



**HAL**  
open science

## Metrological concepts in biology courses in higher education

Myriam Régent-Kloeckner, Clément Maisch, Christophe Daussy

► **To cite this version:**

Myriam Régent-Kloeckner, Clément Maisch, Christophe Daussy. Metrological concepts in biology courses in higher education. 14th conference of European Researchers in Didactics of Biology, ERI-BOD, Jul 2024, Lyon, France. hal-04737686

**HAL Id: hal-04737686**

**<https://hal.science/hal-04737686v1>**

Submitted on 15 Oct 2024

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - NoDerivatives 4.0 International License



# ERIDOB2024

14<sup>th</sup> Conference of European Researchers  
in Didactics of Biology  
Lyon - France, July 1<sup>st</sup>-5<sup>th</sup>



CONFERENCE  
BOOK

<b>Teaching socioscientific issues and socioscientific inquiry</b>	. . . . .	4
<b>Students' Values, Attitudes and Decision Making</b>	. . . . .	13
<b>Students' Conceptions and Conceptual Reconstruction</b>	. . . . .	20
<b>Professionalizing future biology teachers</b>	. . . . .	31
<b>Environmental Education</b>	. . . . .	94
<b>Promoting Experimentation and Modelling Competencies</b>	. . . . .	101
<b>Opportunities to address Socioscientific Issue</b>	. . . . .	112
<b>Teaching with textbooks / newsletters and interdisciplinary teaching</b>	. . . . .	122
<b>Sex Education / Gender Identity</b>	. . . . .	144
<b>Scientific Thinking and Mechanistic Explanations</b>	. . . . .	154
<b>Students' Conceptions and Conceptual Reconstruction 2</b>	. . . . .	166
<b>Teaching biodiversity/ sustainability</b>	. . . . .	176
<b>Informal Education</b>	. . . . .	199
<b>Scientific Inquiry / Field Work</b>	. . . . .	211
<b>Socioscientific Issues and activism</b>	. . . . .	224
<b>Teaching experimentation / lab methods</b>	. . . . .	231
<b>Environmental Education: Attitudes</b>	. . . . .	284
<b>Students' conception and Conceptual Reconstruction 3</b>	. . . . .	296
<b>New Approaches to NOS, Transfer, Philosophy, Complexity</b>	. . . . .	305
<b>Teaching with digital media</b>	. . . . .	315
<b>Environmental Education: Citizenship, Literacy, Climate Change</b>	. . . . .	383
<b>Students' conceptions and conceptual reconstruction 4</b>	. . . . .	396
<b>Narrative Writing and Multimodal Texts</b>	. . . . .	402
<b>Teaching for plant awareness</b>	. . . . .	412
<b>Teaching for Understanding and Meaning Making</b>	. . . . .	421
<b>Nature experiences, Nature connectedness, systems thinking</b>	. . . . .	428
<b>Environmental Education /Student argumentation</b>	. . . . .	437
<b>Teaching biology topics</b>	. . . . .	444

## **SYMPOSIUM**

<b>Making sense of uncertainty in biology education: Issues of trust, tentativeness and complexity</b>	. . . . .	. 40
<b>Teaching Biodiversity</b>	. . . . .	. 134
<b>When Socioscientific Inquiry-Based Learning meets Open Schooling: The COSMOS approach to Science Education</b>	. . . . .	. 185
<b>The role of Biology Education in Preparing for a warming World</b>	. . . . .	. 242

## **ROUNDTABLE**

<b>Diversity and Inclusion</b>	. . . . .	. 454
<b>Health Education</b>	. . . . .	. 327
<b>Posters session 1</b>	. . . . .	. 53
<b>Posters session 2</b>	. . . . .	. 251
<b>Posters session 3</b>	. . . . .	. 338



# Promoting experimentation and modelling competencies

# Metrological concepts in biology courses in higher education

*Myriam Régent-Kloeckner<sup>1</sup>, Clément Maisch<sup>2</sup>, and Christophe Daussy<sup>3</sup>*

<sup>1</sup>*Sciences et Société ; Historicité, Education et Pratiques – Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1*

<sup>2</sup>*Laboratoire de Didactique André Revuz – Université de Rouen Normandie, Université de Lille, Université Paris-Est Créteil Val-de-Marne - Paris 12, Université Paris Cité, CY Cergy Paris Université*

<sup>3</sup>*Laboratoire de Physique des Lasers – Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, Université Sorbonne Paris nord, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique : UMR7538*

## **Theoretical background or rationale**

Measurements are central in scientific research and development activities. Unfortunately the concepts of metrology - the science of measurement - are obscure to most biology researchers (Houle and al., 2011). However, adjustments should be done to the subjects studied in biology and their features (Montevil, 2019). Lange (2000) pinpoints a key question about meaningfulness and reliability of a measurement. This issue is linked to the nature of science and to the representational measurement theory which discriminates against the different kinds of attributes, or scales type of measurement (Houle and al., 2011; Lange, 2000). Therefore, developing a culture of concepts of metrology might improve future researchers' skills but also students' confidence in scientific results. Regarding biology education, this reflection is central to teach how a discrepancy between a measurement and its meaning could lead students to mistakes in the analysis and the interpretation of data. Actually, the metrology issue is introduced in the high school physics and chemistry curricula and the French national standards for bachelor's degrees of experimental sciences (2015) mention related skills such as "identifying error sources to calculate uncertainties for an experimental result". Despite this, it appears that few biology course syllabi include metrology concepts.

