

# Effects of long-term chlorpyrifos exposure on moulting and growth of Armadillo officinalis Dumèril, 1816 (Crustacea, Isopoda, Oniscidea)

Maya Moumene, Karima Hachemaoui Benmouhoub, Chafika Mouhoub Sayah, Salima Kendi, Hafsa Djoudad Kadji, Saddek Yesguer, C. Habold

## ▶ To cite this version:

Maya Moumene, Karima Hachemaoui Benmouhoub, Chafika Mouhoub Sayah, Salima Kendi, Hafsa Djoudad Kadji, et al.. Effects of long-term chlorpyrifos exposure on moulting and growth of Armadillo officinalis Dumèril, 1816 (Crustacea, Isopoda, Oniscidea). Journal of Asia-Pacific Entomology, 2024, 27 (1), pp.102190. 10.1016/j.aspen.2023.102190. hal-04741071

## HAL Id: hal-04741071 https://hal.science/hal-04741071v1

Submitted on 17 Oct 2024

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

## Journal Pre-proofs

## Full length article

PII:

DOI:

Effects of long-term chlorpyrifos exposure on moulting and growth of Armadillo officinalis Dumèril, 1816 (Crustacea, Isopoda, Oniscidea)

Maya Moumene, Karima Hachemaoui Benmouhoub, Chafika Mouhoub Sayah, Salima Kendi, Hafsa Djoudad Kadji, Saddek Yesguer, Caroline Habold



Please cite this article as: M. Moumene, K. Hachemaoui Benmouhoub, C. Mouhoub Sayah, S. Kendi, H. Djoudad Kadji, S. Yesguer, C. Habold, Effects of long-term chlorpyrifos exposure on moulting and growth of Armadillo officinalis Dumèril, 1816 (Crustacea, Isopoda, Oniscidea), Journal of Asia-Pacific Entomology (2023), doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aspen.2023.102190

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2023 Published by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of Korean Society of Applied Entomology.



1 2	Effects of long-term chlorpyrifos exposure on moulting and growth of <i>Armadillo officinalis</i> Dumèril, 1816 (Crustacea, Isopoda, Oniscidea)
3	
4	
5 6	Maya Moumene <sup>a*</sup> , Karima Hachemaoui Benmouhoub <sup>a</sup> , Chafika Mouhoub Sayah <sup>b</sup> , Salima Kendi <sup>c</sup> , Hafsa Djoudad Kadji <sup>a</sup> , Saddek Yesguer <sup>a</sup> , Caroline Habold <sup>d</sup>
7	
8	
9 10	<sup>a</sup> Laboratoire de la Zoologie Appliquée et d'Ecophysiologie Animale, Faculté des Sciences de la Nature et de la Vie, Université de Bejaia, 06000 Bejaia, Algérie.
11 12 13	<sup>b</sup> Laboratoire de Gestion et Valorisation des Ressources Naturelles et Assurances Qualité' (LGVRNAQ), Faculté des sciences de la Nature et de la Vie et Sciences de la Terre, Université de Bouira, 10000, Bouira, Algérie.
14 15	<sup>c</sup> Unité de Recherche LaMOS (Modélisation et Optimisation des Systèmes), Faculté des Sciences de la Nature et de la Vie, Université de Bejaia, 06000 Bejaia, Algérie.
16	
17	<sup>d</sup> Université de Strasbourg, CNRS, IPHC UMR 7178, F-67000 Strasbourg, France.
18	
19	
20	* Corresponding author.
21	E-mail address: maya.moumene@univ-bejaia.dz
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	

 Journal Pre-proofs

 30
 31

 32
 33

## 34 Abstract

35

36 The aim of this study was to evaluate the long-term effects of the insecticide chlorpyrifos on the moulting and growth of the terrestrial isopods Armadillo officinalis. Adult 37 woodlice were exposed to chlorpyrifos at concentrations of 5, 10, 20, 40 mg/kg dry soil and 38 compared to a control. During 100 days of exposure, effects on moulting and growth were 39 investigated. The duration of premoult, ecdysis and intermoult stages were estimated. Also, 40 41 the cuticle width (CW) and length (CL) were measured and their size increase and specific 42 growth were calculated. The results showed that exposure to chlorpyrifos induced adverse 43 effects on moulting in the terrestrial isopod Armadillo officinalis, mainly at the higher concentrations (20 mg and 40 mg/kg dry soil). Indeed, it decreased the percentage of moulting 44 45 animals, delayed moulting, decreased the number of completed moult, extended the premoult 46 stage, prolonged ecdysis, and reduced intermoult duration. Furthermore, these findings 47 showed that chlorpyrifos exposure impaired also growth of Armadillo officinalis, mainly at 48 the highest concentration (40mg/kg dry soi), by decreasing weight gain (0.94  $\pm$  2.14 mg), 49 cuticle length gain  $(0.26 \pm 0.06 \text{ mm})$  and specific growth rate (SGR)  $(0.04 \pm 0.01 \text{ mm/days})$ 50 compared to the control (Weight gain =  $16.5 \pm 1.84$  mg; CL gain =  $0.65 \pm 0.07$ mm; SGR =  $0.08 \pm 0.01$ ). The present study highlighted the adverse effects of chlorpyrifos on moulting 51 52 and growth of Armadillo officinalis, which raises concern about consequences of chlorpyrifos 53 exposure on population dynamic of this species.

54

57 58

\_

59

60

61 62

\_

63

Keywords: Insecticide, chlorpyrifos, moulting, growth, terrestrial isopods, *Armadillo officinalis*

## 65 1. Introduction

66

Pesticides are intensively used in agriculture. However, these compounds raised
concerns about their hazardous effects in soil organisms such as earthworms, springtails,
snails and terrestrial isopods (Paoletti and Bressan, 1995; Hubbard et al., 1999; Robinson and
Sutherland, 2002; Bengtsson et al., 2005; Bianchi et al., 2006; Sánchez-Bayo, 2011).

71 these pesticides, chlorpyrifos [O,O-diethyl-O-(3,5,6-trichloro-2-pyridyl) Among 72 phosphorothioate] is an organophosphate insecticide applied to control a wide range of insect 73 pests in agricultural production as well as houseflies (EPA, 2011). In the Soummam valley, 74 which is an important agricultural area in the north of Algeria, chlorpyrifos is one of the most 75 commonly used insecticides (Benmouhoub et al., 2019). However, due to its broad-spectrum 76 effectiveness, chlorpyrifos may have harmful consequences on non target organisms as inducing mortality, oxidative stress and metabolic disorders (Barron and Woodburn, 1995; 77 78 Zhang et al., 2017; Xinget al., 2019). The direct toxicity of chlorpyrifos is assumed to result 79 from its specific inhibition of acetylcholinesterase (AChE), an enzyme that is primary 80 involved in neurotransmission, and which also has many other physiological functions that are 81 not related to the cholinergic system (Sepčić et al., 2019).

