

Mid-late holocene accretional history of low-lying, coral-reef rim islets, South-Marutea Atoll, Tuamotu, central South Pacific: The key role of marine hazard events

Lucien F Montaggioni, Bernard Salvat, Edwige Pons-Branchu, Arnaud Dapoigny, Bertrand Martin-Garin, Gilbert Poli, Jean-Marc Zanini, Robert Wan

To cite this version:

Lucien F Montaggioni, Bernard Salvat, Edwige Pons-Branchu, Arnaud Dapoigny, Bertrand Martin-Garin, et al.. Mid-late holocene accretional history of low-lying, coral-reef rim islets, South-Marutea Atoll, Tuamotu, central South Pacific: The key role of marine hazard events. Natural Hazards Research, 2023, 3, pp.219 - 239. $10.1016/j.nhres.2023.02.004$. hal-04752670

HAL Id: hal-04752670 <https://hal.science/hal-04752670v1>

Submitted on 24 Oct 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Contents lists available at [ScienceDirect](www.sciencedirect.com/science/journal/26665921)

journal homepage: <www.keaipublishing.com/en/journals/natural-hazards-research>

Mid-late holocene accretional history of low-lying, coral-reef rim islets, South-Marutea Atoll, Tuamotu, central South Pacific: The key role of marine hazard events

Lucien F. Montaggioni ^{a, *}, Bernard Salvat ^b, Edwige Pons-Branchu ^c, Arnaud Dapoigny ^c, Bertrand Martin-Garin^a, Gilbert Poli^d, Jean-Marc Zanini^e, Robert Wan¹

^a Aix Marseille Univ, CNRS, IRD, INRAE, CEREGE, 13331, Marseille, France

^b PSL-École pratique des hautes études, UAR 3278, EPHE, CNRS, UPVD, Labex Corail, Université de Perpignan, 66860, Perpignan, France

^c LSCE/IPSL, CEA–CNRS–UVSQ, Universite Paris-Saclay, 91198 Gif-sur-Yvette, France

^d Environmental Consultant, 75016, Paris, France

^e Environmental Consultant, 75014, Paris, France

^f Tahiti Perles, Papeete, BP 850, French Polynesia

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Atoll Islet accretion Storminess Tuamotu Central south Pacific Holocene

ABSTRACT

South-Marutea Atoll is located in the Gambier Island Group, at the south-eastern end of the Tuamotu Archipelago, French Polynesia, central South Pacific. Understanding the modalities of islet building from low-lying atolls over the mid to late Holocene, in relation to sea-level changes and cycles of marine hazard events, is a prerequisite for better anticipate future geomorphic changes to which the islets will likely be faced in the next decades under global climate warming. Herein is presented the depositional history of two selected atoll islets, based on chronostratigraphic analysis of sedimentary, coral-dominated sequences from six excavations. Identified as Motu Aramu and Motu Vainono, these islets are located respectively in north-north-east and due south of the atoll rim. Additional surficial sampling was conducted on modern ocean-facing shingle ridges, respectively on north-northwest (Motu Oire), west (Motu Aranui) and east (Motu Tekava) rim areas, in order to date the latest ridgeemplacement stages. Oire and Aranui sites, located along the leeward atoll sites, are protected from trade winds, Aramu, Vainono and Tekava are located on the windward sides, directly exposed to northeasterly and southeasterly storm swells respectively. A total of 88 coral clasts were collected to be U/Th dated. The excavated sequences range between 2.50 m and 0.90 m in thickness, from the outer islet sides lagoonwards. Five lithofacies, including two subfacies, were recognized based on texture and biological composition: a coral boulder-cobbledominated, a coral pebble-dominated – pebble-supported and sand-supported subfacies – and a foraminiferarich, sand-dominated and an organic-rich, pebble to sand facies. These facies tend to be distributed from ocean sides landwards according to a decreasing grain-size gradient. A model of atoll-island accretion emerges in relation with changes in frequency and intensity of marine hazard events. The islet foundations consist of conglomerate platforms, locally up to 1.0 m thick, deposited from about 5,000 to 1,000 calendar year (cal yr) BP. Islets began to accrete from 5,000 yr cal BP. While at Motu Vainono, islet building occurred continuously over the last 5,000 years, at Motu Aramu, there is an apparent non-depositional episode, from 4,000 to 2,000 yr cal BP, interpreted as caused by a marked decreased in ENSO-related cyclone activity. The outer shingle ridges in all studied sites were regularly reshaped during the last millennium. During the last 5,000 years, the major accretionislet episodes occurred irrespective of the course of sea level, indicating that sea-level change was not a driver of islet accretion. Periodical, marine high-energy events clearly appear to be the key controls of islet shaping. Shifts of cyclone source areas further south and increasing cyclone intensity, but lower frequency, due to enhanced ENSO variability throughout the 21st century, is postulated to expose the Gambier island Group to stronger, but fewer disturbance events when compared to the last millennia.

Abbreviations: Atoll-islet accretion, cyclogenesis; Tuamotu Archipelago, central South Pacific.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: montaggioni@cerege.fr (L.F. Montaggioni).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nhres.2023.02.004>

Received 31 October 2022; Received in revised form 19 January 2023; Accepted 20 February 2023 Available online 5 March 2023

2666-5921/© 2023 National Institute of Natural Hazards, Ministry of Emergency Management of China. Publishing services provided by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of KeAi Communications Co. Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license [\(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)).

1. Introduction

According to the lastest IPCC Report (Pörtner et al., 2022), sedimentary coastal systems will be subjected to drastic topographic readjustments prior to the end of the current century in response to ongoing global climate warming. In the Pacific Ocean, low-lying coastal systems are mainly represented by atolls, i.e. shallow-water, annular to elongate, coral-reef rimmed islands, usually overtopped discontinuously by islets, composed of coral-derived rubble and/or skeletal sands (Woodroffe and Biribo, 2011). These islets are known as motu by Polynesian natives. Culminating at elevations rarely exceeding $+5$ m above present mean sea level (pmsl), these have been interpreted as storm-generated, depositional landforms built up during the last millennia in relation with relative changes in sea level (Pirazzoli and Montaggioni, 1986; Dickinson, 2009; Woodroffe et al., 1999; Kench et al., 2005, 2014a, 2014b; Barry et al., 2007).

Over an about twenty-year period, in the Indo-Pacific, a great deal of research was dedicated to understanding the morphological evolution of low-lying atoll islets during the last few decades in response to the rise in sea level (Roy and Connell, 1991; Woodroffe, 2008; Dickinson 2009; Webb and Kench, 2010; Rankey, 2011; Ford, 2012; Yates et al., 2013; Ford and Kench, 2015; Storlazzi et al., 2015; Purkis et al., 2016; Duvat, 2019; Duvat et al., 2017a; Shope and Storlazzi, 2019) and to the intensification of storminess (Kench and Brander, 2006; Hoeke et al., 2013; Canavesio, 2014; Smithers and Hoeke, 2014; Costa et al., 2017; Duvat et al., 2020). The relevant findings were used to attempt predicting the morphodynamic behavior of these islets in the face of global warming in the next future decades. By contrast, a limited number of investigations were carried out in order to reconstruct the morphological reconstruction of atoll islets during the last millennia (Kench et al., 2014a, 2014b; Montaggioni et al., 2018, 2019).

Atoll islets are usually regarded as potentially vulnerable, liable to experience submersion and/or erosion (Yamano et al., 2007; Woodroffe, 2008; Dickinson, 2009; Ford and Kench, 2014; Canavesio, 2014, 2019; Storlazzi et al., 2015; Shope et al., 2016, 2017; Duvat et al., 2017b; Montaggioni et al., 2021; Amores et al., 2022). As a result, the fate of islets together with the related human settlements, remain uncertain (Shope and Storlazzi, 2019; Magnan et al., 2018, 2022) and therefore is still actively debated. Among scientists, there are those who believe that islets could be submerged (Yamano et al., 2007; Dickinson, 2009; Connell, 2013; Hubbard et al., 2014; Woodruff et al., 2013; Storlazzi et al., 2015), and others who thought that they will persist, migrating laterally across atoll-rim surfaces (Webb and Kench, 2010; Rankey, 2011; Biribo and Woodroffe, 2013; Ford, 2012, 2013; Le Cozannet et al., 2014; Pala, 2014; Ford and Kench, 2015; McLean and Kench, 2015; Duvat and Pillet, 2017; Duvat et al., 2017b). In any case, active cyclogenesis are known to promote both islet accretion and erosion, generally at different sites of a same atoll (Stoddart, 1971; Stoddart and Steers, 1977; Scoffin 1993; Canavesio, 2014; Montaggioni et al., 2018, 2019, 2021).

In French Polynesia, studies were conducted on the dynamics of atollislet shorelines in response to change in sea level over the last few decades (Duvat, 2019; Duvat and Pillet, 2017; Duvat et al., 2017a, 2017b). Correlatively, Canavesio (2014, 2019) explored the influence of extreme marine hazards on the short-term evolution of motu morphology. In both cases, the investigations focused on a few atoll sites and atolls only. Furthermore, as claimed by Shope and Storlazzi (2019), such works only based on a few decades of observations may not accurately predicate how atoll islets will react in the future when sea level will reach elevations as high as or higher than those reached during the mid-late Holocene islet accretion phases and, in addition, when storminess will become stronger.

Estimating the degree of vulnerability of atoll islets to ongoing climate change requires as a prerequisite detailed reconstructions of the mode and timing of atoll-islet formation over millennial timescales. Islet sedimentary beds are known to preserve evidence of the passage of intense storms. In French Polynesia, studies devoted to reconstructing the internal architecture of low-lying islets are still limited to Takapoto

(Montaggioni et al., 2018, 2019) and Rangiroa (Montaggioni et al., 2022) Atolls. The findings revealed that, at Takapoto, on both leeward and windward sides, motu have formed over the last 2,500 years, as sea level was falling, from depocentres initially located in the middle parts of the atoll rims, then accreting centrifugally seawards and lagoonwards. By contrast, in northern Rangiroa, islet development appears to have started about 6,000 years ago at the time sea level was moving up to its present position, indicating that the main accretionary phase of the motu has occurred during the rising sea-level episode, approximately from 6,000 to 3,000 years BP. As their islets were demonstrated to have formed at different stages of the sea-level course over the last 6,000 years, the question is to what extent the models from Takapoto and Rangiroa, both located in the northern Tuamotu, are representative at the whole archipelago scale.

The present study aims to reconstruct the timing and mode of formation of two rim islets from South-Marutea Atoll (Fig. 1), situated at the south-eastern end of the Tuamotu Archipelago, at a distance of 1500 km and 1300 km from Takapoto and Rangiroa Islands. The selected motu are located in the north and south areas of the atoll respectively. The main objectives are to elucidate if there are potentially time offset in islet initiation and differences in accretional processes between atolls situated at the opposite ends of a same reef province, and suspected to be subjected to differential environmental conditions and storm regimes. Assuming that the mid to late Holocene sea-level pattern defined from the north-western Tuamotu (Pirazzoli and Montaggioni, 1988; Hallmann et al., 2020) is of regional value, also applicable to the south-eastern parts of the archipelago (Pirazzoli and Montaggioni, 1987) may lead to isolate the respective roles of sea-level change and marine natural hazard events in South-Marutea atoll-islet formation.

2. Regional setting

2.1. Site location and atoll morphology

Composed of 77 atolls, the Tuamotu Archipelago is located in the central South Pacific, extending along a west-north-west to east-southeast axis, about 1700 km long. Located at 1470 km from Tahiti, South-Marutea Atoll, also known as Quiros, Lord Hood, Marutea-I-Runga or Nuku-Nui, lies in the far south-eastern part of the archipelago, between $21^{\circ}28'27.74''-21^{\circ}34'25.12''$ latitude south and $135^\circ38'42.57''-135^\circ27'12.46''$ longitude west (Fig. 1a). This atoll has to be differentiated from North-Marutea, located at about 650 km east of Tahiti. South-Marutea is resting on a 37.3–30.4 million years old, oceanic crust (Müller et al., 1997). Geodynamically speaking, it belongs to the Tuamotu trail chain, presumably generated from the Easter hotspot (Ito et al., 1995), to be distinguished from the nearby Moruroa-Gambier-Pitcairn island chain generated by the Pitcairn hotspot (Dupuy et al., 1993). The South-Marutea volcano, about 2,700 m high, is likely to have started erupting by the lower Miocene. Geographically and administratively speaking, rising at a distance of less than 200 km north of Mangareva Island, South-Marutea is part of the Gambier Island Group.

First described by Seurat (1904), South-Marutea is of closed type (totally devoid of passes), broadly trapezoid in shape, 20 km long and 8 km wide, with a circumference of 55 km (Fig. 1b). Its main axis, oriented west-north-west to east-south-east, is consistent with that of the Tuamotu island chains. The atoll reef rim ranges between about 350 and 1100 m in width, covering about 15 km^2 . The lagoon is 112 km^2 in area, -40 m in maximum depth and exhibits a blue hole, -72 m deep, close to its eastern border. A 10 km-long, almost continuous motu occupies the north-eastern rim part while the other rim areas have small islets usually separated by hoa, i.e. shallow channels allowing water exchanges between the open sea and the lagoon at high tide. The islets are elongated or crescent-shaped.

The atoll rim is fringed oceanwards by a coral-reef flat zone, 150–²³⁰ m wide, less than 1 m deep, overlined by an about 0.50 m-high algal crest, at its outermost borders. The living coral cover rate does not exceed 5% of the total reef-flat surface. The upper forereef zone consists of a gently-dipping spur-and-groove system, followed outwards by a subhorizontal, about 50 m-wide terrace, usually ending into a drop-off at depths of 10–15 m. The spur-and-groove system and the terrace are colonized by coral communities dominated by pocilloporids and acroporids. Observations from the north-north-west rim tip (Motu Oire), at base of the spurs, living coral coverage averaged 45% over the past few years (Chancerelle, Y., CRIOBE, pers. com.)

2.2. Climate

In French Polynesia, the climate system is driven by the trade winds and by the El Nino-Southern Oscillation (Larrue and Chiron, 2010) which ~ regulates both tropical cyclones and extra-tropical storms (Andrefoüet

et al., 2012; Lecacheux et al., 2013). In the Gambier Islands, the climate is typically maritime, tropical, humid, but relatively cooler than that from the north-western Tuamotu region. Rainfall remains relatively stable throughout the year, averaging 140 mm in July and 210 mm in November, thus resulting in an average annual rate of 2,000 mm. As in the whole tropical Pacific, the wind regime at South-Marutea, is dominated by trade-winds, blowing mainly from the north to south-east sectors (Fig. 2). During the winter season, the trade winds generate strong, about 2–2.50 m-high wave surges. During the summer season, the trade winds are significantly less active and generate moderate swells, occasionally disturbed by distant-source storms originating from the southern latitudes (Canavesio, 2019) and cyclones. Tides are semi-diurnal and microtidal, averaging 0.70–0.80 m in amplitude at spring tide.

