

## Speciation completion rates have limited impact on macroevolutionary diversification

Pierre Veron, Jérémy Andréoletti, Tatiana Giraud, Hélène Morlon

## ▶ To cite this version:

Pierre Veron, Jérémy Andréoletti, Tatiana Giraud, Hélène Morlon. Speciation completion rates have limited impact on macroevolutionary diversification. 2024. hal-04728750

## HAL Id: hal-04728750 https://hal.science/hal-04728750v1

Preprint submitted on 9 Oct 2024

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

A theoretical framework linking the birth-death and protracted birth-death models, with 2 implications for the phylogenetic analysis of з diversification Pierre Veron <sup>1,2,\*</sup>, Jérémy Andréoletti <sup>1</sup>, Tatiana Giraud <sup>2</sup>, and Hélène Morlon <sup>1</sup> 5 6 <sup>1</sup>Institut de Biologie, École Normale Supérieure, Université PSL, CNRS, INSERM, Paris, France <sup>2</sup>Écologie Systématique et Évolution, CNRS, Université Paris-Saclay, AgroParisTech, Gif-sur-Yvette, France 10 \*Corresponding author, pveron@bio.ens.psl.eu 11 July 1, 2024 12

13 Keywords speciation, macroevolution, microevolution, phylogeny

## 14 Abstract

While speciation takes time, standard birth-death processes used to infer diversifica-15 tion from phylogenies assume that it is instantaneous. This limits the interpretation 16 of phylogenetic estimates of speciation and extinction rates, and our understanding of 17 the microevolutionary processes that modulate these rates. Here, we consider the pro-18 tracted birth-death model, which accounts for the fact that speciation takes time. We 19 compute an "equivalent" standard birth-death process, of which diversification dynam-20 ics approximate those induced by the protracted process. We find that the equivalent 21 birth rate declines close to the present, and that the duration of speciation influences 22 when this decays occurs more than the equivalent rates themselves. The equivalent 23 rates are relatively constant in the past. The birth rate in the past scales with the rate 24 at which speciation is initiated, with a scaling factor that depends mostly on the pop-25 ulation extinction rate. Our results suggest that the rates of speciation initiation and 26 population extinction may often play a larger role than the speed at which populations 27 acquire reproductive isolation in modulating speciation rates. Our study establishes a 28 theoretical framework for understanding how microevolutionary processes combine to 29 explain the diversification of species groups on macroevolutionary time scales. 30

## **1 Introduction**

Birth-death models are widely used to understand the diversification of species groups; 32 in this context, births represent speciation events, i.e. the emergence of two daughter 33 species from a ancestral one, and deaths represent species extinction. This specific 34 use of birth-death models is particularly widespread to interpret both fossil (Silvestro 35 et al. 2014) and phylogenetic data (Stadler 2013; Morlon, Andréoletti, et al. 2024) in 36 terms of diversification dynamics. In birth-death models, speciation is considered to be 37 an instantaneous phenomenon, represented as a branching event following a Poisson 38 point process. 39

Despite the widespread use of birth-death models to simulate speciation and extinction 40 events, speciation is not instantaneous. Speciation requires an initial isolation of popu-41 lations (speciation initiation) followed by the accumulation of genetic barriers to gene 42 flow until speciation is complete. Speciation can initiate and fail before completion, 43 for example because of secondary contact, or because isolated populations go extinct 44 before speciation completion (Coyne and Orr 2004; Dynesius and Jansson 2014). The 45 whole speciation process may take hundreds of thousands up to several millions of 46 years (Benton and Pearson 2001; Etienne and Rosindell 2012; Etienne, Morlon, et al. 47 2014; Hua et al. 2022). 48

Ignoring the fact that speciation takes time by using standard birth-death models has non trivial consequences for our understanding of diversification dynamics. For example, when standard birth-death models are used in combination with phylogenetic trees of extant species to estimate speciation and extinction rates, the "protracted" nature of speciation may be misinterpreted as a speciation rate slowdown towards the present (Etienne and Rosindell 2012; Moen and Morlon 2014).

Etienne and Rosindell 2012 pioneered the development of the so-called protracted 55 birth-death model (PBD). Instead of assuming that speciation is instantaneous as in the 56 standard birth-death model, this model assumes that there are events of speciation initi-57 ation corresponding to the formation of *incipient* species that eventually become good 58 species after a random completion time. An incipient lineage is a lineage that is not yet 59 considered as a different species from the ancestral lineage. For sexually reproducing 60 organisms, the completion time is the time it takes for lineages to achieve reproductive 61 isolation. Each lineage is thus subject to initiation, extinction and completion events. 62

The protracted birth-death model has several advantages over the the standard birth-63 death model. First, it is biologically more realistic, and it thus unsurprisingly produces 64 phylogenies that are closer to empirical phylogenies than those produced by the stan-65 dard birth-death model (Etienne and Rosindell 2012). Specifically, it produces phylo-66 genies that are less tippy (fewer recent speciation events) that those arising from the 67 standard birth-death model. Second, it allows the integration of intraspecific processes 68 that lead to speciation. For example, the matching competition birth-death model 69 (MCBD, Aristide and Morlon 2019) integrates the effect of intraspecific competition 70 on character displacement leading to speciation by modeling character displacement in 71 incipient lineages. 72

Despite the advantages of the protracted birth-death model, the overwhelming major-73 ity of phylogenetic analyses of diversification use the standard birth-death model. Most 74 available models for phylogenetic analyses of diversification are versions of the stan-75 dard birth-death model, with birth and death rates that can vary in time and/or across 76 lineages (Morlon, Andréoletti, et al. 2024). The protracted birth-death model can be 77 fitted to empirical phylogenies, however not all of its parameters can be reliably es-78 timated from a phylogeny (Etienne, Morlon, et al. 2014), which limits its usefulness. 79 Recently, Hua et al. showed that the PBD parameters can be accurately estimated from 80 population-level (rather than species-level) phylogenies, however such phylogenies re-81 main rare (Hua et al. 2022). Fitting standard birth-death models thus remains the rule 82 in phylogenetic analyses of diversification. 83

If speciation takes time but it is estimated by fitting standard birth-death models to 84 phylogenies, which assume instantaneous speciation, what do resulting speciation and 85 extinction rate estimates actually represent? We can expect that speciation rate esti-86 mates will be higher when rates of speciation initiation and completion are higher, and 87 rates of extinction of incipient species are lower, but precisely answering this question 88 requires to establish an analytical relationship between the parameters of the protracted 89 and standard birth-death models. To our knowledge, such a relationship has not yet 90 been established. 91

Elucidating the relationship between the parameters of the protracted and standard 92 birth-death models is important not only to clarify the meaning of speciation rates 93 estimated from phylogenies, but also to understand the microevolutionary processes 94 that modulate these rates (Morlon, Andréoletti, et al. 2024). Indeed, macroevolution-95 ary speciation rates (estimated from phylogenies) vary by orders of magnitude (Maliet, 96 Hartig, et al. 2019; Quintero et al. 2024), but the processes underlying this variation re-97 main unclear. Efforts to find empirical correlations between macroevolutionary specia-98 tion rates and rates of population formation or evolution of reproductive isolation have 99 not been conclusive; a proposed explanation is that this expected correlation is erased 100 by the frequent extinction of incipient species (Rabosky and Matute 2013; Singhal, 101 Colli, et al. 2022; Singhal, Huang, et al. 2018). That is, population survival rather than 102 population formation and the accumulation of reproductive barriers may be the factor 103 "limiting" speciation. More generally, each of speciation initiation, speciation comple-104 tion and population survival may be the process limiting macroevolutionary speciation 105 rates in some situations but not others (Rabosky 2016). For instance, a lineage that has 106 a propensity to accumulate fast reproductive isolation but does not experience frequent 107 population splits might not have a high speciation rate: here, the rate of speciation com-108 pletion is not limiting. Hence, factors acting on speciation initiation, the accumulation 109 of reproductive isolation, and the extinction of incipient species can have non trivial 110 outcomes in terms of speciation rate. 111