82 One of the most efficient approaches for assessing the effects of pesticides in the environment is bio-monitoring, which involves the monitoring of bio-indicators in treated 83 versus untreated environments (Salines, 2012; Ferrante et al., 2015). In this context, several 84 studies have investigated the toxic impacts of chlorpyrifos using bio-indicators such as 85 terrestrial invertebrates (Gatti et al., 2002; Venkateswara et al., 2003; Fountain et al., 2007; 86 87 Jagar et al., 2007; Wang et al., 2012; Pelosi et al., 2014; Muangphara, 2015; Dolar et al., 88 2021) and numerous effects have been reported. For instance, chlorpyrifos impairs growth (Booth and O'Halloran, 2001) and fecundity (Alshawish et al. 2004; Zhou et al., 2007) in 89 90 earthworms, and reduces springtail abundance (Endlweber et al., 2006; Fountain et al., 2007). 91 Furthermore, it induces lethality (Nair et al., 2022; Morgado et al., 2016; Benmouhoub et al., 2019), oxydative stress (Morgado et al., 2018) and changes in immune function (Dolar et al., 92 93 2021) in terrestrials isopods.

94

95 Terrestrial isopods are widely used as bioindicators of environmental pollution due to 96 their sensitivity to the effects of anthropogenic activities (Longo et al., 2013; Mazzei et al., 97 2013; Agodi et al., 2015). They also represent an abundant and widespread component of the 98 soil fauna (Caruso et al., 1987; Messina et al., 2011; Messina et al., 2012). The species 99 *Armadillo officinalis* has been reported as a good test-organism to assess soil contamination or 9100 other environmental changes in its habitat (Agodi et al., 2015; Montesanto and Cividini, 911) 2017).

102 Terrestrial isopods moult frequently throughout their life cycle in order to grow. So, 103 they shed their old cuticle and develop a new exoskeleton (Lefebvre, 2002). Moulting in 104 Oniscidea is regulated by a variety of neuroendocrine signalling cascades which involve 105 various hormones such as the ecdysteroid moulting hormones, the moult-inhibiting hormone 106 (MIH), and the crustacean hyperglycemic hormone (CHH) (Leblanc, 2007; Zou, 2020). 107 Several authors have recently shown that these endocrine pathways are highly sensitive to 108 aberrant signalling by environmental chemicals (Leblanc, 2007; Jubeaux, 2012; Legrand, 109 2016; Hosamani et al., 2017; Zou, 2020).

Numerous studies have highlighted the effects of pesticides on moulting (Baldwin et al., 2001; Lemos et al., 2009; Palma et all., 2009; Lemos et al., 2010) and growth (Montagna and Collins, 2007; Lemos, 2010; Singh et al., 2021) in Oniscidea crustacean. However, although there have been some researches on the consequences of chlorpyrifos exposure (Volz et al., 2003; Montagna and Collins, 2007; Taylor, 2020), to our knowledge, there is no data about the impacts of chlorpyrifos on moulting in terrestrial isopods.

116 In ecotoxicological risk assessment of pesticides, particulary in isopods bioassay, most 117 studies use acute toxicity tests (Benmouhoub et al., 2019; Santos et al., 2010; Loureiro et al., 118 2009; Kolar et al., 2008). Although these assays are effective for survival parameters (Calhôa 119 et al., 2012; Santos et al., 2010) or avoidance behaviour parameters (Loureiro et al., 2002), 120 they are limited when more detailed and comprehensive effects of the toxicant on life stage such as moulting and growth of the animal are aimed. In this case, long term exposure tests 121 122 are advisable as they could cover all the life stage of the animal and track the impacts of 123 pesticides over the time (Guimarães et al., 2023).

The aim of the present study was to evaluate the long-term effects of chlorpyrifos on the terrestrial isopod *Armadillo officinalis* using moulting parameters such as moulting frequency, the duration of premoult, ecdysis and intermoult stages and growth parameters such as weight gain, size gain and specific growth rate (SGR).

## 128 **2. Materials and methods**

## 129 2.1. Sampling and breeding

Adult males and females terrestrial isopods of the species *Armadillo officinalis* were collected in unpolluted natural area of the National Institute of Agricultural Research, Algeria (INRA) (latitude  $36^{\circ}21'8$  N; longitude  $3^{\circ}53'E$ ). Then, they were bred in the laboratory of Applied Zoology and Animal Ecophysiology, Bejaia, Algeria, at room temperature ( $21C^{\circ}\pm1C^{\circ}$ ), with a photoperiod of 13h/11h (light/dark). Twice a week, the colonies were sprayed with water and fed with potato tubers.

136

## 137 2.2. Chemical compound and soil

The pesticide tested in this experiment was the organophosphorus insecticidechlorpyrifos (CICLONER 48 EC with 480 g/L of chlorpyrifos).

140 The soil used in this experiment was natural soil collected in the National Institute of 141 Agricultural Research, Algeria (INRA). The main properties of this soil are: pH = 8.56; total 142 limestone = 3.6 (%); texture : 24 (%) clay; 24 (%) silt; 52 (%) sand.

143

## 144 2. 3. Experimental set up

145 The toxicity test was conducted according to a modified version of the guideline for 146 earthworm's sub-lethal test established by the Organization for Economic Co-operation and 147 Development (OECD) (OECD, 2015). The selection of chlorpyrifos concentrations for this 148 experiment was based on a preliminary assay where an acute toxicity test on *Armadillo* 149 *officinalis* species was carried out in order to determine the lethal concentration 50 (LC<sub>50</sub>) for

## Journal Pre-proofs

chlorpyrifos. After 14 days of exposure, the result showed that the LC<sub>50</sub> of chlorpyrifos was 150 151 80.5 mg/kg. Thus, for the long-term exposure, chlorpyrifos was diluted in water to the 152 following concentrations: 5, 10, 20 and 40 mg/kg soil (dry weight), which were about 1/16, 153 1/8, 1/4, 1/2 of LC<sub>50-14days</sub> respectively. Pure Water was used as a control. Four replicates of 154 each concentration and the control were prepared. Toxicity tests were performed in twenty 155 transparent plastic boxes with perforated lids (30 cm x 20 cm x10 cm), containing 250 g of 156 moist soil. Each solution was sprayed on the soil of its respective box, before introducing 10 157 woodlice (5 males and 5 females) in intermoult phase with an average weight of  $150 \pm 7.6$  mg 158 per box. Woodlice were fed with potato tubers twice a week. Soil was kept moist during all 159 the experiment by spraying water when necessary. Woodlice were exposed to the chemical 160 for 100 days, during which moult monitoring and growth were also performed.

161

## 162 2.4. Moulting monitoring

163

164 To avoid the parturial moulting, and focus only on the growth moulting, the experiment 165 was carried out outside the reproductive period of Armadillo officinalis that is from October 166 to January. Moulting monitoring was launched along with the organisms exposure, and for 167 100 days, woodlice were systematically examined every 24hr. In fact, the observations were based on the appearance, size, and shape of white calcium sternal deposits on the first four 168 169 pereon. This way, premoult phase (proecdysis) and its three main subphases (named PE1, 170 PE2, PE3, as in Zidar et al., 1998) as well as the biphasic ecdysis and its subphases (Ea and Ep) were recorded and their duration calculated. In addition, intermoult period was calculated 171 as a the duration between the end of the first ecdysis to the end of the experiment for the 172 173 woodlice that moult only once, and from the end of the first ecdysis to the beginning of the 174 second premoult for the woodlice that moulted twice.

175

176 2.5. Growth monitoring

177

Tested woodlice were weighed every week. Then at the end of the experiment, theweight gain was calculated.

Also, at the beginning of the experiment, tested woodlice were photographed under a stereo microscope Optika 4083.B5. Then, cuticle width (CW) and cuticle length (CL) were measured using ImageJ software (version 1.45 s). The same process was repeated at the end of the experiment and then the increase in size of (CW) and (CL) were calculated.

