

KSPHPDDM and PCHPDDM: Extending PETSc with advanced Krylov methods and robust multilevel overlapping Schwarz preconditioners

Pierre Jolivet, Jose E. Roman, Stefano Zampini

▶ To cite this version:

Pierre Jolivet, Jose E. Roman, Stefano Zampini. KSPHPDDM and PCHPDDM: Extending PETSc with advanced Krylov methods and robust multilevel overlapping Schwarz preconditioners. Computers & Mathematics with Applications, 2021, 84, pp.277-295. 10.1016/j.camwa.2021.01.003 . hal-04751926

HAL Id: hal-04751926 https://hal.science/hal-04751926v1

Submitted on 13 Nov 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

KSPHPDDM and PCHPDDM: extending PETSc with advanced Krylov methods and robust multilevel overlapping Schwarz preconditioners

Pierre Jolivet^{a,*}, Jose E. Roman^b, Stefano Zampini^c

^aCNRS, IRIT-ENSEEIHT, Toulouse, France ^bUniversitat Politècnica de València, València, Spain ^cKing Abdullah University of Science and Technology, Thuwal, Saudi Arabia

Abstract

Contemporary applications in computational science and engineering often require the solution of linear systems which may be of different sizes, shapes, and structures. The goal of this paper is to explain how two libraries, PETSc and HPDDM, have been interfaced in order to offer end-users robust overlapping Schwarz preconditioners and advanced Krylov methods featuring recycling and the ability to deal with multiple right-hand sides. The flexibility of the implementation is showcased and explained with minimalist, easy-to-run, and reproducible examples, to ease the integration of these algorithms into more advanced frameworks. The examples provided cover applications from eigenanalysis, elasticity, combustion, and electromagnetism.

Keywords: Krylov methods, domain decomposition preconditioners, distributed-memory parallel computing

1 1. Introduction

Computational science and engineering today enjoys unprecedented opportunities to transform the way society solves many of its most urgent technological problems through predictive simulations. At the heart of simulations are robust and scalable solution algorithms. The efficient production of applications requires a rich ecosystem of state-of-the-art reusable libraries from which domain specialists can benefit [1]. In this paper, we focus on the interoperability of two such libraries.

On the one hand, PETSc [2, 3], the Portable and Extensible Toolkit for Scientific computa-8 tion, is a well-established, actively developed software from the community. It may be used to 9 efficiently discretize partial differential equations and solve algebraic linear or nonlinear systems 10 of time-dependent equations. Among its strengths, it offers many advanced features regarding 11 preconditioning and tailored matrix formats, and it can interact with third-party libraries, such as 12 hypre [4] for linear solvers, TetGen [5] for mesh generation, p4est [6] for adaptive mesh refinement, 13 to cite a few. The extensibility and robustness of the framework convinced computational scientists 14 to use PETSc as one of the discretization and/or algebraic backend in many different higher-level 15 projects, see https://www.mcs.anl.gov/petsc for a comprehensive list. 16

Preprint submitted to Computers & Mathematics with Applications

December 27, 2020

^{*}Corresponding author

Email addresses: pierre.jolivet@enseeiht.fr (Pierre Jolivet), jroman@dsic.upv.es (Jose E. Roman), stefano.zampini@kaust.edu.sa (Stefano Zampini)

On the other hand, HPDDM [7], the High-Performance unified framework for Domain Decomposition Methods (HPDDM) is a much smaller project focusing on robust and scalable domain decomposition preconditioners and advanced iterative methods [8] which can efficiently deal with linear systems with multiple right-hand sides, i.e., block iterative methods, and when there is a recurrence of varying coefficient matrices and right-hand sides, i.e., recycling iterative methods.

Here, we describe the integration of the HPDDM solvers suite consisting of advanced iterative methods and robust domain decomposition preconditioners into PETSc. The design principles of the interface are showcased considering minimalist and easy-to-run examples, with a focus on fulfilling reproducibility requirements as advocated by the Association for Computing Machinery https://www.acm.org/publications/policies/artifact-review-badging, as well as to ease the integration of these algorithms into more advanced frameworks and facilitate the analysis and comparison of performance results [9].

Fruits of the proposed enhancement are discussed for applications from SLEPc [10], the Scalable Library for Eigenvalue Problem computations, an add-on library that extends PETSc with classes for linear and nonlinear eigenvalue problems, as well as with tools to facilitate the use of shift-andinvert spectral transformations.

The paper is divided in two main parts. Section 2 introduces the interface to recycling and block Krylov methods (KSPHPDDM) and discusses the readiness of the PETSc library with respect to block Krylov methods. Different applications from eigenanalysis are also provided. Section 3 introduces the suite of robust multilevel overlapping Schwarz methods (PCHPDDM) with examples from linear and nonlinear partial differential equations. Eventually, concluding remarks are provided in section 4.

All PETSc keywords and options are typeset in typewriter font, these are public and documented at https://www.mcs.anl.gov/petsc/petsc-master/docs. Codes not already available in other public repositories are available at https://github.com/prj-/jolivet2020petsc. In Appendix A, a short guide to build the required set of libraries for running these codes is provided.

44 2. Advanced Krylov methods in PETSc: KSPHPDDM

45 2.1. Related work

Krylov subspace methods are widely used in numerical linear algebra for solving linear sys-46 tems of equations because of their memory efficiency [11, 12]. They mainly rely on matrix-vector 47 operations such as multiplications or transpose multiplications and, for robust convergence when 48 dealing with challenging high-dimensional systems, on the application of appropriate precondition-49 ers. PETSc, as of version 3.14.0, already offers 44 different types of Krylov methods within the 50 KSP base class. Some of the most used types are KSPGMRES, KSPCG, or KSPBCGS, which respectively 51 implement the generalized minimal residual method [13] (GMRES), conjugate gradient [14] (CG), 52 or biconjugate gradient stabilized method [15] (BCGS). 53

Because Krylov methods may need long-term recurrences to mitigate round-off errors during the generation of subspaces, it is common to introduce a restart parameter in order to control their memory consumption and the volume of global communications needed when orthonormalizing a candidate basis vector. Such restarts may hinder convergence of iterative methods, and even introduce convergence plateaus. Recycling techniques have been introduced to attenuate these effects. On the one hand, PETSc implements the loose GMRES [16] (LGMRES) and the

deflated GMRES [17, 18] (DGMRES) as KSPLGMRES and KSPDGMRES. However, neither handle 60 variable preconditioning, unlike KSPFGMRES or KSPGCR which respectively implement the flexible 61 GMRES [19] (FGMRES) and the generalized conjugate residual method [20] (GCR). Furtheremore, 62 KSPDGMRES does not support complex arithmetic. Moreover, extending the recycling capabilities of 63 such methods to sequence of linear systems with smoothly varying coefficient matrices and right-64 hand sides is not trivial. On the other hand, HPDDM offers support for the generalized conjugate 65 residual method with inner orthogonalization and deflated restarting [21] (GCRODR), which does 66 not suffer from the aforementioned limitations. 67

Another important aspect of Krylov methods is their ability to deal with multiple right-hand 68 sides simultaneously. Block Krylov methods [22] are designed for solving linear systems AX = B, 69 where X and B are tall-and-skinny matrices with $k \geq 1$ columns. Such methods, while having 70 higher arithmetic intensities, generate larger subspaces and typically converge in fewer iterates. 71 These methods are not currently offered in PETSc and they are less frequently implemented in 72 general purpose libraries because they require somehow more involved kernels such as matrix-73 matrix multiplication, instead of matrix-vector product, and may involve different inner-product 74 realizations [23]. Still, systems with multiple right-hand sides are ubiquitous, e.g., in tomogra-75 phy [24], data analytics [25], eigensolvers [26], geophysics [27], quantum chromodynamics [28], and 76 optimization with time-dependent partial differential equations as constraints. 77

Trilinos [29] is another well-known software for scientific computing and it provides iterative methods through its Belos package [30]. Although having PETSc and Trilinos interoperate is possible, there is currently no KSP interface to Belos. Furthermore, some of the Krylov methods implemented in HPDDM and discussed in section 2.2, are not available in Belos.

82 2.2. Interfacing HPDDM Krylov methods in PETSc

In this section, we provide details of the interface between HPDDM Krylov methods and the various PETSc classes. It is assumed that the right-hand sides, and consequently the solutions, are always dense vectors or matrices.

KSPHPDDM, the interface between HPDDM Krylov methods and PETSc, is automatically regis-

tered since PETSc version 3.12 when configuring PETSc with the extra flag --download-hpddm.
HPDDM linear solvers can be selected using the command line option -ksp_type hpddm, or programmatically via KSPSetType(ksp, KSPHPDDM). Then, the following Krylov methods can be accessed:

- pseudo-block GMRES or flexible GMRES [19];
- pseudo-block CG or flexible CG [31];
 - pseudo-block GCRODR or flexible GCRODR [32];
- block GMRES or flexible GMRES [27], with deflation at each restart;
- block CG [33];

91

93

- breakdown-free block CG [34], with deflation at each iteration;
- block GCRODR or flexible GCRODR, with deflation at each restart.

While being mathematically equivalent to their "standard" counterparts, the pseudo-block variants
fuse together multiple similar operations like matrix-vector products to achieve higher arithmetic
intensity, or to decrease the number of global synchronizations needed for scalar products.

HPDDM Krylov methods may be selected using the options -ksp_hpddm_type (gmres|cg|gcrodr|
 bgmres|bcg|bfbcg|bgcrodr|preonly), or programmatically by using KSPHPDDMSetType(ksp,
 KSPHPDDMType). Preconditioning variants can be specified via -ksp_hpddm_variant (left|right|

flexible) to select whether the preconditioner is applied on the left, on the right, or if it cannot be represented as a linear operator. In this latter case, the preconditioner is always applied on the right, except for the conjugate gradient methods BCG, BFCG, and BFBCG, that only handle left preconditioning. It is also possible to set the preconditioning side through the more common PETSc option -ksp_pc_side (left|right). In addition, convergence monitoring with the KSPMonitor interface is fully supported, as well as the specification of customized convergence testing via the KSPSetConvergenceTest callback.

