

# Lysosomal membrane proteins LAMP1 and LIMP2 are segregated in the Golgi apparatus independently of their clathrin adaptor binding motif

Jason Ecard, Yen-Ling Lian, Séverine Divoux, Zelia Gouveia, Emmanuelle Vigne, Franck Perez, Gaelle Boncompain

#### ▶ To cite this version:

Jason Ecard, Yen-Ling Lian, Séverine Divoux, Zelia Gouveia, Emmanuelle Vigne, et al.. Lysosomal membrane proteins LAMP1 and LIMP2 are segregated in the Golgi apparatus independently of their clathrin adaptor binding motif. Molecular Biology of the Cell, 2024, 35 (3), 10.1091/mbc.E23-06-0251. hal-04736401

# HAL Id: hal-04736401 https://hal.science/hal-04736401v1

Submitted on 14 Oct 2024

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés. 1 Lysosomal membrane proteins LAMP1 and LIMP2 are segregated in the Golgi 2 apparatus independently of their clathrin adaptor binding motif.

3 4

Jason Ecard<sup>1,2</sup>, Yen-Ling Lian<sup>1</sup>, Séverine Divoux<sup>1</sup>, Zelia Gouveia<sup>1</sup>, Emmanuelle
 Vigne<sup>2</sup>, Franck Perez<sup>1\*</sup> and Gaelle Boncompain<sup>1\*</sup>

7 <sup>1</sup> Dynamics of Intracellular Organization Laboratory, Institut Curie, PSL Research

- 8 University, Sorbonne Université, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, UMR
  9 144, Paris, France.
- <sup>2</sup> Large Molecule Research, Sanofi, Vitry-sur-Seine, France.
- 11 \* contributed equally
- 12

13 Abstract

14 To reach the lysosome, lysosomal membrane proteins (LMPs) are translocated in the 15 endoplasmic reticulum after synthesis and then transported to the Golgi apparatus.

16 The existence of a direct transport from the Golgi apparatus to the endosomes but 17 also of an indirect route through the plasma membrane has been described.

also of an indirect route through the plasma membrane has been described.

18 Clathrin adaptor binding motifs contained in the cytosolic tail of LMPs have been described as key players in their intracellular trafficking. Here we used the RUSH 19 assay to synchronise the biosynthetic transport of multiple LMPs. After exiting the 20 21 Golgi apparatus, RUSH-synchronised LAMP1 was addressed to the cell surface both 22 after overexpression or at endogenous level. Its YXX $\Phi$  motif was not involved in the transport from the Golgi apparatus to the plasma membrane but in its endocytosis. 23 LAMP1 and LIMP2 were sorted from each other after reaching the Golgi apparatus. 24 25 LIMP2 was incorporated in punctate structures for export from the Golgi apparatus 26 from which LAMP1 is excluded. LIMP2-containing post-Golgi transport intermediates 27 did not rely neither on its adaptor binding signal nor on its C-terminal cytoplasmic 28 domain.

29

## 30 Abbreviations

- 31 CLEM: Correlative Light-Electron Microscopy
- 32 EGFP: Enhanced Green Fluorescent Protein
- 33 ER: Endoplasmic Reticulum
- 34 FP: Fluorescent Protein
- 35 LE/Lys.: Late Endosomes and Lysosomes
- 36 LMP: Lysosomal Membrane Protein
- 37 RUSH: Retention Using Selective Hooks
- 38 SBP: Streptavidin Binding Peptide
- 39 TGN: *Trans*-Golgi Network
- 40 TIRF: Total Internal Fluorescence Microscopy
- 41 MPR: Mannose-6-Phosphate Receptors

### 43 Introduction

44 Lysosomes are membrane-bound organelles ensuring multiple functions necessary to 45 cellular homeostasis such as degradation of molecules. Lysosomal proteins include soluble luminal enzymes and membrane integral proteins. For their delivery to late 46 47 endosomes and lysosomes (LE/Lys.), neo-synthesised lysosomal membrane proteins (LMPs) are translocated into the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) and transported to the 48 Golgi apparatus. From there, LMP can follow a direct Golgi-to-endosome transport 49 50 route, referred to as the direct pathway. Alternatively, they can be targeted to the plasma membrane and reach the endosomes by endocytosis, this pathway being 51 52 called the indirect pathway (Braulke and Bonifacino, 2009).

53 Lysosomal Integral Membrane Protein 2 (LIMP2) is a type III LMP, which spans the membrane twice. It functions as a receptor for the transport of the lysosomal enzyme 54 β-cerebrosidase to lysosomes (Reczek et al., 2007). In addition, LIMP2 potentially 55 works as a lipid transporter (Conrad et al., 2017; Heybrock et al., 2019; Kuronita et al., 56 57 2002). LIMP2 follows a direct pathway to reach LE/Lys after transiting through the Golgi apparatus (Obermuller et al., 2002; Vega et al., 1991). The [D/E]XXXL[L/I] signal 58 59 (X referring to any amino acid) in its cytoplasmic tail was shown to bind clathrin adaptor complexes AP1, AP2 and AP3, but not AP4, and to be important for its trafficking 60 61 (Fujita et al., 1999; Honing et al., 1998; Janvier et al., 2003; Le Borgne et al., 1998; Mattera et al., 2011; Ogata and Fukuda, 1994; Sandoval and Bakke, 1994; Sandoval 62 63 et al., 2000; Tabuchi et al., 2000; Vega et al., 1991). LIMP2-AP1 interaction was proposed to mediate the incorporation of LIMP2 within clathrin-coated vesicles at the 64 65 trans-Golgi Network (TGN) allowing its exit from the Golgi apparatus (Fujita et al., 66 1999).

67 Lysosomal-Associated Membrane Protein 1 (LAMP1) trafficking pathway is more controversial. Depending on the cell model, LAMP1 was shown to appear at the cell 68 surface during its transport in some studies (Furuno et al., 1989b; Lippincott-Schwartz 69 70 and Fambrough, 1986; Lippincott-Schwartz and Fambrough, 1987) but not in others 71 (Akasaki et al., 1995; Green et al., 1987). These differences were imputed to variable LAMP1 expression levels. It was proposed that high LAMP1 expression would lead to 72 73 an overflow of the direct pathway and to its appearance at the cell surface (Harter and 74 Mellman, 1992). LAMP1 bears a GYXX $\Phi$  signal ( $\Phi$  referring to a bulky hydrophobic amino acid) in its cytoplasmic tail, the mutation of which abolishes its trafficking to 75 76 lysosomes and redirects LAMP1 to the plasma membrane (Guarnieri et al., 1993; Harter and Mellman, 1992; Honing and Hunziker, 1995; Hunziker et al., 1991; Williams 77 78 and Fukuda, 1990). This signal was shown to bind AP1, AP2 and AP3 (Dell'Angelica 79 et al., 1999; Honing et al., 1996; Le Borgne et al., 1998; Ohno et al., 1996; Ohno et al., 1995). Although AP1 was proposed to participate in the Golgi export of LAMP1 80 81 (Honing et al., 1996), LAMP1 still reaches lysosomes in AP1-depleted cells (Meyer et 82 al., 2000). LAMP1 has been detected in clathrin-coated pits at the plasma membrane by electron microscopy (Furuno et al., 1989a). Its endocytosis is dependent on 83 84 clathrin, dynamin and AP2 (Janvier and Bonifacino, 2005). LAMP1 is overexposed at

85 the cell surface in AP3-depleted cells due to an increased recycling, suggesting a role for AP3 in the targeting of LAMP1 from early endosomes to LE/Lys (Dell'Angelica et 86 87 al., 1999; Peden et al., 2004). Chen et al. synchronised the anterograde transport of rat LAMP1 using the Retention 88 Using Selective Hooks (RUSH) system (Chen et al., 2017). Briefly, the tagging of the 89 90 protein of interest with Streptavidin Binding Peptide (SBP) and the co-expression of 91 an ER-resident streptavidin-tagged protein maintains the protein of interest in the ER until biotin is added to the medium (Boncompain et al., 2012). Chen et al. have shown 92 that RUSH-synchronised rat LAMP1 exits the Golgi apparatus in tubulovesicular 93 94 compartments, which fuse with the plasma membrane. These tubulovesicular compartments also contained plasma membrane-fated proteins, such as the 95 96 transferrin receptor and VSV-G. In addition, none of the four APs complexes (AP1-4) appeared to be necessary for LAMP1 export from the Golgi apparatus (Chen et al., 97 98 2017).

99 Here, we study the trafficking pathway of human LAMP1 using the RUSH system and we demonstrate that RUSH-synchronised LAMP1 follows an indirect pathway to the 100 101 LE/Lys. independently of its adaptor binding signal. The synchronised transport of 102 other LMPs was analysed and compared to the transport pathway of LAMP1. Our 103 results show that LAMP1 and LIMP2 are segregated and sorted in the Golgi apparatus, 104 LIMP2 being incorporated into punctate structures before being exported from the 105 Golgi apparatus. These LIMP2-containing post-Golgi transport intermediates were 106 shown to be clathrin-free and to rely neither on its adaptor binding signal nor on its C-107 terminal cytoplasmic domain.

- 108
- 109
- 110

### 111 Results

#### 112 Newly synthesised LAMP1 is transported from the Golgi apparatus to the 113 plasma membrane before reaching the lysosomes

114 The anterograde transport of newly synthesised human LAMP1 was analysed using 115 the RUSH system (Boncompain et al., 2012). LAMP1 was fused to a Streptavidin 116 Binding Peptide (SBP) and a fluorescent protein in its luminal domain and transiently 117 expressed in HeLa cells. In the absence of biotin, SBP-mCherry-LAMP1 was retained in the ER thanks to the co-expression of the ER-resident Streptavidin-KDEL (Fig. 118 S1a). Synchronous release of SBP-mCherry-LAMP1 was induced by addition of biotin. 119 35 min after addition of biotin, SBP-mCherry-LAMP1 was detected in the Golgi 120 apparatus as shown by the colocalisation with the Golgi marker GM130. 2h after 121 addition of biotin, SBP-mCherry-LAMP1 reached the late endosomes/lysosomes 122 (LE/Lys.), as evidenced by the colocalisation with LAMTOR4 (Fig. S1a,b). An 123 immunostaining on non-permeabilized cells using an anti-GFP antibody revealed that 124 125 newly synthesised SBP-EGFP-LAMP1 was detected at the plasma membrane 35 min and 60 min after the addition of biotin (Fig. 1a). Flow cytometry was used to monitor 126

127 the appearance of SBP-EGFP-LAMP1 at the cell surface thanks to immunostaining 128 with an anti-GFP antibody on non-permeabilized cells. The presence of SBP-EGFP-LAMP1 at the cell surface 30 min after its release from the ER was confirmed, peaked 129 after 45 min and then decreased to reach the basal level after 90 min. The basal level 130 of SBP-EGFP-LAMP1 at the cell surface was assessed by the condition in which biotin 131 132 was added at the time of transfection (no interaction between SBP and Streptavidin 133 occurs) (Fig. 1b). The synchronisation of the transport of SBP-EGFP-LAMP1 and its 134 appearance at the cell surface were validated in the breast cancer cell line SUM159 and in the non-tumorigenic RPE-1 cell line (Fig. S1c-e). 135