184 The specific growth rate (SGR) of size and weight were calculated using the following 185 formula (as in Romano and Zeng, 2016): SGR =  $(\ln W_f - \ln W_0)/IP \times 100$ , where SGR is 186 specific growth rate;  $W_f$  is the final size or weight; IP is the intermoult period;  $W_0$  is the initial 187 size or weight.

188

## 189 2.6. Statistical analysis

Normality and equal variance tests were performed for all data. Statistical analysis was carried out using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Tukey post hoc test for multiple comparisons of means to determine significant differences relatively to control treatment. The level of significance was set at p < 0.05. All statistical analyses were performed using R software package (version 3.3.2).

- 1	Jo	urnal Pre-proofs	
219			
220			
221			
222			
223			
224			
225			
226	3. Results		

## **3.1.** Percentage of moulting animals during 100 days of exposure to chlorpyrifos

228 After 20 days of experiment, the results didn't show significant difference between the 229 groups treated with the different concentrations of chlorpyrifos and the control (Fig. 1). 230 However, a significant difference compared to the control was noted after 40 and 60 days of exposure to the highest concentration of chlorpyrifos (40 mg/kg soil) (p < 0.05). Also, a 231 232 significant difference compared to the control was found after 80 days of exposure to the 233 higher concentrations (20 and 40mg/kg soil) (p < 0.01 and p < 0.001, respectively). After 100 234 days of experiment, 100% of animals moulted in the control group. The same percentage of 235 moulting animals was observed at the concentrations 5 and 10 mg/kg soil. However, a significant lower percentage of moulting animals was observed when they were exposed to 20 236 237 mg/kg soil (72%) and 40 mg/kg soil (65.63%) (p < 0.01 and p < 0.001, respectively).

238



239

Fig.1. Percentage of moulting animals during 100 days of exposure to chlorpyrifos. Bars are means  $\pm$  SEM. Bars with different letters are significantly different (p < 0.05); one asterisk (\*) indicates p < 0.01; two asterisks (\*\*) indicate p < 0.001.

3.2. Distribution of the first moult per month of animals exposed to chlorpyrifos during
100 days

249 In the control group, 82.5% of animals had moulted after two months of experiment, 250 of which 30% in the first month and 52.5% in the second month (Fig. 2). Similar results were 251 found in the groups exposed to the 5 and 10 mg/kg soil concentrations, with 77.67% and 252 80.77% of moulted animals after two months, respectively. In the group exposed to 20 mg/kg 253 soil, only 41% of animals had moulted after two months of exposure, of which 24.32% the 254 first month and 16.67% the second month. In the group treated with the highest concentration 255 (40 mg/kg soil), moult seemed to be drastically delayed, with only 8.57% of animals that had 256 moulted in the first month and 34.38% in the second month.



257

244

245



261

258

# 3.3. Number of moults completed by animals during 100 days of exposure tochlorpyrifos

The results showed that the number of moults were not significantly different compared to the control when exposing animals to the lower concentrations (5 and 10 mg/kg soil) of chlorpyrifos (Fig. 3). However, a significant decrease in the number of moults was noted at the higher concentrations 20 mg/kg soil (p < 0.05) and 40 mg/kg soil (p < 0.001).



Fig.3. Mean number of moults completed by animals during 100 days of exposure to chlorpyrifos. Bars are means ± SEM. Bars with different letters are significantly different (p < 0.05); two asterisks (\*\*) indicate p < 0.001.</li>

272

268

# 3. 4. Effects of chlorpyrifos on the premoult duration (in days) and its substage duration (in days)

The results showed no significant difference between the groups treated with the lower concentrations (5 and 10 mg/kg soil) of chlorpyrifos and the control (Tab.1). Concerning the groups treated with the higher concentrations (20 and 40 mg/kg soil) a significant increase in the premoult duration compared to the control was observed (p < 0.01 and p < 0.001, respectively).

280 Regarding the effects of chlorpyrifos on the duration of the premoult substages, the results showed no significant difference on PE1 duration at the lower concentrations (5 and 10 281 282 mg/kg soil) compared to the control (Tab. 1). However, a significant prolongation in PE1 283 length at the higher concentrations (20 and 40 mg/kg soil) was noticed (p < 0.05 respectively). 284 Concerning the effects on PE2 duration, except the lowest concentration (5 mg/kg soil), results demonstrated a significant difference to the control in all treatments and mainly at the 285 286 highest concentration 40mg/kg soil (p < 0.001). Finally, no significant difference in PE3 287 duration was found between the groups treated with the various concentrations of chlorpyrifos 288 and the control.

- 289
- 290
- 291
- 292 Table 1

<sup>293</sup> Effects of chlorpyrifos on the duration (in days) of the first premoult substages (mean  $\pm$  SEM). Within a same 294 column, values with different letters are significantly different (P < 0.05); one asterisk (\*) indicates p < 0.01; 295 two asterisks (\*\*) indicate p < 0.001.

Duration (days)	Whole premoult	Premoult substag	Premoult substage duration (days)		
		PE1	PE2	PE3	
Control	$11.48\pm0.42^{\mathtt{a}}$	$4.98\pm0.33^{\text{a}}$	$4.13\pm0.16^{\text{a}}$	$2.38 \pm 0.1^{a}$	
Min–Max	8-18	3-11	3-6	1-4	
5	12.05 + 0.208	5 02 + 0 28	476 + 0 218	$2.27 \pm 0.118$	
5 mg/kg	$12.95 \pm 0.39^{\circ}$	$5.92 \pm 0.28^{*}$	$4.76 \pm 0.21^{*}$	$2.27 \pm 0.11^{a}$	
Min-Mox	0-10	3-10	2_8	1-4	
IVIIII IVIAX	7 17	5 10	5 0	1 7	
10 mg/kg	13 78 + 0 72ª	$6.05 \pm 0.45^{a}$	$5.32 \pm 0.34^{b*}$	$241 \pm 0.13^{a}$	
10 1110 112	15.76 - 0.72	0.00 - 0.10	0.02 = 0.01	2.11 - 0.15	
Min-Max	9-34	3-17	3-15	2-5	
20 mg/kg	$14.88\pm0.96^{\text{b*}}$	$6.96\pm0.7^{b}$	$5.23\pm0.31^{\text{b}}$	$2.69\pm0.17^{\mathbf{a}}$	
0.0					
Min-Max	9-33	4-21	<del>3_9</del>	2-5	
	Whole	Ecdysis substages			
40 mg/kg	$15.52 \pm 1.32^{b**}$	$7.05 \pm 0.98^{b}$	$5.90 \pm 0.43^{b**}$	$2.57\pm0.13^{\mathbf{a}}$	
Min-Max	9-35	4-23	3-10	2-4	

duration at a chlorpyrifos concentration of 20 mg/kg soil (p < 0.05). 327

#### 328 Table 2

329 330 Effects of chlorpyrifos on the mean duration (in days) of ecdysis and its substages Ea and Ep (mean  $\pm$  SEM).

Within a same column, values with different letters are significantly different (p < 0.05).