Here, we obtain a mathematical link between the parameters of the protracted and
standard birth-death diversification models by computing "equivalent" speciation and
extinction rates that generate some of the outcomes expected under the protracted birthdeath model. In spirit, these equivalent rates are meant to represent the macroevolutionary outcomes (macroevolutionary speciation and extinction rates) of the protracted

birth-death process, as we may estimate them by fitting standard birth-death model to
reconstructed phylogenies. We compare phylogenies simulated under the protracted
birth-death model and the equivalent birth-death model and discuss the consequences
of our results for the phylogenetic analysis of diversification.



**Figure 1. The birth-death (BD) and protracted birth-death (PBD) models.** (**A**, **B**) Illustrations of the rates involved in the BD model (**A**), and the PBD model (**B**). (**C**, **D**) Possible outcomes of the PBD process in a fixed time horizon, starting from a good lineage (**C**) or an incipient lineage (**D**); non exhaustive examples of events leading to these outcomes are shown.

## **121** 2 Materials and methods

#### 122 2.1 Birth-death and protracted birth-death models

<sup>123</sup> The standard birth-death (BD) model involves two rates (figure 1A): the birth (specia-<sup>124</sup>tion) rate  $\lambda$  and the death (extinction) rate  $\mu$ . The protracted birth-death (PBD) model <sup>125</sup> as defined in (Etienne, Morlon, et al. 2014) involves 5 rates (figure 1B) : the rate of <sup>126</sup> speciation initiation from a good species  $\lambda_1$ , the rate of completion  $\lambda_2$ , the rate of spe-<sup>127</sup> ciation initiation from an incipient species  $\lambda_3$ , the rate of extinction of a good species

 $\mu_1$ , and the rate of extinction of an incipient species  $\mu_2$ .

By convention, time elapses in the direction of increasing values. The process begins 129 at time 0 with one good lineage and runs until the present at time T. We now consider 130 an intermediate time T - t, and introduce  $p_E^G(t)$  and  $p_S^G(t)$  the probabilities that, for 131 any given good lineage, the first event is respectively an extinction or a speciation 132 event, and  $p_N^G(t)$  the probability that none of these events occur during a time interval 133 of length t (figure 1C). Similarly,  $p_E^I(t)$  and  $p_C^I(t)$  are the probabilities that, during a 134 time interval of length t, the first event is respectively an extinction, or a completion 135 event, when starting with an incipient lineage;  $p_N^I(t)$  is the probability that none of 136 these events occur during the time interval (figure 1D). By "extinction" we mean the 137 direct extinction of the lineage in question, or the extinction of all possible descendants 138 of this lineage in the time interval considered. Similarly, by "completion" we mean the 139 direct completion of the lineage in question, or the completion of an incipient daughter 140 lineage in the time interval under consideration. In case of multiple events occurring 141 in the time interval (e.g. speciation followed by extinction), we consider only the first 142 event. For instance the third case of speciation in figure 1C (where the lineages all go 143 extinct after speciation) is considered as a speciation event and is recorded in  $p_{S}^{G}(t)$ . 144

#### 145 2.2 Equivalent time-dependent BD rates

## Definitions of equivalent birth and death rates, and relation with probabilities of speciation and extinction under the PBD

Given a PBD process with fixed parameter values running from 0 to *T*, we assume that the probabilities of speciation and extinction within an infinitesimal time interval  $\begin{bmatrix} t-dt,t \end{bmatrix}$  can be written as  $\hat{\lambda}(t) dt$  and  $\hat{\mu}(t) dt$ , for any time *t*. We call the quantities  $\hat{\lambda}(t)$ and  $\hat{\mu}(t)$  the time-dependent equivalent birth and death rates. Given a good lineage alive at time T - t - dt, the probability that the first event occurring within the time interval  $\begin{bmatrix} T - t - dt, T \end{bmatrix}$  is a speciation event is given by:

$$p_S^G(t+dt) = \underbrace{\hat{\lambda}(T-t)\,dt}_{(i)} + \underbrace{(1-\hat{\lambda}(T-t)\,dt - \hat{\mu}(T-t)\,dt)}_{(ii)} \underbrace{p_S^G(t)}_{(iii)}$$

with (*i*) the probability of speciation within the small time interval [T - t - dt, T - t], (*ii*) the probability of no speciation nor extinction within the small time interval [T - t - t]dt, T - t] and (*iii*) the probability that the first event occurring within the time interval [T - t, T] is a speciation event, conditioned on existence of the lineage at the time T - t. Similarly we have:

160 
$$p_E^G(t+dt) = \hat{\mu}(T-t) dt + (1-\hat{\lambda}(T-t) dt - \hat{\mu}(T-t) dt) p_E^G(t).$$

<sup>161</sup> Hence, we have the dynamical system

154

162

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\mathrm{d} p_S^G(t)}{\mathrm{d} t} = \hat{\lambda}(T-t) - (\hat{\lambda}(T-t) + \hat{\mu}(T-t))p_S^G(t) \\ \frac{\mathrm{d} p_E^G(t)}{\mathrm{d} t} = \hat{\mu}(T-t) - (\hat{\lambda}(T-t) + \hat{\mu}(T-t))p_E^G(t) \end{cases}$$

which allows us to express the time-dependent birth rate:

$$\hat{\lambda}(t) = \frac{\left(1 - p_E^G(T - t)\right) \left.\frac{dp_S^G}{dt}\right|_{T - t} + p_S^G(T - t) \left.\frac{dp_E^G}{dt}\right|_{T - t}}{p_N^G(T - t)} \tag{1}$$

165 and death rate:

164

$$\hat{\mu}(t) = \frac{\left(1 - p_{S}^{G}(T-t)\right) \frac{dp_{E}^{G}}{dt}\Big|_{T-t} + p_{E}^{G}(T-t) \frac{dp_{E}^{G}}{dt}\Big|_{T-t}}{p_{N}^{G}(T-t)}.$$
(2)

In what follows, we compute the probabilities  $p_S^G(t)$  and  $p_E^G(t)$  for any time  $t \in [0, T]$ , which provides us with the equivalent rates.

By assuming that the probabilities of speciation and extinction within an infinitesimal 169 time interval [t - dt, t] can be written as  $\hat{\lambda}(t) dt$  and  $\hat{\mu}(t) dt$ , we have assumed that these 170 probabilities depend only on time, and not on the history of the lineage considered. 171 This is an approximation, because (under the PBD process) good lineages carry the 172 history of their incipient species. An old lineage is indeed more likely to have a pool of 173 incipient lineages (for instance, when  $\lambda_3$  is high, their number increases exponentially 174 with time) and therefore less likely to go extinct. The equations we used for the prob-175 abilities of speciation  $p_S^G(t)$  and extinction  $p_E^G(t)$  correspond to the case of a good lin-176 eage with no incipient species, and are approximations otherwise. We expect that these 177 approximations will affect mainly the extinction rate, as we ignore the buffering effect 178 on extinction of incipient lineages that exist at the time when the rate is computed. The 179 equivalent extinction rate could therefore overestimate extinction probabilities. 180

#### 181 Speciation and extinction probabilities under the PBD

In order to compute  $p_S^G(t)$  and  $p_E^G(t)$  for any time  $t \in [0, T]$ , we first need to compute probabilities associated with incipient lineages.

