

Status of Dieldrin in vegetable growing soils across a peri-urban agricultural area according to an adapted sampling strategy

Félix Colin, Grégory J. V. Cohen, Florian Delerue, Philippe Chéry, Olivier

Atteia

▶ To cite this version:

Félix Colin, Grégory J. V. Cohen, Florian Delerue, Philippe Chéry, Olivier Atteia. Status of Dieldrin in vegetable growing soils across a peri-urban agricultural area according to an adapted sampling strategy. Environmental Pollution, 2022, 295, pp.118666. 10.1016/j.envpol.2021.118666 . hal-04734963

HAL Id: hal-04734963 https://hal.science/hal-04734963v1

Submitted on 13 Nov 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

Version of Record: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S026974912102248X Manuscript 1795ab5c31075e9e6380476f2f691db8

- Status of Dieldrin in vegetable growing soils across a peri-urban 1
- 2

agricultural area according to an adapted sampling strategy

- Journal: Environmental Pollution
- 4 Félix Colin^{1,2,*}, Grégory J. V. Cohen¹, Florian Delerue¹, Philippe Chéry¹ and Olivier Atteia¹

5 ¹: EA 4592 G&E, Bordeaux INP - Université Bordeaux Montaigne - Carnot ISIFoR, 1 allée F. Daguin, 33607

6 Pessac, France

3

- 7 ²: Bordeaux Métropole, Esplanade Charles de Gaulle, 33000 Bordeaux
- 8 * : Corresponding author, e-mail address: felix.colin@bordeaux-inp.fr (Félix COLIN)
- Abstract 9

10 Since the fifties, organochlorine pesticides (OCPs) had been used in agriculture to protect vegetables. Two 11 decades after their ban by the Stockholm convention in 2001, OCPs are still present in agricultural soils 12 inducing vegetable contamination with concentrations above Maximum Residue Level (MRL). This is a 13 major concern for a 5 km² peri-urban vegetable growing valley located in the south west of France. In the 14 present work, the sampling method was developed to clarify the spatial distribution of one OCP, Dieldrin, 15 and its relationship with soil properties at the scale of study area. A total of 99 soil samples was collected 16 for physicochemical analyses and Dieldrin concentrations. Results show Dieldrin concentrations in soils up to 204 µg.kg⁻¹. The horizontal distribution of this pesticide is heterogeneous at the study area scale but 17 18 homogeneous in each reference plot studied. About 85% of the contamination was located in the top soil 19 layers (0-40 cm depth), but Dieldrin may still be quantified at a depth of 80 cm. Among all soil 20 physicochemical parameters analysed, SOM was the most significantly related ($P < 10^{-4}$) with Dieldrin 21 concentrations, once different grain size fractions were considered. Moreover, results indicate a 33 times 22 higher Dieldrin concentration and/or extractability for coarse sand than for other grain size fractions. These 23 results show that the developed sampling method is adapted for the study area scale as it helps 24 understanding the factors influencing the spatial distribution of Dieldrin. Historical amendments are the 25 predominant factor for the horizontal contamination and deep ploughing for the vertical contamination. 26 Also, the variations of coarse sand repartition in soils prevents identification of relationships between SOM 27 and Dieldrin contamination in bulk soil. Further investigation is required to explain these relationships but 28 these results highlight why no clear relationship between OCPs and SOM was previously identified.

29 Keywords: Dieldrin distribution, Agricultural soils, Dieldrin - SOM relationship, grain size fractions, sampling 30 method

31 1. Introduction

32 Soil contamination by OrganoChlorine Pesticides (OCPs) is a major concern not only because of their 33 ubiquity and high toxicity but also their persistence in the environment (Gavrilescu, 2005). These types of 34 pesticides, including Dieldrin, were used in agriculture to protect vegetable cultures in many industrial 35 countries from the fifties until the end of the nineties (Hashimoto, 2005; Jorgenson, 2001; Meijer et al., 36 2001; Wang et al., 2007). More specifically, in France, Dieldrin was banned in agriculture in 1972, but its 37 complete ban on marketing and use did not come until 1992 (INRS, 2007). However, two decades after its 38 worldwide ban by the Stockholm convention in 2001, and forty-five years after its ban in France, Dieldrin is 39 still present in soil and continue to be a potential source of contamination with, for example, vegetable 40 contamination at concentrations exceeding Maximum Residue Level (MRL) fixed by regulatory institutions 41 (Namiki et al., 2018, 2015; Saito et al., 2011; Tsiantas et al., 2021).

42 In order to characterise soil contamination with OCPs, several studies have been conducted: (i) at regional 43 scales with large surfaces of more than 1000 km² (Aichner et al., 2013; Gong et al., 2004; Jiang et al., 2009; 44 Mishra et al., 2012; Orton et al., 2013; Qu et al., 2016, 2019; Ribes et al., 2002; Sánchez-Osorio et al., 2017; 45 Shegunova et al., 2007; Tao et al., 2008; Wong et al., 2010; Yu et al., 2013; A. Zhang et al., 2011; H. Zhang et 46 al., 2009; Zhang et al., 2006) and (ii) on very small areas of approximately a few hundred square metres 47 corresponding to agricultural plot scale (Hashimoto, 2005; Mattina et al., 1999; Zhao et al., 2013). However, 48 few studies have been conducted on intermediate scales of several km², corresponding to urban or peri-49 urban agricultural areas dimensions (Péron and Geoffriau, 2007; Piorr et al., 2018). These studies however, 50 only investigated areas divided into different zones in function of their use (i.e. agricultural, recreational, 51 and natural) and location (Miglioranza et al., 2003; Wenzel et al., 2002). This is irrelevant when 52 investigating the distribution of OCPs in soil in a context of peri-urban agricultural land use. It is thus 53 necessary to develop and adapt a sampling strategy suitable to the spatial characteristics of urban or peri-54 urban agricultural areas.

55 All previous studies highlighted three important factors that must be considered in order to characterise 56 OCPs contamination. Firstly, the historical application of OCPs in a given area is often correlated with their 57 actual concentration in soils (Arias-Estévez et al., 2008; Harner et al., 1999; Hashimoto, 2005; Mattina et al., 58 1999; Qu et al., 2016). Thus, historical application of OCPs is an important source of heterogeneity in their 59 distribution pattern (Hashimoto, 2005; Mattina et al., 1999). Moreover, after the initial application, 60 secondary natural dispersion of OCPs can also occur with long range distance travel by atmospheric 61 transport and deposition (Jiang et al., 2009; Shegunova et al., 2007; A. Zhang et al., 2011). Secondly, soil 62 physicochemical properties can affect OCPs retention (Aichner et al., 2013; Gevao et al., 2000; Jiang et al., 63 2009; Miglioranza et al., 2003; Wang et al., 2018), especially the Soil Organic Matter (SOM) content which is 64 often highlighted as the principal parameter influencing OCPs retention in soils (Gong et al., 2004; Krohn et 65 al., 2019; Miglioranza et al., 2003; Nam et al., 2008; Ribes et al., 2002; Wang et al., 2018; Yu et al., 2013;

2hang et al., 2006; N. Zhang et al., 2011), but some studies did not observe this relationship between SOM and OCPs (Harner et al., 1999; Mattina et al., 1999). Therefore, the relation between OCPs and soils properties needs to be deeper investigated. Thirdly, the vertical distribution of OCPs contamination indicates that the majority of OCPs are found at shallow depth (< 40 cm) (Wang et al., 2006; L. Zhang et al., 2009). However, it is possible to identify a correlation between contamination at deeper depth and ploughing practices (Hashimoto, 2005). As ploughing depth depends on farmer practices, it is of main importance to characterise the vertical distribution as well.