		Journal Pre-proofs					
332		Ecdysis			-		
333			Ep	Ea			
334 335	Control	$2.08\pm0.04^{\text{a}}$	$1.08\pm0.04^{\text{a}}$	$1.0\pm0.0^{\rm a}$	-		
336	Min–Max	2-3	1-2	1-1			
337 338	5 mg/kg	$2.35\pm0.1^{a}$	$1.35\pm0.1^{a}$	$1.0\pm0.0^{a}$			
339	Min–Max	2-4	1-3	1-1			
340 341	10 mg/kg	$2.46\pm0.28^{a}$	$1.27\pm0.13^{\texttt{a}}$	$1.19\pm0.16^{\text{a}}$			
342	Min–Max	2-12	1-5	1-7			
343 344	20 mg/kg	$2.58\pm0.16^{\text{a}}$	$1.5\pm0.13^{b}$	$1.08\pm0.05^{\text{a}}$			
345 346	Min-Max	2-5	1-3	1-2	3.6. Effects of chlorpyrifos		
347	40 mg/kg	$2.14\pm0.08^{\mathtt{a}}$	$1.14\pm0.08^{\mathbf{a}}$	$1.0\pm0.0^{\mathtt{a}}$	The results showed that		
348 349 350	Min–Max	2–3	1–2	1-1	chlorpyrifos reduced significantly the intermoult duration of all exposed groups		

compared to the control. The effect was stronger at the concentrations of 5 mg/kg and 40
 mg/kg soil (p < 0.0001 respectively) (Fig.4).</li>



353

**Fig. 4.** Mean duration (in days) of intermoult (mean  $\pm$  SEM) of all animals exposed to chlorpyrifos during 100 days. Values with different letters are significantly different (p < 0.05); one asterisk (\*) indicates p < 0.01; three

356 asterisks (\*\*\*) indicate p < 0.0001.

357

#### 358 3.7. Effects of chlorpyrifos on growth

#### 359 3.7.1. Effects of chlorpyrifos on weight gain

360

361 After 20 and 40 days of experiment, no significant difference was noted between the groups treated with different concentrations of chlorpyrifos and the control (Fig.5). A 362 363 significant difference was found at the higher concentrations of chlorpyrifos (20 and 40 mg/kg 364 soil) after 60 days (p < 0.05 respectively) and 80 days of experiment (p < 0.01 and p < 0.001respectively). After 100 days of exposure to chlorpyrifos, the results did not show any 365 366 significant difference between the control and treatment with the lower concentrations (5 and 367 10 mg/kg soil). However, chlorpyrifos impaired significantly the weight gain of animals 368 exposed to the higher concentrations of chlorpyrifos (20 and 40 mg/kg soil) (p < 0.0001369 respectively).

#### 370 3.7.2. Effects of chlorpyrifos on weight's specific growth rate

371 The results showed no significant difference between the groups treated with the lower 372 concentrations (5 and 10 mg/kg soil) of chlorpyrifos and the control. However, a significant 373 decrease in weight's specific growth rate (SGR) was noted at the higher concentrations of chlorpyrifos ((20 and 40 mg/kg soil) compared to the control (p < 0.05 respectively) (Fig.5). 374





**Fig. 5.** Effects of chlorpyrifos on weight. (A) weight gain (mg); (B) SGR of weight (mg/days). Bars are means  $\pm$  SEM. Bars with different letters are significantly different (p < 0.05); three asterisks indicate p < 0.0001.

380

377

## 381 3.7.3. Effects of chlorpyrifos on size gain

The results showed that chlorpyrifos exposure impaired significantly size gain of the treated groups (Fig.6). Cuticle length (CL) gain decreased with increasing concentrations of chlorpyrifos and was significantly different from the control at the concentrations of 10, 20 and 40 mg/kg soil (p < 0.01 respectively).

Concerning the effects of chlorpyrifos on the cuticle width (CW) gain, the results didnot show any significant difference between the treated and control groups (Fig.6).

388

## 389 **3.7.4.** Effects of chlorpyrifos on specific growth rate of cuticle length and cuticle width

The results showed that the specific growth rate of cuticle length was significantly lower in the groups treated with the higher chlorpyrifos concentrations (20 mg and 40 mg/kg soil) compared to the control (p < 0.05 respectively) (Fig.6).

On the other hand, no significant difference in specific growth rate of cuticle width
 was found between the groups treated with the different concentrations of chlorpyrifos and the
 control (Fig.6).

- 396
- 397
- 398
- 399
- 400











- **412** Fig. 6. Effects of chlorpyrifos on size. (A) size gain of CL (mm); (B) SGR of CL (mm/ days); (C) size gain of CW (mm); (D) SGR of CW (mm/days). Bars are means ± SEM. Bars with different letters are significantly
- 414 different (p < 0.05); One asterisks (\*) indicates p < 0.01.
- 415
- 416
- 417
- 418 **4. Discussion**
- 419

420 In the present study, our results have firstly highlighted that the exposure to chlorpyrifos altered the moulting of Armadillo officinalis. Indeed the percentage of moulting animals 421 422 during the 100 days of exposure was significantly lower at the higher chlorpyrifos 423 concentrations (20 and 40 mg/kg) compared to the control. Several studies revealed that 424 environmental chemicals could impair moulting in Oniscidea crustaceans (Leblanc, 2007; 425 Jubeaux, 2012; Legrand, 2016; Hosamani et al., 2017; Zou, 2020). For instance, Drobne and 426 Strus (1996) also reported a decrease in rate of moulting in the terrestrial isopods Porcellio 427 scaber exposed to zinc.

428

429 Our research showed also that chlorpyrifos delayed drastically the first moult, mainly 430 at the highest concentration (40 mg/kg). Chlorpyrifos has been noted as the primary inhibitor 431 of the enzyme acetylcholinesterase which leads to the accumulation of acetylcholine in the 432 synaptic cleft, inducing the failure of translation of hormonal regulation and consequently the 433 impairment of endocrine homeostasis in the organism (EPA, 1992; Ubaidurrahman, 2020). 434 According to Leblanc (2007), the disruption of the normal moult cycle by toxicant exposure 435 can be attributed to an alteration in levels of ecdysteroide moulting hormone produced by the moulting gland Y organ. Hirano et al. (2009) documented that exposure of the mysid 436 437 Americanysis bahia to nonylphenol delayed significantly moulting and this effect was linked

### Journal Pre-proofs

to the suppression of ecdysteroid 20-hydroxyecdysone (20E) content. However, Lemos et al.
(2009) who exposed the terrestrial isopods *Porcellio scaber* to the fungicide Vinclozolin
noted a delay in moulting despite an increase in 20E concentration. According to the authors,
this could be a result of side effects associated with hyperecdysonism.

442 Moreover, the number of moults completed by the terrestrial isopods exposed to the 443 higher concentrations (20 and 40 mg/kg soil) of chlorpyrifos was significantly lower compared to the control group. The same results were also reported by Lemos et al. (2010) 444 445 when exposing the terrestrial isopods Porcellio scaber to 100 mg/kg of Vinclozolin. 446 Similarly, Ohmstead and Leblanc (2001) noted that the insecticide methoprene decreased 447 moulting frequency in the crustacean Daphnia magna and associated this effect to the 448 negative impact of methoprene on the terpenoid hormone Methyl farnesoate (MF) produced 449 by the mandibular organs, which is involved in the modulation of ecdysteroids. In fact, it was 450 demonstrated that some insecticides would mimic insect juvenile hormones and could act in 451 the same manner as methyl farnesoate (MF) and impact crustacean moulting (Zou, 2020).

