

Applications of Free-Energy Calculations to Biomolecular Processes. A Collection

Zoe Cournia, Christophe Chipot

▶ To cite this version:

Zoe Cournia, Christophe Chipot. Applications of Free-Energy Calculations to Biomolecular Processes. A Collection. Journal of Chemical Information and Modeling, 2024, 64 (7), pp.2129-2131. 10.1021/acs.jcim.4c00349 . hal-04735622

HAL Id: hal-04735622 https://hal.science/hal-04735622v1

Submitted on 15 Oct 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Applications of Free-Energy Calculations to Biomolecular Processes

Zoe Cournia¹ and Christophe Chipot^{2,3,4}

¹ Biomedical Research Foundation, Academy of Athens, 11527 Athens, Greece

² Laboratoire International Associé Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique et University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Unité Mixte de Recherche n°7019, Université de Lorraine, B.P. 70239, 54506 Vandœuvre-lès-Nancy cedex, France

³ Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, The University of Chicago, 929 E. 57th Street W225, Chicago, Illinois 60637, USA

⁴ Theoretical and Computational Biophysics Group, Beckman Institute, and Department of Physics, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, USA

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors *E-mail: <u>zcournia@bioacademy.gr</u> (Z.C.) *E-mail: <u>chipot@illinois.edu</u> (C.C.)

ORCID

Zoe Cournia: 0000-0001-9287-364X Christophe Chipot: 0000-0002-9122-1698

Introduction

The calculation of accurate free energies lies at the core of investigating biomolecular processes such as protein-ligand binding, protein-antibody interactions, enzymatic reactions, solvation by means of computer simulations. Given their prevalence in cellular machinery, many of these processes have significant implications not only in various aspects of biophysics but also in the rational design of drugs. Consequently, it is highly desirable to predict their feasibility using theoretical tools. In recent decades, substantial strides have been taken in determining free energies through the application of numerical simulations grounded in the fundamental principles of statistical mechanics. While the accessibility of computational resources, in particular the rapid development of fast and affordable graphics processing units, has contributed to these advancements, the primary catalyst for progress lies in the development of a diverse array of methods. These methods have effectively enhanced the efficiency and reliability of calculations related to free energy, opening new perspectives for the computational study of a variety of complex problems hitherto intractable.

Building upon a collection of well-cited articles published in recent years in both the Journal of Chemical Information and Modeling and in the Journal of Physical Chemistry, we are pleased to announce a joint virtual special issue aimed at promoting cutting-edge research that applies freeenergy calculations to the investigation of biomolecular processes. The lead editors for this virtual special issue are Associate Editor Zoe Cournia (Academy of Athens) and Senior Editor Christophe Chipot (CNRS). While we have already invited a number of scientists to submit original research articles for this exciting joint issue, we enthusiastically welcome anyone in the scientific community eager to submit a contribution that falls within the scientific scope of the two journals, reporting original methodological developments, as well as extensions, or applications thereof, which have allowed discoveries to be made, and new physical insights to be gained. Research areas of particular interest to the proposed Journal of Chemical Information and Modeling and the Journal of Physical Chemistry joint virtual special issue include, albeit are not limited to:

Alchemical Free-Energy Calculations for Drug Discovery

Free-energy calculations are a valuable tool for drug design and in particular for lead optimization. To directly calculate the free energy of the ligand-protein binding process is an extremely daunting task involving diffusion, desolvation, and conformational changes of both ligand and protein. However, relative binding free energies (RBFE) between congeneric ligands or absolute binding free energies (ABFE) can be calculated relatively efficiently using a thermodynamic cycle that facilitates small perturbations of phase space. The evolution of alchemical free-energy calculations from its theoretical foundations and early applications to recent developments has been recently reviewed in Ref.¹ Nowadays, RBFE calculations are used both retrospectively for the validation of different methods and protocols, but also prospectively for lead optimization and drug design.

Relative free-energy perturbation (FEP) and multisite lambda dynamics (MS λ D) were applied to a series of inhibitors for the bromodomain-containing protein 4 (BRD4).² Authors found that relative FEP and MS λ D predicted binding free energies with comparable accuracy, (~0.6 kcal/mol for each method), but MS λ D required 18-fold less simulation time for the entire molecule space.

