place in our biological experiments. First, we modeled viral growth as a "birth-336 death" process while the reproduction of a virulent phage follows a "burst-death" cycle. The 337 burst-death process is expected to alter the variance associated with the reproduction event 338 and may thus alter the predictions of the evolutionary outcome [36], but see [20] for a 339 comparison between these two ways to model pathogen dynamics. Second, we assumed the 340 fraction of the different host genotypes to be constant throughout the experiment. This is a very 341 rough approximation because the fraction of the susceptible hosts will drop relatively rapidly when the wild-type genotype spreads. Consequently, the fraction f_R of resistant hosts is 342 343 expected to increase rapidly through time. Similarly, the relative fraction of the different types 344 of hosts is expected to vary with time after the emergence of single escape mutations that will 345 exploit specifically a fraction of these resistant hosts. Yet, the good match between our

theoretical predictions and our experimental results suggests that the conclusions of our modelare robust to the specific details of the epidemiology of the pathogen.

348 Our conclusions are also consistent with a review of the available empirical studies on 349 the durability of different crop protection programs which concluded that *pyramiding* is the most 350 durable strategy [8]. For instance, the durability of wheat cultivars was associated with the 351 pyramiding of multiple resistant genes [7]. A few experimental studies have tracked the 352 evolution of pathogens over several generations and demonstrated the beneficial impact of the 353 pyramiding strategy. A study on the evolution of plant-parasitic nematode showed that the use 354 of pyramided genotypes protected the plant-crop over several years [37]. Another study on 355 transgenic broccoli plants indicated that the expression of multiple Bacillus thuringiensis (Bt) 356 toxins hampered the epidemiology and evolution of a major insect pest, the diamondback moth 357 (Plutella xylostella) [38-40]. In addition, this latter study revealed the detrimental effect of 358 combining the same resistance genes in different plant varieties for the durability of resistance. 359 Indeed, as in our *combining* strategy, the advantage of using plant genotypes containing two 360 dissimilar Bt toxin genes for resistance management may be compromised if they share similar 361 toxins with single-gene plants that are deployed simultaneously.

362

363 **Conclusions and broader implications**

364 Microbes carrying CRISPR-Cas immunity against virulent bacteriophages provide ideal 365 biological models to obtain experimental measures of the probability of pathogen emergence 366 and evaluate their ability to escape host resistance under different control strategies [20]. 367 Besides, the specificity of CRISPR-Cas immunity to bacteriophages is very similar to the 368 classical gene-for-gene model of specificity driving the coevolution between many plants and 369 their pathogens. Our biological experiments confirm our theoretical predictions on the influence 370 of (i) the resistance strategy and (ii) the initial dose of the pathogen. In particular, we find that 371 the *pyramiding* strategy is a more effective way to reduce the evolutionary emergence of the 372 pathogen. These microbiological assays confirm that exposing pathogens to a mix of different 373 host genotypes carrying a low number of resistance genes facilitate the adaptation of the 374 pathogen because it provides multiple routes (with slower slopes) towards complex pathogen 375 genotypes carrying multiple escape mutations. This result does not conflict with the positive 376 effect of host diversity for the reduction of pathogen evolutionary emergence [20,41]. But for a 377 given amount of host resistance diversity, the present study shows that stacking this diversity 378 in a limited number of genotypes is a more effective strategy than using a mixture of single-379 resistance host genotypes to prevent pathogen emergence. The success of the pyramiding 380 strategy may explain why many microbes carry several genes coding for distinct defence 381 systems in their genome [42,43]. While these genomic defence islands may provide immunity against a wide variety of diverse phages, they may also limit the emergence and evolution of
 bacteriophage variants, thereby increasing the persistence of microbes in various ecosystems.