452

453 Furthermore, our findings showed a significant increase in the premoult stage and 454 substage (PE1, PE2) durations in the groups treated with the higher chlorpyrifos 455 concentrations (20 and 40 mg/kg). Lemos et al. (2009) reported the same results when exposing the terrestrial isopods P.scaber to the fungicide Vinclozolin and related the premoult 456 457 prolongation to the too high level of 20E found in animals exposed to Vinclozolin. In fact, the 458 required decrease in ecdysone concentration below the threshold value for which the 459 exuviation factor is released, (and which is indispensable to complete the premoult), has not been attained. It seems that chlorpyrifos also can cause an elevation in the ecdysteroid titers. 460 461 That was observed by Volz et al. (2003) in female Grass Shrimp Palaemonetes pugio exposed 462 to 100 and 200 ng/L nominal chlorpyrifos. This enhancement in the 20E titre could be due to disruptions in ecdysteroidogenesis in Y-organs and/or changes in inhibitory peptide 463 464 hormones, such as the moult-inhibiting hormone (MIH) or the crustacean hyperglycemic 465 hormone (CHH) which are involved in the regulation of moulting (Zou, 2020). Also, this 466 alteration could be caused by a direct disruption in epidermal ecdysteroid signaling by 467 interfering with ecdysteroid receptor (EcR) (Leblanc, 2007).

468 Regarding the effect of chlorpyrifos on ecdysis duration, a significant delay in the 469 duration of posterior ecdysis (Ep) at the high concentration of 20 mg/kg was observed. This 470 could be due to the adverse impact of chlorpyrifos on the ecdysis-triggering hormone (ETH) 471 either by its direct inhibition or by interfering with its release. This neuropeptide from the 472 central nervous system (CNS) is involved in ecdysis regulation and its lack causes ecdysis 473 deficiencies (Mykles et al., 2010). Furthermore, according to Zou (2020), environmental toxicants could in theory impact the signalling of this neurohormone, and thereby, affect the 474 475 moulting process. Lemos et al. (2009) also noted a disruption in ecdysis when exposing the 476 terrestrial isopods Porcillio Scaber to vinclozolin, and explained it as being the result of the 477 too high level of ecdysteroid and the lack of exuviation factor.

478

Also, our results showed that chlorpyrifos exposure significantly reduced intermoult
duration compared to the control. On the opposite, Taylor (2020) noted a prolongation in
intermoult stage when exposing the American Lobster Larvae (*Homarus americanus*) to
different concentrations of chlorpyrifos. Nevertheless, our results corroborate the observations

### Journal Pre-proofs

of Montagna and Collins (2007) who found that chlorpyrifos significantly decreases
intermoult duration in *Palaemonetes argentinus*. During intermoult period, ecdysteroids are
under the negative regulatory control of the moult-inhibiting hormone (MIH), which
maintains low-circulating ecdysteroid levels until the next moult (Leblanc, 2007).
Chlorpyrifos exposure probably induced an inhibition of this hormone and consequently
enhanced ecdysteroid that led to stimulate the next moult and reduced the intermoult stage.

489

490 Moreover, our results also highlighted that the exposure to chlorpyrifos affected 491 significantly the growth of Armadillo officinalis by decreasing its weight gain and specific 492 growth rate. These results corroborate previous studies, which reported weight loss in 493 terrestrial isopods exposed to pesticides (Drobne and strus, 2008; Kolar et al., 2010; Lavtizar 494 et al., 2016; Morgado et al. 2016). This leads to the hypothesis that growth retardation could 495 be due to changes in the feeding behaviour of Armadillo officinalis. In fact, several previous 496 studies highlighted a decrease in feeding behaviour in terrestrial isopods exposed to stressors 497 (Abdel-Lateif et al., 1998; Donker et al., 1998; Morgado et al., 2016). Such an alteration in 498 feeding behaviour could be due to neurotoxic effects of chlorpyrifos. Indeed, the fact that 499 pesticides induced changes in feeding behaviour is often assimilated to acetylcholinesterase 500 inhibition (Bayley and Baatrup, 1996; Morgado et al., 2016). For instance, Blažic et al. (2005) 501 noted that the pesticide-induced inhibition of feeding in Porcillio scaber coincides with 502 acetylcholinesterase inhibition.

503

504 Furthermore, chlorpyrifos also impaired Armadillo officinalis growth by decreasing 505 significantly its CL gain and its CL specific growth rate. Similarly, a significant decrease in 506 CL specific growth rate was noted by Taylor (2020) when exposing American Lobster 507 (Homarus americanus) larvae to chlorpyrifos. On the opposite, chlorpyrifos had no effect on 508 size growth in glass shrimps (Palaemonetes argentinus) (Montagna and Collins, 2007). 509 However, various synthetic molecules are also known to retard growth in animal species. For 510 instance, Bisphenol A at a concentration of 10 and 100 mg/kg soil induced a significant 511 decrease in terrestrial isopods P. Scaber size gain (Lemos et al., 2010). Also, Singh et al. (2021) showed that malathion exposure altered CL gain in freshwater crabs Poppiana dentata. 512 513 These authors suggested that exposure to toxicants triggers the detoxification processes, 514 resulting in a reallocation of energy to these processes at the expense of growth. In this 515 context, Verslycke et al. (2004) found that chlorpyrifos exposure significantly hinders the 516 allocation of energy to growth in the mysid Neomysis integer.

517 Besides, growth disruption may also be attributed to perturbations in moulting. In fact, 518 to grow, terrestrial isopods have to periodically shed their exoskeleton during the moulting 519 cycle, and any disturbance of this latter, can potentially lead to the alteration of growth 520 process. As mentioned above, chlorpyrifos exposure reduced significantly intermoult duration 521 thereby probably preventing the completion of the new exoskeleton. Indeed, intermoult stage 522 is the time of full activity, feeding, and muscle growth (Steel, 1982; Lemos and Weissman, 523 2020).

524 In addition, growth alteration could also be related to the disruption by chlorpyrifos of 525 some signalling pathways, like the mTOR pathway, which is involved in growth and 526 developmental processes, and/or TGF $\beta$ , which is implicated in the growth, development and 527 innate immunity of invertebrates, including crustaceans (Li et al., 2020). In summary, the present study demonstrated that the long-term exposure to chlorpyrifos induced harmful effects on moulting and growth of the terrestrial isopods *Armadillo officinalis*, and suggests that chlorpyrifos could also pose a potential risk to other benificail soil organisms.

- \_

- 0.0

## 548 Funding source

549 The study was supported by the General Direction of Scientific Research and 550 Technological Development (DGRSDT) and the Algerian Ministry of Scientific Research. 551 The funding committee was not involved in study design; collection analysis and 552 interpretation of data; writing of the report or submission of the article for publication.

## **Declaration of interest**

555 The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal 556 relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

## 558 Acknowledgements

559 We would like to thank Dr. Aldjia Oudjiane for accepting to receive us to accomplish 560 our sampling in Bejaia station of the National Institute of Agricultural Research, Algeria 561 (INRAA).

