

### Targeting selectively oxytocin receptor signalling efficiently improves social interaction in Fmr1 KO mice

Caroline Gora, Nicolas Azzopardi, Lucile Drobecq, Emmanuel Pecnard, Patrick Schnider, Pascale David-Pierson, Romain Yvinec, Christophe Grundschober, Lucie P. Pellissier

#### ▶ To cite this version:

Caroline Gora, Nicolas Azzopardi, Lucile Drobecq, Emmanuel Pecnard, Patrick Schnider, et al.. Targeting selectively oxytocin receptor signalling efficiently improves social interaction in Fmr1 KO mice. 2024. hal-04740514

### HAL Id: hal-04740514 https://hal.science/hal-04740514v1

Preprint submitted on 16 Oct 2024

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

1	Title: Targeting selectively oxytocin receptor signalling efficiently improves social
2	interaction in <i>Fmr1</i> KO mice
3	
4	Running title: Selective OTR agonists restore sociability in Fmr1 KO mice
5	
6	Caroline GORA <sup>1#*</sup> , Nicolas AZZOPARDI <sup>1#</sup> , Lucile DROBECQ <sup>1</sup> , Emmanuel PECNARD <sup>1</sup> , Patrick
7	SCHNIDER <sup>2</sup> , Pascale DAVID-PIERSON <sup>3</sup> , Romain YVINEC <sup>1,4</sup> , Christophe GRUNDSCHOBER <sup>5</sup> , and
8	Lucie P. PELLISSIER <sup>1</sup> *
9	
10	<sup>1</sup> INRAE, CNRS, Université de Tours, PRC, 37380, Nouzilly, France
11	<sup>2</sup> Roche Pharma Research and Early Development, Therapeutic Modalities, Roche Innovation
12	Center Basel, F. Hoffmann-La Roche AG, Basel, Switzerland
13	<sup>3</sup> Roche Pharma Research and Early Development, Pharmaceutical Science, Roche Innovation
14	Center Basel, F. Hoffmann-La Roche AG, Basel, Switzerland
15	<sup>4</sup> Université Paris-Saclay, Inria, Centre Inria de Saclay, 91120, Palaiseau, France
16	<sup>5</sup> Roche Pharma Research and Early Development, Neuroscience Discovery, Roche Innovation
17	Center Basel, F. Hoffmann-La Roche AG, Basel, Switzerland
18	
19	*authors contributed equally
20	*corresponding authors: Caroline Gora and Lucie P. Pellissier, PhD, Team biology of GPCR
21	Signalling systems (BIOS), INRAE, CNRS, Université de Tours, PRC, 37380, Nouzilly, France.
22	Phone: +33 4 47 42 79 62. Emails: caroline.gora@inrae.fr and lucie.pellissier@inrae.fr
23	
24	Word count: 3570/4000 (excluding abstract, methods, references and figure legends)

25

#### 26

#### 27 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Mouse breeding and care was performed at INRAE Animal Physiology Facility 28 29 (https://doi.org/10.15454/1.5573896321728955E12). This project has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and 30 31 innovation programme (grant agreement No. 851231). We thank Dr Pascale Crépieux and Dr 32 Eric Reiter for their advice on the manuscript. LPP and CG acknowledge the LabEx MabImprove (grant ANR-10-LABX-53-01) for the financial support in co-financing CG's PhD 33 34 fellowship. We used ChatGPT, developed by OpenAI, for assistance with English editing. The 35 conducted research was not preregistered with an analysis plan in an independent, 36 institutional registry.

37

#### 38 CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

39 PDP, PS and ChG are or were employed by F. Hoffmann-La Roche AG, Basel, Switzerland.

40 The other authors declare no conflict of interest.

41

#### 42 BULLET POINT SUMMARY

#### 43 What is already known

- Drugs, including OT and AVP, are inefficient to improve social impairments in
   individuals with autism
- Targeting OTR and V<sub>1A</sub> receptors remains the most relevant option for the
   management of autism.
- 48 What does this study add
- Selective OTR agonists biased towards Gaq signalling improve sociability in *Fmr1* KO
- 50 mice.
- The V<sub>1A</sub> antagonist RO6893074 is not sufficient to improve sociability in *Fmr1* KO mice.

#### 52 What is the clinical significance

Selective Gαq-biased OTR agonists represent a promising strategy for the
 management of autism.

55

56 ABSTRACT

71

57 <u>Background and Purpose</u>: No drugs targeting the core social features of autism spectrum 58 disorder (ASD) have been approved. Although clinical trials with oxytocin (OT) and vasopressin 59 (AVP) have yielded mixed results, targeting their receptors remains the most promising 60 pharmacological strategy for addressing social impairments in ASD. This study aims to identify 61 which receptors and signalling pathways within this family can sustainably improve social 62 impairments.

63 **Experimental Approach:** We used dose-response and kinetic analyses, along with 64 mathematical modelling, to evaluate OT, AVP, their homologs, and novel synthetic ligands on 65 G protein coupling,  $\beta$ -arrestins recruitment, and internalisation of mouse oxytocin (OTR) and vasopressin (V<sub>1A</sub>, V<sub>1B</sub>, V<sub>2</sub>) receptors in Neuro-2a cells. We tested acute and subchronic 66 67 administration of OTR agonists and the novel V<sub>1A</sub> receptor antagonist, alongside OT and AVP, 68 for their effects on social interaction in *Fmr1* KO mice, a model exhibiting ASD-like features. 69 Key Results: While OT, AVP and most compounds were non-selective across the four 70 receptors, the OTR agonists TGOT or RO6958375 and the V<sub>1A</sub> antagonist RO6893074 were

72 KO mice while showing minimal effects in wild-type mice. In contrast, OT, AVP or RO6893074

selective. TGOT or RO6958375, favouring  $G\alpha_q$  signalling, enhanced social interactions in *Fmr1* 

73 exhibited limited efficacy in *Fmr1* KO mice.

Conclusion and Implications: Selective OTR agonists, unlike OT and AVP, effectively improved
 social impairments in *Fmr1* KO mice after acute and subchronic treatment. These findings

79	Keywords (3-7 words): oxytocin receptors, vasopressin receptors, G protein-coupled
80	receptors, signalling pathways, autism spectrum disorder, Fragile X syndrome, social
81	interaction

#### 82 INTRODUCTION

83 Autism spectrum disorder (ASD) is a neurodevelopmental condition characterised by 84 impairments in social communication and interaction, along with stereotyped or repetitive 85 behaviours (American Psychiatric Association, 2013). It affects approximately 1% of the global 86 population (Zeidan et al., 2022). Recent genome-wide association studies have identified 87 hundreds of candidate genes (SFARI Gene), implicating convergent neurobiological 88 mechanisms such as gene expression regulation and neuronal communication, signalling or 89 plasticity (De Rubeis et al., 2014; Satterstrom et al., 2020; Pintacuda et al., 2023). Fragile X 90 syndrome, a monogenic disorder frequently associated with ASD and intellectual disability, 91 results from the loss of FMRP expression (Pieretti et al., 1991; Verheij et al., 1993). To date, 92 no effective pharmacological options targeting core social features have been approved for 93 individuals with ASD. Placebo effects, lack of efficacy, and the heterogeneity of patients within 94 the spectrum have prevented success in phase 3 clinical trials.

95 G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs), are targeted by around 30% of approved drugs (Hauser 96 et al., 2017; Alexander et al., 2021) and are key regulators of downstream signalling that are 97 dysregulated in ASD (De Rubeis et al., 2014; Satterstrom et al., 2020; Pintacuda et al., 2023). 98 Over 200 pathogenic variants in 26 GPCR genes have been associated with ASD (SFARI Gene, 99 Annamneedi et al., 2023). Thus, GPCRs have emerged as robust and specific therapeutic 100 targets for ASD. Among them, oxytocin (OT) or vasopressin (AVP) bind to oxytocin (OTR) and 101 vasopressin (V<sub>1A</sub> and V<sub>1B</sub>) receptors in the brain, modulating social behaviours (Busnelli et al., 102 2013; Quintana et al., 2019; Rigney et al., 2022; Theofanopoulou et al., 2022). These receptors 103 are primarily coupled to  $G\alpha_{\alpha/11}$  proteins, leading to the activation of the IP<sub>3</sub>-Ca<sup>2+</sup> signalling 104 pathway and recruit  $\beta$ -arrestins upon ligand binding (Busnelli et al., 2013). Although results 105 have been inconsistent, some clinical trials have reported improvements in social scales and

106 repetitive behaviours following intranasal administration of OT (Bernaerts et al., 2020; 107 Annamneedi et al., 2023; Daniels et al., 2023; Guastella et al., 2023). Notably, while plasma 108 and brain concentrations of OT are not correlated (Valstad et al., 2017; Gora et al., 2024), 109 individuals with lower plasma OT levels tend to respond better to exogenous OT 110 administration (Parker et al., 2017). Furthermore, recent studies suggest that combining OT 111 administration with behavioural interventions enhances sociability more than OT alone (Ford 112 and Young, 2022; Daniels et al., 2023; Pantouli et al., 2024). Similarly intranasal AVP 113 administration was well tolerated in early trials and improved social responsiveness, 114 particularly in children with ASD and low plasma AVP concentrations (Parker et al., 2019). While administration of the V<sub>1A</sub> antagonists balovaptan or RG7713 enhanced social skills in 115 116 adults with ASD in phase 2 or exploratory clinical studies (Umbricht et al., 2017; Bolognani et 117 al., 2019), balovaptan did not show improvement over placebo in phase 3 trials (Hollander et 118 al., 2022; Jacob et al., 2022). Despite this, OTR and V<sub>1A</sub> receptors remain the most promising 119 targets to improve sociability in individuals with ASD (Annamneedi et al., 2023). Further 120 research is needed to identify the most suitable ligands, targets and downstream signalling 121 pathways.

In this study, we aim to enhance our understanding of why compounds targeting OTR and V<sub>1A</sub>
 receptors have underperformed in clinical trials. We used a systematic pharmacological
 approach to assess both existing and novel ligands, determining which ligand-receptor
 pairings are most promising *in vitro* and in *Fragile X Mental Retardation 1* knockout (*Fmr1* KO)
 mice.

127 **RESULTS** 

# Oxytocin or vasopressin act as partial agonists on mouse vasopressin or oxytocin receptors in murine Neuro-2a cells

130 To address the inconsistent results from OT and AVP clinical trials, it is essential to investigate 131 their distinct pharmacological profiles on OTR, V<sub>1A</sub>, V<sub>1B</sub> and V<sub>2</sub> receptors. We assessed their 132 kinetics and concentration-response relationships across the four murine receptors, 133 evaluating ten signalling outputs in the murine Neuro-2a neuroblastoma cell line, using BRET1 assays over a 30-min period and luminescence assay for Ca<sup>2+</sup> release (Figure 1A). Spider plots 134 illustrated that all receptors recruited miniGq, leading to intracellular Ca<sup>2+</sup> mobilisation, and 135 136 both β-arrestin-1 and -2 and internalised (using CAAX and FYVE sensors) following OT or AVP 137 stimulation (Figures 1C, S1, Table S1). As expected, V<sub>2</sub> also recruited miniGs and induced 138 cAMP production. None of the receptors recruited miniGi or reduced forskolin-induced cAMP 139 production. Overall, AVP acted as a partial agonist on OTR compared to OT, while OT was a partial agonist on V<sub>1A</sub> receptors across these assays (except for Ca<sup>2+</sup> mobilisation). OT acted as 140 141 a full agonist with lower potency than AVP on V<sub>1B</sub> and V<sub>2</sub> receptors, although it was less 142 efficient at recruiting  $\beta$ -arrestin on V<sub>2</sub> receptors (Figures 1C, S1). The pharmacological profiles 143 of OT and AVP for the four human receptors in human HEK293A cells were comparable to 144 those observed for the murine receptors (Figure S2, Table S2).

To estimate the potential role of receptor internalisation in the lack of efficacy resulting from repeated OT and AVP administration during clinical trials, we quantified the  $\beta$ -arrestin recruitment and receptor internalisation kinetics for the four receptors using mathematical modelling (Figure 1B). The model (1), which incorporates receptor internalisation,  $\beta$ -arrestin recruitment, and subsequent receptor recycling, provided the best fit for the BRET1 data (Material and Methods, Figure S3, Table S3). The parameter identifiability procedure yielded 151 robust normalised parameter estimation with small confidence intervals for predicted affinity 152  $(1/K_D)$  and for kinetic parameters,  $\beta$ -arrestin recruitment  $(k_\tau)$ , internalisation  $(k_{int})$  and 153 recycling (krec; Table S3), except for OT on the V1A receptor and AVP on OTR where the Emax 154 were not reached. We found that receptor recycling was statistically significant except for 155 AVP on OTR, and was slower than internalisation within the 30-minute period ( $k_{rec} \approx 0.1 \text{ min}^-$ 156 <sup>1</sup> and slightly higher for AVP on V<sub>2</sub> receptor; Figure 1D, Table S3). Radar plots highlighted key 157 differences in kinetic parameters, showing that OT on OTR, OT and AVP on  $V_{1B}$  and AVP on  $V_{1A}$ 158 and V<sub>2</sub> receptors shared similar parameter landscapes, and to a lesser extent, OT on V<sub>2</sub> (Figure 159 1D, Table S3). These ligand-receptor pairings exhibited comparatively higher affinity  $(1/K_D)$ , 160 recycling ( $k_{rec}$ ) and internalisation ( $k_{int}$ ) rates, along with lower  $\beta$ -arrestin recruitment ( $k_{\tau}$ ). 161 Conversely, despite large confidence intervals, AVP on OTR and OT on V<sub>1A</sub> receptors showed 162 lower affinity  $(1/K_D)$  and internalisation  $(k_{int})$ , and higher  $\beta$ -arrestin recruitment  $(k_{\tau}, Table S3)$ . 163 Using GPCR ligand bias analyses (Kolb et al., 2022), we found that AVP was significantly biased 164 towards miniGq on the OTR compared to OT (Figure 2A, Table 1). OT and AVP did not induce 165 any other significant biased signalling on the OTR, V<sub>1A</sub> and V<sub>1B</sub> receptors, as both G protein and β-arrestin recruitment profiles were similar (Figure 2A). Overall, miniGq and β-arrestin-2
 recruitment served as discriminant BRET1 assays to identify ligand bias (Table 1).

In conclusion, while OT and AVP activated all four receptors, with distinct potency and
efficacy, they induced similar β-arrestin recruitment and receptor internalisation profiles.
Only AVP displayed a partial biased agonist profile at the OTR.

171

172 Revisiting existing and novel ligands targeting oxytocin and vasopressin receptors in Neuro173 2a cells

To identify the most specific and potentially biased ligands, we compared various agonists and antagonists targeting oxytocin and vasopressin receptors, focusing on miniGq and  $\beta$ arrestin-2 recruitment in Neuro-2a cells (Figures 2, S4, Tables 1, S1).

The bird and fish OT homologues mesotocin and isotocin recruited both miniGq and βarrestin-2 at all the four receptors, except for isotocin on the V<sub>1A</sub> receptor (Figures 2A, S4A,
Tables 1, S1). Notably, mesotocin and isotocin were biased towards miniGq on OTR, V<sub>1A</sub> and
V<sub>2</sub> receptors and on OTR, V<sub>1B</sub> and V<sub>2</sub> receptors respectively, compared to OT and AVP. The
bird AVP homologue vasotocin was more efficient than AVP on OTR, V<sub>1A</sub> and V<sub>1B</sub> and displayed
also a significant bias only for OTR (Figures 2A, S4A, Tables 1, S1).

Among synthetic peptide OTR agonists, RO6958375 (Janz et al., 2023) and TGOT (Elands et al., 1988) activated OTR, and to a lesser extent V<sub>2</sub> for RO6958375, but neither activated V<sub>1A</sub> and V<sub>1B</sub>, in contrast to OT (Figures 2B-C, Table S1). Both synthetic ligands were identified as miniGq-biased agonists on OTR, and V<sub>2</sub> receptors for RO6958375, being less potent and efficient in β-arrestin-2 recruitment (Figure 2B-C, Tables 1, S1). However, kB7-OT (Koehbach et al., 2013) and carbetocin previously described as a G $\alpha_q$ -biased OTR agonist (Passoni et al., 2016), did not activate the receptors in our experiments, except for V<sub>2</sub> with carbetocin
showing a miniGq-biased profile (Figures 2B, S4B, Table S1).

191 The OTR antagonists atosiban (Goodwin et al., 1994) and L-371,257 (Williams et al., 1995) 192 displayed additional antagonistic effects on V<sub>1A</sub> and V<sub>2</sub>, respectively (Figures 2D). In contrast, 193 the novel V<sub>1A</sub> antagonist RO6893074, related to balovaptan (Schnider et al., 2020), displayed 194 high selectivity and did not block OTR, V<sub>1B</sub> and V<sub>2</sub> receptors (Figures 2D). Furthermore, 195 RO6893074 did not inhibit a selection of 47 human receptors, channels, transporters and 196 enzymes when tested at 3  $\mu$ M (Table S4). Notably, none of the antagonists exhibited partial 197 agonist effects or any bias on the four oxytocin or vasopressin receptors when tested alone 198 (Figure S4C).

