



HAL
open science

Hydrogen Confinement in Hexagonal Boron Nitride Bubbles Using UV Laser Illumination

Fatima Z Tijent, Montassar B Bouzourâa, Vishnu Ottapilakkal, Andre Perepeliuc, Rajat Gujrati, Phuong Vuong, Suresh Sundaram, Mustapha Faqir, Paul L Voss, Jean-Paul Salvestrini, et al.

► **To cite this version:**

Fatima Z Tijent, Montassar B Bouzourâa, Vishnu Ottapilakkal, Andre Perepeliuc, Rajat Gujrati, et al.. Hydrogen Confinement in Hexagonal Boron Nitride Bubbles Using UV Laser Illumination. *Small*, 2024, pp.2406794. 10.1002/sml.202406794 . hal-04739820v2

HAL Id: hal-04739820

<https://hal.science/hal-04739820v2>

Submitted on 16 Oct 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - NoDerivatives 4.0 International License

Hydrogen Confinement in Hexagonal Boron Nitride Bubbles Using UV Laser Illumination

Fatima Z. Tijent,* Montassar B. Bouzourâa, Vishnu Ottapilakkal, Andre Perepeliuc, Rajat Gujrati, Phuong Vuong, Suresh Sundaram, Mustapha Faqir, Paul L. Voss, Jean-Paul Salvestrini, and Abdallah Ougazzaden

Hexagonal boron nitride (h-BN) bubbles are of significant interest to micro-scale hydrogen storage thanks to their ability to confine hydrogen gas molecules. Previous reports of h-BN bubble creation from grown h-BN films require electron beams under vacuum, making integrating with other experimental setups for hydrogen production impractical. Therefore, in this study, the formation of h-BN bubbles is demonstrated in a 20 nm h-BN film grown on a sapphire substrate with a 213 nm UV laser beam. Using atomic force microscopy, it is shown that longer illumination time induces larger h-BN bubbles up to 20 μm with higher density. It is also demonstrated that h-BN bubbles do not collapse for more than 6 months after their creation. The internal pressure and gravimetric storage capacity of h-BN bubbles are reported. A maximum internal pressure of 41 MPa and a gravimetric storage capacity of 6% are obtained. These findings show that h-BN bubbles can be a promising system for long-term hydrogen storage.

Moreover, h-BN is more porous to hydrogen ions than graphene and MoS_2 ^[3,5-8] because of the uneven distribution of valence electrons. These electrons are more clustered around N atoms, reflecting the strong and polarized nature of B-N bonds. Additionally, bi- and tri-layered graphene are nonconductive to protons owing to their staggered lattices (AB stacking), in which the first layer's pores clog the second one's pores. On the contrary, multilayered h-BN exhibits good proton conductivity thanks to the AA' stacking structure.^[9]

In recent years, 2D material bubbles, including graphene, transition metal dichalcogenides (TMDs), and h-BN bubbles, have gained significant attention as proof-of-principle precursors for hydrogen gas storage applications. These bubbles facilitate the investigation and

characterization of the confined hydrogen gas. In addition, they are highly strained, which alters the elastic, optical, and vibrational properties of the 2D material.^[10-12] This finding is crucial as it can give rise to new micro-electronic systems with unique features. These bubbles can also provide a good platform for studying the adhesion energy between the 2D material and substrate.^[13]

Several approaches to creating 2D material bubbles have been reported. These bubbles can spontaneously be induced during the 2D material's transfer due to the adsorbed species on

1. Introduction

Hexagonal boron nitride (h-BN) has recently attracted interest in green hydrogen production and storage applications because of its unique properties.^[1-4] h-BN has a high chemical resistance to oxidation, which makes it a promising corrosion inhibitor.^[1,2] Furthermore, h-BN's 2D structure enables it to act as a proton exchange membrane and hydrogen storage system.^[3-5] This is due to h-BN's nanopores, which allow easy diffusion of hydrogen protons while preventing the escape of hydrogen molecules.^[5]

F. Z. Tijent, V. Ottapilakkal, A. Perepeliuc, R. Gujrati, P. Vuong, S. Sundaram, P. L. Voss, J.-P. Salvestrini, A. Ougazzaden
CNRS
Georgia Tech – CNRS IRL 2958
2 rue Marconi, Metz 57070, France
E-mail: ftijent3@gatech.edu

F. Z. Tijent, A. Perepeliuc, S. Sundaram, P. L. Voss, J.-P. Salvestrini, A. Ougazzaden
Georgia Institute of Technology
School of Electrical and Computer Engineering
Atlanta, GA 30332-0250, USA

F. Z. Tijent
Université Internationale de Rabat
Aerospace and Automotive Engineering School and LERMA Lab
Rabat-Sale, Sala Al Jadida 11100, Morocco
M. B. Bouzourâa
Université de Lorraine
LCP-A2MC, Metz F57000, France
M. Faqir
Université Internationale de Rabat
Aerospace and Automotive Engineering School
Rabat-Sale, Sala Al Jadida 11100, Morocco

The ORCID identification number(s) for the author(s) of this article can be found under <https://doi.org/10.1002/smll.202406794>

© 2024 The Author(s). Small published by Wiley-VCH GmbH. This is an open access article under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs](#) License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made.

