Title:

 Limits of the regulatory evaluation of resorcinol as a thyroid disruptor: when limited experimental data challenge established effects in humans

Authors:

5 Elodie Pasquier¹, Catherine Viguié², Jean-Baptiste Fini⁴, Sakina Mhaouty-Kodja³, Cécile Michel-Caillet¹.

6¹ ANSES, Risk Assessment Department, Maisons-Alfort, France

7² Toxalim (Research Centre in Food Toxicology), INRAE, ENVT, INP-Purpan, UPS, Toulouse, France (corresponding author)

9 ³ Sorbonne Université, CNRS, INSERM, Neuroscience Paris Seine - Institut de Biologie Paris Seine, Paris, France

⁴ UMR 7221, CNRS, MNHN, Laboratoire PHYMA Paris, France

Corresponding author: Catherine Viguié

TOXALIM UMR 1331, INRA INP UPS

180 chemin de Tournefeuille, BP 93173

31027 Toulouse cedex3, France

Phone: +33 582 06 64 14

Email: catherine.viguie@inrae.fr

All authors declare they have nothing to disclose.

Abstract

 Severe hypothyroidism has been reported in humans during resorcinol therapeutic use. However, available data highlight differences in the severity of resorcinol-induced thyroid effects between humans and rodents, leading to a debate on the relevance of human data for its classification as a thyroid disruptor.

 The aim of this review is to illustrate some of the limitations of the evaluation framework for thyroid disrupters using resorcinol as a case study of a chemical with clear thyroid-disrupting properties in humans that could not have been identified solely from regulatory studies on animals.

 The reliability of human data has been called into question due to the specific exposure patterns in humans and the paucity of robust toxicokinetic data. In humans, therapeutic use of resorcinol induces severe hypothyroidism, but in rodents, thyroid disruption is limited to decreased thyroxine concentrations and histological changes in the thyroid. The adverse effects of thyroid disruption, such as impaired neurodevelopment, have not been sufficiently investigated, and experimental neurobehavioral data for resorcinol remain scarce and inconclusive.

 Although regulatory toxicological evaluations have not included in-depth investigations of thyroid regulation and related adverse effects, they have been used to challenge the relevance of human data. Resorcinol is an emblematic example of how the framework for regulatory evaluations of thyroid disruptors relies almost exclusively on animal studies which may not be suitable for assessing thyroid disruption. This review highlights the need to revise regulatory guidelines and to adopt strategies based on up-to-date, scientifically sound approaches to identify thyroid disruptors. The limits of the current regulatory framework for identifying thyroid disruptors can lead to opposing positions between regulatory bodies. The French Agency for Food, Environmental and Occupational Health & Safety (ANSES)'proposal to identify resorcinol as a "substance of very high concern" due to its ED properties has not been adopted by the European instances.

 Keywords: Endocrine disruptors- Resorcinol- Thyroid- neurodevelopmental toxicity -Risk and public health – regulatory toxicological evaluation -

- Funding: the work reported in this study has been conducted by the "endocrine disruptor" working
- group supported by ANSES, the French National agency for food, environment and occupational
- safety. This work did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial
- or not-for-profit sectors.
-

Introduction

 Risk assessments of endocrine-disrupting chemicals (EDCs) constitute a huge challenge because the endocrine system regulates nearly all physiological processes in a timely and often non-monotonic manner. Regulatory bodies throughout the world are therefore continuously looking for new strategies for the assessment of these compounds (Barton-Maclaren et al., 2022; Browne et al., 2020). Although the World Health Organization (WHO)'s definition of EDCs is consensually recognized (WHO, 2002), the existing institutional framework for chemical evaluation specifically addressing endocrine disruption — whether based on the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s tiered approach in the United States or on the Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD)'s five-level rating approach in the 71 European Union — remain incomplete and limited to very few guidelines, some of which are obsolete and/or inappropriate for EDCs. Historically, the focus has been placed on the male and female reproductive functions. The thyroid endocrine system came to the forefront much later, highlighting major gaps in the tools available for the hazard assessment of thyroid-disrupting chemicals (TDCs). The thyroid function is critical for both the regulation of key physiological processes in adult and adequate development. The amphibian metamorphosis assay, based on the thyroid-dependent metamorphosis process, is used for low- level (OECD level 2 and 3) or tier (US EPA tier 1) tests to investigate thyroid-specific endpoints (Table 1). However, even in the amphibian test guidelines, investigation of parameters characterizing the thyroid function remains extremely limited. In higher vertebrates, there is no specific endpoint such as metamorphosis that can be considered as diagnostic of thyroid disruption. Further, many adverse effects potentially associated with exposure to TDCs can result from other modes of action (MoA). Thus, disruption of such a complex and pluripotent endocrine function is difficult to test with available traditional regulatory schemes elaborated for highly specialized function such as reproduction. The robust identification of a cause- effect relationship between a thyroid-related MoA and an adverse effect, which is mandatory for a substance to be recognized as an EDC targeting the thyroid function, may be very challenging. To overcome such difficulties, regulatory bodies around the world have encouraged the use of adverse outcome pathway (AOP) approaches, which link molecular events to adverse effects via a plausible MoA (Browne et al., 2020, 2017), 88 and/or other approaches based on a broad, holistic view of the mechanistic evidence (La Merrill et al., 2020) for the identification of EDCs including TDCs (Noyes et al., 2019). Although these approaches have proved helpful in improving the efficiency of TDC evaluation by allowing the integration of academic data into the evaluation process, there are only a few reviewed and published AOPs available for TDCs, and these are limited to neurodevelopmental toxicity endpoints. Despite this clear data gaps, until 2013, no EU-funded, large-scale project had directly addressed TDC issues. It was only in 2020 that three large-scale EU projects dedicated to the development of innovative and specific methods to detect TDCs were finally launched (the ATHENA (Kortenkamp et al. 2020), SCREENED and ERGO projects).