73 Dieldrin (CAS: 60-57-1) is one of the most encountered OCPs in agricultural soils and also in vegetables 74 production (Namiki et al., 2018, 2015; Tsiantas et al., 2021). It was mainly used as an insecticide in crops 75 and also to protect wood structures from termites. With a molar mass of about 381 g.mol⁻¹, it is considered 76 as barely soluble in water (0.186 mg.L⁻¹, INRS, 2007) and non-volatile at normal temperature and pressure 77 conditions ($P_{vap} = 1.03 \times 10^{-4}$ Pa, INRS, 2007). As most OCPs, Dieldrin presents high affinity for organic carbon 78 with a Log(Kow) estimated at 6.2 (INRS, 2007) and its Henry's law constant (H, dimensionless, air/water) is 79 4.1×10⁻⁴ (Altschuh et al., 1999). This pollutant was quantified at concentrations higher than MRL authorized 80 in the flesh of some Cucurbita pepo produced on the study, leading to reduced production and economic 81 issues for the farmers of the area concerned.

82 Considering the above discussion, the objectives of this study are (i) to investigate the horizontal and 83 vertical distribution of Dieldrin at the scale of the peri-urban agricultural area, (ii) to evaluate the influence 84 of soil properties (SOM content, humidity, grain sizes, pH and CEC) on Dieldrin distribution, and (iii) to 85 estimate the benefits of the sampling method used at the studied area scale. To reach these goals, a semi-86 random nested sampling strategy was designed in order to characterise the horizontal distribution of this 87 pollutant both at the peri-urban agricultural and plot scales at 0-20 cm depth and the vertical 88 characterisation on 4 specific plots until 80 cm depth. The physicochemical parameters, including OCP 89 analysis (Hexachlorocyclopentadiene, Hexachlorobenzene, Simazine, Atrazine, Lindane, Alachlor, 90 Heptachlor, Heptachlor-epoxide, cis-Chlordane, trans-Chlordane, cis-Nonachlor, trans-Nonachlor, 91 Metoxychlor, Endrin, Aldrin and Dieldrin), were determined on the collected soil samples and data analysis 92 with statistic tools permitted to evaluate the influence of these parameters on Dieldrin distribution. To our 93 knowledge, this is the first study providing an evaluation of Dieldrin distribution in agricultural soils at a 94 peri-urban scale.

95 2. Material and Methods

96 2.1.Study area description

97 The study area is located in Bordeaux agglomeration (France), in a peri-urban perimeter which supports 98 and protects agricultural areas. The climate is warm temperate with heavy showers fall all year. The 99 average annual temperature on the study area is 13.8°C with a mean annual precipitation of approximately 100 803 mm.

The surface of this historical agricultural valley is about 4.9 km² and extends roughly 5 km from east to west (Fig.1). Vegetables (potatoes, squashes, carrots, leeks ...) have been cultivated here for more than 103 100 years. As in many agricultural area, OCPs have been massively used from the 50's to the end of 70's, 104 but in the case of the present study, the exact products and quantities of OCPs have never been recorded 105 and are unknown.

106 In 2016, aerial photography showed the following surface covering: 15% afforestation, 37% market 107 gardening, 17% meadow and 31% by others (house, wasteland, etc.). The surfaces of agricultural plots 108 range from 0.02 to 5.5 ha with an average of 1 ha. The shape of the 170 agricultural plots is mainly square 109 or rectangular with an average dimension close to 100×100 m² (see Fig. 1).

110 2.2.General sampling strategy

In order to characterise horizontal and vertical OCPs distribution, a total of 99 soil samples were collected in 18 cadastral plots. Samples for horizontal characterisation were collected in June 2019 (51) and those for vertical characterisation in January 2020 (48). This was carried out over 2 periods (i) to observe if there were differences in the availability of OCPs between these 2 seasons and (ii) to used first results to select agricultural plots for vertical characterisation. For each of these 99 soil samples, approximately 400 g of soil was collected using a manual auger and stored in 250 mL glass vials protected from light at 4°C until analysis.

118 To fit with the intermediate scale approach of this study, the sampling strategy was adapted from Atteia et 119 al. (1994) and Oliver and Webster (1986) with semi-random nested sampling. This strategy enabled to 120 include the whole study area as a single entity and to be more precise on specific plots. According to 121 previous partial results on soil contamination in the study area (Hervieu and Garcia, 2016), 5 of the most 122 contaminated plots were selected as reference plots. These reference plots were selected because of their 123 identified high contamination and their well distributed location in the agricultural valley. A sixth plot was 124 added as reference plot to fill a spatial gap between the 5 others and thus to globally cover the whole 125 surface of the study area (Fig. 1). Except for the sixth plot which was a wasteland for 20 years, all reference 126 plots are still cultivated today. These 6 reference plots are randomly named hereafter from A to F, but plot 127 letters are not provided in Fig. 1 for confidentiality reasons

128 The characterisation of OCPs horizontal distribution was conducted on samples collected in the 0-20 cm 129 depth surface layer. The first sample of each plot was collected at its centre. From this centre, 4 circles 130 were drawn with a geometrical progression of 4.5 factor: 10, 45, 202 and 911 m. This geometrical step 131 progression of 4.5 was chosen to allow (i) the largest circle to criss-cross other circles and cover the whole 132 study area, and (ii) the two smallest circles to stay in the limit of the reference plot. At each step, the angles 133 were randomly determined among 16 possibilities (step of 22.5°). In order to obtain a third observation on 134 each reference plot, a complementary soil sample was collected in a corner of the plot. Furthermore, in 135 order to refine the horizontal distribution pattern of OCPs, two intermediate distances of 25 and 70 m from 136 the centre were added on plot B, C, D and F during the second soil sample collection (January 2020). These 137 4 specific plots were chosen because of their different SOM content and OCPs concentrations, determined 138 from the first soil samples collected in June 2019. An additional 10 kg of soil was collected at the centre of 139 the six reference plots (A to F) in order to characterise the distribution of OCPs on three different grain 140 sizes.

The OCPs vertical distribution was studied on reference plots B, C, D and F. In these reference plots, 4 vertical profiles were conducted at the location of sampling points of the four first distances (0, 10, 25 and 45 m). At these locations, 3 additional soil samples were collected between 35-45, 55-65 and 75-85 cm depth.

145 2.3.Physicochemical properties of soil

In order to set the parameters influencing OCPs concentration in soils, the following physicochemical properties of soils were investigated: grain size distribution, pH in water, CEC, humidity, SOM and OCPs concentration. The following paragraphs describe the different analytical methods.

149 The grain size distribution of the agricultural soils was identified by NF X31-107 of September 2003 method, 150 which discriminates the following size fractions: clay (< $2 \mu m$), fine silt (< $20 \mu m$), coarse silt (< $50 \mu m$), fine 151 sand (< 200 μ m) and coarse sand (< 2000 μ m). This analysis was conducted for each plot where soil samples 152 were collected. In parallel, the samples of 10 kg from the six reference plots (A to F) were mechanically 153 sieved (AS 200-digit cA, Retsch, Germany) (i) to separate the following soil fractions: coarse sand (2000-154 200 μ m), fine sand (200-50 μ m), silts and clay (< 50 μ m) and (ii) to determine the distribution of OCPs over 155 these 3 grain sizes. Silts and clay were gathered due to the small amount of these fractions in the sampled 156 soils.

157 In order to determine soil pH, 20 g of soil was mixed with 100 mL (1/5 (w/v)) of demineralised water, 158 agitated for 10 min and left to settle for 2 min prior to pH measurement (Rodier, 1978).

159 Cation exchange capacity (CEC) was determined by the NF X31-130 of December 1999 method. Because of

160 the weak relationship of this parameter with OCPs content identified in literature, these analyses were only

161 conducted on 30 soil samples collected at 20 cm depth.

Humidity rate and SOM content were determined using the Loss On Ignition (LOI) method (Rodier, 1978).
Approximately 10 g of soil was heated in an oven at 105°C for 6 h and then heated at 525°C for 4 h, and
both parameters were determined by mass differences.