In conclusion, TGOT and RO6958375 are selective, partial miniGq-biased agonists for OTR
 while RO6893074 is a selective V<sub>1A</sub> antagonist. Therefore, these ligands, alongside the
 reference peptides OT and AVP, are of interest for assessing their effects on social interaction
 outcomes.

203

204 Oxytocin or vasopressin administration did not enhance social interactions in Fmr1 KO mice 205 To better understand the mixed outcomes of previous clinical trials, we compared the effects 206 of acute (Day 0) and subchronic (Day 7) intranasal administration of OT and AVP at doses of 207 0, 20 and 40 μg.kg<sup>-1</sup> in WT and *Fmr1* KO mice (Figures 3, S5-7, Table S5). The *Fmr1* KO mouse 208 model is well-established for studying Fragile X syndrome and ASD (Kat et al., 2022), affecting 209 oxytocin neurons (Lewis et al., 2020; Gora et al., 2024). Using the Live Mouse Tracker (de 210 Chaumont et al., 2019), which automatically assesses multiple parameters of social 211 interaction among two unfamiliar sex-, treatment- and genotype-matched mice, we

212 confirmed that *Fmr1* KO mice displayed consistent social interaction impairments compared
213 to WT mice, in both sexes (Figure S5).

214 Neither acute nor subchronic intranasal administration of OT, regardless of dose, improved 215 nose contacts and huddling behaviours — two parameters of social exploration, in Fmr1 KO 216 mice (Figures 3, S6), while acute administration of OT at 40 µg.kg<sup>-1</sup> reduced their time spent 217 in 'move in contact' (Figure S6A). In contrast, acute administration of OT at 20 µg.kg<sup>-1</sup> 218 enhanced nose contacts and reduced the time spent 'stop isolated' in WT animals, 219 irrespective of treatment duration, while the highest dose reduced stretch-attend postures 220 (SAP) — an index of anxious-like behaviours (Figures 3, S6). Acute intranasal administration 221 of AVP decreased the time spent in nose contacts and huddling behaviours in Fmr1 KO mice at 40 µg.kg<sup>-1</sup>, while subchronic intranasal administration of AVP at 20 µg.kg<sup>-1</sup> increased these 222 223 social parameters in this group (Figures 3B, S6, Table S5).

In conclusion, neither OT nor AVP efficiently improved social impairments in *Fmr1* KO mice,
while AVP affected social interaction in WT mice.

226

#### 227 Targeting selectively oxytocin receptors improves social interactions in *Fmr1* KO mice

228 To determine whether selective targeting of OTR signalling, in contrast to non-selective OT, 229 could effectively enhance social interactions in *Fmr1* KO mice, we administered selective OTR 230 peptide agonists TGOT (intranasally, at 0, 20 and 40 µg.kg<sup>-1</sup>) and RO6958375 (subcutaneously, 231 at 0, 0.03, 0.06 and 0.12 mg.kg<sup>-1</sup>) in both WT and *Fmr1* KO mice (Figures 4, S7, Table S5). Acute 232 intranasal administration of TGOT at 20 µg.kg<sup>-1</sup> increased social exploration in *Fmr1* KO mice, 233 as evidenced by enhanced nose contacts and huddling behaviours. Subchronic administration of TGOT at 40 µg.kg<sup>-1</sup> also increased nose contacts but did not affect other parameters in 234 235 *Fmr1* KO mice (Figure 4). In contrast, both acute and subchronic administration of TGOT at 236 the highest dose (40 µg.kg<sup>-1</sup>) reduced all social parameters in WT mice and increased the time 237 spent isolated and in SAP (Figures 4, S7). Both acute or subchronic administration of the OTR 238 agonist RO6958375 at low (0.03 mg.kg<sup>-1</sup>) and moderate (0.06 mg.kg<sup>-1</sup>) doses increased social 239 interaction in *Fmr1* KO mice, as well as social motivation ('social approach' and 'move in 240 contact') at the moderate dose (Figures 4). Acute administration of the moderate dose also 241 increased nose contacts and huddling behaviours in WT mice. However, subchronic exposure 242 to the highest dose (0.12 mg.kg<sup>-1</sup>) reduced social motivation and increased anxious-like 243 behaviours in WT mice (Figures 4, S7).

244 We also tested the small compound V<sub>1A</sub> antagonist RO6893074 (intraperitoneally at 0, 25, 50 245 and 100 mg.kg<sup>-1</sup>) in both WT and *Fmr1* KO mice, aiming to redirect endogenous oxytocin 246 release on OTR by blocking V<sub>1A</sub> receptors (Figures 4, S7, Table S5). Acute administration of 247 RO6893074 at 50 mg.kg<sup>-1</sup> increased social interaction in *Fmr1* KO mice (Figure 4). However, 248 administration at 100 mg.kg<sup>-1</sup> decreased locomotion, as evidenced by reduced 'move in 249 contact' and increased 'stop isolated' time, diminishing social interaction in both WT and 250 *Fmr1* KO mice (Figures 4, S7). Acute administration of the highest dose had sedative effects, 251 while subchronic administration showed variable enhancement of nose contacts and 252 huddling behaviours in WT mice (Figure 4).

The pharmacokinetics of RO6893074 following a single intraperitoneal dose of 5 mg.kg<sup>-1</sup> and 50 mg.kg<sup>-1</sup> in mice, indicated an average maximum plasma concentration (Cmax) of approximately 220 ng.mL<sup>-1</sup> and 7000 ng.mL<sup>-1</sup>, with a time to reach Cmax (Tmax) of 0.5 hours and 0.84 hours, respectively. The area under the plasma concentration-time curve from time 0 to infinity (AUC<sub>0</sub>- $\infty$ ) was 581 and 16400 ng.h.mL<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. The elimination clearance (CL = Dose/AUC) was dose-dependent. Based on free plasma exposure of 6.3% (considering the compound is not a substrate for mouse P-glycoprotein and has good permeability) and *in*  260 *vitro* V<sub>1A</sub> binding, the corresponding brain V<sub>1A</sub> receptor occupancy was estimated to be 261 approximately 49% and 96% at doses of 5 mg.kg<sup>-1</sup> and 50 mg.kg<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. 262 Administration at doses of 10 mg.kg<sup>-1</sup> and 100 mg.kg<sup>-1</sup> is expected to yield approximately 65% 263 and 98% occupancy, respectively. Biological effects of V<sub>1A</sub> blockade are expected to be 264 detectable between 70 to 90% receptor occupancy (Grimwood and Hartig, 2009). Higher 265 exposure may lead to inhibition of off-target receptors, inducing non-specific side effects, as 266 observed in WT mice (Figure 4A).

In conclusion, selective OTR agonists TGOT at 20 μg.kg<sup>-1</sup> or RO6958375 at 0.03 and 0.06
mg.kg<sup>-1</sup> restored social impairments in *Fmr1* KO mice, while TGOT at 40 μg.kg<sup>-1</sup> reduced
sociability in WT mice. RO6958375 displayed the most favourable dose effect with fewer side
effects. The V<sub>1A</sub> antagonist RO6893074 showed some beneficial effects in *Fmr1* KO mice at 50
mg.kg<sup>-1</sup>, resulting in 96% V<sub>1A</sub> receptor occupancy, although these effects were not sustained
with subchronic administration.

273 **DISCUSSION** 

274 In our study, we evaluated the efficacy, potency and selectivity of various ligands targeting 275 oxytocin and vasopressin receptors in neuronal heterologous cells. Despite similar binding 276 affinities (Busnelli et al., 2013), OT and AVP exhibited distinct efficacy and potency across their receptors. They primarily recruit miniGq and  $\beta$ -arrestins at OTR, V<sub>1A</sub>, and V<sub>1B</sub> receptors, while 277 278 the V<sub>2</sub> receptor also activates miniGs. These findings are in line with previous studies 279 (Birnbaumer, 2000; Busnelli et al., 2012, 2013; Heydenreich et al., 2022). None of the 280 receptors coupled with miniGi proteins or mediated cAMP inhibition in our assays, which 281 contrasts with previous reports for OTR or V<sub>2</sub> receptors (Strakova and Soloff, 1997; Busnelli 282 et al., 2012; Heydenreich et al., 2022). The lower affinity of the chimeric miniGi protein may 283 explain these differences and may also account for the variations observed in the calcium 284 assay. Previous studies identified an association between  $G\alpha_{i3}$  and OTR in rat myometrium 285 and CHO cells (Strakova and Soloff, 1997; Strakova et al., 1998) and described conformational 286 changes between  $G\alpha_{i/o}$  and  $G_{\beta\gamma}$  subunits or OTR fused to YFP and  $G_{\gamma}$  upon OT stimulation in 287 HEK293 cells (Busnelli et al., 2012). These findings imply that OTR could signal through  $G_{Bv}$ 288 release, as previously shown (Camps et al., 1992), although this was not detected in our 289 assays. While β-arrestin-1 and -2 recruitment was comparable across receptors and ligands, 290 we cannot exclude the possibility of distinct conformational changes between the two β-291 arrestins (Haider et al., 2022). Isotocin, mesotocin or vasotocin displayed pharmacological 292 profiles similar to OT or AVP, respectively, and did not act as biased agonists on the four 293 receptors. Surprisingly, kB7-OT and carbetocin failed to activate OTR in our assays, except for 294 carbetocin on  $V_2$  receptors, which contrasts with previous studies (Koehbach et al., 2013; 295 Passoni et al., 2016). This difference may be attributed to lower receptor expression in 296 HEK293A cells and distinct signalling assays (miniGq vs.  $G\alpha$ -G<sub>v</sub> BRET assays). We identified

297 TGOT and RO6958375 peptides as miniGq-biased agonists for OTR and V<sub>2</sub> receptors — a 298 profile previously reported only for TGOT on OTR (Busnelli et al., 2013). Given that V<sub>2</sub> is not 299 expressed in neurons or glia and does not participate in social behaviours (Ostrowski et al., 300 1992; Kato et al., 1995), the administration of these agonists in vivo primarily targets social 301 inputs regulated by OTR in the brain. Intranasal administration of OT and AVP showed limited 302 efficacy in improving social impairments in *Fmr1* KO mice. Our results align with previous 303 studies (Lindenmaier et al., 2022; Pan et al., 2022) and reflect the outcomes of clinical trials 304 where intranasal administration did not consistently yield therapeutic effects in individuals 305 with ASD (Guastella et al., 2010; Bernaerts et al., 2020; Annamneedi et al., 2023; Daniels et 306 al., 2023). The therapeutic potential of OT may be diminished by the activation of both 307 oxytocin and vasopressin receptors, despite OT activating  $V_{1A}$  receptors to a lesser extent in 308 vitro. Potential hetero-oligomerization between OTR and V<sub>1A</sub> (Terrillon et al., 2003) or V<sub>1B</sub> 309 receptors could also impact in vivo outcomes. In addition, OT has poor blood brain barrier 310 penetration even following intranasal administration, resulting in low brain exposure and high 311 interindividual variability (Leng et al., 2022).

312 Conversely, selective OTR agonists TGOT or RO6958375 improved social interactions in WT 313 and Fmr1 KO mice at low doses. This supports the hypothesis that unselective activation of 314 vasopressin receptors by endogenous OT may counteract the pro-social effects of OTR 315 activation. The combination of OT administration with behavioural interventions appears 316 more effective in reducing social deficits (Ford and Young, 2022; Daniels et al., 2023; Pantouli 317 et al., 2024). Our experimental approach, which exposed mice to repeated social interactions 318 with unfamiliar mice, may have enhanced the effectiveness of TGOT and RO6958375. 319 Longitudinal studies could provide more comprehensive insights into the persistence of 320 therapeutic effects, including post-treatment outcomes. TGOT and RO6958375 showed

distinct effects in *Fmr1* KO and WT mice. RO6958375 administration consistently improved
social interactions in *Fmr1* KO mice without affecting WT sociability, suggesting a more
favourable profile than intranasal TGOT. The different administration routes (intranasal *vs.*subcutaneous) may also influence brain exposure and reduce interindividual exposure
variability.

326 The two OTR agonists, characterised by lower  $\beta$ -arrestin recruitment efficiency and potency 327 in vitro compared to OT, may provide sustained OTR signalling with limited desensitisation 328 and internalisation, offering a crucial therapeutic advantage for chronic treatment. 329 Differences between these OTR agonists may be attributed to their distinct kinetic profiles or 330 efficacy *in vitro*. Ligands that are selectively biased towards G protein or β-arrestin pathways 331 have been shown to optimise therapeutic effects for other neurological disorders while 332 minimising potential side effects of the other signalling pathways (Park et al., 2016; Lee et al., 333 2021; Kolb et al., 2022).

In contrast, the acute selective blockade of the V<sub>1A</sub> receptor with RO6893074 was less beneficial for social approach in *Fmr1* KO mice than OTR agonists, but did acutely increase nose contact and huddling. The supratherapeutic intraperitoneal dose of 100 mg.kg<sup>-1</sup> affected locomotion, likely due to off-target effects. This suggests that blocking V<sub>1A</sub> receptors may elicit different behavioural outcomes than OTR activation. Therefore, combining RO6893074 with an OTR agonist could potentially enhance therapeutic outcomes for social features.

Targeting the OTR with low doses of selective agonists improves social interactions in *Fmr1* KO mice, while eliciting only limited side effects in WT mice. These findings open new avenues for developing OTR-targeted therapies for ASD. Although challenging, the development of OTR positive allosteric modulators enhancing OTR signalling in brain regions where OT is endogenously released holds promise. Additionally,  $G\alpha_{q/11}$ - or  $\beta$ -arrestin-biased agonists that

345 induce signalling bias towards specific pathways and limit side effects may be effective 346 therapies for ASD. Understanding the precise contributions of each receptor to social 347 interaction, as well as their expression levels and the role of peptides remains crucial. Our 348 study offers new insights into OTR activation and V<sub>1A</sub> inhibition on sociability. Future research 349 should investigate the effects of selective V<sub>1A</sub> agonists (Andrés et al., 2002; Marir et al., 2013) 350 or the OTR antagonist atosiban, as well as the role of  $V_{1B}$  receptors, which have more 351 restricted expression in the brain (Young et al., 2006; Stevenson and Caldwell, 2012), to 352 elucidate their contributions. A limitation of this study is the lack of direct measurement of 353 mouse brain exposure for the tested compounds. This data would provide more accurate 354 estimates of brain receptor occupancy and the relative contributions of G-protein signalling 355 versus  $\beta$ -arrestin recruitment.

While our study focused on social features, assessing effects on stereotyped behaviours, cognitive flexibility or anxiety would provide a more comprehensive evaluation of treatment efficacy. Testing OTR agonists in mouse models using a molecular stratification approach based on specific molecular markers across models mimicking the autism spectrum (Gora et al., 2024), may enhance translatability and facilitate the development of personalised medicines for individuals with ASD.

#### 362 MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### 363 Compounds

364 For *in vitro* assays, drug powders of oxytocin (OT; 1910, Tocris Bioscience<sup>™</sup>, UK), (Arg<sup>8</sup>)-365 vasopressin (AVP; 2935, Tocris Bioscience<sup>™</sup>, UK), (Butyryl<sup>1</sup>,Tyr(Me)<sup>2</sup>)-1-Carbaoxytocin 366 (carbetocin; 4040269, Bachem, Switzerland), (Ser<sup>4</sup>,Ile<sup>8</sup>)-oxytocin (isotocin; 4030890, Bachem, 367 Switzerland), (Ile<sup>8</sup>)-oxytocin (mesotocin; 4030888, Bachem, Switzerland), (Arg<sup>8</sup>)-vasotocin 368 (vasotocin; 4100576, Bachem, Switzerland), (Thr<sup>4</sup>,Gly<sup>7</sup>)-oxytocin (TGOT; 4013837, Bachem, 369 Switzerland), RWJ22164 (atosiban; 4065438, Bachem, Switzerland), (Gly<sup>5</sup>, Thr<sup>7</sup>, Ser<sup>9</sup>)-oxytocin 370 (kB7-OT; 4144391, Bachem, Switzerland), L-371,257 (2410, Tocris Bioscience™, UK), 371 RO6958375 OTR peptide agonist (c[Gly-Tyr-Ile-GIn-Asn-Glu]-trans-4-fluoro-Pro-Leu-Gly-NH<sub>2</sub>, 372 Roche pharmaceutical, Switzerland) (Janz et al., 2023) and RO6893074 V<sub>1A</sub> chemical 373 antagonist (trans-2-(4-(4-(4-chlorophenyl)-5-methyl-4H-1,2,4-triazol-3-374 yl)cyclohexyloxy)pyridine, Roche pharmaceutical, Switzerland) were dissolved at 10<sup>-2</sup> M in 375 100% DMSO (20-139, Sigma-Aldrich, USA), aliquoted and stored at -20°C until further use. On 376 the testing day, all compounds were diluted in PBS 1X + 10 mM HEPES (CSTHEP00-0P, Eurobio, 377 France) at 4°C and tested alone. In addition for their antagonist effects, atosiban, L-371,257 378 and RO6893074 V<sub>1A</sub> antagonists were diluted at EC<sub>80</sub> (concentration reaching 80% of maximal 379 efficacy) in  $10^{-6}$  M of OT for OTR or in  $10^{-6}$  M of AVP for V<sub>1A</sub> and V<sub>1B</sub> or  $10^{-8}$  M for V<sub>2</sub>. 380 Coelenterazine luciferase substrate (R3078C, Interchim, France) was protected from light 381 exposure and stored at 1 mM in 100% ethanol (64-17-5, Carlo Erba Reagents, France) and 382 then diluted to 5  $\mu$ M final in PBS 1X.