 Despite the peculiarities of the thyroid function and the fact that existing guidelines are clearly insufficient when considering thyroid disruption, there is currently no guideline exclusively dedicated to TDC evaluation. For example, evaluating thyroid disruption is barely mentioned in the different levels/tiers of either OECD or US-EPA frameworks (Noyes et al., 2019). When measurements of thyroid-related parameters are mentioned, they are rarely mandatory and usually very perfunctory. They are generally limited to a merely descriptive evaluation of thyroid gland histology and/or thyroid hormone (TH) measurements (Table 1). Guidance has been produced at the EU level (ECHA/EFSA 2018), emphasizing what should be considered an adverse effect and how to handle notable differences in the systemic regulation of TH levels between commonly used experimental animal models and humans. Although there is undoubtedly a desire to improve the framework of TDC evaluation, major gaps have not been addressed at all, leaving the door wide open to debates on the relevance of the analysis. There is to date no comprehensive testing strategy or integrated conceptual framework that can integrate all available data across various fields — from molecular testing to human clinical observations — or propose decision strategies when some type of data is lacking or insufficiently documented. An integrated strategy is vital for TDC, because animal models recommended for high level/tier mammal trials of regulatory evaluation, *i.e.* frequently rodents, can be easily — and are — challenged with regard to their relevance for human thyroid system regulation. Finally, one of the major caveats of TDC evaluation arises from the fact that none of the guidelines are designed to establish the link between thyroid disruption and apical adverse effects, one of the most worrying being the alteration of neurodevelopment. From this standpoint, identifying and including neurodevelopmental endpoints that could potentially be more sensitive and/or specific of thyroid disruption e.g. periventricular cortical heterotopia (Gilbert et al., 2014) could reveal itself to be very helpful. An optimized protocol for thyroid hormone measurements in dams and pups allowing a better coverage of the window of susceptibility in terms of the thyroid axis development as well as thyroid-dependent neurodevelopmental processes would undoubtedly constitute a huge progress.

 In this context, the evaluation of resorcinol is a striking example of the gaps in TDC evaluation and, in particular, of how complying strictly and solely with the few recommendations for TDCs in the ED evaluation conceptual framework can be misleading if the well-established endocrine toxicity in humans is not considered. Resorcinol (CAS 108-46-3) is a small molecule with a wide range of applications (WHO, 2006): ultraviolet absorber, antioxidant, antiseptic, etc. In the context of its use as a medicinal product in humans in particular to treat skin ulcers, resorcinol has long been described to interfere with thyroid function (Fawcett and Kirkwood, 1953). There is a unique set of experimental and human studies describing its thyroid-disrupting properties (Supplemental Material, Table1). Human data show severe clinical

 hypothyroidism with associated goiter. Other than goiter, no other potential apical adverse effect related to thyroid system disruption has ever been properly investigated. Resorcinol is a prime example of a situation where there is a clear demonstration of thyroid disruption in humans, but for which *in vivo* experimental data lead to mitigated conclusions. The evaluation of thyroid function in guideline studies, although complying with official guidelines, is incomplete and limited.

 Available data on resorcinol, whether from academic or regulatory studies, were analyzed in the context of the French Agency for Food, Environmental and Occupational Health & Safety (ANSES)'s proposal (ANSES, 2020) to identify resorcinol as a "substance of very high concern" due to its TD properties under the European Regulation on the Registration, Evaluation, Authorization and Restriction of Chemicals (REACH). The main objective of this commentary is to use discrepancies between different types of studies, more particularly human clinical and animal experimental studies, regarding the thyroid disrupting properties of resorcinol, to highlight some of the limitations of the thyroid disruptor evaluation framework. More specifically,

 we aimed to shed light on why and how a clear AOP linking a thyroid-related MoA (i.e. thyroid peroxidase (TPO) inhibition) to adverse effects in humans (clinical hypothyroidism) was not considered reliable enough to identify resorcinol as a "substance of very high concern" due to its TDC properties (ECHA, 2020). We set out to gain an understanding of how the limitations of available regulatory data can lead to the failure to identify TDCs in the absence of human data. Ultimately, our goal is to provide insights for further improvements to the regulatory framework for TDC evaluation and testing.

Methods

 Our analysis focused on demonstrating the ability of resorcinol to disrupt thyroid function based on the EU Joint Research Center (JRC)'s application of the WHO definition (WHO, 2002; JRC, 2013): inducing an adverse effect, having an endocrine MoA, and a plausible link between the adverse effect and the MoA based on general knowledge or existing and validated AOPs. Here, we considered regulatory studies submitted in the context of the European REACH regulation. In addition, studies from an exhaustive literature search conducted until 12 November 2019 were selected for their relevance and adequacy for the assessment of thyroid disruption, and their reliability was assessed using ToxRtool (Segal et al., 2015). A bibliographic search regarding the thyroid effects of resorcinol was updated until 26 October 2021. All studies were qualitatively weighted, based on expert judgment, to analyze the thyroid-disrupting properties of resorcinol. Only data appropriate for the demonstration of the limitations and pitfalls of the framework for the regulatory identification of EDs are presented here. Comprehensive detailed data are available in the ANSES's proposal

 to identify resorcinol as a substance of very high concern due to its TD properties (ANSES, 2020) that has been published on the European Chemicals Agency (ECHA, 2020) website.