In order to determine OCPs concentrations, an aliquot of soil samples was dried at 30°C and then crushed with a planetary mill (PM 100, Retsch, Germany) during 10 min at 400 rpm to obtain fine powder. Liquidsolid extractions were conducted with 25 g of this powder mixed with 10 mL of n-heptane (n-Heptane, 99+%, residues analysis, ACROS Organic, UK) in 50 mL glass flask, and then agitated during 72 h at 250 rpm. Supernatant was recovered after 8 min of centrifugation at 4000 rpm and placed in three vials of 2 mL. Before analysis, each vial received 50 ng of Phenanthrene d-10 (Restek, France) used as internal standard.

171 The OCPs were analysed using Gas Chromatography (GC) (7820A, Agilent, USA) coupled with a Mass 172 Spectrometer (MS) (5977A, Agilent, USA) system. Ultra-high purity He (99,999%, Air Liquide, France) was 173 used as carrier gas. The GC was equipped with a 30 m \times 250 μ m \times 0.25 μ m HP-5ms capillary column 174 (Agilent, USA), and the oven temperature was programmed starting at 45°C and held 0.5 min, followed by 175 an increase of 30°C.min⁻¹ up to 90°C, held 0.5 min, then 10°C.min⁻¹ up to 310°C for 3 min. The injector 176 temperature was 280°C and 1 µL of sample was injected with pulsed splitless mode. The MS was used in 177 Single Ion Monitoring (SIM) mode, with source and quad temperatures set at 300 and 200°C, respectively. 178 OCPs quantification was conducted using a standard solution (EPA 505, Restek, France) containing 16 OCPs 179 (list in Supplementary Material). The validation results of this analytical method are presented in 180 Supplementary Material.

181 2.4.Data analysis and statistics

Because the sampling campaigns were conducted at two different periods (summer and winter), the OCPs availability was checked. Results confirmed that this did not influence Dieldrin availability estimation (Fig.S1). The sampling period was then not considered hereafter.

All linear modelling approaches (ANOVA, linear regressions, ANCOVA), described in the following paragraphs, were performed using R software (R Core Team, 2016). The "nlme" package was used for mixed modelling. In case of significant effect of a factor with more than 2 levels, posthoc multiple Tukey comparisons were performed to detect the groups significantly different from the others. Whenever necessary, data were transformed to fit linear modelling assumptions (normality and homoscedasticity of model residuals). Different transformations were tested (square root, cube root, log₁₀) and the transformation providing the best results regarding modelling assumptions were kept.

192 2.4.1.Horizontal distribution

During both campaigns, a total of 51 soil samples were collected at 0-20 cm depth. Because of the semirandom sampling strategy inducing low point pairs for some distance ranges, the experimental variograms present an erratic shape (Fig.S2). In order to interpret Dieldrin variations on the study area, the average 196 Dieldrin concentration variance at each fixed distance (0, 10, 25, 45, 70, 202 and 911 m) was calculated for

- 197 the 6 reference plots with the following equation (1):
- 198

Dieldrin spatial variance
$$=\frac{1}{6}\sum_{p=1}^{6} \left[C_{i,p} - \overline{C_p}\right]^2$$
 (1)

199 where $C_{i,p}$ is the soil Dieldrin concentration at the fixed distance *i* from the centre of reference plot *p*, and 200 $\overline{C_p}$ the mean of Dieldrin concentration in reference plot *p*. Additionally, to estimate Dieldrin variability 201 between reference plots, an ANOVA was performed.

202 2.4.1.Vertical distribution

203 Based on 48 samples collected in January 2020, Dieldrin concentrations and SOM contents were analysed 204 according to depth (considered as a factor here) and agricultural plot belonging, performing a two-ways 205 ANOVA. As the Dieldrin concentration in many samples was below LOQ, a random value between 0 and the 206 LOQ was assigned to be able to perform the analysis. The objective here was to perform a quantitative 207 analysis of variance to verify if depth and plot belonging could influence Dieldrin concentration. It implies 208 comparing Dieldrin concentrations over several orders of magnitude, with some measurements below LOQ. 209 In these conditions, the uncertainty due to the random assignment for very low values does not influence 210 the statistical results.

211

2.4.2.Relationships between soil properties and OCPs concentration

A correlation matrix was conducted with all measured parameters (depth, pH, humidity, CEC, and SOM) to identify those which are the most linked to Dieldrin concentration in soil. Concerning relationship between Dieldrin and SOM at different grain sizes, an ANCOVA was performed. A linear mixed modelling was performed with the original soil sample as random effect to take into account the dependency of the three measurements made on this soil sample.

217 3. Results

218 3.1.Soil physicochemical properties and Dieldrin concentration in the peri-urban

219 agricultural area

220 The physicochemical properties of soils collected in the study area are presented in Table 1. The soil pH 221 ranged from 4.1 to 8.1, with a mean of 6.6±0.1 and a median of 6.8. Humidity rate ranged from 3.5 to 222 52.2%, with a mean of 14.8±0.7% and a median of 13.5%. SOM content ranged from 0.1 to 52.1%, with a 223 mean of 3.2±0.6% and a median of 1.9%. CEC ranged from 1.9 to 92.0 cmol.kg⁻¹, with a mean of 224 10.8±2.9 cmol.kg⁻¹ and a median of 6.3 cmol.kg⁻¹. Note that the maximal CEC level corresponds to one soil 225 sample collected from forest area. Among 15 analysed OCPs, Dieldrin was clearly the most abundant in the 226 study area (Table 1). It was detected in 90 and quantified in 60 soil samples (over 99), with concentrations 227 up to 203.9 μ g.kg⁻¹, (mean = 38.2±5.9 μ g.kg⁻¹; median = 14.7 μ g.kg⁻¹). Information about others OCPs are 228 presented in supplementary material (Table S2).

3.2.Horizontal distribution of Dieldrin at the peri-urban agricultural area

Figure 2 presents the results of equation (1) for all distances studied. This figure shows Dieldrin spatial variance below $1500 \ \mu g^2 \ kg^{-2}$ until 70 m and over $3000 \ \mu g^2 \ kg^{-2}$ at 202 and 911 m. The last distances correspond to the samples which are not located in the reference plot. This indicates an important interplot variation with a random Dieldrin distribution at the agricultural valley scale and a more homogeneous Dieldrin contamination in each particular plot.

235 Besides, the ANOVA shows that plot factor has a strong effect on Dieldrin contamination (P < 0.001, 236 R^2 = 0.732). This demonstrates that 73.2% of variations of the Dieldrin concentrations in soil are explained 237 by sample affiliation to a specific plot. The Dieldrin contamination is thus homogenous in each plot, but 238 heterogeneous at the scale of the peri-urban agricultural area. This is also highlighted by Fig.3 which shows 239 that (i) plots C and D were the least contaminated, with similar Dieldrin concentrations below 20 µg.kg⁻¹, (ii) plots A, B and E presented Dieldrin concentrations between 10 and 100 µg.kg⁻¹, and (iii) the soil of the 240 241 plot F was the most contaminated with Dieldrin concentrations between 80 and 200 µg.kg⁻¹. Indeed, the 242 posthoc Tukey multiple comparisons test conducted on these data shows that plot C had a concentration 243 significantly lower than the other plots except plot D. On the other hand, plot F showed a concentration 244 significantly higher than the other plots, except plot A. Finally, plots A, B and E had similar Dieldrin 245 concentrations.