Starting from an incipient lineage, the two exclusive possible ways leading to extinction within a horizon *t* are (figure 2, upper left panel): (a) the lineage directly goes extinct within a time *t* or (b) the lineage forms two incipient lineages after a time  $u \le t$  and both incipient lineages go extinct within the remaining time t - u. The probability for an incipient lineage and its potential descendants to go extinct within a time *t* thus satisfies the following equation:

190 
$$p_{E}^{I}(t) = \frac{\mu_{2}}{\Lambda} (1 - e^{-\Lambda t})$$
 figure 2(a)  
191  $+ \int_{0}^{t} \lambda_{3} e^{-\Lambda u} (p_{E}^{I}(t-u))^{2} du$  figure 2(b) (3)

where  $\Lambda = \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 + \mu_2$ .

<sup>193</sup> The solution to this integral equation is (appendix A1):



Figure 2. Alternative scenarios by which main outcomes occur in the protracted birthdeath model. Upper panels: starting from an incipient lineage at time 0, decomposition of the possible exclusive ways of extinction (left) and no completion (right) before a given time t. Bottom panels: starting from a good lineage at time 0, decomposition of the possible exclusive ways of extinction (left) and speciation (right) before a given time t. Dotted lines represent incipient lineages, solid lines represent good lineages. Triangles summarize the subtrees containing the potential descendants of an ancestor lineage, with the condition that all of them go extinct within the remaining time (indicated by a cross), or that one of the completes speciation (indicated by a blue dot), or that none of these event occur. The probabilities written under the triangles correspond to the probability of the described event.

$$p_E^I(t) = \frac{1}{\lambda_3} \sqrt{\frac{c(\Lambda - k)e^{kt} + \Lambda/k}{\left(ce^{kt} + 1/k\right)^2} - \frac{\Lambda(k - \Lambda) + 2\mu_2\lambda_3}{2}}$$
  
with  $k = \sqrt{\Lambda^2 - 4\mu_2\lambda_3}$  and  $c = \frac{2}{k - \Lambda} - \frac{1}{k}$ .

195

Instead of  $p_C^I(t)$ , it is easier to calculate  $1 - p_C^I(t)$ , the probability of non-completion. 196 Starting from an incipient lineage, the three exclusive ways not to complete speciation 197 are (figure 2, upper right panel): (c) direct extinction of the lineage within a time t, (d) 198 survival of the lineage during a time t without completion, extinction or initiation, or 199 (e) initiation of speciation after a time  $u \le t$  and non-completion of any of the daughter 200 lineages within the remaining time t - u. The probability of speciation completion of 201 an incipient lineage (or any of its potential descendant) within a time t thus satisfies the 202 following equation: 203

194

$$1 - p_C^I(t) = \frac{\mu_2}{\Lambda} (1 - e^{-\Lambda t})$$
 figure 2(c)  
$$+ e^{-\Lambda t}$$
 figure 2(d)

215

216

$$+ \int_0^t \lambda_3 e^{-\Lambda u} (1 - p_C^I (t - u))^2 \,\mathrm{d}u \qquad \text{figure 2(e)} \qquad (4)$$

207 This equation can be solved numerically by solving an ordinary differential equation (appendix A2). 208

Starting now from a good lineage, the two exclusive possible ways leading to extinc-209 tion as first event within a horizon t are (figure 2, bottom left panel): (f) the lineage 210 directly goes extinct within a time t or (g) the lineage forms an incipient lineage and 211 both daughter lineages (one good and one incipient) and their potential descendant 212 die within a time t - u without speciation. The probability for a good lineage and its 213 potential descendants to go extinct within a time t thus satisfies the following equation: 214

$$p_E^G(t) = \frac{\mu_1}{\Theta} (1 - e^{-\Theta t}) \qquad \text{figure } 2(f)$$

$$+ \int_0^t \lambda_1 e^{-\Theta u} p_E^I(t-u) p_E^G(t-u) \,\mathrm{d}u. \qquad \text{figure 2(g)} \tag{5}$$

where  $\Theta = \lambda_1 + \mu_1$ . 217

This equation can be solved numerically, provided that we already have a solution for 218  $p_F^I(t)$  (appendix A3). 219

Starting from a good lineage, the two exclusive ways in which speciation occurs within 220 a time t are (figure 2, bottom right panel): (h) the lineage initiates speciation after a 221 time  $u \leq t$ , and the incipient lineage completes speciation within the remaining time 222 t-u, or (i) the lineage initiates speciation at a time  $u \le t$ , the completion of this in-223 cipient lineage fails, and the good lineage speciates within the remaining time t - u. 224 The probability that a good lineage fulfils speciation within a time t thus satisfies the 225 following equation: 226

 $p_{S}^{G}(t) = \int_{0}^{t} \lambda_{1} e^{-\Theta u} \times [p_{C}^{I}(t-u)]$ initiation at time u 227 figure 2(h) 228

+
$$(1 - p_C^I(t - u))p_S^G(t - u)]du$$
 figure 2(i) (6)

This equation can be solved numerically (appendix A4). 230

For all numerical integrations, we used the module SciPy in Python (Virtanen et al. 231 2020). 232

After solving these equations numerically and using equation 2, we obtain the time-233 dependent equivalent birth rate  $\hat{\lambda}(t)$  and death rate  $\hat{\mu}(t)$ . 234

#### 235 2.3 Equivalent constant BD rates

259

Defined, as above, as the values such that the probabilities of speciation and extinc-236 tion in an infinitesimal time interval [t - dt, t] are given by  $\hat{\lambda}(t) dt$  and  $\hat{\mu}(t) dt$ , for any 237 time t of the PBD process,  $\hat{\lambda}(t)$  and  $\hat{\mu}(t)$  indeed depend on time, even though the pa-238 rameters of the PBD are constant. However, as we will show later and in agreement 239 with previous results (Etienne and Rosindell 2012), the time-dependency is particularly 240 manifest towards the present. Intuitively, given enough time, constant rates of initia-241 tion, completion and extinction result in constant equivalent speciation and extinction 242 rates. However, towards the present (i.e., towards the end of the PDB process), incip-243 ient lineages did not have enough time to complete speciation, resulting in a decline 244 in speciation rates. As one of our main goals here is to understand how speciation 245 initiation, completion and extinction translate into macroevolutionary speciation and 246 extinction rates, we now introduce equivalent constant BD rates, meant to represent the 247 relationship between the parameters of the PBD and BD process far from the present. 248

We define equivalent constant BD rates,  $\tilde{\lambda}$  (birth rate) and  $\tilde{\mu}$  (death rate) as the pa-249 rameters of the standard BD process such that the probability of speciation and the 250 expected time to speciation match those under the PBD process with rates  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3$ , 251  $\mu_1$ , and  $\mu_2$ , starting from a good lineage. The probability of extinction does not bring 252 any additional information since extinction and speciation events are complementary 253 over infinite time. Intuitively, we expect that the equivalent time-dependent birth and 254 death rates tend towards  $\hat{\lambda}$  and  $\hat{\mu}$  in the past; the equivalent time-dependent birth rate 255 then declines toward the present. 256

<sup>257</sup> We shown that equivalent constant-time rates are given by the following expressions <sup>258</sup> (see details appendix A5):

$$\tilde{\lambda} = (1 - \pi)\lambda_1$$
 and  $\tilde{\mu} = \mu_1$  (7)

where  $\pi = \frac{\lambda_2 + \lambda_3 + \mu_2}{2\lambda_3} \left( 1 - \sqrt{1 - 4 \frac{\lambda_3 \mu_2}{(\lambda_2 + \lambda_3 + \mu_2)^2}} \right)$  is the probability of non-completion of an incipient lineage.