- 0, -

## **References**

- Abdel-Lateif, H.M., Donker, M.H., van Straalen, N.M., 1998. Interaction between
  temperature and cadmium toxicity in the isopod *Porcellio scaber*. Funct. Ecol. 12, 521–
  527. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2435.1998.00227.x
- 581 Agodi, A., Oliveri Conti, G., Barchitta, M., Quattrocchi, A., Lombardo, B.M., Montesanto,
- 582 G., Messina, G., Fiore, M., b, Ferrante, M., 2015. Validation of Armadillo officinalis
- 583 Dumèril, 1816 (Crustacea, Isopoda, Oniscidea) as a bioindicator: In vivo study of air
- benzene exposure.Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf. 114, 171–178.
- 585 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2015.01.011

Alshawish, S.A., Mohamed, A. I., Nair, G., 2004. Prolonged toxicity of sub-lethal dosages of
chemical pesticides on the body mass and cocoons of *Aporrectodea caliginosa* (Savigny
1826) (Oligochaeta: Lumbricidae) inhabiting Benghazi, Libya. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. India
Sect. B Biol. Sci. 74, 123–133.

- Baldwin, W.S., Bailey, R., Long, K.E., Klaine, S., 2001. Incomplete ecdysis is an indicator of
  ecdysteroid exposure in *Daphina Magna*. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 20 (7), 1564–1569.
- Barron, M. G., Woodburnt, K.B., 1995. Ecotoxicology of Chlorpyrifos. Environ. Contam.
   Toxicol. 144, 1-93. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-2550-8
- Bayley, M., Baatrup, E., 1996. Pesticide uptake and locomotor behaviour in the woodlouse:
  an experimental study employing video tracking and 14C-labelling. Ecotoxicology 5, 35–
  45.
- 597 Bengtsson, J.B., Ahnstrom, J., Weibull, A.C., 2005. The effects of organic agriculture on biodiversity and abundance: a meta-analysis. J. Appl. Ecol. 42, 261–269.
- 599 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2664.2005.01005.x
- Benmouhoub, K. H., Moumene, M., Sayah, C. M., Mouloud, M. N., kadij, H. D., Yesguer, S.,
  Mahdeb, M., Salhi, A. M., Charfi, F., Montesanto, G., Habold, C., 2019. Acute toxicity of
  two pesticides (dursban, mancozeb) and their combined mixture on the terrestrial isopod *Armadillidium vulgare* (Oniscidea, Isopoda. Stud. Univ. Vasile Goldies Arad Ser. Stiint.
  Vietii. 29(4), 184–191.
- Bianchi, F. J. J. A., Booij, C. J. H., Tscharntke, T., 2006. Sustainable pest regulation in
   agricultural landscapes: a review on landscape composition, biodiversity and natural pest
- 607 control. Proc. R. Soc. B., 273, 1715-1727. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2006.3530
- Blažic, M., Trebše, P., Drobne, D., 2005. Effect of Imidacloprid on Growth, Feeding Rate and
  Activity of Ache and GST Enzymes in the Terrestrial Isopods Porcellio scaber (Isopoda,
  Crustacea), 14, 106–113.
- Booth, L.,H., O'Halloran, K., 2001. A comparaison of biomarker responses in the earthworm
  Aporrectodea Caliginosa to the organophosphorus insecticides diainon and chlorpyrifos.
  Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 20 (11), 2494–502.
- 614 https://doi.org/10.1897/1551-5028(2001)020%3C2494:acobri%3E2.0.co;2
- 615 Calhôa, C., Soares, A., Loureiro, S., 2012. Effects on survival and reproduction of Porcellio
  616 dilatatus exposed to different Cd species. Ecotoxicology 21,48–55.
- 617 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10646-011-0762-6
- 618 Caruso, D., Baglieri, C., Di Maio, M., Lombardo, B., 1987. Isopodi terrestri di Sicilia ed isole
- 619 circumsiciliane (Crustacea, Isopoda, Oniscoidea). Animalia Suppl. 14, 5–211.
- Dolar, A., Selonen, S., van Gestel, C.A.M., Perc, V., Drobne, D., Kokalj, A.J., 2021.
  Microplastics, chlorpyrifos and their mixtures modulate immune processes in the terrestrial
  crustacean Porcellio scaber. Sci. Total Environ. 772, 144900.
- 623 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.144900
- 624

- Donker, M.H., Lateif, H.M.A., Khalil, M.A., Bayoumi, B.M., van Straalen, N.M., 1998.
  Temperature, physiological time, and zinc toxicity in the isopod *Porcellio scaber*. *Environ*. *Toxicol. Chem* 17, 1558–1563. https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.5620170817
- Drobne, D., Strus, J., 1996. Moult frequency of the isopod *Porcellio Scaber*, as a measure of
   zinc-contaminated food. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 15(2), 126–130.
- 630 https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.5620150209
- Drobne, D., Blazic, M., van Gestel, C.A.M., Leser, V., Zidar, P., Jemec, A., Trebse, P., 2008.
  Toxicity of imidacloprid to the terrestrial isopod *Porcellio scaber* (Isopoda, Crustacea).
  Chemosphere 71, 1326–1334. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2007.11.042
- Endlweber, K., Scha<sup>-</sup>dler, M., Scheu, S., 2006. Effects of foliar and soil insecticide
  applications on the collembolan community of an early set-aside arable field. Appl. Soil
  Ecol. 31, 136–146. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2005.03.004
- EPA. 1992. Chlorpyrifos: dietary exposure assessment. California Environ. ProtectionAgency.
- EPA. 2011. Chlorpyrifos: Preliminary Human Health Risk Assessment for Registration
  Review. US Environ. Protection Agency.
- Ferrante, M., Copat, C., Mauceri, C., Grasso, A., Schilirò, T., & Gilli, G., 2015. The
  importance of indicators in monitoring water quality according to European directives.
  Epidemiol. Prev. 39(4), 71–75.
- Fountain, M. T., Brown, V.K., Gange, A.C., Symondson, W.O.C., Murray, P.J., 2007. The
  effects of the insecticide chlorpyrifos on spider and Collembola communities. 51, 147–158.
- 646 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pedobi.2007.03.001
- 647 Gatti, S.S., Henderson, G., Abdel-Aal, Y.A.I., Ibrahim, S.A., 2002. Acetylcholinesterase
  648 mediated susceptibility of soldiers and workers of formosan subterranean termite (Isoptera:
  649 Rhinotermitidae) to chlorpyrifos. J. Econ. Entomol. 95 (4), 813–819.
- 650 https://doi.org/10.1603/0022-0493-95.4.813.
- Guimarães, B., Römbke, J., Amorim, M.J.B., 2023. On the importance of longer-term
- 652 exposure to stressors A critical review and proposal for multigenerational testing in
- standard soil invertebrates. Sci. Total Environ. 854, 158680.
- 654 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.158680
- Hirano, M., Ishibashi, H., Kim, J.W., Matsumura, N., Arizono, K., 2009. Effects of
  environmentally relevant concentrations of nonylphenol on growth and 20hydroxyecdysone levels in mysid crustacean, Americamysis bahia. Comp. Biochem.
  Physiol. Part-C. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpc.2008.09.005
- Hosamani, N., Srinivasa Reddy, B., Ramachandra Reddy, P., 2017. Crustacean Molting:
  Regulation and Effects of Environmental Toxicants. J. mar. sci., res. Dev. 7(5).
- 661 DOI: 10.4172/2155-9910.1000236