246

3.2.1.Correlations between Dieldrin concentration and soil physicochemical parameters

The results of correlation matrix (Fig.S3) indicates that SOM content and depth were the parameters that correlated the most with Dieldrin concentrations. Additionally, no significant correlation was found between Dieldrin concentration and the other physicochemical parameters measured (pH, CEC, and

- 250 humidity). Furthermore, the table 2 shows that pH values are not significantly different between grain sizes
- 251 (P = 0.45) and no correlation with Dieldrin concentrations are shown (P = 0.13)

252 3.2.2.Dieldrin distribution on grain size fractions

Coarse sand was the most representative fraction in the soil samples with a mean of $80.6\pm1.1\%$, while fine sand and clay represent $6.3\pm0.5\%$ and $8.2\pm0.5\%$ of the total soil dry weight, respectively (Table 2). The coarse and fine silts were the two least represented grain sizes in the soil samples, with proportions of $1.6\pm0.5\%$ and $3.2\pm0.3\%$, respectively. The Dieldrin concentration was higher on finest grain size fractions, with concentrations of 122.1 ± 43.1 for fine sand and $154.8\pm48 \,\mu g.kg^{-1}$ for silts and clay, while coarse sand shows Dieldrin concentration of $21.9\pm8.5 \,\mu g.kg^{-1}$.

259 The Figure 4 presents the Dieldrin concentration against SOM content, taking into consideration of 3 grain 260 size fractions for 6 reference plots. Dieldrin concentration depended on both grain size (P = 0.04) and SOM 261 content in each fraction (P < 0.001). Indeed, the strong relationship between Dieldrin and SOM showed a 262 significantly higher intercept for coarse sand (0.88) in comparison to the ones of fine sand (0.03) and silts 263 and clay (0.06), which are not significantly different. The slopes are equivalent (1.52±0.26) for all grain size 264 fractions and are not significantly different from 1 (95% confidence interval: 0.92 to 2.11), showing a linear 265 relation between SOM and Dieldrin concentration once grain size fractions are discriminated. Additionally, 266 the higher intercept in the log-log relationship indicates that at equivalent SOM content, coarse sand shows 267 a 33 times greater Dieldrin concentration in comparison to fine sand or to silts and clay fractions.

268 3.3.Vert

3.3.Vertical distribution of Dieldrin and SOM

269 The vertical distribution of Dieldrin and SOM in soil for reference plots B, C, D and F are presented in Figure 270 5. The Dieldrin concentrations significantly (P < 0.001) decreased with depth, with values lower than LOQ 271 below 40 cm for plots B and C. Concerning plot D, Dieldrin concentrations were both about 20 µg.kg⁻¹ at 20 272 and 40 cm depth, and close to LOQ at 60 and 80 cm depth. For plot F, Dieldrin concentration decreased 273 from 128 µg.kg⁻¹ at 0-20 cm to 5 µg.kg⁻¹ at 80 cm depth. As mentioned for horizontal distribution, the 274 affiliation of each plot had significant effect (P < 0.01) on Dieldrin vertical distribution. However, the 275 decrease in Dieldrin concentration with depth is similar in all plots (P = 0.51), and contamination was 276 mainly (85%) located between 0 and 40 cm depth.

277 SOM contents significantly decreased with depth on all plots (P < 0.001). For plot B, the SOM content 278 decreased from 1.7% at 20 cm depth to 1.4% at 80 cm depth, with a slight increase to 2.0% at 40 cm depth. 279 For plot C, the SOM content decreased from 1.4% at 20 cm depth to 0.3% at 80 cm depth. For plot D, it 280 decreases from 3.4% at 20 cm depth to 1.3% at 60 cm depth with an increase to 2.8% at 80 cm depth, 281 probably due to wood residues located at this level. For plot F, SOM content decreased from 9.7% at 20 cm 282 depth to 1.1% at 80 cm depth. The affiliation on each plot had also significant effect (P < 0.01) on SOM 283 vertical distribution. Moreover, the interaction between SOM and depth was also significant (P < 0.001). 284 Thus, it could be concluded that SOM content decreased with depth and noticeably on each plot.

285 4. Discussion

286 4.1.Dieldrin distribution on peri-urban agricultural areas

287 4.1.1.Horizontal distribution

288 The results of the present study show that the horizontal distribution of Dieldrin is heterogeneous at the 289 study area scale and more homogeneous in each reference plot. This could be explained by the historical 290 use of Dieldrin, indeed each plot received specific amounts of pesticides depending on the farmers' 291 practices inducing concentration variability between plots, as mentioned in former studies (Hashimoto, 292 2005; Mattina et al., 1999; Qu et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2016). A consideration must also be made for 293 natural diffusion of OCPs by atmospheric transport and deposition presented in literature (Jiang et al., 294 2009; Miglioranza et al., 2003; Shegunova et al., 2007; A. Zhang et al., 2011). For example, Jiang et al. 295 (2009) showed Dieldrin contamination in Chinese agricultural soils ranging up to 1.4 μ g.kg⁻¹, while Aldrin 296 and Dieldrin have never been used in China. Contamination by this atmospheric pathway does however 297 seem to induce lower contamination levels compared to the legacy of the local initial application. This kind 298 of secondary distribution pattern was not confirmed by the present study and is unlikely to be the main 299 source of contamination in agricultural areas (Gong et al., 2004; Qu et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2018). 300 However, even if a global homogeneous distribution of Dieldrin was observed in each plot, intra-plot 301 variability suggested a slight heterogeneity in contamination within each plot (Fig.3) (Hilber et al., 2008). 302 The division of the former agricultural plots before 90's could justify this intra-plot variability since these 303 former plots have likely received different amounts of pesticides and different ploughing techniques, as 304 reported elsewhere (Hashimoto, 2005; Mattina et al., 1999). Since the 90's, the number of farmers has 305 dropped by 30% in the region (Department of Gironde, 2015) and the farmers unified several small plots 306 into bigger ones.

307 4.1.2.Vertical distribution

308 The vertical distribution pattern of Dieldrin shows the majority (85%) of Dieldrin located between 0 and 309 40 cm depth. This result is in accordance with literature showing that Dieldrin concentration sharply 310 declining under 30-40 cm depth (Hashimoto, 2005), which is also the case for other OCPs (Wang et al., 311 2006; H. Zhang et al., 2009). Quantifiable Dieldrin contamination has been found at 60 and 80 cm depth, 312 mostly on the plot F. Leaching of Dieldrin through the soil by surface water is unlikely since it is nearly 313 insoluble (Jorgenson, 2001). However, soil structure affect the vertical flow of OCPs (Novak et al., 2001), 314 but soils must contain a large amount (> 80%) of silts and clay to develop preferential flows, which is not 315 the case in this study as the soils are mainly sandy (see Table 2). The vertical flows should therefore be the 316 same on the 4 studied plots. Thus, concentration of Dieldrin between 60 and 80 cm depth on plot F might 317 be linked to a higher quantity applied on the surface or related to deep soil ploughing, especially during 318 land consolidation, which are the main parameters influencing Dieldrin vertical distribution (Hashimoto, 319 2005). Further investigations on other agricultural plots should be conducted to validate or not these 320 assumptions.

321 4.1.3.Dieldrin mass balance and risk implications

322 In order to evaluate the Dieldrin residual quantity in soil, a mass balance was conducted on plots B, C, D and F. Assuming that recommendations of $1 \text{ kg.ha}^{-1}.\text{y}^{-1}$ of active substance (Gatignol and Étienne, 2010) 323 324 were followed between 1950 and 1975, and no dissipation occurred, the amount of Dieldrin that might be 325 expected to find nowadays would be about 2500±500 mg.m⁻². The apparent density of sandy soil was about 326 1.49±0.12 g.cm⁻³ (Bruand et al., 2004), thus the estimated Dieldrin mass is approximately: 21±2, 4±0, 22±2 327 and 54 ± 4 mg.m⁻² for the plots B, C, D and F, respectively (calculations in supplementary materials). These 328 calculations showed 2 or 3 orders of magnitude differing between the expected versus the remaining 329 amount of Dieldrin. This suggests that a significant part of the amended Dieldrin was missing, this was in 330 accordance with the Predicted Environmental Concentration in soil calculated by Tsiantas et al. (2021). 331 Either Dieldrin was less used than previously thought, or some processes such as slight runoff, 332 volatilisation, wind erosion, deep ploughing or biodegradation and bioextraction caused the decreased 333 concentrations (Barriuso et al., 1996; Incorvia Mattina et al., 2000; Li et al., 2018; L. Zhang et al., 2009). Also 334 to be considered the fact that a certain amount of Dieldrin could also be highly bound in soil structure and 335 therefore not available with the extraction method used in the present study (Biswas et al., 2018; Jones 336 and De Voogt, 1999). It is thus necessary to clarify these phenomena in order to predict the evolution of 337 future contamination with time.