French Polynesia has clearly fewer cyclones than the rest of the central South Pacific. The cyclone season occurs generally during the

Fig. 1. (a) Location map of South-Marutea Atoll in the Gambier Island Group, south-eastern Tuamotu Archipelago, French Polynesia, central south Pacific; (b) Google Earth map of South-Marutea Atoll showing the location of the studied site areas (boxes): Motu Aramu (see Fig. 1c), with location of excavations (star symbols); Motu Vainono (see Fig. 1d), with location of excavations (star symbols), and ocean-facing shingle-ridge profile (Fig. 4a); Motu Aranui (see Fig. 1e) with location of dated coral-clast samples (open circle symbols); Motu Oire (see Fig. 1f) with location of outer shingle-ridge profile (Fig. 4b); Motu Tekava (see Fig. 1g) with location of dated coral-clast samples (open-circle symbols).

Fig. 2. Wind rose for Mangareva site - altitude: $+91$ m; $23^{\circ}07'48''$ S, 134° 57′ 55″ W –, Gambier island, south-eastern Tuamotu, showing the dominant wind directions from the north and north-east sectors. Based on records from Météo-France and reprinted with the permission of Météo-France. Data duplication and redistribution are prohibited except with the prior agreement of Météo-France.

austral summer. The eastward shift of warmer waters during El Niño events triggers the motion of tropical storms further east to the Tuamotu. Most cyclones come from the west through the Cook Islands, usually passing south of the Society Islands, but more regularly passing over the Austral Islands (Larrue and Chiron, 2010). Some cyclones generate from within the Marquesas. Increase in ENSO variability is driven by a change in the magnitude and frequency of extreme El Niño events (Bjerknes, 1969; Kao and Yu, 2009). During active, El Niño phases, intense cyclonic hazards mainly affect the region bounded by the Tuamotu and Austral Islands, while, during la Nina phases, decreasing cyclonic activity seem to ~ operate between the Gambier and the southern Austral areas (Laurent and Varney, 2014). As a response to global warming, there was a significant enhancement of ENSO variability by about 25% over the last five decades, compared to the preindustrial times (Grothe et al., 2019). The province is also affected by tropical lows that form over oceanic areas with surface temperature of about 26 $^{\circ}$ C, just poleward of the

Inter-Tropical Convergence Zone (ITCZ) where the trade winds of northern and southern hemispheres are converging. These can generate strong winds and swells across the Tuamotu province.

In French Polynesia, the history of extreme marine hazard events is poorly documented (Laurent and Varney, 2014; Dupon, 1987). Between 1822 and 2014, about 24 extreme wave events, most interpreted as related to tropical cyclones, would have passed across the region. The last ones were interpreted as triggered by 'Super-ENSO' events (Hameed et al., 2018), presumably in relation to global climate warming. These last events were anomalous since, over periods of several centuries, the number of strong storms and cyclones in the province was expected to probably range between 2 and 3 per century (Canavesio, pers.comm.) to a maximum of 4–10 (Dupon, 1987). Cyclone events typified by a 50-year return interval would generate wave exceeding 12 m high (Canavesio, 2014).

Storm wave surges hitting South-Marutea are generated by tropical cyclones, depressions, and southern, distant-source wave surges. For the 1831 to 1968 period, there were three recorded passages of destructing cyclones in the Gambier region. Initiated close to the Marquesas Archipelago, all these cyclones were described as having followed similarly close trajectories as tracked south-east along the west Mangareva coasts at distances of less than 10 km (Laurent and Varney, 2014). During the ¹⁹⁶⁹–2010 interval, South-Marutea is known to have been impacted by eight cyclones and depressions (Laurent and Varney, 2014) (Fig. 3). In the vicinity of the Gambier Islands, most cyclones tend to become less powerful, grading into tropical depressions, hence unable to displace the largest coral debris. The most recent damaging effects have resulted from the passage of cyclones Nano and William. Nano passed over South-Marutea by 27th January 1983. All atolls located along its trajectory were impacted, especially their north- and east-facing rim sides which were totally submerged. Descriptions from neighbouring atolls of Hao and Tureia reveal that about 15–18 m-high waves have flooded over the reef rims, locally resulting in removing portions of islets over lengths of 60 m and widths of 20 m, together with transport of coral-built mega-blocks from the adjacent forereef slopes onto the motu (Laurent and Varney, 2014). The eye of Cyclone William has passed close to the north of South-Marutea by 20th April 1983. At Turea, waves of about ⁸–9 m high were observed. It is noteworthy that, at South-Marutea, while there are several metre-thick, outer shingle ridges, mostly composed of coral boulders and cobbles, deposited along the reef-rim, there is no mega-boulder. Large mega-clasts are also missing (B. Salvat, pers. observ.).These are striking features because numerous mega-clasts, a few to tens of cubic metres in volume, are frequently present on reef flats in the majority of atolls in the Tuamotu, locally in the north and central part of the archipelago, usually deposited along the northern and southern faces (Bourrouilh-Le Jan and Talandier, 1985; Etienne et al., 2011; Terry and Etienne, 2011; Lau et al., 2016). This strongly suggests that the studied atoll and most of nearby islands have escaped catastrophic impacts generated by the close passage of cyclones, in contrast to what is seen at Hao and Tureia. South-Marutea, located further south, close to the end of the cyclonic tracks in the south Pacific (Larrue and Chiron, 2010; Laurent and Varney, 2014), is likely to have been hit by cyclones with loss of strength. However, mean tide levels during a cyclonic event can be strongly impacted by both wave setup, i.e. increase of mean water level due to waves breaking on the reef front, and by runup, i.e. maximum elevation reached by waves on the atoll land. For cyclonic wave heigths of 8–12 m, runup effects could increase the reference ocean water level by more than 4.5–5.0 m along coastlines (Pedreros et al., 2010). It is noteworthy that elevation reached by sea level is sensitive mainly to wave direction. The effects of changing incident waves that deflect into a direction different from the perpendicular to the reef front line could cause increasing risk and disturbances in some areas, especially near passes or hoa. Similarly, atoll-islet inundation can occur from waves surges directly generated from lagoonal waters (Damlamian et al., 2013).

Distant-source swells originate from high-latitude storms, especially

Fig. 3. Tracks of some tropical cyclones within the south-eastern Tuamotu region since the beginning of the twentieth century. Modified from Laurent and Varney (2014).

in the south (austral) hemisphere. There is little information about the real influence of distant-source storms in atoll shaping in the Tuamotu, although their return frequency is approximatively annual, probably because these have usually limited effect on human activities. However, these are known to have triggered a rise of up to 2.50 m in atoll lagoon level above low spring tide, resulting in islet submergence and damage (Canavesio, 2019). Lagoon water infilling seems to depend not only upon the magnitude of the storm wave event, but also upon the duration of the event.

In addition, little is known about the frequency and effects of tsunamis in French Polynesia and, especially, in the south-eastern Tuamotu end (Sladen et al., 2007). Around fifteen tsunamis were estimated to have hit French Polynesia and the Tuamotu since the fifteenth century (Lau et al., 2016). Earthquake-generated tsunamis from the eastern Pacific subduction zones seem to be potentially not able to impact the Gambier areas (Heinrich et al., 1996; Reymond et al., 2013). According to Sladen et al. (2007), the Tuamotu have been significantly less affected by tsunamis than high volcanic islands in other French Polynesian archipelagoes, although some atoll-rim deposits may be interpreted as resulting from devastating tsunami impacts (Montaggioni et al., 2022). Since the 16th century, less than ten tsunamis were expected to have struck the Tuamotu islands and generated less than 2 m-high waves (Lau et al., 2016).

Moreover, as emphasized by Duvat et al. (2020), climate events regarded as moderate compared to tropical cyclones can significantly contribute to atoll-islet shaping. Usually overlooked as sediment providers, low-to medium water energy hazards, with return periods of 2–³ years, compared to those of cyclone (several decades), regularly supply motu with material derived from upper forereef and reef-flat zones and contiguous conglomerate platforms.

Rapid, high amplitude mean global sea-level rise is expected for the next centuries (Horton et al., 2020). Highest sea-level positions should be close to those estimated for the last interglacial MIS 5e stage (Rohling

et al., 2007; Kopp et al., 2009)..

3. Material and methods

3.1. Field surveys

The studied motu sites are the following: north-east (Aramu) (Fig. 1c), due south (Vainono) (Fig. 1d), west (Aranui) (Fig. 1e), north-north-west (Oire) (Fig. 1f) and due east (Tekava) (Fig. 1g).

First, detailed levelling along longitudinal profiles across the atollreef rim was conducted using a conventional automatic level. Each reference point along the profiles was positioned using DGPS coordinates. Elevations were measured by reference to present-day mean sea level (pmsl), assuming a conservative error of ± 0.10 m. The profiles across the motu reveal similar protruding relief seawards. These relate to shingle ridges bordering the ocean-facing sides of the atoll-reef rim, as observed at Motu Vainono, across its highest tip (Figs. 1d and 4a) and at Motu Oire (Figs. 1f and 4b). The islets rest usually on conglomerate platforms, i.e. lithified, heterometric skeletal sheets, regarded as cemented within vadose and phreatic marine zones during the sea-level lowering over the last 4,000 years (Montaggioni et al., 2021). Conglomerates are less than 1.00 m in apparent thickness, but are thicker locally, particularly in the south-south-west (Motu Aranui) and the west (Motu Oire) areas of the atoll reef-rim (Fig. 5a, b, c). Coral pebbles were collected superficially along the shingle-ridge profiles for radiometric dating submission. Similarly, for comparison, samples were also taken along the ocean-facing shingle ridges on Motu Aranui (Fig. 1e), on the south-south-west coast $(21^{\circ}31'38.6''S, 135^{\circ}37'48.9''W)$ and Motu Tekava (Fig. 1g), on the south-south-east atoll-rim end $(21^{\circ}31'51.31''S,$ 135°27′23.70″W).

Additional topographic surveys were conducted in relation to excavated cross-sections through two selected islets, respectively Motu Aramu, exposed to the north-north-east $(21^{\circ}29'00.2''S, 135^{\circ}30'48''W)$

Fig. 4. Transverse topographic profiles across outer shingle ridges of the reef-rim and islet, South-Marutea Atoll, showing the different geomorphic components. (a) south-east of Motu Vainono – see Fig. 1d for location; (b) west of Motu Oire – see Fig. 1f for location. The location of the dated coral-clast samples is indicated. Ages are given in years before present (before 2022). See Table 1 for dating parameters.

and Motu Vainono, exposed to the south-east $(21^{\circ}34'05.3''S,$ 135°33′05.9″W). Aramu is 230 m long, while Vainono extends over 720 m. Islet topography are very similar at both studied sites. Along the ocean side, the transition zone between the inner reef-flat zone and the land is occupied by steeply-dipping (up to 20°) sandy, skeletal beaches (Fig. 6). Inland, there are unconsolidated rubble ridges, mainly composed of block-sized (0.30 m on average), individual coral colonies, dominated by pocilloporids and acroporids (Fig. 7). The ocean-facing ridge slopes are

steep (up to 30 $^{\circ}$) while the inner faces are gently-dipping (less than 15 $^{\circ}$). Ridge heights at the transect sites are 2.30 m at Motu Aramu (Fig. 8) and 5.10 m at Motu Vainono (Fig. 9). Behind the ridges, there are gentlydipping (less than 10° –15°) to flat-topped benches culminating at ele- $\mu_{\rm pph}$ (i.e. that $\mu_{\rm p}$ is $\mu_{\rm s}$ is the temperature end into 10° sloping vations of 1.60 m–2.60 m respectively. The benches end into 10° sloping beaches, about 20–80 m in width. The inner sides of shingle ridges at Motu Vainono are covered by low-growing plants (Scaevola taccada). Conglomerate platforms and beaches from the ocean-facing Aramu areas

Fig. 5. Conglomerate platforms from the reef rim, South-Marutea Atoll; (a) overview of the two-layer, 1.10 m thick conglomerate pavement, hoa side, south of Motu Oire; (b) close-up picture of the 1.20 m thick conglomerate bed, reef-flat side, west of Motu Oire – see Fig. 1f for location; (c) close-up picture of the twolayer, 1.20 m thick conglomerate pavement, west of Motu Aranui – see Fig. 1b and e for location. The location of the dated coral-clast samples is indicated. Ages are given in years before 2022. See Table 1 for details on dating parameters.

Fig. 6. Aerial view of the south-south-east side of Motu Vainono, southern reefrim area of South-Marutea Atoll, showing, from the ocean landwards, the reefflat zone, about 200 m wide, the conglomerate-platform front line (brown colour), the outer beach (white colour), the successive shingle ridges (grey colour) and the vegetation-covered islet bench. See Fig. 1d for location and Fig. 4a for geomorphic profile.

Fig. 7. Close-up picture of the upper part of the ocean-facing shingle ridge, composed of blocky, pocilloporid and acroporid coral clasts, south-east of Motu Vainono, southern reef-rim area, South-Marutea Atoll.

are colonized by spaced Pemphis acidula tufts – miki-miki in Polynesian language. The inner, flat-topped surfaces of both islets are occupied by both low-growing plants (Scaevola taccada, Microsorum grossum), shrubs (Pandanus tectorius) and trees (Guettarda speciosa, Cocos nucifera).

Second, vertical excavations using backhoe were dug through Motu Aramu (Fig. 8) and Motu Vainono (Fig. 9) from top surface to underlying conglomerate surfaces in order to determine islet subsurface stratigraphy. On both motu, the excavations were dug at three specific locations: just behind the shingle ridge, in the middle part of the motu and on the lagoon-facing margin. From the ocean side inwards, these holes are successively labelled A $(21^{\circ}28'57.1''S, 135^{\circ}30'45.6''W)$, B $(21^{\circ}29'00.2''\text{S}, 135^{\circ}30'48.1''\text{W})$ and C $(21^{\circ}29'02.7''\text{S}, 135^{\circ}30'50.3''\text{W})$ on Motu Aramu, and D (21°34′09.0″S, 135°33′04.2″W), E (21°34′05.3″S, $135^{\circ}33'05.9''W$, and F $(21^{\circ}33'57.54''S, 135^{\circ}33'07.86''W)$ on Motu Vainono. Field grain-size analysis of coarser detrital material was performed using the Udden-Wentworth classification (Terry and Goff, 2014) as measured along the longest axis from photographed square-metre quadrats. Coral pebbles and gravels were collected for radiometric dating at all excavated sites. Taxonomic identification of dated coral specimens was made at the generic level.

Fig. 8. Transverse topographic profile across Motu Aramu, north-north-eastern reef-rim area, South-Marutea Atoll, showing the location and lithostratigraphy of excavations A, B and C, with location and radiometric ages of the dated coral-clast samples. See Fig. 1b and c for location of excavations and Table 1 for dating parameters. Ages are given in calendar years – relative to 2022, the year of analysis. See Table 1 (Supplementary material) for details on dating parameters. WL: watertable level.

Fig. 9. Transverse topographic profile across Motu Vainono, south-south-western rim area, South-Marutea Atoll, showing the location and lithostratigraphy of excavations D, E and F, with location and radiometric ages of the dated coral-clast samples. See Fig. 1b, d for location of excavations and (Supplementary Material, Table 1)for dating parameters. Ages are given in calendar years (relative to 2022. the year of analysis). See Table 1 (Supplementary material) for details on dating parameters. WL: water-table level.