384 While our results are relevant for several areas, including for crop management in agriculture [8,37,44] as well as in food fermentation [45], they may also hold for the 385 386 management of drugs and vaccines. In HIV, for instance, the success of the combination 387 therapy is arguably due to the use of the *pyramiding* strategy where the patient is treated 388 simultaneously with multiple drugs [46–48]. A similar conclusion was reached with a theoretical 389 model that explored alternative treatment strategies against bacteria as a combination therapy 390 (pyramiding) outperforms other ways to use available antibiotics [49–51]. In malaria, the use 391 of artemisinin-containing combination therapies (*pyramiding*) is also believed to provide a way 392 to slow the spread of antimalarial drug resistance [52–55]. These results suggest also that the 393 use of phage cocktails in phage therapy is likely to be more effective because the pathogenic 394 bacteria will have difficulties to evolve resistance against multiple phages [56,57].

395 The durability of vaccines may also be explained by the *pyramiding* effect. Unlike 396 therapeutic drugs, some vaccines often elicit multiple immune responses against several 397 pathogen targets and this could explain why resistance to vaccines evolves usually more 398 slowly than drug resistance [58]. The recognition of the value of immune diversity could lead 399 to new vaccination strategies. For example, the deployment of different vaccines among 400 different individuals to create a mosaic of vaccination has the potential to outperform 401 conventional vaccination [59]. Moreover, several studies demonstrated that combining multiple 402 immune response to different epitopes can increase significantly the efficacy of vaccination 403 [60-64]. The rise of mRNA vaccines [65,66] may facilitate the development of such new 404 generation of multivalent vaccines that could use the pyramiding effect to increase their 405 durability.

406 Pyramiding multiple defenses in the same host may thus provide a durable strategy for 407 both prophylactic and therapeutic control of infectious diseases. The recognition of the value 408 of *pyramiding* is ancient [67] but we hope our work clarifies the complex interplay between 409 demography, evolution, and stochasticity. The influence of many other factors remains to be 410 investigated. For instance, our model does not account for the change in the composition of 411 the host population after the start of a viral epidemic. Time-inhomogeneous branching process 412 models could be developed to better understand the influence of these epidemiological 413 feedbacks on evolutionary emergence. In addition, the importance of epistasis in fitness 414 among the escape mutations carried by the pathogen is expected to affect the probability of 415 emergence (see Figure S1). Patterns of epistasis are also likely to have an impact on the 416 influence of pathogen recombination [68,69]. Yet, our model does not allow for coinfections 417 and, consequently, does not allow for recombination. The influence of genetic recombination 418 on the robustness of the pyramiding effect remains to be investigated. Finally, our joint

- theoretical and experimental study could be extended to explore a wider range of deployment
- 420 strategies in space and time [48,70–73]. This approach could thus be used to identify and to
- 421 test the durability of new strategies to limit the emergence and the evolution of pathogens.
- 422

423 Data and Code Availability

- 424 Data has been deposited in zenodo: https://zenodo.org/records/8114168
- 425

426 Acknowledgements

- 427 We thank Geneviève Rousseau for discussion at the early stage of the project. S.M.
- 428 acknowledges funding from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of
- 429 Canada (Discovery program). S.M. holds a T1 Canada Research Chair in Bacteriophages.
- 430 S.G. acknowledges funding from the CNRS and from the Agence Nationale de la Recherche
- 431 ANR (ANR-17-CE35-0012).



433

434 Figure 1: Schematic representation of the mixing, pyramiding, and combining 435 scenarios. In each scenario the host population is a mix of a proportion $(1 - f_R)$ of susceptible 436 bacteria (S) and a proportion f_R of resistant bacteria (A, B, and AB). In our experiment we used 437 $f_R = 0.9$. The composition of the population of resistant bacteria differs between the *mixing* (1:1 mix of two single-resistant hosts A+B), the combining (1:1 mix of a single-resistant host, 438 439 A (combining A) or B (combining B), and a double-resistant host AB), and the pyramiding (a 440 double-resistant host AB) scenarios. After the inoculation of V phages the viral population may 441 either go extinct or produce an epidemic. The virus epidemic may either result from the 442 replication of the ancestral virus (no evolution) or in the additional replication of phage 443 genotypes carrying escape mutations against A, B, or AB. We carried out these experiments 444 in 96-well plates that allowed us to replicate our inoculation experiment in 96 host populations 445 (each replicate population was 200 μ L). After an overnight incubation the cultures (22 h) we 446 measured (i) the occurrence of phage epidemics (i.e., emergence) by plating a fraction (2 μ L) 447 of each replicate population on a lawn of sensitive cells (S. thermophilus DGCC 7710) and (ii) 448 the presence of escape phage mutants (i.e., evolutionary emergence) by plating a fraction (2 449 μL) of each replicate population on a lawn of singly resistant (A or B) or doubly resistant (AB) 450 bacteria (see supplementary information).