Summary tables of human and experimental data are available as Supplemental Material.

Discussion

Do animal and human data lead to conflicting conclusions?

 Ten human cases published between 1950 and 1977 (Supplemental Material, Tables 2–6) reported severe clinical hypothyroidism as an adverse effect of long-term chronic exposure to resorcinol via the application of a cutaneous ointment for the treatment of cutaneous ulcers (for review see Lynch et al. 2002). Biological parameters and thyroid histology, when available, were consistent with a blockage of TH synthesis. Regression of the goiter and other symptoms after cessation of exposure to resorcinol in 8 of the 10 reported cases demonstrated the causal relationship between adverse effects of resorcinol in adults and the thyroid MoA. This reversibility attenuates the perception of adversity. However, this seeming reversibility is quite misleading, because more subtle subclinical thyroid alterations during developmental stages can lead to irreversible damages, particularly in terms of neurobehavioral development (Korevaar et al., 2018; Zoeller and Rovet, 2004). In rodent studies, results have been called into question due to the poor quality of the data and/or major differences in the experimental designs. The available regulatory studies indeed provide measurements of all the mandatory thyroid parameters for regulatory toxicology. However, these studies were too limited for a proper investigation of thyroid function and often did not include the most relevant period of measurements (no measurement of THs during gestation or concomitant to neurobehavioral tests) with regard to the only thyroid-relevant apical adverse effect investigated, *i.e.* neurodevelopment.

 Results obtained via the oral route in rodents differ markedly between gavage and diet or drinking water administration. Most often, no effect could be demonstrated with gavage, including at very high doses. By contrast, thyroid parameters were often modified in a sense suggesting decreased thyroid activity following 184 more "physiological" oral routes, such as the diet or drinking water, including at much lower doses (for detail, see Supplemental Material, Tables 2–6). Interestingly, thyroid histopathological lesions and enlargement, two phenomena considered as diagnostic of thyroid disruption by standard-setting organizations such as the OECD or US EPA (Table 1), have been observed in rats with subcutaneous administration of aqueous (Cheymol et al., 1951) or oil solutions at doses within the range of the therapeutic dose for humans, and long durations of exposure (Doniach and Logothetopoulos, 1953; Samuel, 1955). The results of these old pre- Guideline studies are not precisely described, and it is difficult to determine the significance of these observations. Similar effects have been observed following dermal applications of ointment at a much higher concentrations for 4 weeks (Samuel, 1955), but not after shorter applications for 3 weeks (Doniach and Logothetopoulos, 1953).

 Developmental exposure to resorcinol through drinking water (Unpublished Study report, 2003; Welsch et al., 2008) was associated with sex, dose and age-dependent changes for some thyroid parameters. Overall, except for an increased T3 concentration in F0 females and F1 and F2 pups at weaning, all observed modifications argue in favor of decreased thyroid function: decreased colloid content in F0 males, increased thyroid-stimulating hormone (TSH) concentration in F1 male offspring at weaning at higher doses, increased thyroid weight jointly with decreased T4 levels in female F2 pups at weaning. Interestingly, similar patterns of thyroid alterations have been observed in mildly hypothyroid children with TPO gene mutations, with mildly elevated serum TSH levels, normal or slightly low serum T4 levels, and a high T3:T4 molar ratio (Narumi et al., 2017).

 Overall, the data available for rodents suggest that resorcinol can affect the thyroid function when given through "physiological" oral or parenteral routes, but not through gavage. Therefore, there are no major discrepancies between animal and human studies regarding the plausibility of a thyroid MoA of resorcinol. The possibility remains however that ointment application on damaged skin can lead to overexposure in human subjects. This potential overexposure has not been documented by measuring resorcinol and/or its metabolite concentrations in patients. The question remains of whether the route of administration and associated internal exposure can be determining for the effect of resorcinol on thyroid function in humans.

Is the human route of exposure prohibitive?

 Two toxicokinetic (TK) lines of evidence were used to challenge the regulatory identification of resorcinol as an endocrine disruptor during European debates on the proposal to identify resorcinol as a substance of very high concern due to its TD properties (ECHA, 2020). Firstly, gavage data showing no effect of resorcinol on the thyroid function in rats was considered as strong evidence, assuming that this route is fully representative of oral exposure, with no further consideration of resorcinol TK properties. Secondly, it was assumed, again with no further consideration of resorcinol TK properties, that the therapeutic use of resorcinol was associated with a high level of internal exposure.

 TK studies conducted in rats and rabbits by gavage showed highly efficient digestive absorption of resorcinol (from 77% to 95% within 24 h of gavage; Garton and Williams 1949; Kim and Matthews 1987), and extensive metabolization before urinary excretion. About 90% of the administered dose isrecovered after 24 h in urine, mainly as a glucuronide conjugate (Garton and Williams 1949; Kim and Matthews 1987). *In vitro* results obtained in human hepatic S9 fractions and primary human hepatocytes (Eilstein et al., 2020) suggest that the hepatic conjugation of resorcinol is very efficient in humans as well. The high and rapid metabolization

 rates are consistent with a high hepatic first-pass effect, which would thus limit internal exposure following 225 gavage. In the case of "physiological" oral routes, the buccal and sublingual mucosae are directly exposed to the compound. Depending on the physicochemical properties of the compound, this may result in partial 227 direct absorption from these mucosae, bypassing first-pass metabolic effects similarly to parenteral routes. Therefore, gavage data may not be ideal for the evaluation of the effect of exposure via the oral route, in particular for substances that are quickly metabolized in the liver. In addition, the stressful conditions related to this route might hinder clear conclusions on biological effects including endocrine effects. Indeed, gavage by itself can induce neuroendocrine modifications that might be stress-related including in the context of developmental exposure (Cao et al., 2013; McDonnell-Dowling et al., 2017).