Equation 7 shows that, far from the present, the equivalent death rate is exactly the rate 262 of extinction of good species, and the equivalent birth rate is directly proportional to the 263 rate of speciation initiation from a good species, with a coefficient of proportionality 264 that represents the probability of completion without time horizon and depends on the 265 rates specific to incipient lineages (initiation, completion and extinction). As in the case 266 of the time-dependent equivalent rates, these rates correspond to the case of a process 267 starting with a good lineage without incipient lineages, and the equivalent extinction 268 rate may thus overestimate actual extinction probabilities under the PBD. 269

In order to better understand the influence of each parameter of the PBD process on the equivalent constant birth rate  $\tilde{\lambda}$ , we calculate partial derivatives of this function with respect to the different parameters. A high partial derivative with respect to a given parameter reflects a strong influence of this parameter on the equivalent birth rate, and therefore that the corresponding step of the speciation process may be limiting. We compute the relative influence of a given parameter as the ratio between the absolute

partial derivative with respect to this parameter and the sum of the absolute partial derivatives with respect to all other parameters. We perform these analyses both for the simplified PBD model where good and incipient lineages have the same rates of initiation ( $\lambda_1 = \lambda_3$ ) and extinction ( $\mu_1 = \mu_2$ ) and for the full PBD model. Detailed calculations are provided in appendix A6.

# 281 2.4 Simulations under the PBD process and equivalent BD pro 282 cesses

Although the equivalent rates were designed to construct BD processes that approach 283 the PBD process, these processes are not identical. We used simulations to compare 284 reconstructed trees (i.e., trees of extant species) generated by the PBD process and their 285 equivalent BD processes. In each of these simulations, we consider the trees of extant 286 good species, disregarding extinct and incipient lineages. We compared trees simulated 287 under the constant-rate PBD model with trees simulated under the corresponding time 288 constant (BD) and time-varying BD model (varBD). We independently varied each of 289 the 5 parameters of the PBD model, each taking 5 values (the default value and 2 above, 290 2 below, with amplitude chosen to guarantee computational tractability), resulting in 291 25 parameter combinations. For each combination, we computed the trajectories of 292 equivalent time-dependent birth and death rates over 15 million years – approximated 293 by piecewise-constant birth and death rates over 200 intervals – and simulated 500 294 tree replicates using the R library TreeSim (Stadler 2011). The values of these rates 295 are given in supplementary figure S4. Each tree simulation was conditioned on the 296 survival of two extant lineages (up to the failure of 100 simulation attempts for each 297 replicate), starting from a single stem branch. We also generated the same number of 298 trees under the PBD model for each combination of parameters using the PBD package 299 (Etienne and Rosindell 2012). Finally, we simulated trees under the BD model with 300 equivalent constant rates, using the package TreeSim. We expect these simulations to 301 deviate the most from those obtained under the PBD process. We compared the outputs 302 of the simulations under the three models in terms of species richness at present (SR), 303 tree shape and tree topology. 304

To analyze tree shape, we used the  $\gamma$  statistic (Pybus and Harvey 2000), computed with the package ape (Paradis and Schliep 2019). The  $\gamma$  statistics quantifies the relative position of the internal nodes of a tree and compares it to the expectations under a pure-birth (Yule) model.  $\gamma > 0$  corresponds to trees where internal nodes are closer to the tips than expected under Yule's model, while  $\gamma < 0$  corresponds to trees where internal nodes are closer to the root.

To analyze tree topology, we used the stairs2 balance index (Norström et al. 2012), computed with the package treestats (Janzen and Etienne 2024). This statistic measures the mean size ratio between the smaller and larger pending subtree for all vertices. Stairs2 is higher for trees with more balanced subtrees and lower for more imbalanced trees. The strais2 statistic has been shown to perform well (Khurana et al. 2023) and is less sensitive to tree size than other statistics such as Aldous'  $\beta$  (Aldous 2001).

# Ability to recover equivalent constant BD rates by fitting the BD model to truncated trees

If we acknowledge that speciation in nature usually takes time, birth and death rates 319 estimates obtained by fitting a constant-rate BD model to empirical reconstructed trees 320 are hard to interpret. As noted above, under a constant rate PBD model, we expect 321 equivalent birth rates to approach the equivalent constant birth rate  $\lambda$  in the past, and 322 to decline closer to the present. Hence, we can expect that speciation rate estimates 323 obtained by fitting a BD model to the entire tree will have intermediate values below 324  $\tilde{\lambda}$ . However, fitting a BD model to to older parts of the tree should provide good 325 estimates of the equivalent constant BD rates. 326

To test this expectation, we truncated the phylogenies simulated under the PBD process 327 in section 2.4 at different time points in the past, and fitted a constant BD model to these 328 truncated phylogenies, using the dedicated function fit\_bd\_in\_past (Lewitus et al. 329 2018; Perez-Lamarque et al. 2022) from the R package RPANDA (Morlon, Lewitus, et 330 al. 2016). We fitted a constant-rate birth-death model to both entire trees and truncated 331 trees "sliced" at 17 regularly spaced time points between the present and 4 million years 332 before the present. Finally, we compared the speciation and extinction rates estimates 333 obtained with various truncation times to the analytically-derived equivalent constant 334 BD rates. 335

### **336 3 Results**

#### 337 3.1 Equivalent time-dependent BD rates

We used equations 1 and 2 and numerical solutions of the equations describing the probabilities of speciation, completion and extinction with time to derive equivalent time-dependent birth and death rates (thereafter simply referred to as birth and death rates) for a large range of parameter values.

We find that birth rates decrease close to the present, reaching 0 at present (time  $t \rightarrow T$ , see figure 3). Death rates depend less on time and can be considered almost constant with time if we neglect a small decrease followed by a short increase when  $t \rightarrow T$ .In the past ( $t \rightarrow 0$ ), birth and death rates converge to the values predicted by our analytical expression of the equivalent constant BD rates (equation 7). This provides indirect (graphical) evidence that the constant equivalent birth-death rates can be considered as asymptotic rates of the time-dependent equivalent birth-death rates.

Initiation rates have a strong effect on the birth rate (figure 3, panel A). In the past, birth 349 rates converge to values scaling with the initiation rate, all other rates being equal, as 350 expected from equation 7. As expected, lower completion rates result in lower birth 351 rates, and an effect of the protracted nature of speciation that extends further into the 352 past (panel C). In the limit  $\lambda_2 \rightarrow \infty$ , the model converges to a pure BD model with 353 constant rates, except very close to the present. Indeed, with high completion rates, 354 incipient lineages complete speciation very fast and with high probability, so specia-355 tion occurs as soon as an initiation event occurs. Finally, birth rates are lower when 356

extinction rates are higher, except closer to the present where the effect of extinction diminishes (panel E). This effect is entirely due to the extinction of incipient lineages  $(\mu_2)$ , as the extinction rate of good lineages  $(\mu_1)$  has virtually no effect on the birth rate (supplementary figure S2). The higher extinction rate of incipient lineages renders speciation less likely, as incipient species more often go extinct before completing speciation.