DOI: 10.1002/smll.202406794

the 2D material surface or the substrate.^[13–16] The size and shape of spontaneously induced bubbles are uncontrollable. 2D material bubbles can also be created using hydrogen proton irradiation.^[3,11,17] In this approach, hydrogen protons penetrate the interlayers of 2D materials, where they recombine and form H₂-filled bubbles. The penetration depth of protons may differ from one material to another. An earlier study revealed that in h-BN nanosheets, the penetration depth is ≈ 11 –23 layers, which is dependent on the proton kinetic energy.^[3] In the case of transition-metal dichalcogenides, H₂-filled bubbles were mainly located in the top interlayers.^[17] Another method to induce H₂-filled bubbles is by decomposing trapped species. Electron-beam irradiation^[18] and water electrolysis^[19,20] were reported to be effective methods to dissociate intercalated water molecules within 2D material layers. For example, with the help of electron-beam irradiation, large bubbles of up to 150 × 200 μm were induced in a vacuum in h-BN film grown on the sapphire substrate.^[18] E-beam irradiation requires a vacuum to induce h-BN bubbles, making it challenging to integrate with other experimental setups, such as testing h-BN's ability to act as a hydrogen proton exchange membrane in fuel cells. Therefore, in this work, we investigate a new approach for storing hydrogen gas inside h-BN bubbles upon exposure to a UV laser beam. This method holds promise as it does not alter the h-BN's surface stability; however, it affects the electronic properties of h-BN as it interacts with defects present in the material bulk. Indeed, it was shown that the doping nature and concentration in h-BN can be tuned using UV light.^[21] The authors found that h-BN exhibited persistent photoconductivity lasting at least 8 months, allowing a durable tuning of its conductivity. The study investigates the effect of illumination time on the size and density of h-BN bubbles by increasing the illumination from 5 to 480 min. It also studies the mechanism growth of h-BN bubbles through the aspect ratio var with the diameter. A mechanism of hydrogen formation inside h-BN upon UV illumination is proposed. The study uses AFM measurements to examine the stability of h-BN bubbles for four weeks and after 6 months. The physical properties, including internal pressure, number of top layers on h-BN bubbles, and storage capacities, are reported. This study demonstrates that h-BN bubbles created using UV laser light can be an efficient and stable system for hydrogen gas storage.

2. Results and Discussion

2.1. Experimental Characterization

The sample consists of 20 nm thick h-BN, which was grown on a 2 in. (0001) sapphire wafer using metal-organic chemical vapor deposition. Figure S1a (Supporting Information) shows Raman spectroscopy performed on the 20 nm h-BN sample. The spectrum is fitted with a Gaussian distribution that shows a peak at 1365 cm^{-1} attributed to the h-BN vibrational mode E_{2g}.^[22,23] This latter is due to the in-plan vibrational mode of boron and nitrogen atoms which move in opposite directions. The full-width at half maximum (FWHM) of the E_{2g} peak is $\approx 49 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, which agrees with previous studies.^[22] In our case, the FWHM is broader compared to the values reported in the literature for bulk h-BN (11.7 cm^{-1}) or few-layer h-BN flakes (15.6 cm^{-1}).^[24] The relatively broad peak obtained here can be due to the spatial strain varia-




Figure 1. Raman spectroscopy of different h-BN bubbles, showing the vibrational modes S(0) and S(1) of H₂ molecule located at 354 and 587 cm^{-1} , respectively.

tion in the layer. The non-uniform strain can split the E_{2g} peak as observed for other 2D materials,^[22,25–27] resulting in a broader peak.

Figure S1b (Supporting Information) shows a HR-XRD $2\theta - \omega$ scan of 20 nm h-BN on a sapphire substrate. A clear symmetric diffraction peak is located at 25.7, corresponding to the diffraction modes of h-BN's (0002) crystal planes. AFM images of the sample's surface morphology reveal wrinkle formation attributed to the difference in thermal expansion coefficient mismatch between h-BN and sapphire (see Figure S1e, Supporting Information). This difference leads to an accumulation of compressive thermal strain in h-BN during cooling down from growth to room temperature. This observation is consistent with SEM images in Figure S1c,d (Supporting Information), showing wrinkle formation in h-BN. The observed wrinkles could serve as an indirect indicator of the high crystalline quality of the grown h-BN film. In addition, we have noticed the formation of h-BN bubbles after exposing the sample to e-beam irradiation, as reported previously by Binder et al.^[18] (see Figure S2a,b, Supporting Information). After removing the samples from the vacuum, h-BN bubbles deflate under atmospheric pressure (see Figure S2c, Supporting Information). This can be due to the high e-beam irradiation energy that leads to deep penetration of hydrogen ions inside h-BN monolayers. This creates flat, large bubbles with low deformation that easily deflate under atmospheric pressure. A 213 nm UV laser beam is then used to create h-BN bubbles under an atmospheric environment. It should be noted that SEM images are taken on a different sample from the same 2-inch wafer to avoid overlapping between h-BN bubbles created by the e-beam and those induced by the UV laser beam. Raman spectroscopy was performed on h-BN bubbles to evaluate the presence of hydrogen gas inside h-BN bubbles. **Figure 1** shows Raman spectra recorded on three h-BN bubbles. In addition to sapphire peaks, two additional peaks are observed at 354 and 587 cm^{-1} , which are attributed to the vibrational modes S(0) and S(1) of hydrogen molecules.^[18,28,29]




Figure 2. a) Schematic illustration and b) AFM image of h-BN on sapphire substrate. c) Schematic illustration and d) AFM image of h-BN on sapphire substrate irradiated by UV laser.