 Thus, the relevance of the effects observed in humans exposed through parenteral route cannot be challenged solely on the basis of the absence of effect with gavage. Furthermore, more generally, these considerations regarding administration should prompt regulatory bodies to modify guidelines, making "physiological" routes the reference administration route in regulatory studies, unless justified by technical 237 or physicochemical limitations.

 The main line of evidence for the thyroid-disrupting activity of resorcinol comes from human data in which resorcinol was applied as an ointment on damaged skin (for review, see ANSES 2020). The relevance of these data was considered debatable based on the argument that the breakdown of the cutaneous barrier can lead to increased bioavailability and overexposure in patients. However, no measurements of blood concentration in these patients are available to test this hypothesis. For intact skin, dermal absorption seems rather low as shown in human volunteers following discrete, repeated applications of hydroalcoholic solutions (Yeung et al., 1983), hair dye formulations (Roberts et al., 1977) or *ex vivo* on skin explants. In all cases, no more than 5% of the daily dose was absorbed after 24 h. These data however contradict more recent studies on pig and human skin explants, indicating transcutaneous transfer of resorcinol and/or its metabolites of about 50% of the administered dose after 24 h, 4.8% of which being parent resorcinol (Géniès et al., 2019; Hewitt et al., 2020).

 Although a case can be made that all the conditions might have been met to favor internal exposure in the medical cases, too many uncertainties remain regarding cutaneous absorption and metabolization of resorcinol to unambiguously validate this hypothesis. Furthermore, of note, the therapeutic use of resorcinol on damaged skin continues to be prescribed — particularly for the treatment of hidradenitis suppurativa (Docampo-Simón et al., 2022) — at concentrations of 15%.

 The paucity of TK data precludes the evaluation of the significance of the mode of administration as a determining factor of the differences in thyroid changes between humans and rodents. In conclusion, the relevance of the effects observed in human cases under specific circumstances cannot be excluded for other

 exposure conditions. Regardless of the interpretation of the few available TK studies, thyroid effects have nonetheless been observed in rodents — although not as severe as in human patients — and, in some instances, for much lower doses and likely lower bioavailability than on damaged skin. Therefore, the singularity of human exposure is not in itself enough to consider that TDC effects of resorcinol are not of concern.

262 Rat vs. human sensitivity to thyroid disruption

 The MoA of resorcinol on thyroid function clearly relies on inhibition of TPO activity. Ultimately, long-term TPO inhibition, as for high prolonged exposure to resorcinol, can lead to hypothyroidism and goiter formation in humans, as shown in Hashimoto thyroiditis (Caturegli et al., 2014) and in a condition caused by a TPO mutation resulting in moderate residual TPO activity resulting in the apparition of goiter only after more than 8 years of age (Narumi et al., 2017).The TPO-targeting MoA of resorcinol has been demonstrated in several *in vitro* studies using purified porcine thyroid TPO, human thyroid cell lines, rat thyroid microsomal fractions, or various cell lines transfected with human TPO gene and with different substrates (Cooksey et al. 1985; Dong et al. 2020; Lindsay et al. 1992; Paul et al. 2014; Paul Friedman et al. 2016). All but one study 271 (Paul et al., 2014) reported very high potency (low half maximal inhibitory concentration, IC₅₀) of resorcinol to inhibit TPO. In three studies, resorcinol potency was much higher than that of the reference TPO inhibitors methylmercaptoimidazole and propylthiouracil (Cooksey et al., 1985; Dong et al., 2020; Lindsay et al., 1992). Rodents are usually considered more sensitive to thyroid disruption than humans due to lower thyroglobulin stocks, higher responsiveness to TSH, and/or lack of thyroxine binding globulin (TBG) expression in adult 276 animals (Bartsch et al., 2018). It is therefore likely that the apparent higher sensitivity of humans to resorcinol is related to different pharmacodynamic properties of TPO. Unfortunately, no reports of interspecies differences in TPO pharmacodynamic parameters are currently available in comparable experimental designs. Further studies are therefore needed to determine whether interspecies differences in TPO sensitivity to resorcinol can contribute to the difference between rodents and humans.

 Human relevance of the rat model is still a matter of debate. To address this issue, a workshop on thyroid disruption was held in 2017 with support from the European Commission and ANSES (European Commission, 2017). Some of the conclusions of this workshop were included in the ECHA/EFSA revision of the guidance for the identification of endocrine disruptors (ECHA/EFSA et al., 2018). This guidance stated that "in the absence of substance-specific data which provide proof of the contrary, humans and rodents are considered to be equally sensitive to thyroid-disruption." The resorcinol database illustrates the fact that rodents should not be considered by default as more sensitive than humans.

 Despite a limited number of published cases, human clinical studies unambiguously show that resorcinol can dramatically alter thyroid function. In contrast, there is a complete lack of good quality epidemiological

 studies to conclude on an effect of resorcinol in the context of an exposure pattern more likely to occur in the general population (lower dose, oral route, etc.). Given the effects of resorcinol on TPO, subclinical hypothyroidism can be expected. These types of thyroid changes are generally largely underdiagnosed (Andersen, 2019) and may have long-lasting deleterious effects on health, in particular during critical periods of development.