Death rates match closely extinction rates (figure 3, panel **F**), entirely due to the effect of the extinction rate of good lineages, as expected from equation 7; indeed, the extinction rate of incipient lineages has no effect on the death rate (supplementary figure S2).

#### **367 3.2** Equivalent constant BD rates

As shown by equation 7 and already described above, the equivalent constant death 368 rate equals the extinction rate of good species; the equivalent constant birth rate scales 369 with speciation initiation, it increases with the completion rate and decreases with the 370 extinction rate of incipient lineages (figure 3, dashed lines). We better characterized 371 the influence of each step of the speciation process (i.e., initiation, survival of incipient 372 species, and completion) on  $\hat{\lambda}$ , interpreted as the macroevolutionary speciation rate, 373 by computing relative partial derivatives. This allows us to identify the steps of the 374 PBD process that may limit macroevolutionary speciation rates figure 4. We identify 375 that speciation initiation is limiting when its rate is low and the completion rate is high 376 (regions 1 and 2). Speciation completion is limiting when its rate is low compared to 377 the other parameters (regions 3 and 5). An increase in the population extinction rate has 378 most effects when this rate is low (region 6) and when initiation rate is high compared 379 to the completion rate (regions 4 and 5). 380

# 381 3.3 Trees generated by the PBD process and equivalent BD pro 382 cesses

We compared the size, shape and topology of trees generated under the PBD model 383 384 to those of trees generated under their equivalent time-constant and time-varying BD models (figure 5). As expected, tree size (SR) increases with higher rates of specia-385 tion initiation and completion, and decreases with higher rates of extinction of good 386 and incipient lineages (figure 5, top row). These trends are well captured by both the 387 equivalent time-varying and time-constant models, with the exception of the increase 388 in species richness with the rate of initiation from incipient lineages  $\lambda_3$ . Compared to 389 the PBD model, the equivalent BD models produce larger trees when  $\lambda_3$  is small, and 390 smaller trees when  $\lambda_3$  is large.  $\lambda_3$  has little influence on the size of trees generated un-391 der equivalent BD models, consistently with the weak influence of  $\lambda_3$  on the equivalent 392 rates (see supplementary figure S3C and D). 393

As expected given unachieved speciation close to the present, the PBD process results in trees with negative  $\gamma$  values (reflecting long terminal branches), unless the completion or extinction rates are high (figure 5, middle row).  $\gamma$  decreases with increasing ratios of speciation initiation to speciation completion rates, i.e. when the protracted

nature of the speciation process is more pronounced). The equivalent variable-rate BD 398 model captures these trends relatively well, while the constant-rate BD model produces 399 trees with positive  $\gamma$  values, indicating nodes closer to the tips. The drop towards 0 in 400 the equivalent time-variable birth rates captures the shortage of speciation events close 401 to the present induced by the protracted process, except when the rate of initiation from 402 an incipient lineage  $(\lambda_3)$  gets large. In this case the PBD process produces trees with 403 increasingly long branch lengths, while the distribution of nodes generated under the 404 equivalent time-dependent BD model remains stable. 405

<sup>406</sup> Under most PBD parameters, stairs2 values of tree topology are stable, close to 0.65,
<sup>407</sup> and well reproduced by both the time-constant and the time-variable equivalent BD
<sup>408</sup> models. Higher values of stairs2 (reflecting more balanced trees) are observed in pa<sup>409</sup> rameter ranges leading to small trees, and likely reflect tree size rather than a true
<sup>410</sup> difference in tree balance.

Deviations observed between trees simulated under the PBD process and those simu-411 lated under the equivalent time-varying BD model under some  $\lambda_3$  values likely come 412 from the approximation we made when establishing the link between equivalent rates 413 and the probabilities of speciation and extinction under the PBD (see section 2.2). If, 414 as expected, the equivalent extinction rate overestimate extinction probabilities, this 415 explains why we obtain smaller trees with less negative gamma values, as extinction 416 pushes nodes towards the present (the "pull of the present", Nee et al. 1994). This is 417 especially pronounced if  $\lambda_3$  is high when the approximation is the strongest, as there 418 are many incipient lineages that are not accounted for (the ones that originated before 419 the time at which the rates are calculated). 420

#### 421 **3.4** Recovery of equivalent BD rates by fit to truncated PBD trees

Our expectation that fits of a constant rate BD model to the "old" part of trees generated 422 under a PBD process would provide good estimates of the equivalent BD rates was 423 verified (supplementary figures S7a and S7b). When fitting a constant rate BD model 424 to the entire tree (i.e., when the truncation time is zero), the estimated speciation and 425 extinction rates are well below the expected equivalent constant rates. However when 426 truncation time increases, these estimates converge to the expected equivalent rates. In 427 the case of the extinction rate, estimates remain slightly below the expected equivalent 428 rate, supporting our intuition that equivalent extinction rates should overestimate actual 429 extinction events. The convergence occurs with a truncation time relatively close to the 430 present, consistent with the observed time of decline of the equivalent time-dependent 431 BD rates. This recent truncation time appears optimal, as estimates are not as good 432 when more of the tree is truncated, probably due to a loss of statistical power with 433 decreasing data size. 434

### 435 **4 Discussion**

<sup>436</sup> In this study we derived predictions, under the protracted birth-death (PBD) model of <sup>437</sup> diversification, for "equivalent" birth and death rates, meant to represent macroevolu-

tionary speciation and extinction rates as we may estimate them from reconstructed 438 phylogenies. The protracted birth-death model accounts for the fact that speciation is 439 not an instantaneous process and decorrelates the process of speciation initiation and 440 speciation completion. The first process can be understood by a rate at which a lineage creates incipient lineages and the second process is characterized by a completion rate. 442 i.e. a rate at which these lineages will become distinct species (denoted as good species 443 in the model). We showed that the equivalent rates – in particular the birth rate – vary 444 when the process approaches the present, but can be considered constant when far from 445 the present. Our analytical predictions of the rates in the past allowed us to explore the 446 importance of each step of the speciation process (i.e. initiation, survival, comple-447 tion) in modulating the macroevolutionary speciation rate. In addition, we showed that 448 macroevolutionary speciation rates estimated on truncated reconstructed trees can pro-449 vide good estimates of the equivalent birth rate. This opens the possibility to relate es-450 timates of macroevolutionary speciation rates, which have major consequences for the 451 build up of diversity over geological time scales, to the microevolutionary processes 452 that modulate speciation initiation, population survival, and speciation completion. 453

As expected given previous results on the protracted birth-death process (Etienne and 454 Rosindell 2012), we find that equivalent birth rates decline to zero as time approaches 455 the present. Close to the present, speciation is less likely to occur because it requires 456 a delay. The decay in equivalent birth rate starts earlier when the completion rate is 457 lower. Trees simulated under the equivalent time-dependent BD model have similar 458 characteristics to trees simulated under the PBD process, in terms of tree size and 459 shape (figure 5), meaning that the equivalent BD process captures the dynamics of 460 speciation and extinction induced by the protracted model relatively well. It is however 461 important to remember that the PBD and equivalent BD processes are not entirely 462 interchangeable. The PBD process is a process with memory, which induces an age 463 dependency of speciation and extinction rates that is not captured by the equivalent 464 BD process. For example "old" species are more likely to have accumulated incipient 465 lineages, which buffer their extinction risk, but this cannot be captured by an age-466 independent BD process. More generally, the approximations made in our equivalent 467 BD process lead to an overestimation of extinction events occuring under the PBD 468 process, explaining some of the deviations observed when comparing simulated trees, 469 in particular for high values of the rate of initiation from incipient lineages ( $\lambda_3$ ). 470