338 Presently, the identified risk for humans from Dieldrin concentrations in soil in this area is dependent on 339 the cucurbits consumption, as no other vegetables were above regulatory thresholds. These regulatory 340 thresholds were calculated to limit the risk of cancer below a 10⁻⁵ probability, including precaution factors. 341 However, further studies investigating more deeply the risk assessment of this contamination are needed. 342 Indeed, these Dieldrin concentrations also induce socio-economic issues, and they might represent an 343 environmental and/or human health risks. For the latter, as Dieldrin is considered as a non-volatile 344 compound, this risk should be evaluated through two pathways: mainly by dust ingestion and/or vegetable 345 consumption. It is therefore necessary to establish realistic scenarios for risk assessment, taking into 346 account (i) dust emission factors, (ii) Dieldrin transfer from soil into the different edible parts of the 347 vegetables species and or varieties cultivated in this area, and (iii) appropriate exposures parameters 348 concerning the different target populations (farmer, resident, adult, child...) (Niu et al., 2014; Phillips and 349 Moya, 2013; Tsiantas et al., 2021).

350 4.2.Dieldrin and SOM relationship

Because of its high Log(Kow), Dieldrin has a stronger affinity for hydrophobic moieties present in the SOM. In the present study, relationship between Dieldrin concentration and SOM was only clearly distinguished considering different grain size fractions, but no linkage with bulk soil analysis (Fig.4 and Fig.S4). This relationship was close to a Freundlich isotherm (Cornelissen et al., 2005; Huang et al., 2003; Sparks, 2003): [Dieldrin] = $a \times SOM^b$, where a and b are the partition coefficient and the correction factor, respectively. The ANCOVA results show that b is not significantly different from 1. However, additional data on other soils

357 are needed to complete this observation. Besides, at similar SOM content, the concentration of extractible 358 Dieldrin was 33 times higher for coarse sand than for other grain size fractions. As the amount of coarse 359 sand was 6 to 12 times higher than the other grain size fractions (Table 2), it probably received more active 360 substance. Moreover, as the amount of coarse sand varied significantly for each soil sample, it explains why 361 the correlation with SOM in bulk soils is more difficult to observe. It would be interesting to investigate in 362 future not only the quantity, but also the quality, location and composition of SOM on different grain sizes 363 for natural contaminated soil to highlight the potential relationships between OCPs concentration or 364 extractability, and the various forms of SOM as black carbon (Cornelissen et al., 2005; Huang et al., 2003; 365 Nam et al., 2008; Ren et al., 2018; Schmidt and Noack, 2000). It is important to study the dynamics of OCPs 366 in soil for a complete understanding of residual OCPs contamination in agricultural soils.

367 4.3.Dieldrin and its relationship with other soil properties

No relationship between humidity rate or CEC with Dieldrin concentration has been established in the present study. Indeed, humidity cannot be a significant factor as Dieldrin is barely soluble in water: 0.186 mg.L⁻¹ (INRS, 2007; Jorgenson, 2001). Few studies investigated the influence of CEC on Dieldrin concentration, but the results of the present study are in accordance with Yu et al. (2013) work, in which no correlation between CEC and OCPs was found.

Concerning soil pH, the results of the present study do not show any relationship between Dieldrin contamination and pH, which is in accordance with Tan et al. (2020), in which no significant correlation was found between OCPs and pH, except for Atrazine. Moreover, Gong et al. (2004) reported that pH can have an indirect influence on pesticide retention in soils because of its effect on humic acids structures, one of the main component of SOM (Huang et al., 2003). Their work discriminated two groups of soils, with pH above and below 8. As the soil pH measured in the present study were below 8, except for 2 samples (8.1), it was impossible to observe this influence here.

380 4.4.Linkage between sampling strategy and OCPs characterisation in peri-urban381 agricultural area

382 Since the intermediate dimensions of a peri-urban agricultural area have been barely studied in literature, 383 there was high demand to develop and adapt a sampling strategy suitable to the spatial characteristics of 384 the study area. The method described here permitted to characterise the Dieldrin distribution pattern at 385 this peri-urban scale with an average sampling density of 10 samples per km⁻². This method was more 386 appropriate than the ones used at a regional scale $(10^{-2}$.km⁻²), and cheaper than the sample density used 387 for small surface studies (10⁴.km⁻²) (Hashimoto, 2005; Mattina et al., 1999; Meijer et al., 2001). Indeed, it 388 would have been impossible to characterise both homogeneity at plot scale and heterogeneity at peri-389 urban scale with the previous methods, where the first one being inappropriate for the present scale 390 because indicating soil contamination heterogeneity on 100×100 m² distant from several kilometres (Jiang 391 et al., 2009; Qu et al., 2016; Tao et al., 2008; Yu et al., 2013), while the second one would have led to the 392 collection and analyses of tens of thousands of soil samples. It can thus be concluded that this kind of semi393 random nested sampling strategy is efficient for characterisation of soil contamination in agricultural areas 394 of several square kilometres. Within these areas, to further characterise OCPs contamination and pilot 395 management options, the role of historical amendments and ploughing practices have to be considered in 396 relation with the high persistence of OCPs in the environment. In the same line of thoughts, the present 397 study confirms that in such areas with problematic levels of contamination, primary pesticide applications 398 appear to be more significant than secondary dispersion.

399 5. Conclusion

400 Because of the characteristics of the agricultural area, composed by several plots of about 1 ha, a semi-401 random nested sampling strategy was adapted from literature. This sampling strategy allowed to 402 characterise OCPs contamination at both plot and peri-urban agricultural area scales. Therefore, future 403 research conducted on these particular dimensions could use the sampling method developed here to 404 optimise spatial characterisation and analyses costs.

Among 15 OCPs studied here, Dieldrin was the predominant contaminant in vegetable growing soils with concentrations ranging up to 203.9 µg.kg⁻¹. This Dieldrin contamination was homogenous in each plot but heterogeneous at the scale of the peri-urban agricultural area. Although the exact amounts were unknown, the main factor influencing the Dieldrin horizontal distribution at the study area was the anthropogenic historical amendments of Dieldrin conducted on each plot in the second part of the XXth century. The characterisation of vertical distribution of Dieldrin showed that contamination concentrations decreased with depth and more than 85% of the contamination was located in shallow subsurface (0-40 cm depth).

412 In this study, no correlation was observed between soil Dieldrin concentration and the investigated soil 413 parameters (humidity, grain size fractions, pH and CEC) except SOM for which Dieldrin contamination was 414 clearly relevant at different grain size but not at bulk soil scale. This grain size discrimination allowed to 415 observe a linear relation between Dieldrin concentration and SOM content, with a partition coefficient 33 416 times higher for coarse sand than fine sand, and silts and clay fractions. Because of the predominance of 417 coarse sand fraction in the investigated soils, this highlights the major contribution of coarse grain size 418 fraction in the extractability of Dieldrin concentrations from the soil. Because of this different 419 contamination contribution and the variations of coarse sand repartition in soils, it prevents identification 420 of relationships between SOM and Dieldrin contamination in bulk soil at the studied agricultural peri-urban 421 area scale. Future research is necessary to investigate the SOM composition in different grain size fractions 422 that could explain the difference of Dieldrin concentration/extractability observed in the present study.

424 Acknowledgements

- 425 The authors of this study are thankful to Bordeaux Metropole which financially supported this work and to
- 426 the anonymous reviewers who helped to improve the quality of this article. The authors are grateful to all
- 427 farmers of the agricultural peri-urban area for their involvement in this project.