Are thyroid-related adverse effects properly documented in animals?

 The only potentially thyroid-related adverse effect of resorcinol that have been investigated in animal experimental studies involve neurodevelopmental outcomes (Unpublished Study report, 2003). In rats, after developmental exposure through drinking water (0-10-40-120-360 mg/l *i.e*. mean doses of

 0-0.8-3.9-13.1-36.9 mg/kg/d in males and 0-0.8-5.1-15.60and 46.6 mg/kg/d in females) from 28 days prior to mating until weaning at postnatal day (PND) 21, no signs of altered neurodevelopment are demonstrated in juveniles (Unpublished Study report, 2003). The only signs of altered neurodevelopment have been noted at PND60 in F1 males and characterized by increased locomotor activity from 40 mg/L of water, i.e. about 4 303 mg/d/kg bw. This could not be demonstrated in females likely due to an improper schedule of testing ignoring the stage of the estrous cycle. Estrogens are indeed well-known regulators of locomotor activity (Rosenfeld, 2017; Scimonelli et al., 1999). Similarly, effects of resorcinol exposure could not be demonstrated on juvenile or adult F1 rats based on the acoustic startle response or spatial memory tests. It was concluded from these behavioral tests and from brain histology that resorcinol has no effects on the brain. However, these neurodevelopmental investigations clearly suffer from at least two major limitations. First, although the behaviors analyzed in these studies are regulated by different neural structures controlling different cellular processes and signaling pathways, the observations of the brain were global and not detailed according to their structures and functions. Second, the results obtained for spatial memory should have been corrected for increased motor activity, which can compensate for a slight memory deficit (and thus influence performance in the Biel maze swimming test). Finally, although in line with neurodevelopmental parameters that can be required in guideline studies, the histological analyses were not sufficient or appropriate for the detection of any cellular or molecular changes induced by resorcinol exposure and potential underlying TH-regulated mechanisms.

 The current design of rodent studies are clearly not sufficiently sensitive to detect adverse neurodevelopmental effects related to maternal and perinatal hypothyroxinemia, as previously reported (Ramhøj et al., 2020). In this context, approaches such as AOPs (OECD, 2018, AOP 42 (https://aopwiki.org/aops/42, AOP54 https://aopawiki.org/aops/54) can help in the process of hazard assessment. Two recently developed AOPs addressing the impact of decreased T4 on brain development have been published. AOP 42 reports the inhibition of TPO, which is the target of resorcinol, and subsequent

 adverse neurodevelopmental outcomes in mammals (Crofton et al., 2019). AOP 54 describes the inhibition of Na+/I- symporter (NIS) leading to learning and memory impairment (Rolaki et al., 2019). Through different molecular initiating events, both AOPs lead to a decrease in T4 levels with neurodevelopmental consequences and establish a relationship between a decrease in T4 serum concentrations and a decrease in cognitive function, with a high weight of evidence and with high relevance during brain development (fetal and perinatal stages).

Conclusions

 In conclusion, available human data (even though obtained in disease conditions and concomitantly to pharmaceutical use) unambiguously show that resorcinol can act as a TDC. These observations are consistent with *in vitro* data showing the high potency of resorcinol to inhibit TPO activity. Rodent data, although showing some degree of thyroid disruption and related adverse effects (particularly in the context of developmental exposure), are not fully consistent with human clinical and *in vitro* mechanistic data showing clear thyroid disrupting properties of resorcinol. In addition, these experimental animal studies are too limited and contain too many methodological deficiencies to be fully conclusive on their own on TDC properties of resorcinol. However, the comprehensive dataset is highly consistent in terms of biology (Figure 1, Table2). Many criticisms have been raised regarding the potential impact of the routes and modes of administration. Our analysis shows that neither the route of exposure in the human cases, nor the near absence of a thyroid effect with gavage in rodents are in themselves sufficient to reject the possibility that resorcinol acts as a TDC. In particular, TK data can predict internal exposure from the different routes, but no TK studies have been conducted.

 Our analysis examined considerations relative to interspecies differences in the regulation of thyroid function. In contrast to the general assumption that rodents are more sensitive to TDC than humans, the set 347 of available data suggests the opposite. This highlights the need to weigh in other considerations e.g. pharmacodynamic difference, rather than just interspecies sensitivity comparison. Although TPO-dependent iodine organification is one of the most highly conserved events of TH biosynthesis among vertebrates, rodents may be nonetheless less sensitive to the inhibition of TPO than humans. Given the high level of uncertainty on this aspect, human data should not be dismissed on the basis of findings in rodents.

 Clinical hypothyroidism and associated symptoms are reversible in adults. Accordingly, the reversibility of these effects when resorcinol treatment is interrupted can be taken as tangible proof of the cause-effect relationship between resorcinol exposure and adverse effects on health (goiter, mood disorders and tiredness) related to thyroid disruption. Within the regulatory arena, thisreversibility can lead to questioning

 whether such effects are indeed adverse. In the case of resorcinol, the effects reported in human case studies were sufficiently serious and invalidating to be considered as fully adverse, even though they are reversible. In addition, even transient, developmental thyroid disruption can induce long-term changes in neural functions and behavior. Therefore, although thyroid markers can return to normal after exposure in adults, some adverse effects may not be reversible in the context of developmental exposure.

 Finally, although developmental exposure showed some adverse neural effects on offspring that may be related to thyroid disruption, the data are too scarce to conclude on a link between these two processes. In addition, there are no available epidemiological data in humans that investigate this hypothesis. In-depth neurobehavioral investigations in rodents and a better consideration of other biomarkers of developmental thyroid disruption could help reach an undisputed conclusion.