Recycling Krylov methods try to extract convergence information by solving a small standard or a generalized dense eigenproblem at the end of each cycle or when convergence is reached, and then reuse it appropriately for subsequent solves [35, 36, 37]. Such deflation subspaces, stored as dense tall-and-skinny matrices, can be accessed with KSPHPDDMGetDeflationSpace. User-defined deflation subspaces can also be specified via KSPHPDDMSetDeflationSpace.

To fully support HPDDM solvers, in version 3.14.0 of PETSc, we added interface routines for 116 solving systems with multiple right-hand sides, KSPMatSolve(ksp, X, Y), and to apply precondi-117 tioners, PCMatApply(pc, X, Y). Both input X and output Y matrices are currently limited to be 118 dense tall-and-skinny matrices. With KSPHPDDM, (pseudo-)block Krylov methods will be used. In-119 stead, when no specialized implementation is available, PETSc will perform the solution phase in 120 a column by column fashion. Furthermore, a function KSPSetMatSolveBlockSize is also provided 121 to decompose a single large block of column vectors into multiple sub-blocks. KSPMatSolve is 122 then called repeatedly until all sub-blocks are traversed. Similar considerations apply to the un-123 deriving calls to PCMatApply and MatProductNumeric. This was inspired by MUMPS [38] option 124 $ICNTL(27)^{1}$. 125

While solving linear systems, possibly with multiple right-hand sides, HPDDM will repeatedly call the following PETSc routines:

• MatMult(A, x, y) for y = Ax;

130

- MatMatMult $(A, X, MAT_REUSE_MATRIX, PETSC_DEFAULT, Y)^2$ for Y = AX;
 - PCApply(M, x, y) to apply a preconditioner to x, i.e., for $y = M^{-1}x$;
- **PCMatApply**(M, X, Y) for $Y = M^{-1}X$;

The rest of the operations are performed directly inside HPDDM. Specifically, within Krylov meth-132 ods using the Arnoldi process, or when recycling is requested, one has to compute QR factoriza-133 tions of tall-and-skinny dense matrices to orthonormalize candidate basis vectors. Such operations 134 are performed using the CholQR algorithm [39], or via the (modified) Gram–Schmidt method. 135 These orthonormalization variants can be selected at runtime with the option -ksp_hpddm_qr 136 (cholqr|mgs|cgs). For Hessenberg matrices generated by the Arnoldi process, it is common 137 to update their QR decomposition using Givens rotations [12]. For block Hessenberg matrices, 138 Householder reflectors are used instead [40]. 139

For matrix-matrix products, there are currently specialized implementations for the following MatTypes:

- MATAIJ: standard sequential or parallel sparse matrix, based on compressed sparse row format;
- MATSEQBAIJ: block sparse matrix, based on block compressed sparse row format;
- MATSEQSBAIJ: symmetric block sparse matrix stored in upper triangular form;
- MATSHELL: user-defined matrix;
- MATNEST: block-defined matrix with nested submatrices;

¹http://mumps.enseeiht.fr/doc/userguide_5.3.3.pdf, section 6.1

²or MatProductNumeric(Y) with PETSc 3.14.0 and above

- MATAIJCUSPARSE: sequential or parallel sparse matrix, offloaded to a NVIDIA GPU using cuSPARSE [41].
- The following preconditioners have specialized implementations for dealing efficiently with multiplevectors:
- 151 1. PCKSP: embedded Krylov method;
- 152 2. PCMAT: matrix multiplication;
- 3. PCH20PUS: hierarchical matrices [42, 43];
- 4. PCHPDDM: see section 3;
- 5. PCASM and PCGASM: overlapping Schwarz methods;
- 156 6. PCBJACOBI: block Jacobi;
- 157 7. PCLU or PCCHOLESKY: exact LU or Cholesky factorization;
- 8. PCILU or PCICC: incomplete LU or Cholesky factorization.

One appealing feature of domain decomposition-like preconditioners (items 4 to 6) is that they 159 most often rely on exact or inexact factorizations (items 7 and 8) as subdomain solvers. In these 160 cases, it is possible to access the so-called factored matrix F via PCFactorGetMatrix, and then 161 call MatMatSolve(F, X, Y) to take advantage of blocked forward eliminations and backward 162 substitutions from the various factorization packages interfaced with PETSc. In the case of a 163 sparse matrix, this strategy is possible with: MUMPS [38], SuiteSparse [44], MKL PARDISO 164 or CPARDISO [45], and SuperLU [46] or SuperLU_DIST [47]. For the case of a dense matrix: 165 ScaLAPACK and Elemental [48] are supported. Future work will consider extending the multigrid 166 framework PCMG, as well as other preconditioning classes to increase arithmetic intensity of the 167 preconditioner application phase for blocks of right-hand sides. 168

169 2.3. Applications and numerical results

170 2.3.1. Reproducibility of the results from Parks et al. [21]

Alongside the paper introducing GCRODR [21], a MATLAB implementation was provided 171 and is since then available³. It comes with a sequence of ten "linear systems from a finite element 172 fracture mechanics problem constructed by Philippe H. Geubelle and Spandan Maiti." The goal 173 of this paragraph is to explain how the results can be reproduced with PETSc and KSPHPDDM: 174 the matrix files used are available at https://gitlab.com/petsc/datafiles/-/tree/master/ 175 matrices/hpddm/GCRODR while the driver code is part of the PETSc test suite and available 176 at https://www.mcs.anl.gov/petsc/petsc-master/src/ksp/ksp/tutorials/ex75.c.html. In 177 order to check the correctness of the KSPHPDDM interface, the following tests are performed: 178

- in MATLAB, unpreconditioned GCRODR(40, 20), ICC(0) left-preconditioned GCRODR(40, 20), and Jacobi right-preconditioned GCRODR(40, 20);
 - in PETSc with no preconditioning and no restart $GMRES(\infty)$ KSPGMRES;
- in PETSc with KSPHPDDM, all of the above.

181

The notation GCRODR(n, m) indicates a restart after n iterations and a recycling subspace of dimension m. In all of the cases, convergence is declared when the initial unpreconditioned residual is reduced by 10 orders of magnitude. Except for the right-preconditioned GCRODR, these tests are the same as the ones from the original GCRODR paper [21]. All PETSc tests are performed using four MPI processes and can be launched using the following command lines.

³https://www.sandia.gov/~mlparks/GCRODR.zip



Figure 1: Number of iterations needed to converge for various configurations as originally tested by Parks et al. [21]. Note that the numbers of iterations needed by KSPHPDDM match with the respective MATLAB or PETSc reference implementation.

```
$ mpirun -n 4 ./ex75 -ksp_converged_reason -pc_type none -ksp_rtol 1e-10 -ksp_gmres_restart 40 \
-ksp_type hpddm -ksp_hpddm_type gcrodr -ksp_hpddm_recycle 20 \
-load_dir ${DATAFILESPATH}/matrices/hpddm/GCRODR
$ mpirun -n 4 ./ex75 -ksp_converged_reason -redundant_pc_type icc -ksp_rtol 1e-10 \
-ksp_type hpddm -ksp_hpddm_type gcrodr -ksp_gmres_restart 40 -ksp_hpddm_recycle 20 \
-load_dir ${DATAFILESPATH}/matrices/hpddm/GCRODR -pc_type redundant
$ mpirun -n 4 ./ex75 -ksp_converged_reason -pc_type jacobi -ksp_rtol 1e-10 -ksp_gmres_restart 40 \
-ksp_type hpddm -ksp_hpddm_type gcrodr -ksp_hpddm_recycle 20 \
-ksp_type hpddm -load_dir ${DATAFILESPATH}/matrices/hpddm/GCRODR
$ mpirun -n 4 ./ex75 -ksp_converged_reason -pc_type none -ksp_rtol 1e-10 -ksp_gmres_restart 500 \
-ksp_type hpddm -load_dir ${DATAFILESPATH}/matrices/hpddm/GCRODR
```

The iteration numbers needed to reach convergence are gathered in figure 1. The cases — and • (resp. ---- and •) reproduce Figure 4.2 in [21], while cases --- and • partially reproduce Figure 4.3.

192 2.3.2. Performance of primitives for block Krylov methods

The readiness of PETSc, as of version 3.14.0, is now discussed when it comes to delivering efficient implementations of the core matrix-matrix multiplication needed by block Krylov methods and when a restart occurs in recycling Krylov methods.

In particular, we consider the first three sequential matrix types from section 2.2, namely 196 MATSEQAIJ, MATSEQBAIJ, and MATSEQSBAIJ. Their distributed memory counterparts, e.g., MATMPIAIJ, 197 rely on the sequential implementations, with each process storing two such matrices, one with lo-198 cal rows and columns, and another with local rows and "nonlocal" columns. To keep this study 199 succinct, we only evaluate the performance of the sequential implementations, which can help in 200 drawing conclusions for the intraprocess performance of the parallel formats. For this reason, 201 from now on, we drop the SEQ substring. The performance of the multiplication primitives of the 202 three aforementioned types will also be compared against those obtained with the MKL inspector-203

executor sparse BLAS routines [45], using a single OpenMP thread. There is an ongoing effort to better integrate these routines in PETSc, but at the time of writing, direct calls to the MKL were used.

