

The chemistry and excitation of H2 and HD in the early Universe

Alexandre Faure, P Hily-Blant, G Pineau Des Forêts, D Flower

▶ To cite this version:

Alexandre Faure, P Hily-Blant, G Pineau Des Forêts, D Flower. The chemistry and excitation of H2 and HD in the early Universe. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society, 2024, 531 (1), pp.340-354. 10.1093/mnras/stae994. hal-04738720

HAL Id: hal-04738720 https://hal.science/hal-04738720v1

Submitted on 15 Oct 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

The chemistry and excitation of H₂ and HD in the early Universe

A. Faure^(D),¹ P. Hily-Blant^(D),¹ G. Pineau des Forêts^{2,3} and D. R. Flower^(D)

¹CNRS, IPAG, Univ. Grenoble Alpes, F-38000 Grenoble, France

²CNRS, Institut d'Astrophysique Spatiale, Université de Paris-Saclay, F-91405 Orsay, France

³Observatoire de Paris, PSL university, Sorbonne Université, CNRS, LERMA, F-75014 Paris, France

⁴*Physics Department, University of Durham, Durham DH1 3LE, UK*

Accepted 2024 April 9. Received 2024 April 9; in original form 2024 January 4

ABSTRACT

We have critically reviewed the literature pertaining to reactions that are significant for the chemistry of hydrogen-, deuterium-, and helium-bearing species in the homogeneous early Universe. For each reaction rate coefficient, we provide a fit in the modified-Arrhenius form, specifying the corresponding uncertainty and temperature range. This new network, limited to 21 reactions, should be the most reliable to date. Combined with accurate state-to-state rate coefficients for inelastic and reactive collisions involving H₂ and HD, it allows us for the first time to follow the evolution of the abundances of atomic and molecular species, level populations of H₂ and HD, and the ortho:para ratio (OPR) of H₂, in a self-consistent fashion during the adiabatic expansion of the universe. The abundances of H₂ and HD change only marginally compared to previous models, indicating that the uncertainties on the main reaction rate coefficients have essentially been removed. We also find that the adiabatic expansion has a dramatic effect on the OPR of H₂, which freezes-out at redshifts $z \leq 50$. In contrast, at higher redshifts, the populations of the rotational levels of H₂ and HD are predicted to be fully thermalized at the temperature of the cosmic background radiation field, a result that conflicts with some recent, independent calculations. This new network allows the chemistry of primordial gas to be followed during the early phase of collapse towards Population III star progenitors.

Key words: atomic data – molecular data – molecular processes – stars: Population III – early Universe.

1 INTRODUCTION

Elucidating how the first stars, called Population III (Pop III), formed is critical to a comprehensive understanding of the transition from a metal-free, homogeneous Universe to a highly structured and chemically complex one. Pop III stars formed at redshifts $z \ge z$ 15 in dark matter minihaloes located at the intersections of dark matter filaments (see Bromm & Yoshida 2011; Yoshida 2019, for a review). For star formation to take place, the mass of the minihaloes ($\sim 10^6 M_{\odot}$) must overcome a critical value to ensure both gravitational collapse and efficient cooling (Tegmark et al. 1997; Bromm & Larson 2004; Yoshida et al. 2006; Greif 2015; Lenoble, Commerçon & Rosdahl 2024). Although observing Pop III stars is still highly challenging, indirect constraints are obtained e.g. from stellar or galaxy archaeology (Lee, Jeon & Bromm 2024). The most recent observations with the JWST show that the abundance of bright galaxies at redshifts z > 9 is unexpectedly high (Finkelstein et al. 2023), a result which could indicate that the stellar initial mass function (IMF) of Pop III stars is top-heavy, characterized by a high fraction of high-mass stars compared to the classical Salpeter IMF prevailing at lower redshifts (Ishigaki et al. 2018; Hartwig et al. 2022; Finkelstein et al. 2023). The mass of the Pop III progenitors is of the order of the Jeans mass, which scales as $n_{\rm H}^{-1/2}T^{3/2}$ with $n_{\rm H}$ and T the hydrogen nuclei density and gas kinetic temperature,

respectively. The temperature of the gas results from a competition between heating by *PdV* work and molecular cooling, primarily by H₂ and HD. Detailed calculations show that, in the initial phase of gravitational collapse, the gas temperature first increases to a value of the order of 2000 K and then falls to a minimum value of the order of ≈ 200 K (Flower & Pineau des Forêts 2001; Yoshida et al. 2006). The cooling efficiency by excitation of H₂ is a well-studied process (Hollenbach & McKee 1979; Le Bourlot, Pineau des Forêts & Flower 1999; Yoshida et al. 2006) and is known to be sensitive to the level population of H₂ until local thermodynamic equilibrium (LTE) is reached at densities $n_{\rm H} \gtrsim 10^5 \,{\rm cm}^{-3}$ (Coppola et al. 2019; Flower et al. 2021). A recent determination of the H₂ cooling function (Flower et al. 2021), using state-of-art excitation cross-sections and computed self-consistently with the OPR of H₂, shows deviations by one order of magnitude at temperature below 1000 K compared to earlier estimates (Glover & Abel 2008; Glover 2015).

To correctly account for the OPR and level populations of H_2 in an expanding universe, the chemical content of the gas must be evaluated. Molecular abundances in the primordial gas have long been a topic of astrophysical research (Peebles 1968; Zeldovich, Kurt & Syunyaev 1968; Tegmark et al. 1997; Galli & Palla 1998, 2013; Stancil, Lepp & Dalgarno 1998; Flower & Pineau des Forêts 2000; Hirata & Padmanabhan 2006; Alizadeh & Hirata 2011). One of the main motivations of these studies was to determine the abundance of molecular hydrogen, which is the main coolant during the gravitational contraction of condensations of the primordial

© 2024 The Author(s).

Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of Royal Astronomical Society. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

medium (e.g. Lepp & Shull 1984; Flower & Pineau des Forêts 2001; Glover & Abel 2008). In contrast, the cooling of the homogeneous primordial gas is dominated by its adiabatic expansion and not by radiative transitions in molecules, notably H_2 , which actually lead to heating of the medium when the kinetic temperature becomes less than the black-body radiation temperature (Puy et al. 1993; Flower & Pineau des Forêts 2000).

The most complete study of the chemical evolution of the primordial medium is probably that by Walker, Porter & Stancil (2018, hereafter W18) who calculated not only the abundances of atomic and molecular species but also, in the cases of H₂, HD, and H₂⁺, the distributions of population amongst their rovibrational levels; this approach enables the ratio of populations of specific levels, such as v = 0, j = 1, and j = 0, to be determined. In particular, this ratio becomes equal to the relative abundance of ortho- and para-H₂ in the limit of small redshifts, z.¹ However, as will be explained in Section 3, the approach adopted by W18 leads to incorrect values of the OPR when freeze-out occurs, at $z \leq 20$.

In the following Section 2, we present the chemistry that we have adopted in order to calculate the abundances of atomic and molecular species in the primordial medium. In Section 3, we consider the computation of the populations, n(v, j), of individual rovibrational levels, (v, j), of H₂ and HD. The results of our calculations are presented and discussed in the following Section 4, where comparison is made with the calculations of W18. Our conclusions are summarized in Section 5.

2 A NEW CHEMICAL NETWORK

Since the reference work by Galli & Palla (1998; hereafter GP98), there has been significant progress in the theoretical and/or experimental determination of key reaction rate coefficients for the early Universe chemistry. In the last decade, a number of primordial chemical networks were thus significantly revised and updated (Coppola et al. 2013; Glover 2015; Walker et al. 2018). In this work, we started from the GP98 minimal network, on which the work of Flower & Pineau des Forêts (2000; hereafter FP00) was based, and all reaction rate coefficients have been updated from a comprehensive literature survey. A few additional reactions were checked and shown to be unimportant at the investigated redshifts, as detailed in Section 4.2 below. It should be noted that our final network is suitable for the (post-recombination) adiabatic expansion phase of the Universe where the baryon density is lower than $\sim 100 \,\mathrm{cm}^{-3}$. Indeed, other chemical reactions take over in the primordial haloes, such as the three-body H₂ formation reaction $(H + H + H \rightarrow H_2 + H_2)$ H) which become efficient as soon as the density exceeds $\sim 10^8 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ and the gas gets fully molecular.

We describe below the revision of the hydrogen, deuterium, and helium chemistry (lithium chemistry is neglected). All reaction rate coefficients were fitted using the standard modified-Arrhenius (or Koij–Arrhenius) equation:

$$k(T) = \alpha (T/300)^{\beta} \exp(-\gamma/T), \qquad (1)$$

where α is in cm³s⁻¹ or s⁻¹ and γ is in Kelvin. Fits were performed over the (kinetic or radiation) temperature range 10–10 000 K except otherwise stated. The list of reactions and their coefficients α , β , and γ are given in Table 1. It should be noted that in contrast to more complicated polynomial fits, the modified-Arrhenius law guarantees, in most cases, a physical behaviour of the rate coefficients outside the fitted temperature range. Moreover, because solvers of ordinary differential equations can be sensitive to the continuity of the rate coefficients, piecewise fits are not recommended. We also stress that the typical accuracy of theoretical and experimental rate coefficients (± 20 per cent at best) does not justify, in general, sophisticated fitting functions.