For *in vivo* assays, OT, AVP and TGOT were diluted at 20 and 40 μg.kg<sup>-1</sup> in NaCl 0.9%
(190/12604022/1021, Braun, Germany), corresponding to low and moderate doses (0.03 and
0.06 IU) at 4°C and stored in aliquot for daily use at -20°C (vehicle: NaCl 0.9%). RO6958375

and RO6893074 compounds were formulated at Roche Pharmaceutical at 0.03, 0.06 and 0.12 mg.kg<sup>-1</sup> in PBS 1X for subcutaneous administration of RO6958375 OTR peptide agonist (vehicle: PBS 1X), and at 25, 50 and 100 mg.kg<sup>-1</sup> in 0.1% polysorbate Tween-80, 0.21% citric acid monohydrate, 0.8% sodium chloride, sodium hydroxide 1N at pH 7 diluted in water for intraperitoneal injection of RO6893074 V<sub>1A</sub> small molecule antagonist (vehicle: 0.1% polysorbate Tween-80, 0.21% citric acid monohydrate, 0.8% sodium chloride, sodium hydroxide 1N at pH 7) and stored in aliquot for daily use at 4°C until further use.

393

#### 394 *Cell culture*

395 Neuro-2a mouse neuroblastoma (Neuro-2a; CCL-131<sup>™</sup>, RRID:CVCL 0470, ATCC, USA) cells 396 were regularly tested for negative mycoplasma contamination were cultured in Eagle's 397 Minimal Essential Medium (EMEM; CM1MEM40-01, Eurobio, France) supplemented with 398 10% (v/v) foetal bovine serum (CVFSVF00-01, Eurobio, France), 1% penicillin/streptomycin 399 (100 U.mL<sup>-1</sup>, 100  $\mu$ g.mL<sup>-1</sup>, respectively; 15140-122, Eurobio, France) and 1% L-glutamine (2) 400 mM; CSTGLU00-0U, Eurobio, France), and maintained at 37°C with 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. When reaching 401 90% confluency, cells were washed with PBS 1X (CS1PBS01K-BP, Eurobio, France) followed by 402 trypsin (0.5 g.L<sup>-1</sup>, L-EDTA 0.2 g.L<sup>-1</sup>, without phenol red; CEZTDA00-0U, Eurobio, France) 403 treatment. After cell counting, cells were transiently transfected in suspension using the

404 Metafectene Pro transfection reagent (T040-5.0, BioNTex Laboratories, Germany) according
405 to the manufacturer's protocol.

406

# 407 G protein, β-arrestin recruitment and internalisation using Bioluminescence Resonance 408 Energy Transfer (BRET) assays

409 In 96-well plates (30196, SPL Life Sciences, Korea), around 40,000 Neuro-2a cells per well were 410 transiently co-transfected with the mouse oxytocin receptor cDNA Oxtr or mouse vasopressin 411 Avpr1a, Avpr1b subcloned using HindIII and XhoI in pcDNA3 plasmid or with the Avpr2 412 receptors in pcDNA3.1(+) plasmid, fused in their C-terminus to the BRET donor Renilla 413 reniformis luciferase (RLuc8) at 50 ng/well, along with BRET acceptor sensors containing a 414 yellow fluorescent proteins (YFP, Venus or YPET) at 50 ng/well each. For the miniG protein 415 recruitment, we used the pNluc-C1 vector encoding the miniG proteins fused in their N-416 terminus to the Nuclear Export Signal (NES) to avoid their transport to the nucleus; NES-417 Venus-mG<sub>s</sub>, NES-Venus-mG<sub>i</sub> or NES-Venus-mG<sub>q</sub> (provided by Pr Nevin A. Lambert, Augusta, 418 GA, USA) (PMID: 29523687), namely miniGs, miniGi and miniGq, respectively. MiniGs proteins 419 are engineered  $G\alpha$  subunits with modifications such as the exclusion of the  $\alpha$ -helical domain, 420 a shortened N-terminus which removes both membrane anchors and the G<sub>By</sub>-binding surface, 421 specific mutations to enhance in vitro protein stability and a mutation in the C-terminal 5-422 helix to stabilise receptor-miniG complexes even in the presence of guanine nucleotides. For 423 miniGq and miniGi, the five C-terminal amino acids of miniGs, which form the main receptor 424 binding site and are key determinants of coupling specificity, were replaced with those of  $G\alpha_q$ , 425 necessitating three mutations. For miniGi, 9 mutations were done into the G $\alpha$ 5 helix to 426 change the coupling specificity from  $G\alpha_s$  to  $G\alpha_i$  (Nehmé et al., 2017; Wan et al., 2018). For  $\beta$ -427 arrestin recruitment, we used YPET- $\beta$ -arrestin-1 or YPET- $\beta$ -arrestin-2 (provided by Dr M.G.

428 Scott, Paris, France) (Kamal et al., 2009) encoded in pcDNA3.1 plasmid. For internalisation 429 experiments, the yellow fluorescent protein YPET was fused to the CAAX box of KRas protein 430 and the FYVE domain of endofin, according to (Lee et al., 2005; Namkung et al., 2016), namely 431 YPET-CAAX addressed at the plasma membrane or YPET-FYVE addressed at the endosome 432 membrane, respectively. Their sequences were synthesised at Twist Bioscience and 433 subcloned in pcDNA3.1 plasmid by recombination between HindIII and XhoI restriction sites. 434 For cAMP assay, pcDNA3.1(+) encoding untagged murine receptors and the CAMYEL 435 (provided by Dr Lily Jiang, Texas, USA) (Jiang et al., 2007), a cAMP EPAC sensor (YFP-Epac-436 RLuc8) were co-transfected at 50 ng/well.

437 48 hours after transfection, Neuro-2a cells were starved for 4 hours in phenol red-free DMEM 438 (21063-029, Gibco, France) at 37°C in 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. Cells incubated with coelenterazine substrate 439 (5  $\mu$ M) were first measured for 5 minutes at 480 ± 20 nm and 530 ± 25 nm (Mithras2 LB 943 440 with the Mikrowin 2010 software, Berthold Technologies GmbH & Co., Germany). Then, cells 441 were rapidly stimulated with the different agonists at 3.10<sup>-5</sup> M, 10<sup>-5</sup> M, 10<sup>-6</sup> M, 10<sup>-7</sup> M, 10<sup>-8</sup> M, 442 10<sup>-9</sup> M, 10<sup>-10</sup> M diluted in PBS 1X and HEPES 10 mM final, or PBS 1X and HEPES 10 mM alone 443 for the baseline, then 96-well plates were recorded for 30 minutes. When testing the effect 444 of the antagonists, they were tested at the same concentration as agonists and in presence 445 of OT at EC<sub>80</sub> for OTR or AVP at EC<sub>80</sub> for the V<sub>1A</sub>, V<sub>1B</sub> or V<sub>2</sub> receptors. For cAMP production and 446 inhibition BRET assays, compounds were tested in absence or presence of forskolin at 5.10<sup>-6</sup> 447 M (F6886, Sigma-Aldrich, USA), respectively. Each condition was performed in triplicate

within the same 96-well plate, and all experiments were independently repeated at leastthree times.

450

#### 451 Calcium mobilisation assays using luminescence

452 In 6-well plates (353046, Corning, USA), around 400,000 Neuro-2a cells per well were plated 453 24 hours at 37°C with 5% CO<sub>2</sub> before transfection. Then, cells were transiently transfected 454 with the untagged mouse oxytocin (Oxtr) or vasopressin (Avpr1a, Avpr1b or Avpr2) receptor 455 cDNAs subcloned using HindIII and XhoI in the pcDNA3.1(+) plasmid at  $1 \mu g/well$  and pPD16 456 vector encoding the calcium-sensitive bioluminescent protein aequorin from the jellyfish 457 Aequorea victoria jellyfish (GFP-aequorin provided by Dr Bertrand Lambolez, Paris, France) at 458 2 μg per well (Drobac et al., 2010). 48 hours after transfection, Neuro-2a cells were Ca<sup>2+</sup>-459 deprived for 4 hours at 37°C with 5% CO<sub>2</sub> using the Hank's Balanced Salt Solution (CS1SSH22-460 OU HBSS; Eurobio, France) with no calcium or phenol red and containing 5 μM coelenterazine 461 in the dark. Subsequently, cells were harvested and centrifuged at 500g for 5 minutes. Cell pellets were resuspended in around 2.10<sup>6</sup> cells.mL<sup>-1</sup> of HBSS with 1.26 mM of calcium 462 463 (CS1SSH23-0U; Eurobio, France) and 5 µM coelenterazine, and incubated for one hour at 37°C 464 in the dark. OT and AVP ligands were diluted in HBSS with 1.26 mM of calcium across at 10<sup>-</sup> <sup>5</sup>M, 10<sup>-6</sup>M, 10<sup>-7</sup>M, 10<sup>-8</sup>M, 10<sup>-9</sup>M, 10<sup>-10</sup>M, 10<sup>-11</sup>M, 10<sup>-12</sup>M final concentrations on ice, and HBSS 465 466 with 1.26 mM of calcium alone for baseline. Ten µL per well of each concentration of ligand 467 (5X) was loaded in duplicate into a 384-well plate and 40 µL of cells (around 40,000 cells per well) were injected into each well. Luminescence of calcium-bound aequorin signals wascontinuously measured for 25 seconds.

470

#### 471 In vitro data modelling and analysis

#### 472 <u>BRET and calcium assays</u>

473 The 530 nm/480 nm BRET ratios were normalised to the initial unstimulated BRET ratio and 474 then computed into "Induced BRET" values by subtracting the control (PBS) ratio at each 475 measurement time point. Then, the area under curves (AUC) were estimated over the 30-476 minute induced BRET measurements or directly for calcium assays over the 25-second 477 measurements for each receptor, ligand and concentration combination to study the 478 concentration-response relationship. Concentration-response curves were modelled with a 479 four-parameters log-logistic equation, with E<sub>min</sub> (minimal response), E<sub>max</sub> (maximal response), 480  $EC_{50}$  (concentration to reach  $E_{max}/2$ ) and h (sigmoidicity coefficient). Concentration-response

- 481 curve values were then normalised to the E<sub>max</sub> value of the reference ligand for each receptor
- 482 (i.e. OT for OTR and AVP for  $V_{1A}$ ,  $V_{1B}$  and  $V_2$  receptors).
- 483 Ligand bias calculation has been done according to GPCR ligand bias guidelines (Kolb et al.,
- 484 2022). For each normalised dose-response curve, we computed a transduction coefficient
- 485  $\log(\tau/K_A)$  defined by the following equation:

response = 
$$\frac{E_{\rm m}}{1 + \exp\left\{n \ln\left[1 + \frac{\exp(\beta_1)}{[{\rm A}]}\right] - n\beta_2\right\}}$$

487 Where  $\beta_1 = \ln(K_A)$  and  $\beta_2 = \ln(\tau)$  and  $\log(\tau/K_A) = (\beta_2 - \beta_1)/\ln(10)$ .

488 This composite parameter reflects the affinity and efficacy of the ligand-receptor interaction

- 489 and the induction of downstream signalling pathways measured by each assay (Kenakin et al.,
- 490 2012).
- 491

486

#### 492 <u>Mathematical modelling of β-arrestin-2 recruitment and Internalisation assays</u>

- 493 In this section, we detail the kinetic analysis on  $\beta$ -arrestin-2, FYVE and CAAX induced BRET
- 494 signals. This methodology was independently applied for each murine receptor OTR, V<sub>1A</sub>, V<sub>1B</sub>
- 495 and V<sub>2</sub>, and each endogenous ligand OT and AVP.
- 496 I) Kinetic model

497 We adapted and extended the methodology presented in (Hoare et al., 2020). More precisely,

- 498 we used the following kinetic reaction network model :
- 499 (1)  $R \rightarrow RAB \rightarrow RI \rightarrow R$

where *R* denotes the unbound membrane receptor, *RAB* denotes the receptor-agonist-βarrestin-2 complex, and *RI* denotes the internalised receptor. We assume fast binding kinetics between the agonist and the receptor and that the agonist is in excess compared to the receptor (Hoare et al., 2020). We further assume that the free β-arrestin-2 is in excess 504 compared to the receptor-agonist complex, thus its depletion can be neglected. As a result, 505 the model **(1)** is a first-order kinetic reaction network whose dynamics is represented by the 506 linear three-dimensional ordinary differential equation (ODE):

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}R &= -k_{\tau}\frac{A}{A+K_{D}}R + k_{rec}RI\\ \frac{d}{dt}RAB &= k_{\tau}\frac{A}{A+K_{D}}R - k_{int}RAB\\ \frac{d}{dt}RI &= k_{int}RAB - k_{rec}RI \end{aligned}$$

where *A* (mol) is the agonist concentration,  $K_D$  (mol) is the binding affinity of the agonist and the receptor,  $k_{\tau}$  (min<sup>-1</sup>) is β-arrestin-2 recruitment rate,  $k_{int}$  (min<sup>-1</sup>) is the internalisation rate and  $k_{rec}$  (min<sup>-1</sup>) is the recycling rate. The initial condition associated with system (2) is:

511  $R(0)=R_{tot}, RAB(0)=0, RI(0)=0,$ 

507

(2)

- 512 where  $R_{tot}$  (mol) is the total quantity of receptors.
- 513 *II) Model fitting and parameter estimation*

514 The CAAX induced BRET ratio was taken as proportional to the loss of receptors at plasma

- 515 membrane, R- $R_{tot}$ , the  $\beta$ -arrestin-2 induced BRET ratio was taken as proportional to the
- 516 quantity of receptor-agonist-β-arrestin-2 complex *RAB*, and the FYVE induced BRET ratio is

517 taken proportional to the quantity of internalised receptor *RI*, with standard gaussian
518 measurement errors with unknown variance, as follows :

519 (3) CAAXinducedBRETratio = 
$$y_1 = k_{bret,1} \cdot (R - R_{tot}) + \varepsilon_{0,\sigma 1}$$

520 
$$Barr_2$$
 induced BRETratio =  $y_2 = k_{bret,2} \cdot RAB + \varepsilon_{0,\sigma 2}$ 

521 FyveinducedBRETratio = 
$$y_1 = k_{bret,3} \cdot RI + \varepsilon_{0,\sigma3}$$

522 where  $k_{bret,1}$ ,  $k_{bret,2}$  and  $k_{bret,3}$  are proportional constant, and  $\varepsilon_{0,\sigma_1}$ ,  $\varepsilon_{0,\sigma_2}$  and  $\varepsilon_{0,\sigma_3}$  are standard

523 centred standard gaussian distribution of variances  $\sigma_1$ ,  $\sigma_2$  and  $\sigma_3$ , respectively.

524 As detailed in (Raue et al., 2013), model adimentionalisation and reparameterisation is a

- 525 prerequisite for a successful and meaningful estimation. To that, we divided each variable by
- 526 *R<sub>tot</sub>* and transformed the system (2) into the following equivalent system:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}x_1 &= k_{int} \left( -\overline{k_\tau} \frac{A}{A + K_D} x_1 + \overline{k_{rec}} x_3 \right) \\ \frac{d}{dt}x_2 &= k_{int} \left( \overline{k_\tau} \frac{A}{A + K_D} x_1 - x_2 \right) \\ \frac{d}{dt}x_3 &= k_{int} \left( x_2 - \overline{k_{rec}} x_3 \right) \\ x_1(0) &= 1, \quad x_2(0) = 0, \quad x_3(0) = 0 \\ y_1 &= \overline{k_{bret,1}} (x_1 - 1) + \varepsilon_{0,\sigma_1} \\ y_2 &= \overline{k_{bret,2}} x_2 + \varepsilon_{0,\sigma_2} \\ y_3 &= \overline{k_{bret,3}} x_3 + \varepsilon_{0,\sigma_3} \end{aligned}$$

528

527

(4)

where, 
$$\overline{k_{\tau}} = \frac{k_{\tau}}{k_{int}}$$
,  $\overline{k_{rec}} = \frac{k_{rec}}{k_{int}}$ ,  $\overline{k_{bret,1}} = \frac{k_{bret,1}}{R_{tot}}$ ,  $\overline{k_{bret,2}} = \frac{k_{bret,2}}{R_{tot}}$ ,  $\overline{k_{bret,3}} = \frac{k_{bret,3}}{R_{tot}}$ 

529 In the ODE system (4),  $k_{int}$  has (min<sup>-1</sup>) unit, A is the (known) agonist concentration,  $K_D$  has molar 530 unit, and all other constants are unitless. This model has a total of 10 unknown parameters 531 whose search intervals are given in Table S3.

532 We solved the system of ODE **(4)** using the *AMICI* package (Fröhlich et al., 2021) and 533 performed parameter estimation following the maximum likelihood approach. We specified 534 the parameter estimation problem following the Petab (Schmiester et al., 2021) format and 535 solved it using the pyPESTO toolbox (Schälte et al., 2023), in Python. Parameter confidence intervals were determined using the profile likelihood approach, implemented in pyPESTO. In addition, we verified that the parameter estimation problem is theoretically well-posed using the *StructuralIdentifiability* package in Julia (Dong et al., 2023), which shows that all parameters of model **(4)** are structurally identifiable given data on  $y_1$ ,  $y_2$ ,  $y_3$  for at least two agonist doses. We report in Table S3 maximum likelihood estimates with their profile-based confidence intervals.

