

Exploring the Correlation between Pressure and Bone Volume Fraction: Introduction to a Novel Experimental Device

Elisa Bertrand, Guilhem Rival, Nina Attik, Rémy Gauthier

To cite this version:

Elisa Bertrand, Guilhem Rival, Nina Attik, Rémy Gauthier. Exploring the Correlation between Pressure and Bone Volume Fraction: Introduction to a Novel Experimental Device. 2024. hal-04737964ff

HAL Id: hal-04737964 <https://hal.science/hal-04737964v1>

Preprint submitted on 15 Oct 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

[Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

Exploring the Correlation between Pressure and Bone Volume Fraction: Introduction to a Novel Experimental Device

Elisa Bertrand

Univ Lyon, CNRS, INSA Lyon, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, MATEIS, UMR5510, 69621 Villeurbanne, France ; LGEF - Laboratoire de Génie Électrique et Ferroélectricité, INSA Lyon, LGEF, UR682, 69621 Villeurbanne, France

Guilhem Rival

LGEF - Laboratoire de Génie Électrique et Ferroélectricité, INSA Lyon, LGEF, UR682, 69621 Villeurbanne, France

Nina Attik

Universite Claude Bernard Lyon 1, CNRS, UMR 5615, Laboratoire des Multimatériaux et Interfaces, F-69622 Villeurbanne, France ; Univ Lyon, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, Faculté d'Odontologie, 69372 Lyon cedex 08, France

Rémy Gauthier *

Univ Lyon, CNRS, INSA Lyon, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, MATEIS, UMR5510, 69621 Villeurbanne, France

***Corresponding author.** Email[: remy.gauthier@cnrs.fr](mailto:remy.gauthier@cnrs.fr)

Keywords*:* Bone fluid flow; porous network; intramedullary pressure; experimental device

1. Introduction

Bone mechanobiology is known to be associated with fluid movements within a multiscale porous network. During loading, the pressure gradients developed in this porosity promote fluid flow across bone matrix that is sensed by bone cells though the fluid flow-induced shear stress. It is generally assumed that pores pressure gradients arise from bone matrix deformation. However, recent research has reviewed that the intramedullary pressure (ImP) can also promote fluid flow without bone matrix deformation (Fernandes Da Costa et al., 2024). This ImP is known to be associated with blood pressure, and increases during muscle stimulation or external loading of the bone. In the current study, the ImP will be considered as an essential boundary conditions to induce fluid flow within bone porous network.

In that context, a new *ex vivo* experimental device has been developed in order to monitor the pressure developed within a bone explant subjected to an applied hydrostatic pressure. In the current study, preliminary results on the relationships between the pressure developed within the bone's and the bone vascular porous network are introduced.

2. Methods

2.1. The experimental set up

The device is a "Hassler" cell made of polycaprolactone, designed to allow the perfusion of a cylindrical bone sample while preventing leakage from the sample's surface. To that end, the sample is wrapped into a cylindrical soft sleeve onto which a constant hydrostatic pressure is applied (-5 bar) that is higher that the inlet pressure within the sample. The bone sample will thus be investigated in a confined configuration. The set up allows the application of a fluid flow or pressure through the top of the cylindrical samples using a pressure controller (OB1 MK4, Elveflow, France), while the samples outlet pressure is measured using a pressure sensor with sensitivity of 4 mbar (MPS 3, Elveflow, France).

2.2. Bone samples

Bovine bones from different anatomical regions were collected from the butcher. Cylindrical samples, 10 mm in diameter and height, were prepared from epiphyses, composed of trabecular bone, or metaphyses, with a gradient from trabecular to cortical bone, in order to evaluate samples with different vascular pores morphologies ($n = 6$). The samples were immersed in acetone and subjected to a 20-minute ultrasonic bath to remove any remaining bone marrow. Bone architecture was analysed through micro-computed tomography $(V|Tomek, Phoenix X-ray)$, with an isotropic voxel size of 20 µm. Data processing involved Otsu thresholding to segment the bone part. Subsequently, bone volume fraction over the tissue volume $(BV/TV (%))$ was then calculated.