3.2. Uranium–thorium dating procedures

The reconstruction of atoll islet chronostratigraphy and building history is based on uranium-series dating of the 88 coral gravels to pebbles, labelled M, collected on South-Marutea Atoll, both at the surface of islets and, within excavations, along the walls and at the bottom.

Prior to radiometric analysis, millimetric pieces of the coral clasts were cut using a micro saw in order to select the most clean and pristine parts. These pieces were rinsed with mQ water and ultra-sonicated several times. After adding a triple 229 Th 233 Ue 236 U spike in a Teflon beaker, samples (from 150 to 350 mg) were dissolved with diluted HCl. The U–Th separation and purification were performed after coprecipitation with $Fe(OH)_3$, on 0.6 ml columns filled with U-TEVA and prefilter resins, in nitric media – see Pons-Branchu et al. (2014) for details. The U and Th isotopic compositions were analyzed at the Laboratoire des sciences du climat et de l'environnement (LSCE, France), on a Multi-Collector inductively coupled plasma source mass spectrometer (MCeICPMS) Thermo Scientific[™] Neptune^{plus} fitted with a desolvating introduction system (aridus II), and a jet interface. For mass fractionation correction, we used an exponential mass fractionation law – normalized to natural $\rm ^{238}U/^{235}U$ isotopic ratio – and standard – sample bracketing. More details on the analytical procedure – chemistry and MC-ICPMS analysis – can be found inPons- Branchu et al. (2014). After corrections for peak tailing, hydrate interference and chemical blanks, 230 Th $/^{234}$ U ages were calculated (Table 1) from measured atomic ratios through iterative age estimation using the 230 Th, 234 U, and 238 U decay constants of Cheng et al. (2013) and Jaffey et al. (1971). Ages are expressed in calendar years BP(cal.yr), by reference to 2022, i.e. the year of sample analysis. In order to make age results more easily comparable to previous research, particularly that based on radiocarbon measurements, ages are also given in conventional years BP by reference to 1950 (see Table 1, Supplementary Material).

3.3. Significance of coral-clast ages

Radiometric dating of displaced skeletal material (corals, molluscs, foraminifera) for reconstructing depositional histories of atoll islets were discussed by Woodroffe et al. (1999), Kench et al. (2014b) and Montaggioni et al. (2018, 2019, 2021, 2022). As an unknown time interval (tens to hundreds of years) is expected to separate the time of death of a given organism from the time of final deposition and stabilization of its skeletal fragments, islet chronologies have therefore to be interpreted as relative, not absolute. Coral clasts may have experienced successive

cycles of displacement, reworking and resedimentation. This is clearly evidenced by a number of age inversions in the stratigraphic sections (Figs. 8, 9). However, islet chronologies is assumed to be consistent, since most of the dated samples occupy a stratigraphic position within the excavations, particularly, within those close to the outer ridges and from the middle parts of both islets, which is in accordance with their age. Samples tend to be younger closer to the surface and older closer to the bottom. In these sites, the youngest ages obtained are regarded to be closer to the time of definitive stabilization of the relevant islet section. Clast stabilization is believed to have taken place within 200–1,000 yr-long intervals, according to clast ages recorded at base of the excavations.

4. Results

4.1. Expected age of deposition of conglomerate platforms

Ages of coral clasts incorporated into islet-supporting conglomerates, collected from both outcrop surfaces or at the bottom of the excavations vary widely from site to site (Fig. 10). At Motu Aramu, ages range from $3,585 \pm 10$ cal yr BP (M1), $3,593 \pm 20$ cal yr BP (M4), and $4,108 \pm 10$ cal yr BP (M43) to $4,181 \pm 8$ cal yr BP (M52). At Motu Vainono, conglomerate clasts yielded ages of $1,109 \pm 4$ cal yr BP (M16), $1,204 \pm 8$ cal yr BP, (M25), $3,218 \pm 7$ cal yr BP (M118), and $3,986 \pm 10$ cal yr BP (M88). At Motu Aranui, conglomerate ages are among the oldest recorded: $3,665 \pm 16$ cal yr BP (M148) and 4,829 \pm 24 cal yr BP (M149). By contrast, at Tekava, conglomerate deposits are among the youngest ones: $1,011 \pm 32$ cal yr BP (M103), $1,339 \pm 6$ cal yr BP (M102), and $1,743 \pm 7$ cal yr BP (M101).

4.2. Expected age of deposition of ocean-facing shingle ridges and inner sheet surfaces

U/Th dating of coral clasts collected from the shingle-ridge and inner islet surfaces at the different islet settings suggests that the ridges have

Fig. 10. Plot of the U/Th dated coral-clast samples versus their respective topographic or stratigraphic location across or within the studied motu sites – see Figs. 1b–g, 4a, 4b, 8 and 9 for location. Ages are given in calendar years BP (relative to 2022, the year of analysis). Vainono excavations: note the decreasing age trend from the ocean-facing islet side lagoonwards. Aramu excavations: note a similar, but less continuous age decreasing trend, due to both younger clast deposits at the ocean-facing islet site and hiatus in clast supply between 4,000 and 2,000 calendar yr BP.

been supplied in coral detritus between the last few decades to the last millennia (Fig. 10). Clast deposition has taken place decades to century apart depending on exposure to wave surges and local forereef slope physiography of each considered atoll site. The youngest ages are mostly obtained from the outermost, ocean-facing ridge surfaces or tips: Aranui (47 \pm 4 cal yr BP, M138), Aramu (51 \pm 2 cal yr BP, M53), Vainono (79 \pm 2 cal yr BP, M19; 185 ± 3 cal yr BP, M18), Oire (304 \pm 3 cal yr BP, M162; 308 ± 5 cal yr BP, M164), Tekava (343 \pm 4 cal yr BP, M107). By contrast, samples from the inner talus, just behind the ridge tips, provide ages significantly older: Tekava (862 \pm 5 cal yr BP, M100), Oire (1,255 \pm 11 cal yr BP, M166; 3,565 \pm 13 cal yr BP, M167), Vainono (2,314 \pm 150 cal yr BP, M21; 2,678 \pm 9 cal yr BP, M24; 2,695 \pm 8 cal yr BP, M22).

Ages of samples from the inner sheet surfaces range are the following (Fig. 10): 108 ± 3 cal yr BP (M44) and $1,786 \pm 12$ cal yr BP (M26) at Aramu, 2,480 \pm 10 cal yr BP (M132), 42 \pm 2 cal yr BP (M65) and 2,885 \pm 8 cal yr BP (M85) at Vainono, 3,846 \pm 15 cal yr BP (M109) at Tekava.

4.3. Internal islet structure and lithostratigraphy

Examination of the excavations dug through both Motu Aramu and Motu Vainono revealed that the relevant unconsolidated deposits are resting on firmly indurated, conglomerate pavements. The conglomerate top surfaces range from 0.40 to 0.70 m (0.50 m on average) in elevation above pmsl. These surfaces are locally overflooded by the ground water table at maximum levels of 0.25 m (excavations C, D and F) (Fig. 11b, c, d). Analysis of the excavation walls reveal that the conglomerateoverlying, loose deposits increase noticeably in thickness and volume from Aramu to Vainono settings (Figs. 8, 9), probably in response to exposure to higher intensity and frequency of islet-building wave surges. The ocean-facing sequences (A and D) located just behind both the outer shingle ridges are 2.00 and 2.50 m thick at Motu Aramu and Motu Vainono respectively. In the middle parts of the islets, the thicknesses of the sedimentary sequences (B and E) are 0.90 and 2.00 m respectively. The sequences from the lagoon sides (C and F) are 1.10 and 2.10 m thick respectively.

> Fig. 11. Views of the internal structure and lithostratigraphy of the sedimentary piles, from excavations through Motu Aramu and Motu Vainono, respectively; (a) Excavation A, ocean-facing islet margin, showing the upper 1 m section, composed of pebbledominated, sand supported material; (b) Excavation C, lagoon-facing islet margin, showing the whole section, composed of sand-dominated, pebble-rich sediments, overtopped by a dark, organic bed. The level of the water table is about 0.20 m above the excavation bottom; (c) excavation D, ocean-facing islet margin, showing the lower section composed of cobble-to pebble-dominated, sand to pebblesupported detritus. The level of the water table is about 0.10 m above the excavation bottom; (d) excavation F, lagoon-facing margin, showing the whole section, composed of sand-dominated, pebblerich material. The top section exhibits an about 0.50 m thick organic deposit. The level of the water table is about 0.25 m above the excavation bottom.

The sequences consist usually of beds ranging from 0.20 to 0.60 m thick (Figs. 8, 9). However, locally, on Motu Vainono, single bed thickness can reach 1–2 m. Sediment grain-size decreases significantly from the ocean-facing shingle ridges (Fig. 11a, c) lagoonwards (Fig. 11b, d). Boulder-to cobble-dominated deposits laterally grade into pebbledominated, then in sand-dominated facies. Sediment composition and texture are quite similar between Aramu and Vainono sites. Longest axis of coral boulders ranges between 300 and 350 mm to up to 100 mm with mean values of around 200 mm. Along the islet transects, boulders particles are progressively replaced by cobbles and pebbles. Boulders and cobbles consist predominantly of entire pocilloporid and fragmented acroporid colonies, associated to merulinids and a few poritids (Fig. 7). Pebbly detritus are mainly composed of fragmented pocilloporids, acroporids and merulinids, and low content of molluscan shells and coralline algae. Sand-sized grains are composed of large foraminifera, molluscan, coral and coralline debris. Foraminifera tests are dominated by soritids.

On the basis of textural attributes, four sedimentary facies can be recognized.

4.3.1. Boulder-cobble-dominated facies

This facies tends to be restricted to islet areas close to the ocean sides. It is prevalent at the most exposed site (Excavation D) on Motu Vainono where it represents about 70% of the volume deposited (Fig. 9). By contrast, on Motu Aramu, within the ocean-facing excavation (A), boulders and cobbles do not exceed 25% of volume (Fig. 8). Surprisingly, there is a layer composed of the same percentage of cobbles in Excavation C, close to the lagoon side at Motu Aramu.

4.3.2. Pebble-dominated facies

It is subdivided into two subfacies as differentiated by its clastsupported characteristics: pebble-supported and sand-supported. Pebble detritus (about 50 mm–5 mm in diameter) are dominantly composed of diverse coral debris, together with a few molluscan shells and coralline algae. Both facies are found throughout both excavated islets (Figs. 8, 9 and 11a, 11c). However, volumes of pebble-sized material is noticeably higher in Motu Vainono than in Motu Aramu. The pebble-supported subfacies is the main component in the central part of Vainono islet (Excavation E) in which it occupies up to 60% of the total volume while, in the central part of Aramu islet (Excavation B), it accounts for 20–25% only. The sand-supported subfacies was encountered in both facingocean excavations (A, D) in which it represents 20% and about 60% of the total volumes respectively.

4.3.3. Sand-dominated facies

Sandy material, enriched in low amounts (less than 10%) of fine pebbles, is deposited predominantly within the inner islet zones, from the central parts towards the lagoonal border, on both Motu Aramu and Motu Vainono (Fig. 11b, d). Higher sand amounts were found on Motu Aramu, through Excavations B and C, in which it represents 70% and 80% of the total volumes respectively. At Motu Vainono, within Excavations E and F, sand-dominated sediments occupied 25% and 28% of the cavity.

4.3.4. Organic-rich facies

This facies defines the occurrence of brown-to black-coloured, rootbearing horizons at the top part of most excavated sequences, usually at the expense of both pebble-to sand-dominated facies (Fig. 11b, d). It is present at all excavation tops, but best developed on Vainono sites. There, its thickness ranges from 0.20 to 0.50 m. At Aramu settings, organic-rich layers are 0.050–0.25 m thick.

As a summary, it appears that the thicknesses of the different facies and subfacies vary markedly from Motu Aramu to Motu Vainono and from excavation to excavation. As expected, coarser deposits dominate in areas facing the open ocean, while finer ones are rather located near the lagoon sides. No apparent sediment grading was observed in any sequence nor any bed.

4.4. Islet accretion chronology

All ages of the dated coral samples extracted from excavations range approximately within the last 5,000 year-interval – from $5,042 \pm 16$ cal yr BP (M61) to 42 ± 2 cal yr BP (M65). However, the age distributional patterns differ between the both islets (Fig. 10). On Motu Aramu, two major phases of clast supply occurred (Fig. 12a), the earlier from about 5,000 to 4,000 cal yr BP, and the later from 2,000 cal yr BP to the modern, separated by a two millennia-long non-depositional episode or a least by a slowdown in clast supply. By contrast, on Motu Vainono, earlier deposition would have initiated between 5,000 and 4,000 cal yr BP (Fig. 12a). There, the main accretional episode would have lasted approximately 2,000 years, between 4,000 and 2,000 cal yr. BP Accretion then progressively decreased in intensity until the modern times.

Few sequences have an age-decreasing trend from base to top, consistent with stratigraphy. Despite some age inversions, in Excavations A and B (Aramu, outermost and mid islet sites) and F (Vainono, lagoonmargin site), the older samples tend to be deposited in the lower beds while the younger ones to be found close to the land surface. Within Excavation A, ages range from 4.512 ± 12 cal yr BP (M63) – 5,042 ± 16 cal yr BP (M61) at base to 545 \pm 4 cal yr BP (M55) – 51 \pm 2 cal yr BP (M53) at top. Within Excavation B, ages decrease from $4,363 \pm 13$ cal yr BP (M51) to $1,820 \pm 8$ cal yr BP (M47) and 108 ± 3 cal yr BP (M44). Within Excavation F, ages are $2,278 \pm 9$ cal yr BP (M84) at base, around 1,300–900 cal yr BP in the medium beds and 42 ± 2 cal yr BP (M65) at top. But, in most cases, the samples are found to have been reworked and mixed. As a whole, ages in the sections from Motu Aramu are distributed within a wide range while those from Motu Vainono are distributed narrowly. Excavations A: $5,042 \pm 16$ cal yr BP (M61) to 51 ± 2 cal yr BP (M53); B: 4,191 \pm 8 cal yr BP (M52) to 108 \pm 3 cal yr BP (M47); C: 4,644 \pm 25 cal yr BP (M30 bis) to 882 \pm 5 cal yr BP (M38); D: 4,026 \pm 13 cal yr BP (M89) to 2,885 \pm 8 cal yr BP (M85); E: 3,293 \pm 24 cal yr BP (M134); F: 2,449 \pm 10 cal yr BP (M82). The average age difference between the dated clasts into each excavation can be estimated as follows (Figs. 8–10): 5,000 years in Excavation A; 4,000 years in B; 4,200 years in C; 1,100 years in D; 1,000 years in E; 1,500 years in F. With a volume at least twice that of Motu Aramu, Motu Vainono is interpreted to have developed continuously and more rapidly throughout the same time interval, from several distinct depositional episodes of 1,000 to 1,500 years in duration.