- 136 To rule out that the appearance of LAMP1 at the cell surface is due to high expression, we engineered the LAMP1 locus using CRISPR/Cas9 to synchronise the anterograde 137 transport of endogenous LAMP1. SUM159 cells were genome-edited to insert SBP 138 139 and mCherry at the N-terminus of LAMP1 downstream of the signal peptide (Fig. S2a). 140 A clonal population with homozygous insertion of SBP-mCherry in LAMP1 gene was 141 then further transduced to express Str-KDEL, necessary for synchronisation of transport using the RUSH assay (Fig. S2b). The transport of endogenously tagged 142 LAMP1 (SBP-mCherry-LAMP1<sup>EN</sup>) was efficiently synchronised from the ER to the 143 lysosome upon addition of biotin (Fig. S2c). SBP-mCherry-LAMP1<sup>EN</sup> was detected by 144 flow cytometry and immunostaining with an anti-SBP antibody at the cell surface of 145 146 non-permeabilized cells 30 min after incubation with biotin, provided the ER hook was 147 co-expressed (Fig. S2d).
- Using Total Internal Reflection Fluorescence (TIRF) microscopy, fusion events of 148 SBP-EGFP-LAMP1-containing carriers with the plasma membrane were observed 149 (Fig. 1c, d; Movie 1). Quickly after fusion has occurred, SBP-EGFP-LAMP1 was 150 trapped in punctate structures, which are positive for mCherry-clathrin Light chain 151 152 indicating they might be clathrin-coated pits. The signal intensity of SBP-EGFP-153 LAMP1 in clathrin-coated pits then decreased suggesting its internalisation through 154 endocytosis. TIRF microscopy also showed that carriers containing LAMP1 and fusing with the plasma membrane were positive for RAB6 (Fig. 1 e-g; Movie 2). RAB6 is a 155 Ras-related small GTPase involved in the regulation of trafficking pathways from the 156 Golgi apparatus (Fourriere et al., 2019; Girod et al., 1999; Grigoriev et al., 2007; 157 Grigoriev et al., 2011; Patwardhan et al., 2017; White et al., 1999). Previous studies 158 have shown that RAB6 is a major regulator of the formation of post-Golgi carriers 159 (Miserey-Lenkei et al., 2017; Miserey-Lenkei et al., 2010; Pereira et al., 2023) and 160 161 their delivery to the exocytic sites (Fourriere et al., 2019; Grigoriev et al., 2011).
- Taken together, our results demonstrate that newly synthesised human LAMP1 is
   transported from the Golgi apparatus to the plasma membrane before being
   internalised via clathrin coated pits and transported to its final endosomal destination,
   late endosomes and lysosomes.

# Golgi-to-plasma membrane transport of LAMP1 is not dependent on its YXXΦ motif

168 The clathrin adaptor complexes AP1, AP2, AP3 and AP4 have been shown to 169 recognise YXXΦ motifs in the cytosolic tail of proteins (Robinson, 2004). The motif 170 414YQTI417 of LAMP1 (Fig. 3a) was suggested to be involved in its direct Golgi-to-171 endosome transport pathway (Cook et al., 2004; Honing et al., 1996; Obermuller et al., 2002). We mutated the LAMP1 critical tyrosine residue Tyr<sub>414</sub> to Alanine (Y414A). 172 2 h after release from the ER, RUSH-synchronised LAMP1<sup>Y414A</sup> was localised at the 173 plasma membrane whereas LAMP1 wild-type reached LE/Lys. (Fig. 2a; Movie 3). No 174 175 fluorescent signal of LAMP1<sup>Y414A</sup> was detected in intracellular compartments. The appearance and persistence of SBP-EGFP-LAMP1<sup>Y414A</sup> at the cell surface was 176 confirmed by flow cytometry using anti-GFP immunostaining on non-permeabilized 177 cells (Fig. 2b). Interestingly, LAMP1<sup>Y414A</sup> and wild-type LAMP1 were not sorted at the 178 179 Golgi apparatus and exited from the Golgi apparatus in the same tubulovesicular intermediates (Fig. 2c, d; Movie 4). TIRF microscopy showed that LAMP1 wild-type 180 and LAMP1<sup>Y414A</sup> containing carriers fused with the plasma membrane in the same 181 exocytic events indicating that LAMP1 wild-type and LAMP1<sup>Y414A</sup> have not been sorted 182 183 after Golgi exit (Fig. 2e-g; Movie 5). Consistent with the role of 414YQTI417 motif in the recruitment of AP2 at clathrin-coated pits, SBP-mCherry-LAMP1<sup>Y414A</sup> was not enriched 184 in punctate structures at the plasma membrane but diffused quickly in the plasma 185 186 membrane (Fig. 2e-g).

187 These results show that LAMP1 wild-type and LAMP1<sup>Y414A</sup> follow the same Golgi-to-188 plasma membrane transport route. The presence of LAMP1<sup>Y414A</sup> at the plasma 189 membrane exclusively and the absence of sorting of LAMP1 wild-type and LAMP1<sup>Y414A</sup> 190 at the Golgi apparatus argue against the existence of a direct Golgi-to-endosome 191 transport route.

#### 192 LAMP1 and LIMP2 are sorted at the Golgi apparatus.

The anterograde transport of several lysosomal membrane proteins (LMPs) was thenanalysed using the RUSH assay and compared to the trafficking of LAMP1.

In addition to LAMP1, LIMP2, LAMP2 and Lysosomal Acid Phosphatase (LAP) were 195 used as RUSH cargos. LAMP2 and Lysosomal Acid Phosphatase (LAP) are type I 196 LMPs. LAP is a luminal lysosomal enzyme, synthesised as a membrane bound 197 protein. The luminal domain, which bears its enzymatic activity, is cleaved upon 198 199 reaching LE/Lys. (Braun et al., 1989; Waheed et al., 1988). LAMP2, LAP and LIMP2 200 were retained in the ER in the absence of biotin thanks to the co-expression of an ERresident hook (Fig. S3). After the addition of biotin, RUSH-synchronised LAMP2, LAP 201 and LIMP2 were detected in the Golgi apparatus. 2 h after their release from the ER, 202 203 they reached LE/Lys. as confirmed by immunostaining of LAMTOR4 (Fig. S3). The 204 distribution of these proteins at the Golgi apparatus was observed with a higher 205 resolution using a Live-SR module (from Gataca Systems). This system is based on an optically demodulated structure illumination technique and increases the resolution 206 207 by a factor  $\approx$  2, leading to a maximum xy resolution of  $\approx$  120-140 nm at the used 208 acquisition setting (Azuma and Kei, 2015). High-resolution images showed that both LAMP2 and LAP were fully colocalized with LAMP1 in the Golgi apparatus (Fig. S4a, 209 210 b and Fig. S5a, b). In addition, time-lapse microscopy showed that LAMP2 and LAP 211 exited the Golgi apparatus in the same tubulovesicular carriers as LAMP1 (Fig. S4c, 212 d and Fig S5c, d; Movie 6 and Movie 7), indicating that no sorting of these LMPs

occurred at the Golgi apparatus. LAMP2 and LAP were transiently detected at the cell 213 214 surface by flow cytometry after their synchronous release from the ER (Fig. S4e and S5e) as previously shown for LAMP1 (Fig. 1b). Interestingly, RUSH-synchronised 215 LIMP2 was segregated from LAMP1 at the Golgi apparatus as highlighted by high-216 resolution microscopy. In addition to a diffuse localisation in the Golgi apparatus, 217 218 similar to LAMP1, LIMP2 was detected in puncta with higher fluorescence intensity (Fig. 3b, c). Segregation of LIMP2 and LAMP1 in the Golgi apparatus was also 219 detected in SUM159 and RPE-1 cells (Fig. S6). Time-lapse imaging showed that 220 221 LIMP2 was first diffusely localised at the Golgi apparatus and then enriched in bright 222 puncta (Fig. 3d, e; Movie 8). After appearance, these puncta remained associated 223 with the Golgi apparatus for several minutes before leaving it (Fig. 3d, e). LAMP1-224 containing tubulovesicular carriers and LIMP2-containing vesicular carriers were 225 distinct and described spatially independent Golgi exit routes (Fig. 3f; Movie 8). Cryoimmuno electron microscopy experiments showed that, 25 min after biotin addition, 226 227 LAMP1 was distributed in several cisternae of the Golgi stack whereas LIMP2 was segregated in small vesicles apposed to the Golgi cisternae, confirming the 228 229 segregation between LAMP1 and LIMP2 (Fig. 3h). Those LIMP2-positive vesicles 230 appeared to be non-coated and LIMP2 was absent from visible peri-Golgi clathrin-231 coated vesicles (Fig. 3h). We also performed correlative light-electron microscopy 232 (CLEM) experiments, which showed that whereas SBP-mCherry-LAMP1 fluorescence 233 overlaps the entire Golgi stack, SBP-EGFP-LIMP2 bright puncta were localised in regions rich in vesicles with a diameter of 50-70 nm (Fig. 3i-k). 234

235

Our data demonstrated that LAMP1, LAMP2 and LAP are not sorted and follow the same transport pathway to the plasma membrane. In contrast, LAMP1 and LIMP2 are segregated at the level of the Golgi complex and leave it in morphologically different carriers.

240

#### The di-Leucine-like motif of LIMP2 is not involved in its export from the Golgi apparatus

243 LIMP2 has been suggested to follow a direct Golgi-to-endosome transport pathway (Obermuller et al., 2002; Vega et al., 1991) depending on its di-leucine-like motif 244 ([D/E]XXXL[L/I]) (Ogata and Fukuda, 1994), which is involved in binding to AP3 and 245 in its transport to lysosomes (Honing et al., 1998; Le Borgne et al., 1998). The critical 246 247 Leucine 475 and Isoleucine 476 residues (Fig. 3a) of LIMP2 were mutated to Alanine, 248 mutant hereafter named LIMP2<sup>AA</sup>. At steady state, SBP-mApple-LIMP2<sup>AA</sup> displayed 249 an increased presence at the plasma membrane compared to wild-type LIMP2 (Fig. **4a)**. However, LIMP2<sup>AA</sup> reached the same final intracellular compartments as wild-type 250 251 LIMP2 as highlighted by the condition in which biotin was added at the time of 252 transfection (Fig. 4a). The mutation of the [D/E]XXXL[L/I] motif of LIMP2 did not prevent the formation of LIMP2 bright puncta at the Golgi apparatus, LIMP2<sup>AA</sup> and 253 wild-type LIMP2 being enriched in the same puncta (**Fig. 4b**). LIMP2<sup>AA</sup> and wild-type 254 LIMP2 left the Golgi apparatus in the same post-Golgi transport intermediates (Fig. 255 256 4c, d; Movie 9). LIMP2 was shown to be able to dimerise (Conrad et al., 2017). When

expressed alone, LIMP2<sup>AA</sup> and wild-type LIMP2 displayed the same localisation as 257 258 when being co-expressed, ruling out that interaction between the wild-type and the mutant forms of LIMP2 would affect their respective transport route. At steady state, 259 LIMP2<sup>AA</sup> was present at the plasma membrane and at LE/Lys. whereas wild-type 260 LIMP2 was detected at LE/Lys. only (Fig. S7a, b). At the Golgi apparatus, LIMP2<sup>AA</sup> 261 262 expressed alone behaved similarly to wild-type LIMP2, with the appearance of bright 263 puncta (Fig S7c, d). Finally, using high-resolution fluorescence microscopy we showed that LIMP2-containing bright puncta did not colocalize with exogenously 264 expressed mCherry-clathrin light chain (Fig. 4e-g). 265

In conclusion, the clathrin adaptor binding motif [D/E]XXXL[L/I] of LIMP2 is not
 involved in the segregation of LIMP2 at the Golgi apparatus nor in its export from the
 Golgi apparatus.