 Our analysis showed that, based on human data, resorcinol can fulfill the WHO definition for ED (table2), even in the presence of discrepancies with animal experimental data. It is noteworthy that in the case of resorcinol, animal studies were limited and that more often the experimental procedures were not in nature of bringing clear conclusions on TD effects and possibly related adverse effects in particular on neurodevelopmental toxicity. Nevertheless, they have been used to challenge human data. This also illustrates that the available regulatory toxicological data/guidelines clearly need in depth improvements to accurately assess thyroid disruption. Such limits are a matter of complexity for regulatory toxicological evaluation that can lead to opposite opinions among regulatory bodies, as was the case for resorcinol in the EU: ANSES's proposal to identify resorcinol as a "substance of very high concern" due to its TD properties was not adopted by EU instances two years after its submission (ANSES, 2020; ECHA, 2020).Finally, our analysis raises the questions of how many chemicals for which human data do not exist have been or will be erroneously considered as non TDCs based solely on the perfunctory evaluation of the thyroid function required in the regulatory framework for EDC evaluation.

Acknowledgments

 We would like to thank the ANSES Working Group on endocrine disruption, and its scientific coordinators François Pouzaud and Sandrine Charles for their involvement and support. We would like to acknowledge the added value of the members of the ANSES Specialized Expert Committee on REACH and CLP regulations on the issues addressed in this paper.

References

 Andersen, S.L., 2019. Frequency and outcomes of maternal thyroid function abnormalities in early pregnancy. Scand J Clin Lab Invest 79, 99–107. https://doi.org/10.1080/00365513.2018.1555858

 ANSES, 2020. Proposal for identification of a substance of very high concern on the basis of the criteria set out in reach article 57. Substance Name: resorcinol. EC Number: 203-585-2. CAS Number: 108-46-3.b.

 Barton-Maclaren, T.S., Wade, M., Basu, N., Bayen, S., Grundy, J., Marlatt, V., Moore, R., Parent, L., Parrott, J., Grigorova, P., Pinsonnault-Cooper, J., Langlois, V.S., 2022. Innovation in regulatory approaches for endocrine disrupting chemicals: The journey to risk assessment modernization in Canada. Environmental Research 204, 112225. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2021.112225

 Bartsch, R., Brinkmann, B., Jahnke, G., Laube, B., Lohmann, R., Michaelsen, S., Neumann, I., Greim, H., 2018. Human relevance of follicular thyroid tumors in rodents caused by non-genotoxic substances. Regul Toxicol Pharmacol 98, 199–208. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yrtph.2018.07.025

 Browne, P., Noyes, P.D., Casey, W.M., Dix, D.J., 2017. Application of Adverse Outcome Pathways to U.S. EPA's Endocrine Disruptor Screening Program. Environ Health Perspect 125, 096001. https://doi.org/10.1289/EHP1304

 Browne, P., Van Der Wal, L., Gourmelon, A., 2020. OECD approaches and considerations for regulatory evaluation of endocrine disruptors. Mol Cell Endocrinol 504, 110675. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mce.2019.110675

 Cao, J., Rebuli, M.E., Rogers, J., Todd, K.L., Leyrer, S.M., Ferguson, S.A., Patisaul, H.B., 2013. Prenatal Bisphenol A Exposure Alters Sex-Specific Estrogen Receptor Expression in the Neonatal Rat Hypothalamus and Amygdala. Toxicological Sciences 133, 157–173. https://doi.org/10.1093/toxsci/kft035

 Caturegli, P., De Remigis, A., Rose, N.R., 2014. Hashimoto thyroiditis: clinical and diagnostic criteria. Autoimmun Rev 13, 391–397. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autrev.2014.01.007

 Cheymol, J., Gay, Y., Lavedan, J.P., 1951. [Antithyroid action of diphenols]. C R Seances Soc Biol Fil 145, 999–1001.

 Cooksey, R.C., Gaitan, E., Lindsay, R.H., Hill, J.B., Kelly, K., 1985. Humic substances, a possible source of environmental goitrogens. Organic Geochemistry 8, 77–80. https://doi.org/10.1016/0146- 6380(85)90054-3

 Crofton, K., Gilbert, M., Paul Friedman, K., Demeneix, B., Marty, M.S., Zoeller, R.T., 2019. Adverse Outcome Pathway on inhibition of Thyroperoxidase and subsequent adverse neurodevelopmental outcomes in mammals, OECD Series on Adverse Outcome Pathways.

 Docampo-Simón, A., Beltrá-Picó, I., Sánchez-Pujol, M.J., Fuster-Ruiz-de-Apodaca, R., Selva- Otaolaurruchi, J., Betlloch, I., Pascual, J.C., 2022. Topical 15% Resorcinol Is Associated with High Treatment Satisfaction in Patients with Mild to Moderate Hidradenitis Suppurativa. Dermatology 238, 82–85. https://doi.org/10.1159/000515450

- Dong, H., Godlewska, M., Wade, M.G., 2020. A rapid assay of human thyroid peroxidase activity. Toxicol In Vitro 62, 104662. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tiv.2019.104662
- Doniach, I., Logothetopoulos, J., 1953. The goitrogenic action of resorcinol in rats. Br J Exp Pathol 34, 146–151.
- ECHA, 2020. Resorcinol not identified as a substance of very high concern.