Clasts older than 2,000 to 4,000 years are present within all the sequences, from the outermost as well as from the innermost islet sites, but best stratigraphically organized and mostly concentrated within the outermost excavation (A, D) deposits. This strongly suggests that the earlier depocentres from which the islets have accreted laterally have settled close to the ocean side, just behind the modern coastline. At Aramu, analysis of the age clast distribution within Excavations A and B advocates for an earlier clast deposition at approximately 5,000 to 4,500 cal yr BP. At Vainono, in the outermost islet sections (Excavations D and E), the reported oldest clast ages range from about 4,000 to 3,000 cal. yr BP , thus supporting the interpretation that initiation of the depocentres may have occurred about 1,000 year later, compared to Aramu sites.

Along the lagoon sides, at Motu Aramu, in Excavation C, a number of younger clasts, varying in age from $1,808 \pm 8$ (M26 bis) to 882 ± 5 cal yr BP (M38), are mixed with significantly older coral detritus, dated at $4,742 \pm 14$ (M28) to $4,037 \pm 15$ cal yr BP (M37). Such an age mixture is regarded as resulting from a severe reworking of about 4,000 yr-old deposits during a later accretional phase of lagoonal islet borders, from about 1,800 cal yr BP and probably during the last century. Similarly, on Motu Vainono, in Excavation F (lagoon side), clasts aged 2,500 cal yr BP, found at top, and are mixed with some dated 1,600–900 cal yr BP located downhole. Accordingly, a reworking and resedimentation of the lagoon margins is thought to have occurred presumably during the last century or earlier. Similarly, the ocean-facing shingle ridges from which superficially deposited clasts yield ages ranging from about 70 (M19), 300 (M162, M164), 1,200 (M166), 2,500 (M21, M22, M24) to 3,500 (M167)

Fig. 12. Relationships between the main islet accretional phases on South-Marutea Atoll, regional changes in sea level and in ENSO-controlled cyclogenesis over the past 5,000 years; (a) accretional phases at Aramu and Vainono sites, based on the number of dated coral-clast samples; (b) regional sea level curve, modified from Pirazzoli and Montaggioni (1987) and Hallmann et al. (2020); (c) record of ENSO activity based on measurement of sand percentage in Juna Crater Lake, Galapagos, modified from Conroy et al. (2008); (d) record of ENSO activity based on sediment laminae light-intensity from a core extracted from Laguna Pallcacocha, Ecuador, modified from Moy et al. (2002).

calyr BP, are relatively moving structures, occasionally suffering reshaping.

As a summary, Motu Aramu and Motu Vainono are believed to have started developing between 5,000 and 4,500 (Fig. 13) and between 4,000

and 3,000 cal yr BP (Fig. 14) respectively, from depocentres settled close to the present coastline. The innermost islet areas, that have probably emplaced about 500 to 1,000 years later, have suffered intense disturbance and reworking, resulting in mixtures between older than 4,000 cal yr or than 1,500 cal yr clasts with some younger than 1,800 cal yr BP or aged 1,600–900 cal yr BP respectively.

4.5. Islet accretion rates

The rate of islet accretion is obviously dependent on the frequency and intensity of wave-surge events, serving as both constructional and erosional agents, which have impacted the atoll over time, but also on the recovery rates of coral communities in the different source zones. For example, the acroporid- and pocilloporid-dominated coral populations along the upper outer-reef zone, i.e. the main source of coral-clasts, are growing at rates of several tens of centimetres per year. Accordingly, these would be able to regenerate after 20 years in high-energy settings (Y. Chancerelle, CRIOBE, pers. com.) at rates significantly higher than the apparent recurrence period of islet building events averaging 50–¹⁰⁰ years.

The accretion rates of atoll-rim islets and adjacent shingle ridges can be estimated based on U/Th dating. Vertical accretion rates at Aramu and Vainonono sites range at around 2–3 to 6 cm per century respectively. Lateral accretion rates from the depocentres oceanwards range between less than 1 m per century at Aramu and 2–2.5 m per century at Vainono. Progradation towards the lagoon margins occurred at rates averaging ³–3.5 and 7–9 m per century at Aramu and Vainono respectively. Shingle ridges have prograded to the ocean at rates of 0.75–0.60 m per century at Aramu and 2.0–2.50 m per century at Vainono. These values clearly take into account erosional and reworking phases, given major depositional events are known to have occurred through instantaneous, highintensity, low-frequency wave surges.

5. Discussion

5.1. Islet-accretion and sea-level change

In the Tuamotu, the sea-level course during the mid to late Holocene times is relatively well constrained based on dating of exposed coral heads, conglomerates and remnants of reef flats (Pirazzoli and Montaggioni, 1986; 1988a, b) and elevated micro-atolls (Hallmann et al., 2018, 2020), especially, in the Gambier islands (Pirazzoli and Montaggioni, 1987) (Fig. 12b). After a rapid postglacial rise (Bard et al., 1996), sea level reached and outpassed its present position by approximately 6,000 cal yr BP. Sea level continued to rise up irregularly to heights of about $+1$ m relative to pmsl, from 5,000 to 4,100 cal yr BP, then remained stable over a period of about 600 years. From about 3,400 cal yr BP, sea level started to fall progressively (Hallmann et al., 2020). Between 3,000 and 1,500 cal yr BP, sea level dropped step by step eaching its modern position during the last millennium (Pirazzoli and Montaggioni, 1986, 1987, 1988a, b; Hallmann et al., 2018, 2020).

Throughout the tropics, the initiation and building of atoll-rim islets would have taken place under different eustatic regimes: during a posthighstand sea-level fall (Woodroffe et al., 1999; Dickinson, 2009; Kench et al., 2014a, 2014b; Yasukochi et al., 2014), a rise in sea level (Montaggioni et al., 2022) or stillstands higher than the present (McLean et al., 1978; Stoddart et al., 1978; Woodroffe and Morrison, 2001; Kench et al., 2014a, 2014b, 2020; Yamano et al., 2014). For example, in the north-west Tuamotu, on Takapoto Atoll, motu would have developed during the sea-level drop phase from 2,500 cal yr BP to present (Montaggioni et al., 2018, 2019). However, there is no evidence that the position of sea level during its course has played a significant role in atoll-islet building.

Fig. 13. Cross-section through Motu Aramu, north-eastern rim area, South-Marutea Atoll, showing the successive phases of islet accretion. The dashed lines refer to accretion isochrons, given in calendar years before present and are defined from time intervals between the dated coral-clast samples. Are indicated the location of the excavation and of the dated coral samples extracted from conglomerates.

Fig. 14. Cross-section through Motu Vainono, southern rim area, South-Marutea Atoll, showing the successive phases of islet accretion. The dashed lines refer to accretion isochrons, given in calendar years before present and are defined from time intervals between the dated coral-clast samples. The location of the excavation and of the dated coral samples extracted from conglomerates are indicated.

5.2. Timing and mode of islet-accretion

Radiometric dating of both islet foundations, i.e. conglomerate platforms, and overlying unconsolidated islet deposits, reveal that all these features have deposited over the last 5,000 years, from the mid to late Holocene (Figs. 10 and 12a). These findings clearly indicate that the emplacement of Motu Aramu and Motu Vainono and their conglomerate foundations has taken place throughout the whole mid-late Holocene sea-level cycle. Atoll-rim nourishment by coral detritus on Aramu sites

would have begun from about 5,000 cal yr BP as sea-level was rising. Since the top surfaces of conglomerate platforms reach locally elevations of up to 1.00 m, it is obvious that their present-day position cannot be related to any higher sea stillstand during the mid-late Holocene (Montaggioni et al., 2021). The timing of deposition of rubble sheets from which conglomerates have formed is consistent with previous data gained from a number of other French Polynesian islands. These revealed that deposition of conglomerates has mostly taken place between 6,000 cal yr BP to present, peaking between 4,000 and 1,000 cal yr. BP It is instructive to recall that both deposition and consolidation of conglomeratic material and accretion of overlying atoll-rim islets were demonstrated to have occurred locally simultaneously. In other words, there have been interplays between deposition of antecedent rubble sheets behind conglomerate pavements and islet building (Montaggioni et al., 2021), as currently observed on Motu Tekava (Fig. 15).

The mode of islet development was identical on both Aramu and Vainono sites as illustrated by isochron distribution (Figs. 13, 14). Islet accretion has been initiated from nodal points, i.e. depocentres, as previously observed on Takapoto Atoll, north-west Tuamotu (Montaggioni et al., 2019). However, there is no direct evidence that all islets present around the atoll rim have accreted similarly, from prograding and final coalescence of a series of nearby isolated depocentres, especially, in rim areas on which rest continuous, elongated islets, spreading over several kilometres, as observed in the north-east rim. However, based on the present-day physiography of the south-west rim, typified by alternations of small, isolated motu separated by a series of functioning hoa, the accretional islet model involving prograding depocentres is thought to be realistic (Fig. 16).

Starting at around 5,000 cal yr BP, the first islet accretional event ended 4,000 yr ago at Aramu sites (Fig. 12a). At the same time, islet deposition was initiated on Vainono reef-rim while sea level peaked at $+1$ m above pmsl (Fig. 12b). At Aramu, the postulated non-depositional episode between 4,000 and 1,800 cal yr, BP occurred during the about 600 yr-long high stillstand and lasted up to one millennium. The second and final accretional event took place during the last 1,800 years as sea level dropped to its modern position. Correlatively, over the last 4,000 years, at Vainono sites, coral clasts were supplied continuously (Fig. 12a), during both the high sea stand and the following drop (Fig. 12b). Strong discrepancies in accretional histories between two nearby islets from a same atoll clearly support the fact that sea-level dynamics is not the major driver of atoll islet building in French Polynesia, contrary to some previous interpretations in other reef provinces (Perry et al., 2011; Kench et al., 2014b, 2020), even if there is apparently a close correspondence between the course of sea level and islet nourishment by coral detritus on Motu Vainono. In fact, atoll-islet building seems to have been no longer dependent on sea-level dynamics. Fluctuations of some tens of centimetres have not been able to significantly influence the depositional islet patterns in regions periodically subjected to storm wave surges. During the last 6,000 years, the only required control of sea-level was the maintenance of a position close to present throughout the complete cycle of islet accretion, thus facilitating supply of coral detritus from adjacent

Fig. 15. View of the south-east-facing, outer shingle ridge, Motu Tekava, eastsouth-eastern rim area, South-Marutea Atoll, clearly showing that coral-clast deposits are in process of rapid cementation, probably under the control of percolating vadose fresh waters.

forereef zones. However, it is noteworthy that initiation of islet accretion can operate even when sea-level was several tens of metres below its present position during the postglacial rising sea-level course, as observed on Rangiroa Atoll, northwestern Tuamotu. In such a case, rubble deposition was interpreted as resulting from occasional tsunamis (Montaggioni et al., 2022).

5.3. Islet accretion: the key role of marine hazard events

Natural hazards, including winter storms, cyclones, distant-source swells, tropical low waves and tsunamis, are known to be drivers of formation and shaping of low-lying reef islands (Woodroffe, 2008; Canavesio, 2019; Montaggioni et al., 2018; 2019 and references herein; Duvat et al., 2020). Especially, most of them are able to move gravels and large boulders from adjacent reef sources across atoll rims. Unfortunately, it is not possible to identify the respective role of these different islet-building agents in the sedimentary record, especially beyond the historical period due to the lack of record. Only assumptions can be made, based on the frequency of each agent. In the Tuamotu, tropical lows and tsunamis usually produce low intensity impacts, unlikely to be recorded in the sedimentary archives. Only the end-products of tropical cyclones and extreme distant-source events are most likely to be encapsulated into islet-sequences. The end-products are the result of both constructional and erosional processes. The morphological and stratigraphical attributes of these products, i.e. islets and associated shingle ridges and sheets, can provide valuable information on past regional storm dynamics, i.e. frequency and intensity of wave surges impacting islands (Nott, 2011; Montaggioni et al., 2018; 2019).

At reef settings and, particularly, in French Polynesia, coral clasts and finer skeletal grains deposited onto reef rims are known to have originated dominantly from the adjacent upper forereef zones, mostly extracted from coral communities living at depths less than 25 m (Laboute, 1985; Harmelin-Vivien and Laboute, 1986; Harmelin-Vivien, 1994) and partly from coral communities living in outer reef-flat and lagoonal environments (Adjas, 1988), as shown from taxonomic analysis of coral clasts and composition of groove sand pockets and lagoonal deposits.

In the tropical Pacific, biogeochemical records and climate modelling indicated that mid Holocene was a time of reduced ENSO variability (Clement et al., 2000; Koutavas et al., 2006; Zhang et al., 2014; Emile-- Geay et al., 2016; Lawman et al., 2022). Decreased ENSO frequency took place at times in the last few thousand years (Emile-Geay et al., 2016). Cyclone-related rainfall intensity increases after 4,200 yr BP, as well as at 3,200 and 2,000 yr BP in South America (Conroy et al., 2008; Emile-Geay et al., 2016). In the Tuamotu, occurrences of extreme marine wave hazards prior to the mid-nineteenth century are poorly documented (Laurent and Varney, 2014). A comprehensive survey of extreme hydrodynamic events, based on radiometric dating of mega-boulders was published by Lau et al. (2016), focusing only on the central Tuamotu region. Boulder age suggested that 5–9 extreme marine events occurred between 1200 and 1900 AD, with 3–5 events over the seventeenth and nineteenth centuries. In the absence of mega-boulders on South-Marutea, using the U/Th clast-age database provided herein can contribute to define the number of significant wave-surge events that have struck the south-eastern Tuamotu end over the last 5,000 years. A total of 86 are identified during this time span (Fig. 17), taking into account possible age overlapping between samples as recognized from U/Th error range. The number of events recorded per century ranges from zero to 5. It is evident that a database including a relatively limited number of dated specimens cannot provide a comprehensive record of past hazard events. Sedimentary sequences are well known to be truncated records of the depositional history of a given environment (Sadler, 1999). Especially, traces of marine hydrodynamic events are frequently poorly or incompletely preserved in atoll-rim ridge and beach stratigraphies. In addition, defining the number of storm events recorded by any islet sequence may be biased by sampling procedures including incomplete age determination (Nott, 2011). However, assuming that an average of 1–5 cyclonic

MOTU VAINONO (SOUTH)

Fig. 16. Idealized planimetric reconstruction of Motu Aramu (north-eastern rim area) and Motu Vainono (southern rim area), South-Marutea Atoll, showing the expected successive phases of islet accretion over the last 5,000 years. Isochrons delineate the expected surface areas of initial depocentres and incipient islets through time. Interrogation points relate to the younger accretional sections from which there are insufficient age records. From 5,000 to about 3,000 calendar yr BP at Aramu and from 5,000 to about 1,500 calendar yr BP at Vainono, spaces between adjacent depocentres and incipient islets are interpreted to have acted as hoa prior to have been sealed.

events per century with a mean recurrence time of 50 years is consistent with previous historical estimates (Dupon, 1987; Laurent and Varney, 2014; Lau et al., 2016), our data is thought to preserve a reliable picture of marine wave-surge event history in the remote south-eastern Tuamotu at a centennial to millennial-scale frequency over the last five millennia (Fig. 17). The historical period, i.e. the last two centuries, includes 6 hazard events, respectively occurring in around 1817, 1914, 1949, 1971, 1975, and 1980. The last two younger samples (M138, M65) were probably projected onto the atoll rim during the passage of cyclone Frances (February 1976) and cyclone Nano (January 1983). Related to the year 1971 (M53), there is no direct record of a marine hazard event within the Gambier region; the only record is that of a moderate tropical depression (Vivienne) passed close through the Austral Archipelago, far from the Gambier. Similarly, there is no evidence of a noticeable cyclone activity from 1914 (M44) to 1949 (M19) within the entire Tuamotu region. As for the nineteenth century, only one sample (M18: 1817 ± 3 AD) accounts for a storm event at South-Marutea, but not validated in the historical registry. It is noteworthy, as shown by Duvat et al. (2020),

projection of coral clasts from outer reef slopes to adjacent atoll rims can occur during tropical lows, under moderate hydrodynamical conditions, without the involvement of any extreme hazard event. Such relatively low-wave energy events do not get enough attention to be listed as part of significantly human-impacting climate phenomena.