2.1 Hydrogen chemistry

2.1.1 H1) Hydrogen recombination

The hydrogen recombination is a crucial process to compute the energy spectrum and temperature anisotropy of the cosmic microwave background (CMB) but also for modelling primordial chemistry. Since the pioneering works in the late 1960s (Peebles 1968; Zel'dovich, Kurt & Syunyaev 1969), several refinements have been introduced in recombination physics thanks to progress in atomic and radiative transfer physics (see Glover et al. 2014, and references therein). Here, as in other primordial chemical networks, we have employed the so-called Case B approximation where only radiative recombinations to an excited state of H(n > 2) are taken into account. The direct recombination of protons with electrons to the ground state of H(n = 1) becomes indeed very inefficient at z \sim 1000 because the emitting ionizing photons (with energies larger than 13.6 eV) are quickly re-absorbed by neighbouring H(n = 1)atoms. While highly sophisticated multilevel recombination models are necessary to model CMB data from e.g. the Planck satellite, the case-B approximation is adequate to simulate the ionization history in chemical models, as we will see in Section 4. In practice, case-B recombination rate coefficients (i.e. summed over all states with n > 12) were computed using the program ACQD (Flower & Seaton 1969) in the temperature range $1-2 \times 10^4$ K. The agreement with other results e.g. those of Ferland et al. (1992) is excellent, as shown in Fig. 1 where our power-law fit ($\gamma = 0$) was found to reproduce the ACQD data (over 10-10 000 K) to within 20 per cent.

2.1.2 H2) Hydrogen photoionization

The photoionization rate coefficient of ground-state H(n = 1) atoms was obtained by integrating the cross-sections of Hummer & Seaton (1963) over black-body radiation fields:

$$k^{I}(T_{r}) = \int_{\nu_{1}}^{\infty} \frac{4\pi\sigma(\nu)F_{\nu}(T_{r})\mathrm{d}\nu}{h\nu},$$
(2)

where $F_{\nu}(T_r)$ is the black-body or Planck function, *h* the Planck constant, $\sigma(\nu)$ the 'exact' photoionization cross-section from Hummer & Seaton (1963; see their equations 2.3–2.4) and $\nu_1 = |E_1|/h$ with E_1 the electron energy of H(n = 1), i.e. -13.6 eV (-1.58×10^5 K). Because $k^I(T_r)$ is entirely negligible below $T_r = 1000$ K, radiation temperatures T_r were selected in the range 10^3-10^4 K.

Alternatively, $k^{I}(T_{r})$ can be computed using the detailed balance principle, assuming that heavy-particle, electron and radiation temperatures are all equal ($T_{k} = T_{e} = T_{r} = T$) (see Appendix A). In the case of H(n=1), detailed balance writes as

$$k^{I}(T) = k^{R}(T) \frac{(2\pi m_{e} k_{B} T)^{3/2}}{h^{3}} \exp(-1.58 \times 10^{5}/T),$$
(3)

where $g(H^+) = 1$, g(H(n = 1)) = 2, $\chi = |E_1|$ (see equation A1) and $k^R(T)$ is the rate coefficient for the reverse process, i.e. direct

¹W18 mistakenly state that FP00 neglected the nuclear statistical weights when calculating the OPR of H₂; this statement arose from a failure to distinguish the OPR from the ratio of the population densities of the v = 0, j = 1, and v = 0, j = 0 levels (see Section 4).

Label	Reaction	α	β	γ	Notes (see text)	References
H1)	${\rm H^+} + {\rm e^-} ightarrow {\rm H} + u$	3.22(-12)	-6.75(-1)	0.00	Case-B	Flower & Seaton (1969)
H2)	$H + \nu \rightarrow H^+ + e^-$	1.18(7)	1.00(0)	1.58(5)		Hummer & Seaton (1963)
H3)	${ m H^-} + \nu ightarrow { m H} + { m e^-}$	1.23(4)	2.35(0)	8.75(3)		McLaughlin et al. (2017)
H4)	$\mathrm{H} + \mathrm{e}^- \to \mathrm{H}^- + \nu$	2.45(-16)	8.46(-1)	0.00	Detailed balance Inconverte fit for $T > 10^4 K$	McLaughlin et al. (2017)
H5)	$\mathrm{H^-} + \mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{H_2^+} \; \mathrm{e^-}$	3.57(-9)	-3.12(-1)	13.8	$\operatorname{Inaccurate in 101} T > 10 \text{ K}$	Kreckel et al. (2010)
					Inaccurate fit for $T < 10$ K	
H6)	$H^- + H^+ \rightarrow H + H$	1.89(-7)	-4.42(-1)	0.00		Nkambule et al. (2016)
H7)	$\mathrm{H} + \mathrm{H}^+ \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_2^+ + \nu$	6.52(-19)	1.75	0.00	Inaccurate fit for $T < 50$	Zammit et al. (2017)
					Inaccurate fit for $T > 10^4$ K	
H8)	$H_2^+ + \nu \rightarrow H + H^+$	3.87(6)	5.70(-1)	3.29(4)	$H_2^+(v, j)$ at LTE	Zammit et al. (2017)
H9)	$H_2^{+} + H \rightarrow H_2 + H^+$	8.93(-10)	0.00	0.00	$H_2^{+}(v=0, j=0)$	Ghosh et al. (2021)
H10)	$H_2^- + H^+ \rightarrow H_2^+ + H$	2.43(-10)	0.00	2.14(4)	$H_2(v = 0, j = 0)$	Savin et al. (2004)
D1)	$D^+ + e^- \rightarrow \tilde{D} + \nu$	3.22(-12)	-6.75(-1)	0.00	Same as H1)	Flower & Seaton (1969)
D2)	$D + \nu \rightarrow D^+ + e^-$	1.18(7)	1.00(0)	1.58(5)	Same as H2)	Hummer & Seaton (1963)
D3)	$\rm D^+ + \rm H \rightarrow \rm D + \rm H^+$	2.18(-9)	3.55(-1)	0.00	Inaccurate fit for $T < 10$ K	Savin (2002)
D4)	$\rm D + \rm H^{+} \rightarrow \rm D^{+} + \rm H$	2.18(-9)	3.55(-1)	4.30(1)	Detailed balance	Savin (2002)
D5)	$\mathrm{D^+} + \mathrm{H_2} \rightarrow \mathrm{H^+} + \mathrm{HD}$	1.50(-9)	0.00	0.00	$H_2(v, j)$ at LTE	Honvault & Scribano (2013a)
D6)	$HD + H^+ \rightarrow H_2 + D^+$	8.57(-10)	0.00	4.05(2)	HD(v, j) at LTE	González-Lezana et al. (2022)
He1)	$He^+ + e^- \rightarrow He + \nu$	9.08(-13)	-5.00(-1)	0.00		Hummer & Storrey (1998)
He2)	$He + \nu \rightarrow He^+ + e^-$	4.56(7)	1.00(0)	2.85(5)	Detailed balance	Hummer & Storrey (1998)
He3)	$He + H^+ \rightarrow HeH^+ + \nu$	1.45(-19)	-4.52(-1)	1.30(1)	Inaccurate fit for $T < 10$ K	Courtney et al. (2021)
He4)	$HeH^+ + \nu \rightarrow He + H^+$	4.80(4)	-7.67(-1)	2.23(4)	$\text{HeH}^+(v, j)$ at LTE	Miyake et al. (2011)
He5)	$\text{HeH}^+ + \text{H} \rightarrow \text{He} + \text{H}_2^+$	1.04(-9)	2.36(-1)	2.42(1)	$\text{HeH}^+(v, j)$ at LTE	Esposito et al. (2015)

Table 1. Reaction rate coefficients of our network, in the standard form $k(T) = \alpha \times (T/300)^{\beta} \exp(-\gamma/T)$ where α is in cm³ s⁻¹ or s⁻¹ and γ in Kelvin. Numbers in parentheses are powers of 10.



Figure 1. Rate coefficients for the hydrogen recombination. Blue empty squares correspond to the calculations of Flower & Seaton (1969). The green line represents our power-law fit. The calculations of Ferland et al. (1992) are denoted by the black line.

recombination to H(n = 1). The rate coefficient $k^{R}(T)$ was obtained using again the program ACQD in the temperature range $10^{3}-10^{4}$ K and $k^{I}(T)$ was recomputed using equation (3). The agreement with equation (2) was found to be excellent, as expected.² Finally, because

²It should be noted that $k^{I}(T)$ and the case-B rate coefficient of reaction H1) *do not* obey detailed balance.

 $k^{R}(T)$ follows a $T^{-1/2}$ temperature dependence, and in accordance with equation (3), the photoionization rate $k^{I}(T_{r})$ from equation (2) was fitted over the temperature range $T_{r} = 10^{3}-10^{4}$ K by fixing $\beta = 1.0$ and $\gamma = 1.58 \times 10^{5}$ K. The corresponding fit was found to reproduce $k^{I}(T_{r})$ to better than 20 per cent.

2.1.3 H3) H⁻ photodetachment

Accurate photodetachment cross-sections for H⁻ were derived by McLaughlin et al. (2017) using quantum *R*-matrix calculations combined with other theoretical and experimental results for photon energies in the range $0.754-10^5$ eV (see their fig. 2 for a compilation of data). We derived the corresponding photodetachment rate coefficients $k^I(T)$ by integrating these cross-sections (provided as supplementary data in McLaughlin et al. 2017) over black-body radiation fields, with radiation temperatures T_r in the range $20-10^5$ K, as in equation (2). The modified-Arrhenius fit was performed by fixing the fitting coefficient γ to the electron affinity of hydrogen, i.e. 0.754 eV or 8.75×10^3 K. The fit accuracy was found to be better than 40 per cent for temperatures below 10 000 K.