2.2. Statistical Distribution of h-BN Bubbles

The irradiation time of UV laser can significantly affect the density and size of h-BN bubbles. To understand the dependence of h-BN bubble size on illumination duration, we have investigated the effect of five illumination times: 5, 30, 60, 180, and 480 min, under atmospheric conditions. The effect of UV laser irradiation time on the density and size of h-BN bubbles is studied quantitatively and qualitatively through AFM scans and optical microscopy. Circular and crescent moon-shaped h-BN bubbles are obtained after illuminating the h-BN sample, as shown in **Figure 2**; **Figure S3** (Supporting Information). The origin of crescent moon-shaped bubbles is unclear but can be due to non-uniformity in the adhesion energy between h-BN and sapphire substrate along the h-BN film. Additionally, the strain along the bubble membrane is higher at the center than at the edge. This is due to the bubble membrane's significant deformation, i.e., the bubble's curvature is high at the center. This can be attributed to the adhesion energy between h-BN and sapphire substrate that opposes the stretching of h-BN bubbles upon irradiation.^[30] Seven AFM images were recorded on different regions of the illuminated spots for accurate statistics describing the total distribution of h-BN bubble size as a function of illumination time. Five of these AFM images are shown in **Figure S4** (Supporting Information).

Figure S6 (Supporting Information) shows the distribution of h-BN bubble diameter as a function of the illumination time. The diameter of h-BN bubbles ranges from a few hundred nanometers to several micrometers. In addition, the diameter of these bubbles increases nearly five times from 5 to 480 min of irradiation. The height distribution of h-BN bubbles also increases with the illumination time as shown in **Figure S7** (Supporting Information). **Figure 3a** presents a histogram of the bubble density and the corresponding diameter for each illumination time. For ease of analysis, the diameter values have been sorted into four groups: $D \leq 1 \mu\text{m}$, $1 \mu\text{m} \leq D \leq 3 \mu\text{m}$ and

$3 \mu\text{m} \leq D \leq 6 \mu\text{m}$ and $D \geq 6 \mu\text{m}$. As can be seen, the density of small bubbles ($D \leq 1 \mu\text{m}$) increases with the illumination time, indicating the ongoing creation of new bubbles. Furthermore, illuminating the sample for long durations results in big bubbles. In addition, when the illumination time increases from 30 to 60 min, the number of bubbles with $3 \mu\text{m} \leq D \leq 6 \mu\text{m}$ decreases, and new bubbles with $D \geq 6 \mu\text{m}$ occur. Moreover, when the irradiation time increases from 180 to 480 min, the bubble density having $1 \mu\text{m} \leq D \leq 3 \mu\text{m}$ decreases, and new bubbles with $3 \mu\text{m} \leq D \leq 6 \mu\text{m}$ and $D \geq 6 \mu\text{m}$ are created.

The reduction in bubble density when increasing the illumination time from 30 to 60 min and from 180 to 480 min can be attributed either to an increase in their size or coalescence of small bubbles into bigger ones, as shown in AFM images (**Figure S4a-e**, Supporting Information). Bubble coalescence is also observed in **Figure S5** (Supporting Information), showing the optical images recorded on the same spot of the h-BN sample at different illumination times. As we illuminate the sample from 5 to 480 min, small bubbles merge into large ones. This can be attributed to the diffusion of hydrogen gas molecules from small bubbles to large ones, which is similar to the Ostwald ripening process.^[31,32]

Figure 3b illustrates the variation of bubble density ($d_B(t)$) per $50 \times 50 \mu\text{m}^2$ surface with illumination time. The density increases linearly and rapidly as the illumination time increases to 60 min. Then, it saturates for an illumination duration higher than 180 min. He et al.^[7] conducted a similar analysis and found that increasing hydrogen plasma treatment duration leads to larger bubbles with lower density. This can be attributed to a difference in bubble formation mechanism between the UV laser illumination and hydrogen plasma treatment. For the latter, the power and temperature of the plasma generator were 100 W and 350 °C, respectively. Such power and temperature lead to local heating of the h-BN bubbles and rapid diffusion of hydrogen gas molecules from small to large bubbles. In our case, h-BN bubbles are created at room temperature using a laser with power in milliwatts. This leads to a slow nucleation process of h-BN bubbles and almost constant bubble density, as evidenced by the results obtained for two days of illumination in **Figure S5G** (Supporting Information). However, illuminating the sample for longer durations can result in lower bubble density due to their coalescence.

As shown in **Figure 3b**, the variation of bubble density as a function of illumination duration is well described by the Langmuir function: $d_B(t) = \frac{d_m b t}{1 + b t}$, where d_m is the maximum bubble density, and $1/b$ is the time needed to create half of the maximum bubble density. For example, to produce $d_m/2$ bubbles, the illumination time should be ≈ 27 min.

Figure 3c shows the total mean volume, V_T for each illumination as a function of time. The variation of V_T is well fitted by Chapman Richard's model as follows. $V_T(t) = V_{max}(1 - \exp(-g_r t))^c$.^[33] This model was reported in the literature to study the growth of biological species (cells, molecules, etc). In this Equation, V_{max} is the maximum size of the bubble and g_r is the growth rate of bubbles when $V_T(t)$ increases from 0 to V_{max} . The dimensionless parameter c is equal to $\frac{1}{1-m}$, where m is the power exponent of volume size, and it determines the curve shape (**Table 1**).