428

429

431 References

- 432 Aichner, B., Bussian, B., Lehnik-Habrink, P., Hein, S., 2013. Levels and spatial distribution of persistent
- 433 organic pollutants in the environment: A case study of German forest soils. Environ. Sci. Technol. 47,

434 12703–12714. https://doi.org/10.1021/es4019833

435 Altschuh, J., Brüggemann, R., Santl, H., Eichinger, G., Piringer, O.G., 1999. Henry's law constants for a

436 diverse set of organic chemicals: Experimental determination and comparison of estimation methods.
437 Chemosphere 39, 1871–1887. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0045-6535(99)00082-X

- 438 Arias-Estévez, M., López-Periago, E., Martínez-Carballo, E., Simal-Gándara, J., Mejuto, J.C., García-Río, L.,
- 439 2008. The mobility and degradation of pesticides in soils and the pollution of groundwater resources.
- 440 Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 123, 247–260. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2007.07.011
- 441 Atteia, O., Dubois, J.P., Webster, R., 1994. Geostatistical analysis of soil contamination in the Swiss Jura.
- 442 Environ. Pollut. 86, 315–327. https://doi.org/10.1016/0269-7491(94)90172-4
- Barriuso, E., Calvet, R., Schiavon, M., Soulas, G., 1996. Les pesticides et les polluants organiques des sols.
 Etude Gest. des sols 3–4, 279–296.
- Biswas, B., Qi, F., Biswas, J.K., Wijayawardena, A., Khan, M.A.I., Naidu, R., 2018. The fate of chemical
 pollutants with soil properties and processes in the climate change paradigm—a review. Soil Syst. 2,
 1–20. https://doi.org/10.3390/soilsystems2030051
- Bruand, A., O.Duval, Cousin, I., 2004. Estimation des proprietes de retention en eau des sols a partir de la
 base de donnees SOLHYDRO : Une premiere proposition combianant le type d'horizon, sa texture et
 sa densite apparente. Etude Gest. des Sols 11, 323–334.
- 451 Cornelissen, G., Gustafsson, Ö., Bucheli, T.D., Jonker, M.T.O., Koelmans, A.A., Van Noort, P.C.M., 2005.
- 452 Extensive sorption of organic compounds to black carbon, coal, and kerogen in sediments and soils:
- 453 Mechanisms and consequences for distribution, bioaccumulation, and biodegradation. Environ. Sci.

454 Technol. 39, 6881–6895. https://doi.org/10.1021/es050191b

- 455 Department of Gironde, 2015. Validation du programme d'actions du Périmètre de protection et de mise
 456 en valeur des Espaces Agricoles et Naturels Périurbains des Jalles.
- Gatignol, C., Étienne, J.-C., 2010. Rapport sur Pesticides et santé, Office Parlementaire d'évaluation des
 choix scientifiques et technologiques.
- Gavrilescu, M., 2005. Fate of pesticides in the environment and its bioremediation. Eng. Life Sci. 5, 497–
 526. https://doi.org/10.1002/elsc.200520098
- 461 Gevao, B., Semple, K.T., Jones, K.C., 2000. Bound pesticide residues in soils: A review. Environ. Pollut. 108,
- 462 3–14. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0269-7491(99)00197-9

- 463 Gong, Z.M., Tao, S., Xu, F.L., Dawson, R., Liu, W.X., Cui, Y.H., Cao, J., Wang, X.J., Shen, W.R., Zhang, W.J.,
- 464 Qing, B.P., Sun, R., 2004. Level and distribution of DDT in surface soils from Tianjin, China.
- 465 Chemosphere 54, 1247–1253. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2003.10.021
- 466 Harner, T., Wideman, J.L., Jantunen, L.M.M., Bidleman, T.F., Parkhurst, W.J., 1999. Residues of
- 467 organochlorine pesticides in Alabama soils. Environ. Pollut. 106, 323–332.
- 468 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0269-7491(99)00110-4
- 469 Hashimoto, Y., 2005. Dieldrin residue in the soil and cucumber from agricultural field in Tokyo. J. Pestic. Sci.

470 30, 397–402. https://doi.org/10.1584/jpestics.30.397

- 471 Hervieu, F., Garcia, C., 2016. Rapport techniquesur le suivi 2016 de la contamination en Dieldrine et
 472 Chlordane de la zone maraichère des Jalles.
- 473 Hilber, I., Mäder, P., Schulin, R., Wyss, G.S., 2008. Survey of organochlorine pesticides in horticultural soils
- 474 and there grown Cucurbitaceae. Chemosphere 73, 954–961.
- 475 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2008.06.053
- 476 Huang, W., Peng, P., Yu, Z., Fu, J., 2003. Effects of organic matter heterogeneity on sorption and desorption
- 477 of organic contaminants by soils and sediments. Appl. Geochemistry 18, 955–972.
- 478 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0883-2927(02)00205-6
- 479 Incorvia Mattina, M.J., Iannucci-Berger, W., Dykas, L., 2000. Chlordane uptake and its translocation in food
 480 crops. J. Agric. Food Chem. 48, 1909–1915. https://doi.org/10.1021/jf990566a
- 481 INRS, 2007. Fiche toxicologique Dieldrine.
- Jiang, Y.F., Wang, X.T., Jia, Y., Wang, F., Wu, M.H., Sheng, G.Y., Fu, J.M., 2009. Occurrence, distribution and
 possible sources of organochlorine pesticides in agricultural soil of Shanghai, China. J. Hazard. Mater.
- 484 170, 989–997. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2009.05.082
- Jones, K.C., De Voogt, P., 1999. Persistent organic pollutants (POPs): State of the science. Environ. Pollut.
 100, 209–221. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0269-7491(99)00098-6
- 487 Jorgenson, J.L., 2001. Aldrin and dieldrin: A review of research on their production, environmental
- 488 deposition and fate, bioaccumulation, toxicology, and epidemiology in the United States. Environ.
 489 Health Perspect. 109, 113–139. https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.01109s1113
- 490 Krohn, C., Jin, J., Ryan, J., Fabijański, P., Franks, A.E., Tang, C., 2019. Composition of soil organic matter
- 491 drives total loss of dieldrin and dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane in high-value pastures over thirty
- 492 years. Sci. Total Environ. 691, 135–145. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.06.497
- 493 Li, Q., Lu, Y., Wang, P., Wang, T., zhang, Y., Suriyanarayanan, S., Liang, R., Baninla, Y., Khan, K., 2018.

- 494 Distribution, source, and risk of organochlorine pesticides (OCPs) and polychlorinated biphenyls
- 495 (PCBs) in urban and rural soils around the Yellow and Bohai Seas, China. Environ. Pollut. 239, 233–241.
- 496 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2018.03.055
- Mattina, M.J.I., Iannucci-Berger, W., Dykas, L., Pardus, J., 1999. Impact of long-term weathering, mobility,
 and land use on chlordane residues in soil. Environ. Sci. Technol. 33, 2425–2431.
- 499 https://doi.org/10.1021/es990012o
- 500 Meijer, S.N., Halsall, C.J., Harner, T., Peters, A.J., Ockenden, W.A., Johnston, A.E., Jones, K.C., 2001.
- 501 Organochlorine pesticide residues in archived UK soil. Environ. Sci. Technol. 35, 1989–1995.
 502 https://doi.org/10.1021/es0000955
- 503 Miglioranza, K.S.B., Aizpún de Moreno, J.E., Moreno, V.J., 2003. Dynamics of organochlorine pesticides in
- 504 soils from a southeastern region of Argentina. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 22, 712–717.
- 505 https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.5620220405
- 506 Mishra, K., Sharma, R.C., Kumar, S., 2012. Contamination levels and spatial distribution of organochlorine
 507 pesticides in soils from India. Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf. 76, 215–225.
- 508 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2011.09.014
- 509 Nam, J.J., Gustafsson, O., Kurt-Karakus, P., Breivik, K., Steinnes, E., Jones, K.C., 2008. Relationships between
- 510 organic matter, black carbon and persistent organic pollutants in European background soils:
- 511 Implications for sources and environmental fate. Environ. Pollut. 156, 809–817.
- 512 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2008.05.027
- 513 Namiki, S., Otani, T., Motoki, Y., Seike, N., Iwafune, T., 2018. Differential uptake and translocation of
- 514 organic chemicals by several plant species from soil. J. Pestic. Sci. 43, 96–107.
- 515 https://doi.org/10.1584/jpestics.D17-088
- Namiki, S., Otani, T., Seike, N., Satoh, S., 2015. Differential uptake and translocation of β-HCH and dieldrin
 by several plant species from hydroponic medium. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 34, 536–544.
- 518 https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.2815
- 519 Niu, L., Xu, Y., Xu, C., Yun, L., Liu, W., 2014. Status of phthalate esters contamination in agricultural soils
- 520 across China and associated health risks. Environ. Pollut. 195, 16–23.
- 521 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2014.08.014
- Novak, S.M., Portal, J.M., Schiavon, M., 2001. Effects of soil type upon metolachlor losses in subsurface
 drainage. Chemosphere 42, 235–244. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0045-6535(00)00089-8
- Oliver, M.A., Webster, R., 1986. Combining Nested and Linear Sampling for Determining the Scale and Form
 of Spatial Variation of Regionalized Variables. Geogr. Anal. 18, 227–242.