Calculation of ABFE for a protein-ligand complex in solution is more challenging compared to RBFE calculations because of the increased needs in configurational sampling. The Jorgensen group applied both Monte Carlo and molecular dynamics (MD) simulations for sampling coupled with FEP calculations to estimate the ΔG_{bind} for the complex of a druglike inhibitor (MIF180) with the protein macrophage migration inhibitory factor (MIF). They found that calculation of accurate ΔG_{bind} for large ligands is both feasible and numerically equivalent using both sampling techniques.³ In another study from the same group, a set of 16 inhibitors of the SARS-CoV-2 main protease (Mpro) with structural diversity, well-distributed affinities, and high-resolution crystal structures were subjected to MD/FEP.⁴ The experimental and computed results showed encouraging correlation, indicating that FEP-based ABFE calculations can be employed for prospective hit identification. In another study, the ABFEP method was tested on eight congeneric compound series binding to eight protein receptors including both neutral and charged ligands demonstrating the validity of the method,⁵ and was further found to improve the hit rates as compared to docking scores or other methods like metadynamics. In a recent study calculating the binding affinity of known ligands to SARS-CoV-2 Mpro, it was demonstrated that among freeenergy methods FEP using double annihilation is the most accurate method, followed by LIE, FPL, and MM-PBSA (FEP > LIE ≈ FPL > MM-PBSA).⁶

FEP calculations were also performed in the context of prospective AlphaFold2 protein structures, and the binding affinities arising from relative FEP calculations were comparable in accuracy to the corresponding calculations previously carried out using crystal structures.⁷ Therefore, AlphaFold2-modeled structures could be accurate enough to be used by physics-based methods such as FEP in typical lead optimization stages of a drug discovery program.

Methodological Developments for Free-Energy Calculations

Alchemical free-energy calculations have been limited by technical challenges such as the manual creation of large numbers of input files to set up, run, and analyze. Zhang et al.⁸ have developed the CHARMM-GUI Free Energy Calculator, a user-friendly web-based tool to generate the AMBER-TI system and input files for high-throughput binding free-energy calculations. An automated workflow for setting up free-energy calculations and analysis (ProFESSA) using the GPU-accelerated AMBER free-energy engine with enhanced sampling features and analysis tools has been also developed,⁹ as part of the AMBER Drug Discovery Boost package (AMBER22

release). To streamline the optimal perturbation path in alchemical free-energy calculations, Petrov has developed an automatic perturbation topology builder based on a graph-matching algorithm, which can identify the maximum common substructure (MCS) of two or multiple molecules and provide the perturbation topologies suitable for free-energy calculations using the GROMOS and the GROMACS simulation packages.¹⁰

Replica-exchange enveloping distribution sampling (RE-EDS) allows for the sampling of multiple end-states in a single simulation without the specification of any pathways. In a recent GROMOS/GAFF2 implementation of this scheme, it was shown that the hydration free energies obtained using RE-EDS for multiple molecules are found to be in good agreement with both the experimental data and the results calculated using other free-energy methods.¹¹

Another method, alchemical transfer method (ATM-RBFE) for the estimation of relative binding free energies of molecular complexes was validated against absolute binding free energies of the SAMPL8 GDCC host–guest benchmark set and against protein–ligand benchmark sets and it was found that the method yields self-consistent and converged relative binding free-energy estimates in agreement with absolute binding free energies, reference literature values, and experimental measurements.¹²

To address the sampling problem during an alchemical free-energy calculation, a nonequilibrium candidate Monte Carlo (NCMC) method was developed and applied to improve sampling of ligand binding modes, demonstrating a 2 orders of magnitude improvement in binding mode sampling efficiency compared to a brute force MD simulation.¹³