For benchmarking, we first discretize the Poisson equation on a cube with trilinear finite ele-207 ments and obtain a matrix A of dimension one million. Though the numerical values of A are of no 208 interest here, the sparsity pattern is rather common and frequently encountered when discretizing 209 partial differential equations. We then generate a random symmetric dense b-by-b matrix T, for 210 $b \in \{1, 3, 6\}$, and the matrix $\mathbb{A} = A \otimes T$ is assembled into all three formats described above, using a 211 block size of b for the block formats. The performance of the MatProductNumeric operation is eval-212 uated for tall-and-skinny dense matrices with a varying number of columns $N \in \{2, 8, 16, 32, 64\}$. 213 For the single column case N = 1, the MatMult operation is used. All results have been obtained us-214 ing double-precision arithmetic and 32-bit integers. The scaled efficiency measured in GFLOP per 215 second is reported with respect to the baseline MATAIJ implementation with N = 1 in figure 2, where 216 performances have been averaged over five consecutive product operations. They can be repro-217 duced by using the mini-app MatProduct.c from https://github.com/prj-/jolivet2020petsc 218 and any input MATAIJ stored in binary format, here using the default name binaryoutput, available 219 at http://jolivet.perso.enseeiht.fr/binaryoutput. 220

```
$ mpicc MatProduct.c -03 -I${PETSC_DIR}/${PETSC_ARCH}/include -I${PETSC_DIR}/include
-L${PETSC_DIR}/${PETSC_ARCH}/lib -lpetsc -o MatProduct
$ mpirun -n 1 ./MatProduct -f binaryoutput -log_view
-bs 1,3,6 -N 1,2,8,16,32,64 -type aij,aijmkl,baij,baijmkl,sbaij,sbaijmkl
```

221 Some conclusions may be drawn:

- with a block size of 1, top plot b = 1 in figure 2, using any type but MATAIJ is counterproductive when N > 1, see • and • even when using the MKL, see • and • With 8 or more columns, the performance of MATAIJ plateaus and the efficiency reaches approximately 200%, while the performance of the MKL primitives stagnate at around 175%, see • For the single column case, MATSBAIJ and MATAIJMKL deliver slightly better performances;
- for block sizes lower or equal than 5, loops for matrix-vector and matrix-matrix multiplications are unrolled by hand for block formats. This yields rather disappointing performance, except for MATAIJ, see in middle plot b = 3, and its 350% efficiency, even with a moderate number of columns. For block formats is and is and is given the small value of b, it could be beneficial to switch to optimized libraries for small matrices, e.g., LIBXSMM [49]. In fact, MKL implementation for block formats is here clearly outperforming PETSc, see and is and is and is and is and is a second performing PETSc, see and is and is a second performing PETSc and is a second performance.
- for block sizes larger than 5, block entry multiplication with PETSc block formats is performed using ?gemv or ?gemm operations. For MATAIJ is in the bottom plot corresponding to b = 6, the efficiency quickly caps near 400% for $N \in \{8, 16, 32, 64\}$, while reaching 500% for large number of columns for the block formats, see is and is for N = 64. With these numbers of columns, PETSc and MKL perform similarly.
- The mini-app is then used to benchmark intranode performance of matrix-matrix multiplications,
 either with multiple OpenMP threads, or by offloading the operation to a NVIDIA GPU and using
 cuSPARSE [41] as interfaced in PETSc.

```
$ export OMP_NUM_THREADS=20 && export MKL_NUM_THREADS=20
$ mpirun -n 1 ./MatProduct -f binaryoutput -log_view
   -bs 1,3,6 -N 1,2,8,16,32,64 -type aijmkl,baijmkl,sbaijmkl,aijcusparse
```



Figure 2: Performance of the matrix–matrix multiplication for different sparse matrix formats.



Figure 3: Performance of the matrix-matrix multiplication as implemented in PETSc using intranode parallelism.

Results reported in figure 3 have been scaled with respect to the baseline implementation MATAIJMKL 242 with N = 1. They have been obtained on a single node of Jean Zay, a system composed of 261 nodes 243 with two 20-core Intel Xeon Gold 6248 clocked at 2.5 GHz and four NVIDIA Tesla V100 SXM2. 244 Neither transfers between host and device nor time spent in mkl_sparse_optimize have been taken 245 into account. For the sake of completeness, the performance of the matrix-vector multiplication 246 with MATAIJMKL and different numbers of threads is also reported in figure 4. Clearly, it is not 247 advised to use the full socket to perform this type of workload for such small sparse matrices and 248 skinny dense matrices. However, these results help in drawing a fair comparison between a full 249 CPU socket and a GPU device. 250

The CPU configuration which reaches the highest percentage of peak is MATSBAIJMKL — with 251 b = 3 and N = 64, rightmost middle plot in figure 3, with approximately 63 GFLOP/s. This format 252 is not yet available in PETSc, but it is handled by the mini-app. Still, it is less than 1% of peak for 253 an Intel Xeon Gold 6248. On the GPU, MATAIJCUSPARSE \longrightarrow with b = 6 and N = 64, rightmost 254 bottom plot in figure 3, performs at around 306 GFLOP/s, about 4% of peak for an NVIDIA 255 Tesla V100 SXM2. Future work may consider using interlaced layouts for storing the dense right-256 hand sides and extend the current PETSc functionality in order to maximize performance while 257 maintaining interface flexibility and the user friendliness of the library. 258

259 2.3.3. Linear stability analysis

In this section, we apply recycling Krylov methods in the context of linear stability analysis and consider the solution of the following generalized eigenvalue problem:

$$J(q_b)x = \lambda \begin{bmatrix} M & 0\\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} x,$$
(1)

where J is the Jacobian of the incompressible steady-state Navier–Stokes equation evaluated using a given base flow $q_b = \begin{bmatrix} u_b \\ p_b \end{bmatrix}$ and M is the discretization of the mass matrix on the space of velocities. The mini-app employed for the numerical results is built on top of FreeFEM [50] and it is available at https://github.com/prj-/moulin2019al. Interested readers are referred to [51] for more details and for larger runs.

There are different methods to compute the eigenvalues of equation (1) near a complex-valued shift σ . In this paragraph we consider a Krylov–Schur method [52], which, for interior eigenvalues, relies on spectral transformations and on the solution of successive linear systems such as:

$$\left(J(q_b) - \sigma \begin{bmatrix} M & 0\\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) x_i = b_i,$$
(2)

which, in SLEPc, are parameterized using the $-st_{-}$ prefix. In this work, the above linear system is preconditioned using a modified augmented Lagrangian approach [53, 51]

The effectiveness of subspace recycling is shown for finding the 5 eigenpairs closest to the shift $\sigma = 10^{-6} + 0.6i$ for a flow past a cylinder at Reynolds 100. Results can be reproduced using the following four commands. We note here that the first two commands are merely used to generate the base flow q_b with a SNES, a PETSc object used to solve nonlinear problems, using a continuation method on the Reynolds number.



```
$ mpirun -n 4 FreeFem++-mpi Nonlinear-solver.edp -Re 50 -v 0
$ mpirun -n 4 FreeFem++-mpi Nonlinear-solver.edp -Re 100 -v 0
$ mpirun -n 4 FreeFem++-mpi Eigensolver.edp -Re 100 -v 0 -st_ksp_rtol 1.0e-4
-st_ksp_type fgmres -st_ksp_gmres_restart 200 -st_ksp_converged_reason
$ mpirun -n 4 FreeFem++-mpi Eigensolver.edp -Re 100 -v 0 -st_ksp_rtol 1.0e-4
-st_ksp_type hpddm -st_ksp_gmres_restart 200 -st_ksp_converged_reason
-st_ksp_hpddm_variant flexible -st_ksp_hpddm_recycle 10 -st_ksp_hpddm_type gcrodr
```



Figure 4: Scalability of MatMult with MATAIJMKL and different number of threads.



Figure 5: Number of inner iterations for systems equation (2) with ---- or without — recycling.

The Krylov-Schur algorithm converges in six outer iterations (restarts), with a total of 46 inner solves equation (2). Recycling of Krylov subspaces with KSPHPDDM provides algorithmic speedup by lowering the number of iterations per inner solve, as reported in figure 5, from 80 with KSPFGMRES to 45. A similar speedup can be observed in terms of runtime, with the time spent for the solution of the eigenvalue problem being reduced from 25.1 min to 17.5 min. These timings, and all that follow, have been obtained on Irène, a system composed of 1,656 nodes with two 24-core Intel Xeon Platinum 8168 clocked at 2.7 GHz.

285 2.3.4. Blocking inside SLEPc

295

The implementations of the locally optimal block preconditioned conjugate gradient [26] (LOBPCG) and the contour integral spectrum slicing method [54] (CISS) in SLEPc were previously not using blocking when applying the preconditioner or solving linear systems. In version 3.14.0, these solvers have been adapted to employ KSPMatSolve and PCMatApply. In the case of LOBPCG, which is a purely blocked method, all steps were already implemented as block operations, except the applications of the preconditioner, which undermined the benefits of blocking. The performance of LOBPCG implemented in SLEPc as EPSLOBPCG is studied using three different preconditioners:

- PCASM: one-level overlapping Schwarz method with one level of overlap and exact Cholesky factorizations in each subdomain;
 - PCHPDDM: multilevel overlapping Schwarz method, see section 3;
- PCGAMG: algebraic multigrid method [55].

More details on these solvers are given in the next section where focus is put on preconditioning rather than Krylov methods. We note here that only PCASM and PCHPDDM currently handle blocking, see the list section 2.2, and that PCHPDDM and PCGAMG have lower contraction factors than the cheaper alternative PCASM.

As a testbed, we consider the following generalized eigenvalue problem on a three-dimensional cube:

$$-\nabla \cdot (\kappa \nabla u) = \lambda u.$$

³⁰¹ This continuous equation is discretized with third-order Lagrange finite elements using FreeFEM.

³⁰² The script blocking-slepc.edp available at https://github.com/prj-/jolivet2020petsc can

be used for reproducibility. The following timings and convergence histories have been obtained and 2204 processes of Irone, for solving a problem of dimension 7.46, 10^7

on 2,304 processes of Irène, for solving a problem of dimension $7.46 \cdot 10^7$.

By default, SLEPc applies at most 5 iterations of GMRES on each column of a so-called set of 305 active columns. Since the option -eps_lobpcg_blocksize 40 is used for computing 20 eigenpairs, 306 the size of the set varies between 40 to 1. This size corresponds to the number of right-hand sides 307 that will be solved for throughout LOBPCG iterations. Instead of using KSPGMRES, which does 308 not handle blocking, KSPHPDDM is used, with the pseudo-block GMRES. Thus, assuming the size of 309 the set of active columns is 40, one LOBPCG iteration performs at most 5 pseudo-block GMRES 310 iterations with 40 vectors simultaneously, using MatProductNumeric and PCMatApply, instead of 311 doing at most 40×5 successive GMRES iterations, using MatMult and PCApply. 312

Results are gathered in table 1. In the first row, the numbers of outer LOBPCG iterations 313 are reported with the three different inner preconditioners mentioned above. On the one hand, 314 PCASM is known to yield a preconditioned operator whose condition number grows as the number 315 of subdomains, here processes, increases. Thus, the number of outer iterations is higher than with 316 PCHPDDM or PCGAMG since the inner solves are not converging to meaningful solutions in just 5 317 iterations. On the other hand, both multilevel preconditioners perform similarly, with a minimal 318 difference in the number of outer iterations. The second and third rows record the number of times 319 PCApply and PCMatApply are called. Since PCGAMG does not handle blocking, at each pseudo-320 block GMRES iteration, PCApply is called for each active column separately. PCASM and PCHPDDM 321 handle blocking, so they only rely on PCMatApply. In the fourth row, the time spent setting up 322 the preconditioners is given. PCASM is extremely cheap but not very robust numerically, while 323 both multilevel preconditioners have a similar setup time. The time spent in the full eigensolver 324 is reported in the last row. Clearly, having both a KSP and a PC which can efficiently deal with 325 multiple vectors is mandatory to achieve reasonable performance with such an outer solver that 326 heavily relies on blocking.