Throughout pre-European historical times, from the beginning of the Common Era to the eighteenth century, clast dating allows a total of 35 hazard events distributed into three main periods of active storminess to be identified (Fig. 17): from the 18th to 15th, from the 12th to the 7th and from the 3rd to the 2nd centuries, apparently separated by two quiescent intervals between the 12th–15th and the 7th–3rd centuries respectively. Each active interval appears to have been separated from the next by a non-deposition interlude longer than 200 years, although this last event may also have incorporated islet-damaging phases.

The regional pattern successively shows a continuous, higher storm activity from about the 7th to the 12th centuries, broadly encompassing the Medieval Warm Period, followed by a brief decrease in storminess prior to the 15th century, and finally by a recovery of storm activity over

Fig. 17. Age distribution of identified wave-surge events over the last 5,000 years, based on U/Th dating of coral-clast samples extracted from conglomerate platforms, islet excavations and surficial deposits. The last 2,000 years, i.e. the Common Era, is also expressed in a centurial scale to facilitate comparison between the historical record of marine hazard events and the wave-surge events recorded in the present study.

a period (15th–19th centuries) apparently coinciding with the Little Ice Age. This pattern is regarded as consistent with reconstructed variability of past cyclone dynamics across the central south Pacific, controlled by a large shift of the Pacific Walker circulation (Bramante et al., 2020). Similarly, weakening of storminess over earlier, brief intervals within the Common Era could be caused by repeated migrations of the Walker circulation, thus mitigating the activity of ENSO events.

Throughout the three millennia-long period preceding the Common Era, 51 hazard occurrences are recorded, with a frequency of one to five per century (Fig. 17) as numerous as those observed during the 2,000 yrlong Common Era times. However, the most striking feature is the absence of coral clast samples aged less than 4,000 cal yr BP and more than 2,000 cal yr BP old, collected at Aramu sites, while present in large numbers (17 counted samples) on Motu Vainono (Fig. 12a). Several alternative explanations could be put forward. The absence of the relevant samples could be due to an artefact of collection or to suppression of the 4,000–2,000 yr time-specific coral-clast stocks due to intensive marine erosional phase. Another explanation could be found in the location of Motu Aramu, north of the atoll rim, likely to be less exposed to some extreme marine hazard events, such as distant-source wave surges, that come from the deep South Pacific, when compared to Vainono sites. Interestingly, the non-depositional episode at Aramu sites coincides with intervals of a relatively low-baseline cyclogenesis activity (Fig. 12c and d) and more sustained south-east trade winds in connection with an attenuation of ENSO amplitude by about 20% to up to 60% within the 5,000-yr to 2,000-yr band. This decrease in ENSO activity was documented by a number of sedimentary data collected from the central and eastern Pacific: foraminiferal records in the eastern Pacific basinal sediments (Koutouvas et a., 2006), coral archives from Line Islands in the central tropical Pacific (McGregor et al., 2013; Grothe et al., 2019), grain-size analysis of a sedimentary core from Junco Crater Lake in Galapagos (Conroy et al., 2008) and sediment laminae light-intensity in a core from Laguna Pallcacocha in Ecuador (Moy et al., 2002). Assuming that cyclogenesis was at its lowest level during this time span, deposition of high coral-clast volumes at north-facing Aramu sites may be caused by other marine hazards, including trade-wind-generated winter storms. By contrast, at south-facing Vainono sites, islet deposits emplaced during the 5,000–2,000 yr-interval may have been supplied by distant-source, austral swells.

As a summary, the age database provided herein seems to reliably reflect the variability of ENSO frequency events at centennial-to millennial scales through the number of recorded wave-surge events in the southeastern Tuamotu end.

5.4. Conceptual model of atoll-rim islet building

A number of atoll-islet building models have been already described from some cyclone-prone or not-prone reef settings, based on reconstruction of low-lying, reef-rim and lagoon-islet development history, in the Indian and Pacific oceans (Stoddart et al., 1978; Chivas et al., 1986; Richmond et al., 1992; McLean and Woodroffe, 1994; Woodroffe et al., 1999; Kench et al., 2005, , 2014a, b, 2020; Barry et al., 2007; Yamano et al., 2014; Montaggioni et al., 2018, 2019). The initial baseline conditions required for optimal islet building and sustainability were defined as follows: (1) hard antecedent substrates, such as reef flats, conglomerate platforms, are usually prerequisite for islet settlement; (2) substrates have to be close or at sea level at the time of islet initiation; (3) maintenance of growing islets within the range of the sea-level course; (4) availability of sediment producers at close proximity; (5) recurrence of marine, high to low-intensity hazard events.

At South-Marutea, on both Motu Aramu and Motu Vainono, islet development occurred over the last 5,000 years while sea level followed a complete trajectory from rising to about $+1$ m to falling close to its present position (Fig. 12b). Conglomerate platforms, locally as high as $+1.10$ m relative to pmsl, started to form at around 5,000 cal yr BP (Figs. 13, 14) during the rise in sea level. The widespread conglomerate deposits, locally consisting of one single bed, gives evidence of the occurrence of high-intensity, wave-surge events at a starting time of reduced ENSO activity in the central south Pacific. This strongly suggests that non-cyclonic events could occasionally play a dominant role in atollislet shaping. Contrary to Takapoto Atoll, northern Tuamotu, on which depocentres were initiated at the middle parts of the atoll-reef rims (Montaggioni et al., 2019), on South-Makatea, incipient depocentres settled over conglomerate pavements close to the outer atoll reef-rim margins, just behind the modern reef-flat zones (Fig. 16). Depocentres as originally preferential sites of clast deposition formed isolated, probably subcircular to elongated features as similar in shape to smaller islets at present found along the south-western atoll rim (Fig. 1b). From 4,000 cal yr BP, the depocentres have prograded seawards and lagoonwards at rates of several metres per century. It is noteworthy that conglomerate platforms and islets were continuously being formed until the present. At Aramu, the islet landscape was shaped in two stages, between 5,000 and 4,000 cal yr BP and 2,000 cal yr BP to present. At Vainono, the major shaping phases occurred from 4,000 to 3,000 cal yr BP during the higher sea stillstand. By contrast, at Motu Aramu, the earlier development episode lasted throughout the rising sea-level phase, but development restarted only at the time while sea level was falling. This means that the position of sea level was not a major determinant of islet building. Islet nourishment took place at sea-level trajectory. In particular, the present findings show that gradual sea-level drop is not a general promoter of islet accretion, as pointed out by Dickinson (2009). The key controls of islet development, at least in the studied sites, appear to be changes in intensity and frequency of marine hazard events rather than sea-level fluctuations over time.

The islet accretionary model obtained from South-Marutea Atoll typically refers to the 'regular lagooward accretion' model described by Woodroffe et al. (1999) in which accretion begins from depocentres located close to oceanwards shore and then incrementally extends preferentially lagoonwards through time. This model differs markedly from that established at Takapoto, confirming that islet shaping patterns are complex and can vary signicantly from site to site.

5.5. Projected future climate regime and its effects in the south-eastern Tuamotu atoll region

In the last decades, warming peak during several ENSO occurrences has moved from the eastern to central Pacific on 100° longitude, accompanied by an increasing amplitude and decadal variability of ENSO events and strengthening of trade winds (Freund et al., 2019; Grothe et al., 2019). Currently, anthropogenic forcings are postulated to affect global climate, especially in the Pacific tropics, altering the geographical distribution, frequency and intensity of storms (Cai et al., 2021) and strengthening trade-winds (Collins et al., 2010). Storminess, including cyclogenesis, would potentially be increased in the central Pacific, with rising sea surface temperatures and weakening of vertical wind shear predicted for the end of the 21st century (Bramante et al., 2020). For the late 20th century, climate observations and reconstructions revealed a significant increase in the central Pacific ENSO activity, at a rate of 2.5-9 events per 20 years. Similarly, in response to increased intensity of the ENSO regime in the next decades, cyclones are expected to become more frequent (about 20–40%) during El Niño phases, but significantly less frequent during La Niña episodes (Chand et al., 2017).

Cyclones, known as one of the major causes of coral decline around the world (Harmelin-Vivien, 1994; De'ath et al., 2012), are expected to become potentially more destructive with ocean warming (Dixon et al., 2022). Knutson et al. (2020) reviewed knowledge regarding model predictions of global cyclone activity in response to human-induced warming. At 2 $^{\circ}$ C warmer than the pre-industrial times, global cyclogenesis will become more intense. In the central South Pacific, ENSO events tend to move the mean cyclone genesis loci eastwards, potentially resulting in cyclone influence in French Polynesia (Bramante et al., 2020). South poleward migration 1° latitude (about 100 km) per decade (Kossin et al., 2014; Studholme et al., 2022) may increase cyclone frequency in the remote south-eastern Tuamotu region. However, with the projected expansion of the tropical climate zone, there will have a potential reduction of cyclone activity (Bramante et al., 2020).

Large waves generated by storms and cyclones and wave amplification are significant hazards in the tropical Pacific. The largest extreme wave heights are active within the latitudinal 15°–25° south band. Model predictions indicated that the doubling of extreme El Niño frequency in the next decades would have drastic effects on wave climate, particularly when combined with sea-level rise (Stephens and Ramsay, 2014). Median extreme wave heights across the entire south Pacific range from 7 to 11 m (Stephens and Ramsay, 2014) and, more specifically, in French Polynesia (Canavesio, 2014).

Unfortunately, there is no available region-specific model projections of the cyclone behavior in French Polynesia for the mid to late 21st century. However, some general trends, in part speculative, emerge, based on climate models from the south-western Pacific (Knutson et al., 2020) that could be applied to French Polynesia. Frequency of severe

category 4 and 5 and lower category cyclone as well could decrease by about 15–20%. Average cyclone intensity is expected to increase 2%, at medium-to-high confidence levels. The resilience of coral reefs would be more severely threatened by increasing cyclone intensity. However, wave surges, generated by cyclones or other extreme marine hazard events, are known to impact patchily coral reefs (Done, 1992; Harmelin-Vivien, 1994; Cheal et al., 2002, 2017). This may result in preservation of undamaged coral-colonized zones from which abundance of coral communities can recover, usually 10–20 years after impacts (Harmelin-Vivien, 1994; Halford et al., 2004). In a number of locations, coral populations need particularly long periods for recovery after an extreme cyclone damage. In the Tuamotu, recovery of coral communities is estimated to average about 70 years (Cheal et al., 2017).

Sea-level rise projections suggest that globally the rise would be around 0.50 m under optimistic scenarios and outpass 1.30 m under pessimistic ones (Horton et al., 2020). These predictions are in line with expectations of sea-level rise in the Tuamotu, where the rise in sea level would be about 0.80–1 m higher relative to 2010 at the end of the 21st century (Botella, 2015). Accordingly, sea-level rise will result in higher storm flooding levels, but probably will play a subordinate role compared to marine hazards able to generate swells as high as 12 m (Damlamian et al., 2013).

Other disturbances to which coral reefs are exposed will be amplified (Cheal et al., 2017): loss of coral calcification potential (D'Olivio et al., 2019; Cornwall et al., 2021) due to increasing ocean acidification in relation to higher atmospheric CO₂ content; increasing sea surface temperatures; especially long duration (several months) of marine heat waves (Holbrook et al., 2019), some resulting in more coral bleaching and mortality episodes as those recorded during 1982–1983 (Hoegh-- Guldberg and Salvat, 1995), 1997–1998 (Mumby et al., 2001), and 2015–2016 in French Polynesia (Hédouin et al., 2020). Interacting effects of various disturbances may exacerbate lethal impacts and will increase the vulnerability of coral reefs to long-term degradation. All these will alter the capacity of coral communities to grow and thus diminish coral cover.

As a summary, in the south-eastern Tuamotu end, potential future change in extreme ENSO events may have profound impacts on atoll islet maintenance. Projected global increases in ENSO strength, cyclone intensity, sea level, combined with pH drop and decrease in coral recovery rate, will act to further enhance the impacts of future storm wave surge risk. Based on the review by Knutson et al. (2020), it appears obvious that the combined effects of rapid sea-level rise and increased cyclone activity will result in higher average storm inundation levels, assuming all other factors equal. Cyclone-generated inundation events would be less frequent, about a maximum of 1–2 per century, maybe less, but more destructive. Regarding the other marine hazard events, there is no specific available information about their behaviour over the next decades. Global warming will lead to strengthening of trade winds in the Pacific (Li et al., 2019) and of austral, distant-source swells. Under pessimistic sea-level rise scenarios, the inundated areas by distant swell surges would increase by up to 90% by 2100, as modelled in Fiji (Wandress et al., 2020). Given different locations will likely respond differentially, according to exposure to the arrival direction of marine hazards (Damlamian et al., 2013), there is a need for assessment of site-specific impacts. On South-Marutea Atoll, the present findings show that the north-eastern and south-eastern reef-rim sectors may be most susceptible to be impacted and to suffer damage than the other areas. However, it is difficult to assess the carbonate budget of atoll islets, increase or decrease, in response to climate change.

5.6. Lessons from the past

How may reconstructing the accretional mode of atoll-islets aid to illuminate their future behaviour in the face of changing climate? First, the atoll-islet development at South-Marutea occurred over the past 5,000 years, irrespective of sea-level position, as sea level rose about 1 m from 5,000 to 3,500 cal yr BP, then dropped close to its present position from 3,500 cal yr BP to the last centuries. This suggests that sea level was neutral, did not and will not play a significant role in restricting or promoting islet accretion. If correct, if sea level will rise to up to 1 m at the end of the 21st century, the position of sea level will not contribute to the maintenance or destruction of atoll islets in the region considered. Second, according to observations over the past millennia and historical records, future ENSO variability will promote increasing cyclone activity, with stronger category cyclones, but their frequency will be similar to the recent past, not exceeding 1 to 5 per century, probably lower. Similarly, as emphasized by Lau et al. (2016), if sea surface temperatures will increase through the current century as projected by IPCC, higher temperatures will be favourable to increasing cyclogenesis and storminess in the central South Pacific. However, the way in which atoll islets will respond in the future is difficult to be clearly appreciated to uncertainties in the effects of cyclone impact. A same cyclone is known to both contribute to building and destruction of low-lying reef islets from a same atoll according to changing trajectories (Scoffin, 1993; Etienne, 2012). Probably, such as during the last millennia, the final budget will be accretional even if flooding episodes will be more frequent in response to increasing cyclone activity. The actual unknown comes from how reefal coral communities as sources of coral clasts will react to increases in water temperatures and ocean acidification. Any drop in coral cover and any shift to non-constructional communities will disturb or preclude coral-clast inputs to islets. As previously suggested (Montaggioni et al., 2021), it is noteworthy that conglomerate platforms, particularly in areas where these exceed 1 m in elevation, may contribute to the persistence of low-lying islets.