455 Figure 2: Theoretical predictions for pathogen emergence under the mixing (black), 456 combining (orange), and pyramiding (red) scenarios. In (A) we show the effect of f_R , the 457 fraction of resistant hosts in the host population, on the probability of pathogen emergence 458 after the introduction of a single virus (V = 1) with or without preexisting mutations (full or 459 dotted lines, respectively). The probability of emergence in the absence of pathogen evolution 460 is indicated with the dashed black line. The color shading refers to evolutionary emergence in 461 the different scenarios (i.e., pathogen emergence resulting from pathogen evolution). In (B) we 462 show the effect of V, the size of the virus inoculum, on the probability of pathogen emergence with or without preexisting mutations when $f_R = 0.7$. Other parameter values: b = 1.66, d = 1, 463 $c = 0.01, \ \mu = 10^{-6}, \ p_{\phi} = 1 - p_A - p_B - p_{AB}, \ p_A = p_B = 10^{-6}, \ p_{AB} = 10^{-12}$ (without preexisting 464 465 mutations: $p_{\phi} = 1$, $p_A = p_B = p_{AB} = 0$).



468

Figure 3: Theoretical predictions for pathogen emergence under (A) the *mixing* and (B) the *combining* scenarios. We show the effect of f_R , the fraction of resistant hosts in the host population, and f_A , the fraction of a single-resistant host in the resistant host population on the probability of pathogen emergence after the introduction of a single virus (V = 1) and without preexisting mutations ($p_{\phi} = 1$, $p_A = p_B = p_{AB} = 0$). Other parameter values: b = 1.66, d = 1, c = 0.1, $\mu = 10^{-6}$.



Figure 4: Probability of pathogen evolutionary emergence for different inoculum dose (V) and for different resistance treatments (mixing, combining, and pyramiding) in biological assays. We plot the proportion of populations (among the 96 experimental replicates) that resulted in a virus amplification on different resistant hosts. For each pathogen inoculum size V we show measures of pathogen evolutionary emergence for the four experimental treatments (from left to right): (i) Pyramiding AB, (ii) Combining: B+AB, (iii) Combining: A+AB, (iv) Mixing: A+B. The size of the colored bars measure to the frequency of emergence of pathogens which could infect only resistant hosts A (red), only resistant hosts B (blue) and both A and B (purple).





492 Figure 5: Probability of evolutionary emergence is higher in the *mixing* treatment and 493 lowest in the pyramiding treatment in biological assays. (A) We plot here the estimation 494 of the probability of evolutionary emergence (i.e., the probability to evolve at least one escape 495 resistance) against the inoculum size V and the resistance treatment. The model can be written 496 as $logit(P_{EE}^T) \sim a_T log(V) + b_T$, where the slope parameter is the same for all treatments (see 497 supplementary information). The lines indicate the prediction of the statistical model for the 498 different treatments and the shaded areas show 95% confidence interval. (B) We compare the 499 estimated values of b_T (i.e. the probability of evolutionary emergence of treatment T for an inoculum V = 1) for all pairs of treatment and we plot $e^{b_{T_1}-b_{T_2}} \approx P_{EE}^{T_1}/P_{EE}^{T_2}$. The error bars show 500 501 95% confidence interval and the red dashed line refers to a two-fold difference in the probability 502 of emergence. This two-fold effect is expected when we compare the mixing and the combining 503 treatments (P_{EE}^{M}/P_{EE}^{CA} and P_{EE}^{M}/P_{EE}^{CB} , see equation (1)).