Figure 3. Influence of UV laser illumination time on h-BN bubble size. a) Histogram of h-BN bubble density. b) Variation of h-BN bubble density as a function of illumination time. c) Total mean volume for each illumination duration as a function of illumination time.

2.3. Shape of h-BN Bubbles

Figure 4 shows the height and aspect ratio variation of h-BN bubbles for each illumination. The height of h-BN bubbles increases linearly with the diameter, resulting in an aspect ratio

Table 1. Fitting parameters values used to model the bubble density, mean volume per bubble and total mean volume as a function of illumination time.

Physical parameter	Describing function	Fitting parameters
Bubble density per $50 \times 50 \mu\text{m}^2$	$d_B(t) = \frac{d_m b t}{1 + b t}$	$d_m = 688 \pm 57$ $b = 0.036 \pm 0.012 \text{ min}^{-1}$
Total mean volume per $50 \times 50 \mu\text{m}^2$	$V_T(t) = V_{max} (1 - \exp(-g_r t))^c$	$V_{max} = 50.92 \pm 19.84 \mu\text{m}^3$ $g_r = 0.002 \pm 0.003 \text{ min}^{-1}$ $c = 0.47 \pm 0.17$

h/R of 0.08. This aspect ratio implies a simultaneous increase in height and diameter because of the uniform H_2 pressure along the bubble membrane. The bubble dimensions increase until reaching an equilibrium value determined by the equilibrium between the elastic energy responsible for bubble stretching and the adhesion energy. This growth mechanism is responsible for the circular shape of h-BN bubbles. The average aspect ratio obtained from AFM measurements ($h/R = 0.08$) agrees closely with the aspect ratio ($h/R = 0.11\text{--}0.14$) reported in previous studies for circular bubbles.^[20,34] The average strain of bubbles created in 2D materials is proportional to $(h/R)^2$ and it ranges between 1–2% for monolayer graphene, h-BN, and MoS_2 bubbles.^[34–36] In our case, for six layers on top of h-BN bubbles, the aspect ratio is 0.098 and the average strain is 0.96%. The difference between our results and those reported in the literature can be due to the number of layers on top of h-BN bubbles.




Figure 4. Variation of h-BN bubble height h and aspect ratio h/R after a) 5 min, b) 30 min, c) 60 min, d) 180 min, and e) 480 min UV laser illumination.




Figure 5. 3D and 2D AFM images of h-BN bubbles with a) $d = 27 \mu\text{m}$ and $h = 1.1 \mu\text{m}$, c) $d = 10.9 \mu\text{m}$ and $h = 434 \text{ nm}$, and e) $d = 9.8 \mu\text{m}$ and $h = 376 \text{ nm}$. b,d,f) AFM cross-sectional profile of h-BN bubbles shown in (a,c,e), respectively.

2.4. Stability of h-BN Bubbles

To investigate the stability of h-BN bubbles, we have recorded cross-sectional AFM profiles on three bubbles with a diameter and height equal to $d = 27 \mu\text{m}$, $h = 1.1 \mu\text{m}$ (bubble 1), $d = 10.9 \mu\text{m}$, $h = 434 \text{ nm}$ (bubble 2) and $d = 9.8 \mu\text{m}$, $h = 376 \text{ nm}$ (bubble 3) (see Figure 5a,c,e). Figure 5d shows a reduction of 7% in the height of bubble 2 during the fourth week. As for bubbles 1 and 3, they exhibit a decrease of less than 1% (see Figure 5b,f). These results suggest that h-BN bubbles are stable and thus h-BN can be a promising system for hydrogen gas storage. In addition, bubble 1 has a height of 1100 nm, indicating the strong elasticity of h-BN film, whose thickness is only $\pm 20 \text{ nm}$. Additionally, AFM scans are performed on the same bubbles 1, 2, and 3 after 6 months of their formation (not shown in the study). We noticed no significant reduction in the dimensions of the bubbles. This suggests the high stability of h-BN bubbles, which can be a promising storage system for hydrogen.

2.5. Origin of Hydrogen Gas Inside h-BN Bubbles

To explain the origin of hydrogen gas confined inside h-BN bubbles, we consider that water vapor adsorbs and splits on vacant defects in h-BN. Water vapor molecules can break into N—H and B—OH bonds. Previous studies reported that water molecules split on defective h-BN and graphene films, forming B/C—OH and N/C—H bonds.^[37–39] For example, Verma et al. demonstrated via molecular dynamic simulation that water molecule dissociates on vacancy defective h-BN nanosheets and that terminal nitrogen and boron atoms bond with a hydrogen atom and hydroxyl group, respectively.^[39] The presence of vacancies in h-BN weakens the water molecule's bonding force, leading to its reorientation when it adsorbs on h-BN's surface (see Figure 6b). Because of the nitrogen atom's higher electronegativity than boron,^[40] nitrogen-water van der Waals attractions are stronger than boron-water attractions. This leads to the breakage of the H—O—H bond and the formation of H—N and OH—B bonds (Figure 6c). Because