	PCASM	PCHPDDM	PCGAMG
# of outer iterations	54	14	15
PCApply			$4,\!049$
PCMatApply	378	98	
$\texttt{PCSetUp}\;(\texttt{sec})$	2.9	21.6	26.5
EPSSolve (sec)	265.7	121.6	391.8

Table 1: Performance of EPSLOBPCG with three different inner preconditioners: PCASM and PCHPDDM, which utilize PCMatApply, and PCGAMG, which utilizes PCApply.

327

The script available at https://github.com/prj-/jolivet2020petsc may be used to solve 328 the same eigenproblem using EPSCISS by providing the additional command line argument -eps_type 329 ciss. However, this eigensolver is mostly suited for finding interior eigenpairs, whereas the left-330 most part of the spectrum, closest to 0 for a symmetric positive definite problem, is here sought. 331 In this scenario, EPSCISS is not a suitable solver and for fairness, its algorithmic performance 332 is not reported, but the options from the script can be readily used for problems where it is an 333 appropriate choice. We finally note that blocking support is also available and tested in SLEPc for 334 EPSSUBSPACE since version 3.14.0. 335

336 3. Robust multilevel overlapping Schwarz preconditioners: PCHPDDM

337 3.1. Related work

Domain decomposition methods are, alongside multigrid methods, one of the dominant paradigms for defining efficient and robust preconditioners in modern large-scale applications dealing with partial differential equations. There are many monographs on domain decomposition methods [56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61].

Basic one-level methods such as the block Jacobi and the (overlapping) additive Schwarz method 342 are implemented in PETSc as PCBJACOBI and PCASM respectively, with some additional customiza-343 tion [62] available for PCASM. However, none of these methods provide automatic support for a 344 coarse-level solver, which is mandatory to obtain algorithmic scalability with large numbers of 345 processes. Furthermore, PETSc provides non-overlapping domain decomposition methods. PCNN 346 implements a basic balancing Neumann–Neumann method [63], while more advanced precondition-34 ing techniques are available with PCBDDC [64], including adaptive selection of primal constraints [65], 348 support for H(curl) [66] and H(div) [67] conforming finite elements, and isogeometric analysis [68]. 349 For multigrid, PCMG provides a unified framework for geometric or algebraic preconditioners, which 350 is used for the implementation of the smoothed-aggregation PCGAMG [55]. There are also interfaces 351 to other well-known multigrid packages such as PCHYPRE [4] or PCML [69] from Trilinos. Of course, 352 there are many other available domain decomposition preconditioners, either accessible through 353 high-level libraries other than PETSc, e.g., FROSch [70] in Trilinos, or as stand-alone packages, 354 e.g., BDDCML [71] or FEMPAR-BDDC [72]. 355

HPDDM implements the same one-level overlapping Schwarz methods as PETSc as well as optimized Schwarz methods [73], which may be used in PETSc with PCSetModifySubMatrices, see [74]. It also provides support for defining robust two-level methods using the generalized eigenvalue problem on the overlap (GenEO) framework, for finite elements [75, 76] and boundary elements [77]. Results in this work present the first high-level access to GenEO from PETSc and its composable solver infrastructure [78]. We note that GenEO has been recently implemented in other libraries as well [79].

363 3.2. Interfacing HPDDM overlapping Schwarz methods in PETSc

PCHPDDM, the interface between HPDDM overlapping Schwarz methods and PETSc, is automatically registered since PETSc version 3.12 when configuring PETSc with the extra flag --download-hpddm --download-slepc. It is then possible to select the corresponding PC using the command line option -pc_type hpddm, or the routine PCSetType(pc, PCHPDDM).

In this section, we are interested in constructing a preconditioner for a given coefficient matrix *A*. Without user intervention, PCHPDDM is strictly equivalent to PCASM. That is, if *A* is distributed among *N* processes, the action of the preconditioner M^{-1} is:

$$M^{-1} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \tilde{R}_{i}^{T} (R_{i} A R_{i}^{T})^{-1} R_{i}, \qquad (3)$$

where $\{R_i\}_{i=1}^N$ are restriction operators that act on a global vector and return a local vector on each process, possibly with some overlap. $\{\tilde{R}_i\}_{i=1}^N$ are the same operators except that coefficients on the overlap are set to 0 [62]. The action of each $\{R_iAR_i^T\}_i^{-1}$ can be parameterized through a local KSP. When A is the discretization of a linear partial differential operator \mathcal{L} on a domain Ω , it is extremely common to exhibit parallelism by distributing Ω on N processes, possibly with



Figure 6: Notation and basics of overlapping Schwarz methods.

some overlap, and to construct the $\{R_i\}_{i=1}^N$ so that they map global unknowns to unknowns local to each process.

In addition to the local operators $\{R_i A R_i^T\}_{i=1}^N$, GenEO needs users to supply the local unassembled matrices $\{\mathring{A}_i\}_{i=1}^N$, also known as Neumann matrices, representing the discretization of \mathcal{L} on the extended local subdomain with overlap, endowed with natural boundary conditions. In the case of the one-dimensional Poisson equation with homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions discretized using trilinear finite elements, an explicit representation of these operators is given figure 6 for a two-domain decomposition.

With extra Neumann information at hand, it is then possible to enrich the original one-level preconditioner from equation (3) using a spectral coarse grid built in the following way:

1. have SLEPc solve the local generalized eigenvalue problem concurrently:

$$\mathring{A}_i y_i = \lambda_i \tilde{R}_i R_i^T (R_i A R_i^T) R_i \tilde{R}_i^T y_i;$$
(4)

2. retrieve the ν_i smallest eigenpairs $\{y_{i_j}, \lambda_{i_j}\}_{j=1}^{\nu_i}$ and assemble a local deflation dense matrix $W_i = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{R}_i R_i^T y_{i_1} & \cdots & \tilde{R}_i R_i^T y_{i_{\nu_i}} \end{bmatrix};$

389 3. define a global deflation matrix $P = \begin{bmatrix} R_1^T W_1 & \cdots & R_N^T W_N \end{bmatrix}$ and a new two-level precondi-390 tioner using the Galerkin product of A and P:

$$M_{\text{additive}}^{\prime -1} = P \left(P^T A P \right)^{-1} P^T + \sum_{i=1}^N \tilde{R}_i^T (R_i A R_i^T)^{-1} R_i.$$
(5)

Note that $\{\tilde{R}_i R_i^T\}_{i=1}^N$ defines a partition of unity, i.e.,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} R_i^T \tilde{R}_i R_i^T R_i = I.$$

Such a construction of a two-level method yields a preconditioner with which it is possible to bound the condition number of the preconditioned operator. Thus, the convergence rate of a preconditioned Krylov method such as the conjugate gradient can be guaranteed a priori.

While step #3 is common to most domain decomposition or multigrid preconditioners, HPDDM 394 takes advantage of the special block sparse structure of the deflation matrix P. Moreover, the size 395 of the coarse operator $P^T A P$ is much lower than the size of A, and coarsening is much more 396 aggressive than when using algebraic or geometric multigrid methods. It is thus natural to remap 397 the coarse operator on a subset of the processes used to decompose the original matrix A. In 398 HPDDM, the coarse operator is computed and redistributed simultaneously. Interested readers 399 are referred to the paper describing the technical details of the implementation [7]. Note that 400 similar techniques have been proven beneficial for other types of solvers in PETSc [80]. 401

In order to use GenEO from PETSc, the auxiliary operators $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^N$ and the correspond-402 ing local to global map of degrees of freedom, see figure 6, can be provided using the routine 403 PCHPDDMSetAuxiliaryMat. In special cases where the matrix has been constructed using the 404 PETSc discretization infrastructure, the relevant information is automatically computed by PETSc. 405 Figure 7a (resp. figure 7b) is an example of a non-overlapping (resp. overlapping) decomposition 406 using a non-conforming grid with DMPLEX [81]. The mesh has been constructed internally by DMPLEX 407 using a random pattern of non-conforming 2:1 refinements and a space-filling curve distribution by 408 p4est [6]. The required elements needed to properly assemble the Neumann operators in a conform-409 ing way are pictured with a lighter color. Other DMTypes, including user-defined, may be supported 410 by implementing the proper DMCreateNeumannOverlap callback. Support for the structured grid 411 infrastructure (DMDA) could be easily added.



(a) Initial non-overlapping decomposition.



(b) Overlapping decomposition on which auxiliary operators $\{\mathring{A}_i\}_{i=1}^N$ are assembled.

Figure 7: Automatic generation of overlapping subdomains when using a DMPLEX. For clarity, only four subdomains, one of which is disconnected, are colored.

412

With the local matrix pencils available, new options are automatically registered such as $-pc_hpddm_levels_1_eps_nev$ or $-pc_hpddm_levels_1_eps_threshold$, which may be used to customize the eigenpairs SLEPc will compute in step #2. The default $-eps_nev$ value is 20, i.e., the dimension of the coarse space is $20 \times N$ under the assumption that all concurrent SLEPc solves

417 converge.

For performance, it is better to compute the same number of eigenvectors on each process, since in this case, the coarse operator will be assembled using symmetric or general blocked matrix formats. With usually large block sizes, this has consequent impacts on memory, floating-point, and message passing performances. In addition, standard eigensolvers such as EPSKRYLOVSCHUR from SLEPc, cannot inexpensively evaluate the exact number of eigenpairs in a given interval of \mathbb{R}^+ . Instead, it is often more efficient to provide an upper bound on the number of eigenpairs.