505	References:		
506			
507 508	1.	Anderson, R.M., and May, R.M. (1985). Vaccination and herd immunity to infectious diseases. Nature <i>318</i> , 323–329. 10.1038/318323a0.	
509 510	2.	Ashby, B., and Best, A. (2021). Herd immunity. Curr. Biol. CB 31, R174–R177. 10.1016/j.cub.2021.01.006.	
511 512	3.	Gilligan, C.A. (2008). Sustainable agriculture and plant diseases: an epidemiological perspective. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. <i>363</i> , 741–759. 10.1098/rstb.2007.2181.	
513 514 515	4.	Gilligan, C.A., and van den Bosch, F. (2008). Epidemiological models for invasion and persistence of pathogens. Annu. Rev. Phytopathol. <i>46</i> , 385–418. 10.1146/annurev.phyto.45.062806.094357.	
516 517	5.	Sasaki, A. (2000). Host-parasite coevolution in a multilocus gene-for-gene system. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci. <i>267</i> , 2183-2188.10.1098/rspb.2000.1267.	
518 519	6.	Segarra, J. (2005). Stable Polymorphisms in a Two-Locus Gene-for-Gene System. Phytopathology <i>95</i> , 728–736. 10.1094/PHYTO-95-0728.	
520 521	7.	Brown, J.K.M. (2015). Durable resistance of crops to disease: A darwinian perspective. Annu. Rev. Phytopathol. <i>53</i> , 513–539. 10.1146/annurev-phyto-102313-045914.	
522 523 524	8.	Delmotte, F., Bourguet, D., Franck, P., Guillemaud, T., Reboud, X., Vacher, C., and Walker, AS. (2016). Combining selective pressures to enhance the durability of disease resistance genes. Front. Plant Sci. <i>7, 1916.</i> 10.3389/fpls.2016.01916.	
525 526 527 528	9.	Djidjou-Demasse, R., Moury, B., and Fabre, F. (2017). Mosaics often outperform pyramids: insights from a model comparing strategies for the deployment of plant resistance genes against viruses in agricultural landscapes. New Phytol. <i>216</i> , 239–253. 10.1111/nph.14701.	
529 530 531	10.	Rimbaud, L., Papaïx, J., Barrett, L.G., Burdon, J.J., and Thrall, P.H. (2018). Mosaics, mixtures, rotations or pyramiding: What is the optimal strategy to deploy major gene resistance? Evol. Appl. <i>11</i> , 1791–1810. 10.1111/eva.12681.	
532 533 534 535	11.	Elisabeth Lof, M., de Vallavieille-Pope, C., and van der Werf, W. (2017). Achieving durable resistance against plant diseases: scenario analyses with a national-scale spatially explicit model for a wind-dispersed plant pathogen. Phytopathology <i>107</i> , 580–589. 10.1094/PHYTO-05-16-0207-R.	
536 537	12.	Mikaberidze, A., McDonald, B.A., and Bonhoeffer, S. (2015). Developing smarter host mixtures to control plant disease. Plant Pathol. <i>64</i> , 996–1004. 10.1111/ppa.12321.	
538 539 540	13.	Mundt, C.C. (2002). Use of multiline cultivars and cultivar mixtures for disease management. Annu. Rev. Phytopathol. <i>40</i> , 381–410. 10.1146/annurev.phyto.40.011402.113723.	