Machine Learning and Free-Energy Calculations

On the machine learning front, a data-driven approach incorporating the hydration free energies obtained using 3D-RISM, termed 3D-RISM-AI, was developed, leveraging on several physicochemical descriptors.¹⁴ In another study, it was demonstrated that the combination of active learning with automated machine learning and free-energy calculations yields at least 20-fold speedup relative to naïve brute force approaches.¹⁵ Finally, a new strategy to estimate binding free energies using end-state molecular dynamics simulation based on linear interaction energy (LIE) and ANI-2x neural network potentials predicts the single-point interaction energies between ligand–protein and ligand–solvent pairs at the accuracy of the wb97x/6-31G* level.¹⁶

Protein-Protein Interactions

Many essential operations within the cell machinery hinge on the recognition and association of proteins. Disturbing the intricate web of interactions responsible for creating protein-protein complexes can result in a variety of pathologies. Binding affinities, which reflect the inherent tendency of molecular entities to unite, are crucial thermodynamic factors for unraveling the intricacies of recognition and association phenomena and uncovering potential malfunctions. This holds true for numerous proteins, where the process of oligomerization is a necessary step for them to carry out their biological functions.

Employing multi-µs biased and unbiased computer simulations, Dutta et al.¹⁷ investigated how the spike protein of SARS-CoV-2 and of its successive variants interacts through its receptor binding domain with the human angiotensin conversion enzyme 2 protein.

In close relation with the latter work, Nguyen et al.¹⁸ turned to steered molecular dynamics in conjunction with coarse-grained models to estimate the binding affinity of the monoclonal

antibodies CR3022 and 4A8 to the SARS-CoV-2 receptor-binding domain (RBD) and SARS-CoV-2 N-terminal domain (NTD).

Free Energies Determined from QM/MM and Reactive MD Simulations

Quantum-mechanical/molecular-mechanical (QM/MM) simulations have evolved into an essential tool for the exploration of a gamut of chemical processes, chief among which are enzymatic reactions. In this particular research area, QM/MM simulations have not only allowed the underlying molecular mechanisms to be dissected, but they have also revealed the different factors influencing catalysis, thereby helping the design of enzyme inhibitors, or the analysis and enhancement of engineered enzymes. Still, despite their remarkable success, QM/MM methods, most notably those tailored for biomolecular systems, face significant challenges. In a comprehensive Perspective,¹⁹ these ongoing challenges are discussed exhaustively, alongside the current avenues to address them.

In the context of alchemical transformations performed in the framework of QM/MM simulations, determination of the free-energy difference between the low-level, MM, and the high-level, QM, of theory constitutes a computational challenge. One possible route to meet this challenge consists in turning to nonequilibrium work switching. To optimize the computational cost associated to this undertaking, several strategies of short versus long switches have been explored in conjunction with the Crooks fluctuation theorem, and principal component analysis to identify convergence failures.²⁰

An important aspect of free-energy calculations leaning on QM/MM methods is the search of transition pathways, most notably for enzymatic reactions. Combining QM/MM simulations with the string algorithm, Yagi et al. have determined the minimum-energy pathway for proton-transfer processes.²¹ The original implementation of their methodology and the level of theory utilized made possible the mapping of the free-energy landscape underlying the reactive process of interest.

At the other end of the spectrum of free-energy calculations involving a QM description of the reactive process at play, ab initio molecular dynamics is well-suited for simulating chemical reactions, because it dynamically solves the electronic Schrödinger equation, without depending on a predetermined, fixed bonding structure of the system. A significantly more computationally efficient approach is provided by multiscale reactive molecular dynamics, which, in combination with diabatic matching, has been employed to investigate proton dissociation reactions of amino acids in both water and in a protein.²² This methodology allows the response to the environment change to be characterized in terms of accurate pK_a shift determination.

Submission of Contributions

Just like any submission to either the Journal of Chemical Information and Modeling or the Journal of Physical Chemistry, contributions to the proposed virtual special issue should consist of an original research of sufficient broad interest, providing new physical insight, or reporting novel methodological developments. The contributions received by either journal will be peer reviewed with the same standards as any regular submission.