#### LIMP2 C-terminal cytosolic domain is not involved in its segregation at the Golgi apparatus

271 We next investigated whether LIMP2 export from the Golgi might depend on another 272 yet unidentified cytosolic signal. As a type III protein, LIMP2 spans the membrane 273 twice and has two cytosolic domains. Its N-terminal cytosolic domain comprises only 274 4 residues, including the initiating methionine residue (Fig. 3a). We therefore focused 275 on its C-terminal cytosolic domain. Domain swapping experiments between LAMP1 and LIMP2 cytosolic domains were conducted. RUSH chimeras where the LAMP1 276 277 cytosolic domain was replaced with the LIMP2 C-terminal cytosolic domain 278 (LAMP1<sup>LIMP2C</sup>) and the LIMP2 C-terminal cytosolic domain replaced by the LAMP1 cytosolic domain (LIMP2<sup>LAMP1C</sup>) were generated (**Fig. 5a**). A deletion mutant of LIMP2 279 missing the whole C-terminal cytosolic domain was also generated (LIMP $2^{\Delta C}$ ). Among 280 these constructs, only RUSH-synchronised LIMP2<sup>LAMP1C</sup> and LIMP2<sup>ΔC</sup> displayed a 281 punctate localization at the Golgi in contrast to LAMP1<sup>LIMP2C</sup> (Fig. 5b). In conclusion, 282 LIMP2 C-terminal cytosolic domain is neither sufficient nor necessary to induce the 283 284 enrichment of LMPs in these punctate structures at the Golgi apparatus.

285

### 286 Discussion

287 Our study demonstrates that human LAMP1 reaches the LE/Lys after transiting 288 through the plasma membrane, thus following an indirect pathway from the endoplasmic reticulum to the lysosome. This trafficking route is observed in cells 289 overexpressing RUSH-synchronised LAMP1 as well as at endogenous expression 290 level when the LAMP1 gene is tagged with RUSH-compatible domains. This suggests 291 that the secretory compartments are not overloaded due to high expression, 292 293 contradicting previous hypotheses (Harter and Mellman, 1992). Mutating LAMP1 YXX $\Phi$  cytosolic signal does not change the nature of its post-Golgi carriers, indicating 294 that this motif is not involved in sorting at the level of the Golgi apparatus. Our present 295 data confirm previous results from Chen et al. who used RUSH-synchronised rat 296 297 LAMP1 and LAMP1<sup>Y404A</sup> (Chen et al., 2017). We show that RUSH-synchronised human wild-type LAMP1 and LAMP1<sup>Y414A</sup> were fully colocalised in post-Golgi transport 298

intermediates. Chen et al. showed that LAMP1<sup>Y404A</sup> was addressed from the Golgi 299 300 apparatus to the plasma membrane in tubulovesicular carriers as rat wild-type LAMP1 does. This rules out the existence of a direct transport pathway from the ER to the 301 LE/Lys for LAMP1 dependent on its APs binding signal, at least in our experimental 302 conditions or if it does exist, the direct transport is very minor. Pols et al. have shown 303 304 that LAMP1 and LAMP2 localise in post-Golgi uncoated compartments devoid of 305 cation-independent mannose-6-phosphate receptor (CI-MPR) in several cell lines, including HeLa cells (Pols et al., 2013). These structures were positive for the 306 CORVET/HOPS subunit Vps41 as well as for the SNARE VAMP7 and were proposed 307 308 to mediate direct trafficking to endosomes (Pols et al., 2013). However, we and others (Chen et al., 2017) did not detect such a pathway using the synchronisation of the 309 biosynthetic transport of lysosomal membrane, suggesting that either this pathway is 310 311 insignificant, or that the tagging with SBP prevents its manifestation.

LAMP1 endocytosis and targeting to lysosomes have been shown to be dependent on clathrin and AP2 (Chen et al., 2017; Furuno et al., 1989a; Janvier and Bonifacino, 2005). We showed that when reaching the plasma membrane, LAMP1 is quickly trapped in clathrin-positive areas. The incorporation of LAMP1 in clathrin-coated structures is dependent on its YXX $\Phi$  motif. This process is highly dynamic and efficient, explaining why it is difficult to detect endogenous LAMP1 at the cell surface at steady state.

Our data showed that LAMP1, LAMP2 and LAP follow the same transport route from the Golgi apparatus to lysosomes via the plasma membrane, in accordance with previous studies (Braun et al., 1989; Nabi et al., 1991; Obermuller et al., 2002).

As LIMP2 was proposed to follow a direct pathway from the Golgi apparatus to 322 323 endosomes (Obermuller et al., 2002; Vega et al., 1991), one could expect LIMP2 to 324 be sorted from LAMP1/LAMP2/LAP at the Golgi apparatus. Consistently, although 325 LAMP1 and LIMP2 were colocalised when they reach the Golgi apparatus, LIMP2 then 326 concentrated in punctate structures from which LAMP1 was absent. This result demonstrated that segregation occurs at the level of the Golgi apparatus. Chen et al. 327 328 have shown sorting of RUSH-synchronised LAMP1 and cation-dependent (CD)-MPR within the Golgi apparatus (Chen et al., 2017). Our results suggest that CD-MPR and 329 LIMP2 do not follow the same pathways. Indeed, LIMP2 post-Golgi transport 330 intermediates were not colocalised with exogenously expressed mCherry-clathrin light 331 332 chain while Mannose-6-phosphate receptors (MPRs) recruit clathrin through binding to Golgi-localised Gamma ear-containing, Arf-binding adaptors (GGAs) for their exit 333 334 from the Golgi apparatus (Chen et al., 2017; Doray et al., 2002a; Puertollano et al., 2001; Zhu et al., 2001). 335

Both LAMP1 and LIMP2 recruit AP1 via their cytosolic signals *in vitro* (Fujita et al., 1999; Honing et al., 1996). LAMP1 has been shown to be present in clathrin-coated vesicles in close proximity of the Golgi apparatus (Honing et al., 1996). However, the depletion of AP1 does not prevent LAMP1 from reaching LE/Lys. (Chen et al., 2017; Janvier and Bonifacino, 2005; Meyer et al., 2000). Here, we show that mutating their APs binding signal does not modify LAMP1 and LIMP2 export from Golgi. In this context, one could wonder whether AP1 is involved in the Golgi exit of these proteins. 343 AP1 also interacts with MPRs and has been proposed to be involved in their export 344 from the Golgi apparatus (Doray et al., 2002b; Ghosh and Kornfeld, 2003; Ghosh and Kornfeld, 2004; Honing et al., 1997; Stockli et al., 2004). However, AP1 depletion or 345 knock-sideway (i.e. docking AP1 on mitochondria membranes) does not result in a 346 blockage of MPRs at the Golgi apparatus, but rather in an enrichment of MPRs in 347 348 endosomes (Meyer et al., 2000; Robinson et al., 2010). This raises the hypothesis that 349 AP1 could be involved in the retrograde endosome-to-Golgi pathway (Meyer et al., 2000; Robinson et al., 2010). Whether AP1 could have such a role for LAMP1 or 350 LIMP2 is an intriguing question, which deserves further investigation. This could bring 351 352 an explanation for the presence of LAMP1 in compartments positive for Vps41 and VAMP7 near the Golgi apparatus, as observed by Pols et al. (Pols et al., 2013). 353

At steady state (one day after transfection and continuous incubation with biotin), SBP-354 mApple-LIMP2<sup>AA</sup> localises in LE/Lys., as does wild-type LIMP2, but also shows an 355 356 increased presence at the cell surface (Fig. 4a and Fig. S7a-b). This could be due to impaired endocytosis secondary to LIMP2AA inability to recruit the endocytic 357 machinery. LIMP2 would reach the plasma membrane after exiting the Golgi 358 359 apparatus, even though an indirect pathway to LE/Lys for LIMP2 is in contradiction with previous published data (Obermuller et al., 2002; Vega et al., 1991), or because 360 of LE/Lys fusion with the plasma membrane. These kinds of fusion events have been 361 362 described in a multitude of contexts, such as exosome secretion (Kowal et al., 2014), plasma membrane repair (Jimenez and Perez, 2017) or unconventional secretion 363 (Rabouille, 2017). Another possibility would be that LIMP2<sup>AA</sup> would struggle to 364 orientate to LE/Lys after reaching endosomes, due to its inability to recruit AP3. 365 Increased surface expression of other LMPs such as LAMP1, LAMP2 and CD63 has 366 367 been described when AP3 is defective (Dell'Angelica et al., 1999). Unfortunately, our 368 results do not allow to conclude on the transport route of LIMP2 to LE/Lys. after its 369 Golgi exit.

370 Post-Golgi transport intermediates containing LIMP2 colocalised with vesicular regions close to the Golgi apparatus, as suggested by our immuno EM and CLEM 371 experiments, but are devoid of clathrin. The mechanisms involved in LIMP2 372 373 concentration and in the formation of these intermediates require further investigation. Interestingly, LIMP2-containing puncta remain associated with the Golgi apparatus for 374 several minutes before leaving, which could indicate maturation processes might be 375 required for their Golgi exit. As the mutation in the adaptor-binding signal in LIMP2 did 376 377 not modify its exit from the Golgi apparatus, we investigated whether another motif in 378 its C-terminal cytoplasmic domain could be involved in the formation of LIMP2 post-379 Golgi transport intermediates. However, the C-terminal cytoplasmic domain of LIMP2 appeared neither sufficient nor essential for the formation of LIMP2 bright puncta and 380 381 its segregation from LAMP1.