- 526 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1538-4632.1986.tb00095.x
- Orton, T.G., Saby, N.P.A., Arrouays, D., Jolivet, C.C., Villanneau, E.J., Marchant, B.P., Caria, G., Barriuso, E.,
 Bispo, A., Briand, O., 2013. Spatial distribution of Lindane concentration in topsoil across France. Sci.
 Total Environ. 443, 338–350. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2012.10.103
- 530 Péron, J.Y., Geoffriau, E., 2007. Characteristics and sustainable development of peri-urban vegetable
 531 production in Europe. Acta Hortic. 762, 159–170. https://doi.org/10.17660/ActaHortic.2007.762.16
- Phillips, L., Moya, J., 2013. The evolution of EPA's Exposure Factors Handbook and its future as an exposure
 assessment resource. J. Expo. Sci. Environ. Epidemiol. 23, 13–21. https://doi.org/10.1038/jes.2012.77
- Piorr, A., Zasada, I., Doernberg, A., Zoll, F., Ramme, W., 2018. Research for AGRI committee- Urban and
 Peri-urban agirculture in the EU, European Parliament. European Parliament.
- Qu, C., Albanese, S., Chen, W., Lima, A., Doherty, A.L., Piccolo, A., Arienzo, M., Qi, S., De Vivo, B., 2016. The
 status of organochlorine pesticide contamination in the soils of the Campanian Plain, southern Italy,
 and correlations with soil properties and cancer risk. Environ. Pollut. 216, 500–511.
- 539 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2016.05.089
- Qu, C., Albanese, S., Li, J., Cicchella, D., Zuzolo, D., Hope, D., Cerino, P., Pizzolante, A., Doherty, A.L., Lima,
 A., De Vivo, B., 2019. Organochlorine pesticides in the soils from Benevento provincial territory,
 southern Italy: Spatial distribution, air-soil exchange, and implications for environmental health. Sci.
 Total Environ. 674, 159–170. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.04.029
- Ren, X., Zeng, G., Tang, L., Wang, J., Wan, J., Liu, Y., Yu, J., Yi, H., Ye, S., Deng, R., 2018. Sorption, transport
 and biodegradation An insight into bioavailability of persistent organic pollutants in soil. Sci. Total
 Environ. 610–611, 1154–1163. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.08.089
- Ribes, A., Grimalt, J.O., Torres Garcia, C.J., Cuevas, E., 2002. Temperature and organic matter dependence
 of the distribution of organochlorine compounds in mountain soils from the subtropical atlantic
 (Teide, Tenerife Island). Environ. Sci. Technol. 36, 1879–1885. https://doi.org/10.1021/es010272h
- Rodier, J., 1978. L'analyse de l'eau: Eaux naturelles, eaux résiduaires, eau de mer : chimie, physico-chimie,
 bactériologie, biologie (Dunod technique) (French Edition). distributed by S.M.P.F. Corp.
- Saito, T., Otani, T., Seike, N., Murano, H., Okazaki, M., 2011. Suppressive effect of soil application of
 carbonaceous adsorbents on dieldrin uptake by cucumber fruits. Soil Sci. Plant Nutr. 57, 157–166.
 https://doi.org/10.1080/00380768.2010.551281
- 555 Sánchez-Osorio, J.L., Macías-Zamora, J.V., Ramírez-Álvarez, N., Bidleman, T.F., 2017. Organochlorine
- 556 pesticides in residential soils and sediments within two main agricultural areas of northwest Mexico:
- 557 Concentrations, enantiomer compositions and potential sources. Chemosphere 173, 275–287.

- 558 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2017.01.010
- Schmidt, M.W.I., Noack, A.G., 2000. Black carbon in soils and sediments: Analysis, distribution, implications,
 and current challenges. Global Biogeochem. Cycles 14, 777–793.

561 https://doi.org/10.1029/1999GB001208

- Shegunova, P., Klánová, J., Holoubek, I., 2007. Residues of organochlorinated pesticides in soils from the
 Czech Republic. Environ. Pollut. 146, 257–261. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2006.03.057
- Sparks, D.L., 2003. Sorption Phenomena on Soils, in: Environmental Soil Chemistry. Elsevier, pp. 133–186.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-012656446-4/50005-0
- 566 Tan, H., Li, Q., Zhang, H., Wu, C., Zhao, S., Deng, X., Li, Y., 2020. Pesticide residues in agricultural topsoil

567 from the Hainan tropical riverside basin: Determination, distribution, and relationships with planting

568 patterns and surface water. Sci. Total Environ. 722, 137856.

- 569 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.137856
- Tao, S., Liu, W., Li, Y., Yang, Y., Zuo, Q., Li, B., Cao, J., 2008. Organochlorine pesticides contaminated surface
 soil as reemission source in the Haihe Plain, China. Environ. Sci. Technol. 42, 8395–8400.
 https://doi.org/10.1021/es8019676
- 573 Tsiantas, P., Tzanetou, E.N., Karasali, H., Kasiotis, K.M., 2021. A dieldrin case study: Another evidence of an
 574 obsolete substance in the european soil environment. Agric. 11, 314.
- 575 https://doi.org/10.3390/agriculture11040314
- Wang, B., Wu, C., Liu, W., Teng, Y., Luo, Y., Christie, P., Guo, D., 2016. Levels and patterns of organochlorine
 pesticides in agricultural soils in an area of extensive historical cotton cultivation in Henan province,
- 578 China. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 23, 6680–6689. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-015-5864-x
- 579 Wang, F., Jiang, X., Bian, Y. rong, Yao, F. xia, Gao, H. jian, Yu, G. fen, Munch, J.C., Schroll, R., 2007.