The option $-pc_hpddm_coarse_p$ can be used to provide the size of the subcommunicator that will be used to remap the coarse operator. With the default value of 1, the coarse matrix $P^T A P$ is centralized on a single process. The action of the inverses in equation (5) can be parameterized through the $-pc_hpddm_coarse_ksp_type$ and $-pc_hpddm_levels_1_ksp_type$ options respectively.

428 3.2.1. Coarse corrections customization

Some other parameters may be adjusted to define how the one-level preconditioner and the coarse-grid operator interact. Besides the additive correction shown in equation (5), end-users may select more numerically efficient corrections [82, 83] as:

$$M_{\text{deflated}}^{\prime -1} = Q + M^{-1} \left(I - AQ \right) \quad \text{(default)}$$
$$M_{\text{balanced}}^{\prime -1} = Q + \left(I - AQ \right) M^{-1} \left(I - AQ \right),$$

with $Q = P(P^T A P)^{-1} P^T$ and M^{-1} defined in equation (3). This is parameterized using the option 429 (deflated additive balanced) -pc_hpddm_coarse_correction or the routine 430 PCHPDDMSetCoarseCorrectionType. All of the correction formulas can be applied to either a 431 single vector or a block of multiple vectors optimally. Indeed, the one-level preconditioner M^{-1} is 432 applied with either PCApply or PCMatApply, and the restriction-correction-interpolation operator 433 Q is applied in a block fashion in HPDDM, and not column by column. 434

435 3.2.2. Extension of the GenEO framework

The local generalized eigenvalue problems equation (4) can also be adjusted. In addition to the local unassembled Neumann matrices $\{\mathring{A}_i\}_{i=1}^N$, users can prescribe additional local operators $\{B_i\}_{i=1}^N$, defined on the overlapping decomposition, via the routine PCHPDDMSetRHSMat(pc, B_i). In the case of local matrices with optimized Robin transmission conditions, the following generalized eigenvalue problems, called GenEO-2 in the literature [84], are then solved in each subdomain:

$$\check{A}_i y_i = \lambda_i B_i y_i.$$

This may be useful when dealing with nearly indefinite systems, e.g., nearly incompressible elasticity or the system of Stokes. Indeed, for such equations, one needs to compute a large number of eigenvectors from the classical GenEO eigenproblem equation (4) to generate a robust preconditioner. GenEO-2 alleviates this phenomenon. In the case where the local operators $\{B_i\}_{i=1}^N$ are the discrete mass matrices on the subdomain interfaces, the so-called Dirichlet-to-Neumann coarse operator is constructed. This has proven to be efficient for solving the heterogeneous Helmholtz equation [85].

443 3.2.3. Multilevel extension

In the previous sections, it was shown how to supply the required information to PCHPDDM in order to build robust two-level overlapping domain decomposition preconditioners. Since the dimension of the coarse operator linearly depends on the number of subdomains of the fine level decomposition, switching to a multilevel scheme may alleviate the increasing cost of solving coarse linear systems. A multilevel extension of the GenEO framework has been recently proposed [86], with the advantage that the multilevel hierarchy can be automatically constructed without any additional information from the user.

PCHPDDM follows the same numbering of PCBDDC: the finest level is always numbered with 1, and the level index increases as the hierarchy is traversed, up until the coarsest level, whose solver options are prefixed by coarse. In order to register an additional level l' = l + 1, the following conditions must be met at level l:

• there must be more than one subdomain;

• at least one local eigenvector must be computed per coarse subdomain.

457 For example, using N = 16 subdomains on the finest level, the options

458 -pc_hpddm_levels_1_eps_threshold 0.4 -pc_hpddm_coarse_p 8

will define a two-level method with the coarse operator being distributed among 8 processes, assuming that there is globally enough λ_i in equation (4) smaller than the prescribed threshold 0.4. Similarly, the options

463 -pc_hpddm_levels_2_eps_nev 10 -pc_hpddm_coarse_p 2

will define a three-level method with the second level distributed among 8 processes, while the
coarsest level will be built using 10 eigenvalues per subdomain from the second level and remapped
onto 2 processes. Additional examples for the solver customization are provided in section 3.3

467 3.2.4. PCHPDDM for non-overlapping domain decomposition

Because of the intrinsic nature of balancing domain decomposition methods, PCNN and PCBDDC 468 must be supplied with a MatIS which stores local unassembled matrices and local to global map-469 pings. These local matrices are equivalent to the $\{\mathring{A}_i\}_{i=1}^N$ defined section 3.2, assuming the domain 470 decomposition is without overlap, see for example Ω_1 and Ω_2 from figure 6 in a finite element con-471 text. Since the assembled form of these operators can be reconstructed, it is possible to obtain the 472 Dirichlet operators $\{R_i A R_i^T\}_{i=1}^N$ from section 3.2 as well. All tools needed by the GenEO framework 473 are thus readily available. Note however that one-level overlapping Schwarz methods are known for 474 converging slowly when there is no overlap. The proposed methodology is not strictly equivalent 475 to the BDD-GenEO method [87], in which local Schur complements on subdomain interfaces are 476 computed explicitly, and then used in concurrent dense generalized eigenvalue problems. 477

478 3.3. Applications and numerical results

479 3.3.1. System of elasticity

We first report on solving the three-dimensional system of linear elasticity with highly heterogeneous elastic modulus. Its strong formulation is given by:

div
$$\sigma(u) + f = 0$$
 in Ω ,
 $u = 0$ on Γ_D ,
 $\sigma(u) \cdot n = 0$ on Γ_N .
(6)

⁴⁸⁰ The physical domain Ω is a beam of dimensions $[0, 6] \times [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$. The Cauchy stress tensor σ ⁴⁸¹ is given by Hooke's law: it can be expressed in terms of Young's modulus *E* and Poisson's ratio ν ,

$$\sigma_{ij}(u) = \begin{cases} 2\mu\varepsilon_{ij}(u) & i \neq j, \\ 2\mu\varepsilon_{ii}(u) + \lambda \operatorname{div}(u) & i = j, \end{cases}$$

482 where

$$\varepsilon_{ij}(u) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_i} + \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_j} \right), \ \mu = \frac{E}{2(1+\nu)}, \ \text{and} \ \lambda = \frac{E\nu}{1-2\nu}$$

 Γ_D is the subset of the boundary of Ω corresponding to x = 0. Γ_N is defined as the complementary 483 of Γ_D with respect to the boundary of Ω . Equation (6) is discretized using trilinear finite elements 484 resulting in 593×10^6 unknowns with approximately 45 nonzero coefficients per row in the discrete 485 coefficient matrix. The physical domain Ω is decomposed in 13,824 subdomains using the automatic 486 graph partitioner ParMETIS [88]. There are heterogeneities due to jumps in E and ν . The following 487 discontinuous piecewise constant values are considered: $(E_1, \nu_1) = (2 \times 10^{11}, 0.25)$ in blue regions, 488 while $(E_2, \nu_2) = (10^7, 0.45)$ in red regions, see figure 8. The code used to produce these results was 489 borrowed from the original paper about the multilevel extension of GenEO [86] and it is available 490 at https://github.com/prj-/aldaas2019multi.



Figure 8: Deformed geometrical configuration of a 3D clamped beam subject to gravity. The striped plane in the background shows a cut of the resting configuration with the jumps in the coefficient $E_1 = 10^7$ and $E_2 = 2 \times 10^{11}$, aligned with those of ν .

491

- ⁴⁹² The following preconditioners are compared:
- 493 1. PCGAMG;
- 494 2. PCHPDDM with an exact coarse solver;

3. PCHPDDM with inexact coarse solvers using GenEO multilevel extension.

⁴⁹⁶ The flexible GMRES is used and customized with the options -ksp_gmres_modifiedgramschmidt

-ksp_gmres_restart 50 -ksp_type fgmres. The options specific to each solver described above
 are given below.

# cf. item 1	# cf. item 2
-pc_type gamg	-pc_type hpddm
-prefix_push pc_gamg_	-prefix_push pc_hpddm_
-threshold 0.03	-prefix_push levels_1_
-square_graph 4	-pc_type asm
-sym_graph true	-eps_nev 40
-asm_use_agg true	-sub_pc_type cholesky
-repartition true	-sub_pc_factor_mat_solver_type mumps
-prefix_pop	-st_pc_factor_mat_solver_type mumps
-prefix_push mg_levels_	-prefix_pop
-pc_asm_overlap 0	-prefix_push coarse_
-sub_pc_type cholesky	-p 24
-prefix_pop	-pc_factor_mat_solver_type mkl_cpardiso
-prefix_push mg_coarse_	-prefix_pop
-pc_type redundant	-define_subdomains
-redundant_pc_type cholesky	-has_neumann
-prefix_pop	-prefix_pop
-prefix_pop	-prefix_pop

⁵⁰⁰ By default, the coarse problem in PCHPDDM is solved using an exact LU or Cholesky factorization, ⁵⁰¹ in this case using 24 processes and MKL CPARDISO. Switching to an inexact solver using the

 $_{\rm 502}$ $\,$ multilevel extension of GenEO, cf. item 3, is straightforward.

503

100

```
# cf. item 3
-prefix_push pc_hpddm_levels_2_
              M
-p
-ksp_type
              gmres
-ksp_rtol
              1.0e-2
-ksp_pc_side right
-pc_type
              asm
-eps_nev
              80
-sub_pc_type cholesky
-sub_pc_factor_mat_solver_type mkl_pardiso
-st_pc_factor_mat_solver_type mkl_pardiso
-prefix_pop
```

⁵⁰⁴ In this third configuration, *M* is the number of subdomains used to define the second-level domain ⁵⁰⁵ decomposition, which is an aggregation of first-level subdomains.