2.1.4 H4) H⁻ radiative attachment

The H⁻ radiative attachment rate coefficient $k^{R}(T)$ was obtained using the detailed balance relation equation (A1):

$$k^{R}(T) = \frac{1}{4}k^{I}(T)\frac{h^{3}}{(2\pi m_{e}k_{B}T)^{3/2}}\exp(8.75\times10^{3}/T),$$
(4)

where $g(H^-) = 1$, g(H(n = 1)) = 2, and $\chi = 0.754 \text{ eV} (8.75 \times 10^3 \text{ K})$ and where $k^I(T)$ is the modified-Arrhenius fit for the reverse process H3). As a result, $k^R(T)$ follows a power law and is almost proportional to $T (\beta = 0.846$, see Table 1). In Fig. 2, our power law is compared to the attachment rate coefficient computed by McLaughlin et al. (2017)



Figure 2. Rate coefficients for the H^- radiative attachment. Blue empty squares correspond to the results of McLaughlin et al. (2017) (where stimulated radiative attachment is neglected). The green line represents our power-law fit. The fit of GP98 is denoted by the thin red line.

where stimulated radiative attachment is neglected (as provided in their supplementary data). The agreement is found to be good up to 10^4 K, as expected. We note that at higher temperature and full thermal equilibrium ($T_r = T_k$), stimulated radiative attachment would enhance the rate coefficient of McLaughlin et al. (2017) by up to a factor of 2 at 10^5 K. Beyond $\sim 3 \times 10^5$ K, however, the stimulated rate coefficient falls off as $T^{-3/2}$ (see fig. 9 in McLaughlin et al. 2017). As a result, our power-law fit must not be employed for temperatures above a few 10^4 K. Finally, the agreement with the fit of GP98 is good, except above 10^4 K where their fitting function induces an exponential drop of the rate coefficient.

2.1.5 H5) Associative detachment of H and H⁻

Accurate cross-sections for the associative detachment of H and Hwere measured at high energy resolution by Kreckel et al. (2010) using a merged-beam apparatus in the collision energy range 3.7 meV-1 eV. These cross-sections were found to be in very good agreement with the best available theoretical results (Cízek, Horácek & Domcke 1998), as shown in fig. 2 of Kreckel et al. (2010). We have refitted the analytical fit function provided in table S1 of Kreckel et al. (2010) that accurately reproduces the theoretical rate coefficient in the temperature range 10^{-1} – 10^5 K. We note that the measurements and calculations of Kreckel et al. (2010) were extended above 1 eV by Miller et al. (2011), confirming the good accuracy of their analytic fit for plasma temperatures up to 10⁴ K. The analytical function of Kreckel et al. (2010) is plotted in Fig. 3 (blue empty squares), along with other experimental results based on flowing afterglow (orange circle) and ion-trap (black filled squares) techniques. The agreement between the results of Kreckel et al. (2010) and the iontrap measurements is very good while the flowing afterglow value at 300 K lies a factor of \sim 2 below (a discussion can be found in Miller et al. (2011)). Our fit is shown to satisfactorily reproduce (to within 20 per cent) the analytical function of Kreckel et al. (2010) in the range 10-10000 K, while it significantly underestimates (overestimates) the actual rate coefficient at lower (higher) temperatures. We also note



Figure 3. Rate coefficients for the associative detachment of H and H⁻. Blue empty squares correspond to the recommended theoretical rate coefficient of Kreckel et al. (2010). The black filled squares denote the ion-trap measurements of Gerlich (2012) while the filled circle represents the 300 K measurements of Martinez et al. (2009). The Langevin rate constant is plotted as a dashed grey line. The green line gives our modified-Arrhenius fit. The fit of GP98 is denoted by the thin red line.

that below 10^4 K the rate coefficient is larger than the Langevin value $(1.33 \times 10^{-9} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1})$, suggesting that the actual H_2^- potential is more attractive than the polarization asymptotics. Finally, the piecewise fit of GP98 is found to significantly underestimate the data from Kreckel et al. (2010) in the range $10-10^4$ K, by a factor of up to 3 at ~100 K.

2.1.6 H6) Mutual neutralization of H^- and H^+

Accurate quantum cross-sections for the mutual neutralization of Hand H^+ were computed by Nkambule et al. (2016) in the energy range 1 meV-100 eV. These cross-sections were found to agree well with the merged-beam results of Peart & Hayton (1992), in particular at energies above 20 eV (see fig. 6 in Nkambule et al. 2016). A good agreement (within ~ 20 per cent) is also observed with the older calculations of Fussen & Kubach (1986). The branching ratios between the final states H(n = 1) + H(n = 2) and H(n = 1) + H(n = 3)were measured by Nkambule et al. (2016) and the agreement between theory and experiment was found to be satisfactory up to ~ 100 eV. We have extrapolated the theoretical cross-sections of Nkambule et al. (2016) down to 0.01 meV using the 1/E Wigner's law for the Coulomb interaction and we have derived the corresponding rate coefficient over the temperature range 10-105 K. Our power-law fit was found to nicely reproduce (to within 12 per cent), the computed rate coefficients up to 10000 K. The rate coefficient then reaches a minimum at about 30 000 K where it starts to increase.

2.1.7 H7) Radiative association of H and H^+

Radiative association is a challenging process to measure in the laboratory because, even at very low gas pressures, it is difficult to distinguish between stabilization by radiation or by collision with a third particle. The role of theory is thus predominant. Accurate



Figure 4. Rate coefficients for the radiative association of H and H^+ . Blue empty squares correspond to the theoretical rate coefficients of Zammit et al. (2017). Our power-law fit is given by the green line. The fit of GP98 is denoted by the thin red line.

quantum state-resolved rate coefficients for the radiative association of H(n) and H^+ were computed by Zammit et al. (2017) in the temperature range 10–10⁵ K. In the case of $H(n = 1) + H^+$, the agreement with the older calculations of Stancil, Babb & Dalgarno (1993) was found to be excellent. Tabulated data for stimulated radiative association rate coefficients were provided by Mark Zammit (private communication). Our fit for H(n = 1) was performed over the restricted temperature range 100-10 000 K where the rate coefficient closely follows a power-law temperature dependence, as shown in Fig. 4. We can observe that below 50 K, the rate coefficient flattens due to the increase of the cross-section at collision energies lower than ~ 3 meV (see fig. 5 of Zammit et al. 2017). In this regime, our fit significantly underestimates the actual rate coefficient, by up to a factor of 8 at 10 K. We can notice that the fit of GP98 works better, especially below 50 K, at the cost of a complicated polynomial form. We also note that the rate coefficient of Zammit et al. (2017) reaches a maximum at $\sim 5 \times 10^4$ K and then decreases. Overall, our fit reproduces the rate coefficients of Zammit et al. (2017) to within 25 per cent between 100 and 10 000 K.

2.1.8 H8) Photodissociation of H_2^+

Accurate state-selected photodissociation cross-sections for $H_2^+(1s\sigma_g, v, j)$ were also computed by Zammit et al. (2017) for a range of H_2^+ rovibrational levels and photon energies. These cross-sections were found to be in good agreement with the old measurements by von Busch & Dunn (1972) (after averaging over the experimental H_2^+ vibrational distribution) and almost identical to the older theoretical results of Saha et al. (1980; see fig. 2 of Zammit et al. 2017). Total cross-sections averaged over LTE distributions of H_2^+ rovibrational levels in the temperature range $T_{rovib} = 10-10^4$ K and for photon energies in the range 20 meV-40 eV were provided by Mark Zammit (private communication). We derived the rate coefficients by integrating these LTE cross-sections over black-body radiation fields, with radiation temperatures $T_r = T_{rovib}$



Figure 5. Rate coefficients for the charge exchange reaction between H_2^+ and H. Blue empty squares correspond to the theoretical rate coefficients of Ghosh et al. (2021). The black filled square represents the room temperature measurement of Karpas, Anicich & Huntress (1979). The Langevin rate constant is plotted as a dashed grey line. The green line gives our temperature-independent rate coefficient. The fit of GP98 is denoted by the thin red line.

in the range $10-10^4$ K. The modified-Arrhenius fit was performed over the restricted temperature range $1000-10\,000$ K where the rate coefficient is significant i.e. larger than 10^{-8} s⁻¹, with an accuracy better than 10 per cent.

2.1.9 H9) Charge exchange between H_2^+ and H

This important exothermic reaction has not been extensively studied so far. Experimentally, there is to our knowledge one single measurement of the rate coefficient at 298 K by Karpas et al. (1979) using the ion-cyclotron resonance (ICR) technique. Theoretically, the quantum dynamics of $H + H_2^+(v = 0, j = 0)$ has been studied only recently by Ghosh et al. (2021) and Sanz-Sanz, Aguado & Roncero (2021). The wave packet method was employed in both studies and the rate coefficient was calculated by Ghosh et al. (2021) in the temperature range 250–1000 K. As shown in Fig. 5, there is only order-of-magnitude agreement between experiment and theory. Further studies are highly desirable. This will involve, in particular, to extend calculations to higher rovibrational states and collision energies. Meanwhile, we have selected a constant value of 8.93×10^{-10} cm³ s⁻¹ which is the average of the values computed by Ghosh et al. (2021). It is a factor of \sim 2 lower than the Langevin value of 1.9×10^{-9} cm³ s⁻¹, which is consistent with charge exchange reactions being usually slower than the Langevin rate. We can notice that it is also in good agreement with the choice of GP98 based on the measurement of Karpas et al. (1979).