542 *III) Model selection* 

The model fitting procedure reveals over-fitting issues for model (4) and for some of the agonist-receptor combinations, resulting in practical parameter unidentifiability and large confidence parameter intervals. Further analyses revealed large uncertainties in the parameter, suggesting that a model without recycling could equally fit the data ( $k_{rec} = 0$ ). 547 Therefore, we asked whether the following submodel could be more suitable I to describe the

548 data:

549 (5)  $R \rightarrow RAB \rightarrow RI$ 

550 Using the same methodology as in section I and II, we obtained the following reduced model:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}x_1 &= k_{int} \left( -\overline{k_\tau} \frac{A}{A + K_D} x_1 \right) \\ \frac{d}{dt}x_2 &= k_{int} \left( \overline{k_\tau} \frac{A}{A + K_D} x_1 - x_2 \right) \\ \frac{d}{dt}x_3 &= k_{int} \overline{k_{bret,3}} x_2 \\ x_1(0) &= 1, \quad x_2(0) = 0, \quad x_3(0) = 0 \\ y_1 &= \overline{k_{bret,1}} (x_1 - 1) + \varepsilon_{0,\sigma_1} \\ y_2 &= \overline{k_{bret,2}} x_2 + \varepsilon_{0,\sigma_2} \\ y_3 &= x_3 + \varepsilon_{0,\sigma_3} \end{aligned}$$

552 where,  $\overline{k_{\tau}} = \frac{k_{\tau}}{k_{int}}$ ,  $\overline{k_{bret,1}} = \frac{k_{bret,1}}{R_{tot}}$ ,  $\overline{k_{bret,2}} = \frac{k_{bret,2}}{R_{tot}}$ ,  $\overline{k_{bret,3}} = \frac{k_{bret,3}}{R_{tot}}$ 

553 In the ODE system **(6)**,  $k_{int}$  has (min<sup>-1</sup>) unit, A is the (known) agonist concentration,  $K_D$  has 554 molar unit, and all other constants are unitless. This reduced model has a total of 9 unknown 555 parameters whose search intervals are given in Table S3.

556 We performed parameter estimation of model **(6)** using the same methodology for model **(4)**. 557 We report in Table S3 maximum likelihood estimates with their profile-based confidence 558 intervals. For each receptor-agonist combination we performed a model selection procedure 559 to select either model **(4)** or model **(6)**. We report in Table S3 standard likelihood ratio p-560 values ( $\alpha$ =0.05) and AIC and BIC criteria.

561

551

#### 562 Animals

All mouse breeding, care and experimental procedures were in accordance with the European and French Directives and approved by the local ethical committee CEEA Val de Loire N°19 and the French ministry of teaching, research and innovation (APAFIS #18035-

566 2018121213436249). Following heterozygous breeding scheme, independent cohorts of 567 *Fmr1* KO (provided by Rob Willensem (Mientjes et al., 2006)) and WT mice were generated 568 from a minimum of 3 different homozygous non-inbred couples maintained on a mixed 50%-569 50% C57BL/6J;129S2 background in the same breeding room of the animal facility. Mice were 570 outcrossed with fresh mixed backgrounds every 5-10 generations, and between them, with 571 WT mice from other lines, to prevent inbreeding and ensure consistency across independent 572 batches. This in vivo experimental model in mice replicates aspects of the human Fragile X 573 syndrome (Kat et al., 2022). Two months old naive males and females from *Fmr1* KO (n = 235, 574 107 males and 128 females) and WT (n = 188, 84 males and 104 females) animals were raised 575 in groups of 2-4 animals on a 12-hour light/dark regular cycle, with food and water ad libitum 576 and controlled temperature (21°C) and humidity (50%) in conventional health housing status, 577 exempt from any monitored viral, bacterial, mycoplasma, fungi, parasites or pathological 578 lesions, except detected mouse norovirus and helicobacter spp. Cages of WT and Fmr1 KO 579 mice were randomly allocated by an experienced experimenter to a treatment to obtain at 580 least 8 animals (4 males and 4 females) per group.

### 581 Mouse intraperitoneal single dose pharmacokinetic with RO6893074 and V<sub>1A</sub> Receptor 582 occupancy calculation

583 An exploratory single-dose pharmacokinetic study in three C57BL/6J male mice (Charles River) 584 was conducted with RO6893074 V<sub>1A</sub> small molecule antagonist following intraperitoneal 585 administration at the doses of 5 mg.kg<sup>-1</sup> and 50 mg.kg<sup>-1</sup>. The compound was formulated as a 586 microsuspension in HPMC / DOSS (1.25% / 0.1%) / Parabens, with a pH of 6. Blood samples 587 were collected at 6 time points: 0.25, 0.5, 1, 3, 5, 7 hours after administration and the 588 compound was detected using mass spectrometry. Pharmacokinetic parameters were 589 derived from the plasma concentration versus time profile.

590 Using *in vitro* plasma free fraction (fup = 6.3%) and *in vitro*  $V_{1A}$  binding data (mouse  $V_{1A}$  Ki = 591 39 nM, n = 3), the following Emax equation was used to calculate receptor occupancy at Cmax 592 (assuming competitive inhibition):

Receptor occupancy = 
$$B \max \times \frac{Cp \times fup}{Ki + Cp \times fup} = B \max \times \frac{\frac{Cp \times fup}{Ki}}{1 + \frac{Cp \times fup}{Ki}}$$
  
593

594 where Bmax is the maximum binding, assumed to be 100%, Cp is the plasma concentration of the 595 compound, fup is the free fraction in plasma, and Ki represents *in vitro* binding to the receptor.

596

#### 597 Drug administration

598 WT and Fmr1 KO mice were administered every mornings for 8 consecutive days from day 0 599 (acute) to day 7 (subchronic) with OT, AVP or TGOT (20 or 40 μg.kg<sup>-1</sup>, 0.2 mL.kg<sup>-1</sup>, intranasally, 600 15 minutes prior to behavioural tests), RO6958375 OTR agonist (0.03, 0.06 or 0.12 mg.kg<sup>-1</sup>, 10 mL.kg<sup>-1</sup>, subcutaneously, 30 minutes prior to behavioural tests) or RO6893074 V<sub>1A</sub> 601 602 antagonist (25, 50 or 100 mg.kg<sup>-1</sup>, 10 mL.kg<sup>-1</sup>, intraperitoneally, 30 minutes prior to 603 behavioural tests) based on previous studies (Peñagarikano et al., 2015; Janz et al., 2023) and 604 Roche recommendations, along with their respective vehicles (for OT, AVP or TGOT: NaCl 605 0.9%, intranasally, 0.2 mL.kg<sup>-1</sup>; for RO6958375: PBS 1x, intraperitoneally, 10 mL.kg<sup>-1</sup>; for 606 RO6893074: 0.1% polysorbate Tween-80, 0.21% citric acid monohydrate, 0.8% sodium 607 chloride, sodium hydroxide 1N at pH 7, subcutaneously, 10 mL.kg<sup>-1</sup>). For intranasal 608 administration, each drop was placed alternately to each nostril until the animal aspirated the 609 drop into its nasal cavity. As both TGOT and RO6958375 OTR agonists can activate the V<sub>2</sub> 610 receptor, we carefully monitored the mouse weight, which remained stable, for potential 611 diuretic effects of  $V_2$  receptor activation.

612

#### 613 Social interactions using the Live Mouse Tracker

614 One week before the behavioural experiments, RFID chips (APT12 PIT Tag, Biomark, USA) 615 were inserted under the skin on the lateral and ventral side of the abdomen in *Fmr1* KO and 616 WT mice under Isoflurane gas anaesthesia (FR/V/4397332 3/2021, Isoflurin<sup>®</sup>; Axience SAS, 617 France) and a Procaine local anaesthetic (FR/V/6012689 8/2013, Procamidor<sup>®</sup>; Axience SAS, 618 France) at 40  $\mu$ g/10g<sup>-1</sup> subcutaneously 10 min at the insertion site. All behavioural tests were 619 carried out, when possible, in the morning, to avoid any circadian cycle effect, in a dedicated 620 quiet room and a dim light intensity of 15 lux. Males were always tested before females to 621 prevent any sexual olfactory bias. The Live Mouse Tracker (LMT) (LMT SOURIS, Rodent 622 Phenotyping Toolkit, France (de Chaumont et al., 2019)) is a reproducible and automatic 623 tracking device that identifies in real time over 30 behavioural parameters of reciprocal social 624 interaction using constant RFID detection and an infrared-depth sensing camera. Two mice 625 matched by sex, age, genotype and treatment that had never met before were placed in the 626 LMT for 10 min at 15 lux in a transparent red Plexiglass open field (50 x 25 x 30 cm). Floors 627 were covered with a thin layer of fresh wood litter to favour normal locomotion, well-being 628 and reduced anxious-like behaviours. Social interaction tests were performed 3 days before 629 the treatment (D-3), the first day (D0) and the last day (D7) of treatment (acute vs. subchronic 630 effects). Multi-behavioural parameters were analysed, such as individual events (moving 631 isolated, stopped isolated, rearing), dyadic state events (moving in contact, following, 632 stopped in contact, contact side by side, nose-to-nose contact, nose-anogenital contact), 633 locomotion (% of time moving) and anxious-like behaviours (stretch-attend posture). SQLIte

634 databases containing the coordinates of each mouse and movies were generated for each run 635 of interaction. All individual behavioural parameters were extracted using Python scripts 636 (github.com/fdechaumont/Imt-analysis version v1.0.6). "Nose contacts" or "huddling" were 637 the sum of nose-to-nose and nose-to-anogenital region contacts, or contact side by side in 638 same or in opposite directions, respectively. For each mouse line, mice injected with vehicles 639 were used as control of those injected with the different drug compounds at D0 and D7. While 640 experimenters were not blinded to the conditions during the tests to prevent any exchange 641 of mice, scoring was conducted automatically for reciprocal social interaction by the Live 642 Mouse Tracker. All animal criteria have been reported in agreement with the ARRIVE 643 guidelines (Kilkenny et al., 2010). The SAL, PBS and VEH WT group consisted of independent 644 batches of WT mice, serving as the controls for each mouse line or treatment condition and 645 batch.

646

#### 647 Statistical analysis

648 Computation and subsequent statistical analysis were performed using R software (version 649 4.4.0). Parameter values of the concentration-effect curves were estimated by a nonlinear 650 mixed-effect model (population approach) using Monolix Suite 2024R1 (Lixoft, Antony, 651 France). Concentration-Effect curve parameters  $E_{max}$ ,  $log(EC_{50})$  and  $\Delta log(\tau/Ka)$  were compared 652 to the parameters of the receptor referent-ligand with a Student t-test, taking into account 653 the mean and standard deviation estimated by the population approach and the number of 654 experimental replicates.

No animal outliers were removed from the analysis. For the Live Mouse Tracker data analysis,
Kruskal-Wallis tests were conducted using the rstatix package (Alboukadel Kassambara,

657	2023)(R package version 0.7.2) followed by Dunn's post hoc tests. Sex differences were
658	analysed only before treatment allocation, as sample sizes were too small for comparison.
659	
660	Data availability statement
661	All <i>in vitro</i> and <i>in vivo</i> raw data, means and statistics are available in supplementary Tables.

662 Codes and configuration files are available on the research.data.gouv.fr. Movies of 663 behavioural experiments are available upon request.

664

#### 665 AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

PS and ChG provided materials and an unpublished tool compound; CG, ChG and LPP designed the experiments; CG, LD, EP and LPP performed the experiments and contributed to the data collection; CG, LD, ChG, RY, NA and LPP contributed to the interpretation of data; RY and NA performed all data integration, modelling and statistical analysis; PDP performed the brain receptor occupancy modelling; CG and LPP wrote the original drafts; CG, LD, EP, PS, PDP, ChG, RY, NA and LPP reviewed and edited the manuscript; LPP contributed to the funding acquisition, project conceptualization and supervision.

673

#### **ABBREVIATIONS**

- **ASD** autism spectrum disorders
- **AVP** Arginine vasopressin
- 677 Avpr1A mouse vasopressin V<sub>1A</sub> receptor gene
- *Avpr1B* mouse vasopressin V<sub>1B</sub> receptor gene
- *Avpr2* mouse vasopressin V<sub>2</sub> receptor gene
- **AVPR1A** human vasopressin V<sub>1A</sub> receptor gene
- 681 AVPR1B human vasopressin V<sub>1B</sub> receptor gene
- **AVPR2** human vasopressin V<sub>2</sub> receptor gene
- **BORIS** behavioural observation research interactive software
- **BRET** bioluminescence resonance energy transfer
- 685 DMEM dulbecco's modified eagle medium
- **EMEM** eagle's minimal essential medium
- **FMR1** fragility mental retardation 1
- **HBSS** hank's balanced salt solution
- 689 HEK293A human embryonic kidney 293A
- **kB7-OT** [Gly<sup>5</sup>,Thr<sup>7</sup>,Ser<sup>9</sup>]-Oxytocin
- 691 KO knockout
- 692 LMT live mouse tracker
- 693 Neuro-2a mouse neuroblastoma Neuro-2a cell line
- **OT** oxytocin
- **OTR** oxytocin receptor
- *Oxtr* mouse oxytocin receptor gene
- **OXTR** human oxytocin receptor gene

- **RLuc8** sea pansy renilla reniformis luciferase
- **SAP** stretch-attend postures
- **TGOT** [Thr4,Gly7]OT
- 701 WT wild-type

#### 702 **REFERENCES**

Alboukadel Kassambara (2023). rstatix: Pipe-Friendly Framework for Basic Statistical Tests.
Alexander, S.P., Christopoulos, A., Davenport, A.P., Kelly, E., Mathie, A., Peters, J.A., et al.

705 (2021). THE CONCISE GUIDE TO PHARMACOLOGY 2021/22: G protein-coupled receptors. Br.

706 J. Pharmacol. *178 Suppl 1*: S27–S156.

707 American Psychiatric Association (2013). Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental708 Disorders (American Psychiatric Association).

Andrés, M., Trueba, M., and Guillon, G. (2002). Pharmacological characterization of F-180:
a selective human V(1a) vasopressin receptor agonist of high affinity. Br. J. Pharmacol. *135*:
1828–1836.

Annamneedi, A., Gora, C., Dudas, A., Leray, X., Bozon, V., Crépieux, P., et al. (2023).
Towards the convergent therapeutic potential of G protein-coupled receptors in autism
spectrum disorders. Br. J. Pharmacol. bph.16216.

Bernaerts, S., Boets, B., Bosmans, G., Steyaert, J., and Alaerts, K. (2020). Behavioral effects
of multiple-dose oxytocin treatment in autism: a randomized, placebo-controlled trial with
long-term follow-up. Mol. Autism *11*: 6.

Birnbaumer, M. (2000). Vasopressin receptors. Trends Endocrinol. Metab. TEM *11*: 406–
410.

Bolognani, F., Del Valle Rubido, M., Squassante, L., Wandel, C., Derks, M., Murtagh, L., et
al. (2019). A phase 2 clinical trial of a vasopressin V1a receptor antagonist shows improved
adaptive behaviors in men with autism spectrum disorder. Sci. Transl. Med. *11*: eaat7838.

Busnelli, M., Bulgheroni, E., Manning, M., Kleinau, G., and Chini, B. (2013). Selective and
potent agonists and antagonists for investigating the role of mouse oxytocin receptors. J.
Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. *346*: 318–327.

Busnelli, M., Saulière, A., Manning, M., Bouvier, M., Galés, C., and Chini, B. (2012).
Functional selective oxytocin-derived agonists discriminate between individual G protein
family subtypes. J. Biol. Chem. 287: 3617–3629.

Camps, M., Hou, C., Sidiropoulos, D., Stock, J.B., Jakobs, K.H., and Gierschik, P. (1992).
Stimulation of phospholipase C by guanine-nucleotide-binding protein beta gamma subunits.
Eur. J. Biochem. 206: 821–831.

Chaumont, F. de, Ey, E., Torquet, N., Lagache, T., Dallongeville, S., Imbert, A., et al. (2019).
Real-time analysis of the behaviour of groups of mice via a depth-sensing camera and machine
learning. Nat. Biomed. Eng. 3: 930–942.

Daniels, N., Moerkerke, M., Steyaert, J., Bamps, A., Debbaut, E., Prinsen, J., et al. (2023).
Effects of multiple-dose intranasal oxytocin administration on social responsiveness in
children with autism: a randomized, placebo-controlled trial. Mol. Autism *14*: 16.

De Rubeis, S., He, X., Goldberg, A.P., Poultney, C.S., Samocha, K., Cicek, A.E., et al. (2014).
Synaptic, transcriptional and chromatin genes disrupted in autism. Nature *515*: 209–215.

Dong, R., Goodbrake, C., Harrington, H.A., and Pogudin, G. (2023). Differential Elimination
for Dynamical Models via Projections with Applications to Structural Identifiability. SIAM J.
Appl. Algebra Geom. 7: 194–235.

Drobac, E., Tricoire, L., Chaffotte, A.-F., Guiot, E., and Lambolez, B. (2010). Calcium imaging
in single neurons from brain slices using bioluminescent reporters. J. Neurosci. Res. 88: 695–
711.