The contribution of LIMP2's biophysical properties and interactions with partners to the formation of these puncta remains unclear. LIMP2 has been shown to be able to dimerize, allowing it to function as a lipid channel (Conrad et al., 2017; Heybrock et al., 2019).The potential role of the dimerization or the lipid-association of LIMP2 in LIMP2 packing within vesicles at the Golgi apparatus remains open. The lysosomal

387 enzyme beta-glucocerebrosidase (βGC) binds LIMP2 in the ER and uses LIMP2 as a 388 sorting receptor for its transport to lysosomes (Reczek et al., 2007). Deletion or mutations of the βGC-binding region in LIMP2 (luminal residues V149-Y163) did not 389 prevent LIMP2 to reach lysosomes (Blanz et al., 2010; Neculai et al., 2013; Reczek et 390 al., 2007). The 3D conformation of this region has also been shown to be pH-sensitive, 391 392 allowing the release of  $\beta$ GC in the lumen of lysosomes (Neculai et al., 2013; Reczek 393 et al., 2007; Zachos et al., 2012). Mutations of these pH-sensitive luminal residues (H<sub>150</sub> and H<sub>171</sub>) did not impair LIMP2 targeting to lysosomes (Zachos et al., 2012). 394 Zhao et al. identified a mannose-6-phosphate (M6P) on LIMP2 and described the 395 396 formation in vitro of a ternary complex βGC-LIMP2-CI-MPR (Zhao et al., 2014). However, the biological relevance of this M6P was disputed (Blanz et al., 2010). 397 398 Indeed, several studies have suggested that both  $\beta$ GC and LIMP2 reach lysosomes in a M6P/MPRs-independent manner (Aerts et al., 1988; Blanz et al., 2015; Owada 399 and Neufeld, 1982). Consistently, we showed by immunofluorescence and electron 400 401 microscopy that RUSH-synchronized LIMP2-containing vesicles lack clathrin, which could confirm that LIMP2 trafficking is unlikely to be MPR-dependent. 402

In depth investigation of the molecular players and intrinsic biophysical properties
regulating the transport of LIMP2 in a biological context is needed and extends beyond
the scope of our study.

406

407

408

# 409 Materials and Methods

#### 410 Immunofluorescence and flow cytometry

411 Cell fixation was performed by incubation in 3% paraformaldehyde (Electron 412 Microscopy Sciences; cat. no. 15710) for 10 min and permeabilised by incubation in 413 0.5 g/L saponin in PBS supplemented with 2 g/L bovine serum albumin. Primary antibodies used in this study were anti-GM130 (BD Transduction Laboratories; cat. 414 415 no. 610823; dilution 1:1000), anti-giantin (clone TA10; recombinant antibody facility of 416 Institut Curie, Paris, France), anti-LAMTOR4 (Cell Signaling Technology; cat. no. 417 13140 dilution 1:1000), anti-PDI isoform A3 (Sigma-Aldrich; cat. no. AMAB90988; 418 1:250). Fluorochrome conjugated secondary antibodies were purchased from Jackson 419 ImmunoResearch. Coverslips were mounted in Mowiol containing DAPI. In Fig. S7, 420 cells were stained with HCS CellMask Blue Stain (ThermoFisher Scientific; cat. no. 421 H32720; diluted at 1:5000 in the mounting medium). For cell surface staining, cells 422 were transferred in ice-cold PBS and incubated with an Alexa Fluor® 647-coupled 423 anti-GFP antibody (BD Pharmingen; cat. no. 565197; dilution 1:150), for 40 min prior 424 to fixation with 2% paraformaldehyde. Signal intensity was analysed by flow cytometry using a BD Accuri™ C6 or a Miltenyi MACSQuant10 cytometer. Median anti-GFP 425 426 intensity of GFP-positive cells normalised to the maximum value is depicted on the 427 graph.

428

#### 429 **Cryo-immuno-electron microscopy**

Cells were fixed with 2% paraformaldehyde and 0.2% glutaraldehyde in 0.1M sodium 430 431 phosphate buffer, pH 7.4. After washing in PBS/Glycine 0,02M, cells were pelleted by centrifugation, embedded in 12% gelatin, cooled in ice and cut into 5-mm3 blocks. The 432 433 blocks were infused overnight with 2.3 M sucrose at 4°C, frozen in liquid nitrogen and 434 stored until cryo-ultramicrotomy. Sections of 80 nm were cut with a diamond knife 435 (Diatome) at -112°C using Leica EM-UC7. Ultrathin sections were picked up in a mix 436 of 1.8% methylcellulose and 2.3 M sucrose (1:1) according to (Liou et al., 1996) and transferred to formvar carbon-coated copper grids. Double immunolabeling was 437 438 performed as described before (Slot et al., 1991) with optimal combination of gold 439 particle sizes and sequence of antibodies. Cryosections were first incubated with 440 rabbit polyclonal anti-GFP antibody (invitrogen) followed by protein A gold-15nm (Slot 441 and Geuze, 1985). After post-fixation with 1% glutaraldehyde in PBS, cryosections were incubated with a rabbit polyclonal anti-mCherry antibody (antibody facility of the 442 443 Institut Curie) followed by protein A gold-10nm. After labelling, the sections were 444 treated with 1% glutaraldehyde, counterstained with uranyl acetate, and embedded in methyl cellulose uranylacetate (Slot et al., 1991). Grids were observed on a Tecnai G2 445 446 spirit (FEI Company) equipped with a 4-k CCD camera (Quemesa, Olympus).

447

#### 448 **Correlative light-electron microscopy**

HeLa cells expressing RUSH cargos were live-stained for 1 h with SiR-lysosome 449 (Spirochrome) and SiR-DNA (Spirochrome) at 1 µM each. The cells were then 450 451 incubated with biotin for 27 min and fixed with 3% paraformaldehyde (Electron 452 Microscopy Sciences; cat. no. 15710) for 10 min. Fluorescence images were then 453 acquired using an Eclipse 80i microscope (Nikon) equipped with a CSU-X1 Yokogawa spinning-disk head and an Ultra897 iXon EMCCD camera (Andor). The cells were 454 455 then post-fixed with glutaraldehyde 2.5% in cacodylate buffer 0,1 M, pH 7.2 and then 456 with 1% (w/v) OsO<sub>4</sub> supplemented with 1.5% (w/v) potassium ferrocyanide, dehydrated in increasing concentration of ethanol (50%, 70%, 90%, 96%, 100%). Cells 457 458 were embedded in epon 812 (TAAB Laboratories Equipment) and processed for serial 459 ultrathin sectioning with a Reichert Ultracut S ultramicrotome (Leica). Sections were counter-stained with uranyl acetate and lead citrate, and observed with a Transmission 460 461 Electron Microscope (TEM; Tecnai G2 Spirit; FEI) equipped with a Quemesa 4k CCD 462 camera (Olympus).

Fluorescence and EM images were correlated based on the SiR-lysosome staining and using the eC-CLEM software (Paul-Gilloteaux et al., 2017).

#### 465 **RUSH constructs and other plasmids**

All RUSH constructs were expressed using bicistronic plasmids coding for both SBPFP-tagged LMP and the hook. SBP-FP-LAMP1 (LAMP1 sequence from NM\_005561.3
generated from cDNA from GE Dharmacon, Clone ID 3872778), its mutants, SBP-FPLAMP2 (isoform B, nucleotide sequence NM\_013995.2, generated from a plasmid
kindly given by Y. Baudat, Sanofi R&D Centre, Vitry sur Seine, France) and SBP-FPLAP (isoform 1, nucleotide sequence NM\_001357016.1 generated from a cDNA from

472 GE Dharmacon, Clone ID 30332133) were used with the luminal hook Streptavidin-473 KDEL (Boncompain et al., 2012). SBP-FP-LIMP2 (isoform 1, nucleotide sequence NM 005506.3, generated from cDNA from GE Dharmacon, Clone ID 3872778) and 474 475 its mutants were cytosolically tagged and co-expressed with either Streptavidin-HA-476 Endo-KKXX or Mini-Ii-streptavidin. Streptavidin-HA-Endo-KKXX consists in the fusion 477 of streptavidin to the HA-tag, the endocytic signal of LAMP1 (AGYQTI) and the COPI 478 recruitment signal of the Saccharomyces cerevisiae WBP1 (KKTN). Mini-listreptavidin is composed of the sequence coding for the 46 first amino acids of human 479 480 CD74/li upstream of Streptavidin. All plasmids generated for the present study were 481 verified by sequencing. The EGFP-RAB6 plasmid was a gift from Jamie White (White 482 et al., 1999). The mCherry-clathrin light chain plasmid was a gift from Guillaume 483 Montagnac (Gustave Roussy, Villejuif, France).

#### 484 Cells culture, transfection and RUSH assay

HeLa cells were grown at 37°C with 5% CO<sub>2</sub> in DMEM, high glucose, GlutaMAX 485 (ThermoFischer Scientific) supplemented with 10% FCS (Biosera), 1 mM sodium 486 pyruvate (ThermoFischer Scientific) and 100 U/mL penicillin and streptomycin 487 488 (ThermoFischer Scientific). SUM159 cells were cultured in Dulbecco's modified 489 Eagle's medium (DMEM)/F-12 GlutaMAX (GIBCO), supplemented with 5% FCS 490 (Biosera), penicillin-streptomycin (500 µg/ml; GIBCO), hydrocortisone (1 µg/ml; Sigma-Aldrich), insulin (5 µg/ml; Sigma-Aldrich), and 10 mM Hepes (GIBCO). RPE-1 491 492 cells were cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM)/F-12 GlutaMAX 493 (GIBCO), supplemented with 10% FCS (Biosera), penicillin-streptomycin (500 µg/ml; 494 GIBCO). HeLa cells were transfected 24h before fixation or observation using calcium phosphate method as previously described (Jordan et al., 1996). SUM159 and RPE-495 496 1 cells were transfected using JetPrime (Polyplus). To release RUSH cargos, D-biotin 497 (Sigma-Aldrich; cat. no. B4501) was added to the culture medium at a final 498 concentration of 80 µM.

499

#### 500 Genome editing of LAMP1

501 The sequence of the gRNA targeting the exon 2 of human LAMP1 was 5'-502 AACGGGACCGCGTGCATAA-3' downstream of a U6 promoter and upstream of a 503 gRNA scaffold as previously described in (Mali et al., 2013) and has been inserted in 504 a pCRII vector (Thermo Fisher). The gRNA coding plasmid was co-transfected with a 505 plasmid coding for hCas9 (hCas9 was a gift from George Church (Addgene plasmid # 506 41815 ; http://n2t.net/addgene:41815 ; RRID:Addgene\_41815),

a plasmid bearing puromycin resistance (pIRES-puromycin from Clonetch; to enrich
for transfected cells), the donor plasmid. The donor plasmid was constructed by gene
synthesis (Geneart, Thermo Fisher) of the left and right homology arms (800 bp each)
and insertion between the two arms of the cassette SBP-mCherry. Please note that
we reconstructed in the donor plasmid the signal peptide of LAMP1, which is coded
by part of the exon1 and exon 2 (see Fig. S2a). SUM159 cells were transfected using
JetPrime (Polyplus) following manufacturer's instructions. 24 h post-transfection

puromycin at 5µg/ml was added for 1 day only. After expansion, clones were obtainedby limiting dilutions.