Figure 6. a) h-BN film grown using MOCVD on sapphire substrate. b) Adsorption of water molecule on h-BN nanosheets. c) Dissociation of water molecules on vacancy sites in h-BN into N–H and OH–B bonds. d) Sample exposure to 213 nm UV laser light. e) Diffusion and recombination of hydrogen protons upon UV exposure. f) Formation and confinement of hydrogen gas molecules inside h-BN bubbles.

the energy of the 213 nm laser (5.8 eV) is higher than the bonding energy of O–H (4.8 eV) and N–H (3.91 eV), the sample irradiation induces a release of hydrogen atoms in these bonds (Figure 6d). The released hydrogen atoms recombine into H₂ gas molecules and get trapped inside h-BN bubbles (Figure 6e,f). In addition, a 266 nm laser beam was used to create h-BN bubbles. Also, in this case, the formation of h-BN bubbles was observed. However, the process is sluggish compared to the 213 nm laser beam. This is consistent with our hypothesis, as the 266 nm laser having an energy of 4.66 eV can break only the N–H bonds. This leads to fewer h-BN bubbles, which require longer irradiation times to reach the same number as the 213 nm UV laser.

The ongoing process of h-BN bubble creation with illumination time can be explained by the formation of new vacancies due to UV laser light. This was reported in a previous study where h-BN irradiation with UV laser light induces nitrogen vacancies V_N .^[41] The creation of new vacancies leads to new H₂ molecule formation and confinement inside h-BN bubbles. This process continues until all the adsorbed water molecules on the h-BN's surface are consumed leading to a saturation of h-BN bubble density, as illustrated in Figure 3b.

Figure 6 summarizes the mechanism of hydrogen formation and confinement inside h-BN bubbles.

2.6. Physical Properties of h-BN Bubbles

In order for h-BN bubbles to be useful in hydrogen gas storage applications, it is important to study their physical properties, including internal pressure and hydrogen storage capacity. To evaluate these properties, we consider Yue et al. model, which as-

sumes a uniform internal pressure within the bubbles and that the elastic strain energy is equivalent to the adhesion energy Γ between h-BN and the substrate:^[35]

$$\Gamma = \frac{5Enht^4}{8\phi(\nu)R^4} \quad (1)$$

where n is the number of layers on top of h-BN bubbles, E is the Young's modulus (0.85 TPa) of h-BN, t is the thickness (0.333 nm) of monolayer h-BN, h and R are the radius and height of bubbles, and $\phi(\nu)$ is the geometric prefactor, which is a function of Poisson's ratio $\nu = 0.2176$ ^[42,43,24] and is given by:^[19,35]

$$\phi(\nu) = \frac{75(1-\nu^2)}{8(23+18\nu-3\nu^2)} \quad (2)$$

The height and radius of bubbles are measured from AFM measurements. The adhesion energy Γ is 0.32 Jm⁻², as reported in.^[44]

By rearranging the terms of Equation (1), the number of atomic layers n on top of h-BN bubbles becomes:

$$n = \frac{8\Gamma\phi(\nu)}{5Et} \left(\frac{h}{R} \right)^{-4} \quad (3)$$

The number of top layers on h-BN bubbles, internal pressure, and storage capacity C are calculated for the bubbles shown in Figure 7. These images correspond to an illumination time of 180 min. We chose this illumination time for two reasons. First, it creates bubbles with dimensions similar to the other illumination times. Second, the density of h-BN bubbles saturates after




Figure 7. AFM images of h-BN bubbles for 180 min of illumination.

180 min, i.e., no remarkable change is observed in the size and density of bubbles. Figure 8a shows the number of layers n as a function of the aspect ratio h/R . n decreases with the increase of the aspect ratio. It drops from 25 to 10 layers when the aspect ratio is increased from 0.07 to 0.13. In addition, the variation of n with the diameter and height (see Figure S8a,b, Supporting Information) suggests that small bubbles have more layers on top of them compared to large bubbles. The inset in Figure 8a shows that most of the bubbles having an aspect ratio of 0.08, have 10–20 layers on top of them. This suggests that the majority of bubbles are formed at 3–6 nm below the h-BN surface.

The differential pressure Δp between the internal pressure inside the bubbles and the ambient external pressure is $\Delta p = p_{\text{int}} - p_{\text{ext}}$. Therefore, the internal pressure following Yue's model is:^[35]

$$p_{\text{int}} = \frac{Enh^3}{\phi R^4} + p_{\text{ext}} \approx \frac{Enh^3}{\phi R^4} \quad (4)$$

By applying the ideal gas law, we obtain the number of hydrogen gas molecules N_G confined inside h-BN bubbles:

$$N_G = \frac{p_{\text{int}} V}{kT} \quad (5)$$

where k is the Boltzmann constant, T is the temperature and $V = \frac{\pi h}{6} (3R^2 + h^2)$ is the volume of h-BN bubbles (assuming spherical cap bubbles).

Figure 8b shows the internal pressure of h-BN bubbles and volume as a function of the diameter. For a bubble with a 618 nm diameter, the internal pressure and volume reach 41 MPa and $2.2 \times 10^{-3} \mu\text{m}^3$, respectively. As the diameter increases to 5 μm , the internal pressure decreases to 2.6 MPa while the volume increases to $1.94 \mu\text{m}^3$. This indicates a higher internal pressure in small bubbles and a lower pressure in big bubbles.