- 541 14. Sapoukhina, N., Durel, C.-E., and Le Cam, B. (2009). Spatial deployment of gene-for542 gene resistance governs evolution and spread of pathogen populations. Theor. Ecol. 2,
 543 229. 10.1007/s12080-009-0045-5.
- 544 15. Bourget, R., Chaumont, L., and Sapoukhina, N. (2013). Timing of pathogen adaptation to
 545 a multicomponent treatment. PloS One *8*, e71926. 10.1371/journal.pone.0071926.
- 546 16. Gibson, A.K. (2022). Genetic diversity and disease: The past, present, and future of an old
 547 idea. Evolution *76*, 20-36. 10.1111/evo.14395.
- 548 17. van den Bosch, F., and Gilligan, C.A. (2003). Measures of durability of resistance.
 549 Phytopathology *93*, 616–625. 10.1094/PHYTO.2003.93.5.616.
- 18. van den Bosch, F., and Gilligan, C.A. (2008). Models of Fungicide Resistance Dynamics.
 Annu. Rev. Phytopathol. *46*, 123–147. 10.1146/annurev.phyto.011108.135838.
- Burdon, J.J., Barrett, L.G., Rebetzke, G., and Thrall, P.H. (2014). Guiding deployment of
 resistance in cereals using evolutionary principles. Evol. Appl. 7, 609–624.
 10.1111/eva.12175.
- 20. Chabas, H., Lion, S., Nicot, A., Meaden, S., Houte, S. van, Moineau, S., Wahl, L.M.,
 Westra, E.R., and Gandon, S. (2018). Evolutionary emergence of infectious diseases in
 heterogeneous host populations. PLOS Biol. *16*, e2006738.
 10.1371/journal.pbio.2006738.
- Barrangou, R., Fremaux, C., Deveau, H., Richards, M., Boyaval, P., Moineau, S.,
 Romero, D.A., and Horvath, P. (2007). CRISPR provides acquired resistance against
 viruses in prokaryotes. Science *315*, 1709–1712. 10.1126/science.1138140.
- 562 22. Deveau, H., Barrangou, R., Garneau, J.E., Labonté, J., Fremaux, C., Boyaval, P., Romero,
 563 D.A., Horvath, P., and Moineau, S. (2008). Phage response to CRISPR-encoded
 564 resistance in Streptococcus thermophilus. J. Bacteriol. *190*, 1390–1400.
 565 10.1128/JB.01412-07.
- 566 23. Garneau, J.E., Dupuis, M.-È., Villion, M., Romero, D.A., Barrangou, R., Boyaval, P.,
 567 Fremaux, C., Horvath, P., Magadán, A.H., and Moineau, S. (2010). The CRISPR/Cas
 568 bacterial immune system cleaves bacteriophage and plasmid DNA. Nature 468, 67–71.
 569 10.1038/nature09523.
- van Houte, S., Ekroth, A.K.E., Broniewski, J.M., Chabas, H., Ashby, B., Bondy-Denomy,
 J., Gandon, S., Boots, M., Paterson, S., Buckling, A., *et al.* (2016). The diversitygenerating benefits of a prokaryotic adaptive immune system. Nature *532*, 385–388.
 10.1038/nature17436.
- 574 25. Hynes, A.P., Labrie, S.J., and Moineau, S. (2016). Programming native CRISPR arrays
 575 for the generation of targeted immunity. mBio 7, e00202-16. 10.1128/mBio.00202-16.
- Semenova, E., Jore, M.M., Datsenko, K.A., Semenova, A., Westra, E.R., Wanner, B., van der Oost, J., Brouns, S.J.J., and Severinov, K. (2011). Interference by clustered regularly
 interspaced short palindromic repeat (CRISPR) RNA is governed by a seed sequence.
 Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. *108*, 10098–10103. 10.1073/pnas.1104144108.