Elands, J., Barberis, C., and Jard, S. (1988). [3H]-[Thr4,Gly7]OT: a highly selective ligand for
central and peripheral OT receptors. Am. J. Physiol. *254*: E31-38.

Ford, C.L., and Young, L.J. (2022). Refining oxytocin therapy for autism: context is key. Nat.
Rev. Neurol. *18*: 67–68.

Fröhlich, F., Weindl, D., Schälte, Y., Pathirana, D., Paszkowski, Ł., Lines, G.T., et al. (2021).
AMICI: high-performance sensitivity analysis for large ordinary differential equation models.
Bioinforma. Oxf. Engl. *37*: 3676–3677.

Goodwin, T.M., Paul, R., Silver, H., Spellacy, W., Parsons, M., Chez, R., et al. (1994). The
effect of the oxytocin antagonist atosiban on preterm uterine activity in the human. Am. J.
Obstet. Gynecol. *170*: 474–478.

- Gora, C., Dudas, A., Vaugrente, O., Drobecq, L., Pecnard, E., Lefort, G., et al. (2024).
  Deciphering autism heterogeneity: a molecular stratification approach in four mouse models.
  Transl. Psychiatry *14*: 416.
- Grimwood, S., and Hartig, P.R. (2009). Target site occupancy: emerging generalizations
  from clinical and preclinical studies. Pharmacol. Ther. *122*: 281–301.

Guastella, A.J., Boulton, K.A., Whitehouse, A.J.O., Song, Y.J., Thapa, R., Gregory, S.G., et al.
(2023). The effect of oxytocin nasal spray on social interaction in young children with autism:
a randomized clinical trial. Mol. Psychiatry 28: 834–842.

- Guastella, A.J., Einfeld, S.L., Gray, K.M., Rinehart, N.J., Tonge, B.J., Lambert, T.J., et al.
  (2010). Intranasal oxytocin improves emotion recognition for youth with autism spectrum
  disorders. Biol. Psychiatry *67*: 692–694.
- Haider, R.S., Matthees, E.S.F., Drube, J., Reichel, M., Zabel, U., Inoue, A., et al. (2022). βarrestin1 and 2 exhibit distinct phosphorylation-dependent conformations when coupling to
  the same GPCR in living cells. Nat. Commun. *13*: 5638.
- Hauser, A.S., Attwood, M.M., Rask-Andersen, M., Schiöth, H.B., and Gloriam, D.E. (2017).
  Trends in GPCR drug discovery: new agents, targets and indications. Nat. Rev. Drug Discov. *16*: 829–842.
- Heydenreich, F.M., Plouffe, B., Rizk, A., Milić, D., Zhou, J., Breton, B., et al. (2022).
  Michaelis-Menten Quantification of Ligand Signaling Bias Applied to the Promiscuous
  Vasopressin V2 Receptor. Mol. Pharmacol. *102*: 139–149.
- Hoare, S.R.J., Tewson, P.H., Quinn, A.M., Hughes, T.E., and Bridge, L.J. (2020). Analyzing
  kinetic signaling data for G-protein-coupled receptors. Sci. Rep. *10*: 12263.
- Hollander, E., Jacob, S., Jou, R., McNamara, N., Sikich, L., Tobe, R., et al. (2022). Balovaptan
  vs Placebo for Social Communication in Childhood Autism Spectrum Disorder: A Randomized
  Clinical Trial. JAMA Psychiatry *79*: 760–769.
- Jacob, S., Veenstra-VanderWeele, J., Murphy, D., McCracken, J., Smith, J., Sanders, K., et al. (2022). Efficacy and safety of balovaptan for socialisation and communication difficulties in autistic adults in North America and Europe: a phase 3, randomised, placebo-controlled trial. Lancet Psychiatry *9*: 199–210.
- Janz, P., Knoflach, F., Bleicher, K., Belli, S., Biemans, B., Schnider, P., et al. (2023). Selective oxytocin receptor activation prevents prefrontal circuit dysfunction and social behavioral alterations in response to chronic prefrontal cortex activation in male rats. Front. Cell. Neurosci. *17*: 1286552.
- Jiang, L.I., Collins, J., Davis, R., Lin, K.-M., DeCamp, D., Roach, T., et al. (2007). Use of a cAMP
  BRET sensor to characterize a novel regulation of cAMP by the sphingosine 1-phosphate/G13
  pathway. J. Biol. Chem. 282: 10576–10584.
- Kamal, M., Marquez, M., Vauthier, V., Leloire, A., Froguel, P., Jockers, R., et al. (2009).
  Improved donor/acceptor BRET couples for monitoring beta-arrestin recruitment to G
  protein-coupled receptors. Biotechnol. J. 4: 1337–1344.

- Kat, R., Arroyo-Araujo, M., Vries, R.B.M. de, Koopmans, M.A., Boer, S.F. de, and Kas, M.J.H.
  (2022). Translational validity and methodological underreporting in animal research: A
  systematic review and meta-analysis of the Fragile X syndrome (Fmr1 KO) rodent model.
  Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev. *139*: 104722.
- Kato, Y., Igarashi, N., Hirasawa, A., Tsujimoto, G., and Kobayashi, M. (1995). Distribution
  and developmental changes in vasopressin V2 receptor mRNA in rat brain. Differ. Res. Biol.
  Divers. 59: 163–169.
- Kenakin, T., Watson, C., Muniz-Medina, V., Christopoulos, A., and Novick, S. (2012). A
  simple method for quantifying functional selectivity and agonist bias. ACS Chem. Neurosci. *3*:
  193–203.
- Kilkenny, C., Browne, W.J., Cuthill, I.C., Emerson, M., and Altman, D.G. (2010). Improving
  bioscience research reporting: the ARRIVE guidelines for reporting animal research. PLoS Biol.
  807 8: e1000412.
- Koehbach, J., O'Brien, M., Muttenthaler, M., Miazzo, M., Akcan, M., Elliott, A.G., et al.
  (2013). Oxytocic plant cyclotides as templates for peptide G protein-coupled receptor ligand
  design. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. *110*: 21183–21188.
- Kolb, P., Kenakin, T., Alexander, S.P.H., Bermudez, M., Bohn, L.M., Breinholt, C.S., et al.
  (2022). Community guidelines for GPCR ligand bias: IUPHAR review 32. Br. J. Pharmacol. *179*:
  3651–3674.
- Lee, J., Kwag, R., Lee, S., Kim, D., Woo, J., Cho, Y., et al. (2021). Discovery of G Protein-Biased Ligands against 5-HT7R. J. Med. Chem. *64*: 7453–7467.
- Lee, S.A., Eyeson, R., Cheever, M.L., Geng, J., Verkhusha, V.V., Burd, C., et al. (2005).
  Targeting of the FYVE domain to endosomal membranes is regulated by a histidine switch.
  Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. *102*: 13052–13057.
- Leng, G., Leng, R.I., and Ludwig, M. (2022). Oxytocin-a social peptide? Deconstructing the evidence. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B. Biol. Sci. *377*: 20210055.
- Lewis, E.M., Stein-O'Brien, G.L., Patino, A.V., Nardou, R., Grossman, C.D., Brown, M., et al.
  (2020). Parallel Social Information Processing Circuits Are Differentially Impacted in Autism.
  Neuron *108*: 659-675.e6.
- Lindenmaier, Z., Ellegood, J., Stuive, M., Easson, K., Yee, Y., Fernandes, D., et al. (2022). Examining the effect of chronic intranasal oxytocin administration on the neuroanatomy and behavior of three autism-related mouse models. NeuroImage *257*: 119243.
- Marir, R., Virsolvy, A., Wisniewski, K., Mion, J., Haddou, D., Galibert, E., et al. (2013). Pharmacological characterization of FE 201874, the first selective high affinity rat V1A vasopressin receptor agonist. Br. J. Pharmacol. *170*: 278–292.
- Mientjes, E.J., Nieuwenhuizen, I., Kirkpatrick, L., Zu, T., Hoogeveen-Westerveld, M.,
  Severijnen, L., et al. (2006). The generation of a conditional Fmr1 knock out mouse model to
  study Fmrp function in vivo. Neurobiol. Dis. *21*: 549–555.
- Namkung, Y., Le Gouill, C., Lukashova, V., Kobayashi, H., Hogue, M., Khoury, E., et al. (2016).
  Monitoring G protein-coupled receptor and β-arrestin trafficking in live cells using enhanced
  bystander BRET. Nat. Commun. 7: 12178.
- Nehmé, R., Carpenter, B., Singhal, A., Strege, A., Edwards, P.C., White, C.F., et al. (2017).
  Mini-G proteins: Novel tools for studying GPCRs in their active conformation. PloS One *12*:
  e0175642.
- Ostrowski, N.L., Lolait, S.J., Bradley, D.J., O'Carroll, A.M., Brownstein, M.J., and Young, W.S.
  (1992). Distribution of V1a and V2 vasopressin receptor messenger ribonucleic acids in rat
  liver, kidney, pituitary and brain. Endocrinology *131*: 533–535.

Pan, L., Zheng, L., Wu, X., Zhu, Z., Wang, S., Lu, Y., et al. (2022). A short period of early life
oxytocin treatment rescues social behavior dysfunction via suppression of hippocampal
hyperactivity in male mice. Mol. Psychiatry 27: 4157–4171.

Pantouli, F., Pujol, C.N., Derieux, C., Fonteneau, M., Pellissier, L.P., Marsol, C., et al. (2024).
Acute, chronic and conditioned effects of intranasal oxytocin in the mu-opioid receptor
knockout mouse model of autism: Social context matters. Neuropsychopharmacol. Off. Publ.
Am. Coll. Neuropsychopharmacol.

Park, S.M., Chen, M., Schmerberg, C.M., Dulman, R.S., Rodriguiz, R.M., Caron, M.G., et al.
(2016). Effects of β-Arrestin-Biased Dopamine D2 Receptor Ligands on Schizophrenia-Like
Behavior in Hypoglutamatergic Mice. Neuropsychopharmacol. Off. Publ. Am. Coll.
Neuropsychopharmacol. *41*: 704–715.

Parker, K.J., Oztan, O., Libove, R.A., Mohsin, N., Karhson, D.S., Sumiyoshi, R.D., et al. (2019).
A randomized placebo-controlled pilot trial shows that intranasal vasopressin improves social
deficits in children with autism. Sci. Transl. Med. *11*: eaau7356.

Parker, K.J., Oztan, O., Libove, R.A., Sumiyoshi, R.D., Jackson, L.P., Karhson, D.S., et al.
(2017). Intranasal oxytocin treatment for social deficits and biomarkers of response in
children with autism. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. *114*: 8119–8124.

Passoni, I., Leonzino, M., Gigliucci, V., Chini, B., and Busnelli, M. (2016). Carbetocin is a
 Functional Selective Gq Agonist That Does Not Promote Oxytocin Receptor Recycling After
 Inducing β-Arrestin-Independent Internalisation. J. Neuroendocrinol. 28: n/a.

Peñagarikano, O., Lázaro, M.T., Lu, X.-H., Gordon, A., Dong, H., Lam, H.A., et al. (2015).
Exogenous and evoked oxytocin restores social behavior in the Cntnap2 mouse model of
autism. Sci. Transl. Med. 7: 271ra8.

Pieretti, M., Zhang, F.P., Fu, Y.H., Warren, S.T., Oostra, B.A., Caskey, C.T., et al. (1991).
Absence of expression of the FMR-1 gene in fragile X syndrome. Cell *66*: 817–822.

Pintacuda, G., Hsu, Y.-H.H., Tsafou, K., Li, K.W., Martín, J.M., Riseman, J., et al. (2023).
Protein interaction studies in human induced neurons indicate convergent biology underlying
autism spectrum disorders. Cell Genomics 100250.

Quintana, D.S., Rokicki, J., Meer, D. van der, Alnæs, D., Kaufmann, T., Córdova-Palomera,
A., et al. (2019). Oxytocin pathway gene networks in the human brain. Nat. Commun. *10*: 668.
Raue, A., Schilling, M., Bachmann, J., Matteson, A., Schelker, M., Kaschek, D., et al. (2013).

873 Lessons learned from quantitative dynamical modeling in systems biology. PloS One 8:874 e74335.

875Rigney, N., Vries, G.J. de, Petrulis, A., and Young, L.J. (2022). Oxytocin, Vasopressin, and876Social Behavior: From Neural Circuits to Clinical Opportunities. Endocrinology 163: bqac111.

Satterstrom, F.K., Kosmicki, J.A., Wang, J., Breen, M.S., De Rubeis, S., An, J.-Y., et al. (2020).
Large-Scale Exome Sequencing Study Implicates Both Developmental and Functional Changes
in the Neurobiology of Autism. Cell *180*: 568-584.e23.

Schälte, Y., Fröhlich, F., Jost, P.J., Vanhoefer, J., Pathirana, D., Stapor, P., et al. (2023).
pyPESTO: a modular and scalable tool for parameter estimation for dynamic models.
Bioinforma. Oxf. Engl. *39*: btad711.

Schmiester, L., Schälte, Y., Bergmann, F.T., Camba, T., Dudkin, E., Egert, J., et al. (2021).
PEtab-Interoperable specification of parameter estimation problems in systems biology. PLoS
Comput. Biol. *17*: e1008646.

Schnider, P., Bissantz, C., Bruns, A., Dolente, C., Goetschi, E., Jakob-Roetne, R., et al. (2020).
Discovery of Balovaptan, a Vasopressin 1a Receptor Antagonist for the Treatment of Autism
Spectrum Disorder. J. Med. Chem. *63*: 1511–1525.

- 889 Stevenson, E.L., and Caldwell, H.K. (2012). The vasopressin 1b receptor and the neural 890 regulation of social behavior. Horm. Behav. *61*: 277–282.
- 891 Strakova, Z., Copland, J.A., Lolait, S.J., and Soloff, M.S. (1998). ERK2 mediates oxytocin-892 stimulated PGE2 synthesis. Am. J. Physiol. *274*: E634-641.
- 893 Strakova, Z., and Soloff, M.S. (1997). Coupling of oxytocin receptor to G proteins in rat 894 myometrium during labor: Gi receptor interaction. Am. J. Physiol. *272*: E870-876.
- Terrillon, S., Durroux, T., Mouillac, B., Breit, A., Ayoub, M.A., Taulan, M., et al. (2003).
  Oxytocin and vasopressin V1a and V2 receptors form constitutive homo- and heterodimers
  during biosynthesis. Mol. Endocrinol. Baltim. Md *17*: 677–691.
- Theofanopoulou, C., Andirkó, A., Boeckx, C., and Jarvis, E.D. (2022). Oxytocin and vasotocin receptor variation and the evolution of human prosociality. Compr. Psychoneuroendocrinology *11*: 100139.
- 901 Umbricht, D., Del Valle Rubido, M., Hollander, E., McCracken, J.T., Shic, F., Scahill, L., et al.
  902 (2017). A Single Dose, Randomized, Controlled Proof-Of-Mechanism Study of a Novel
  903 Vasopressin 1a Receptor Antagonist (RG7713) in High-Functioning Adults with Autism
  904 Spectrum Disorder. Neuropsychopharmacol. Off. Publ. Am. Coll. Neuropsychopharmacol. 42:
  905 1914–1923.
- Valstad, M., Alvares, G.A., Egknud, M., Matziorinis, A.M., Andreassen, O.A., Westlye, L.T.,
  et al. (2017). The correlation between central and peripheral oxytocin concentrations: A
  systematic review and meta-analysis. Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev. *78*: 117–124.
- Verheij, C., Bakker, C.E., Graaff, E. de, Keulemans, J., Willemsen, R., Verkerk, A.J., et al.
  (1993). Characterization and localization of the FMR-1 gene product associated with fragile X
  syndrome. Nature *363*: 722–724.
- Wan, Q., Okashah, N., Inoue, A., Nehmé, R., Carpenter, B., Tate, C.G., et al. (2018). Mini G
  protein probes for active G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs) in live cells. J. Biol. Chem. 293:
  7466–7473.
- Williams, P.D., Clineschmidt, B.V., Erb, J.M., Freidinger, R.M., Guidotti, M.T., Lis, E.V., et al.
  (1995). 1-(1-[4-[(N-acetyl-4-piperidinyl)oxy]-2-methoxybenzoyl]piperidin-4- yl)-4H-3,1benzoxazin-2(1H)-one (L-371,257): a new, orally bioavailable, non-peptide oxytocin
  antagonist. J. Med. Chem. *38*: 4634–4636.
- Young, W.S., Li, J., Wersinger, S.R., and Palkovits, M. (2006). The vasopressin 1b receptor is prominent in the hippocampal area CA2 where it is unaffected by restraint stress or adrenalectomy. Neuroscience *143*: 1031–1039.
- 922

#### 923 FIGURE LEGENDS

# 924 Figure 1 Pharmacological systemic analysis of OT and AVP effects on murine oxytocin and 925 vasopressin receptors in Neuro-2a cells

926 (A) schematic representation on miniGq, miniGi, miniGs,  $\beta$ -arrestin-1,  $\beta$ -arrestin-2 recruitments, intracellular Ca<sup>2+</sup> mobilisation, cAMP production or forskolin-induced 927 928 inhibition, and membrane CAAX and endosome FYVE internalisation of mouse OTR, V<sub>1A</sub>, V<sub>1B</sub>, 929 V<sub>2</sub> receptors following OT (red) and AVP (blue) stimulation in the murine Neuro-2a cell line. 930 (B) Mathematical model equation fitting with  $\beta$ -arrestin-2 recruitment, internalisation and 931 recycling profiles of the four receptors. (C) Spider plots illustrate the relative effect of OT and 932 AVP on the ten intracellular inputs of these four receptors. (D) Radar plot summarise the 933 robust predicted affinity ( $K_D$ ) and kinetic parameters of  $\beta$ -arrestin-2 recruitment ( $k_\tau$ ), 934 internalisation (kint) and recycling (krec) for each receptor and endogenous ligand, except for 935 OT on the V<sub>1A</sub> receptor and AVP on OTR. A, agonist; AVP, arginine vasopressin; ext, 936 extracellular space; int, intracellular compartment; OT, oxytocin; R, receptor; RAB, receptor-937 agonist-β-arrestin-2 complex; RI, internalised receptor.