The hydrogen storage capacity is computed to evaluate the ability of h-BN bubbles to store hydrogen gas. Assuming that hydrogen is the only gas confined inside h-BN bubbles. For a single h-BN bubble, the hydrogen storage capacity C is given by:^[45–47]

$$C (\%) = \frac{2M_H N_G}{N_B M_B + N_N M_N + 2M_H N_G} \times 100 \quad (6)$$

where M_H is the molar mass of hydrogen, N_B , M_B and N_N , M_N are boron and nitrogen's number and molar mass in each h-BN bubble. Assuming that $N_B = N_N = N$, the number of boron and nitrogen atoms can be expressed as: $N = S/A_{\text{uc}}$, where $S = \pi(R^2 + h^2)$ is the surface area of the h-BN bubble and $A_{\text{uc}} = 0.554 \text{ nm}^2$ is the area of the h-BN unit cell. The hydrogen storage capacity becomes:

$$C (\%) = \frac{2N_G A_{\text{uc}}}{S(M_B + M_N) + 2N_G} \times 100 \quad (7)$$

Figure 8c shows the variation in storage capacity, C , of h-BN bubbles as a function of the aspect ratio. The storage capacity increases to 5.9% for an aspect ratio of 0.106. This suggests that large bubbles have a high storage capacity because of the high number of hydrogen molecules confined inside them (see Figure S9, Supporting Information). For example, for a bubble with a diameter of 12 μm and height of 500 nm, the number of hydrogen molecules confined inside is 8×10^9 atoms. By comparing these results with those obtained for n in Figure 8a, we notice that n and C exhibit an opposite trend variation with aspect ratio. This implies that thinner h-BN bubble membranes have a high gravimetric storage capacity. Table S1 (Supporting Information) summarizes the physical properties of h-BN bubbles with different dimensions.

To examine h-BN bubble properties, we can compare them with graphene nanobubbles created using water electrolysis reported in.^[19] Hexagonal boron nitride (h-BN) bubbles and graphene nanobubbles exhibit distinct differences in their physical properties. For an h-BN bubble with a diameter and height of 0.99 and 35 nm, the number of top layers, internal pressure, and storage capacity are 25, 15 MPa, and 1.1%, respectively. For a Graphene nanobubble with a 0.988 μm diameter and height of 106 nm, the number of layers, internal pressure, and storage capacity equal 123, 23.6 MPa, and 0.23%. These results suggest that despite h-BN bubbles having fewer layers on top of them and lower internal pressure, they offer a higher hydrogen storage capacity than graphene nanobubbles. However, the higher internal pressure and greater number of layers in graphene nanobubbles could offer advantages in terms of structural stability under harsh conditions.




Figure 8. a) The number of atomic layers on the top of h-BN bubbles as a function of aspect ratio h/R . b) Variation of internal pressure and volume of h-BN bubbles versus the bubble diameter. c) Hydrogen storage capacity C of h-BN bubble as a function of aspect ratio h/R .

3. Conclusion

In this study, we have demonstrated the confinement of hydrogen inside h-BN bubbles induced using a UV laser from 20 nm h-BN grown on sapphire substrate. The confined hydrogen originates from water vapor adsorption, intercalation, and dissociation through h-BN monolayers. We have confirmed the presence of hydrogen gas molecules inside h-BN using Raman spectroscopy. This latter revealed the presence of H_2 vibrational modes $S(0)$ and $S(1)$ located at 354 and 587 cm^{-1} .

We have also shown using AFM that h-BN bubbles depend strongly on the illumination time. We obtain denser and bigger bubbles by increasing the illumination time from 5 to 480 min. The growth mechanism of these bubbles with illumination time indicates a simultaneous increase in diameter and

height, resulting in an average aspect ratio (≈ 0.08). This also explains the bubbles' circular shape, which is governed by the equilibrium between elastic energy and adhesion energy between h-BN and substrate. We also investigated the stability of three bubbles with different sizes for four weeks using AFM. Their height cross-sectional profile remains constant. h-BN bubbles remain unchanged for more than 6 months after their creation.

Additionally, we explored the properties of h-BN bubbles, including internal pressure, number of top layers, and storage capacities. Our calculations revealed a maximum pressure of 41 MPa, which indicates the strong mechanical properties of these bubbles to endure such high pressure. We also found that gravimetric storage capacity is $\approx 6\%$, suggesting that h-BN bubbles can store high amounts of hydrogen gas. These results could

support the development of new technologies based on 2D materials to produce and store green hydrogen gas.

4. Experimental Section

Growth of h-BN Film on Sapphire: The sample consisted of 20 nm h-BN grown on a 2-inch (0001) sapphire wafer using MOCVD method. Triethylborane (TEB) and ammonia (NH₃) were used as precursors for h-BN growth at temperatures ≈1280 °C in a hydrogen ambient at a pressure of 85 mbar.

Formation of h-BN Bubbles: The h-BN bubbles were created using a 213 nm UV laser with an average power of 2 mW, a beam size of 269 microns, and a repetition rate of 1 kHz. Before illumination, the h-BN sample was exposed to atmospheric conditions by being mounted in a beaker upside down facing deionized water for 25 days at room temperature.

Characterization of h-BN Bubbles: The sample's morphology was characterized by SEM, which was performed using Zeiss supra 55VP at EHT of 2.5 kV with SE2 detector. High-resolution X-ray diffraction (HR-XRD) scans were also performed in a Panalytical X'pert Pro Materials Research Diffractometer system using Cu K α radiation (Cu K α :1.5405 Å) in the triple-axis mode.