Before equivalent speciation rates start to drop, they can be considered virtually con-471 stant. In this regime, we derived analytical relationships between the equivalent birth 472 and death rates and the parameters of the PBD process. These relationships show that 473 we can expect macroevolutionary extinction rates to provide a relatively good approx-474 imation of the rate at which species go extinct, except if the rate of initiation from 475 incipient lineages is large. They also show that macroevolutionary speciation rates are 476 directly proportional to speciation initiation rates, with a coefficient of proportionality 477 that depends on the rates of completion, initiation and extinction of incipient lineages. 478 Hence, all aspects of the speciation process play a role in modulating macroevolution-479 ary speciation rates, but some play a larger role than others, and which ones will likely 480 depend on the ecology, genetics and biogeography of the species group considered. 481 Indeed, by identifying regimes within which each aspect of the speciation process is 482

expected to be the most influential (figure 4), we found that the rates of speciation initiation and population extinction often are the limiting factors. Completion rates are only limiting when they are very small and at intermediate values of speciation initiation rates, or high population extinction rates. Hence, a species that accumulates fast reproductive isolation will be characterized by a higher completion rate but this will not necessarily have a strong effect on the speciation rate (figure 3C).

Our results have implications for the phylogenetic analysis of diversification. Indeed, 489 ignoring the fact that speciation takes time by fitting standard BD models to empirical 490 phylogenies necessarily leads to model misspecification (e.g. when fitting a constant 491 rate BD model) or misinterpretation (e.g. when interpreting the effect of protraction as 492 a speciation rate decline). In the recent years, there has been a surge in the use of tip-493 rate speciation estimates, i.e. estimates of speciation rates at present across the tips of a 494 phylogeny, obtained with statistics such as the diversification rate (DR; Jetz et al. 2012) 495 statistic, or models such as Bayesian analysis of macroevolutionary mixtures (BAMM; 496 Rabosky 2014), the cladogenetic diversification shift (ClaDS; Maliet, Hartig, et al. 497 2019: Maliet and Morlon 2021), or birth-death diffusion (BDD: Ouintero et al. 2024) 498 models. Future work investigating how speciation rates estimated with these methods 499 on trees generated under the PBD process compare with equivalent rates will be useful. 500 However the fact that equivalent birth rates converge to zero close to the present sug-501 gests that tip rate estimates provide a poor representation of speciation probabilities. 502 Speciation rates estimated on deeper parts of the phylogeny approximate equivalent 503 rates quite well, suggesting that these estimates indeed reflect the macroevolutionary 504 outcome of the combined processes of initiation, survival and completion. Ideally, we 505 would need to account for the protracted nature of speciation in every model used to 506 infer diversification rates from phylogenies. While progress is being made in this di-507 rection (Hua et al. 2022), it adds substantial computational complexity. A workaround 508 is to truncate phylogenies; however this requires employing inference methods that 509 account for this truncation. These have been implemented for only a limited set of 510 models, such as the constant-rate, time-dependent and environment-dependent models 511 developed in RPANDA (Morlon, Lewitus, et al. 2016). More systematically implement-512 ing this truncation option in diversification models would be useful. Our tests indicate 513 that truncating phylogenetic trees at intermediate time points yields the most accurate 514 estimates of equivalent rates. As the optimal truncation time will depend on the extent 515 to which speciation is protracted (i.e., the completion rate), we recommend to apply 516 truncation at various time points and chose the time at which the estimated rates reach 517 a plateau. 518

Our results also have implications for the ongoing effort to relate macroevolutionary 519 speciation rates to microevolutionary processes (Rolland et al. 2023; Harvey et al. 520 2019; Rabosky 2016). Indeed, microevolutionary processes act individually on each 521 step of the speciation process (initiation, survival, completion), and our expressions of 522 equivalent rates provide an idea of how these combine to modulate macroevolutionary 523 speciation rates. For example, the apparent absence of correlation between tip rate esti-524 mates of speciation and the speed at which reproductive isolation is acquired (Rabosky 525 and Matute 2013; Freeman et al. 2022) is not surprising given our expectation that 526 completion rates have a limited effect on the macroevolutionary speciation rate (and 527

the limitations of tip rate estimates mentioned before). We however expect to find a
correlation between macroevolutionary speciation rates and the rate of population formation, which reflects speciation initiation. This is indeed what was found by Harvey
et al. 2019; the lack of correlation in other studies (Singhal, Colli, et al. 2022; Singhal,
Huang, et al. 2018; Burbrink et al. 2023) may reflect cases when speciation initiation,
lated by the rate of population extinction rather than by the rate of speciation initiation,
as expected if the initiation rate is high and/or the population extinction rate is low.

By accounting for the time it takes to complete speciation, protracted birth-death models provide more biologically realistic models than standard birth-death models, and a theoretical framework for understanding how each step of the speciation processe influences macroevolutionary speciation rates. A more systematic account of the protracted nature of speciation in phylogenetic analyses of diversification would both improve our estimates of diversification rates and our understanding of how microevolutionary processes combine to modulate macroevolutionary speciation rates.

## 542 Code availability

The scripts used to make these analyses are available on https://github.com/ pierre-veron/PBD\_analog.

## 545 Aknowledgement

We thank Thibault Juillard, Amandine Véber and Amaury Lambert for mathematical advice; and Benoît Perez-Lamarque who kindly shared his code to estimate the BD

<sup>548</sup> rates on truncated phylogenies.



Figure 3. Influence of the parameters of the protracted birth-death (PBD) process on equivalent birth-death (BD) rates. Solid lines represent equivalent BD rates derived from equations 1 and 2 as a function of time for different values of PBD rates. Dotted lines represent constant equivalent BD rates derived analytically from equation 7 for the same PBD parameters. In the top/middle/bottom row the initiation/completion/extinction rates vary, with the other rates constant (default values are  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_3 = 0.3, \lambda_2 = 0.4, \mu_1 = \mu_2 = 0.1$ ). In figures S2 and S3, we calculated the same rates with the 5 parameters varying independently. t = 30 corresponds to the present, t = 0 to the past.



Figure 4. Relative influence of the parameters of the PBD model on the equivalent constant birth rate. Colors indicate which of the PBD process among initiation, completion and population extinction limits the equivalent constant birth rate  $\tilde{\lambda}$  most, as a function of (A) the initiation and completion rates and (B) the population extinction and completion rates, with the color code explained on the triangle in the right. A yellow region (e.g. 1 or 2) indicates a combination of parameters where the most influential parameter on the birth rate is the rate of initiation. A blue region (e.g. 3) indicates a combination of parameters where the most influential parameter is the rate of completion  $\lambda_2$ . A orange region (e.g. 4 or 5) indicates a combination of parameters where both population extinction and speciation initiation are influential. Green regions (e.g. 6 or 7) indicate situations where both speciation initiation and completion have a similar influence on the birth rate. In all cases, the rates of initiation and completion have a positive influence on the birth rate and the rate of population extinction has a negative influence. The detailed methods are explained in appendix A6 and the values of the relative influence are provided in supplementary figure S5. When they do not vary, default values of the parameters are 0.1.