580 Organochlorine pesticides in soils under different land usage in the Taihu Lake region, China. J.

581 Environ. Sci. 19, 584–590. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1001-0742(07)60097-7

- 582 Wang, L., Xue, C., Zhang, Y., Li, Z., Liu, C., Pan, X., Chen, F., Liu, Y., 2018. Soil aggregate-associated
- distribution of DDTs and HCHs in farmland and bareland soils in the Danjiangkou Reservoir Area of
 China. Environ. Pollut. 243, 734–742. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2018.09.019
- Wang, X., Piao, X., Chen, J., Hu, J., Xu, F., Tao, S., 2006. Organochlorine pesticides in soil profiles from
 Tianjin, China. Chemosphere 64, 1514–1520. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2005.12.052
- 587 Wenzel, K.D., Manz, M., Hubert, A., Schüürmann, G., 2002. Fate of POPs (DDX, HCHs, PCBs) in upper soil
- 588 layers of pine forests. Sci. Total Environ. 286, 143–154. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-
- 589 9697(01)00972-X

- Wong, F., Alegria, H.A., Bidleman, T.F., 2010. Organochlorine pesticides in soils of Mexico and the potential
 for soil-air exchange. Environ. Pollut. 158, 749–755. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2009.10.013
- 592 Yu, H.Y., Li, F.B., Yu, W.M., Li, Y.T., Yang, G.Y., Zhou, S.G., Zhang, T. Bin, Gao, Y.X., Wan, H.F., 2013.
- 593Assessment of organochlorine pesticide contamination in relation to soil properties in the Pearl River594Delta, China. Sci. Total Environ. 447, 160–168. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2012.12.070
- Zhang, A., Liu, W., Yuan, H., Zhou, S., Su, Y., Li, Y.F., 2011. Spatial distribution of hexachlorocyclohexanes in
 agricultural soils in Zhejiang Province, China, and correlations with elevation and temperature.
 Environ. Sci. Technol. 45, 6303–6308. https://doi.org/10.1021/es200488n
- Zhang, H., Luo, Y., Li, Q., 2009. Burden and depth distribution of organochlorine pesticides in the soil
 profiles of Yangtze River Delta Region, China: Implication for sources and vertical transportation.
 Geoderma 153, 69–75. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2009.07.016
- Chang, H.B., Luo, Y.M., Zhao, Q.G., Wong, M.H., Zhang, G.L., 2006. Residues of organochlorine pesticides in
 Hong Kong soils. Chemosphere 63, 633–641. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2005.08.006
- Zhang, L., Dong, L., Shi, S., Zhou, L., Zhang, T., Huang, Y., 2009. Organochlorine pesticides contamination in
 surface soils from two pesticide factories in Southeast China. Chemosphere 77, 628–633.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2009.08.055
- Zhang, N., Yang, Y., Tao, S., Liu, Y., Shi, K.L., 2011. Sequestration of organochlorine pesticides in soils of
 distinct organic carbon content. Environ. Pollut. 159, 700–705.
- 608 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2010.12.011
- 609 Zhao, C., Xie, H.J., Zhang, J., Xu, J., Liang, S., 2013. Spatial distribution of organochlorine pesticides (OCPs)
- 610 and effect of soil characters: A case study of a pesticide producing factory. Chemosphere 90, 2381–
- 611 2387. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2012.10.029
- 612



Figure 1: Location of the soil samples collected in the study area (left) and example of the sampling strategy with the central point,
the associated distances and the random angles (right).



618 Figure 2: Dieldrin spatial variance against distance from the centre of each reference plot in the peri-urban agricultural area







Figure 4: Relation between Dieldrin concentrations and SOM content according to the 3 different grain sizes of the 6 reference plots.
 Fitted lines by linear mixed modelling (random effect = plot belonging) for the relationship between Dieldrin and SOM for each grain size are shown. Different letter indicates significant difference of intercept between lines (posthoc Tukey multiple comparisons). The relationships were analysed on a log-log scale to fit linear modelling assumptions.



Figure 5: Dieldrin and SOM vertical distribution patterns on plot B, C, D and F. 1) Dieldrin vertical distribution (dashed line indicates
LOQ and * indicate Dieldrin concentrations below LOQ). Different letters indicate significant differences between Dieldrin values for
each depth (posthoc multiple Tukey comparisons). The different plots also influenced Dieldrin concentrations as shown by capital
italic letters next to plot names in the legend (posthoc multiple Tukey comparisons). The interaction between depth and plot is not
significant. 2) SOM vertical distribution. Depth, plot affiliation and finally, the interaction between depth and plot being significant,
different letters indicate significant difference between all combined situations (posthoc multiple Tukey comparisons)

636 7. Tables

Table 1: Soil physicochemical properties and Dieldrin contamination

Soil property	N samples	Mean (SE)	Median	Range	Detection frequency	Quantification frequency
рН	99	6.6±0.1	6.8	4.1 - 8.1	/	/
Humidity rate (%)	99	14.8±0.7	13.5	3.5 - 52.2	/	/
SOM (%)	99	3.2±0.6	1.9	0.1 - 52.1	/	/
CEC (cmol.kg ⁻¹)	30	10.8±2.9	6.3	1.9 - 92.0	/	/
OCP						
Dieldrin (µg.kg ⁻¹)	99	38.2±5.9	14.7	<lod 203.9<="" td="" to=""><td>90</td><td>60</td></lod>	90	60

Table 2: Percentage of grain sizes in soils and associated Dieldrin concentration and pH of the six reference plots (mean (SE))

Plot		Coarse sand	Fine sand	Coarse silt	Fine silt	Clay
FIOU		(2000 – 200 μm)	(200 – 50 μm)	(50 – 20 μm)	(20 – 2 μm)	(< 2 μm)
	Fraction %	79.6 (0.4)	8.4 (0.2)	1.9 (0.1)	3.2 (0.1)	6.9 (0.2)
А	[Dieldrin] (µg.kg ⁻¹)	7.7	120.1	224.2		
	рН	6.6	6.3		6.2	
	Fraction %	80.1 (0.4)	8.0 (0.6)	1.1 (0.1)	2.5 (0.2)	8.3 (0.2)
В	[Dieldrin] (µg.kg ⁻¹)	29.1	15.6	50.9		
	рН	7.7	6.8		6.7	
	Fraction %	84.5 (1.2)	6.3 (0.5)	1.0 (0.5)	1.8 (0.2)	6.4 (0.1)
С	[Dieldrin] (µg.kg ⁻¹)	1.9	11.8		16.8	
	рН	5.5	5.0		4.8	
D	Fraction %	73.7 (3.9)	6.1 (2.2)	4.4 (2.0)	4.9 (0.4)	11.0 (0.9)
	[Dieldrin] (µg.kg ⁻¹)	11.5	77.2		56.6	
	рН	7.0	6.7		6.5	
E	Fraction %	85.3 (0.6)	4.6 (0.2)	0.6 (0.0)	3.0 (0.2)	6.6 (0.6)
	[Dieldrin] (µg.kg ⁻¹)	17.4	196.5	259.6		
	рН	5.8	5.4	5.4		
F	Fraction %	80.6 (0.9)	4.6 (0.5)	0.7 (0.2)	3.9 (0.2)	10.3 (0.8)
	[Dieldrin] (µg.kg ⁻¹)	64.2	311.5	321.0		
	рН	7.2	6.8	6.8		
	Fraction %	80.6 (1.1)	6.3 (0.5)	1.6 (0.5)	3.2 (0.3)	8.2 (0.5)
All plots	[Dieldrin] (µg.kg ⁻¹)	21.9 (8.5)	122.1 (43.1)		154.8 (48.0)	
	рН	6.6 (0.3)	6.2 (0.3)	6.1 (0.3)		

Graphical abstract

Literature This study			
- Scale of study	Regional	Peri-urban agricultural area	Plot
- OCPs (µg.kg ⁻¹)	<1.Q 10 ⁰ 10 ¹ 10 ² 10 ³	<1.Q 10° 10 ¹ 10 ² Cl Cl	<1.Q 10 ⁹ 10 ¹ 10 ² 10 ³ 10 ³
- Type of sampling	Composite in $100 \times 100 \text{m}^2$	Unique in a semi random strategy	Unique and regular
- Sampling density	10 ⁻² .km ⁻²	10.km ⁻² 0	10 ⁴ .km ⁻²
- OCPs studied	DDT, HCH, Chlordanes, Dieldrin, Endosulfan	Dieldrin (EPA 505) $\vdots \underbrace{\bigcup_{i=1}^{10^{\circ}} Soil particles (> 200 \mu m)}_{Soil particles (< 200 \mu m)}$	DDT, HCH, Chlordane, Dieldrin, Endosulfan
- Factors influencing OCPs repartition	Historical application, Atmospheric transport, SOM	Contraction (%) 10 ²	Historical application, Depth