2.1.10 H10) Charge exchange between H_2 and H^+

Quantum coupled-channel calculations were carried out by Krstić (2002) for the endothermic (with a threshold of 1.83 eV) charge transfer of H^+ on H_2 , i.e. the reverse of reaction H9). Crosssections were computed in the energy range 0.6–9.5 eV and they were



Figure 6. Rate coefficients for the charge exchange between D and H^+ and reverse. Blue empty squares correspond to the theoretical rate coefficients of Savin (2002). Our fits (related by detailed balance) are given by the two green lines. The fit of GP98 is denoted by the thin red lines.

then used by Savin et al. (2004) to derive the rate coefficient for H_2 (v = 0, i = 0 in the temperature range $10^2 - 3 \times 10^4$ K. These authors also presented a review of the various rate coefficients presented in the literature for reaction H10) (see their fig. 1). They showed that a number of recommended rate coefficients significantly differ from one another (both in magnitude and temperature dependence) and they attributed part of the discrepancies to the improper use of detailed balance. We have here refitted the polynomial function of Savin et al. (2004) that reproduces their theoretical rate coefficient to better than 4 per cent over 10^2 –3 × 10^4 K. Our fit was found to reproduce the analytical function of Savin et al. (2004) to within 10 per cent in the range 200-10 000 K. We note that more recent experimental and theoretical cross-sections were presented by Urbain et al. (2013) for this important reaction but for impact energies in the range 15–5000 eV, i.e. corresponding to temperatures above 10^4 K. Further studies are needed, in particular to extend calculations to higher rovibrational states.

2.2 Deuterium chemistry

2.2.1 D1) Deuterium recombination

The rate coefficient of reaction H1) was employed, assuming H/D isotope effects are negligible for the hydrogen recombination process.

2.2.2 D2) Deuterium photoionization

The rate coefficient of reaction H2) was employed, assuming H/D isotope effects are negligible for hydrogen photoionization.

2.2.3 D3) Charge exchange between D^+ and H

By using the theoretical cross-sections of Igarashi & Lin (1999) and Zhao, Igarashi & Lin (2000) and extending these data up to energies

of 10^3 eV, Savin (2002) evaluated the rate coefficient of reaction D3) for temperatures from 1 to 2×10^5 K. He also compared these crosssections to calculations from other groups and the agreement was generally good. However, the rate coefficients were found to differ from those of Galli & Palla (1998) above 300 K, by up to 40 per cent, as reported in Fig. 6. Due to the strongly non-Arrhenius behaviour of the rate coefficient below ~20 K, reaction D3) was fitted using a power-law temperature dependence, with an accuracy better than 20 per cent down to 20 K. At 10 K, the accuracy of the fit is lower (32 per cent) but still adequate for the purposes of modelling.

2.2.4 D4) Charge exchange between D and H^+

As for the reverse reaction D3), the rate coefficients of Savin (2002) for reaction D4) were evaluated from the theoretical crosssections of Igarashi & Lin (1999) and Zhao et al. (2000). We note that in contrast to reaction D3), there is a couple of experimental measurements for reaction D4) which are in good agreement with the available theoretical results (Savin 2002). Here, the rate coefficient was obtained using detailed balance applied to the fit of reaction D3) with an endothermicity of 3.7 meV (43 K; Igarashi & Lin 1999). The agreement with the rate coefficients of Savin (2002) is very good, as shown on Fig. 6.

2.2.5 D5) Formation of HD via $D^+ + H_2$

This exothermic reaction has been studied extensively both theoretically and experimentally as a prototype for dynamical studies of ion-molecule reactions. Accurate cross-sections and rate coefficients were computed at low energies by Honvault & Scribano (2013a) (see correction in Honvault & Scribano 2013b) using quantum timeindependent calculations. The cross-sections were found in good agreement with previous calculations and also with those measured by Gerlich (1992). We present in Fig. 7 a comparison between the theoretical thermal rate coefficients of Honvault & Scribano (2013b) and the experimental values of Fehsenfeld et al. (1974), Henchman et al. (1981), and Gerlich (1992). The experimental rate coefficients are shown to agree within a factor of ~ 2 in the temperature range 200-400 K. We note, however, that data are missing at higher temperature. Merged-beam cross-sections measured by Gerlich (1992), plotted as rate coefficients, actually show a decline (by a factor of \sim 2) above 0.1 eV (see his fig. 64), suggesting a decrease of the rate coefficient above 1000 K. Further studies are thus needed in the high energy regime. Meanwhile, we have selected a constant value of 1.5×10^{-9} cm³ s⁻¹ as representative of the available theoretical and experimental rate coefficients. We note that this value is close to the Langevin value of 2.1×10^{-9} cm³ s⁻¹, which was adopted by GP98.

2.2.6 D6) Destruction of HD by H^+

Reaction D6) is the reverse of reaction D5) but it was much less studied in the literature due to its endothermicity (464 K) and the corresponding small rate coefficient at low temperature. The only available experimental rate coefficients are those of Henchman et al. (1981), as reported in Fig. 8. Very recently, reaction D6) was studied theoretically by González-Lezana et al. (2022) using a statistical quantum method. The agreement with the two experimental points at 205 and 295 K is good and a modified-Arrhenius fit was able to reproduce the theoretical thermal rate coefficient to within 30 per cent over 10–3000 K, as shown in Fig. 8. We note that the



Figure 7. Rate coefficients for the formation of HD via $D^+ + H_2$. Blue empty squares correspond to the theoretical rate coefficient of Honvault & Scribano (2013a). The black circles denote the ring electrode trap results of Gerlich (1992) while the purple circles and orange squares are those from the flowing afterglow and SIFT measurements of Fehsenfeld et al. (1974) and Henchman, Adams & Smith (1981), respectively. The Langevin rate constant is plotted as a dashed grey line. The green horizontal line gives our selected constant value. The fit of GP98 is denoted by the thin red line (superposed with the Langevin rate constant).



Figure 8. Rate coefficients for the destruction of HD via HD + H⁺. Blue empty squares correspond to the theoretical rate coefficient of González-Lezana, Hily-Blant & Faure (2022). The black squares denote the SIFT measurements of Henchman et al. (1981). The green line gives our fit. The fit of GP98 is denoted by the thin red line.



Figure 9. Rate coefficients for the radiative association of He and H^+ . Blue empty squares correspond to the theoretical rate coefficient of Courtney et al. (2021). The green line gives our fit. The fit of GP98 is denoted by the thin red line.

results of González-Lezana et al. (2022) are also in good agreement with the more exact (but less extensive) quantum time-independent calculations of Lepers, Guillon & Honvault (2021), including stateto-state cross-sections. The fit of GP98 is found to agree well.

2.3 Helium chemistry

2.3.1 Hel) Helium recombination

This process is important for chemical models starting at $z \gtrsim 1700$, when helium has not yet recombined. Since our chemical network is limited to temperatures lower than 10000 K ($z \leq 3700$); however, we assume that He²⁺ has recombined (in Saha equilibrium) at $z \sim$ 6000, and He⁺ recombination only is considered. As for hydrogen, the helium recombination has been investigated in detail by several groups over the years (see Chluba, Fung & Switzer 2012, and references therein). Switzer & Hirata (2008) have shown that the inclusion of intercombination lines and of the continuum absorption of hydrogen leads to an acceleration of He⁺ recombination at $z \sim$ 1700, much earlier than in previous models (see their Fig. 14). Here, as in other primordial chemical networks, we have assumed direct recombination to the He ground state 1s2 (1S), i.e. Saha equilibrium, resulting in an even earlier recombination at $z \sim 2200$ (see Fig. 12 below). The impact on chemistry is negligible since the abundances of primordial molecules become significant at much lower z, as we will see below. The theoretical rate coefficient of Hummer & Storey (1998) was adopted (from their table 5), which covers the electron temperature range $10-2.5 \times 10^4$ K. Our power-law fit ($\gamma = 0$) was found to reproduce their data to within 2 per cent up to 10000 K. We note that helium recombination rate coefficients were extended up to 10^9 K by Nahar (2010) and the agreement with the results of Hummer & Storey (1998) was found to be very good. Above $\sim 3 \times 10^4$ K, Nahar (2010) also found dielectronic recombination to dominate over radiative recombination, leading to a strong peak of the rate coefficient at $T \sim 3.2 \times 10^5$ K, beyond which the rate coefficient falls smoothly again. In this regime, a good agreement with experimental recombination spectra was noted by Nahar (2010).

2.3.2 He2) Helium photoionization

The helium photoionization rate coefficient $k^{R}(T)$ was obtained using the detailed balance relation equation (A1):

$$k^{I}(T) = 4k^{R}(T)\frac{(2\pi m_{e}k_{B}T)^{3/2}}{h^{3}}\exp(-2.85 \times 10^{5}/T),$$
(5)

where $g(\text{He}^+) = 2$, g(He) = 1, and $\chi = 24.6 \text{ eV} (2.85 \times 10^5 \text{ K})$, and where $k^R(T)$ is the rate coefficient for He⁺ recombination (reaction He1). Equation (5) was thus directly applied to the fit of reaction He1) (see Table 1). As a check, we also computed $k^I(T)$ by integrating the He(1s2) photoionization cross sections of Yan, Sadeghpour & Dalgarno (1998) (provided in their table 2) over black-body radiation fields. The agreement with equation (5) was found to be excellent, as expected.

2.3.3 He3) Radiative association of He and H⁺

The recent detection of HeH⁺ in the planetary nebula NGC 7027 (Neufeld et al. 2020) has stimulated new calculations of the rate coefficients for its formation and destruction. In the early Universe, the dominant formation process involves the radiative association between He and H⁺. No measurement exists but rovibrationallyselected rate coefficients were computed recently by Courtney et al. (2021) for this process. These authors also provided LTE and non-LTE (in the zero-density limit) rate coefficients in the kinetic temperature range $T_k = 1 - 10^4$ K, with both $T_r = 0$ and $T_r = T_k$. For the non-LTE cases, good agreement with the older calculations of Zygelman, Stancil & Dalgarno (1998) was found. As displayed in Fig. 9, our fit for the LTE case $(T_r = T_k)$ nicely reproduces the data of Courtney et al. (2021)³ down to 10 K (to within 15 per cent). At lower temperature the theoretical rate coefficient decreases slowly while our modified-Arrhenius fit with $\gamma = 13$ K (see Table 1) predicts a strong drop. We also note that stimulated radiative association becomes significant for $T_r \gtrsim 200$ K, as shown in fig. 1 of Courtney et al. (2021). Finally, we can notice (Fig. 9) that the fit of GP98 largely overestimates the data of Courtney et al. (2021), by up to a factor of 10 at 10 K.