6. Conclusions

Several points arise from the chronostratigraphical analysis of rim islets from South-Marutea Atoll.

Islet lithostratigraphy is characterized by alternations of unorganized, mixed coral boulder to pebble and skeletal sand beds. Coarser-grained deposits consist dominantly of individual acroporid and pocilloporid colonies, together with subordinate merulinid and poritid detritus as known to house the adjacent forereef zones. Sand-grained sediments are mixtures of various reef-dwelling carbonate producers, especially, larger soritid foraminifera. As supported by substantial clast age inversion and local interbedding of cobbles and pebbles, substantial sediment reworking and redeposition took place at lagoonside settings, hence expressing occasional intense wave agitation of lagoonal waters.

Contrary to Takapoto atoll islets in the north-western Tuamotu, emplaced during the last 2,500 years as sea level was falling (Montaggioni et al., 2019), islet initiation at South-Makatea sites occurred from about 5,000 cal yr BP. Islet development apparently occurred independently of the mid to late Holocene sea-level course. In northern sectors, islet accretion slowed down significantly from 4,000 to 2,000 cal yr BP, likely in response to weakening El Nino activity. By contrast, in ~ reef-rim areas oriented to due south, islet building acted continuously and even peaked in the 4,000–2,000 cal yr interval, may be in response to increasing storminess from the deep south regions. Between 5,000 and 3, 000 cal yr BP, islet accretion responded contrastingly at the local scales, very active on the southern atoll-rim and ineffective in the northern areas. Availability rate of coral-dominated sediment stocks and frequency and intensity of marine hazard events are regarded as the key factors for islet building.

The clast-age distributional pattern suggests that, over the last 5,000 years, high-energy wave events occurred at frequency of one to five per century, in accordance with the historical records in French Polynesia, occasionally interrupted by 200–300 yr-long quiescence times. The outer shingle ridges may have been reshaped during the last millennium, at least ten times, based on clast dating.

The evolution of atoll-rim islets on South-Marutea in the future is difficult to predict, mainly, because model climate projections are

missing in the considered region. In the next few decades, based on nondeposition of mega-boulders on the atoll over the last centuries, it is unlikely that extreme marine hazard events will impact the atoll. Later, as the zone of cyclone influence is moving polewards and cyclone intensity is increasing, but frequency decreasing, South-Marutea and the nearby Gambier Island Group could possibly be impacted by stronger, but fewer cyclones prior to the end of the 21st century Of course, actual damaging effects on islets would depend upon the arrival direction and heights of generated wave surges and, as recently, the southern rim sectors would risk to be most disturbed than other. A future sea level at about $+1$ m relative to present would not increase the disturbing effects of storms predicted to generate waves of up to 10 m high. Assuming a return time of 50–100 years for stronger storm events and a predicted coral recovery time averaging 70 years, the potential detritus stocks used to rebuild islets may be reduced drastically over a few centuries. Up to 1 m high, conglomerate platforms could serve as natural flood defense and thus contribute to islet durability.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare to have no competing interest.

Acknowledgements

This work was partly supported by the POLYCONE (Integrated and Sustainable Regulation of Cones in Eastern Polynesia) research project. Field work was conducted from 20th November to 26th November 2021, by BS, GP and JMZ. BS conceived the original project, through discussions and exchanges with LFM. U/Th datations were performed by EPB. LFM, the corresponding author, was in charge of interpretating all the collected data and of writing the manuscript. He leads the manuscript development, in collaboration with all co-authors. BS and GP provided field pictures. Figures were conceived by LFM and BM-G and drawn by BM-G.

The authors warmly thank the following colleagues for their contribution, particularly, Tamatoa Bambridge, coordinator of POLYCONE at the French Polynesian level. Field work has benefited from logistical and financial support and help by Robert Wan, the owner of South-Marutea Atoll, Johnny-John R. Atger-Wan, his atoll manager. The atoll team members, Mike Tahai and Claudio Temauri, are acknowledged for effective engineering of the excavations in Aramu and Vainono atoll sites. Many thanks to the anonymous reviewers whose comments have significantly helped improving an early version of the manuscript. The three anonymous reviewers and Editor-in-Chief Xinyu Wang are warmly thanked for their helpful criticism and suggestions for improvement.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nhres.2023.02.004) doi.org/10.1016/j.nhres.2023.02.004.

References

- [Adjas, A., 1988. S](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref1)[edimentologie compar](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref1)[ee de quelques mod](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref1)e[les lagonaires actuels des](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref1) [milieux r](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref1)é[cifaux coralliens du Paci](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref1)fique (Nouvelle Calédonie, Polyné[sie\), p. 340. PhD](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref1) [Thesis. Aix-Marseille Univ.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref1)
- [Amores, A., Marcos, M., Le Cozannet, G., Hinkel, J., 2022. Coastal](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref2) flooding and mean sea[level rise allowances in atoll island. Science Reports 12, 1281.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref2)
- [Andrefoüet, S., Ardhuin, F., Queffeulou, P., Le Gendre, R., 2012. Island shadow effect and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref3) [the wave climate of the Western Tuamotu Archipelago \(French Polynesia\) inferred](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref3) [from altimetry and numerical model data. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 65, 415e424.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref3)
- [Bard, E., Hamelin, B., Arnold, M., Montaggioni, L.F., Cabioch, G., Faure, G., Rougerie, F.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref4) [1996. Deglacial sea-level record from Tahiti corals and the timing of global meltwater](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref4) [discharge. Nature 382, 241](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref4)–[244.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref4)
- [Barry, S.J., Cowell, P.J., Woodroffe, C.D., 2007. A morphodynamic model of reef-island](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref5) [de- velopment on atolls. Sediment. Geol. 197, 47](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref5)–[63.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref5)
- [Bjerknes, J., 1969. Atmospheric teleconnections from the equatorial Paci](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref6)fic. Mon. [Weather Rev. 97, 163](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref6)–[172](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref6).
- [Biribo, N., Woodroffe, C.D., 2013. Historical area and shoreline change of reef islands](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref7) [around Tarawa Atoll, Kiribati. Sustain. Sci. 8, 345](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref7)–[362](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref7).

[Botella, A., 2015. Past and Future Sea Level Changes in French Polynesia. University of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref8) [Ottawa, Canada, p. 94. MSc thesis](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref8).

- [Bourrouilh-Le Jan, F.G., Talandier, J., 1985. S](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref9)[edimentation et fracturation de haute](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref9) e[nergie en milieu r](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref9)e[cifal: tsunamis, ouragans et cyclones et leurs effets sur la](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref9) [s](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref9)édimentologie et la géomorphologie d'un atoll: motu et hoa, Rangiroa, Tuamotu, Pacifi[que SE. Mar. Geol. 67, 263](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref9)–[272.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref9)
- [Bramante, J.F., Ford, M.R., Kench, P.S., Ashton, A.D., Toomey, M.R., Sullivan, M.R.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref10) [Karnauskas, K.B., Ummenhofer, C.C., Donnelly, J.P., 2020. Increased typhoon activity](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref10) in the Pacifi[c deep tropics driven by Little Ice Age circulation changes. Nat. Geosci.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref10) [13, 806](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref10)–[811](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref10).
- [Cai, W., Santoso, A., Collins, M., Dewitte, B., et al., 2021. Changing El nino-southern](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref11) ~ [oscillation in a warming climate. Nat. Rev. Earth Environ. 2, 628](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref11)–[644](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref11).
- [Canavesio, R., 2014. Estimer les houles cycloniques](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref12) a partir d'[observations](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref12) [m](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref12)[et](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref12)[eorologiques limit](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref12)[ees : exemple de la submersion d'Anaa en 1906 aux Tuamotu](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref12) [\(Polyn](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref12)e[sie Française\). VertigO 14, 1](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref12)–[18](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref12).
- [Canavesio, R., 2019. Distant swells and their impacts on atoll and tropical coastlines. The](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref13) [exemple pf submersions produced by lagoon water](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref13) filling and flushing currents in [French Polynesia during 1996 and 2011 mega swells. Global Planet. Change 177,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref13) [116](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref13)–[126](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref13).
- Chand, S.S., Tory, K.J., Ye, H., Walsh, K.J.E., 2017. Projected increase in El-Niño driven[tropical cyclone frequency in the Paci](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref14)fic. Nat. Clim. Change 7, 123–[127](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref14).
- [Cheal, A.J., Coleman, G., Delean, S., Miller, I., Osborne, K., Sweatman, H., 2002.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref15) Responses of coral and fi[sh assemblages to a severe but short-lived tropical cyclone](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref15) [on the Great Barrier Reef, Australia. Coral Reefs 21, 131](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref15)–[142.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref15)
- Cheal, A.J., Macneil, M.A., Emslie, M.J., Sweatman, H., 2017. The threat to coral reefs from more intense cyclones under climate change. Global Change Biol. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13593) doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13593.
- [Cheng, H., Lawrence Edwards, R., Shen, C.-C., Polyak, V.J., Asmerom, Y.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref17) [Woodhead, J.D., Hellstrom, J., Wang, Y., Kong, X., Sp](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref17)ö[tl, C., Wang, X., Calvin](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref17)
[Alexander,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref17) [E.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref17) [2013.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref17) [Improvements](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref17) [in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref17) ²³⁰Th [dating,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref17) ²³⁰Th [and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref17) ²³⁴[U half-life values,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref17) [and U/Th isotopic measurements by multi-collector inductively coupled plasma mass](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref17) [spectrometry. Earth Planet Sci. Lett. 371](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref17)–[372, 82](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref17)–[91.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref17)
- [Chivas, A., Chappell, J., Polach, H., Pillans, B., Flood, P., 1986. Radiocarbon evidence for](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref18) [the timing and rate of island development, beach-rock formation and phosphatization](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref18) [at Lady Elliot Island, Queensland, Australia. Mar. Geol. 69, 273](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref18)–[287](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref18).
- [Clement, A.C., Seager, R., Cane, M.A., 2000. Suppression of El Nino during the mid-](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref19) [Holocene by changes in the Earth's orbit. Paleoceanography 15, 731](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref19)–[737](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref19).
- [Collins, M., An, S.-I., Cai, W., Ganachaud, A., Guilyardi, E., Jin, F.-F., Jochum, M.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref20) [Lengaigne, M., Power, S., Timmermann, A., Vecchi, G., Witenberg, A., 2010. The](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref20) [impact of global warming on the tropical Paci](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref20)fic Ocean and El Niño. Nat. Geosci. 3, [391](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref20)–[397](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref20).
- [Connell, J., 2013. Islands at Risk? Environments, Economies and Contemporary Change.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref21) [Edward Elgar Publishing, Cheltenham, UK, p. 351](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref21).
- [Conroy, J.L., Overpeck, J.T., Cole, J.E., Shanahan, T.M., Steinitz-Kannan, M., 2008.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref22) [Holocene changes in eastern tropical Paci](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref22)fic climate inferred from a Galapagos lake [sediment record. Quat. Sci. Rev. 27, 1166](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref22)-[1180.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref22)
- [Cornwall, C.E., Comeau, S., Kornder, N., Lowe, R.J., 2021. Global declines in coral reef](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref23) [calcium carbonate production under ocean acidi](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref23)fication and warming. Proc. Natl. [Acad. Sci. USA 118, e2015265118](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref23).
- [Costa, M.B., Macedo, E.C., Siegle, E., 2017. Planimetric and volumetric changes of reef](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref24) [islands in response to wave conditions. Earth Surf. Process. Landforms 42,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref24) [2663](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref24)–[2678](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref24).
- [Damlamian, H., Kruger, J., Turagabeci, M., Kumar, S., 2013. Cyclone Wave Inundation](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref25) [Models for Apataki, Arutua, Kauehi, Manihi and Rangiroa Atolls, French Polynesia.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref25) [SPC Applied Geoscience and Technology Division \(SOPAC\) Technical report PR176.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref25)
- De'[ath, G., Fabricius, K.E., Sweatman, H., Puotinen, M., 2012. The 27-year decline of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref26) [coral cover on the Great Barrier Reef and its causes. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 109,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref26) [17995](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref26)–[17999.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref26)
- Dickinson, W.R., 2009. Pacifi[c atoll living: how long already and until when. GSA Today](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref27) [\(Geol. Soc. Am.\) 19, 4.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref27)
- [Dixon, A.M., Puotinen, M., Ramsay, H.A., Beger, M., 2022. Coral reef exposure to](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref28) [damaging, tropical cyclone waves in a warming climate. Earth's Future 10,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref28) [e2021EF002600.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref28)
- [D'Olivio, J.P., Ellwood, G., DeCarlo, ThM., McCulloch, M.T., 2019. Deconvolving the](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref29) long-term impacts of ocean acidifi[cation and warming on coral biomineralisation.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref29) [Earth Planet Sci. Lett. 526, 115785](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref29).
- [Done, T.J., 1992. Effects of tropical cyclone waves on ecological and geomorphological](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref30) [structure.s of the Great Barrier Reef. Continent. Shelf Res. 12, 859](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref30)–[872.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref30)
- [Dupon, J.-F., 1987. Les atolls et le risque cyclonique : le cas de Tuamotu. Cah. Des. Sci.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref31) [Hum. 23, 567](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref31)–[599.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref31)
- [Dupuy, C., Vidal, Ph, Maury, R.C., Guille, G., 1993. Basalts from mururoa, fangataufa and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref32) ambier islands (French Polynesia): geochemical dependence on the age of the [lithosphere. Earth Planet Sci. Lett. 117, 89](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref32)–[100](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref32).
- [Duvat, V.K.E., 2019. A global assessment of atoll island planform changes over the Past](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref33) [decades. WIREs Climate Change 10, e557.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref33)
- [Duvat, V.K.E., Pillet, V., 2017. Shoreline changes in reef islands of the central paci](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref34)fic:
- [Takapoto atoll, northern Tuamotu, French Polynesia. Geomorphology 282, 96](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref34)–[118](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref34). [Duvat, V.K.E., Salvat, B., Salmon, C., 2017a. Drivers of shoreline change in French Paci](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref35)fic [atoll reef islands. Global Planet. Change 158, 134](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref35)–[154](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref35).
- [Duvat, W.K.E., Magnan, A.K., Russell, R.M., Hay, J.E., Fazey, I., Hinkel, J., Stojanovic, T.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref36) [Yamano, H., Ballu, V., 2017b. Trajectories of Exposure and V ulnerability of Small](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref36) [Islands to Climate Change. WIREs Climate Change, p. e478](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref36).
- [Duvat, V.K.E., Pillet, V., Volto, N., Terorotua, H., Laurent, V., 2020. Contribution of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref37) [moderate climate events to atoll island building. Geomorphology 354, 107057](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref37).
- [Emile-Geay, J., Cobb, K.M., Carr](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref38)e[, M., Braconnot, P., Leloup, J., Zhou, Y., Harrison, S.P.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref38) [Corr](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref38)[ege, T., McGregor, H.V., Collins, M., Driscoll, R., Elliot, M., Schneider, B.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref38)

[Tudhope, A., 2016. Links between tropical Paci](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref38)fic seasonal, interannual and orbital [variability during the Holocene. Nat. Geosci. 9, 168](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref38)–[173](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref38).