938

Figure 2 Effect of orthologs and synthetic ligands on murine oxytocin and vasopressin
 receptors in Neuro-2a cells

941 (**A**) Bias plot of an equimolar comparison of the orthologs isotocin (slate), mesotocin (khaki), 942 and vasotocin (mauve) in miniGq and  $\beta$ -arrestin-2 recruitment at mouse OTR, V<sub>1A</sub>, V<sub>1B</sub>, V<sub>2</sub> 943 receptors in the murine Neuro-2a cell line, with OT (red) and AVP (blue) as reference ligands 944 for OTR and vasopressin receptors, respectively. (**B**) Bias plot of the synthetic ligands TGOT 945 (mustard), RO6958375 (purple), carbetocin (light blue) and kB7 (light brown) in miniGq and 946  $\beta$ -arrestin-2 recruitment at the four receptors. (**C**) Dose-response curves of the OTR agonists TGOT and RO6958375 in miniGq and β-arrestin-2 recruitment at the four receptors. (**D**) Doseresponse curves of the antagonists atosiban (yellow), RO6893074 (green) and L-371,257 (dark brown) in the presence of OT and AVP at their EC<sub>80</sub> concentrations on OTR and vasopressin receptor miniGq and β-arrestin-2 recruitment. AVP, arginine vasopressin; OT, oxytocin.

951

# Figure 3 Acute and subchronic effect of OT and AVP on social motivation and exploration in *Fmr1* KO and WT mice

954 In the Live Mouse Tracker, the cumulative time in nose contact, social approach and huddling 955 behaviours over a 10 min period were compared following 15 minutes of acute (A) or 956 subchronic (B) intranasal administration of OT or AVP at low and moderate dose in WT (NaCl 0.9% (SAL; grey): n = 34, 10 males and 24 females; OT 20  $\mu$ g.kg<sup>-1</sup> (pink): n = 14, 4 males and 957 10 females; OT 40  $\mu$ g.kg<sup>-1</sup> (red): n = 8, 4 males and 4 females; AVP 20  $\mu$ g.kg<sup>-1</sup> (light blue): n = 958 959 9, 5 males and 4 females; AVP 40  $\mu$ g.kg<sup>-1</sup> (dark blue): n = 8, 4 males and 4 females) and *Fmr1* 960 KO mice (SAL (grey): n = 46, 22 males and 24 females; OT 20  $\mu$ g.kg<sup>-1</sup> (pink): n = 8, 4 males and 961 4 females; OT 40  $\mu$ g.kg<sup>-1</sup> (red): n = 8, 4 males and 4 females; AVP 20  $\mu$ g.kg<sup>-1</sup> (light blue): n = 962 16, 5 males and 11 females; AVP 40  $\mu$ g.kg<sup>-1</sup> (dark blue): n = 12, 8 males and 4 females). Data 963 are presented as mean ± sd (raw values, mean and statistics in Table S5). Statistical analysis 964 was conducted using Kruskal-Wallis tests followed by Dunn post hoc tests with asterisks

965 indicating treatment effect (p = P adjusted): \*p<0.05, \*\*p<0.01, \*\*\*p<0.001, \*\*\*\*p<0.0001.</li>
966 AVP, arginine vasopressin; KO, *Fmr1* KO mice; OT, oxytocin; SAL, saline; WT, wild-type.
967

# Figure 4 Acute and subchronic effect of TGOT, RO6958375 and RO6893074 on social motivation and exploration in *Fmr1* KO and WT mice

970 In the Live Mouse Tracker, the cumulative time in nose contact, social approach and huddling 971 behaviours over a 10 min period were compared following 15 minutes of acute (A) or 972 subchronic (B) administration of TGOT (intranasal) and RO6958375 (subcutaneous) OTR 973 agonists and RO6893074 V<sub>1A</sub> antagonist (intraperitoneal) at low, moderate and high dose in 974 WT (SAL for TGOT (grey): n = 34, 10 males and 24 females; TGOT 20 μg.kg<sup>-1</sup> (light brown): n = 975 10, 4 males and 6 females; TGOT 40  $\mu$ g.kg<sup>-1</sup> (brown): n = 10, 6 males and 4 females; PBS 1X 976 for RO6958375 (PBS; grey): n = 19, 10 males and 9 females; RO6958375 0.03 mg.kg<sup>-1</sup> (light 977 violet): n = 9, 5 males and 4 females; RO6958375 0.06 mg.kg<sup>-1</sup> (violet): n = 8, 4 males and 4 978 females; RO6958375 0.12 mg.kg<sup>-1</sup> (dark violet): n = 10, 5 males and 5 females; 0.1% Tween-979 80 for RO6893074 (VEH; grey): n = 20, 10 males and 10 females; RO6893074 25 mg.kg<sup>-1</sup> (light green): n = 11, 5 males and 6 females; RO6893074 50 mg.kg<sup>-1</sup> (green): n = 8, 4 males and 4 980 981 females; RO6893074 100 mg.kg<sup>-1</sup> (dark green): n = 10, 4 males and 6 females) and *Fmr1* KO 982 mice (0 = NaCl 0.9% for TGOT (grey): n = 46, 22 males and 24 females; TGOT 20  $\mu$ g.kg<sup>-1</sup> (light 983 brown): n = 12, 6 males and 6 females; TGOT 40  $\mu$ g.kg<sup>-1</sup> (brown): n = 13, 7 males and 6 females; 0 = PBS 1X for RO6958375 (grey): n = 27, 11 males and 16 females; RO6958375 0.03 mg.kg<sup>-1</sup> 984 985 (light violet): n = 13, 4 males and 9 females; RO6958375 0.06 mg.kg<sup>-1</sup> (violet): n = 14, 5 males 986 and 9 females; RO6958375 0.12 mg.kg<sup>-1</sup> (dark violet): n = 12, 6 males and 6 females; 0 = 0.1% Tween-80 for RO6893074 (grey): n = 21, 11 males and 10 females; RO6893074 25 mg.kg<sup>-1</sup> 987 (light green): n = 9, 4 males and 5 females; RO6893074 50 mg.kg<sup>-1</sup> (green): n = 14, 6 males 988

990 presented as mean  $\pm$  sd (raw values, mean and statistics in Table S5). Statistical analysis was 991 conducted using Kruskal-Wallis tests followed by Dunn post hoc tests with asterisks indicating 992 treatment effect (p = P adjusted): \*p<0.05, \*\*p<0.01, \*\*\*p<0.001, \*\*\*\*p<0.0001. KO, *Fmr1* 993 KO mice; OTR, oxytocin receptor; PBS, Phosphate-buffered saline; SAL, saline; TGOT, 994 (Thr<sup>4</sup>,Gly<sup>7</sup>)-oxytocin; VEH; vehicle; WT, wild-type.

and 8 females; RO6893074 100 mg.kg<sup>-1</sup> (dark green): n = 10, 4 males and 6 females). Data are

995

989

### Figure 1 Pharmacological systemic analysis of OT and AVP effects on murine oxytocin and vasopressin receptors in Neuro-2a cells





## Figure 2 Effect of orthologs and synthetic ligands on murine oxytocin and vasopressin receptors in Neuro-2a cells

Figure 3 Acute and subchronic effect of OT and AVP on social motivation and exploration in *Fmr1* KO and WT mice



## Figure 4 Acute and subchronic effect of TGOT, RO6958375 and RO6893074 on social motivation and exploration in *Fmr1* KO and WT mice



#### 996 **TABLE LEGENDS**

997

#### 998 Table 1: Bias factors of the ligands on murine oxytocin and vasopressin receptors in Neuro-

999 **2a** 

#### 1000 Statistical analysis was conducted using Student t-test with asterisks indicating bias effect (p

- 1001 = P value): \**p*<0.05, \*\**p*<0.01, \*\*\**p*<0.001, \*\*\*\**p*<0.0001. RE, relative efficiency compared
- 1002 to the reference ligand  $10\Delta \log(\tau/Ka)$ ; bias factor, RE $\beta$ arr2/REGq; §, ligand of reference.

Percenter	Ligand	log(1	τ/Ka)	Δ	log(τ/Ka)		A Aleg/g/Ka)	RE		Bias
Receptor	Ligand	Gq	βarr2	Gq	βarr2			Gq	βarr2	factor
OTR	OT§	6.68 ± 0.06	6.82 ± 0.07	0.00 ± 0.08	0.00 ± 0.09		$0.00 \pm 0.13$	1.00	1.00	1
OTR	TGOT	7.00 ± 0.08	6.07 ± 0.04	0.32 ± 0.10	-0.75 ± 0.08	***	$-1.13 \pm 0.14$	02.09	0.18	0.08
OTR	RO6958375	6.39 ± 0.07	5.70 ± 0.05	-0.30 ± 0.09	$-1.12 \pm 0.08$	***	-0.89 ± 0.13	0.51	0.08	0.15
OTR	isotocin	6.31 ± 0.03	5.96 ± 0.05	-0.37 ± 0.07	-0.86 ± 0.08	***	$-0.50 \pm 0.12$	0.43	0.14	0.32
OTR	mesotocin	7.35 ± 0.05	6.75 ± 0.04	0.67 ± 0.07	-0.07 ± 0.08	****	-0.76 ± 0.12	4.69	0.85	0.18
OTR	vasotocin	7.18 ± 0.10	6.66 ± 0.04	$0.49 \pm 0.11$	-0.16 ± 0.08	•	-0.70 ± 0.18	3.11	0.69	0.22
OTR	carbetocin	ND	ND	ND	ND		-0.13 ± 0.85	ND	ND	ND
OTR	KB7	ND	ND	ND	ND		$-0.12 \pm 1.18$	ND	ND	ND
OTR	AVP	5.63 ± 0.11	5.44 ± 0.09	-1.05 ± 0.12	-1.38 ± 0.12	•	$-0.31 \pm 0.15$	0.09	0.04	0.47
V1A	OT	$4.80 \pm 0.11$	4.63 ± 0.10	-2.42 ± 0.12	-2.43 ± 0.11		$0.02 \pm 0.17$	0.00	0.00	0.98
V1A	TGOT	ND	ND	ND	ND		$0.15 \pm 0.90$	ND	ND	ND
V1A	RO6958375	5.74 ± 0.49	ND	$-1.49 \pm 0.50$	ND		0.47 ± 0.85	0.03	ND	ND
V1A	isotocin	ND	ND	ND	ND		$0.15 \pm 1.03$	ND	ND	ND
V1A	mesotocin	5.28 ± 0.06	4.89 ± 0.05	-1.95 ± 0.08	-2.17 ± 0.06	•	-0.27 ± 0.14	0.01	0.01	0.6
V1A	vasotocin	7.24 ± 0.08	7.07 ± 0.04	$0.01 \pm 0.10$	$0.01 \pm 0.06$		$0.00 \pm 0.12$	1.02	1.02	1
V1A	carbetocin	ND	ND	ND	ND		$0.14 \pm 1.16$	ND	ND	ND
V1A	KB7	ND	ND	ND	ND		$0.20 \pm 0.82$	ND	ND	ND
V1A	AVP§	7.23 ± 0.06	7.06 ± 0.04	$0.00 \pm 0.08$	$0.00 \pm 0.06$		$0.00 \pm 0.11$	1.00	1.00	1
V1B	OT	5.25 ± 0.08	5.24 ± 0.04	-1.72 ± 0.10	-1.67 ± 0.06		$0.11 \pm 0.18$	0.02	0.02	1.14
V1B	TGOT	ND	ND	ND	ND		$0.12 \pm 1.14$	ND	ND	ND
V1B	RO6958375	ND	ND	ND	ND		$0.10 \pm 1.18$	ND	ND	ND
V1B	isotocin	5.71 ± 0.08	5.03 ± 0.05	-1.26 ± 0.11	$-1.88 \pm 0.06$	•	-0.59 ± 0.17	0.05	0.01	0.24
V1B	mesotocin	5.88 ± 0.10	5.42 ± 0.07	-1.09 ± 0.12	$-1.49 \pm 0.08$		$-0.41 \pm 0.18$	0.08	0.03	0.4
V1B	vasotocin	7.44 ± 0.07	7.39 ± 0.04	0.47 ± 0.10	$0.49 \pm 0.06$		$0.02 \pm 0.15$	2.95	03.07	01.04
V1B	carbetocin	ND	ND	ND	ND		0.21 ± 1.07	ND	ND	ND
V1B	KB7	ND	ND	ND	ND		$0.10 \pm 1.16$	ND	ND	ND
V1B	AVP§	6.97 ± 0.07	$6.91 \pm 0.04$	$0.00 \pm 0.10$	$0.00 \pm 0.06$		$0.00 \pm 0.18$	1.00	1.00	1
V2	OT	6.18 ± 0.05	5.86 ± 0.06	-2.11 ± 0.14	-2.52 ± 0.15	•	-0.29 ± 0.19	0.01	0.00	0.39
V2	TGOT	5.06 ± 0.12	ND	-3.23 ± 0.18	ND		$1.12 \pm 0.72$	0.00	ND	ND
V2	RO6958375	5.78 ± 0.14	$4.60 \pm 0.13$	-2.51 ± 0.19	-3.78 ± 0.19	•	-1.24 ± 0.21	0.00	0.00	0.05
V2	isotocin	6.08 ± 0.06	4.99 ± 0.12	-2.21 ± 0.14	$-3.39 \pm 0.18$	***	$-1.19 \pm 0.19$	0.01	0.00	0.07
V2	mesotocin	6.70 ± 0.07	$6.14 \pm 0.03$	-1.58 ± 0.15	$-2.24 \pm 0.14$	**	-0.63 ± 0.17	0.03	0.01	0.22
V2	vasotocin	8.19 ± 0.16	8.29 ± 0.06	-0.10 ± 0.20	-0.09 ± 0.15		-0.02 ± 0.21	0.80	0.82	01.03
V2	carbetocin	6.00 ± 0.05	4.55 ± 0.09	-2.29 ± 0.14	-3.83 ± 0.16	**	-1.48 ± 0.19	0.01	0.00	0.03
V2	KB7	ND	ND	ND	ND		-0.07 ± 0.77	ND	ND	ND
V2	AVP§	8.29 ± 0.13	8.38 ± 0.14	$0.00 \pm 0.18$	$0.00 \pm 0.19$		$0.00 \pm 0.22$	1.00	1.00	1

1003

1004

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

#### **Table of contents**

Supplementary Materials and Methods	1
Supplementary Figures	2
Figure S1: Relative efficacy and potency of OT and AVP on murine oxytocin and vasopressin receptors in Neuro-2a cells	2
Figure S2: Relative efficacy and potency of OT and AVP on human oxytocin and vasopressin receptors in HEK293A cells	3
Figure S3: Kinetic modelling of OT and AVP on murine oxytocin and vasopressin receptors in Neuro-2a cells Figure S4: Relative efficacy and potency of orthologs and synthetic ligands on murine oxytocin and vasopressin	3
receptors in Neuro-2a cells	4
Figure S5: Fmr1 KO males and females display social impairments compared to WT mice in the Live Mouse Tracker	5
Figure S6: Effect of OT and AVP on social motivation, isolation and anxious-like behaviours in <i>Fmr1</i> KO and WT mice Figure S7: Effect of TGOT, RO6958375 and RO6893074 on social motivation, isolation and anxious-like behaviours	6
in <i>Fmr1</i> KO and WT mice	7

#### **Supplementary Materials and Methods**

#### Cell culture, G protein, beta-arrestin and internalisation assays using Bioluminescence Resonance Energy Transfer (BRET) Human embryonic kidney 293A (HEK293A; ATCC, Manassas, VA, USA, CRL-1573™, RRID:CVCL\_6910) cells were cultured in Dulbecco's

Human embryonic kidney 293A (HEK293A; ATCC, Manassas, VA, USA, CRL-1573<sup>TM</sup>, RRID:CVCL\_6910) cells were cultured in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM; CM1DME68-01, Eurobio, France) supplemented with 10% (v/v) foetal bovine serum (CVFSVF00-01, Eurobio, France) and 1% penicillin/streptomycin (100 U.mL<sup>-1</sup>, 100 µg.mL<sup>-1</sup> respectively; 15140-122, Eurobio, France) and maintained at 37°C with 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. When reaching 90% confluency, cells were washed with PBS 1X (CS1PBS01K-BP, Eurobio, France) followed by trypsin (0.5 g.L<sup>-1</sup>, L-EDTA 0.2 g.L<sup>-1</sup>, without phenol red; CEZTDA00-0U, Eurobio, France) treatment. After cell counting, cells were transiently transfected in suspension using the Metafectene Pro transfection reagent (T040-5.0, BioNTex Laboratories, Germany) according to the manufacturer's protocol.