- Hubbard, V.C., Jordan, D., Stecker, J.A., 1999. Earthworm response to rotation and tillage in
  a Missouri claypan soil. Biol. Fertil. Soils. 29, 343–347.
- Jager, T., Crommentuijn, T., Van Gestel, C., Kooijman, S. 2007. Chronic exposure to
  chlorpyrifos reveals two modes of action in the springtail *Folsomia candida*. Environ.
  Pollut. 145 (2) 452–458. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2006.04.028
- Jubeaux, G., Simon, R., Salvador, A., Quéau, H., Chaumot, A., Geffard, O., 2012.
  Vitellogenin-like proteins in the freshwater amphipod Gammarus fossarum (Koch, 1835):
  Functional characterization throughout reproductive process, potential for use as an
  indicator of oocyte quality and endocrine disruption biomarker in males. Aquat. Toxicol.
  72(82), 112–113. 10.1016/j.aquatox.2012.01.011
- Kolar, L., Erzen, N.K., Hogerwerf, L., van Gestel, C.A.M., 2008. Toxicity of abamectin and
  doramectin to soil invertebrates. Environ. Pollut. 151: 182–189.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2007.02.011
- Kolar, L., Jemec, A., Van Gestel, C.A.M., Valant, J., Hrzenjak, R., Erzen, N.K., Zidar, P.,
  2010.Toxicity of abamectin to the terrestrial isopod Porcellio scaber (Isopoda, Crustacea).
  Ecotoxicology. 19, 917–927. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10646-010-0473-4
- Lavtizar, V., Berggren, K., Trebse, P., Kraak, M.H.S., Verweij, R.A., Van Gestel, C.A.M.,
  2016. Comparative ecotoxicity of chlorantraniliprole to non-target soil invertebrates.
  Chemosphere. 159, 473–479. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2016.06.036
- LeBlanc, G. A., 2017. Crustacean endocrine toxicology: a review. Ecotoxicology. 16, 61–81.
   https://doi.org/10.1007/s10646-006-0115-z
- 683 Lefebvre, F., 2002. Strategies de reproduction chez les crustacés Isopodes terrestres.
  684 Dissertation. Thèse : Université de Poitiers. 18–21.
- Legrand, E., 2016. Couplage d'approches écotoxicogénomiques chez le copépode estuarien *Eurytemora affinis* et d'outils bioanalytiques pour l'évaluation du caractère perturbateur
  endocrinien des contaminants aquatiques Exemple de deux pesticides modèles: le
  pyriproxyfène et la chlordécone, seuls et en mélange. Thèse : Normandie Université. 71–
  88.
- Lemos, M.F.L., Van Gestel, C.A.M., Soares, A.M.V.M., 2009. Endocrine disruption in a terrestrial isopod under exposure to bisphenol A and vinclozolin. J. Soils Sediments.9, 492–500. DOI 10.1007/s11368-009-0104-y
- Lemos, M. F. L., van Gestel, C. A. M., Soares. A. M. V. M., 2010. Developmental Toxicity of
  Endocrine Disrupters Bisphenol A and Vinclozolin in a Terrestrial Isopod. Arch. Environ.
  Contam. Toxicol. 59, 274–281. DOI 10.1007/s00244-010-9474-9
- Lemos, D., Weissman, D., 2020. Moulting in the grow-out of farmed shrimp: a review.
  Aquaculture, 13, 1–13. https://doi.org/10.1111/raq.12461
- Li, B., Zhou, Y.L., Gu, W.B., Wang, L.Z., Xu, Y.P., Cheng, Y.X., Chen, D.Y., Li, B.W.,
  Xiao, Y., Dong, W.R., Shu, M.A., 2020. Identification and functional analysis of
  transforming growth factor-b type III receptor (TbR3) from Scylla paramamosain: the first

- evidence of TbR3 involved in development and innate immunity in invertebrates. Fish
  Shellfish Immunol. 105, 41–52. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsi.2020.07.002
- Longo, G., Trovato, M., Mazzei, V., Ferrante, M., Conti, G.O., 2013. Ligia italica (Isopoda, Oniscidea) as bioindicator of mercury pollution of marine rocky coasts. PLoS ONE 8(3), e58548. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0058548
- Loureiro, S., Sousa, J.P., Nogueira, A.J.A., Soares, A.M.V.M., 2002. Assimilation efficiency
  and toxicokinetics of C-lindane in the terrestrial isopod Porcellionides pruinosus: the role
  of isopods in degradation of persistent soil pollutants. Ecotoxicology 11, 481–90.
- 709 http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/a:1021013519330
- Loureiro, S., Amorim, M.J.B., Campos, B., Rodrigues, S.M.G., Soares, A., 2009. Assessing
  joint toxicity of chemicals in Enchytraeus albidus (Enchytraeidae) and Porcellionides
  pruinosus (Isopoda) using avoidance behaviour as an endpoint. Environ Pollut. 157, 625–
  36. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2008.08.010
- Mazzei, V., Longo, G., Brundo, M.V., Copat, C., Conti, G.O., Ferrante, M., 2013. Effects of heavy meta laccumulation on some reproductive characters in Armadillidium granulatum Brandt(Crustacea,Isopoda,Oniscidea).Ecotoxicol.Environ.Saf. 98,66–73. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2013.09.023
- Messina, G., Montesanto, G., Pezzino, E., Caruso, D., Lombardo, B.M., 2011. Diversity of terrestrial isopods in a protected area characterized by salty coastal ponds (Vendicari, Sicily). J. Nat. Hist. 45, 2145–2158. https://doi.org/10.1080/00222933.2011.587899
- Messina, G., Pezzino, E., Montesanto, G., Caruso, D., Lombardo, B.M., 2012. The diversity of
  terrestrial isopods in the natural reserve "Saline di Trapani e Paceco" (Crustacea,
  Isopoda, Oniscidea). Zookeys. 176, 215–230. https://doi.org/10.3897%2Fzookeys.176.2367
- Montagna, M.C., Collins, P.A., 2007. Survival and Growth of Palaemonetes argentinus
  (Decapoda; Caridea) Exposed to Insecticides with Chlorpyrifos and Endosulfan as Active
  Element. Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 53, 371–378. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00244006-0209-x
- Montesanto, G., Cividini, S., 2017. The moult cycle of the terrestrial isopod *Armadillo officinalis* Duméril, 1816 (Crustacea: Isopoda: Oniscidea). Acta Zool. 1–11.
  https://doi.org/10.1111/azo.12210
- 731 Morgado, R.G., Gomes, P.A.D., Ferreira, N.G.C., Cardoso, D.N., Santos, M.J.G., Soares,
- A.M.V.M., Loureiro, S., 2016. Toxicity interaction between chlorpyrifos, mancozeb and
  soil moisture to the terrestrial isopod Porcellionides pruinosus. Chemosphere 144, 1845–
  1853. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2015.10.034.
- Morgado, R.G., Ferreira, N.C.G., Cardoso, D.N., Silva, P.V., Soares, A.M.V.M., Loureiro, S.,
  2018. Joint Effects of Chlorpyrifos and Mancozeb to the Terrestrial Isopod *Porcellionides pruinosus*: A Multiple Biomarker Approach. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 37(5), 1446-1457.
  https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.4089
- 739 Muangphra, P., Tharapoom, K., Euawong, N., Namchote, S., Gooneratne, R., 2015. Chronic