- [Etienne, S., 2012. Marine Inundation Hazards in French Polynesia: Geomorphic Impacts](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref39) [of Tropical Cyclone Oli in February 2010, vol. 361. Geological Society, London,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref39) [Special Publication, pp. 21](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref39)–[39.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref39)
- [Etienne, S., Buckley, M., Paris, R., Nandasena, A.K., Clark, K., Strotz, L., Chagu](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref40)e[-Goff, C.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref40) [Goff, J., Richmond, B., 2011. The use of boulders for characterising past tsunamis:](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref40) [lessons from the 2004 Indian Ocean and 2009 South Paci](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref40)fic tsunamis. Earth Sci. Rev. [107, 76](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref40)–[90.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref40)
- [Ford, M., 2012. Shoreline changes on an urban atoll in the central Paci](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref41)fic Ocean: majuro [atoll, Marshall Islands. J. Coast Res. 28, 11](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref41)–[22](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref41).
- [Ford, M., 2013. Shoreline changes interpreted from multi-temporal aerial photographs](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref42) [and high resolution satellite images: wotje Atoll, Marshall Islands. Rem. Sens.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref42) [Environ. 135, 130](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref42)–[140.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref42)
- [Ford, M.R., Kench, P.S., 2014. Formation and adjustment of typhoon-impacted reef](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref43) [islands interpreted from remote imagery: nadikdik Atoll, Marshall Islands.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref43) [Geomorphology 214, 216](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref43)–[222.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref43)
- [Ford, M.R., Kench, P.S., 2015. Multi-decadal shoreline changes in response to sea level](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref44) [rise in the Marshall Islands. Anthropocene 11, 14](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref44)–[24](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref44).
- [Freund, M.B., Henley, B.J., Karoly, D.J., McGrgor, H.V., Abram, N.J., Dommenget, D.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref46) [2019. Higher frequency of central Paci](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref46)fic El Ni[no events in recent decades relative to](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref46) ~ [past centuries. Nat. Geosci. 12, 450](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref46)–[455.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref46)
- [Grothe, P.R., Cobb, K.M., Liguori, G., Di Lorenzo, E., Capotondi, A., Lu, Y., et al., 2019.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref47) [Enhanced El ni](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref47)n[o-southern oscillation variability in recent decades. Geophys. Res.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref47) ~ [Lett. 46, e2019GL083906.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref47)
- [Halford, A., Cheal, A.J., Ryan, D., Williams, D.M.C.B., 2004. Resilience to large-scale](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref48) disturbance in coral and fi[sh assemblages on the Great Barrier Reef. Ecology 85,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref48) [1892](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref48)–[1905](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref48).
- [Hallmann, N., Camoin, G., Eisenhauer, A., Botella, A., Milne, G.A., Vella, C.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref49) [Samankassou, E., Pothin, V., Dussouillez, P., Fleury, J., Fietzke, J., 2018. Ice volume](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref49) [and climate changes from a 6000 year sea-level record in French Polynesia. Nat.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref49) [Commun. 9, 285](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref49).
- [Hallmann, N., Camoin, G., Eisenhauer, A., Samankassou, Vella C., Botella, A., Milne, G.A.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref50) [Pothin, V., Dussouillez, P., Fleury, G., Fietzke, J., Goepfert, G., 2020. Reef response to](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref50) [sea level and environmental changes in the Central South Paci](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref50)fic over the past 6000 [years. Global Planet. Change 195, 103357.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref50)
- Hameed, S.N., Dachao, J., Thilakan, V., 2018. A model for super El niños. Nat. Commun. 9, 2528. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-04803-7>.
- [Harmelin-Vivien, M.L., 1994. The effects of storms and cyclones on coral reefs: a review.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref52) [J. Coastal Res. Special Issue 12, 211e231](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref52).
- [Harmelin-Vivien, M.L., Laboute, P., 1986. Catastrophic impact of hurricanes on atoll](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref53) [outer reef slopes in the Tuamotu \(French Polynesia\). Coral Reefs 5, 55](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref53)–[62](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref53).

[H](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref54)[edouin, L., Rouz](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref54)e[, H., Berthe, C., P](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref54)[erez-Rosales, G., Martinez, E., Chancerelle, Y.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref54) [Galand, P.E., Lerouvreur, F., Nugues, M.M., Pochon, X., Siu, G., Steneck, R.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref54) [Planes, S., 2020. Contrasting patterns of mortality in Polynesian coral reefs following](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref54) [the third global coral bleaching event in 2016. Coral Reefs 39, 939](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref54)–[952](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref54).

- [Heinrich, Ph, Guibourg, S., Roche, R., 1996. Numerical modeling of the 1960 Chilean](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref55) [tsunami. Impact in French Polynesia. Phys. Chem. Earth 21, 19](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref55)–[25](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref55).
- [Hoegh-Guldberg, O., Salvat, B., 1995. Periodic mass-bleaching and elevated sea](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref56) [temperatures: bleaching of outer reef slope communities in Moorea, French](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref56) [Polynesia. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 121, 181](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref56)–[190.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref56)
- [Hoeke, R.K., McInnes, K.L., Kruger, J.C., McNaught, R.J., Hunter, J.R., Smithers, S.G.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref57) 2013. Widespread inundation of Pacifi[c islands triggered by distant-source wind](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref57)[waves. Global Planet. Change 108, 128](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref57)–[138.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref57)
- [Holbrook, N.J., Scannell, H.A., Gupta, A.S., Benthuysen, J.A., Feng, M., Oliver, E.C.J.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref58) [Alexander, L.V., Burrows, M.T., Donat, M.G., Hobday, A.J., Moore, P.A., Perkins-](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref58)[Kirkpatrick, S.A., Smale, D.A., Straub, S.C., Vernberg, Th, 2019. Nat. Commun. 10,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref58) [2624.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref58)
- [Horton, B.P., Khan, N.S., Cahill, N., Lee, J.S.H., Shaw, T.A., Garner, A.J., Kemp, A.C.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref59) [Engelhart, S.E., Rahmstorf, S., 2020. Estimating global mean sea-level rise and its](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref59) [uncertainties by 2100 and 2300 from an expert survey. Climate Atmos. Sci. 3, 18.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref59)
- [Hubbard, D., Gischler, E., Davies, P., Montaggioni, L.F., Camoin, G., Dullo, C.W.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref60) [Storlazzi, C., Field, M., Fletcher, C., Grossman, E., Sheppard, C., Lescinsky, H.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref60) [Fenner, D., McManus, J., Scheffers, S., 2014. Island outlook: warm and swampy.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref60) [Science 345, 6203.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref60)
- [Ito, G., McNutt, M., Gibson, R.L., 1995. Crustal structure of the Tuamotu Plateau, 15](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref61)-[S,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref61) [and implications for its origin. J. Geophys. Res. 100, 8097](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref61)–[8114.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref61)
- [Jaffey, A.H., Flynn, K.F., Glendenin, L.E., Bentley, W.C., Essling, A.M., 1971. Precision](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref62) [measurements](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref62) [of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref62) [half-lives](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref62) [and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref62) [speci](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref62)fic activities of 235[U](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref62) [and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref62) 238[U. Phys. Rev. 4,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref62) [1889](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref62)–[1906](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref62).
- [Kao, H.Y., Yu, J.Y., 2009. Contrasting eastern-Paci](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref63)fic and central-Pacific types of ENSO. [J. Clim. 22, 615](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref63)–[632](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref63).
- [Kench, P.S., McLean, R.F., Nichol, S.L., 2005. New model of reef-island evolution:](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref65) [Maldives, Indian Ocean. Geology 33, 145](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref65)–[148.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref65)
- Kench, P.S., Brander, R.W., 2006. Response of reef island shorelines to seasonal climate oscillations: south Maalhosmadulu atoll, Maldives. J. Geophys. Res. 111, F01001. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2005JF000323>.
- [Kench, P.S., Chan, J., Owen, S.D., McLean, R.F., 2014a. The geomorphology, development](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref67) [and temporal dynamics of Tepuka Island, Funafuti atoll, Tuvalu. Geomorphology](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref67) [222, 46](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref67)–[58.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref67)
- [Kench, P.S., Owen, S.D., Ford, M.R., 2014b. Evidence for coral island formation during](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref68) rising sea level in the central Pacifi[c Ocean. Geophys. Res. Lett. 41, 820](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref68)–[827.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref68)
- [Kench, P.S., Owen, S.D., Beetham, E.P., Mann, Th, McLean, R.F., Ashton, A., 2020.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref69) [Holocene sea level dynamics drive formation of a large atoll island in the central](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref69) [Indian Ocean. Global Planet. Change 195, 103354.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref69)

Knutson, Th, Camargo, S.J., Chan, J.C.L., Emanuel, K., Ho, Ch-H., Kossin, J., Mohapatra, M., Satoh, M., Sugi, M., Walsh, K., Wu, L., 2020. Tropical cyclones and climate change assessment. Part II: projected response to anthropogenic warming. Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc. <https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-18-0194.1>.

[Kopp, R.E., Simmons, F.J., Mitrovica, J.X., Maloof, A.C., Oppenheimer, M., 2009.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref71) [Probablistic assessment of sea level during the last interglacial stage. Nature 462,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref71) [863](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref71)–[867](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref71).

[Kossin, J., Emanuel, K.A., Vecchi, G.A., 2014. The poleward migration of the location of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref72) [tropical cyclone maximum intensity. Nature 509, 349](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref72)–[352](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref72).

[Koutavas, A., de Menocal, P.B., Olive, G.C., Lynch-Stieglitz, J., 2006. Mid-Holocene El](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref73) [Ni](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref73)n[o-Oscillation \(ENSO\) attenuation revealed by individual foraminifera in eastern](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref73) ~ tropical Pacifi[c sediments. Geology 34, 993](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref73)–[996](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref73).

[Laboute, P., 1985. Evaluation des d](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref74)[eg](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref74)^a[ts caus](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref74)e[s par les passages des cyclones de](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref74) [1982](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref74)–[1983 en Polyn](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref74)e[sie Française sur les pentes externes des atolls de Tikehau et de](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref74) [Takapoto \(Archipel des Tuamotu\). Proceeding of the Fifth International Coral Reef](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref74) [Congress 3, 323](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref74)–[329.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref74)

Larrue, S., Chiron, Th, 2010. Les îles de Polynésie Française face à l'aléa cyclonique. VertigO 10. <https://doi.org/10.4000/vertigo.10558>.

[Lau, A.Y.A., Terry, J.P., Switzer, A.D., Lee, Y., Etienne, S., 2016. Understanding the](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref76) [history of extreme wave events in the Tuamotu Archipelago of French Polynesia from](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref76) [large carbonate boulders on Makemo Atoll, with implications for future threats in the](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref76) central South Pacifi[c. Mar. Geol. 380, 174](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref76)–[190.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref76)

[Laurent, V., Varney, P., 2014. Histoire des cyclones de Polyn](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref77)[esie Française de 1831](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref77) a [2010, ISBN 978-2-9522946-1-4, p. 172 \(M](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref77)e[t](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref77)e[o-France\)](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref77).

[Lawman, A.E., Di Nezio, P.N., Partin, J.W., Dee, S.G., Thirumalai, K., Quinn, T.M., 2022.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref78) [Sci. Adv. 8, eabm4313.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref78)

[Lecacheux, S., Bulteau, T., Pedreros, R., Delvall](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref79)é[e, E., Paris, F., 2013. Projet ARAI 3 :](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref79) e[valuation probabiliste des houles et des surcotes cycloniques en Polyn](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref79)[esie Française.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref79) [Rapport BRGM/RP-61888-FR, p. 122](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref79).

[Le Cozannet, G., Garcin, M., Yates, M., Idier, D., Meyssignac, B., 2014. Approaches to](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref80) [eval- uate the recent impacts of sea-level rise on shoreline changes. Earth Sci. Rev.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref80) [138, 47](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref80)–[60.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref80)

[Li, Y., Chen, Q., Liu, X., Li, J., Xing, N., Xie, F., Feng, J., Zhou, X., Cai, H., Wang, Z., 2019.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref81) Long-term trend of the tropical Pacifi[c trade winds under global warming and its](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref81) [causes. J. Geophys. Res.: Oceans 124, 2626](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref81)–[2640](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref81).

[Magnan, A.K., Ranch](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref82)é, M., Duvat, V.K.E., Prenveille, A., Rubia, F., 2018. L'exposition des [populations des atolls de Rangiroa et de Tikehau \(Polyn](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref82)e[sie française\) au risque de](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref82) [submersion marine. VertigO 18, 1](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref82)–[35](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref82).

[Magnan, A.K., Oppenheimer, M., Garschagen, M., Buchanan, M.K., Duvat, V.K.E.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref83) [Forbes, D.L., Ford, J.D., Lambert, E., Petzold, J., Renaud, F.G., Sebesvari, Z., van de](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref83) Wal, R.S.W., Hinkel, J., Pörtner, H.-O., 2022. Sea level rise risks and societal adaptation benefi[ts in low-lying coastal areas. Science Reports 12, 10677](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref83).

[McGregor, H.V., Fischer, M.J., Gagan, M.K., Fink, D., Phipps, S.J., Wong, H.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref84) [Woodroffe, C.D., 2013. A weak El Ni](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref84)n[o-Southern Oscillation with delayed seasonal](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref84) ~ [growth around 4,300 years ago. Nat. Geosci. 6, 949](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref84)–[953.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref84)

[McLean, R.F., Stoddart, D.R., Hopley, D., Polach, 1978. sea level change in the Holocene](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref85) [on the northern great barrier reef. Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. Lond. 291, 167](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref85)–[186](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref85).