Considering the parametrization used for the PCHPDDM solvers, items 2 and 3 yield the same 506 number of outer iterates. In figure 9a, the convergence history of PCGAMG and PCHPDDM are reported. 507 In figure 9b, the number of inner coarse iterations are reported for various values of M. In the 508 case of a two-level method (item 2) this number is equal to one and is thus not reported. Note 509 that in this test case, PCGAMG is faster than all PCHPDDM alternatives. On the one hand, for PCGAMG, 510 the setup phase takes 70 seconds, while the solution phase requires 19 seconds. On the other 511 hand, the fastest PCHPDDM configuration, which corresponds to the configuration with 256 second-512 level subdomains ----, requires 64 seconds to setup and 36 seconds for the solution of the linear 513 system. Out of the 64 seconds needed for PCHPDDM setup, 31 are spent in SLEPc EPSSolve for 514 solving GenEO at each level but the coarsest. With respect to coarsening, PCGAMG has an operator 515 complexity of 1.501, while for PCHPDDM, it is 1.015. 516

517 3.3.2. Liouville-Bratu-Gelfand equation

⁵¹⁸ The strong formulation of this nonlinear problem is given by:

$$-\nabla \cdot (\kappa \nabla u) - 6.2e^u = 0, \tag{7}$$



Figure 9: Convergence histories of PCGAMG and PCHPDDM for solving the 3D system of linear elasticity. For PCHPDDM, a comparison of the number of inner coarse iterations is displayed when using an inexact method, cf. item 3.

where κ is a heterogeneous coefficient distribution, see figure 10a. This equation may model the 519 temperature distribution in combustion models. Here, it is merely used to test PCHPDDM in the 520 context of solving successive linearized equations. The physical domain Ω is the unit cube. It is 521 decomposed in 10,272 subdomains. Equation (7) is discretized using second-order Lagrange finite 522 elements. The number of unknowns is 217×10^6 , with approximately 29 nonzero coefficients per 523 row. It is solved using SNES, with PCHPDDM being the preconditioner for solving each linearized 524 system, see figure 10b. The GenEO framework has seldom been used in the context of nonlinear 525 problems, but here, it is shown that it can solve the linearized equations from equation (7) in few 526 iterates. There are two strategies to define the preconditioner: 527

- whenever the Jacobian is being updated in the SNESSetJacobian function, new unassembled
 Neumann matrices at the current linearization point can be updated as well and supplied via
 PCHPDDMSetAuxiliaryMat
- by reusing the PCHPDDM hierarchy assembled when solving the first linearized system, using
 the SNESSetLagPreconditioner option.

Since the system is not too stiff here, both strategies lead to the same convergence history, which is displayed in figure 11a. The complete set of options is given in figure 11b. In the case where the preconditioner is being rebuilt at each of the four linearization steps, 81.1 s are spent in PCSetUp and 27.7 s in KSPSolve. Setting up the preconditioner only once is in this scenario a compelling way of amortizing this cost. Results can be reproduced using the FreeFEM script bratu.edp available at https://github.com/prj-/jolivet2020petsc.

539 3.3.3. Using PCHPDDM as a coarse grid solver for PCBDDC

A last problem shows how one may switch between a multilevel BDDC solver to a two-level BDDC solver using PCHPDDM for solving the coarse problem. The problem is generated using the MFEM [89] definite Maxwell example available at https://github.com/mfem/mfem/blob/master/examples/petsc/ex3p.cpp. The strong formulation of the continuous problem is given



Figure 10: Solving the Liouville-Bratu-Gelfand equation using SNESNEWTONLS and PCHPDDM.







(b) $\frac{\text{SNES}, \text{KSP}, \text{ and PC options for}}{\text{solving equation } (7)}$

Figure 11: Numerical performance of PCHPDDM in a nonlinear context for solving the Liouville–Bratu–Gelfand equation. Default PETSc tolerances are used: 10^{-5} decrease of relative residuals for linear solves, 10^{-8} decrease of function norms for nonlinear solves.

by:

$$\nabla \times (\nabla \times E) + E = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

$$E \times n = (1 + 16\pi^2) \left[\sin 4\pi y \quad \sin 4\pi z \quad \sin 4\pi x \right]^T \text{ on } \partial\Omega.$$
(8)

It is discretized using first order Nédélec finite elements and PCBDDC is automatically customized to obtain a stable method with these elements [66]. The number of unknowns is 5.6×10^6 . The following command line options are used.

543

545

```
$ mpirun -n 512 ./ex3p -m ../../data/fichera.mesh -f 4 --petscopts rc_ex3p_bddc --nonoverlapping
```

⁵⁴⁴ The option file shows how PCHPDDM may be composed into PCBDDC.

```
$ cat rc_ex3p_bddc
                                                           # continued from the left column
-ksp_type
               fgmres
                                                            -prefix_push coarse_
-ksp_norm_type unpreconditioned
                                                             -ksp_converged_reason
-ksp rtol
               1.0e-8
                                                             -ksp_type
                                                                             gmres
                                                             -ksp max it
                                                                             100
-prefix_push pc_bddc_
                                                             -ksp_rtol
                                                                             1.0e-1
-use_deluxe_scaling
                                                             -pc_type
                                                                            hpddm
-levels
                                                             -ksp_norm_type preconditioned
                     1
-adaptive_threshold 2.0
                                                             -prefix_push pc_hpddm_
-coarsening_ratio
                                                              -levels_1_pc_type
                     8
                                                                                     asm
                                                                                     10
                                                              -levels_1_eps_nev
-neumann_pc_factor_mat_solver_type
                                                              -levels_1_sub_pc_type cholesky
                                       mumps
-dirichlet_pc_factor_mat_solver_type mumps
                                                              -coarse_pc_type
                                                                                     cholesky
# continue on the right column
                                                             -prefix_pop
                                                            -prefix_pop
                                                           -prefix_pop
```

Deluxe scaling is used to build an adaptive second level with PCBDDC. On this second level with 546 16,284 unknowns, new subdomains are built by aggregating the coarse element matrices of 8 fine-547 level subdomains. The BDDC coarse problem composed of $\frac{512}{8} = 64$ subdomains is then solved 548 using PCHPDDM, which itself builds another adaptive level using 10 local eigenvectors per subdomain. 549 The coarsest level is aggregated on a single process and solved using an exact Cholesky factoriza-550 tion. The magnitude of the electric field is plotted in figure 12a. The convergence history of the 551 solver is shown in figure 12b. The outer solver reaches the prescribed convergence tolerance in 17 552 iterations ----. The number of inner iterations for solving the BDDC coarse problem is reported 553 as well – _ 554

555 4. Conclusion and perspectives

In this paper, we presented the interface between PETSc and HPDDM and discussed the new Krylov and overlapping Schwarz methods, providing several numerical examples and describing various runtime options. Overall, the interface paves the way for having recycling and block Krylov methods plus robust overlapping Schwarz methods using the GenEO framework in PETSc. Concerning PETSc, the infrastructure for dealing with blocks of vectors has been laid out. However, only a little fraction of the built-in matrix types and preconditioners can currently handle them efficiently, and additional storage formats may be considered to maximize performance.



Figure 12: MFEM example ex3p for solving the Fichera corner problem.

⁵⁶³ Concerning SLEPc, we plan to implement new solvers or extend existing ones to further exploit
 ⁵⁶⁴ block solve primitives, for instance, block Krylov–Schur [90]. The current code for Arnoldi iterations
 ⁵⁶⁵ would then handle block Arnoldi iterations, and shift-and-invert solves would operate on the whole
 ⁵⁶⁶ block instead of column by column.

Concerning HPDDM, its integration inside PETSc offers a much-needed flexibility compared 567 to the standard implementation. Indeed, it is now possible to decide at runtime many solvers 568 parameters that are instead determined at compile-time in a stand-alone HPDDM implementation. 569 The interface between PETSc and HPDDM has room for future improvements. First, HPDDM 570 handles mixed-precision, e.g., using single-precision scalars for assembling coarse operators while 571 using double-precision scalars at the fine level. The topic of mixed-precision is under scrutiny for 572 the next major overhaul of PETSc. Second, HPDDM does not handle GPU efficiently currently, 573 but the interface with PETSc provides a very thorough testbed with Mat and PC implementations 574 that do exploit GPU. 575

576 Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank S. Balay, J. Brown, V. Hapla, M. Knepley, and B. Smith for reviewing the successive merge requests in PETSc repository and for their feedback on this manuscript. This work was granted access to the GENCI-sponsored HPC resources of:

• TGCC@CEA under allocation A0070607519;

• IDRIS@CNRS under allocation AP010611780.

Jose E. Roman was supported by the Spanish Agencia Estatal de Investigación (AEI) under project SES SLEPc-DA (PID2019-107379RB-I00).

584 Appendix A. Code reproducibility

In order to reproduce the various results from this paper, a short how-to is provided in this appendix to get a minimalist functioning set of required libraries. First, PETSc version 3.14.2 can be downloaded at https://gitlab.com/petsc/petsc/-/archive/v3.14.2/petsc-v3.14.2. zip. While some adjustments may be needed to ensure that the proper MPI implementation and BLAS/LAPACK libraries are used by PETSc build system, the configure line should be somehow similar to:

MFEM will then be available for reproducing results from section 3.3.3. As a stand-alone library, PETSc can be used to reproduce results from sections 2.3.1 and 2.3.2. After building PETSc with real scalars, it must also be built with complex scalars.

```
./configure --with-metis-dir=real-build
        --download-slepc --download-hpddm
        --with-scalar-type=complex PETSC_ARCH=complex-build
```

Eventually, one can download FreeFEM version 4.7-1 at https://github.com/FreeFem/FreeFem-sources/ archive/v4.7-1.zip and use the following configure line:

After building FreeFEM, results from sections 2.3.3, 2.3.4, 3.3.1 and 3.3.2 can be reproduced.