For characterization, the genomic DNA of the clones was extracted (NucleoTissue kit 516 from Macherey-Nagel) from the engineered SBP-mcherry-LAMP1<sup>EN</sup> knock-in cell 517 518 lines, and then PCR genotyping was performed to validate the genomic DNA 519 sequences (1kb upstream of gRNA cutting site forward primer 5'-520 GCACGGATTCCAGTGGTGGCA-3' and 1kb downstream reverse primer 5'-ATGGTTGGTTGACATGCAATGCAAACA-3'). The genomic sequences of the edited 521 allele in the junction of right and left homologous arms were edited as expected. PCR 522 523 forward primer 5'-AAAACCCCGTCGCTACTAAAAACAGAA-3', 5'using AATTCCTGCAGGTGGTTCACGTTGACCTTGTGG-3', 5'-524

- 525 aattcctgcaggTGGTTCACGTTGACCTTGTGG-3', and the reverse primer 5'-526 GGAAAATGTTCATGATGAACAGTGAGG-3' were used to check the insertion of 527 SBP-mCherry. The genomic DNA sequences of SBP-mCherry CRISPR-Cas9 knock-528 in were coherent with the expected sequence in the gRNA cutting region of LAMP1 529
- 530

531

#### Fluorescence image acquisition and analysis

Widefield microscopy images were acquired using a Leica DM6000 equipped 532 533 microscope with a CoolSnap HQ2 (Photometrics) CCD camera. Confocal images of fixed cells were acquired with an Eclipse Ti-E microscope (Nikon) equipped with a 534 CSU-X1 Yokogawa spinning-disk head and a Prime 95B sCMOS camera 535 536 (Photometrics). High-resolution images were acquired with this same set-up complemented with a Live-SR super-resolution module (Gataca Systems) (Roth and 537 Heintzmann, 2016). This device, based on an optically demodulated structure 538 539 illumination technique, increases the resolution by a factor  $\approx 2$ , leading to a maximum 540 xy resolution of  $\approx$  120 nm at 480 nm and  $\approx$  140 nm at 561 nm (Azuma and Kei, 2015). 541 For time-lapse imaging, cells were transferred in the CO<sub>2</sub>-independent Leibovitz's medium (ThermoFischer Scientific) and were acquired at 37°C in a thermostat-542 controlled chamber. Confocal live-cell images were acquired with an Eclipse 80i 543 544 microscope (Nikon) equipped with a CSU-X1 Yokogawa spinning-disk head and an Ultra897 iXon EMCCD camera (Andor). TIRF live-cell images were acquired with an 545 Eclipse Ti-E microscope (Nikon) equipped with a TIRF module (Nikon), a 100x CFI 546 Apo TIRF objective and an Evolve EMCCD camera (Photometrics). All acquisitions 547 were driven by the MetaMorph software (Molecular Devices). Pixel intensity linescans, 548 kymographs (Fig. 1g) and temporal projections were performed thanks to Fiji software 549 550 (Schindelin et al., 2012) built-in functions Plot Profile, Multi Kymograph and Temporal-Color Code, respectively. 551

552

### 553 Acknowledgment

554 The authors acknowledge the Cell and Tissue Imaging Platform (PICT-IBiSA) of 555 Institut Curie - CNRS UMR144 and the Nikon Imaging Centre at Institut Curie-CNRS, 556 members of the national infrastructure France-BioImaging supported by the French 557 National Research Agency (ANR-10-INBS-04) and the recombinant antibody facility, 558 Institut Curie.

559 We also thank Yves Baudat for his input and for providing the plasmid coding for 560 LAMP2B.

The work in FP lab work was supported by Labex Cell(n)Scale (ANR-10-LBX-0038 561 562 part of the IDEX PSL no. ANR-10- IDEX-0001-02, by the ANR grants ANR-22-CE14-0066-01, ANR-20-CE14-0017-02, ANR-19-CE13-0006-03, ANR-19-CE13-0002-03 563 564 and by the Fondation pour la Recherche Médicale (EQU201903007925). The grant ANR-20-CE13-0012-01 from Agence Nationale de la Recherche has been attributed 565 to GB. FDT201805005670 has been attributed to JE by the Fondation pour la 566 Recherche Médicale. This work was financially supported by SANOFI (Collaboration 567 agreement SANOFI/Institut Curie/UPMC/CNRS). JE was supported by a CIFRE 568 569 fellowship (N°2015/0313) funded in part by the National Association for Research and 570 Technology (ANRT) on behalf of the French Ministry of Education and Research, and in part by SANOFI. YLL has been granted with ARC DOC4 from the Fondation ARC 571

- 572 pour la Recherche sur le Cancer.
- 573

# 574 Declaration of interests

- 575 JE and EV are Sanofi employees and may hold shares and/or stock options in the 576 company.
- 577

## 578 Significance Statement

• Lysosomal membrane proteins (LMPs) are transported to the lysosome through the

580 Golgi apparatus. Their mode of biosynthetic delivery remains controversial. How LMPs are 581 segregated in the Golgi and which motifs are involved is unknown.

• Using synchronization of their transport, the authors showed that LAMP1 transits

through the plasma membrane before reaching the lysosome. LAMP1, LAMP2 and LAP
 are not segregated in the Golgi while LAMP1 and LIMP2 are.

Mutations of their clathrin adaptor binding motifs suggest that they are not involved in
 the segregation and sorting of these LMPs at the Golgi apparatus.

589	References
p90	
591 592 593 594	Aerts, J.M., J. Heikoop, S. van Weely, W.E. Donker-Koopman, J.A. Barranger, J.M. Tager, and A.W. Schram. 1988. Characterization of glucocerebrosidase in peripheral blood cells and cultured blastoid cells. <i>Exp. Cell Res</i> , 177:391-398
595 596 597 598	Akasaki, K., A. Michihara, K. Mibuka, Y. Fujiwara, and H. Tsuji. 1995. Biosynthetic transport of a major lysosomal membrane glycoprotein, lamp-1: convergence of biosynthetic and endocytic pathways occurs at three distinctive points. <i>Exp Cell Res</i> . 220:464- 473.
599 600	Azuma, T., and T. Kei. 2015. Super-resolution spinning-disk confocal microscopy using optical photon reassignment. <i>Opt Express</i> . 23:15003-15011.
601 602 603 604	Blanz, J., J. Groth, C. Zachos, C. Wehling, P. Saftig, and M. Schwake. 2010. Disease- causing mutations within the lysosomal integral membrane protein type 2 (LIMP-2) reveal the nature of binding to its ligand beta-glucocerebrosidase. <i>Hum Mol Genet</i> . 19:563-572.
605 606 607	Blanz, J., F. Zunke, S. Markmann, M. Damme, T. Braulke, P. Saftig, and M. Schwake. 2015. Mannose 6-phosphate-independent Lysosomal Sorting of LIMP-2. <i>Traffic</i> . 16:1127- 1136
608 609	Boncompain, G., S. Divoux, N. Gareil, H. de Forges, A. Lescure, L. Latreche, V. Mercanti, F. Jollivet, G. Raposo, and F. Perez. 2012. Synchronization of secretory protein traffic in
610 611 612	Braulke, T., and J.S. Bonifacino. 2009. Sorting of lysosomal proteins. <i>Biochim Biophys Acta</i> . 1793:605-614.
613 614	Braun, M., A. Waheed, and K. von Figura. 1989. Lysosomal acid phosphatase is transported to lysosomes via the cell surface. <i>EMBO J.</i> 8:3633-3640.
615 616 617	Chen, Y., D.C. Gershlick, S.Y. Park, and J.S. Bonifacino. 2017. Segregation in the Golgi complex precedes export of endolysosomal proteins in distinct transport carriers. <i>J Cell Biol</i> .
618 619 620 621	Conrad, K.S., T.W. Cheng, D. Ysselstein, S. Heybrock, L.R. Hoth, B.A. Chrunyk, C.W. Am Ende, D. Krainc, M. Schwake, P. Saftig, S. Liu, X. Qiu, and M.D. Ehlers. 2017. Lysosomal integral membrane protein-2 as a phospholipid receptor revealed by biophysical and cellular studies. <i>Nat Commun.</i> 8:1908.
622 623	Cook, N.R., P.E. Row, and H.W. Davidson. 2004. Lysosome associated membrane protein 1 (Lamp1) traffics directly from the TGN to early endosomes. <i>Traffic</i> , 5:685-699.
624 625 626	Dell'Angelica, É.C., V. Shotelersuk, R.C. Aguilar, W.A. Gahl, and J.S. Bonifacino. 1999. Altered trafficking of lysosomal proteins in Hermansky-Pudlak syndrome due to mutations in the beta 3A subunit of the AP-3 adaptor. <i>Mol Cell</i> . 3:11-21.
627 628	Doray, B., K. Bruns, P. Ghosh, and S. Kornfeld. 2002a. Interaction of the cation-dependent mannose 6-phosphate receptor with GGA proteins. <i>J Biol Chem</i> . 277:18477-18482.
629 630 631	Doray, B., P. Ghosh, J. Griffith, H.J. Geuze, and S. Kornfeld. 2002b. Cooperation of GGAs and AP-1 in packaging MPRs at the trans-Golgi network. <i>Science</i> . 297:1700-1703.
632 633	Boncompain, and S. Miserey-Lenkei. 2019. RAB6 and microtubules restrict protein secretion to focal adhesions. <i>J Cell Biol</i> . 218:2215-2231.
634 635	Fujita, H., M. Saeki, K. Yasunaga, T. Ueda, T. Imoto, and M. Himeno. 1999. In vitro binding study of adaptor protein complex (AP-1) to lysosomal targeting motif (LI-motif).
636 637 638	Furuno, K., T. Ishikawa, K. Akasaki, S. Yano, Y. Tanaka, Y. Yamaguchi, H. Tsuji, M. Himeno, and K. Kato. 1989a. Morphological localization of a major lysosomal