- 580 27. Antia, R., Regoes, R.R., Koella, J.C., and Bergstrom, C.T. (2003). The role of evolution
 581 in the emergence of infectious diseases. Nature 426, 658–661. 10.1038/nature02104.
- 582 28. Gandon, S., Hochberg, M.E., Holt, R.D., and Day, T. (2013). What limits the evolutionary
 583 emergence of pathogens? Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B. Biol. Sci. *368*, 20120086.
 584 10.1098/rstb.2012.0086.
- 29. André, J.-B., and Day, T. (2005). The effect of disease life history on the evolutionary
 emergence of novel pathogens. Proc. Biol. Sci. 272, 1949–1956. 10.1098/rspb.2005.3170.
- 30. Martel, B., and Moineau, S. (2014). CRISPR-Cas: an efficient tool for genome engineering of virulent bacteriophages. Nucleic Acids Res. 42, 9504–9513.
 10.1093/nar/gku628.
- 31. Alexander, H.K., and Day, T. (2010). Risk factors for the evolutionary emergence of
 pathogens. J. R. Soc. Interface 7, 1455–1474. 10.1098/rsif.2010.0123.
- 592 32. Chabas Hélène, Nicot Antoine, Meaden Sean, Westra Edze R., Tremblay Denise M.,
 593 Pradier Léa, Lion Sébastien, Moineau Sylvain, and Gandon Sylvain (2019). Variability in
 594 the durability of CRISPR-Cas immunity. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. 374,
 595 20180097. 10.1098/rstb.2018.0097.
- 33. Payne, P., Geyrhofer, L., Barton, N.H., and Bollback, J.P. (2018). CRISPR-based herd
 immunity can limit phage epidemics in bacterial populations. eLife 7, e32035.
 10.7554/eLife.32035.
- Morley, D., Broniewski, J.M., Westra, E.R., Buckling, A., and van Houte, S. (2017). Host diversity limits the evolution of parasite local adaptation. Mol. Ecol. 26, 1756–1763.
 10.1111/mec.13917.
- 602 35. Common, J., Morley, D., Westra, E.R., and van Houte, S. (2019). CRISPR-Cas immunity
 603 leads to a coevolutionary arms race between Streptococcus thermophilus and lytic phage.
 604 Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. 374, 20180098. 10.1098/rstb.2018.0098.
- 36. Martin, G., Aguilée, R., Ramsayer, J., Kaltz, O., and Ronce, O. (2013). The probability of
 evolutionary rescue: towards a quantitative comparison between theory and evolution
 experiments. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B. Biol. Sci. *368*, 20120088.
 10.1098/rstb.2012.0088.
- 37. Djian-Caporalino, C., Palloix, A., Fazari, A., Marteu, N., Barbary, A., Abad, P., SagePalloix, A.-M., Mateille, T., Risso, S., Lanza, R., *et al.* (2014). Pyramiding, alternating or
 mixing: comparative performances of deployment strategies of nematode resistance genes
 to promote plant resistance efficiency and durability. BMC Plant Biol. *14*, 53.
 10.1186/1471-2229-14-53.
- 38. Zhao, J.-Z., Cao, J., Li, Y., Collins, H.L., Roush, R.T., Earle, E.D., and Shelton, A.M.
 (2003). Transgenic plants expressing two Bacillus thuringiensis toxins delay insect
 resistance evolution. Nat. Biotechnol. 21, 1493–1497. 10.1038/nbt907.
- 617 39. Gould, F. (2003). Bt -resistance management—theory meets data. Nat. Biotechnol. 21,
 618 1450–1451. 10.1038/nbt1203-1450.