597 **References**

- [1] R. Bartlett, I. Demeshko, T. Gamblin, G. Hammond, M. A. Heroux, J. Johnson, A. Klinvex, X. S. Li, L. C.
 McInnes, J. D. Moulton, D. Osei-Kuffuor, J. Sarich, B. F. Smith, J. Willenbring, U. M. Yang, xSDK foundations: Toward an extreme-scale scientific software development kit, Supercomputing Frontiers and Innovations 4 (1) (2017).
- 602 URL https://xsdk.info
- [2] S. Balay, S. Abhyankar, M. F. Adams, J. Brown, P. Brune, K. Buschelman, L. Dalcin, A. Dener, V. Eijkhout,
 W. D. Gropp, D. Karpeyev, D. Kaushik, M. G. Knepley, D. A. May, L. C. McInnes, R. T. Mills, T. Munson,
 K. Rupp, P. Sanan, B. F. Smith, S. Zampini, H. Zhang, H. Zhang, PETSc web page (2020).
 URL http://www.mcs.anl.gov/petsc
- [3] S. Balay, S. Abhyankar, M. F. Adams, J. Brown, P. Brune, K. Buschelman, L. Dalcin, A. Dener, V. Eijkhout,
 W. D. Gropp, D. Karpeyev, D. Kaushik, M. G. Knepley, D. A. May, L. C. McInnes, R. T. Mills, T. Munson,
 K. Rupp, P. Sanan, B. F. Smith, S. Zampini, H. Zhang, H. Zhang, PETSc users manual, Tech. Rep. ANL-95/11
 Revision 3.13, Argonne National Laboratory (2020).
- [4] R. Falgout, U. M. Yang, *hypre*: A library of high performance preconditioners, Computational Science—ICCS 2002 (2002) 632–641.
- 613 URL https://www.llnl.gov/casc/hypre
- [5] H. Si, TetGen: A quality tetrahedral mesh generator and 3D Delaunay triangulator, Tech. Rep. 13 (2013).
- 615 URL http://wias-berlin.de/software/tetgen
- [6] C. Burstedde, L. C. Wilcox, O. Ghattas, p4est: Scalable algorithms for parallel adaptive mesh refinement on forests of octrees, SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing 33 (3) (2011) 1103–1133.
- 618 URL http://www.p4est.org

- [7] P. Jolivet, F. Hecht, F. Nataf, C. Prud'homme, Scalable domain decomposition preconditioners for heterogeneous
 elliptic problems, in: Proceedings of the International Conference on High Performance Computing, Networking,
 Storage and Analysis, SC13, ACM, 2013.
- [8] P. Jolivet, P.-H. Tournier, Block iterative methods and recycling for improved scalability of linear solvers, in:
 Proceedings of the 2016 International Conference for High Performance Computing, Networking, Storage and
 Analysis, SC16, IEEE, 2016.
- [9] T. Hoefler, R. Belli, Scientific benchmarking of parallel computing systems: Twelve ways to tell the masses
 when reporting performance results, in: Proceedings of the 2015 International Conference for High Performance
 Computing, Networking, Storage and Analysis, SC15, 2015.
- [10] V. Hernandez, J. E. Roman, V. Vidal, SLEPc: A scalable and flexible toolkit for the solution of eigenvalue
 problems, ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software 31 (3) (2005) 351–362.
 URL https://slepc.upv.es
- [11] R. Barrett, M. Berry, T. F. Chan, J. Demmel, J. Donato, J. Dongarra, V. Eijkhout, R. Pozo, C. Romine,
 H. Van der Vorst, Templates for the Solution of Linear Systems: Building Blocks for Iterative Methods, SIAM,
 1994.
- [12] Y. Saad, Iterative Methods for Sparse Linear Systems, 2nd Edition, SIAM, 2003.
- [13] Y. Saad, M. H. Schultz, GMRES: A generalized minimal residual algorithm for solving nonsymmetric linear
 systems, SIAM Journal on Scientific and Statistical Computing 7 (3) (1986) 856–869.
- [14] M. R. Hestenes, E. Stiefel, Methods of conjugate gradients for solving linear systems, Journal of Research of
 the National Bureau of Standards 49 (6) (1952) 409–436.
- [15] H. A. Van der Vorst, Bi-CGSTAB: A fast and smoothly converging variant of Bi-CG for the solution of non symmetric linear systems, SIAM Journal on Scientific and Statistical Computing 13 (2) (1992) 631–644.
- [16] A. H. Baker, E. R. Jessup, T. Manteuffel, A technique for accelerating the convergence of restarted GMRES,
 SIAM Journal on Matrix Analysis and Applications 26 (4) (2005) 962–984.
- [17] J. Erhel, K. Burrage, B. Pohl, Restarted GMRES preconditioned by deflation, Journal of Computational and
 Applied Mathematics 69 (2) (1996) 303–318.
- [18] D. N. Wakam, F. Pacull, Memory efficient hybrid algebraic solvers for linear systems arising from compressible flows, Computers & Fluids 80 (2013) 158–167.
- [19] Y. Saad, A flexible inner-outer preconditioned GMRES algorithm, SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing 14 (2)
 (1993) 461–469.
- [20] S. C. Eisenstat, H. C. Elman, M. H. Schultz, Variational iterative methods for nonsymmetric systems of linear equations, SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis 20 (2) (1983) 345–357.
- [21] M. L. Parks, E. de Sturler, G. Mackey, D. D. Johnson, S. Maiti, Recycling Krylov subspaces for sequences of
 linear systems, SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing 28 (5) (2006) 1651–1674.
- [22] M. H. Gutknecht, Block Krylov space methods for linear systems with multiple right-hand sides: An introduc tion, in: A. Siddiqui, I. Duff, O. Christensen (Eds.), Modern Mathematical Models, Methods and Algorithms
 for Real World Systems, 2006, pp. 420–447.
- [23] A. Frommer, K. Lund, D. B. Szyld, Block Krylov subspace methods for functions of matrices, Electronic
 Transactions on Numerical Analysis 47 (2017) 100–126.
- P.-H. Tournier, I. Aliferis, M. Bonazzoli, M. de Buhan, M. Darbas, V. Dolean, F. Hecht, P. Jolivet, I. El Kanfoud,
 C. Migliaccio, F. Nataf, C. Pichot, S. Semenov, Microwave tomographic imaging of cerebrovascular accidents
 by using high-performance computing, Parallel Computing 85 (2019) 88–97.
- [25] V. Kalantzis, A. C. I. Malossi, C. Bekas, A. Curioni, E. Gallopoulos, Y. Saad, A scalable iterative dense linear system solver for multiple right-hand sides in data analytics, Parallel Computing 74 (2018) 136–153.
- [26] A. V. Knyazev, Toward the optimal preconditioned eigensolver: Locally optimal block preconditioned conjugate
 gradient method, SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing 23 (2) (2001) 517–541.
- [27] H. Calandra, S. Gratton, J. Langou, X. Pinel, X. Vasseur, Flexible variants of block restarted GMRES methods with application to geophysics, SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing 34 (2) (2012) A714–A736.
- [28] T. Sakurai, H. Tadano, Y. Kuramashi, Application of block Krylov subspace algorithms to the Wilson-Dirac
 equation with multiple right-hand sides in lattice QCD, Computer Physics Communications 181 (1) (2010)
 113–117.
- [29] M. A. Heroux, R. A. Bartlett, V. E. Howle, R. J. Hoekstra, J. J. Hu, T. G. Kolda, R. B. Lehoucq, K. R. Long,
 R. P. Pawlowski, E. T. Phipps, et al., An overview of the Trilinos project, ACM Transactions on Mathematical
 Software (TOMS) 31 (3) (2005) 397–423.
- 673 URL https://trilinos.github.io
- [30] E. Bavier, M. Hoemmen, S. Rajamanickam, H. Thornquist, Amesos2 and Belos: Direct and iterative solvers for

- large sparse linear systems, Scientific Programming 20 (3) (2012) 241–255.
- 676 [31] Y. Notay, Flexible conjugate gradients, SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing 22 (4) (2000) 1444–1460.
- 677 [32] L. M. Carvalho, S. Gratton, R. Lago, X. Vasseur, A flexible generalized conjugate residual method with inner
- orthogonalization and deflated restarting, SIAM Journal on Matrix Analysis and Applications 32 (4) (2011)
 1212–1235.
- [33] D. P. O'Leary, The block conjugate gradient algorithm and related methods, Linear Algebra and its Applications
 29 (1980) 293–322.
- [34] H. Ji, Y. Li, A breakdown-free block conjugate gradient method, BIT Numerical Mathematics 57 (2) (2017)
 379–403.
- [35] Y. Saad, M. Yeung, J. Erhel, F. Guyomarc'h, A deflated version of the conjugate gradient algorithm, SIAM
 Journal on Scientific Computing 21 (5) (2000) 1909–1926.
- [36] A. Stathopoulos, A. Abdel-Rehim, K. Orginos, Deflation for inversion with multiple right-hand sides in QCD,
 in: Journal of Physics: Conference Series, Vol. 180, IOP Publishing, 2009.
- [37] K. M. Soodhalter, D. B. Szyld, F. Xue, Krylov subspace recycling for sequences of shifted linear systems, Applied
 Numerical Mathematics 81 (2014) 105–118.
- [38] P. Amestoy, I. Duff, J.-Y. L'Excellent, J. Koster, A fully asynchronous multifrontal solver using distributed
 dynamic scheduling, SIAM Journal on Matrix Analysis and Applications 23 (1) (2001) 15-41.
 URL http://mumps.enseeiht.fr
- [39] A. Stathopoulos, K. Wu, A block orthogonalization procedure with constant synchronization requirements,
 SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing 23 (6) (2002) 2165–2182.
- [40] M. H. Gutknecht, T. Schmelzer, Updating the QR decomposition of block tridiagonal and block Hessenberg matrices, Applied Numerical Mathematics 58 (6) (2008) 871–883.
- [41] NVIDIA, cuSPARSE web page, https://docs.nvidia.com/cuda/cusparse (2020).
- [42] W. Boukaram, G. Turkiyyah, D. Keyes, Hierarchical matrix operations on GPUs: Matrix-vector multiplication
 and compression, ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software 45 (2019).
- [43] I. Ambartsumyan, W. Boukaram, T. Bui-Thanh, O. Ghattas, D. Keyes, G. Stadler, G. Turkiyyah, S. Zampini,
 Hierarchical matrix approximations of Hessians arising in inverse problems governed by PDEs, SIAM Journal
 on Scientific Computing 42 (5) (2020) A3397–A3426.
- [44] T. A. Davis, Algorithm 832: UMFPACK—an unsymmetric-pattern multifrontal method, ACM Transactions on
 Mathematical Software 30 (2) (2004) 196–199.
- 705 [45] Intel, MKL web page, https://software.intel.com/content/www/us/en/develop/tools/ 706 math-kernel-library.html (2020).
- [46] X. S. Li, An overview of SuperLU: Algorithms, implementation, and user interface, ACM Transactions on
 Mathematical Software 31 (3) (2005) 302–325.
- [47] X. S. Li, J. Demmel, SuperLU_DIST: A scalable distributed-memory sparse direct solver for unsymmetric linear
 systems, ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software 29 (2) (2003) 110–140.
- [48] J. Poulson, B. Marker, R. A. Van de Geijn, J. R. Hammond, N. A. Romero, Elemental: A new framework for
 distributed memory dense matrix computations, ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software 39 (2) (2013).
- [49] A. Heinecke, G. Henry, M. Hutchinson, H. Pabst, LIBXSMM: Accelerating small matrix multiplications by
 runtime code generation, in: Proceedings of the 2016 International Conference for High Performance Computing,
 Networking, Storage and Analysis, SC16, IEEE, 2016.
- 716 URL https://github.com/hfp/libxsmm
- [50] F. Hecht, New development in FreeFem++, Journal of Numerical Mathematics 20 (3-4) (2012) 251-266.
 URL http://freefem.org
- [51] J. Moulin, P. Jolivet, O. Marquet, Augmented Lagrangian preconditioner for large-scale hydrodynamic stability
 analysis, Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering 351 (2019) 718-743.
 URL https://github.com/prj-/moulin2019al
- [52] G. W. Stewart, A Krylov–Schur algorithm for large eigenproblems, SIAM Journal on Matrix Analysis and
 Applications 23 (3) (2002) 601–614.
- [53] M. Benzi, M. A. Olshanskii, Z. Wang, Modified augmented Lagrangian preconditioners for the incompressible
 Navier–Stokes equations, International Journal for Numerical Methods in Fluids 66 (4) (2011) 486–508.
- [54] T. Sakurai, H. Sugiura, A projection method for generalized eigenvalue problems using numerical integration,
 Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics 159 (1) (2003) 119–128.
- [55] M. Adams, H. H. Bayraktar, T. M. Keaveny, P. Papadopoulos, Ultrascalable implicit finite element analyses in solid mechanics with over a half a billion degrees of freedom, in: Proceedings of the 2004 ACM/IEEE Conference on Supercomputing, SC04, IEEE Computer Society, 2004, pp. 34:1–34:15.