 ECHA/EFSA, E.C.A.F.S.A., Andersson, N., Arena, M., Auteri, D., Barmaz, S., Grignard, E., Kienzler, A., Lepper, P., Lostia, A.M., Munn, S., Parra Morte, J.M., Pellizzato, F., Tarazona, J., Terron, A., Van der Linden, S., 2018. Guidance for the identification of endocrine disruptors in the context of Regulations

- (EU) No 528/2012 and (EC) No 1107/2009. EFSA Journal 8. https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2018.5311
- Eilstein, J., Grégoire, S., Fabre, A., Arbey, E., Géniès, C., Duplan, H., Rothe, H., Ellison, C., Cubberley, R., Schepky, A., Lange, D., Klaric, M., Hewitt, N.J., Jacques-Jamin, C., 2020. Use of human liver and EpiSkinTM S9 subcellular fractions as a screening assays to compare the in vitro hepatic and dermal metabolism of 47 cosmetics-relevant chemicals. J Appl Toxicol 40, 416–433. https://doi.org/10.1002/jat.3914
- Fawcett, D.M., Kirkwood, S., 1953. The mechanism of the antithyroid action of iodide ion and of the aromatic thyroid inhibitors. J Biol Chem 204, 787–796.
- Garton, G.A., Williams, R.T., 1949. Studies in detoxication; the fates of quinol and resorcinol in the rabbit in relation to the metabolism of benzene. Biochem J 44, 234–238.
- Géniès, C., Jamin, E.L., Debrauwer, L., Zalko, D., Person, E.N., Eilstein, J., Grégoire, S., Schepky, A., Lange, D., Ellison, C., Roe, A., Salhi, S., Cubberley, R., Hewitt, N.J., Rothe, H., Klaric, M., Duplan, H., Jacques-Jamin, C., 2019. Comparison of the metabolism of 10 chemicals in human and pig skin explants. J Appl Toxicol 39, 385–397. https://doi.org/10.1002/jat.3730
- Gilbert, M.E., Ramos, R.L., McCloskey, D.P., Goodman, J.H., 2014. Subcortical Band Heterotopia in Rat Offspring Following Maternal Hypothyroxinaemia: Structural and Functional Characteristics. Journal of Neuroendocrinology 26, 528–541. https://doi.org/10.1111/jne.12169
- Hewitt, N.J., Grégoire, S., Cubberley, R., Duplan, H., Eilstein, J., Ellison, C., Lester, C., Fabian, E., Fernandez, J., Géniès, C., Jacques-Jamin, C., Klaric, M., Rothe, H., Sorrell, I., Lange, D., Schepky, A., 2020. Measurement of the penetration of 56 cosmetic relevant chemicals into and through human skin using a standardized protocol. J Appl Toxicol 40, 403–415. https://doi.org/10.1002/jat.3913
- Kim, Y.C., Matthews, H.B., 1987. Comparative metabolism and excretion of resorcinol in male and female F344 rats. Fundam Appl Toxicol 9, 409–414. https://doi.org/10.1016/0272-0590(87)90023-6
- Korevaar, T.I.M., Tiemeier, H., Peeters, R.P., 2018. Clinical associations of maternal thyroid function with foetal brain development: Epidemiological interpretation and overview of available evidence. Clin Endocrinol 89, 129–138. https://doi.org/10.1111/cen.13724
- Kortenkamp, A., Axelstad, M., Baig, A.H., Bergman, Å., Bornehag, C.-G., Cenijn, P., Christiansen, S., Demeneix, B., Derakhshan, A., Fini, J.-B., Frädrich, C., Hamers, T., Hellwig, L., Köhrle, J., Korevaar, T.I.M., Lindberg, J., Martin, O., Meima, M.E., Mergenthaler, P., Nikolov, N., Du Pasquier, D., Peeters, R.P., Platzack, B., Ramhøj, L., Remaud, S., Renko, K., Scholze, M., Stachelscheid, H., Svingen, T., Wagenaars, F., Wedebye, E.B., Zoeller, R.T., 2020. Removing Critical Gaps in Chemical Test Methods by Developing New Assays for the Identification of Thyroid Hormone System-Disrupting Chemicals-The ATHENA Project. Int J Mol Sci 21, E3123. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms21093123
- La Merrill, M.A., Vandenberg, L.N., Smith, M.T., Goodson, W., Browne, P., Patisaul, H.B., Guyton, K.Z., Kortenkamp, A., Cogliano, V.J., Woodruff, T.J., Rieswijk, L., Sone, H., Korach, K.S., Gore, A.C., Zeise, L., Zoeller, R.T., 2020. Consensus on the key characteristics of endocrine-disrupting chemicals as a basis for hazard identification. Nat Rev Endocrinol 16, 45–57. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41574-019-0273-8
- Lindsay, R.H., Hill, J.B., Gaitan, E., Cooksey, R.C., Jolley, R.L., 1992. Antithyroid effects of coal-derived pollutants. J Toxicol Environ Health 37, 467–481. https://doi.org/10.1080/15287399209531686

 Lynch, B.S., Delzell, E.S., Bechtel, D.H., 2002. Toxicology Review and Risk Assessment of Resorcinol: Thyroid Effects. Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology 36, 198–210. https://doi.org/10.1006/rtph.2002.1585