AFM measurements were carried out using NX10 Park system under an ambient environment. The measurements were performed using contact mode with a PPP CONTSCR silicon probe. The cantilever had a length of 225 μ m, a resonance frequency of 25 kHz, and a spring constant of 0.2 Nm⁻¹. The length and radius of the tip are 10 μ m and 10 nm, respectively.

Raman measurements were performed on the Spectroscopy Platform of the LMOPS, Université de Lorraine & Centrale Supélec. The measurements were carried out using a Horiba Lab under an atmospheric environment. The laser excitation wavelength is 532 nm with 100 \times objective. The laser spot size is 1 μ m.

Statistical Analysis: Due to the challenge of performing AFM on the same illuminated spot, different areas of the sample were irradiated for durations of 5, 30, 60, 180, and 480 min. For each illumination time, seven AFM images were recorded. The bubble density was calculated by summing the number of bubbles found in each AFM scan. Similarly, the sum of volumes for each illumination time was determined by calculating the average total volume across the seven images.

Supporting Information

Supporting Information is available from the Wiley Online Library or from the author.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to acknowledge funding from the French CNRS IRP ATLAS. The authors greatly acknowledge Dr. D. Chapron and Dr. T. H. Kauffmann from the Spectroscopy Platform of the LMOPS, Université de Lorraine & Centrale Supélec for their help with Raman measurements. The authors acknowledge Pascal Franchetti (LCP-A2MC) for technical assistance with the Raman measurements.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Data Availability Statement

The data that support the findings of this study are available in the supplementary material of this article.

Keywords

bubbles, h-BN, hydrogen gas, hydrogen storage, UV laser

Received: August 12, 2024
Revised: September 27, 2024
Published online:

- [1] Z. Liu, Y. Gong, W. Zhou, L. Ma, J. Yu, J. C. Idrobo, J. Jung, A. H. Macdonald, R. Vajtai, J. Lou, P. M. Ajayan, *Nat. Commun.* **2013**, *4*, 2541.
- [2] F. Z. Tijent, P. Voss, M. Faqir, *Mater. Today Energy* **2023**, *33*, 101275.
- [3] L. He, H. Wang, L. Chen, X. Wang, H. Xie, C. Jiang, C. Li, K. Elibol, J. Meyer, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, Z. Wu, W. Wang, Z. Ni, X. Miao, C. Zhang, D. Zhang, H. Wang, X. Xie, *Nat. Commun.* **2019**, *10*, 2815.
- [4] S. Hu, M. Lozada-Hidalgo, F. C. Wang, A. Mishchenko, F. Schedin, R. R. Nair, E. W. Hill, D. W. Boukhvalov, M. I. Katsnelson, R. A. W. Dryfe, I. V. Grigorieva, H. A. Wu, A. K. Geim, *Nature* **2014**, *516*, 227.
- [5] S. I. Yoon, D. J. Seo, G. Kim, M. Kim, C. Y. Jung, Y. G. Yoon, S. H. Joo, T. Y. Kim, H. S. Shin, *ACS Nano* **2018**, *12*, 10764.
- [6] M. Seel, R. Pandey, *2D Mater.* **2016**, *3*, 025004.
- [7] L. Shi, A. Xu, G. Chen, T. Zhao, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **2017**, *8*, 4354.
- [8] J. Shan, S. Fang, W. Wang, W. Zhao, R. Zhang, B. Liu, L. Lin, B. Jiang, H. Ci, R. Liu, W. Wang, X. Yang, W. Guo, M. H. Rummeli, W. Guo, J. Sun, Z. Liu, *Natl. Sci. Rev.* **2022**, *9*, nwab169.
- [9] G. Constantinescu, A. Kuc, T. Heine, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2013**, *111*, 036104.
- [10] T. Georgiou, L. Britnell, P. Blake, R. V. Gorbachev, A. Gholinia, A. K. Geim, C. Casiraghi, K. S. Novoselov, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **2011**, *99*, 093103.
- [11] E. Blundo, A. Surrente, D. Spirito, G. Pettinari, T. Yildirim, C. A. Chavarin, L. Baldassarre, M. Felici, A. Polimeni, *Nano Lett.* **2022**, *22*, 1525.
- [12] H. Y. Lee, S. Sarkar, K. Reidy, A. Kumar, J. Klein, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, J. M. LeBeau, F. M. Ross, S. Gradečak, *Nat. Commun.* **2022**, *13*, 5000.
- [13] X. Cui, L. Liu, W. Dong, Y. Zhou, Z. Zhang, *Nano Res.* **2023**, *16*, 13434.
- [14] W. Wang, X. Ma, Z. Dai, S. Zhang, Y. Hou, G. Wang, Q. Li, Z. Zhang, Y. Wei, L. Liu, *Adv. Mater. Interfaces* **2022**, *9*, 2101939.
- [15] Y. Hou, X. Ren, J. Fan, G. Wang, Z. Dai, C. Jin, W. Wang, Y. Zhu, S. Zhang, L. Liu, Z. Zhang, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interface* **2020**, *12*, 40958.
- [16] D. A. Sanchez, Z. Dai, P. Wang, A. Cantu-Chavez, C. J. Brennan, R. Huang, N. Lu, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2018**, *115*, 7884.
- [17] D. Tedeschi, E. Blundo, M. Felici, G. Pettinari, B. Liu, T. Yildirim, E. Petroni, C. Zhang, Y. Zhu, S. Sennato, Y. Lu, A. Polimeni, D. Tedeschi, E. Blundo, M. Felici, E. Petroni, A. Polimeni, G. Pettinari, B. Liu, T. Yildirim, C. Zhang, Y. Zhu, Y. Lu, S. Sennato, *Adv. Mater.* **2019**, *31*, 1903795.
- [18] J. Binder, A. K. Dabrowska, M. Tokarczyk, K. Ludwiczak, R. Bozek, G. Kowalski, R. Stepniewski, A. Wysmolek, *Nano Lett.* **2023**, *23*, 1267.
- [19] H. An, B. H. Tan, J. G. S. Moo, S. Liu, M. Pumera, C. D. Ohl, *Nano Lett.* **2017**, *17*, 2833.
- [20] S. Yasuda, K. Tamura, T. O. Terasawa, M. Yano, H. Nakajima, T. Morimoto, T. Okazaki, R. Agari, Y. Takahashi, M. Kato, I. Yagi, H. Asaoka, *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2020**, *124*, 5300.
- [21] A. Perepeliuc, R. Gujrati, A. Srivastava, P. Vuong, V. Ottapilakkal, P. L. Voss, S. Sundaram, J. P. Salvestrini, A. Ougazzaden, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **2023**, *122*, 263503.
- [22] X. Li, S. Sundaram, Y. El Gmili, T. Ayari, R. Puybaret, G. Patriarche, P. L. Voss, J. P. Salvestrini, A. Ougazzaden, *Cryst. Growth Des.* **2016**, *16*, 3409.
- [23] R. Geick, C. H. Perry, G. Rupprecht, *Phys. Rev.* **1966**, *146*, 543.