2.3.4 He4) Photodissociation of HeH⁺

Experimental data for the photodissociation of molecules are very scarce. In the case of HeH⁺, one measurement was performed by Pedersen et al. (2007) at 32 nm (38.7 eV) using a fast ion beam crossed with a free-electron laser. Qualitative agreement with theory was observed in this XUV-wavelength regime (see Loreau et al. 2011, and references therein). For photons with much greater wavelengths, photodissociation of HeH⁺ is dominated by pure rovibrational transitions in the X¹ Σ ⁺ electronic ground state, leading to the He + H⁺ dissociation channel. The dissociation energy from the ground rovibrational level of HeH⁺ is 1.845 eV (Stanke et al. 2006). Accurate photodissociation cross sections were computed for an extensive set of HeH⁺ rovibrational levels by Miyake, Gay & Stancil (2011). LTE cross-sections were also obtained by these authors assuming a Boltzmann distribution of rovibrational levels

³The theoretical data of Courtney et al. (2021) were digitalized from their fig. 1.



Figure 10. Rate coefficients for the destruction of HeH⁺ via HeH⁺ + H. Blue empty squares correspond to the theoretical rate coefficient of Esposito, Coppola & De Fazio (2015). The black square denotes the crossed beam measurements of Karpas et al. (1979). The Langevin rate constant is plotted as a dashed grey line. The green line gives our fit. The fit of GP98 is denoted by the thin red line.

at temperatures between 500 and 12 000 K. We derived the rate coefficients by integrating these LTE cross-sections over blackbody radiation fields, with radiation temperatures T_r in the range 500–12 000 K. A modified-Arrhenius fit of the rate coefficients was then performed over the restricted temperature range 1000–10 000 K where the rate coefficient is significant i.e. larger than 10^{-8} s^{-1} , with an accuracy better than 6 per cent. We note that the other dissociation channel He⁺ + H (via the A¹ Σ ⁺ electronic state) becomes competitive only at radiation temperatures above 10 000 K (see fig. 2 in Miyake et al. 2011) and was thus neglected.

2.3.5 He5) Destruction of HeH⁺ by H

The proton transfer between HeH⁺ and H is a simple triatomic example of exothermic and barrier-less reactions. It was studied in the laboratory by Rutherford & Vroom (1973) using crossed beam techniques and absolute cross-sections were reported by these authors in the energy range 0.2-4 eV. A good agreement with the quantum time-independent calculations of Esposito et al. (2015) was observed, provided that the absolute experimental values are rescaled by a constant factor of 2.4. Esposito et al. (2015) also performed quasi-classical calculations in order to extend their results at higher collision energy (above 1.25 eV) and for higher HeH⁺ rovibrational states (above v = 0, j = 5). They were thus able to derive and fit the thermal reaction rate coefficient up to 15 000 K, as reported in Fig. 10, where we can observe that the agreement with the single experimental value measured by Karpas et al. (1979) at 298 K is excellent. We note that the rate coefficient shows a broad maximum at ~6000 K close to the Langevin value. Our modified-Arrhenius fit reproduces the polynomial fit provide by Esposito et al. (2015) to within 25 per cent up to 10000 K. The constant value of GP98, based on the measurement by Karpas et al. (1979), is much larger than the data of Esposito et al. (2015) below 300 K, by up to a factor of ~ 20 at 10 K. It is however unclear why the reactivity decreases so rapidly below \sim 300 K and further theoretical and experimental studies, in particular at low-temperature, are desirable. We note in this context that new quantum time-independent calculations are in progress (Yohann Scribano, private communication).

3 ABUNDANCES AND LEVEL POPULATIONS

The temporal evolution of the number density n(X) of each chemical species is followed during the expansion by solving the differential equation (see equation 6 of FP00):

$$\frac{1}{n(X)}\frac{\mathrm{d}n(X)}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{N(X)}{n(X)} - \frac{3}{a}\frac{\mathrm{d}a}{\mathrm{d}t},\tag{6}$$

where N(X) is the net rate of formation (or destruction, if negative) of chemical species X per unit volume and a(t) is the scale factor $(a(t) = (1 + z)^{-1})$. The rate of expansion of the Universe is determined by

$$\frac{1}{a}\frac{\mathrm{d}a}{\mathrm{d}t} = H(t) \tag{7}$$

with

$$H(t) = H_0 \left(\frac{\Omega_{\rm r}}{a^4} + \frac{\Omega_{\rm m}}{a^3} + \Omega_{\Lambda}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$
(8)

The cosmological parameters are taken from Planck Collaboration VI (2020): $H_0 = 67.4 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$, $\Omega_b = 0.0493$, $\Omega_m = 0.315$, $\Omega_r = 9.27 \times 10^{-5}$, and $\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.685$. Neglecting the contribution of Ω_r , the expansion parameter approximates to

$$a(t) = \left[\frac{\Omega_{\rm m}}{\Omega_{\Lambda}} \sinh^2\left(\frac{t}{t_{\Lambda}}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{3}},\tag{9}$$

where $t_{\Lambda} = 2/(3H_0\Omega_{\Lambda}^{0.5})$. The use of equation (8) for H(t), rather than equation (2) of FP00 (Einstein–de Sitter universe), has consequences for the dynamical (adiabatic expansion) time-scale and hence for the evolution of the OPR of H₂ (see below).

The rates of chemical reactions relating to H-, D-, and He-bearing species was updated following Section 2. The full set of coupled differential equations which is solved is given in section 2 of FP00. We note that in this approach, four 'fluids' are considered: neutrals, positive ions, electrons, and photons. The variation with time of the four temperatures are given by Flower & Pineau des Forêts (2000) in their equations (1), (3), (4), and (5), respectively. In practice, neutrals, positive ions, and electrons have almost identical temperatures. On the other hand, we adopt a more recent determination of the primordial deuterium abundance, $n_{\rm D}/n_{\rm H} = 2.53 \times 10^{-5}$ (Cooke, Pettini & Steidel 2018).

With a view to establishing the impact of the OPR of H₂ on the thermodynamic evolution of the primordial gas, the population of the rovibrational levels of H₂ must be computed explicitly. In order to compute the population densities of the rovibrational levels of H₂, an equation similar to equation (6) must be solved for each level $n_i = (v, j)$ of H₂:

$$\frac{1}{n_i}\frac{\mathrm{d}n_i}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{N_i}{n_i} - \frac{3}{a}\frac{\mathrm{d}a}{\mathrm{d}t},\tag{10}$$

where

$$N_{i} = \sum_{i \neq j}^{N} n_{j} P_{ji} - n_{i} \sum_{i \neq j}^{N} P_{ij}.$$
(11)



Figure 11. The black-body radiation temperature, T_r , gas kinetic temperature, T, and hydrogen nuclei density, n_H , as functions of the redshift, z.

with *N* the number of H₂ levels taken into account (see below), P_{ji} and P_{ij} the populating and depopulating rates:

$$P_{ij} = \begin{cases} A_{ij} + B_{ij}B_{\nu}(T_r) + C_{ij} & (i > j) \\ B_{ij}B_{\nu}(T_r) + C_{ij} & (i < j). \end{cases}$$
(12)

In the above equation, $B_v(T_r)$ is the Planck function, A_{ij} and B_{ij} are the H₂ Einstein coefficients for (quadrupole) spontaneous and stimulated emission, respectively, and the C_{ij} are the rates for inelastic and exchange collisions of H₂ with the perturbers H, He, H₂, H⁺, and e⁻ (see Flower et al. 2021, for more detailed information on the sources of these data). In particular, for collisions with H⁺, the recent state-to-state rate coefficients of González-Lezana et al. (2021) were adopted. We note that state-to-state exchange collisions with both H⁺ and H can lead to a change of the total nuclear spin of H₂ and hence to ortho-para conversion. On the other hand, the contribution of chemical reactions (formation and destruction of H₂) is not stateselective in our approach.

In contrast to H₂, HD has a small but finite dipole moment and hence dipolar radiative transitions are allowed. Such transitions are intrinsically faster than the quadrupole transitions which characterize H₂, and the radiative lifetime of the (v = 0, j = 1) level, which is somewhat less than a year, is ten orders of magnitude less than the age of the Universe. Results illustrating these points are presented in Section 4 below. The dynamical term, -3da/(adt), can thus be neglected. Collisions of HD with the neutral perturbers H, He, H₂ were taken into account following the analysis of Flower et al. (2000).

4 RESULTS

Following the procedure described in Section 3, we have computed the variation with z of the abundances of the chemical species and the population densities of the lower rovibrational levels of H₂ and HD. Eighteen levels of H₂ (up to 1.0×10^4 K above the ground state, i.e. v = 0, j = 0 to 10 and v = 1, j = 0 to 6) were taken into account and, in the case of HD, rotational levels j = 0 to 9 of the vibrational ground state. In view of the larger radiative rates applicable to HD, only the steady-state level populations were computed at each value of z. Results for z < 20 are not presented because at these redshifts the kinetic temperature is lower than 10 K, as shown Fig. 11.

MNRAS 531, 340-354 (2024)



Figure 12. Electron fraction as function of the redshift. The inset zooms into the region of He recombination.