- 639 membrane glycoprotein in the endocytic membrane system. *J Biochem*. 106:708-640 716.
- Furuno, K., S. Yano, K. Akasaki, Y. Tanaka, Y. Yamaguchi, H. Tsuji, M. Himeno, and K.
  Kato. 1989b. Biochemical analysis of the movement of a major lysosomal membrane
  glycoprotein in the endocytic membrane system. *J Biochem*. 106:717-722.
- 644 Ghosh, P., and S. Kornfeld. 2003. AP-1 binding to sorting signals and release from clathrin-645 coated vesicles is regulated by phosphorylation. *J Cell Biol*. 160:699-708.
- Ghosh, P., and S. Kornfeld. 2004. The cytoplasmic tail of the cation-independent mannose
  647 6-phosphate receptor contains four binding sites for AP-1. *Arch Biochem Biophys.*648 426:225-230.
- 649 Girod, A., B. Storrie, J.C. Simpson, L. Johannes, B. Goud, L.M. Roberts, J.M. Lord, T.
   650 Nilsson, and R. Pepperkok. 1999. Evidence for a COP-I-independent transport route
   651 from the Golgi complex to the endoplasmic reticulum. *Nat Cell Biol*. 1:423-430.
- Green, S.A., K.P. Zimmer, G. Griffiths, and I. Mellman. 1987. Kinetics of intracellular
   transport and sorting of lysosomal membrane and plasma membrane proteins. *J Cell Biol.* 105:1227-1240.
- 655 Grigoriev, I., D. Splinter, N. Keijzer, P.S. Wulf, J. Demmers, T. Ohtsuka, M. Modesti, I.V.
  656 Maly, F. Grosveld, C.C. Hoogenraad, and A. Akhmanova. 2007. Rab6 regulates
  657 transport and targeting of exocytotic carriers. *Dev Cell*. 13:305-314.
- Grigoriev, I., K.L. Yu, E. Martinez-Sanchez, A. Serra-Marques, I. Smal, E. Meijering, J.
  Demmers, J. Peranen, R.J. Pasterkamp, P. van der Sluijs, C.C. Hoogenraad, and A.
  Akhmanova. 2011. Rab6, Rab8, and MICAL3 cooperate in controlling docking and
  fusion of exocytotic carriers. *Curr Biol.* 21:967-974.
- Guarnieri, F.G., L.M. Arterburn, M.B. Penno, Y. Cha, and J.T. August. 1993. The motif Tyr X-X-hydrophobic residue mediates lysosomal membrane targeting of lysosome associated membrane protein 1. *J Biol Chem*. 268:1941-1946.
- Harter, C., and I. Mellman. 1992. Transport of the lysosomal membrane glycoprotein lgp120
   (lgp-A) to lysosomes does not require appearance on the plasma membrane. *J Cell Biol.* 117:311-325.
- Heybrock, S., K. Kanerva, Y. Meng, C. Ing, A. Liang, Z.J. Xiong, X. Weng, Y. Ah Kim, R.
  Collins, W. Trimble, R. Pomes, G.G. Prive, W. Annaert, M. Schwake, J. Heeren, R.
  Lullmann-Rauch, S. Grinstein, E. Ikonen, P. Saftig, and D. Neculai. 2019. Lysosomal
  integral membrane protein-2 (LIMP-2/SCARB2) is involved in lysosomal cholesterol
  export. *Nat Commun.* 10:3521.
- Honing, S., J. Griffith, H.J. Geuze, and W. Hunziker. 1996. The tyrosine-based lysosomal
  targeting signal in lamp-1 mediates sorting into Golgi-derived clathrin-coated
  vesicles. *EMBO J.* 15:5230-5239.
- Honing, S., and W. Hunziker. 1995. Cytoplasmic determinants involved in direct lysosomal
   sorting, endocytosis, and basolateral targeting of rat lgp120 (lamp-l) in MDCK cells. J
   *Cell Biol.* 128:321-332.
- Honing, S., I.V. Sandoval, and K. von Figura. 1998. A di-leucine-based motif in the
  cytoplasmic tail of LIMP-II and tyrosinase mediates selective binding of AP-3. *EMBO*J. 17:1304-1314.
- Honing, S., M. Sosa, A. Hille-Rehfeld, and K. von Figura. 1997. The 46-kDa mannose 6phosphate receptor contains multiple binding sites for clathrin adaptors. *J Biol Chem*.
  272:19884-19890.
- Hunziker, W., C. Harter, K. Matter, and I. Mellman. 1991. Basolateral sorting in MDCK cells
   requires a distinct cytoplasmic domain determinant. *Cell*. 66:907-920.
- Janvier, K., and J.S. Bonifacino. 2005. Role of the endocytic machinery in the sorting of
   lysosome-associated membrane proteins. *Mol Biol Cell*. 16:4231-4242.
- Janvier, K., Y. Kato, M. Boehm, J.R. Rose, J.A. Martina, B.Y. Kim, S. Venkatesan, and J.S.
  Bonifacino. 2003. Recognition of dileucine-based sorting signals from HIV-1 Nef and LIMP-II by the AP-1 gamma-sigma1 and AP-3 delta-sigma3 hemicomplexes. *J Cell*Biol. 163:1281-1290.

- Jimenez, A.J., and F. Perez. 2017. Plasma membrane repair: the adaptable cell life insurance. *Curr Opin Cell Biol.* 47:99-107.
- Jordan, M., A. Schallhorn, and F.M. Wurm. 1996. Transfecting mammalian cells:
   optimization of critical parameters affecting calcium-phosphate precipitate formation.
   *Nucleic Acids Res.* 24:596-601.
- Kowal, J., M. Tkach, and C. Thery. 2014. Biogenesis and secretion of exosomes. *Curr Opin Cell Biol*. 29:116-125.
- Kuronita, T., E.L. Eskelinen, H. Fujita, P. Saftig, M. Himeno, and Y. Tanaka. 2002. A role for
   the lysosomal membrane protein LGP85 in the biogenesis and maintenance of
   endosomal and lysosomal morphology. *J Cell Sci*. 115:4117-4131.
- Le Borgne, R., A. Alconada, U. Bauer, and B. Hoflack. 1998. The mammalian AP-3 adaptor like complex mediates the intracellular transport of lysosomal membrane
   glycoproteins. *J Biol Chem*. 273:29451-29461.
- Liou, W., H.J. Geuze, and J.W. Slot. 1996. Improving structural integrity of cryosections for immunogold labeling. *Histochem Cell Biol*. 106:41-58.
- Lippincott-Schwartz, J., and D.M. Fambrough. 1986. Lysosomal membrane dynamics:
   structure and interorganellar movement of a major lysosomal membrane
   glycoprotein. *J Cell Biol*. 102:1593-1605.
- Lippincott-Schwartz, J., and D.M. Fambrough. 1987. Cycling of the integral membrane
   glycoprotein, LEP100, between plasma membrane and lysosomes: kinetic and
   morphological analysis. *Cell*. 49:669-677.
- Mali, P., L. Yang, K.M. Esvelt, J. Aach, M. Guell, J.E. DiCarlo, J.E. Norville, and G.M.
  Church. 2013. RNA-guided human genome engineering via Cas9. *Science*. 339:823826.
- Mattera, R., M. Boehm, R. Chaudhuri, Y. Prabhu, and J.S. Bonifacino. 2011. Conservation
   and diversification of dileucine signal recognition by adaptor protein (AP) complex
   variants. *J Biol Chem*. 286:2022-2030.
- Meyer, C., D. Zizioli, S. Lausmann, E.L. Eskelinen, J. Hamann, P. Saftig, K. von Figura, and
   P. Schu. 2000. mu1A-adaptin-deficient mice: lethality, loss of AP-1 binding and
   rerouting of mannose 6-phosphate receptors. *EMBO J.* 19:2193-2203.
- Miserey-Lenkei, S., H. Bousquet, O. Pylypenko, S. Bardin, A. Dimitrov, G. Bressanelli, R.
  Bonifay, V. Fraisier, C. Guillou, C. Bougeret, A. Houdusse, A. Echard, and B. Goud.
  2017. Coupling fission and exit of RAB6 vesicles at Golgi hotspots through kinesinmyosin interactions. *Nat Commun.* 8:1254.
- Miserey-Lenkei, S., G. Chalancon, S. Bardin, E. Formstecher, B. Goud, and A. Echard.
   2010. Rab and actomyosin-dependent fission of transport vesicles at the Golgi
   complex. *Nat Cell Biol.* 12:645-654.
- Nabi, I.R., A. Le Bivic, D. Fambrough, and E. Rodriguez-Boulan. 1991. An endogenous
   MDCK lysosomal membrane glycoprotein is targeted basolaterally before delivery to
   lysosomes. *J Cell Biol*. 115:1573-1584.
- Neculai, D., M. Schwake, M. Ravichandran, F. Zunke, R.F. Collins, J. Peters, M. Neculai, J.
  Plumb, P. Loppnau, J.C. Pizarro, A. Seitova, W.S. Trimble, P. Saftig, S. Grinstein, and S. Dhe-Paganon. 2013. Structure of LIMP-2 provides functional insights with implications for SR-BI and CD36. *Nature*. 504:172-176.
- Obermuller, S., C. Kiecke, K. von Figura, and S. Honing. 2002. The tyrosine motifs of Lamp
  1 and LAP determine their direct and indirect targetting to lysosomes. *J Cell Sci*.
  115:185-194.
- Ogata, S., and M. Fukuda. 1994. Lysosomal targeting of Limp II membrane glycoprotein
   requires a novel Leu-Ile motif at a particular position in its cytoplasmic tail. *J Biol Chem.* 269:5210-5217.
- Ohno, H., M.C. Fournier, G. Poy, and J.S. Bonifacino. 1996. Structural determinants of
   interaction of tyrosine-based sorting signals with the adaptor medium chains. *J Biol Chem.* 271:29009-29015.

- Ohno, H., J. Stewart, M.C. Fournier, H. Bosshart, I. Rhee, S. Miyatake, T. Saito, A.
  Gallusser, T. Kirchhausen, and J.S. Bonifacino. 1995. Interaction of tyrosine-based sorting signals with clathrin-associated proteins. *Science*. 269:1872-1875.
- Owada, M., and E.F. Neufeld. 1982. Is there a mechanism for introducing acid hydrolases
   into liver lysosomes that is independent of mannose 6-phosphate recognition?
   Evidence from I-cell disease. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun.* 105:814-820.
- Patwardhan, A., S. Bardin, S. Miserey-Lenkei, L. Larue, B. Goud, G. Raposo, and C.
  Delevoye. 2017. Routing of the RAB6 secretory pathway towards the lysosome related organelle of melanocytes. *Nat Commun.* 8:15835.
- Paul-Gilloteaux, P., X. Heiligenstein, M. Belle, M.C. Domart, B. Larijani, L. Collinson, G.
   Raposo, and J. Salamero. 2017. eC-CLEM: flexible multidimensional registration software for correlative microscopies. *Nat Methods*. 14:102-103.
- Peden, A.A., V. Oorschot, B.A. Hesser, C.D. Austin, R.H. Scheller, and J. Klumperman.
  2004. Localization of the AP-3 adaptor complex defines a novel endosomal exit site
  for lysosomal membrane proteins. *J Cell Biol*. 164:1065-1076.
- Pereira, C., D. Stalder, G.S.F. Anderson, A.S. Shun-Shion, J. Houghton, R. Antrobus, M.A.
  Chapman, D.J. Fazakerley, and D.C. Gershlick. 2023. The exocyst complex is an
  essential component of the mammalian constitutive secretory pathway. *J Cell Biol.*222.
- Pols, M.S., E. van Meel, V. Oorschot, C. ten Brink, M. Fukuda, M.G. Swetha, S. Mayor, and
  J. Klumperman. 2013. hVps41 and VAMP7 function in direct TGN to late endosome
  transport of lysosomal membrane proteins. *Nat Commun.* 4:1361.
- Puertollano, R., R.C. Aguilar, I. Gorshkova, R.J. Crouch, and J.S. Bonifacino. 2001. Sorting
   of mannose 6-phosphate receptors mediated by the GGAs. *Science*. 292:1712-1716.
- Rabouille, C. 2017. Pathways of Unconventional Protein Secretion. *Trends Cell Biol*. 27:230 240.
- Reczek, D., M. Schwake, J. Schroder, H. Hughes, J. Blanz, X. Jin, W. Brondyk, S. Van
  Patten, T. Edmunds, and P. Saftig. 2007. LIMP-2 is a receptor for lysosomal
  mannose-6-phosphate-independent targeting of beta-glucocerebrosidase. *Cell*.
  131:770-783.
- Robinson, M.S. 2004. Adaptable adaptors for coated vesicles. *Trends Cell Biol*. 14:167-174.
- Robinson, M.S., D.A. Sahlender, and S.D. Foster. 2010. Rapid inactivation of proteins by
   rapamycin-induced rerouting to mitochondria. *Dev Cell*. 18:324-331.
- Sandoval, I.V., and O. Bakke. 1994. Targeting of membrane proteins to endosomes and
   Iysosomes. *Trends Cell Biol.* 4:292-297.
- Sandoval, I.V., S. Martinez-Arca, J. Valdueza, S. Palacios, and G.D. Holman. 2000. Distinct reading of different structural determinants modulates the dileucine-mediated transport steps of the lysosomal membrane protein LIMPII and the insulin-sensitive glucose transporter GLUT4. *J Biol Chem.* 275:39874-39885.
- Schindelin, J., I. Arganda-Carreras, E. Frise, V. Kaynig, M. Longair, T. Pietzsch, S.
  Preibisch, C. Rueden, S. Saalfeld, B. Schmid, J.Y. Tinevez, D.J. White, V.
  Hartenstein, K. Eliceiri, P. Tomancak, and A. Cardona. 2012. Fiji: an open-source
  platform for biological-image analysis. *Nat Methods*. 9:676-682.
- 789 Slot, J.W., and H.J. Geuze. 1985. A new method of preparing gold probes for multiple-790 labeling cytochemistry. *Eur J Cell Biol*. 38:87-93.
- Slot, J.W., H.J. Geuze, S. Gigengack, G.E. Lienhard, and D.E. James. 1991. Immunolocalization of the insulin regulatable glucose transporter in brown adipose tissue of
  the rat. *J Cell Biol.* 113:123-135.
- Stockli, J., S. Honing, and J. Rohrer. 2004. The acidic cluster of the CK2 site of the cation dependent mannose 6-phosphate receptor (CD-MPR) but not its phosphorylation is
   required for GGA1 and AP-1 binding. *J Biol Chem.* 279:23542-23549.
- Tabuchi, N., K. Akasaki, and H. Tsuji. 2000. Two acidic amino acid residues, Asp(470) and
   Glu(471), contained in the carboxyl cytoplasmic tail of a major lysosomal membrane
   protein, LGP85/LIMP II, are important for its accumulation in secondary lysosomes.
   *Biochem Biophys Res Commun.* 270:557-563.