- [24] L. Song, L. Ci, H. Lu, P. B. Sorokin, C. Jin, J. Ni, A. G. Kvashnin, D. G. Kvashnin, J. Lou, B. I. Yakobson, P. M. Ajayan, *Nano Lett.* **2010**, *10*, 3209.
- [25] J. Sun, C. Lu, Y. Song, Q. Ji, X. Song, Q. Li, Y. Zhang, L. Zhang, J. Kong, Z. Liu, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2018**, *47*, 4242.
- [26] W. Paszkowicz, J. B. Pelka, M. Knapp, T. Szyszko, S. Podsiadlo, *Appl. Phys. A Mater. Sci. Process* **2002**, *75*, 431.
- [27] K. K. Kim, A. Hsu, X. Jia, S. M. Kim, Y. Shi, M. Hofmann, D. Nezich, J. F. Rodriguez-Nieva, M. Dresselhaus, T. Palacios, J. Kong, *Nano Lett.* **2012**, *12*, 161.
- [28] D. K. Veirs, G. M. Rosenblatt, *J. Mol. Spectrosc.* **1987**, *121*, 401.
- [29] A. Campargue, S. Kassi, K. Pachucki, J. Komasa, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2011**, *14*, 802.
- [30] D. A. Sanchez, Z. Dai, N. Lu, *Trends Chem.* **2021**, *3*, 204.
- [31] P. Taylor, *Adv. Colloid Interface Sci.* **1998**, *75*, 107.
- [32] M. Ohring, *Materials Science of Thin Films: Deposition and Structure*, Elsevier, Amsterdam, Netherlands **2001**.
- [33] L. Zhao-gang, L. Feng-ri, *J. Forestry Res.* **2003**, *14*, 19.
- [34] E. Khestanova, F. Guinea, L. Fumagalli, A. K. Geim, I. V. Grigorieva, *Nat. Commun.* **2016**, *7*, 12587.
- [35] K. Yue, W. Gao, R. Huang, K. M. Liechti, *J. Appl. Phys.* **2012**, *112*.
- [36] P. Wang, W. Gao, Z. Cao, K. M. Liechti, R. Huang, *J. Appl. Mechanics* **2013**, *80*, 040905.
- [37] M. K. Kostov, E. E. Santiso, A. M. George, K. E. Gubbins, M. B. Nardelli, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2005**, *95*, 136105.
- [38] A. Politano, A. R. Marino, V. Formoso, G. Chiarello, *AIP Adv.* **2011**, *1*, 042130.
- [39] A. Verma, W. Zhang, A. C. T. Van Duin, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2021**, *23*, 10822.
- [40] C. Y. Won, N. R. Aluru, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, *129*, 2748.
- [41] L. Museur, D. Anglos, J. P. Petitet, J. P. Michel, A. V. Kanaev, *J. Lumin.* **2007**, *127*, 595.
- [42] Q. Peng, W. Ji, S. De, *Comput. Mater. Sci.* **2012**, *56*, 11.
- [43] K. N. Kudin, G. E. Scuseria, B. I. Yakobson, *Phys. Rev. B* **2001**, *64*, 235406.
- [44] H. Rokni, W. Lu, *Nat. Commun.* **2020**, *11*, 5607.
- [45] T. Tang, Y. Tang, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy* **2024**, *61*, 13.
- [46] D. P. Rai, B. Chettri, P. K. Patra, S. Sattar, *ACS Omega* **2021**, *6*, 30362.
- [47] I. Cabria, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy* **2021**, *46*, 12192.