4.1 Recombination

In Fig. 12, the free electron fraction is plotted as function of redshift. Our result is compared to the simple Saha equilibrium solution and to that obtained with the recombination code Recfast++ (Chluba & Thomas 2011).⁴ This code is based on the three-level atom model of Peebles (1968) and it has been shown to describe the electron fraction to within ~ 0.1 per cent compared to a full multilevel computation (Hart & Chluba 2018). The agreement between our result and the Saha solution is excellent at high z while for $z \leq 1000$, the present electron fraction is found to freeze-out at a value of $\sim 2 \times 10^{-4}$. Similarly to the OPR of H₂, this freeze-out occurs at low density when the hydrogen recombination time becomes longer than the Hubble time. The Recfast++ calculation shows that both He and H recombinations are significantly delayed with respect to our calculation, due to additional specific processes (Glover et al. 2014). At redshifts where molecular abundances become significant ($z \leq$ 500, see below); however, our electron fraction closely approaches the Recfast++ curve. This shows that our simple case-B treatment of hydrogen recombination is adequate for the present application.

The recombination of H and He also produces a non-thermal photon excess in the Wien tail of the CMB. These photons can enhance the photodestruction of atoms and molecules and such effects were investigated by Hirata & Padmanabhan (2006) and Coppola et al. (2013) in the case of the H⁻ photodetachement and H₂⁺ photodissociation processes. Coppola et al. (2013) found the abundances of H⁻, H₂, and HD to be reduced (by a factor of ~3) for z < 100, compared to models where the non-thermal photons are neglected. However, calculations of *z*-dependent non-thermal spectral features, and their overlap with photodestruction cross-sections, are complex and significant only for z < 100. Accordingly, and following W18, with whom we compare results, we also neglected these 'distortion' photons.



Figure 13. The fractional abundances, $n(X)/n_{\rm H}$, of significant atomic and molecular species, *X*, computed as functions of the redshift, *z*. The violet dashed line in the upper panel shows the HeH⁺ abundance when the Langevin rate constant is used for the destruction of HeH⁺ by H (reaction He5).

4.2 Atomic and molecular abundances

In Fig. 13 are plotted the fractional abundances, $n(X)/n_H$, where $n_{\rm H} = n(H) + 2n(H_2) + n(HD) + n(H^+)$, of significant species, X. Our results are broadly in agreement with those of W18, with the exceptions of HeH⁺ whose abundance continues to grow at $z \leq 300$ and approaches freeze-out only at redshifts z < 20 (see below). A similar increase of the HeH+ fractional abundance can be observed in fig. 2 of Galli & Palla (2013) and figs 6 and 7 of Courtney et al. (2021), presumably because of similar formation and destruction rates. In order to test the impact of the destruction rate of HeH⁺ by H, we have additionally run our chemistry with the Langevin rate constant $(k_L = 2.09 \times 10^{-9})$ for reaction He5), instead of the rate coefficient computed by Esposito et al. (2015). In this case, the HeH⁺ abundance (dashed line in Fig. 13) is almost constant and close to 10^{-15} at redshifts z < 300. The impact on the other abundances is, however, negigible. It should be noted that the dissociative recombination (DR) of HeH⁺ with electrons is neglected in our network, as in the minimal network of GP98. We have checked that including the recent experimental rate coefficient from Novotný et al. (2019) for the DR of HeH⁺ does not decrease significantly the HeH⁺ abundance, except at

⁴This code is available at http://www.Chluba.de/CosmoRec.



Figure 14. Left and middle: Boltzmann plots of the normalized populations, $n_i/n(X)$, of the energy levels *i* of $X = H_2$ and X = HD, divided by their statistical weights, g_i , at z = 295 (T = 722 K, $T_r = 792$ K), as functions of their excitation energies, E_i . The red and black dashed lines are the LTE solutions at T = 722 K and $T_r = 792$ K, respectively. *Right:* The values of the OPR of H₂ ratio, and the ratios of the populations of the two lowest rotational levels of H₂ and HD, computed as functions of the redshift, *z*.

redshifts $z \leq 15$. We stress that, because the temperature dependence of the thermal rate coefficient (Novotný et al. 2019, see their fig. 4) is poorly fitted with a modified-Arrhenius form, special care is required and a specific fit is recommended for this reaction (see Appendix B). We also note that the state-specific measurements of Novotný et al. (2019) were nicely reproduced by the calculations of Čurík, Hvizdoš & Greene (2020), confirming the non-monotonic low-temperature behaviour of the DR rate coefficient.

Similarly, we have tested the impact of the DR of H_2^+ , which is also neglected in our network. Using the theoretical thermal rate coefficient of Epée Epée et al. (2016), we have found that this reaction (with again a strongly non-monotonic temperature dependence) has a negligible impact on the H_2^+ abundance at redshifts $z \ge 20$. We have also checked that our poor fit for reaction H7) (radiative association of H and H⁺) below 50 K has only a moderate impact (less than a factor of 2) on the H_2^+ abundance, provided that $z \ge 20$. The strong decrease of H_2^+ is thus due to the charge exchange reaction with H (reaction H9), which forms H_2 at redshifts z > 100. We can also observe in the lower panel of Fig. 13 that the peak of the H_2^+ abundance is followed by a peak of the H^- abundance, which corresponds to the final jump in the abundance of H_2 .

Finally, we tested the impact of the reaction HD + H \rightarrow H₂ + D and its reverse reaction H₂ + D \rightarrow HD + H, also ignored in our network. The rate coefficients were taken from the quantum study of Desrousseaux, Coppola & Lique (2022) and the experimental evaluation of Michael, Su & Sutherland (2004), respectively, and the two reactions were found to be negligible except at redshifts 400 < z < 1300 where the HD abundance was reduced by up to a factor of 3 at $z \sim 1000$.

In general, the impact of our revised chemistry is found to be modest with respect to previous models, especially for the H_2 and HD abundances, suggesting that the rate coefficients for the major formation and destruction chemical routes in the (homogeneous) early Universe have converged and reached a sufficient level of accuracy and precision. The largest remaining uncertainty likely concerns the charge exchange between H_2^+ and H (reaction H9), the reverse process (reaction H10).

4.3 H₂ and HD populations

The level populations of HD and H₂ are shown at a redshift z = 295 in Fig. 14. As is evident from the left-hand panel, the populations of the levels of H₂ up to at least 10⁴ K (0.86 eV) above the ground level

are thermalized at the background radiation temperature $T_r = 792$ K. This disagrees with fig. 15 of $W18^5$ who find that the low-energy levels are thermalized by the CMB, although with populations that are about six orders of magnitude below their expected values. In contrast, high-energy levels have the values expected in equilibrium. Coppola et al. (2011) have shown that, at low z, the vibrational level populations of H₂ are determined by a balance between radiative, formation and destruction rates. Under these circumstances, the level populations become dependent on assumptions regarding the state-specificity of the reactive processes. However, the attribution of the six-orders-of-magnitude shift between the low- and high-energy level populations to some memory of the formation mechanism seems improbable (see below). Indeed, we have tested the impact of chemical pumping on the level populations of H₂ by using a simple steady-state model of excitation, including all 302 bound levels of H₂ i.e. up to v=14, j=4. Simple but physical prescriptions (based on enthalpy change) were used for the formation and destruction rates and strong deviations from LTE were found for highly excited and weakly populated levels of H₂ (those lying more than \sim 30000 K above the ground state). However, all lower levels were found to be accurately thermalized at the CMB temperature, indicating that highly excited levels have no influence on the low-lying levels investigated here. Finally, it should be noted that non-Boltzmann level populations in H_2^+ and H_2 were also found by Hirata & Padmanabhan (2006) and Alizadeh & Hirata (2011), respectively. However, in both studies, spectral distortions to the CMB were included so that it is difficult to disentangle the roles of reactive and radiative processes.

In the case of HD, our calculations show that the level populations are also thermalized with the radiation field (Fig. 14, middle panel). This is in disagreement with the results of W18 (their fig. 14) in which the population of some rovibrational levels are underpopulated relative to a Boltzmann distribution This situation could arise only through the intervention of inelastic or reactive collision processes. However, the rates for radiative, inelastic (with H, the main collider), and reactive collisions are, respectively, of the order of 10^{-7} s^{-1} (and up to 10^{-5} s^{-1}), < 10^{-9} s^{-1} (at z = 295), and < 10^{-11} s^{-1} (see

⁵Owing to the parameters adopted in the numerical integration, z = 295 was our nearest output point to z = 300; this difference is not significant in the context of the present comparison. Note also that we plot normalized populations, $n_i/n(X)$, while fractional abundances (relative to $n_{\rm H}$) are shown in Figs 14 and 15 of W18.

Fig. B1 and Appendix B). The HD reaction rates are even slower in W18 with rates below 10^{-13} s⁻¹ at z = 300 (their figs 8, 9, and 11, together with their equation (4) for $n_{\rm H}(z)$). On this basis, radiative transitions are the fastest and one expects the HD level populations to be thermalized at the temperature of the black-body radiation field, which is exactly the result of our own calculations.

For H₂ in its ground vibrational state, the corresponding rates of intra-para or intra-ortho radiative, inelastic collisions (with H or He), and reactive collisions are $>10^{-11}$ s⁻¹ (and up to 10^{-6} s⁻¹), $<10^{-12}$ s⁻¹ (at z = 295), and $<10^{-13}$ s⁻¹ (see Fig. B1 and Appendix B). Thus, in the case of the ground vibrational state of H₂, one also expects the populations of the para or ortho levels to be thermalized at the temperature of the black-body radiation field (the ortho:para ratio is considered in the following Section).