In 96-well plates (30196, SPL Life Sciences, Korea), HEK293A cells (70, 000 cells/well) were transiently co-transfected with the human oxytocin receptor *OXTR* or human vasopressin receptors *AVPR1a*, *AVPR1b* subcloned with restriction enzymes HindIII and XhoI in pcDNA3 plasmid or with the *AVPR2* receptors in pcDNA3.1(+) plasmid, fused in their C-terminus to the BRET donor *Renilla reniformis* luciferase (RLuc8) at 25 ng per well, along with BRET acceptor sensors containing a yellow fluorescent proteins (YFP, Venus or YPET) at 50 ng per well each, miniGq or or YPET-⊠-arrestin-2. 48 hours after transfection, HEK293A cells were starved for 4 hours in phenol red-free DMEM (21063-029, Gibco, France) at 37°C in 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. Cells incubated with cœlenterazine substrate (5 µM) were first measured for 5 minutes at 480 ± 20 nm and 530 ± 25 nm measurements (Mithras2 LB 943 with the Mikrowin 2010 software, Berthold Technologies GmbH & Co., Germany). Then, cells were rapidly stimulated with the different agonists at 3.10<sup>-5</sup> M, 10<sup>-6</sup> M, 10<sup>-7</sup> M, 10<sup>-8</sup> M, 10<sup>-9</sup> M, 10<sup>-10</sup> M diluted in PBS 1X and HEPES 10 mM alone for the baseline, then 96-well plates were recorded for 30 minutes.



Figure S1: Relative efficacy and potency of OT and AVP on murine oxytocin and vasopressin receptors in Neuro-2a cells

Relative dose-response of OT (red) and AVP (blue) on miniGq, miniGi, miniGs,  $\beta$ -arrestin-1,  $\beta$ -arrestin-2 recruitment, intracellular Ca<sup>2+</sup> mobilisation, cAMP production (cAMP - Gs) or forskoline-induced inhibition (cAMP - Gi), and CAAX and FYVE internalisation profiles of mouse OTR, V<sub>1A</sub>, V<sub>1B</sub>, V<sub>2</sub> receptors in murine Neuro-2A cell lines. The dose-response curve represents the mean of the biological replicates (n = 3-5 per sensor; Table S1).



Figure S2: Relative efficacy and potency of OT and AVP on human oxytocin and vasopressin receptors in HEK293A cells

Relative dose-response of OT (red) and AVP (blue) on miniGq, and  $\beta$ -arrestin-2 recruitment profiles of human OTR, V<sub>1A</sub>, V<sub>1B</sub>, V<sub>2</sub> receptors in human HEK293A cell lines. The dose-response curve represents the mean of the biological replicates (n = 3-5 per sensor; Table S2).



Figure S3: Kinetic modelling of OT and AVP on murine oxytocin and vasopressin receptors in Neuro-2a cells

Kinetics and model fitting of OT (red) and AVP (blue) as reference ligands on  $\beta$ -arrestin-2 recruitment, and CAAX and FYVE internalisation profiles of mouse OTR, V<sub>1A</sub>, V<sub>1B</sub>, V<sub>2</sub> receptors in murine Neuro-2A cell lines (n = 3-5 per sensor; Tables S1 and S3).



### Figure S4: Relative efficacy and potency of orthologs and synthetic ligands on murine oxytocin and vasopressin receptors in Neuro-2a cells

(A) Relative dose-response of the synthetic ligands TGOT (mustard), RO6958375 (purple), carbetocin (light blue) and KB7 (light brown), as well as OT (red) and AVP (blue) on miniGq, and  $\beta$ -arrestin-2 recruitment profiles of mouse OTR, V<sub>1A</sub>, V<sub>1B</sub>, V<sub>2</sub> receptors in murine Neuro-2A cell lines. (B) Relative dose-response of the synthetic ligands TGOT (mustard), RO6958375 (purple), carbetocin (light blue) and KB7 (light brown) on miniGq, and  $\beta$ -arrestin-2 recruitments. (C) Relative dose-response of the antagonists atosiban (yellow), RO6893074 (green) and L-371,257 (dark brown) alone on miniGq, and  $\beta$ -arrestin-2 recruitments. The dose-response curve represents the mean of the biological replicates (n = 3-5 per sensor; Table S1).



Figure S5: Fmr1 KO males and females display social impairments compared to WT mice in the Live Mouse Tracker

In the Live Mouse Tracker, the cumulative time in nose contact, social approach, huddling, 'move in contact', 'stop isolated' and SAP over a 10 min period were compared three days before the first administration between WT (purple; n = 188, 84 males and 104 females) and *Fmr1* KO (kaki; n = 235, 107 males and 128 females) mice (**A**) and between WT and *Fmr1* KO males and females (**B**). *Fmr1* KO mice showed reduced time spent in nose contact, social approach, huddling behaviour, isolation and stretch-attend posture compared to WT mice, independently of sex, with the exception of social approach in males. Data are presented as mean  $\pm$  sd (raw values, mean and statistics in Table S2). Statistical analysis was conducted using Kruskal-Wallis tests followed by Dunn post hoc tests with asterisks indicating genotype or sex effect (p = P adjusted): \*p<0.05, \*\*p<0.01, \*\*\*p<0.001, \*\*\*\*p<0.0001. F, females; M, males; KO, *Fmr1* KO mice; SAP, Stretch-attend posture; WT, wild-type.



Figure S6: Effect of OT and AVP on social motivation, isolation and anxious-like behaviours in Fmr1 KO and WT mice

In the Live Mouse Tracker, the cumulative time in 'move in contact', 'stop isolated' and SAP over a 10 min period were compared following 15 minutes of acute (**A**) or subchronic (**B**) intranasal administration of OT or AVP at low and moderate dose in WT (0 or NaCl 0.9% (grey): n = 34, 10 males and 24 females; OT 20 µg.kg<sup>-1</sup> (pink): n = 14, 4 males and 10 females; OT 40 µg.kg<sup>-1</sup> (red): n = 8, 4 males and 4 females; AVP 20 µg.kg<sup>-1</sup> (light blue): n = 9, 5 males and 4 females; AVP 40 µg.kg<sup>-1</sup> (dark blue): n = 8, 4 males and 4 females) and *Fmr1* KO mice (0 (grey): n = 46, 22 males and 24 females; OT 20 µg.kg<sup>-1</sup> (pink): n = 8, 4 males and 4 females; OT 40 µg.kg<sup>-1</sup> (red): n = 8, 4 males and 4 females). Data are presented as mean ± sd (raw values, mean and statistics in Table S2). Statistical analysis was conducted using Kruskal-Wallis tests followed by Dunn post-hoc tests with asterisks indicating treatment effect (p = P adjusted): \*p<0.05, \*\*p<0.01, \*\*\*p<0.001, \*\*\*\*p<0.0001. AVP, vasopressin; OT, oxytocin; KO, *Fmr1* KO mice; SAL, saline; SAP, Stretch-attend posture; WT, wild-type.



### Figure S7: Effect of TGOT, RO6958375 and RO6893074 on social motivation, isolation and anxious-like behaviours in *Fmr1* KO and WT mice

In the Live Mouse Tracker, the cumulative time in 'move in contact', 'stop isolated' and SAP over a 10 min period were compared following 15 minutes of acute (**A**) or subchronic (**B**) administration of TGOT (intranasal) and RO6958375 (subcutaneous) OTR agonists and RO6893074  $V_{1A}$  antagonist (intraperitoneal) at low, moderate and high dose in WT (0 = NaCl 0.9% for TGOT (grey): n = 34, 10 males and 24 females; TGOT 20 µg.kg<sup>-1</sup> (light brown): n = 10, 4 males and 6 females; TGOT 40 µg.kg<sup>-1</sup> (brown): n = 10, 6 males and 4 females; 0 = PBS 1X for RO6958375 (grey): n = 19, 10 males and 9 females; RO6958375 0.03 mg.kg<sup>-1</sup> (light violet): n = 9, 5 males and 4 females; RO6958375 0.06 mg.kg<sup>-1</sup> (violet): n = 8, 4 males and 4 females; RO6958375 0.12 mg.kg<sup>-1</sup> (dark violet): n = 10, 5 males and 5 females; 0 = 0.1% Tween-80 for RO6893074 (grey): n = 20, 10 males and 10 females; RO6893074 25 mg.kg<sup>-1</sup> (light green): n = 11, 5 males and 6 females;

RO6893074 50 mg.kg<sup>-1</sup> (green): n = 8, 4 males and 4 females; RO6893074 100 mg.kg<sup>-1</sup> (dark green): n = 10, 4 males and 6 females) and *Fmr1* KO mice (0 = NaCl 0.9% for TGOT (grey): n = 46, 22 males and 24 females; TGOT 20  $\mu$ g.kg<sup>-1</sup> (light brown): n = 12, 6 males and 6 females; TGOT 40  $\mu$ g.kg<sup>-1</sup> (brown): n = 13, 7 males and 6 females; 0 = PBS 1X for RO6958375 (grey): n = 27, 11 males and 16 females; RO6958375 0.03 mg.kg<sup>-1</sup> (light violet): n = 13, 4 males and 9 females; RO6958375 0.06 mg.kg<sup>-1</sup> (violet): n = 14, 5 males and 9 females; RO6958375 0.12 mg.kg<sup>-1</sup> (dark violet): n = 12, 6 males and 6 females; 0 = 0.1% Tween-80 for RO6893074 (grey): n = 21, 11 males and 10 females; RO6893074 25 mg.kg<sup>-1</sup> (light green): n = 9, 4 males and 5 females; RO6893074 50 mg.kg<sup>-1</sup> (green): n = 14, 6 males and 8 females; RO6893074 100 mg.kg<sup>-1</sup> (dark green): n = 10, 4 males and 6 females). Data are presented as mean ± sd (raw values, mean and statistics in Table S2). Statistical analysis was conducted using Kruskal-Wallis tests followed by Dunn post hoc tests with asterisks indicating treatment effect (*p* = P adjusted): \**p*<0.05, \*\**p*<0.01, \*\*\**p*<0.001, \*\*\*\**p*<0.001. KO, *Fmr1* KO mice; OTR, oxytocin receptor; PBS, Phosphate-buffered saline; SAL, saline; SAP, stretch-attend postures; TGOT, (Thr⊠,Gly⊠)-oxytocin; VEH; vehicle; WT, wild-type.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY TABLES

#### Table S1: Pharmacological characteristics of ligands on murine oxytocin and vasopressin

#### receptors in Neuro-2a cells

Pacantar	Ligand	Err	nax	log(EC50)			
Receptor	Ligano	Gq	barr2	Gq	barr2		
OTR	AVP	0.93 ± 0.16 **	1.71 ± 0.35 ***	-6.06 ± 0.28 *	-5.88 ± 0.25 **		
OTR	KB7	ND	ND	ND	ND		
OTR	OT§	$2.82 \pm 0.38$	$4.84 \pm 0.34$	-6.75 ± 0.25	-6.89 ± 0.12		
OTR	RO6958375	1.97 ± 0.26 *	3.15 ± 0.39 ***	-6.49 ± 0.27	-5.96 ± 0.15 ****		
OTR	TGOT	$3.08 \pm 0.34$	3.86 ± 0.37 **	-6.96 ± 0.25	-6.26 ± 0.14 ***		
OTR	carbetocin	ND	ND	ND	ND		
OTR	isotocin	3.47 ± 0.39	4.63 ± 0.37	-6.28 ± 0.19	-6.04 ± 0.10 ****		
OTR	mesotocin	3.82 ± 0.35 *	$5.30 \pm 0.30$	-7.34 ± 0.14 *	-6.72 ± 0.11		
OTR	vasotocin	2.57 ± 0.33	3.73 ± 0.29 **	-7.18 ± 0.27	-6.86 ± 0.12		
V1A	AVP§	$5.99 \pm 0.42$	5.01 ± 0.37	-7.37 ± 0.09	-7.09 ± 0.13		
V1A	KB7	ND	ND	ND	ND		
V1A	OT	3.76 ± 0.50 ****	3.34 ± 0.83 *	-5.26 ± 0.13 ****	-4.87 ± 0.22 ****		
V1A	RO6958375	0.26 ± 1.24 *	ND	-7.12 ± 1.65	ND		
V1A	TGOT	ND	ND	ND	ND		
V1A	carbetocin	ND	ND	ND	ND		
V1A	isotocin	ND	ND	ND	ND		
V1A	mesotocin	4.56 ± 0.56 *	5.54 ± 0.95	-5.61 ± 0.20 **	-4.91 ± 0.14 ****		
V1A	vasotocin	6.02 ± 0.42	7.16 ± 0.42 ****	-7.33 ± 0.12	-7.09 ± 0.12		
V1B	AVP§	$2.92 \pm 0.43$	4.81 ± 0.44	-7.08 ± 0.23	-6.93 ± 0.10		
V1B	KB7	ND	ND	ND	ND		
V1B	OT	2.48 ± 0.71	$4.09 \pm 0.49$	-5.44 ± 0.33 *	-5.36 ± 0.15 ****		
V1B	RO6958375	ND	ND	ND	ND		
V1B	TGOT	ND	ND	ND	ND		
V1B	carbetocin	ND	ND	ND	ND		
V1B	isotocin	2.38 ± 0.61	4.94 ± 0.76	-5.78 ± 0.30 *	-5.06 ± 0.15 ****		
V1B	mesotocin	$3.05 \pm 0.54$	$5.42 \pm 0.50$	-5.86 ± 0.24 *	-5.43 ± 0.11 ****		
V1B	vasotocin	$3.92 \pm 0.48$	7.46 ± 0.37 ***	$-7.27 \pm 0.19$	-7.26 ± 0.07 **		
V2	AVP§	3.53 ± 0.24	$5.59 \pm 0.43$	-8.33 ± 0.18	-8.43 ± 0.12		
V2	KB7	ND	ND	ND	ND		
V2	OT	3 04 + 0 29	3 39 + 0 35 **	-6 27 + 0 15 ***	-6 20 + 0 18 ***		
V2	RO6958375	2 29 + 0 37	4 06 + 0 85 *	-5 97 + 0 32 *	-4 80 + 0 20 ****		
V2	TGOT	1.83 + 1.01	4.00 1 0.00	-5.27 ± 0.37 *	4.00 I 0.20		
V2	carbetocin	3 24 + 0.46	7 05 + 0 93 *	-5.98 + 0.17 **	-4 75 + 0 15 ****		
V2	isotocin	3 21 + 0 30	3 49 + 0 96 **	-6.14 + 0.17 ***	-5 14 + 0 22 ****		
V2	mesotocin	3 50 + 0.33	3 08 + 0 33 **	-6.74 + 0.19 ***	-6.35 ± 0.11 ****		
V2	vasatasir	3.50 ± 0.31	5.50 ± 0.52	-0.74 ± 0.10	-0.35 ± 0.11		

Table S2: Pharmacological characteristics of OT and AVP on human oxytocin and vasopressin receptors in HEK293A cells

Pecontor	Ligand	Er	nax	log(EC50)			
Receptor	Ligariu	Gq	barr2	Gq	barr2		
OTR	AVP	ND	0.44 ± 0.17 ***	ND	-6.47 ± 0.74		
OTR	OT§	$0.83 \pm 0.16$	$1.44 \pm 0.18$	-6.69 ± 0.48	-7.12 ± 0.30		
V <sub>1A</sub>	AVP§	$5.29 \pm 0.42$	3.32 ± 0.25	-8.12 ± 0.14	-7.93 ± 0.13		
V <sub>1A</sub>	ОТ	1.01 ± 0.17 ***	0.43 ± 0.10 ****	-7.18 ± 0.42 *	-7.30 ± 0.69		
V <sub>1B</sub>	AVP§	$3.25 \pm 0.28$	3.01 ± 0.20	-8.19 ± 0.25	-8.55 ± 0.19		
V <sub>1B</sub>	ОТ	2.13 ± 0.35 *	1.60 ± 0.30 ****	-6.19 ± 0.24 ***	-5.84 ± 0.28 ****		
V2	AVP§	5.46 ± 0.42	8.71 ± 0.48	-8.06 ± 0.13	-8.20 ± 0.10		
V2	ОТ	3.93 ± 0.45 *	4.27 ± 0.43 ****	-6.49 ± 0.16 ***	-6.23 ± 0.13 ****		

#### Table S3: List of parameters for each model equation and model selection

The table reports the parameter search intervals (in log10 scale) for the first model (equation (4) in the material and method), the maximum likelihood estimate and their profile-based confidence intervals for the first model (equation (4) in the material and method), the parameter search intervals (in log10 scale) for the second model (equation (6) in the material and method), the maximum likelihood estimate and their profile-based confidence intervals for the second model (equation (6) in the material and method), the second model (equation (6) in the material and method), the second model (equation (6) in the material and method), and the model selection calculations.