- [56] B. F. Smith, P. Bjørstad, W. D. Gropp, Domain Decomposition: Parallel Multilevel Methods for Elliptic Partial 731 Differential Equations, Cambridge University Press, 2004. 732
- V. Dolean, P. Jolivet, F. Nataf, An Introduction to Domain Decomposition Methods: Algorithms, Theory and [57]733 Parallel Implementation, SIAM, 2015. 734
- [58] A. Toselli, O. B. Widlund, Domain decomposition methods: algorithms and theory, Vol. 34 of Series in Com-735 putational Mathematics, Springer, 2005. 736
- [59]C. Pechstein, Finite and Boundary Element Tearing and Interconnecting Solvers for Multiscale Problems, Vol. 90 737 of Lecture Notes in Computational Science and Engineering, Springer, 2012. 738
- [60]A. Quarteroni, A. Valli, Domain Decomposition Methods for Partial Differential Equations, Vol. 10, Clarendon 739 Press. 1999. 740
- [61] T. Mathew, Domain Decomposition Methods for the Numerical Solution of Partial Differential Equations, 741 Vol. 61, Springer Science & Business Media, 2008. 742
- [62] X.-C. Cai, M. Sarkis, A restricted additive Schwarz preconditioner for general sparse linear systems, SIAM 743 Journal on Scientific Computing 21 (2) (1999) 792–797. 744
- [63] J. Mandel, Balancing domain decomposition, Communications in Numerical Methods in Engineering 9 (3) 745 (1993) 233–241. 746
- [64] S. Zampini, PCBDDC: A class of robust dual-primal methods in PETSc, SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing 747 38 (5) (2016) S282-S306. 748
- URL https://www.mcs.anl.gov/petsc/petsc-master/docs/manualpages/PC/PCBDDC.html 749
- [65]C. Pechstein, C. R. Dohrmann, A unified framework for adaptive BDDC, Electronic Transactions on Numerical 750 Analysis 46 (2017) 273–336. 751
- [66] S. Zampini, P. Vassilevski, V. Dobrev, T. Kolev, Balancing domain decomposition by constraints algorithms 752 for curl-conforming spaces of arbitrary order, in: International Conference on Domain Decomposition Methods, 753 Springer, 2017, pp. 103–116. 754
- D.-S. Oh, O. B. Widlund, S. Zampini, C. Dohrmann, BDDC algorithms with deluxe scaling and adaptive [67]755 selection of primal constraints for Raviart–Thomas vector fields, Mathematics of Computation 87 (310) (2018) 756 659 - 692. 757
- [68]L. B. Da Veiga, L. F. Pavarino, S. Scacchi, O. B. Widlund, S. Zampini, Isogeometric BDDC preconditioners 758 with deluxe scaling, SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing 36 (3) (2014) A1118–A1139. 759
- [69] M. W. Gee, C. M. Siefert, J. J. Hu, R. S. Tuminaro, M. G. Sala, ML 5.0 smoothed aggregation user's guide, 760 761 Tech. Rep. SAND2006-2649, Sandia National Laboratories (2006).
- 762 URL https://trilinos.github.io/ml.html
- A. Heinlein, A. Klawonn, O. Rheinbach, A parallel implementation of a two-level overlapping Schwarz method 763 [70]with energy-minimizing coarse space based on Trilinos, SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing 38 (6) (2016) 764 C713-C747. 765
- [71] J. Šístek, J. Mandel, B. Sousedík, P. Burda, Parallel implementation of multilevel BDDC, in: Numerical Math-766 ematics and Advanced Applications 2011, Springer, 2013, pp. 681–689. 767 768
 - URL http://users.math.cas.cz/~sistek/software/bddcml.html
- S. Badia, A. F. Martín, J. Principe, A highly scalable parallel implementation of balancing domain decomposition [72]769 by constraints, SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing 36 (2) (2014) C190–C218. 770
- [73]M. J. Gander, Optimized Schwarz Methods, SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis 44 (2) (2006) 699–731. 771
- [74] M. J. Gander, S. Van Criekingen, New coarse corrections for optimized restricted additive Schwarz using PETSc, 772 in: International Conference on Domain Decomposition Methods, Springer, 2019. 773
- [75] N. Spillane, V. Dolean, P. Hauret, F. Nataf, C. Pechstein, R. Scheichl, A robust two-level domain decomposition 774 preconditioner for systems of PDEs, Comptes Rendus Mathématique 349 (23) (2011) 1255–1259. 775
- N. Spillane, V. Dolean, P. Hauret, F. Nataf, C. Pechstein, R. Scheichl, Abstract robust coarse spaces for systems [76]776 of PDEs via generalized eigenproblems in the overlaps, Numerische Mathematik 126 (4) (2013) 741–700. 777
- P. Marchand, X. Claeys, P. Jolivet, F. Nataf, P.-H. Tournier, Two-level preconditioning for h-version boundary 778 1771 element approximation of hypersingular operator with GenEO, Numerische Mathematik 146 (2020) 597-628. 779
- J. Brown, M. G. Knepley, D. A. May, L. Curfman McInnes, B. F. Smith, Composable linear solvers for mul-[78]780 tiphysics, in: 2012 11th International Symposium on Parallel and Distributed Computing, IEEE, 2012, pp. 781 55 - 62.782
- R. Butler, T. Dodwell, A. Reinarz, A. Sandhu, R. Scheichl, L. Seelinger, High-performance DUNE modules for [79]783 solving large-scale, strongly anisotropic elliptic problems with applications to aerospace composites, Computer 784 Physics Communications 249 (2020). 785
- [80] D. A. May, P. Sanan, K. Rupp, M. G. Knepley, B. F. Smith, Extreme-scale multigrid components within PETSc, 786

- in: Proceedings of the Platform for Advanced Scientific Computing Conference, 2016.
- [81] M. G. Knepley, D. A. Karpeev, Mesh algorithms for PDE with Sieve I: Mesh distribution, Scientific Programming
 17 (3) (2009) 215–230.
- [82] J. Tang, R. Nabben, C. Vuik, Y. Erlangga, Comparison of two-level preconditioners derived from deflation,
 domain decomposition and multigrid methods, Journal of Scientific Computing 39 (3) (2009) 340–370.
- [83] P. Bastian, G. Wittum, W. Hackbusch, Additive and multiplicative multi-grid—a comparison, Computing 60 (4)
 (1998) 345–364.
- [84] R. Haferssas, P. Jolivet, F. Nataf, An additive Schwarz method type theory for Lions's algorithm and a symmetrized optimized restricted additive Schwarz method, Journal on Scientific Computing 39 (4) (2017) A1345– A1365.
- [85] L. Conen, V. Dolean, R. Krause, F. Nataf, A coarse space for heterogeneous Helmholtz problems based on the
 Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator, Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics 271 (2014) 83–99.
- [86] H. Al Daas, L. Grigori, P. Jolivet, P.-H. Tournier, A multilevel Schwarz preconditioner based on a hierarchy of
 robust coarse spaces, Journal of Scientific Computing (2019) submitted for publication.
 URL https://github.com/pri-/aldaas2019multi
- [87] N. Spillane, D. J. Rixen, Automatic spectral coarse spaces for robust finite element tearing and interconnecting
 and balanced domain decomposition algorithms, International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering
 95 (11) (2013) 953–990.
- [88] G. Karypis, V. Kumar, A fast and high quality multilevel scheme for partitioning irregular graphs, SIAM Journal
 on Scientific Computing 20 (1) (1998) 359–392.
- 807 URL http://glaros.dtc.umn.edu/gkhome/metis/parmetis/overview
- [89] R. Anderson, J. Andrej, A. Barker, J. Bramwell, J.-S. Camier, J. Cerveny, V. Dobrev, Y. Dudouit, A. Fisher,
 T. Kolev, W. Pazner, M. Stowell, V. Tomov, I. Akkerman, J. Dahm, D. Medina, S. Zampini, MFEM: A modular
 finite element methods library, Computers & Mathematics with Applications 81 (2021) 42-74.
 URL http://mfem.org
- [90] Y. Zhou, Y. Saad, Block Krylov–Schur method for large symmetric eigenvalue problems, Numerical Algorithms
 47 (4) (2008) 341–359.