The deadline for submitting the contributions is MM/DD/2024. Since the joint initiative of the Journal of Chemical Information and Modeling or the Journal of Physical Chemistry is a virtual special issue, accepted manuscripts will be published in a regular issue according to the usual schedule, that is appearing on the journal webpage as an ASAP paper within days after reception

of the corrected galley proofs, and in the next available print issue. Accepted manuscripts will also appear and be promoted on a dedicated virtual special issue webpage, designed to showcase the contributions. The final, complete collection of accepted research articles will also be announced in distinct regular issues of the Journal of Chemical Information and Modeling or the Journal of Physical Chemistry with a foreword of its lead editors.

If you have already received a formal invitation for the joint virtual special issue of the Journal of Chemical Information and Modeling or the Journal of Physical Chemistry, you can submit your contribution to either journal, following the instructions that have been sent to you upon acceptance of the invitation. Conversely, if you have not received a formal invitation, you can still contribute to the special issue by submitting your paper as a regular research article through the Paragon Plus website, stating prominently in your cover letter that your submission is intended for the "Applications of Free-Energy Calculations to Biomolecular Processes" virtual special issue. Should you have a manuscript apposite for the latter, and currently under review at either the Journal of Chemical Information and Modeling or the Journal of Physical Chemistry, please, contact at your earliest convenience the relevant editorial office, so that your contribution can be considered for the joint initiative of the two journals. To ensure an unbiased peer-review process, we ask you to not mention anywhere in your manuscript that the submission is intended for the virtual special issue, lest seeing your contribution returned by the editorial office for correction. Instead, in your submission, please, state clearly in the cover letter your wish to participate to the virtual special issue, and note in what part and section of the journal you feel your manuscript would fit best. You can find a complete list of sections and other important information for authors in the Author Guidelines of the Journal of Chemical Information and Modeling or the Journal of Physical Chemistry.

References

1. Song, L. F.; Merz, K. M., Jr., Evolution of Alchemical Free Energy Methods in Drug Discovery. *J Chem Inf Model* **2020**, *60*, 5308-5318.

2. Guest, E. E.; Cervantes, L. F.; Pickett, S. D.; Brooks, C. L., 3rd; Hirst, J. D., Alchemical Free Energy Methods Applied to Complexes of the First Bromodomain of Brd4. *J Chem Inf Model* **2022**, *62*, 1458-1470.

3. Qian, Y.; Cabeza de Vaca, I.; Vilseck, J. Z.; Cole, D. J.; Tirado-Rives, J.; Jorgensen, W. L., Absolute Free Energy of Binding Calculations for Macrophage Migration Inhibitory Factor in Complex with a Druglike Inhibitor. *J Phys Chem B* **2019**, *123*, 8675-8685.

4. Ghahremanpour, M. M.; Saar, A.; Tirado-Rives, J.; Jorgensen, W. L., Computation of Absolute Binding Free Energies for Noncovalent Inhibitors with Sars-Cov-2 Main Protease. *J Chem Inf Model* **2023**, *63*, 5309-5318.

5. Chen, W.; Cui, D.; Jerome, S. V.; Michino, M.; Lenselink, E. B.; Huggins, D. J.; Beautrait, A.; Vendome, J.; Abel, R.; Friesner, R. A.; Wang, L., Enhancing Hit Discovery in Virtual Screening through Absolute Protein-Ligand Binding Free-Energy Calculations. *J Chem Inf Model* **2023**, *63*, 3171-3185.

6. Ngo, S. T.; Tam, N. M.; Pham, M. Q.; Nguyen, T. H., Benchmark of Popular Free Energy Approaches Revealing the Inhibitors Binding to Sars-Cov-2 Mpro. *J Chem Inf Model* **2021**, *61*, 2302-2312.

7. Beuming, T.; Martin, H.; Diaz-Rovira, A. M.; Diaz, L.; Guallar, V.; Ray, S. S., Are Deep Learning Structural Models Sufficiently Accurate for Free-Energy Calculations? Application of FEP+ to Alphafold2-Predicted Structures. *J Chem Inf Model* **2022**, *62*, 4351-4360.

8. Zhang, H.; Kim, S.; Giese, T. J.; Lee, T. S.; Lee, J.; York, D. M.; Im, W., CHARMM-Gui Free Energy Calculator for Practical Ligand Binding Free Energy Simulations with AMBER. *J Chem Inf Model* **2021**, *61*, 4145-4151.