- 40. Zhao, J.-Z., Cao, J., Collins, H.L., Bates, S.L., Roush, R.T., Earle, E.D., and Shelton,
 A.M. (2005). Concurrent use of transgenic plants expressing a single and two Bacillus
 thuringiensis genes speeds insect adaptation to pyramided plants. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. *102*, 8426–8430. 10.1073/pnas.0409324102.
- 41. van Houte, S., Ekroth, A.K.E., Broniewski, J.M., Chabas, H., Ashby, B., Bondy-Denomy,
 J., Gandon, S., Boots, M., Paterson, S., Buckling, A., *et al.* (2016). The diversitygenerating benefits of a prokaryotic adaptive immune system. Nature *532*, 385–388.
 10.1038/nature17436.
- 42. Makarova, K.S., Wolf, Y.I., Snir, S., and Koonin, E.V. (2011). Defense islands in
 bacterial and archaeal genomes and prediction of novel defense systems. J. Bacteriol. 193,
 6039–6056. 10.1128/JB.05535-11.
- 43. Doron, S., Melamed, S., Ofir, G., Leavitt, A., Lopatina, A., Keren, M., Amitai, G., and
 Sorek, R. (2018). Systematic discovery of antiphage defense systems in the microbial
 pangenome. Science *359*, eaar4120. 10.1126/science.aar4120.
- 633 44. Rimbaud, L., Papaïx, J., Barrett, L.G., Burdon, J.J., and Thrall, P.H. (2018). Mosaics,
 634 mixtures, rotations or pyramiding: What is the optimal strategy to deploy major gene
 635 resistance? Evol. Appl. 11, 1791–1810. 10.1111/eva.12681.
- 45. Samson, J.E., and Moineau, S. (2013). Bacteriophages in food fermentations: new
 frontiers in a continuous arms race. Annu. Rev. Food Sci. Technol. *4*, 347–368.
 10.1146/annurev-food-030212-182541.
- 46. Larder, B.A., Kemp, S.D., and Harrigan, P.R. (1995). Potential mechanism for sustained
 antiretroviral efficacy of AZT-3TC combination therapy. Science 269, 696–699.
 10.1126/science.7542804.
- 642 47. Feder, A.F., Rhee, S.-Y., Holmes, S.P., Shafer, R.W., Petrov, D.A., and Pennings, P.S.
 643 (2016). More effective drugs lead to harder selective sweeps in the evolution of drug
 644 resistance in HIV-1. eLife 5, e10670. 10.7554/eLife.10670.
- 48. Feder, A.F., Harper, K.N., Brumme, C.J., and Pennings, P.S. (2021). Understanding
 patterns of HIV multi-drug resistance through models of temporal and spatial drug
 heterogeneity. eLife *10*, e69032. 10.7554/eLife.69032.
- 49. Tepekule, B., Uecker, H., Derungs, I., Frenoy, A., and Bonhoeffer, S. (2017). Modeling
 antibiotic treatment in hospitals: A systematic approach shows benefits of combination
 therapy over cycling, mixing, and mono-drug therapies. PLoS Comput. Biol. 13,
 e1005745. 10.1371/journal.pcbi.1005745.
- 50. Tyers, M., and Wright, G.D. (2019). Drug combinations: a strategy to extend the life of
 antibiotics in the 21st century. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 17, 141–155. 10.1038/s41579-0180141-x.
- 51. Angst, D.C., Tepekule, B., Sun, L., Bogos, B., and Bonhoeffer, S. (2021). Comparing
 treatment strategies to reduce antibiotic resistance in an in vitro epidemiological setting.
 Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. *118*, e2023467118. 10.1073/pnas.2023467118.

- 658 52. Bosman, A., and Mendis, K.N. (2007). A major transition in malaria treatment: the
 659 adoption and deployment of artemisinin-based combination therapies. Am. J. Trop. Med.
 660 Hyg. 77, 193–197.
- 53. Hastings, I. (2011). How artemisinin-containing combination therapies slow the spread of
 antimalarial drug resistance. Trends Parasitol. 27, 67–72. 10.1016/j.pt.2010.09.005.
- 54. Antao, T., and Hastings, I. (2012). Policy options for deploying anti-malarial drugs in
 endemic countries: a population genetics approach. Malar. J. 11, 422. 10.1186/14752875-11-422.
- 55. Boni, M.F., Smith, D.L., and Laxminarayan, R. (2008). Benefits of using multiple firstline therapies against malaria. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 105, 14216–14221.
 10.1073/pnas.0804628105.
- 56. Abedon, S.T., Danis-Wlodarczyk, K.M., and Wozniak, D.J. (2021). Phage cocktail
 development for bacteriophage therapy: toward improving spectrum of activity breadth
 and depth. Pharmaceuticals *14*, 1019. 10.3390/ph14101019.
- 57. Fabijan, A.P., Iredell, J., Danis-Wlodarczyk, K., Kebriaei, R., and Abedon, S.T. (2023).
 Translating phage therapy into the clinic: Recent accomplishments but continuing
 challenges. PLOS Biol. 21, e3002119. 10.1371/journal.pbio.3002119.
- 58. Kennedy, D.A., and Read, A.F. (2017). Why does drug resistance readily evolve but vaccine resistance does not? Proc. Biol. Sci. 284. 10.1098/rspb.2016.2562.
- 59. McLeod, D.V., Wahl, L.M., and Mideo, N. (2021). Mosaic vaccination: How distributing
 different vaccines across a population could improve epidemic control. Evol. Lett. 5, 458–
 471. 10.1002/evl3.252.
- 680 60. Cook, J.K., Orbell, S.J., Woods, M.A., and Huggins, M.B. (1999). Breadth of protection
 681 of the respiratory tract provided by different live-attenuated infectious bronchitis vaccines
 682 against challenge with infectious bronchitis viruses of heterologous serotypes. Avian
 683 Pathol. J. WVPA 28, 477–485. 10.1080/03079459994506.
- 684 61. Baum, A., Fulton, B.O., Wloga, E., Copin, R., Pascal, K.E., Russo, V., Giordano, S.,
 685 Lanza, K., Negron, N., Ni, M., *et al.* (2020). Antibody cocktail to SARS-CoV-2 spike
 686 protein prevents rapid mutational escape seen with individual antibodies. Science,
 687 eabd0831. 10.1126/science.abd0831.
- 688 62. Kennedy, D.A., and Read, A.F. (2020). Monitor for COVID-19 vaccine resistance
 689 evolution during clinical trials. PLOS Biol. *18*, e3001000. 10.1371/journal.pbio.3001000.
- 63. Pozzetto, B., Legros, V., Djebali, S., Barateau, V., Guibert, N., Villard, M., Peyrot, L.,
 Allatif, O., Fassier, J.-B., Massardier-Pilonchéry, A., *et al.* (2021). Immunogenicity and
 efficacy of heterologous ChAdOx1–BNT162b2 vaccination. Nature *600*, 701–706.
 10.1038/s41586-021-04120-y.
- 64. Song, S., Zhou, B., Cheng, L., Liu, W., Fan, Q., Ge, X., Peng, H., Fu, Y.-X., Ju, B., and
 Zhang, Z. (2022). Sequential immunization with SARS-CoV-2 RBD vaccine induces
 potent and broad neutralization against variants in mice. Virol. J. 19, 2. 10.1186/s12985021-01737-3.