- Vega, M.A., F. Rodriguez, B. Segui, C. Cales, J. Alcalde, and I.V. Sandoval. 1991. Targeting
  of lysosomal integral membrane protein LIMP II. The tyrosine-lacking carboxyl
  cytoplasmic tail of LIMP II is sufficient for direct targeting to lysosomes. *J Biol Chem*.
  266:16269-16272.
- Waheed, A., S. Gottschalk, A. Hille, C. Krentler, R. Pohlmann, T. Braulke, H. Hauser, H.
  Geuze, and K. von Figura. 1988. Human lysosomal acid phosphatase is transported as a transmembrane protein to lysosomes in transfected baby hamster kidney cells. *EMBO J.* 7:2351-2358.
- White, J., L. Johannes, F. Mallard, A. Girod, S. Grill, S. Reinsch, P. Keller, B. Tzschaschel,
  A. Echard, B. Goud, and E.H. Stelzer. 1999. Rab6 coordinates a novel Golgi to ER
  retrograde transport pathway in live cells. *J Cell Biol*. 147:743-760.
- Williams, M.A., and M. Fukuda. 1990. Accumulation of membrane glycoproteins in
   lysosomes requires a tyrosine residue at a particular position in the cytoplasmic tail. J
   *Cell Biol.* 111:955-966.
- Zachos, C., J. Blanz, P. Saftig, and M. Schwake. 2012. A critical histidine residue within
   LIMP-2 mediates pH sensitive binding to its ligand beta-glucocerebrosidase. *Traffic*.
   13:1113-1123.
- Zhao, Y., J. Ren, S. Padilla-Parra, E.E. Fry, and D.I. Stuart. 2014. Lysosome sorting of betaglucocerebrosidase by LIMP-2 is targeted by the mannose 6-phosphate receptor. *Nat Commun.* 5:4321.
- Zhu, Y., B. Doray, A. Poussu, V.P. Lehto, and S. Kornfeld. 2001. Binding of GGA2 to the
   lysosomal enzyme sorting motif of the mannose 6-phosphate receptor. *Science*.
   292:1716-1718.
- 824

825 826





f

Fluorescence Temporal projection SBP-EGFP-LAMP1 SBP-mCherry-LAMP1<sup>Y414A</sup> SBP-EGFP-LAMP1 SBP-mCherry-LAMP1<sup>Y414A</sup> b Surface LAMP1Y414A TIRF 1.4 Anti-GFP surface staining relative intensity (a.u.) 1.2 1.0 0.8 Color code 0.6 0.4 0.2 Biotin at transfection 0.0 ò 30 60 90 120 150 180 Time after biotin addition (min) 35:08

е



52:23

60:35











Golgi







а



828

829

# Figure 1. RUSH-synchronised LAMP1 is transported from the Golgi apparatus to the cell surface before being internalized from clathrin-coated pits.

832 (a) Widefield microscopy images of HeLa cells transiently expressing SBP-EGFP-833 LAMP1 and Streptavidin-KDEL. Cells were incubated with biotin for the indicated 834 times, stained with an anti-GFP antibody without permeabilization. Scale bar: 5 µm. 835 (b) Flow cytometry measurement of the surface anti-GFP surface signal intensity of cells treated as in (a). Median anti-GFP intensity of GFP-positive cells normalised to 836 837 the maximum value is shown. n = 3 independent experiments. Error bars: SEM. (c-d) TIRF images of living HeLa cells transiently expressing SBP-EGFP-LAMP1, 838 Streptavidin-KDEL and mCherry-clathrin light chain (CLC) acquired at the indicated 839 840 times after the addition of biotin. Scale bars: 5 µm. (d) Enlargement of the boxed area 841 depicted in (c). (d) Time-lapse acquisition of a fusion event magnified from the boxed region in (c). (e-f) TIRF images of living HeLa cells transiently expressing SBP-842 mCherry-LAMP1, Streptavidin-KDEL and EGFP-RAB6A. Scale bars: 5 µm. (f) Fusion 843 844 events magnified from the boxed region in (e). (g) Kymographs along the line in (f) 845 between the two indicated times. Dotted lines highlight the cell contour. Time in min:s. 846

847

848

# Figure 2. LAMP1<sup>Y414A</sup> is blocked at the cell surface but follows the same Golgi to-plasma membrane route as LAMP1 wild-type.

(a) Living HeLa cells transiently expressing both SBP-mCherry-LAMP1 (WT) and 851 SBP-EGFP-LAMP1<sup>Y414A</sup> and Streptavidin-KDEL. The same cells were imaged at 852 853 different times after the addition of biotin. Images correspond to maximum Z 854 projections of several slices acquired with a spinning disk microscope. Scale bar: 10 μm. (b) Flow cytometry quantification of the presence of SBP-EGFP-LAMP1<sup>Y414A</sup> at 855 the plasma membrane. HeLa cells transiently expressing SBP-EGFP-LAMP1<sup>Y414A</sup> and 856 Streptavidin-KDEL were incubated with biotin for different times, stained with an anti-857 858 GFP antibody without permeabilization. Median anti-GFP intensity of GFP-positive 859 cells normalised to the maximum value is shown. n = 3 independent experiments. Error bars: SEM. (c-d) Living HeLa cells transiently expressing both SBP-EGFP-LAMP1 and 860 SBP-mCherry-LAMP1<sup>Y414A</sup> and Streptavidin-KDEL were acquired every second. Scale 861 862 bar: 10 µm. (c) Single Z-slice acquired with a spinning-disk microscope at the indicated time after biotin addition. Arrowheads indicate Golgi exit events. (d) Color-coded 863 temporal projections between the two indicated times. (e-f) TIRF images of living HeLa 864 865 cells transfected as in (c) and acquired every second. Scale bar: 10 µm. (f) Color-866 coded temporal projections between the indicated times. Arrowheads indicate fusion 867 events with the plasma membrane. (g) Magnified view of boxed areas in (e-f). Arrowheads indicate the retention of LAMP1 retention points in clathrin-coated pits. 868 869 Scale bar: 5 µm. Time in min:s.

871

Figure 3. LAMP1 and LIMP2 are segregated and sorted at the Golgi apparatus. 872 873 (a) Schematic representations of LAMP1 and LIMP2 topologies, tagging and 874 cytosolic motifs. Clathrin adaptors recruitment signals are shown in bold. FP: 875 fluorescent protein. (b-c) High-resolution images of HeLa cells transiently transfected 876 to express Streptavidin-KDEL, SBP-mCherry-LAMP1, Streptavidin-HA-Endo-KKXX 877 and SBP-EGFP-LIMP2. Cells were incubated with biotin for 26 min, fixed and stained 878 for GM130. The images correspond to maximum Z projection of high-resolution image 879 stacks. Scale bars: 10 µm. (c) Magnified view of the boxed area in b. Linescan of pixel 880 intensities along the line normalised on the Golgi mean intensity. (d-g) Living HeLa 881 cells transfected to express Streptavidin-KDEL, SBP-mCherry-LAMP1, mini-li-Streptavidin and SBP-EGFP-LIMP2 acquired every two seconds. Images correspond 882 883 to maximum Z projection of spinning-disk stacks acquired at indicated times after biotin 884 addition. Scale bars: 10 µm. (e) Magnified view of the boxed area in d. Arrows track two puncta from their appearance to their Golgi exit. The dotted ovals highlight the 885 appearance area of the two tracked puncta. (f) Same cells as in d-e. Red and green 886 887 arrowheads indicate carriers that exit the Golgi apparatus for SBP-mCherry-LAMP1 888 and SBP-EGFP-LIMP2, respectively. (g) Color-coded temporal projection between the 889 two indicated times. Arrows track the carriers leaving the Golgi apparatus. Scale bar: 890 10 µm. (h) Cryo-immuno electron microscopy pictures of HeLa cells transiently expressing Streptavidin-KDEL, SBP-mCherry-LAMP1, Streptavidin-HA-Endo-KKXX 891 892 and SBP-EGFP-LIMP2. Cells were incubated with biotin for 25 min, fixed and prepared 893 for cryo-immuno electron microscopy. SBP-mCherry-LAMP1 was detected with an anti-mCherry antibody and 10 nm-gold particles conjugated to Protein A (PAG10). 894 895 SBP-EGFP-LIMP2 was detected with an anti-GFP antibody and 15 nm-gold particles 896 conjugated to Protein A (PAG15). Arrowheads highlight SBP-EGFP-LIMP2; CV: 897 coated vesicle. Scale bar: 250 nm (i-k) Correlative light-electron microscopy of SBP-EGFP-LIMP2 and SBP-mCherry-LAMP1. HeLa cells were transfected like in b and c 898 and were live stained using SiR-lysosome (SiR-Lys) and SiR-DNA, incubated with 899 900 biotin for 27 min, fixed, acquired for fluorescence, and then prepared for transmission 901 electron microscopy (TEM). The arrowhead indicates a SBP-EGFP-LIMP2 bright 902 punctum at the Golgi apparatus. (i) Images are confocal spinning-disk Z slices. Scale 903 bar: 10 µm. (j,k) TEM images and fluorescence-EM correlation. The SiR-lysosome 904 staining was used for the correlation. L, lysosome; M, mitochondria; N, nucleus; G, 905 Golgi stack. Scale bar: 1 µm. Time in min:s.