Parameters\_model\_1

Parameter Name	parameterScale	lowerBound	upperBound	
k_rec	log10	0.000001	100	
k_tau	log10	0.0001	100	
k_int	log10	0.001	10	
KD	log10	1,00E-12	0.1	
kbret,1	log10	0.001	1000	
kbret,2	log10	0.001	1000	
kbret,3	log10	0.001	1000	
sd_1	log10	0.001	10	
sd_2	log10	0.001	10	[
sd_3	log10	0.001	10	

 $MLE_CI_model_1$ 

		OTF	1	V1A	4	V1B		V2	
Normalised parameter name	parameter value	от	AVP	от	AVP	ОТ	AVP	от	AVP
-	MLE	-0,98	-6,00	0,29	-0,64	-1,31	-1,08	-1,27	-0,24
k_rec	left Cl	-1,04	-6,00	0,08	-0,70	-1,37	-1,13	-1,41	-0,31
	right Cl	-0,91	0,08	0,57	-0,57	-1,25	-1,03	-1,16	-0,18
	MLE	-1,38	2,00	2,00	-0,65	-0,75	-0,73	-0,66	0,32
k_tau	left Cl	-1,47	1,63	1,03	-0,74	-0,82	-0,79	-0,77	0,11
	right Cl	-1,29	2,00	2,00	-0,55	-0,69	-0,67	-0,55	0,49
	MLE	-0,21	-2,30	-1,14	-0,65	-0,21	-0,33	-0,56	-1,32
k_int	left Cl	-0,24	-2,38	-1,23	-0,70	-0,25	-0,37	-0,63	-1,42
	right Cl	-0,17	-2,06	-1,06	-0,61	-0,18	-0,30	-0,49	-1,19
	MLE	-6,44	-4,43	-2,41	-6,36	-5,04	-6,69	-5,63	-8,09
KD	left Cl	-6,46	-4,58	-3,32	-6,40	-5,07	-6,71	-5,68	-8,10
	right Cl	-6,41	-4,33	-2,26	-6,33	-5,01	-6,66	-5,58	-8,02
	MLE	-1,62	-1,69	-1,71	-1,65	-1,71	-1,62	-1,73	-1,68
sd_1	left Cl	-1,64	-1,71	-1,72	-1,67	-1,73	-1,63	-1,74	-1,69
	right Cl	-1,61	-1,68	-1,69	-1,64	-1,70	-1,60	-1,71	-1,66
	MLE	-1,70	-1,82	-1,89	-1,60	-1,75	-1,61	-1,78	-1,66
sd_2	left Cl	-1,71	-1,83	-1,91	-1,61	-1,76	-1,62	-1,79	-1,6
	right Cl	-1,69	-1,81	-1,88	-1,59	-1,73	-1,60	-1,77	-1,64
	MLE	-1,52	-1,69	-1,62	-1,47	-1,55	-1,47	-1,71	-1,70
sd_3	left Cl	-1,53	-1,70	-1,63	-1,48	-1,56	-1,48	-1,72	-1,71
k_int KD sd_1 sd_2 sd_3 kbret_1 kbret_2 kbret_3	right Cl	-1,51	-1,68	-1,61	-1,47	-1,54	-1,46	-1,70	-1,69
	MLE	-0,42	-1,36	-0,89	-0,62	-0,72	-0,71	-0,79	-0,8
kbret_1	left Cl	-0,46	-1,38	-0,95	-0,66	-0,73	-0,72	-0,82	-0,88
	right Cl	-0,37	-1,34	-0,82	-0,58	-0,70	-0,69	-0,76	-0,80
	MLE	0,83	-1,03	-0,72	0,22	0,46	0,41	-0,27	-0,5
kbret_2	left Cl	0,75	-1,04	-0,81	0,15	0,41	0,37	-0,35	-0,5
	right Cl	0,90	-1,00	-0,63	0,29	0,51	0,46	-0,19	-0,4
	MLE	-0,63	-0,60	-1,16	-0,80	-0,89	-0,81	-1,26	-0,89
kbret_3	left Cl	-0,67	-0,81	-1,29	-0,83	-0,91	-0,82	-1,29	-0,9
	right Cl	-0,59	-0,51	-0,98	-0,77	-0,88	-0.79	-1.23	-0.86

#### Parameters\_model\_2

Parameter Name	parameterScale	lowerBound	upperBound
k_tau	log10	0.0001	100
k_int	log10	0.001	10
KD	log10	1,00E-12	0.1
sd_1	log10	0.001	10
sd_2	log10	0.001	10
sd_3	log10	0.001	10
kbret_1	log10	0.001	1000
kbret_2	log10	0.001	1000
kbret_3	log10	0.001	1000

MLE\_CI\_model\_2

		01	R	V1A		V1B		V2	
Normalised parameter name	parameter value	ОТ	AVP	ОТ	AVP	ОТ	AVP	ОТ	AVP
	MLE	-1,96	2,00	2,00	1,01	-1,26	-1,32	-0,59	0,78
k_tau	left Cl	-2,03	1,63	1,56	0,92	-1,30	-1,36	-0,72	0,70
_	right Cl	-1,90	2,00	2,00	1,09	-1,21	-1,29	-0,45	0,87
	MLE	-0,11	-2,30	-1,40	-1,59	-0,03	-0,13	-0,64	-1,63
k_int	left Cl	-0,14	-2,38	-1,50	-1,64	-0,06	-0,16	-0,72	-1,69
_	right Cl	-0,08	-2,06	-1,30	-1,55	0,00	-0,10	-0,56	-1,58
	MLE	-6,47	-4,43	-2,64	-5,81	-5,16	-6,84	-5,62	-7,95
KD	left Cl	-6,49	-4,58	-3,05	-5,86	-5,19	-6,86	-5,67	-7,99
	right Cl	-6,45	-4,33	-2,47	-5,76	-5,13	-6,81	-5,57	-7,91
	MLE	-1,56	-1,69	-1,70	-1,64	-1,64	-1,52	-1,72	-1,67
sd_1	left Cl	-1,58	-1,71	-1,72	-1,65	-1,65	-1,54	-1,74	-1,68
_	right Cl	-1,55	-1,68	-1,69	-1,62	-1,63	-1,51	-1,71	-1,65
	MLE	-1,70	-1,82	-1,89	-1,56	-1,75	-1,59	-1,77	-1,66
sd_2	left Cl	-1,71	-1,83	-1,90	-1,58	-1,76	-1,60	-1,79	-1,67
	right Cl	-1,68	-1,81	-1,87	-1,55	-1,73	-1,57	-1,76	-1,64
	MLE	-1,53	-1,69	-1,62	-1,48	-1,56	-1,48	-1,71	-1,70
sd_3	left CI	-1,54	-1,70	-1,63	-1,49	-1,57	-1,49	-1,72	-1,71
_	right Cl	-1,52	-1,68	-1,61	-1,47	-1,55	-1,48	-1,70	-1,69
	MLE	-0,26	-1,36	-1,02	-0,96	-0,67	-0,63	-0,84	-0,91
kbret_1	left Cl	-0,30	-1,38	-1,06	-0,97	-0,68	-0,64	-0,86	-0,92
	right Cl	-0,22	-1,34	-0,97	-0,95	-0,66	-0,62	-0,81	-0,90
	MLE	1,36	-1,03	-0,78	-0,50	0,85	0,88	-0,32	-0,64
kbret_2	left Cl	1,30	-1,04	-0,86	-0,52	0,81	0,85	-0,42	-0,66
1	right Cl	1,42	-1,00	-0,70	-0,48	0,89	0,91	-0,23	-0,61
	MLE	-0,49	-0,60	-1,40	-0,81	-0,85	-0,75	-1,30	-0,84
kbret_3	left Cl	-0,53	-0,81	-1,49	-0,84	-0,86	-0,76	-1,33	-0,87
	right Cl	-0,44	-0,51	-1,32	-0,78	-0,84	-0,73	-1,28	-0,81

#### Model\_selection

ingunia	Dest_obj	ndata	model	npar	lrt_stat	Irt_pvalue	aic	bic
	-19 552,44	8820	model_2	9	523,28	8,20E-116	525,28	532,36
	-19 814,07	8820	model_1	10	0	1	0	0
	-22 145,73	8820	model_2	9	69,26	8,64E-17	71,26	78,34
от	-22 180,36	8820	model_1	10	0	1	0	0
	-20 557,43	8820	model_2	9	542,40	5,66E-120	544,40	551,49
	-20 828,63	8820	model_1	10	0	1	0	0
	-22 512,59	8785	model_2	9	59,57	1,18E-14	61,57	68,65
	-22 542,37	8785	model_1	10	0	1	0	0
	-22 426,93	8785	model_2	9	0	1	0	0
	-22 426,93	8785	model_1	10	1,29E-05	NA	-2,00	-9,08
	-18 724,91	8820	model_2	9	529,27	4,07E-117	531,27	538,35
A\/D	-18 989,54	8820	model_1	10	0	1	0	0
AVF	-18 358,01	8820	model_2	9	885,58	1,34E-194	887,58	894,67
	-18 800,81	8820	model_1	10	0	1	0	0
	-21 611,89	8820	model_2	9	69,91	6,19E-17	71,91	79,00
	-21 646,85	8820	model_1	10	0	1	0	0
	OT	AVP AVP AVP -19 552,44 -19 814,07 -22 145,73 -22 180,36 -20 557,43 -20 828,63 -22 512,59 -22 542,37 -22 426,93 -18 724,91 -18 989,54 -18 358,01 -18 800,81 -21 611,89 -21 646,85	-19 552,44         8820           -19 814,07         8820           -22 145,73         8820           -22 180,36         8820           -20 557,43         8820           -20 557,43         8820           -20 828,63         8820           -22 512,59         8785           -22 426,93         8785           -22 426,93         8785           -18 724,91         8820           -18 800,81         8820           -18 800,81         8820           -21 611,89         8820	-19 552,44         8820         model_2           -19 814,07         8820         model_1           -22 145,73         8820         model_2           -22 180,36         8820         model_1           -20 557,43         8820         model_1           -20 557,43         8820         model_1           -20 52,42,37         8785         model_1           -22 542,37         8785         model_1           -22 426,93         8785         model_1           -18 724,91         8820         model_1           -18 724,91         8820         model_1           -18 358,01         8820         model_1           -18 358,01         8820         model_2           -18 800,81         8820         model_1           -18 800,81         8820         model_2           -18 800,81         8820         model_2           -18 800,81         8820         model_2           -18 800,81         8820         model_2	-19 552,44         8820         model_2         9           -19 814,07         8820         model_1         10           -22 145,73         8820         model_2         9           -22 180,36         8820         model_1         10           -22 180,36         8820         model_2         9           -20 557,43         8820         model_1         10           -20 557,43         8820         model_2         9           -20 828,63         8820         model_1         10           -22 512,59         8785         model_2         9           -22 426,93         8785         model_2         9           -22 426,93         8785         model_1         10           -18 724,91         8820         model_2         9           -18 898,54         8820         model_1         10           -18 358,01         8820         model_2         9           -18 800,81         8820         model_1         10           -18 800,81         8820         model_1         10           -21 646,85         8820         model_1         10	-19 552,44         8820         model_2         9         523,28           -19 814,07         8820         model_1         10         0           -22 145,73         8820         model_2         9         69,26           -22 145,73         8820         model_1         10         0           -22 180,36         8820         model_1         10         0           -20 557,43         8820         model_2         9         542,40           -20 828,63         8820         model_1         10         0           -22 512,59         8785         model_1         10         0           -22 426,93         8785         model_1         10         0           -22 426,93         8785         model_1         10         1,29E-05           -18 724,91         8820         model_2         9         529,27           -18 989,54         8820         model_1         10         0           -18 358,01         8820         model_2         9         885,58           -18 800,81         8820         model_1         10         0           -18 80,81         8820         model_2         9         69,91 <tdr< td=""><td>-19 552,44         8820         model_2         9         523,28         8,20E-116           -19 814,07         8820         model_1         10         0         1           -22 145,73         8820         model_2         9         69,26         8,64E-17           -22 180,36         8820         model_1         10         0         1           -20 557,43         8820         model_2         9         542,40         5,66E-120           -20 828,63         8820         model_1         10         0         1           -22 512,59         8785         model_2         9         59,57         1,18E-14           -22 542,37         8785         model_1         10         0         1           -22 542,37         8785         model_2         9         0         1           -22 426,93         8785         model_1         10         0         1           -22 426,93         8785         model_2         9         0         1           -22 426,93         8785         model_1         10         0         1           -18 724,91         8820         model_2         9         885,58         1,34E-194</td><td>-19 552,44         8820         model_2         9         523,28         8,20E-116         525,28           -19 814,07         8820         model_1         10         0         1         0           -22 145,73         8820         model_2         9         69,26         8,64E-17         71,26           -22 180,36         8820         model_1         10         0         1         0           -20 557,43         8820         model_2         9         542,40         5,66E-120         544,40           -20 828,63         8820         model_1         10         0         1         0           -22 512,59         8785         model_2         9         59,57         1,18E-14         61,57           -22 542,37         8785         model_1         100         0         1         0           -22 542,37         8785         model_2         9         0         1         0           -22 426,93         8785         model_2         9         529,27         4,07E-117         531,27           -18 724,91         8820         model_2         9         885,58         1,34E-194         887,58           -18 388,01         8820</td></tdr<>	-19 552,44         8820         model_2         9         523,28         8,20E-116           -19 814,07         8820         model_1         10         0         1           -22 145,73         8820         model_2         9         69,26         8,64E-17           -22 180,36         8820         model_1         10         0         1           -20 557,43         8820         model_2         9         542,40         5,66E-120           -20 828,63         8820         model_1         10         0         1           -22 512,59         8785         model_2         9         59,57         1,18E-14           -22 542,37         8785         model_1         10         0         1           -22 542,37         8785         model_2         9         0         1           -22 426,93         8785         model_1         10         0         1           -22 426,93         8785         model_2         9         0         1           -22 426,93         8785         model_1         10         0         1           -18 724,91         8820         model_2         9         885,58         1,34E-194	-19 552,44         8820         model_2         9         523,28         8,20E-116         525,28           -19 814,07         8820         model_1         10         0         1         0           -22 145,73         8820         model_2         9         69,26         8,64E-17         71,26           -22 180,36         8820         model_1         10         0         1         0           -20 557,43         8820         model_2         9         542,40         5,66E-120         544,40           -20 828,63         8820         model_1         10         0         1         0           -22 512,59         8785         model_2         9         59,57         1,18E-14         61,57           -22 542,37         8785         model_1         100         0         1         0           -22 542,37         8785         model_2         9         0         1         0           -22 426,93         8785         model_2         9         529,27         4,07E-117         531,27           -18 724,91         8820         model_2         9         885,58         1,34E-194         887,58           -18 388,01         8820

Table S4: Results for RO6893074 from the CEREP selectivity screen. The table reports the percentage of inhibition at 3  $\mu$ M of the RO6893074 on 35 human receptors, channels or transporters, as well 12 human enzymes tested in functional assays and reported as percentage enzyme inhibition over basal activity. Enzyme assays are noted with an asterisk\*. Negative numbers are due to assay noise.

Assay	% inhibition at 3µM	Assay	% inhibition at 3µM
A1 (h) (agonist radioligand)	-1	5-HT2B (h) (agonist radioligand)	51
A3 (h) (agonist radioligand)	-10	5-HT3 (h) (antagonist radioligand)	5
alpha 1A (h) (antagonist radioligand)	5	sigma (non-selective) (h) (agonist radioligand)	-2
alpha 2A (h) (antagonist radioligand)	6	sst4 (h) (agonist radioligand)	32
beta 1 (h) (agonist radioligand)	-2	GR (h) (agonist radioligand)	-9
AT1 (h) (antagonist radioligand)	-1	ERalpha (h) (agonist fluoligand)	2
BZD (central) (agonist radioligand)	2	AR (h) (agonist radioligand)	-17
D1 (h) (antagonist radioligand)	-12	Ca2+ channel (L, diltiazem site) (benzothiazepines) (antagonist radioligand)	5
D2S (h) (agonist radioligand)	2	Na+ channel (site 2) (antagonist radioligand)	1
glycine (strychnine-insensitive) (antagonist radioligand)	-2	norepinephrine transporter (h) (antagonist radioligand)	5
H1 (h) (antagonist radioligand)	0	5-HT transporter (h) (antagonist radioligand)	-11
H2 (h) (antagonist radioligand)	-11	*COX2 (h)	9
H3 (h) (agonist radioligand)	-13	*PDE5 (h) (non-selective)	-15
MT3 (ML2) (agonist radioligand)	45	*ACE (h)	-22
M2 (h) (antagonist radioligand)	2	*HIV-1 protease (h)	2
M4 (h) (antagonist radioligand)	10	*CDK2 (h) (cycA)	-3
N muscle-type (h) (antagonist radioligand)	-3	*GSK3alpha (h)	-1
kappa (KOP) (agonist radioligand)	29	*GSK3beta (h)	-1
mu (MOP) (h) (agonist radioligand)	6	*ZAP70 kinase (h)	-17
PPARgamma (h) (agonist radioligand)	14	*acetylcholinesterase (h)	7
FP (h) (agonist radioligand)	10	*MAO-A (h)	11
5-HT1A (h) (agonist radioligand)	-12	*MAO-B (h) recombinant enzyme	0
5-HT2A (h) (agonist radioligand)	-4	*xanthine oxidase/ superoxide O2- scavenging	-6

Table S5: raw, mean, standard deviation and statistics of social interaction in the Live Mouse

Tracker