9. Ganguly, A.; Tsai, H. C.; Fernandez-Pendas, M.; Lee, T. S.; Giese, T. J.; York, D. M., AMBER Drug Discovery Boost Tools: Automated Workflow for Production Free-Energy Simulation Setup and Analysis (Professa). *J Chem Inf Model* **2022**, *62*, 6069-6083.

10. Petrov, D., Perturbation Free-Energy Toolkit: An Automated Alchemical Topology Builder. *J Chem Inf Model* **2021**, *61*, 4382-4390.

11. Rieder, S. R.; Ries, B.; Schaller, K.; Champion, C.; Barros, E. P.; Hunenberger, P. H.; Riniker, S., Replica-Exchange Enveloping Distribution Sampling Using Generalized AMBER Force-Field Topologies: Application to Relative Hydration Free-Energy Calculations for Large Sets of Molecules. *J Chem Inf Model* **2022**, *62*, 3043-3056.

12. Azimi, S.; Khuttan, S.; Wu, J. Z.; Pal, R. K.; Gallicchio, E., Relative Binding Free Energy Calculations for Ligands with Diverse Scaffolds with the Alchemical Transfer Method. *J Chem Inf Model* **2022**, *62*, 309-323.

13. Gill, S. C.; Lim, N. M.; Grinaway, P. B.; Rustenburg, A. S.; Fass, J.; Ross, G. A.; Chodera, J. D.; Mobley, D. L., Binding Modes of Ligands Using Enhanced Sampling (Blues): Rapid Decorrelation of Ligand Binding Modes Via Nonequilibrium Candidate Monte Carlo. *J Phys Chem B* **2018**, *122*, 5579-5598.

14. Osaki, K.; Ekimoto, T.; Yamane, T.; Ikeguchi, M., 3D-Rism-Ai: A Machine Learning Approach to Predict Protein-Ligand Binding Affinity Using 3D-Rism. *J Phys Chem B* **2022**, *126*, 6148-6158.

15. Gusev, F.; Gutkin, E.; Kurnikova, M. G.; Isayev, O., Active Learning Guided Drug Design Lead Optimization Based on Relative Binding Free Energy Modeling. *J Chem Inf Model* **2023**, *63*, 583-594.

16. Akkus, E.; Tayfuroglu, O.; Yildiz, M.; Kocak, A., Accurate Binding Free Energy Method from End-State MD Simulations. *J Chem Inf Model* **2022**, *62*, 4095-4106.

17. Dutta, S.; Panthi, B.; Chandra, A., All-Atom Simulations of Human Ace2-Spike Protein Rbd Complexes for Sars-Cov-2 and Some of Its Variants: Nature of Interactions and Free Energy Diagrams for Dissociation of the Protein Complexes. *J Phys Chem B* **2022**, *126*, 5375-5389.

18. Nguyen, H.; Lan, P. D.; Nissley, D. A.; O'Brien, E. P.; Li, M. S., Electrostatic Interactions Explain the Higher Binding Affinity of the Cr3022 Antibody for Sars-Cov-2 Than the 4a8 Antibody. *J Phys Chem B* **2021**, *125*, 7368-7379.

19. Cui, Q.; Pal, T.; Xie, L., Biomolecular Qm/Mm Simulations: What Are Some of the "Burning Issues"? *J Phys Chem B* **2021**, *125*, 689-702.

20. Scholler, A.; Kearns, F.; Woodcock, H. L.; Boresch, S., Optimizing the Calculation of Free Energy Differences in Nonequilibrium Work Sqm/Mm Switching Simulations. *J Phys Chem B* **2022**, *126*, 2798-2811.

21. Yagi, K.; Ito, S.; Sugita, Y., Exploring the Minimum-Energy Pathways and Free-Energy Profiles of Enzymatic Reactions with Qm/Mm Calculations. *J Phys Chem B* **2021**, *125*, 4701-4713.

22. Li, C.; Voth, G. A., Accurate and Transferable Reactive Molecular Dynamics Models from Constrained Density Functional Theory. *J Phys Chem B* **2021**, *125*, 10471-10480.