- toxicity of commercial chlorpyrifos to earthworm Pheretima peguana. Environ. Toxicol. 31
  (11), 1450–1459. https://doi.org/10.1002/tox.22150
- Mykles, D.L., Adams, M.E., Gäde, G., Lange, A.B., Marco, L.H., Orchard, I., 2010.
  Neuropeptide Action in Insects and Crustaceans. Physiol. Biochem. Zool. 83(5), 836–846.
  DOI: 10.1086/648470
- Nair, G.A., Mohamed, A.I., Haeba, M.H., 2002. Laboratory studies on the LD50 of thewoodlouse, Porcellio scaber Latreille (Isopoda, Oniscidea) exposed to chlorpyrifos (Dursban®). Afr. J. Ecol. 40 (4), 393–395. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.0141-6707.2002.00389.x.
- 749 OECD, 2015. Guideline for testing of chemicals. Draft updated TG 222. earthworm
   750 reproduction test (Eisenia fetida/Eisenia andrei). OECD, Paris.
- Ohmstead, A.W., Leblanc, G.A., 2001. Low exposure concentration effects of methoprene on
   endocrine regulated process in the crustacean Daphnia magna. Toxical. Sci. 62, 268-273.
- 753 https://doi.org/10.1093/toxsci/62.2.268
- Palma, P., Palma, V.L., Matos, C., Fernandes, R.M., Bohn, A., Soares, A.M.V.M., Barbosa,
  I.R., 2009. Assessment of the pesticides atrazine, endosulfan sulphate and chlorpyrifos
- for juvenoid-related endocrine activity using Daphnia magna. Chemosphere 76, 335–340.
   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2009.03.059
- Paoletti, M.G., Bressan, M., 1995. Soil invertebrates as bioindicators of human disturbance.
  Crit. Rev. Plant Sci. 15 (1), 21–62. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/07352689609701935
- 760 Pelosi, C., Barot, S., Capowiez, Y., Hedde, M., Vandenbulcke, F., 2014. Pesticides and
- 761 earthworms. A review. Agron. Sustain. Dev. 34, 199–228. doi 10.1007/s13593-013-0151-z
- Robinson, R.A., Sutherland W.J., 2002. Post-war changes in arable farming and biodiversity
  in Great Britain. J. Appl. Ecol. 39: 157–176. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.13652664.2002.00695.x
- Romano, N., Zeng, C., 2006. The effects of salinity on the survival, growth and hemolymph
  osmolality of early juvenile blue swimmer crabs, *Portunus pelagicus*. Aquac., 260, 151–
  162. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2006.06.019
- Saline, G., 2012. Bio surveillance humaine, biomarqueurs et bio surveillance
  environnementale. Annales Pharmaceutiques Française. 70, 199–203.
- 770 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pharma.2012.03.004
- Sánchez-Bayo, F., 2011. Impacts of agricultural pesticides on terrestrial ecosystems.
  Ecological Impacts of Toxic Chemicals, 63–87.
  https://doi.org/10.2174/978160805121211101010063
- Santos, M.J.G., Ferreira, N.G.C., Soares, A.M.V.M., Loureiro, S., 2010. Toxic effects
  ofmolluscicidal baits to the terrestrial isopod Porcellionides pruinosus (Brandt, 1833). J
  Soils Sediments 10,1335–43. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11368-010-0246-y

- Sepčić, K., Sabotič, J., Ohm, R.A., Drobne, D., Kokalj, A.J., 2019. First evidence of
  cholinesteraselike activity in Basidiomycota. PLoS One 14 (4).
- 779 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0216077.
- Singh, D.S., Alkins-Koo, M., Rostant, L.V., Mohammed, A., 2021. Sublethal effects of malathion insecticide on growth of the freshwater crab *Poppiana dentata* (Randall, 1840)
  (Decapoda: Trichodactylidae). Nauplius, 29, e2021030. https://doi.org/10.1590/2358
  2936e2021030
- Steel, C.G.H., 1982. Stages of the intermoult cycle in the terrestrial isopod *Oniscus asellus*and their relation to biphasic cuticle secretion. Can. J. Zoo. 60, 429 –437.
  https://doi.org/10.1139/z82-058
- 787 Taylor, L.J., 2020. Assessment of the Effects of Agricultural Pesticide Chlorpyrifos on
  788 American Lobster Larvae (*Homarus americanus*). Thesis : University of Prince Edward
  789 Island. 63–71.
- Ubaid ur Rahman, H., Asghar, W., Nazir, W., Sandhu, M.A., Ahmed, A., Khalid, N., 2020. A
  comprehensive review on chlorpyrifos toxicity with special reference to endocrine
  disruption: Evidence of mechanisms, exposures and mitigation strategies. Sci. Total
  Environ. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.142649
- Venkateswara Rao, J., Surya Pavan, Y., Madhavendra, S.S., 2003. Toxic effects of
  chlorpyrifos on morphology and acetylcholinesterase activity in the earthworm, Eisenia
  foetida. Ecotoxicol. Environ; Saf. 54(3), 296–301. doi: 10.1016/s0147-6513(02)00013-1
- Volz, D.C., Wirth,E.F., Fulton, M.H., Scott, G.I., Strozier, E., Block, D.S., Ferry, J.L., Walse,
  S.S., Chandler, G.T., 2003. Effects of Fipronil and Chlorpyrifos on Endocrine-Related
  Endpoints in Female Grass Shrimp (*Palaemonetes pugio*). Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.
  71, 497–503. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00128-003-8920-z
- Wang, J.H., Zhu, L.S., Liu, W., Wang, J., Xie, H., 2012. Biochemical responses of earthworm (Eisenia foetida) to the pesticides chlorpyrifos and fenvalerate. Toxicol. Mech. Methods, 22(3), 236–241. https://doi.org/10.3109/15376516.2011.640718
- Xing, H.J., Chen, J.Q., Peng, M.Q., Wang, Z.L., Liu, F., Li, S., Teng, X.H., 2019.
  Identification of signal pathways for immunotoxicity in the spleen of common carp
- exposed to chlorpyrifos. Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf. 182, 109464.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2019.109464
- Zhang, J.Y., Liu, L.L., Ren, L., Feng, W.M., Lv, P., Wu, W., Yan, Y.C., 2017. The single and
  joint toxicity effects of chlorpyrifos and beta-cypermethrin in zebrafish (Danio rerio) early
  life stages. J. Hazard Mater. 334, 121–131. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2017.03.055
- Zhou, S.P., Duan, C.Q., Fu, H., Chen, Y.H., Wang, X.H., Yu, Z.F., 2007. Toxicity assessment
  for chlorpyrifos-contaminated soil with three different earthworm test methods. J. Environ.
  Sci.19, 854–858. https://doi.org/10.1016/s1001-0742(07)60142-9
- Zidar, P., Drobne, D., Štrus, J.,1998. Determination of moult stage of *Porcellio scaber*(Isopoda) for routine use. Crustaceana. 71, 646–654.

## Zou, E., 2020. Invisible endocrine disruption and its mechanisms: A current review. Gen. Comp. Endocrinol. 293, 113470. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygcen.2020.113470 Highlights Exposure to chlorpyrifos delayed moulting in Armadillo officinalis. •

#### Chlorpyrifos affected the moulting frequency in Armadillo officinalis. 845 • 846 Exposure to chlorpyrifos extended premoult and ecdysis stages in Armadillo 847 • 848 officinalis. 849 Chlorpyrifos reduced intermoult duration in Armadillo officinalis. 850 • 851 852 Chlorpyrifos impaired growth by decreasing weight gain, cuticle length gain and the • 853 specific growth rate of Armadillo officinalis. 854 855 856