- McDonnell-Dowling, K., Kleefeld, S., Kelly, J.P., 2017. Consequences of Oral Gavage during Gestation and Lactation on Rat Dams and the Neurodevelopment and Behavior of Their Offspring. J Am Assoc Lab Anim Sci 56, 79–83.
- Narumi, S., Fox, L.A., Fukudome, K., Sakaguchi, Z., Sugisawa, C., Abe, K., Kameyama, K., Hasegawa, T., 2017. Mild thyroid peroxidase deficiency caused by TPO mutations with residual activity: Correlation between clinical phenotypes and enzymatic activity. Endocr J 64, 1087–1097. https://doi.org/10.1507/endocrj.EJ17-0194
- Noyes, P.D., Friedman, K.P., Browne, P., Haselman, J.T., Gilbert, M.E., Hornung, M.W., Barone, S., Crofton, K.M., Laws, S.C., Stoker, T.E., Simmons, S.O., Tietge, J.E., Degitz, S.J., 2019. Evaluating Chemicals for Thyroid Disruption: Opportunities and Challenges with in Vitro Testing and Adverse Outcome Pathway Approaches. Environ Health Perspect 127, 95001. https://doi.org/10.1289/EHP5297
- Organization for Economic Co-operation and development, 2018. User's handbook supplement to 487 the Guidance Document for developing and assessing Adverse Outcome Pathways. OECD Series on Adverse Outcome Pathways.
- Paul, C., Rhind, S.M., Kyle, C.E., Scott, H., McKinnell, C., Sharpe, R.M., 2005. Cellular and hormonal disruption of fetal testis development in sheep reared on pasture treated with sewage sludge. Environ. Health Perspect. 113, 1580–1587. https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.8028
- Paul Friedman, K., Watt, E.D., Hornung, M.W., Hedge, J.M., Judson, R.S., Crofton, K.M., Houck, K.A., Simmons, S.O., 2016. Tiered High-Throughput Screening Approach to Identify Thyroperoxidase Inhibitors Within the ToxCast Phase I and II Chemical Libraries. Toxicol Sci 151, 160–180. https://doi.org/10.1093/toxsci/kfw034
- Paul, K.B., Hedge, J.M., Rotroff, D.M., Hornung, M.W., Crofton, K.M., Simmons, S.O., 2014. Development of a thyroperoxidase inhibition assay for high-throughput screening. Chem Res Toxicol 27, 387–399. https://doi.org/10.1021/tx400310w
- Ramhøj, L., Hass, U., Gilbert, M.E., Wood, C., Svingen, T., Usai, D., Vinggaard, A.M., Mandrup, K., Axelstad, M., 2020. Evaluating thyroid hormone disruption: investigations of long-term neurodevelopmental effects in rats after perinatal exposure to perfluorohexane sulfonate (PFHxS). Sci Rep 10, 2672. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-59354-z
- Roberts, M.S., Anderson, R.A., Swarbrick, J., 1977. Permeability of human epidermis to phenolic compounds. J Pharm Pharmacol 29, 677–683. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2042-7158.1977.tb11434.x
- Rolaki, A., Pistollato, F., Munn, S., Bal-Price, A., 2019. Adverse Outcome Pathway on inhibition of Na+/I- symporter (NIS) leads to learning and memory impairment, in: OECD Series on Adverse Outcome Pathways, OECD Series on Adverse Outcome Pathways. p. 212.
- Rosenfeld, C.S., 2017. Sex-dependent differences in voluntary physical activity. J Neurosci Res 95, 279–290. https://doi.org/10.1002/jnr.23896
- Samuel, K.C., 1955. Experimental production of goiter in rats: with resorcinol and its derivatives. Lab Invest 4, 90–105.
- Scimonelli, T., Marucco, M., Celis, M.E., 1999. Age-related changes in grooming behavior and motor activity in female rats. Physiol Behav 66, 481–484. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0031-9384(98)00314-x

 Segal, D., Makris, S.L., Kraft, A.D., Bale, A.S., Fox, J., Gilbert, M., Bergfelt, D.R., Raffaele, K.C., Blain, R.B., Fedak, K.M., Selgrade, M.K., Crofton, K.M., 2015. Evaluation of the ToxRTool's ability to rate the reliability of toxicological data for human health hazard assessments. Regul Toxicol Pharmacol 72, 94–101. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yrtph.2015.03.005

- Unpublished Study report, 2003. A drinking water dose range finding reproductive toxicity study of resorcinol in rats .
- Welsch, F., Nemec, M.D., Lawrence, W.B., 2008. Two-generation reproductive toxicity study of resorcinol administered via drinking water to Crl:CD(SD) Rats. Int J Toxicol 27, 43–57. https://doi.org/10.1080/10915810701876679
- WHO, W.H.O., 2006. Concise International Chemical Assessment Document 71. RESORCINOL.

 WHO, (World Health Organization), 2002. Global assessment of the state of the science of endocrine disruptors. International Programme on Chemical Safety.

 Yeung, D., Kantor, S., Nacht, S., Gans, E.H., 1983. Percutaneous absorption, blood levels, and urinary excretion of resorcinol applied topically in humans. Int J Dermatol 22, 321–324. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-4362.1983.tb02149.x

- Zoeller, R.T., Rovet, J., 2004. Timing of thyroid hormone action in the developing brain: clinical observations and experimental findings. J Neuroendocrinol 16, 809–818. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2826.2004.01243.x
-

 Table 1. Summary of the regulatory tests designed by the Organization for Economic Co-

 operation and development (OECD) and the American Environmental Protection Agency (US

EPA) that include endpoints responsive to and/or diagnostic of thyroid disruption.

543 **Table2 : summary of the main lines of evidence and biological plausibility for resorcinol to fullfill** 544 **the criteria of the definition of an endocrine disruptor as recommended by JRC**

545 Details of the reference articles are given in the supplementary material

546

547

Figure caption

 Figure 1. Plausible biological links between the different thyroid-related alterations observed after exposure to resorcinol.