Figure 5. Statistics of trees generated under the protracted birth-death (PBD) process and its equivalent birth-death (BD) processes. By row: species richness SR,  $\gamma$  shape statistic and stairs2 balance index for trees generated under the three models (PBD, equivalent constant rate BD and equivalent time varying rate BD) for different values of the parameters of the PBD model. In each column, only one of the PBD parameters varies, with the others held constant (default  $\lambda_1 = 0.5, \lambda_2 = 1.0, \lambda_3 = 0.4, \mu_1 = 0.2, \mu_2 = 0.2$ ). For each set of parameters of the PBD model, BD and varBD trees were generated under equivalent birth and death rates computed using equations 1, 2 and 7. The line corresponds to the median of statistics across all 200 replicates, the shaded area indicates the first and last quartile of the statistics. The size of the dots indicates the number of valid data for which the statistics could be calculated.

## 549 **References**

550 551	Aldous, David J. (2001). "Stochastic models and descriptive statistics for phylogenetic trees, from Yule to today". In: <i>Statistical Science</i> 16.1, pp. 23–34. DOI: 10.1214/
552	ss/998929474.
553	Aristide, Leandro and Hélène Morlon (2019), "Understanding the effect of competition
554	during evolutionary radiations: an integrated model of phenotypic and species diver-
555	sification". In: <i>Ecology Letters</i> 22.12, pp. 2006–2017, DOI: 10.1111/ele.13385.
556	Benton, Michael J. and Paul N. Pearson (2001). "Speciation in the fossil record".
557	In: Trends in Ecology and Evolution 16.7, pp. 405–411, DOI: 10.1016/s0169-
558	5347(01)02149-8.
559	Burbrink, Frank T., Sara Ruane, Nirhy Rabibisoa, Achille P. Raselimanana, Christo-
560	pher J. Raxworthy, and Arianna Kuhn (2023). "Speciation rates are unrelated to the
561	formation of population structure in Malagasy gemsnakes". In: Ecology and Evolu-
562	tion 13.8, e10344. DOI: 10.1002/ece3.10344.
563	Coyne, Jerry A. and H. Allen Orr (2004). Speciation. Sunderland, Massachusetts: Sin-
564	auer Associates, Inc. Publ. 545 pp. ISBN: 0878930892.
565	Dynesius, Mats and Roland Jansson (2014). "Persistence of within-species lineages:
566	A neglected control of speciation rates". In: Evolution 68.4, pp. 923–934. DOI: 10.
567	1111/evo.12316.
568	Etienne, Rampal S., Hélène Morlon, and Amaury Lambert (2014). "Estimating the
569	duration of speciation from phylogenies". In: Evolution 68.8, pp. 2430–2440. DOI:
570	10.1111/evo.12433.
571	Etienne, Rampal S. and James Rosindell (2012). "Prolonging the Past Counteracts the
572	Pull of the Present: Protracted Speciation Can Explain Observed Slowdowns in Di-
573	versification". In: Systematic Biology 61.2, pp. 204–213. DOI: 10.1093/sysbio/
574	syr091.
575	Freeman, Benjamin G., Jonathan Rolland, Graham A. Montgomery, and Dolph Schlu-
576	ter (2022). "Faster evolution of a premating reproductive barrier is not associated
577	with faster speciation rates in New World passerine birds". In: Proceedings of the
578	<i>Royal Society B</i> 289.1966, p. 20211514. DOI: 10.1098/rspb.2021.1514.
579	Harvey, Michael G., Sonal Singhal, and Daniel L. Rabosky (2019). "Beyond Reproduc-
580	tive Isolation: Demographic Controls on the Speciation Process". In: Annual Review
581	of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics 50.1, pp. 75–95. DOI: 10.1146/annurev-
582	ecolsys-110218-024701.
583	the State Dependent Speciation and Entinetion Approach". In Sustainatio Biology
584	The State-Dependent Speciation and Extinction Approach . In: Systematic Biology 71 (6), pp. 1262–1277, DOI: 10. 1002/guesbie/gueso041
585	/1 (0), pp. 1502–1577. DOI: 10.1095/SySD10/SydC041.
586	Janzen, Tinjs and Kampar S. Edenne (2024). Filylogenetic tree statistics: a systematic
587	01 24 576848
588	Letz W. G. H. Thomas, I. B. Joy, K. Hartmann, and A. O. Mooers (2012). "The global
509	diversity of hirds in space and time" In: Nature 401 7424 nn 444-448 no. 10
590	1038/nature11631
592	Khurana, Mark P., Neil Scheidwasser-Clow, Matthew I Penn, Samir Bhatt, and David
593	A. Duchêne (2023). "The Limits of the Constant-rate Birth–Death Prior for Phylo-

genetic Tree Topology Inference". In: Systematic Biology 73 (1), pp. 235–246. DOI:
10.1093/sysbio/syad075.

- Lewitus, Eric, Lucie Bittner, Shruti Malviya, Chris Bowler, and Hélène Morlon (2018).
- <sup>597</sup> "Clade-specific diversification dynamics of marine diatoms since the Jurassic". In:
- Nature Ecology & Evolution 2.11, pp. 1715–1723. DOI: 10.1038/s41559-018 0691-3.
- Maliet, Odile, Florian Hartig, and Hélène Morlon (2019). "A model with many small
   shifts for estimating species-specific diversification rates". In: *Nature Ecology & Evolution* 3.7, pp. 1086–1092. DOI: 10.1038/s41559-019-0908-0.
- Maliet, Odile and Hélène Morlon (2021). "Fast and Accurate Estimation of Species Specific Diversification Rates Using Data Augmentation". In: *Systematic Biology* 71.2, pp. 353–366. DOI: 10.1093/sysbio/syab055.
- Moen, Daniel and Hélène Morlon (2014). "Why does diversification slow down?" In:
   *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 29.4, pp. 190–197. DOI: 10.1016/j.tree.2014.
   01.010.
- Morlon, Hélène, Jérémy Andréoletti, Joëlle Barido-Sottani, Sophia Lambert, Benoît
   Perez-Lamarque, Ignacio Quintero, Viktor Senderov, and Pierre Veron (2024). "Phy logenetic Insights into Diversification". In: *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution*,
- and Systematics 55, pp. 1–21. DOI: 10.1146 / annurev ecolsys 102722 020508.
- <sup>614</sup> Morlon, Hélène, Eric Lewitus, Fabien L. Condamine, Marc Manceau, Julien Clavel,
- and Jonathan Drury (2016). "RPANDA: an R package for macroevolutionary analy-
- ses on phylogenetic trees". In: *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* 7.5, pp. 589–597.
- 617 DOI: 10.1111/2041-210x.12526.
- <sup>618</sup> Nee, Sean, Edward C. Holmes, Robert M. May, and Paul H. Harvey (1994). "Extinction rates can be estimated from molecular phylogenies". In: *Philosophical Transactions* of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences 344.1307, pp. 77–82.
- 621 DOI: 10.1098/rstb.1994.0054.
- Norström, Melissa M., Mattia C.F. Prosperi, Rebecca R. Gray, Annika C. Karlsson,
   and Marco Salemi (2012). "PhyloTempo: A Set of R Scripts for Assessing and Vi sualizing Temporal Clustering in Genealogies Inferred from Serially Sampled Viral
   Sequences". In: *Evolutionary Bioinformatics* 8, pp. 261–269. DOI: 10.4137/ebo.
   \$9738.
- <sup>626</sup> s9738.
  <sup>627</sup> Paradis, Emmanuel and Klaus Schliep (2019). "ape 5.0: an environment for modern phylogenetics and evolutionary analyses in R". In: *Bioinformatics* 35.3, pp. 526–
- 629 528. DOI: 10.1093/bioinformatics/bty633.
- Perez-Lamarque, Benoît, Maarja Öpik, Odile Maliet, Ana C. Afonso Silva, Marc André Selosse, Florent Martos, and Hélène Morlon (2022). "Analysing diversifica tion dynamics using barcoding data: The case of an obligate mycorrhizal symbiont".
- In: *Molecular Ecology* 31.12, pp. 3496–3512. DOI: 10.1111/mec.16478.
- Pybus, Oliver G. and Paul H. Harvey (2000). "Testing macro-evolutionary models
  using incomplete molecular phylogenies". In: *Proceedings of the Royal Society B*267.1459, pp. 2267–2272. DOI: 10.1098/rspb.2000.1278.
- <sup>637</sup> Quintero, Ignacio, Nicolas Lartillot, and Hélène Morlon (2024). "Imbalanced specia-
- tion pulses sustain the radiation of mammals". In: *Science* 384.6699, pp. 1007–1012.
- 639 DOI: 10.1126/science.adj2793.