906 907

Figure 4. LIMP2 [D/E]XXXL[L/I] motif is not involved in its sorting at the Golgi apparatus.

910 (**a-b**) HeLa cells transiently expressing mini-li-Streptavidin, SBP-EGFP-LIMP2 WT, 911 streptavidin-HA-Endo-KKXX and SBP-mApple-LIMP2<sup>AA</sup>. Images correspond to 912 maximum Z projection of spinning disk confocal stacks. Scale bars: 10  $\mu$ m, magnified 913 views: 5  $\mu$ m. Cells were fixed 24 h after transfection. (**b**) Cells were incubated with 914 biotin for 24 min and fixed. (c-d) HeLa cells were transfected to express mini-li-915 Streptavidin, SBP-EGFP-LIMP2 WT and SBP-mApple-LIMP2<sup>AA</sup>. Pictures were acquired every two seconds to follow the Golgi exit events. Scale bar: 10 µm. (c) 916 Arrowheads point out a compartment exiting the Golgi apparatus. (d) Color-coded 917 918 temporal projection between the two indicated times. Arrows indicate a track exiting 919 the Golgi apparatus. (e-f) High resolution image of HeLa cells transiently expressing 920 mini-li-Streptavidin, SBP-EGFP-LIMP2 and mCherry-clathrin light chain (CLC). The 921 cells were incubated with biotin for 24 min and fixed. Images correspond to maximum 922 Z projections (e) or single slices (f) of high-resolution image stacks and deconvolved. (e) Scale bar: 10 µm. (f) Arrowheads indicate LIMP2 bright puncta at the Golgi 923 apparatus. Scale bar: 5 µm. (g) Linescans of pixel intensity along the two lines in (f), 924 normalised to the maxima. Time in min:s. 925

926

927

# Figure 5. LIMP2 C-terminal cytosolic domain is not involved in its sorting at the Golgi apparatus.

(a) Schematic representation of the LAMP1/LIMP2 chimeras and LIMP2 deletion mutant. (b) HeLa cells were transfected to express Streptavidin-KDEL (for SBP-EGFP-LAMP1 or SBP-EGFP-LAMP1<sup>LIMP2C</sup>) or mini-li-Streptavidin (for SBP-EGFP-LIMP2, SBP-EGFP-LIMP2<sup>LAMP1C</sup> or SBP-EGFP-LIMP2<sup> $\Delta C$ </sup> treated or not with biotin for 24 min and fixed. Images correspond to maximum Z projection of spinning disk confocal image stacks. Dotted lines indicate cell contour. Bottom panel corresponds to enlargement of the Golgi region boxed in the upper lane. Scale bars: 10µm.

938

939 **Supplementary figure 1.** RUSH-synchronised LAMP1 reaches late 940 endosomes/lysosomes.

Widefield microscopy images of HeLa (a,b), SUM159 (c) and RPE-1 (d) cells 941 expressing SBP-mCherry-LAMP1 and Streptavidin-KDEL. The cells were incubated 942 943 with biotin for the indicated times, fixed and stained for the ER marker PDI isoform A3, 944 the Golgi marker GM130 or giantin or the LE/lysosome marker LAMTOR4. (a) Scale bar: 10 µm. (b) Magnified views of boxed areas. Arrowheads point SBP-mCherry-945 946 LAMP1 positive compartments decorated with LAMTOR4. Scale bars: 5 µm (a,b), 10 947 µm (c,d). (e) Flow cytometry measurement of the surface anti-GFP surface signal intensity of cells treated as in c and d. Median anti-GFP intensity of GFP-positive cells 948 949 normalised to the maximum value is shown. n = 2 independent experiments. Error 950 bars: SEM.

951

952

#### 953 Supplementary figure 2. Endogenous LAMP1 transits through the cell surface

954 before reaching lysosomes

(a) Schematic representation of the editing strategy of the LAMP1 gene. The signalpeptide is encoded by a coding sequence located on Exon1 and Exon2 (with intron 1)

in between). The gRNA aligns downstream of the signal peptide. The dashed black
and grey lines represent a break in scale in the LAMP1 gene and protein, respectively.
Numbers on the scheme of LAMP1 protein correspond to the position of the first amino
acid of each domain. The donor DNA to introduce SBP and mCherry contains two
homology arms of 800 bp each. Green arrows correspond to the primers used for the
PCR analysis of genomic DNA depicted in b. LAMP1<sup>EN</sup>: endogenously tagged LAMP1,
TMD: transmembrane domain, cyt.: cytoplasmic domain.

(b) Genomic DNA of the corresponding SUM159 cells was extracted from a clonal
population. The presence of the knock-in construct was assessed by PCR using
external primers (see a). Size of the bands of the DNA ladder (1kb Plus DNA ladder
from ThermoFisher Scientific) is expressed in base pairs. The expected size of the
PCR fragment from the wild-type locus (non-edited) was 2298 bp and from the edited
locus containing the SBP-mCherry insertion was 3138 bp. Str-KDEL: overexpression
of the RUSH ER hook Streptavidin-KDEL introduced by lentiviral transduction.

971 (c) The homozygote clone with knock-in of SBP-mCherry in LAMP1 locus and 972 overexpressing the ER hook Str-KDEL was either non treated or incubated with biotin 973 for the indicated times. SUM159 wild-type cells were used as a control. 974 Immunostaining with an anti-SBP antibody was used to amplify the fluorescence signal 975 for SBP-mCherry-Lamp1 (upper panels and red on merge pictures). Lysosomes were 976 labelled using an anti-LBPA antibody and DNA was stained with DAPI. Scale bar: 10 977  $\mu$ m.

(d) The presence of SBP-mCherry-LAMP1<sup>EN</sup> at the cell surface upon trafficking was
monitored by flow cytometry. The cells were incubated with biotin for the indicated
times and then stained with an anti-SBP antibody without permeabilization. The
fluorescence signal was normalised to the maximum value of the series corresponding
to the SBP-mCherry-LAMP1<sup>EN</sup> + Str-KDEL cells. n=3 independent experiments. Error
bars correspond to SEM.

984

985 Supplementary figure 3. RUSH-synchronised LIMP2, LAMP2 and LAP reach late986 endosomes/lysosomes.

987 (**a-f**) Widefield microscopy images of HeLa cells transfected to express the mini-li-988 Streptavidin (**a-b**) or Streptavidin-KDEL (**c-f**) and indicated cargos. The cells were 989 incubated with biotin for the indicated times, fixed and stained for PDI isoform A3 or 990 GM130 and LAMTOR4. (**a**, **c**, **e**) Scale bars: 10  $\mu$ m. (**b**, **d**, **f**) Magnified views of boxed 991 areas. Arrowheads point out compartments colocalising with LAMTOR4. Scale bars: 992 5  $\mu$ m.

993

994 **Supplementary figure 4.** LAMP1 and LAMP2 are not sorted at the Golgi 995 apparatus.

(a-b) HeLa cells were transfected to express Streptavidin-KDEL, SBP-mCherryLAMP1 and SBP-EGFP-LAMP2. Cells were incubated with biotin for 26 min and fixed.
The images correspond to maximum Z projection of high-resolution images stacks.
Scale bars: 10 µm. (b) Magnified view of the boxed area in a. The curves show the
pixel intensities along the white line normalised to the Golgi mean intensity. (c-d) The

1001 cells were transfected like in (**a-b**) and were acquired live. Scale bar: 10 µm. (**c**) 1002 Images correspond to maximum Z projection of spinning-disk confocal stacks acquired at indicated time after biotin addition. The arrowheads point out Golgi exit events. (d) 1003 1004 Color-coded temporal projection between indicated times. Arrowheads point out Golgi exit tracks. Time in min:s. (e) Flow cytometry measurement of the surface anti-GFP 1005 1006 surface signal intensity of HeLa cells transiently expressing Streptavidin-KDEL and 1007 SBP-EGFP-LAMP2. Median anti-GFP intensity of GFP-positive cells normalised to the 1008 maximum value is shown. n = 2 independent experiments. Error bars: SEM.

1009

1010 **Supplementary figure 5**. LAMP1 and LAP are not sorted at the Golgi apparatus.

(a-b) HeLa cells were transfected to express Streptavidin-KDEL, SBP-EGFP-1011 LAMP1 and SBP-mCherry-LAP. Cells were incubated with biotin for 26 min and fixed. 1012 1013 The images are maximum Z projection of high-resolution images stacks. Scale bars: 1014 10 µm. (b) Magnified view of the boxed area in (a). Linescan of pixel intensity along the line normalised to the Golgi mean intensity. (c-d) The cells were transfected like 1015 1016 in (**a-b**). Scale bar: 10 µm. (**c**) Images are maximum Z projection of spinning-disk confocal stacks acquired at indicated time after biotin addition. The arrowheads point 1017 1018 out Golgi exit events. (d) Color-coded temporal projection between indicated times. Arrowheads point out Golgi exit tracks. Time in min:s. 1019 (e) Flow cytometry 1020 measurement of the surface anti-GFP surface signal intensity of HeLa cells transiently 1021 expressing Streptavidin-KDEL and SBP-EGFP-LAP. Median anti-GFP intensity of 1022 GFP-positive cells normalised to the maximum value is shown. n = 2 independent experiments. Error bars: SEM. 1023

1024

Supplementary figure 6. LAMP1 and LIMP2 are segregated in the Golgi apparatusin SUM159 and RPE-1 cells.

- (a) SUM159 cells transiently transfected to express Streptavidin-KDEL, SBP mCherry-LAMP1, Streptavidin-HA-Endo-KKXX and SBP-EGFP-LIMP2. The pictures
   displayed correspond to a maximum intensity projection of spinning disk Z-slices of
   cells incubated with biotin for 60 min.
- (b) RPE-1 cells transiently transfected to express Streptavidin-KDEL, SBP-mCherryLAMP1, Mini-Ii-Streptavidin-HA and SBP-EGFP-LIMP2. The pictures displayed
  correspond to a maximum intensity projection of spinning disk Z-slices of cells
  incubated with biotin for 16 min.
- 1035 Scale bars: 10µm
- 1036
- 1037 **Supplementary figure 7.** LIMP2 [D/E]XXXL[L/I] motif is not involved in the 1038 formation of LIMP2 bright puncta at the Golgi apparatus.

1039 (**a-b**) HeLa cells transiently expressing mini-li-Streptavidin and SBP-EGFP-LIMP2 1040 (**a**) or SBP-LIMP2-LIMP2<sup>AA</sup> (**b**). Biotin was added at the time of transfection. The cells 1041 were fixed the day after and stained with anti-LAMTOR4 and HCS CellMask. Images 1042 are maximum projection of confocal Z stacks. Scale bars: 10  $\mu$ m, magnified views: 5 1043  $\mu$ m. (**c-d**) HeLa cells were transfected like in (**a-b**). The day after transfection, cells were incubated with biotin for 24 min, fixed and stained for GM130. Images are
maximum projections of confocal Z stacks. Scale bars: 10 µm, magnified views: 5 µm.