[McLean, R.F., Woodroffe, C.D., 1994. Coral atolls. In: Carter, R.W.G., Woodroffe, C.D.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref86) [\(Eds.\), Coastal Evolution: Late Quaternary Shoreline Morphodynamics. Cambridge](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref86) [Univ. Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom, pp. 267](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref86)–[302](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref86).

[McLean, R.F., Kench, P., 2015. Destruction or persistence of coral atoll islands in the face](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref87) [of 20th and 21st century sea-level rise? WIREs Clim. Change 6, 445](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref87)–[463](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref87).

[Montaggioni, L.F., Salvat, B., Aubanel, A., Eisenhauer, A., Martin-Garin, B., 2018. The](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref88) [mode and timing of windward reef-island accretion in relation with Holocene sea](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref88)[level change: a case study from Takapoto Atoll, French Polynesia. Geomorphology](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref88) [318, 320](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref88)–[335.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref88)

[Montaggioni, L.F., Salvat, B., Aubanel, A., Pons-Branch, E., Martin-Garin, B.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref89) [Dapoigny, A., Goeldner-Gianella, L., 2019. New insights into the Holocene](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref89) development history of a Pacifi[c low-lying coral island: Takapoto Atoll, French](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref89) [Polynesia. Quat. Sci. Rev. 223, 105947](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref89).

[Montaggioni, L.F., Martin-Garin, B., Salvat, B., Aubanel, A., Pons-Branchu, E., Paterne, M.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref90) [Richard, M., 2021. Coral conglomerate platforms as foundations for low-lying, reef](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref90) [islands in the French Polynesia: new insights into the timing and mode of formation.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref90) [Mar. Geol. 437, 106500](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref90).

[Montaggioni, L.F., Baltassat, J.-M., Le Cozannet, G., Innocent, Ch, Martin-Garin, B.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref91) [Salvat, B., 2022. Reef-rim structure and building history, Rangiroa, an uplifted Atoll,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref91) [French Polynesia: the role of morphotectonics and extreme marine hazard events.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref91) [Mar. Geol. 445, 106748](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref91).

[Mumby, P., Chisholm, J., Edwards, A., Clark, C., Roark, E., Andrefouet, S., Jaubert, J.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref92) [2001. Unprecedented bleaching-induced mortality in porites spp. at Rangiroa atoll.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref92) [French Polynesia. Mar. Biol. 139, 183](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref92)–[189.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref92)

[Moy, Ch M., Seltzer, G.O., Rodbell, D.T., Anderson, D.M., 2002. Variability of El ni](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref93)n[o-](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref93) ~ [southern oscillation activity at millennial timescales during the Holocene epoch.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref93) [Nature 420, 162](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref93)–[165](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref93).

[Müller, R.D., Roest, W.R., Royer, J.-Y., Gahagan, L.M., Sclater, J.G., 1997. Digital](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref94) isochrons of the world's ocean fl[oor. J. Geophys. Res. 102, 3211](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref94)–[3214](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref94).

[Nott, J.F., 2011. Tropical cyclones, global climate change and the role of Quaternary](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref95) [studies. J. Quat. Sci. 26, 468](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref95)–[473.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref95)

[Pala, C., 2014. Warming may not swamp islands. Science 345, 496](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref96)–[497.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref96)

[Pedreros, R., Krien, Y., Poisson, B., 2010. Programme ARAI 2. Caract](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref97)é[risation de la](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref97) [submersion marine li](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref97)ée aux houles cycloniques en Polyné[sie française. Rapport](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref97) [BRGM/RP- 58990-FR, p. 64](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref97).

[Perry, C.T., Kench, P.S., Smithers, S.G., Riegl, B., Yamano, H., O'Leary, M.J., 2011.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref98) [Implications of reef ecosystem change for the stability and maintenance of coral reef](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref98) [islands. Global Change Biol. 17, 3679](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref98)–[3696.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref98)

[Pirazzoli, P.A., Montaggioni, L.F., 1986. Late Holocene sea-level changes in the northwest](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref99) [Tuamotu islands, French Polynesia. Quat. Res. 25, 350](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref99)–[368](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref99).

[Pirazzoli, P.A., Montaggioni, L.F., 1987. Les îles Gambier et l](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref100)'atoll de Temoe (Polyn[esie](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref100) [Française\) : anciennes lignes de rivage et comportement g](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref100)éodynamique. [Geodynamique 2, 13](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref100)–[25.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref100)

[Pirazzoli, P.A., Montaggioni, L.F., 1988. Late Holocene sea-level changes in French](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref101) [Polynesia. Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol. 68, 153](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref101)–[175.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref101)

[Pirazzoli, P.A., Montaggioni, L.F., Salvat, B., Faure, G., 1988b. Late Holocene sea level](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref102) [indicators from twelve atolls in the central and eastern Tuamotus \(Paci](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref102)fic Ocean). [Coral Reefs 7, 57](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref102)–[68.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref102)

[Pons-Branchu, E., Douville, E., Dumont, E., Branchu, P., Thil, F., Frank, N., Bordier, L.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref103) [Borst, W., 2014. Cross-dating \(U/Th and lamina counting\) of modern carbonate](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref103) [deposits in underground Paris, France. A new archive for urban history](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref103) [reconstructions: case study of anthropic Rare Earth and Yttrium release. Quat.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref103) [Geochronol. 24, 44e53](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref103).

Pörtner, H.-O., Roberts, D.C., Tignor, M., Poloczanska, E.S., Mintenbeck, K., Alegría, A., Craig, M., Langsdorf, S., Löschke, S., Möller, V., Okem, A., Rama, R.B. (Eds.), 2022. IPCC: Climate Change 2022: Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability. Contribution of Working Group II to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK and New York, NY, USA, p. 3056. <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009325844>. Cambridge University Press.

[Purkis, S.J., Gardiner, R., Johnston, M.W., Sheppard, C.R.C., 2016. A half-century of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref105) [coastline change in Diego Garcia - the largest atoll island in the Chagos.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref105) [Geomorphology 261, 282](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref105)–[298.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref105)

[Rankey, E.C., 2011. Nature and stability of atoll island shorelines: gilbert Island chain,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref106) Kiribati, equatorial Pacifi[c: atoll shoreline change, equatorial Paci](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref106)fic. Sedimentology [58, 1831](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref106)–[1859](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref106).

[Reymond, D., Hyvernaud, O., Okal, E.A., 2013. The 2010 and 2011 tsunamis in French](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref107) Polynesia: operational aspects and fi[eld surveys. Pure Appl. Geophys. 170,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref107) [1169](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref107)–[1187](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref107).

[Richmond, B.M., 1992. Development of atoll islets in the central Paci](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref108)fic. Proc. Seventh [Int. Coral Reef Sympos. 2, 1185](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref108)–[1194.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref108)

[Rohling, E.J., Grant, K., Hemleben, Ch, Siddall, M., Hoogakker, B.A.A., Bolshaw, M.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref109) [Kucera, M., 2007. High rates of sea-level rise during the last interglacial period. Nat.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref109) [Geosci. 1, 38](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref109)–[42.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref109)

[Roy, P., Connell, J., 1991. Climatic-change and the future of atoll States. J. Coast Res. 7,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref110) [1057](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref110)–[1075](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref110).

Sadler, P.M., 1999. The infl[uence of hiatuses on sedimentation accumulation rates.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref111) [GeoResearch Forum 5, 15](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref111)–[40](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref111).

Scoffi[n, T.P., 1993. The geological effects of hurricanes on coral reefs and the](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref112) [interpretation of storm deposits. Coral Reefs 10, 203](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref112)–[221.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref112)

[Seurat, L.G., 1904. Observations sur la structure, la faune et la](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref113) flore de l'île de Marutea du [Sud \(Archipel des Tuamotu\). Journal Of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref113)ficiel des É[tablissements Français d](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref113)'Océ[anie](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref113) [53, 156](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref113)–[161](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref113).

[Shope, J.B., Storlazzi, C.D., Erikson, L.H., Hegermiller, C.A., 2016. Changes to extreme](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref114) [wave climates of islands within the Western Tropical Paci](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref114)fic throughout the 21st [century under RCP 4.5 and RCP 8.5, with implications for island vulnerability and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref114) [sustainability. Global Planet. Change 141, 25](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref114)–[38.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref114)

[Shope, J.B., Storlazzi, C.D., Hoeke, R.K., 2017. Projected atoll shoreline and run-up](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref115) [changes in response to sea-level rise and varying large wave conditions at wake and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref115) [Midway Atolls, Northwestern Hawaiian Islands. Geomorphology 295, 537](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref115)–[550.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref115)

[Shope, J.B., Storlazzi, C.D., 2019. Assessing morphologic controls on atoll island](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref116) [alongshore sediment transport gradient due to future sea-level rise. Front. Mar. Sci. 6,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref116) [245](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref116).

[Sladen, A., Hebert, H., Schindele, F., Reymond, D., 2007. Evaluation off air](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref117)field tsunami [hazard in French Polynesia based on historical and numerical simulations. Nat.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref117) [Hazards Earth Syst. Sci. 7, 195e206.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref117)

[Smithers, S.G., Hoeke, R.K., 2014. Geomorphological impacts of high- latitude storm](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref118) [waves on low-latitude reef islands](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref118) – [observations of the December 2008 event on](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref118) [Nukutoa, Takuu, Papua New Guinea. Geomorphology 222, 106](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref118)–[121.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref118)

[Stephens, S.A., Ramsay, D.L., 2014. Extreme cyclone wave climate in the Southwest](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref119) Pacific Ocean: infl[uence of El Ni](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref119)ñ[o-Southern Oscillation and projected climate](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref119) [change. Global Planet. Change 123, 13](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref119)–[26](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref119).

[Stoddart, D.R., 1971. Coral reefs islands and catastrophic storms. In: Steers, J.A. \(Ed.\),](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref120) [Applied Coastal Geomorphology. Macmillan, London, pp. 155](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref120)–[197](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref120).

[Stoddart, D.R., Steers, J.A., 1977. The nature and origin of coral reef islands. In:](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref121) [Jones, O.A., , et al.Endean, R. \(Eds.\),](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref121) – [Biology and Geology of Coral Reefs, vol. 4.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref121) [Academic Press, New York, pp. 59](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref121)–[105. Geology 2.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref121)

[Stoddart, D.R., McLean, R.F., Hopley, D., 1978. Geomorphology of reef islands, northern](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref122) [great barrier reef. Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. Lond. B 284, 39](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref122)–[61](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref122).

[Storlazzi, C.D., Elias, E.P.L., Berkowitz, P., 2015. Many Atolls may be uninhabitable](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref123) [within decades due to climate change. Sci. Rep. 5, 14546.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref123)

[Studholme, J., Fedorov, A.V., Gulev, S.K., Emanuel, K., Hofges, K., 2022. Poleward](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref124)

[expansion of tropical cyclone latitudes in warming climates. Nat. Geosci. 15, 14](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref124)–[28.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref124) [Terry, J.P., Goff, J., 2014. Megaclasts: proposed revised nomenclature at the coarse end of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref125)

[the Udden-Wentworth grain-size scale for sedimentary particles. J. Sediment. Res. 84,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref125) [192](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref125)–[197](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref125).

[Terry, J.P., Etienne, S., 2011. Stones from the dangerous winds: reef platform mega-clasts](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref126) in the tropical Pacifi[c Islands. Nat. Hazards 56, 567](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref126)–[569.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref126)

Wandress, M., Aucan, J., Espejo, A., Jackson, N., De Ramon N'Yeurt, A., Damlamian, H., 2020. Distant-source swells cause coastal inundation on Fiji's coral coast. Front. Mar. Sci. 7, 546. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2020.00546>.

[Webb, A.P., Kench, P.S., 2010. The dynamic response of reef islands to sea- level rise:](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref128) [evidence from multi-decadal analysis of island change in the Central Paci](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref128)fic. Global [Planet. Change 72, 234](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref128)–[246.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref128)

[Woodroffe, C.D., 2008. Reef-island topography and the vulnerability of atolls to sea-level](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref129) [rise. Global Planet. Change 62, 77](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref129)–[96.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref129)

- [Woodroffe, C.D., McLean, R.F., Smithers, S.G., Lawson, E.M., 1999. Atoll reef-island](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref130) [formation and response to sea-level change: west island, Cocos \(keeling\) islands. Mar.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref130) [Geol. 160, 85](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref130)–[104.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref130)
- [Woodroffe, C.D., Morrison, R.J., 2001. Reef-island accretion and soil development, makin](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref131) [island, Kiribati, central paci](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref131)fic. Catena 44, 245–[261.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref131)
- [Woodroffe, C.D., Biribo, N., 2011. Atolls. In: Hopley, D. \(Ed.\), Encyclopedia of Modern](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref132) [Coral Reefs: Structure, Form and Process. Springer, the Netherlands, pp. 51](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref132)–[71.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref132)
- [Woodruff, J.D., Irish, J.F., Camargo, S.J., 2013. Coastal](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref133) flooding by tropical cyclones and [sea- level rise. Nature 504, 45](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref133)–[52](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref133).
- [Yamano, H., Kayanne, H., Yamaguchi, T., Kuwahara, Y., Yokoki, H., Shimazaki, H.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref134) [Chicamori, M., 2007. Atoll island vulnerability to](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref134) flooding and inundation revealed

[by historical reconstruction: fongafale Islet. Funafuti Atoll, Tuvalu. Global and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref134) [Planetary Change 57, 407](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref134)-[416.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref134)

- [Yamano, H., Cabioch, G., Chevillon, C., Join, J.L., 2014. Late Holocene sea-level change](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref135) [and reef-island evolution in New Caledonia. Geomorphology 222, 39](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref135)–[45.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref135)
- [Yasukochi, T., Kayanne, H., Yamaguchi, T., Yamano, H., 2014. Sedimentary facies and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref136) [Holocene depositional processes of laura island, majuro atoll. Geomorphology 222,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref136) [59](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref136)–[67](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref136).
- [Yates, M.L., Le Cozannet, G., Garcin, M., Salaï, E., Walker, P., 2013. Multidecadal atoll](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref137) [shoreline change on manihi and manuae, French Polynesia. J. Coast Res. 289,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref137) [870](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref137)–[882](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref137).
- [Zhang, Z., Leduc, G., Sachs, J.P., 2014. El Nino evolution during Holocene revealed by a](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref138) ~ [biomarker rain gauge in the Galapagos Islands. Earth Planet Sci. Lett. 40, 420](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref138)–[434](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-5921(23)00018-5/sref138).