- 698 65. Altmann, D.M., and Boyton, R.J. (2022). COVID-19 vaccination: The road ahead.
 699 Science 375, 1127–1132. 10.1126/science.abn1755.
- 66. Chivukula, S., Plitnik, T., Tibbitts, T., Karve, S., Dias, A., Zhang, D., Goldman, R.,
 Gopani, H., Khanmohammed, A., Sarode, A., *et al.* (2021). Development of multivalent
 mRNA vaccine candidates for seasonal or pandemic influenza. Npj Vaccines *6*, 1–15.
 10.1038/s41541-021-00420-6.
- 67. Nelson, R.R. (1978). Genetics of horizontal resistance to plant diseases. Annu. Rev.
 Phytopathol. *16*, 359–378. 10.1146/annurev.py.16.090178.002043.
- 68. Day, T., and Gandon, S. (2012). The evolutionary epidemiology of multilocus drug
 resistance. Evol. Int. J. Org. Evol. *66*, 1582–1597. 10.1111/j.1558-5646.2011.01533.x.
- 69. Nagaraja, P., Alexander, H.K., Bonhoeffer, S., and Dixit, N.M. (2016). Influence of
 recombination on acquisition and reversion of immune escape and compensatory
 mutations in HIV-1. Epidemics 14, 11–25. 10.1016/j.epidem.2015.09.001.
- 70. Moreno-Gamez, S., Hill, A.L., Rosenbloom, D.I.S., Petrov, D.A., Nowak, M.A., and
 Pennings, P.S. (2015). Imperfect drug penetration leads to spatial monotherapy and rapid
 evolution of multidrug resistance. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. *112*, E2874–E2883.
 10.1073/pnas.1424184112.
- 715 71. Débarre, F., Lenormand, T., and Gandon, S. (2009). Evolutionary Epidemiology of Drug 716 Resistance in Space. PLOS Comput. Biol. *5*, e1000337. 10.1371/journal.pcbi.1000337.
- 717 72. McLeod, D.V., and Gandon, S. (2020). Understanding the evolution of multiple drug
 718 resistance in structured populations. eLife, *10*:e65645.
- 719 73. Kepler, T.B., and Perelson, A.S. (1998). Drug concentration heterogeneity facilitates the
 evolution of drug resistance. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 95, 11514–11519.