Some science education studies dealt with the teaching and learning of quantities and measurement concepts at primary, secondary school and university level in physics and mathematics (Caussariou & Tiberghien, 2015, Auteur 2, amongst others). Only one comparative research dealing with biology education was conducted by Fondère et al. (1998) about specific tasks related to measurement during practical work sessions in biology, chemistry, and physics. The authors noticed some characteristics of the disciplines and underlined the significance of a decision regarding the quality of measurement values. While this study deals with uncertainties in measuring systems, a broader issue based on the teaching of metrology is not discussed.

As few researches deal with this issue, we lead an exploratory study to investigate the place of measurement education in the first years of university degree of biology in France. Thus, we look at the concepts and methods dedicated to metrology formulated in exercise and practical session documents. We also explore, through interviews, teachers point of views about the role of measurement for research in biology and its position in education.

## **Research design and methodology:**

Firstly, biology and physics course materials (exercise and practical sessions) of first year university degree of biology provided to students are collected then analysed through a grid set up on the work of Fondère and al. (1998) and on the main axes of metrology (Perdijon, 2012). Concepts and methods of measurements are characterised through five rubrics: type of data and measurement; implementation; management; results and values processing; interpretation. Secondly, we interview four biology teachers implied in the analysed biology courses. The interview's guide is constructed to explore the intended purposes dealing with measurement in the teaching sessions as well as their conceptions (as scientist) of the measurement in their research field. Finally, to investigate if our results are reflecting a trend of most university degrees, we are developing a questionnaire that will be addressed to head teachers of biology degrees across France.

## **Findings:**

Our analyse of biology course materials reveals that students carry out some measurements during practical work sessions and analyse quantitative or qualitative data by processing and interpreting them in exercise sessions. However, measurement is not an explicit goal of learning: data are mainly descriptive, measurement implementation paragraphs are mostly informative or descriptive and with rare instances of critical examination. Furthermore, major metrological concepts and methods are notably absent: there is no item dealing with measurement management and decision-making about confidence in values – the

quality of data (reliability, validity) is never questioned –, no item questioning the compliance of the model and items about reflexive feedback on the measurement protocol are rare. The variability (caused by measurement or by biological process) is largely unaddressed.

All the interviewed teachers pinpoint the importance of understanding scales, orders of magnitude, and the fundamental characteristics of certain experimental techniques in their teaching sessions. The mentioned data are mainly quantitative (or countable). The scale diversity seems to be influenced by the biological speciality (animal, plant or cell biology, genetics). Nonetheless, they don't spontaneously address issues of measurement reliability and validity. These observations are consistent with their measurement definition linked to a quantification and a technique of measurement. Despite their unanimous recognition of the importance of measurements in biology research, measurement education is mostly delegated to physics and mathematics lectures without questioning the specificity of measuring biological features.

### **Conclusions:**

These results enlighten our vision of the place of measurement in biology education in the first years of university. They highlight certain deficiencies, mainly about the quality of data. In the near futures, we expect for the presentation to enrich our findings with the analyses of physics courses materials and draw a wider overview of measurement education in French biology degree with the result of our questionnaire. Beyond this exploratory study, a specific measurement education included in those sessions could be design to address this issue in collaboration with the teachers.

### **Reference list:**

- Caussarieu, A & Tiberghien, A. (2017). When and Why Are the Values of Physical Quantities Expressed with Uncertainties? A Case Study of a Physics Undergraduate Laboratory Course. *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*. 15, 997-1015.
- Fondere, F., Pernot, C., & Richard-Molard, C. (1998). Analyse comparative de la gestion du mesurage en TP de DEUG à Orsay (biologie, chimie et physique). *Didaskalia*, 12, 63- 92.
- Houle, D., Pelabon, C., Wagner, G. P., & Hansen, T. F. (2011). Measurement and meaning in biology. *The Quarterly Review of Biology*, 86(1), 3-34.
- Lange, J.-M. (2000). Les relations biologie/mathématiques interrogent l'enseignement des sciences de la vie. *Aster*, 30, 123-142.
- Montevil, M. (2019). Measurement in biology is methodized by theory. *Biology & Philosophy*, 34(3), 35.
- Perdijon, J. (2012). La mesure : Histoire, science et technique. Vuibert.