2.3. Samples pressurization

The samples were infiltrated with a saline solution. A step loading pressure (ranging from 0 to 1000 mbar) was swiftly applied at the top of the sample. The pressure was maintained at 1000 mbar until equilibrium was reached, as indicated by stable pressure measurements. The resulting pressure relaxation curves were processed by fitting a stretched exponential function [\(Figure 1\)](#page-2-0):

$$
p(t) = A\left(1 - e^{-\left(\frac{t}{\tau}\right)^{\beta}}\right) + B, \qquad \text{Eq.1}
$$

where p (mbar) is the measured pressure, t (s) is the time, τ (s) is the time constant, β is a constant used to stretch ($β$ < 1) or compress ($β$ > 1) the exponential and adjust the fitting (Qin et al., 2002), A and B are two constants associated with the pressure magnitude.

The relationships between the BV/TV and time constant was then analysed.

Figure 1:Example of the pressure vs time curve showing the applied, the measured, and the fitted pressure. Sample B2: BV/TV = 35 %, τ *= 0.31 s*

3. Results and discussion

The samples BV/TV ranged from 24 to 89%. Conversely, the time constants ranged from 0.31 to 23.51 s (Table 1). By analysing the relationships between these two parameters, a significant Pearson negative correlation was observed between BV/TV and $1/\tau$ (Table 1).

Table 1:Summary of the median value for BV/TV, the time constant and the time constant, its inverse for each sample and results from the Pearson correlation analysis. SEE: standard error of the estimate of the resulting linear fit

These preliminary results suggest that bone vascular network pressurization is not immediate but rather requires more time as the bone density increases. This challenges the hypothesis used in a previous study that states that fluid relaxation in the vascular pores induced by an increase in the ImP could be neglected. The assumption that the pressure relaxation curve solely characterizes the lacunocanalicular network (LCN) (Gardinier et al., 2010) may require reconsideration. Characterizing the LCN is of great interest as the osteocytes trapped within it are assumed to be the orchestrators of bone remodelling. Hence, understanding how fluids flow within the LCN is of major importance to better handle bone mechanobiology.

While only six samples have been investigated in the current study, these preliminary results show that it is relevant to investigate bone explants with different vascular morphology to enhance our understanding of bone fluid flow behaviour induced by ImP. Consequently, more samples will be examined with this new experimental device in order to validate the relationship between bone vascular network and the pressurization time constant. Additionally, the streaming potentials within the explant will also be evaluated. Such potentials

arise from bone when fluid flows within the LCN. Therefore, evaluating streaming potentials during the application of a pressure is relevant to distinguish the induced fluid flow within the lacuno-canalicular networks.

4. Conclusions

The present study introduces a new experimental device allowing to investigate bone fluid relaxation within a bone explant subjected to a simulated ImP. The preliminary results suggested that the fluid relaxation time within bone vascular network cannot be neglected.

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank François Cauwet for the manufacturing of the experimental device.

Funding

This work has been partly funded by INSA Lyon, the CBRS, and the IFRO.

Conflict of Interest Statement

The authors declare no conflict of interest

References

Fernandes Da Costa, C., Attik, N., & Gauthier, R. (2024). Influence of intramedullary pressure on lacunocanalicular fluid flow: a systematic review. *Acta Biomaterialia*.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actbio.2024.03.003

- Gardinier, J. D., Townend, C. W., Jen, K.-P., Wu, Q., Duncan, R. L., & Wang, L. (2010). In situ permeability measurement of the mammalian lacunar–canalicular system. *Bone*, *46*(4), 1075– 1081. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bone.2010.01.371
- Lemaire, T., Lemonnier, S., & Naili, S. (2012). On the paradoxical determinations of the lacunocanalicular permeability of bone. *Biomechanics and Modeling in Mechanobiology*, *11*(7), 933–946. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10237-011-0363-6
- Qin, Y.-X., Lin, W., & Rubin, C. T. (2002). The pathway of bone fluid flow as defined by in vivo intramedullary pressure and streaming potential measurements. *Annals of Biomedical Engineering*, *30*(5), 693–702. https://doi.org/10.1114/1.1483863

Received date:03/04/2024 Accepted date: 28/06/2024 Published date: XX/XX/2024 Volume: 1 **Publication year: 2024**