We note that higher rovibrational levels of HD and H₂ tend to be even more dominated by radiative processes, in view of the ν^3 (dipole) or ν^5 (quadrupole) frequency-dependence of the A values. Furthermore, any memory of state-specific formation processes would be very short, compared with the time-scale for destruction processes and adiabatic expansion, except for highly excited and weakly populated levels where the destruction rates exceed the radiative rates (Coppola et al. 2011).

4.4 Ortho:para freeze-out

While the level populations of H₂ are thermalized with the radiation field at z = 295, this is no longer the case at lower redshifts when Pop III progenitors form because the expansion time-scale becomes smaller that for ortho:para conversion reactions. The dynamical (cosmic expansion) term in equation (6) can be ignored only if the associated time-scale, $\tau_{\rm exp} \sim 1/3H(t)$, is significantly longer than the time-scale to reach a chemical and level population steadystate. In the case of H₂, the radiative lifetime of the lowest ortholevel (v = 0, i = 1), which decays to the lowest para-level (v = 0, i = 0, exceeds the age of the Universe by about three orders of magnitude. Therefore, the ortho:para conversion proceeds exclusively through proton exchange which is dominated by reactive collisions with H⁺ (see Fig. 13) at redshifts below $z \approx$ few 100. The associated timescale is $\tau_{op} \sim 1/(k_{op}n(\mathrm{H}^+)) \sim 4000/(1+z)^3$ Gyr, where a fractional abundance $n(H^+)/n_H = 2 \times 10^{-4}$ was adopted and $k_{\rm op} \sim 2 \times 10^{-10} \,\mathrm{cm^3 \, s^{-1}}$ from González-Lezana et al. (2022). Both the ortho:para conversion and the expansion time-scales increase with time from the big bang, but as the density of the gas decreases, owing to its expansion, τ_{op} comes to exceed τ_{exp} at $z \approx 50$. The only levels of H_2 which then retain a significant population are v =0, j = 1, and v = 0, j = 0, and hence the OPR 'freezes-out' at the corresponding value of n(v = 0, j = 1)/n(v = 0, j = 0).

This is illustrated in Fig. 14 (right-hand panel) which compares the OPR of H₂ with the n(v = 0, j = 1)/n(v = 0, j = 0 population ratio. It may be seen that the latter is close to its high temperature statistical value of 9 at high *z*, well above the actual OPR (\approx 3) and that the two ratios converge to the same value of \approx 0.4 for $z \leq 50$. In chemical steady state, on the other hand, the ortho:para ratio tends towards zero, as in fig. 19 of W18, because there is no restriction on the time available for transfer of population from v = 0, j = 1 to v =0, j = 0. Similar considerations apply to H₂⁺, which, like H₂, displays the ortho–para (nuclear spin) dichotomy. We note that the dynamical time calculated from equations (7)–(9) exceeds that calculated by Flower et al. (2021), who used equation (2) of FP00, resulting in delayed freeze-out and hence a lower value of the ortho:para ratio.

In contrast to H_2 , the expansion does not determine the relative populations of the two lowest rotational levels of HD (see Fig. 14) as the time-scale for population transfer always remains below the dynamical time-scale.

5 CONCLUDING REMARKS

We have studied the chemistry during the adiabatic expansion of the primordial gas, using the results of our determinations of the rate coefficients for atomic and molecular reactions and recent values of the relevant cosmological parameters. The molecular abundances, especially those of H_2 and HD, were found in good agreement with previous studies, suggesting that the dominant (thermal) reaction rate coefficients have now reached such an accuracy that they are no longer a limiting factor. Two important reactions that need further investigations are the charge exchange process between H_2^+ and H and its reverse reaction. In particular, the state-to-state (rovibrationally resolved) reaction dynamics of these two reactions should be investigated in order to revisit the rovibrational chemistry of H_2^+ , H_2 , and HD and re-examine deviations from Boltzmann distributions.

On the other hand, significant differences were found with the results of a detailed study by W18, particularly with regard to the variations with redshift, *z*, of the populations of the energy levels of H₂ and HD. At redshifts larger than \approx 100, we find the rovibrational levels population to be thermalized at the temperature of the cosmic background radiation field, whereas W18 found large deviations from thermal equilibrium. The reason for the discrepancy is unclear. Furthermore, our calculations show that, at *z* below \approx 50, expansion has a significant effect on the OPR of H₂, an aspect which was overlooked in W18.

While the chemical conditions during the adiabatic contraction that occurs in the initial phase of collapse have negligible impact on the thermodynamics of the gas (Omukai 2000; Flower & Pineau des Forêts 2001), this is no longer the case when molecular cooling dominates over compression work. Then, cooling by H₂ is expected to depend on the OPR of H₂ and the present calculations demonstrate the need for self-consistent calculations of the level populations and chemical abundances (including ortho:para conversion), taking into account the dynamics of the gas. A more complete network (including e.g. the three-body H₂ formation) appropriate to the highdensity reached during the collapse towards Pop III stars will be published in a future work. In this context, we finally note that the first application of our network to simulations of early structure formation, but restricted to densities lower than ~10⁵ cm⁻³, was published very recently by Lenoble et al. (2024).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank M. Gontel for his work on the early stages of the chemical network, and we acknowledge F. Lique and the financial support from the European Research Council (Consolidator Grant COLLEXISM, Grant Agreement No. 811363). M. Zammit is acknowledged for recalculating state-resolved cross-sections for the radiative association of H and H⁺ and the photodissociation of H₂⁺. We thank I. Schneider and N. Pop for sending us the thermal rate coefficient for the dissociative recombination of H₂⁺. We are grateful to A. Larson for providing cross-sections for the mutual neutralization of H⁻ and H⁺. We also thank D. Galli for sharing unpublished H and Herecombination spectrum data and B. Desrousseaux for providing the thermal rate coefficient for HD + H. B. Commerçon, T. González-Lezana, R. Lenoble, J. Loreau, O. Roncero, J. Rosdahl, Y. Scribano, and X. Urbain are finally acknowledged for useful discussions. DRF acknowledges the provision of local computing resources.

DATA AVAILABILITY

The data generated by this study are available on request to the corresponding author.

REFERENCES

- Alizadeh E., Hirata C. M., 2011, Phys. Rev. D, 84, 083011
- Bromm V., Larson R. B., 2004, ARA&A, 42, 79
- Bromm V., Yoshida N., 2011, ARA&A, 49, 373
- Chluba J., Thomas R. M., 2011, MNRAS, 412, 748
- Chluba J., Fung J., Switzer E. R., 2012, MNRAS, 423, 3227
- Cízek M., Horácek J., Domcke W., 1998, J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys., 31, 2571
- Cooke R. J., Pettini M., Steidel C. C., 2018, ApJ, 855, 102
- Coppola C. M., Longo S., Capitelli M., Palla F., Galli D., 2011, ApJS, 193, 7
- Coppola C. M., Galli D., Palla F., Longo S., Chluba J., 2013, MNRAS, 434, 114
- Coppola C. M., Lique F., Mazzia F., Esposito F., Kazandjian M. V., 2019, MNRAS, 486, 1590
- Courtney E. D. S., Forrey R. C., McArdle R. T., Stancil P. C., Babb J. F., 2021, ApJ, 919, 70
- Čurík R., Hvizdoš D., Greene C. H., 2020, Phys. Rev. Lett., 124, 043401
- Desrousseaux B., Coppola C. M., Lique F., 2022, MNRAS, 513, 900
- Epée Epée M. D., Mezei J. Z., Motapon O., Pop N., Schneider I. F., 2016, MNRAS, 455, 276
- Esposito F., Coppola C. M., De Fazio D., 2015, J. Phys. Chem. A, 119, 12615
- Fehsenfeld F. C., Albritton D. L., Bush Y. A., Fournier P. G., Govers T. R., Fournier J., 1974, J. Chem. Phys., 61, 2150
- Ferland G. J., Peterson B. M., Horne K., Welsh W. F., Nahar S. N., 1992, ApJ, 387, 95
- Finkelstein S. L. et al., 2023, ApJ, 946, L13
- Flower D. R., Pineau des Forêts G., 2000, MNRAS, 316, 901 (FP00)
- Flower D. R., Pineau des Forêts G., 2001, MNRAS, 323, 672
- Flower D. R., Seaton M. J., 1969, Comput. Phys. Commun., 1, 31
- Flower D. R., Le Bourlot J., Pineau des Forêts G., Roueff E., 2000, MNRAS, 314, 753
- Flower D. R., Pineau des Forêts G., Hily-Blant P., Faure A., Lique F., González-Lezana T., 2021, MNRAS, 507, 3564
- Fussen D., Kubach C., 1986, J. Phys. B At. Mol. Phys., 19, L31
- Galli D., Palla F., 1998, A&A, 335, 403 (GP98)
- Galli D., Palla F., 2013, ARA&A, 51, 163
- Gerlich D., 1992, Inhomogeneous RF Fields: A Versatile Tool for the Study of Processes with Slow Ions. John Wiley and Sons, Ltd., New York, p. 1
- Gerlich D., Jusko P., Roučka Š., Zymak I., Plašil R., Glosík J., 2012, ApJ, 749, 22
- Ghosh S., Sahoo T., Baer M., Adhikari S., 2021, J. Phys. Chem. A, 125, 731
- Glover S. C. O., 2015, MNRAS, 451, 2082
- Glover S. C. O., Abel T., 2008, MNRAS, 388, 1627
- Glover S. C. O., Chluba J., Furlanetto S. R., Pritchard J. R., Savin D. W., 2014, Adv. At. Mol. Opt. Phys., 63, 135
- González-Lezana T., Hily-Blant P., Faure A., 2021, J. Chem. Phys., 154, 054310
- González-Lezana T., Hily-Blant P., Faure A., 2022, J. Chem. Phys., 157, 214302
- Greif T. H., 2015, Comput. Astrophys. Cosmol., 2, 3
- Hart L., Chluba J., 2018, MNRAS, 474, 1850
- Hartwig T. et al., 2022, ApJ, 936, 45
- Henchman M. J., Adams N. G., Smith D., 1981, J. Chem. Phys., 75, 1201
- Hirata C. M., Padmanabhan N., 2006, MNRAS, 372, 1175
- Hollenbach D., McKee C. F., 1979, ApJS, 41, 555
- Honvault P., Scribano Y., 2013a, J. Phys. Chem. A, 117, 9778
- Honvault P., Scribano Y., 2013b, J. Phys. Chem. A, 117, 13205
- Hummer D. G., Seaton M. J., 1963, MNRAS, 125, 437
- Hummer D. G., Storey P. J., 1998, MNRAS, 297, 1073
- Igarashi A., Lin C. D., 1999, Phys. Rev. Lett., 83, 4041

- Ishigaki M. N., Tominaga N., Kobayashi C., Nomoto K., 2018, ApJ, 857, 46
- Karpas Z., Anicich V., Huntress W. T., 1979, J. Chem. Phys., 70, 2877
- Kreckel H., Bruhns H., Čížek M., Glover S. C. O., Miller K. A., Urbain X., Savin D. W., 2010, Science, 329, 69
- Krstić P. S., 2002, Phys. Rev. A, 66, 042717
- Le Bourlot J., Pineau des Forêts G., Flower D. R., 1999, MNRAS, 305, 802
- Lee T., Jeon M., Bromm V., 2024, MNRAS, 527, 1257
- Lenoble R., Commerçon B., Rosdahl J., 2024, preprint (arXiv:2401.16821)
- Lepers M., Guillon G., Honvault P., 2021, MNRAS, 500, 430
- Lepp S., Shull J. M., 1984, ApJ, 280, 465
- Loreau J., Lecointre J., Urbain X., Vaeck N., 2011, Phys. Rev. A, 84, 053412
- Martinez O., Yang Z., Betts N. B., Snow T. P., Bierbaum V. M., 2009, ApJ, 705, L172
- McLaughlin B. M., Stancil P. C., Sadeghpour H. R., Forrey R. C., 2017, J. Phys. B At. Mol. Phys., 50, 114001
- Michael J. V., Su M.-C., Sutherland J. W., 2004, J. Phys. Chem. A, 108, 432
- Miller K. A., Bruhns H., Eliášek J., Čížek M., Kreckel H., Urbain X., Savin D. W., 2011, Phys. Rev. A, 84, 052709
- Miyake S., Gay C. D., Stancil P. C., 2011, ApJ, 735, 21
- Nahar S. N., 2010, New Astron., 15, 417
- Neufeld D. A., Goto M., Geballe T. R., Güsten R., Menten K. M., Wiesemeyer H., 2020, ApJ, 894, 37
- Nkambule S. M., Elander N., Larson Å., Lecointre J., Urbain X., 2016, Phys. Rev. A, 93, 032701
- Novotný O. et al., 2019, Science, 365, 676
- Omukai K., 2000, ApJ, 534, 809
- Peart B., Hayton D. A., 1992, J. Phys. B At. Mol. Phys., 25, 5109
- Pedersen H. B. et al., 2007, Phys. Rev. Lett., 98, 223202
- Peebles P. J. E., 1968, ApJ, 153, 1
- Planck Collaboration VI, 2020, A&A, 641, A6
- Puy D., Alecian G., Le Bourlot J., Leorat J., Pineau des Forêts G., 1993, A&A, 267, 337
- Rutherford J. A., Vroom D. A., 1973, J. Chem. Phys., 58, 4076
- Saha S., Datta K. K., Basu D., Barua A. K., 1980, J. Phys. B At. Mol. Phys., 13, 3755
- Sanz-Sanz C., Aguado A., Roncero O., 2021, J. Chem. Phys., 154, 104104 Savin D. W., 2002, ApJ, 566, 599
- Savin D. W., Krstić P. S., Haiman Z., Stancil P. C., 2004, ApJ, 606, L167
- Stancil P. C., Babb J. F., Dalgarno A., 1993, ApJ, 414, 672
- Stancil P. C., Lepp S., Dalgarno A., 1998, ApJ, 509, 1
- Stanke M., Kędziera D., Molski M., Bubin S., Barysz M., Adamowicz L., 2006, Phys. Rev. Lett., 96, 233002
- Switzer E. R., Hirata C. M., 2008, Phys. Rev. D, 77, 083006
- Tegmark M., Silk J., Rees M. J., Blanchard A., Abel T., Palla F., 1997, ApJ, 474, 1
- Urbain X. et al., 2013, Phys. Rev. Lett., 111, 203201
- von Busch F., Dunn G. H., 1972, Phys. Rev. A, 5, 1726
- Walker K. M., Porter R. L., Stancil P. C., 2018, ApJ, 867, 152 (W18)
- Yan M., Sadeghpour H. R., Dalgarno A., 1998, ApJ, 496, 1044
- Yoshida N., 2019, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. B, 95, 17
- Yoshida N., Omukai K., Hernquist L., Abel T., 2006, ApJ, 652, 6
- Zammit M. C. et al., 2017, ApJ, 851, 64
- Zeldovich Y. B., Kurt V. G., Syunyaev R. A., 1968, Z. Eksp. Teor. Fiz., 55, 278
- Zel'dovich Y. B., Kurt V. G., Syunyaev R. A., 1969, Sov. J. Exp. Theor. Phys., 28, 146
- Zhao Z. X., Igarashi A., Lin C. D., 2000, Phys. Rev. A, 62, 042706
- Zygelman B., Stancil P. C., Dalgarno A., 1998, ApJ, 508, 151

APPENDIX A: DETAILED BALANCE AND SAHA EQUILIBRIUM

For a general photoionization reaction $X^i + \nu \rightarrow X^{i+1} + e^-$, the detailed balance relation at full thermal equilibrium, i.e. when the heavy-particle, electron, and radiation temperatures are all equal (T_k)

 $= T_e = T_r = T$) can be written as

$$\frac{k^{I}(T)}{k^{R}(T)} = \frac{2g_{i+1}}{g_{i}} \frac{(2\pi m_{e}k_{B}T)^{3/2}}{h^{3}} \exp(-\chi/T),$$
(A1)

where $k^{R}(T)$ is the recombination (or radiative attachment) rate coefficient, g_i is the statistical weigth of atoms in the *i*th state of ionization, k_B is the Boltzmann constant, and χ is the ionization potential (in Kelvin) from ionization state *i* to *i* + 1. The right-hand side of equation (A1) is the Saha ratio of populations $(n_{i+1}n_e/n_i)$ i.e. the Saha equilibrium solution. It should be noticed that equation (A1) involves both spontaneous and stimulated $(X^{i+1} + e^- + \nu_b \rightarrow X^i + \nu + \nu_b)$ radiative processes.

APPENDIX B: CHEMICAL AND DYNAMICAL TIMESCALES

Referring to equations (6) and (7) of the main text, the significance of the dynamical (adiabatic expansion) term, relative to chemical formation or destruction, may be assessed by comparing the magnitudes of the rates on the rhs of equation (6). In Fig. B1, we plot corresponding dynamical time-scale, 1/[3H(t)], with the chemical time-scales, given by the rate per unit volume of a formation or destruction reaction, divided by the species number density.⁶ Note that we have added in the lower panels of Fig. B1 the chemical times scales for the DR of H₂⁺ and HeH⁺, two destruction reactions not included in our network (see our discussion in Section 4.2). The rate coefficients were fitted using the modified-Arrhenius form over specific temperature ranges (T = 1-2000 K for H₂⁺ and T = 1–100 K for HeH⁺) with parameters $\alpha = 9.00 \times 10^{-9}$ cm³ s⁻¹, $\beta = -1.00$, $\gamma = -3.49$ K for H₂⁺ and $\alpha = 9.87 \times 10^{-10}$ cm³ s⁻¹, $\beta = -0.352$, $\gamma = 0$ K for HeH⁺, respectively, based on the measurements of Novotný et al. (2019) and the calculations of Epée Epée et al. (2016).

As may be seen from the lower-left panel of Fig. B1, in the case of H_{2}^{+} the time-scales of reactions H7) (formation) and H9) (destruction) remain shorter than the dynamical time-scale up to z = 20 (and below), and the fractional abundance of H_2^+ continues to decrease⁷, as shown in Fig. 13. A similar results is observed in the lowerright panel of Fig. B1 for HeH⁺, although we note in this case that the time-scales of chemical reactions that both form and destroy HeH⁺ become greater than the dynamical time-scale for $z \leq 10$ (not plotted), where its fractional abundance freezes out with a fractional abundance of $\sim 10^{-14}$. We can also notice that the DR time-scale for both H_2^+ and HeH⁺ is substantially greater than the time-scale for destruction by H over the entire range of Fig. B1, in agreement with our discussion in Section 4.2. In the case of H_2 and HD (upper panels in Fig. B1), the time-scales of the formation reactions become greater than the dynamical time-scale for $z \leq 100$, where their fractional abundances are indeed shown to freeze out in Fig. 13.

⁷Although not apparent on the scale of Fig. B1, the rate of destruction of H_2^+ exceeds its rate of formation at low values of *z*.

⁶Walker et al. (2018) plot, in their fig. 7 for example, the rates (s^{-1}) of formation and destruction reactions.



Figure B1. A comparison of the time-scales for formation (in blue) and destruction (in red) of the indicated molecular species, computed as functions of the redshift, z, and compared with the dynamical time-scale for adiabatic expansion, 1/[3H(t)]; see text, Section 4.

This paper has been typeset from a T_EX/LAT_EX file prepared by the author.