- Rabosky, Daniel L. (2014). "Automatic Detection of Key Innovations, Rate Shifts, and
   Diversity-Dependence on Phylogenetic Trees". In: *PLOS ONE* 9.2, e89543. DOI:
- 642 10.1371/journal.pone.0089543.
- (2016). "Reproductive isolation and the causes of speciation rate variation in nature".
- In: Biological Journal of the Linnean Society 118.1, pp. 13–25. DOI: 10.1111/bij.
   12703.

Rabosky, Daniel L. and Daniel R. Matute (2013). "Macroevolutionary speciation rates
are decoupled from the evolution of intrinsic reproductive isolation in Drosophila
and birds". In: *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 110.38, pp. 15354–

- <sup>649</sup> 15359. DOI: 10.1073/pnas.1305529110.
- Rolland, Jonathan et al. (2023). "Conceptual and empirical bridges between micro and macroevolution". In: *Nature Ecology & Evolution* 7 (8), pp. 1181–1193. DOI:
- <sup>652</sup> 10.1038/s41559-023-02116-7.
- Silvestro, Daniele, Jan Schnitzler, Lee Hsiang Liow, Alexandre Antonelli, and Nicolas
   Salamin (2014). "Bayesian Estimation of Speciation and Extinction from Incomplete
   Fossil Occurrence Data". In: *Systematic Biology* 63.3, pp. 349–367. DOI: 10.1093/
   sysbio/syu006.
- Singhal, Sonal, Guarino R. Colli, Maggie R. Grundler, Gabriel C. Costa, Ivan Prates,
   and Daniel L. Rabosky (2022). "No link between population isolation and speciation
   rate in squamate reptiles". In: *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 119.4, e2113388119. DOI: 10.1073/pnas.2113388119.
- <sup>661</sup> Singhal, Sonal, Huateng Huang, Maggie R. Grundler, María R. Marchán-Rivadeneira,
- <sup>662</sup> Iris Holmes, Pascal O. Title, Stephen C. Donnellan, and Daniel L. Rabosky (2018).
- <sup>663</sup> "Does Population Structure Predict the Rate of Speciation? A Comparative Test
- across Australia's Most Diverse Vertebrate Radiation". In: *The American Naturalist*192.4, pp. 432–447. DOI: 10.1086/699515.
- Stadler, Tanja (2011). "Simulating Trees with a Fixed Number of Extant Species". In:
   *Systematic Biology* 60.5, pp. 676–684. DOI: 10.1093/sysbio/syr029.
- (2013). "Recovering speciation and extinction dynamics based on phylogenies". In:
   Journal of Evolutionary Biology 26.6, pp. 1203–1219. DOI: 10.1111/jeb.12139.
- <sup>670</sup> Virtanen, Pauli et al. (2020). "SciPy 1.0: Fundamental Algorithms for Scientific Com-
- <sup>671</sup> puting in Python". In: *Nature Methods* 17, pp. 261–272. DOI: 10.1038/s41592– <sup>672</sup> 019–0686–2.

## 673 Appendices

#### 674 A1 Resolution of the probability of extinction of an incipient lineage

In equation 3, let us do the variable change v = t - u to get rid of the variable *t* in the integral:

677 
$$p_E^I(t) = \frac{\mu_2}{\Lambda} (1 - e^{-\Lambda t}) + \int_0^t \lambda_3 e^{-\Lambda(t-\nu)} (p_E^I(\nu))^2 \, \mathrm{d}\nu$$

$$=\frac{\mu_2}{\Lambda}(1-e^{-\Lambda t})+\lambda_3e^{-\Lambda t}\int_0^t e^{\Lambda v}(p_E^I(v))^2\,\mathrm{d}v$$

678 679

$$=\frac{\mu_2}{\Lambda}(1-e^{-\Lambda t})+\lambda_3 e^{-\Lambda t}f(t)$$

680 with *f* defined with, for all  $t \ge 0$ :

$$f(t) := \int_0^t e^{\Lambda v} (p_E^I(v))^2 \,\mathrm{d}v.$$

682 We note that

$$f'(t) = e^{\Lambda t} (p_E^I(t))^2$$

$$= e^{\Lambda t} \left(\frac{\mu_2}{\Lambda} (1 - e^{-\Lambda t}) + \lambda_3 e^{-\Lambda t} f(t)\right)^2.$$

This is a non-linear ordinary differential equation (ODE) of first order, with the initial condition f(0) = 0. With the help of Wolfram Mathematica we have the solution:

$$f(t) = e^{\Lambda t} \left[ \frac{1}{\lambda_3^2 \left( c e^{kt} + \frac{1}{k} \right)} - \frac{\Lambda (k - \Lambda) + 2\mu_2 \lambda_3}{2\lambda_3^2 \Lambda} \right] + \frac{\mu_2}{\lambda_3 \Lambda}$$

with  $k = \sqrt{\Lambda^2 - 4\lambda_3\mu_2}$  and  $c = \frac{2}{k-\Lambda} - \frac{1}{\Lambda}$  a constant to satisfy the initial condition.

689 The probability of extinction  $p_E^I(t)$  can be retrieved:

$$p_E^I(t) = \sqrt{e^{-\Lambda t} f'(t)}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\lambda_3} \sqrt{\frac{c(\Lambda - k)e^{kt} + \Lambda/k}{(ce^{kt} + 1/k)^2} - \frac{\Lambda(k - \Lambda) + 2\mu_2\lambda_3}{2}}.$$

## A2 Resolution of the probability of completion of an incipient lineage

To get rid of the variable t in the integral of equation 4, we do the change of variable v = t - u. This gives us:

$$1 - p_{C}^{I}(t) = \frac{\mu_{2}}{\Lambda} (1 - e^{-\Lambda t}) + e^{-\Lambda t} + \int_{0}^{t} \lambda_{3} e^{-\Lambda(t-\nu)} \left(1 - p_{C}^{I}(\nu)\right)^{2} d\nu$$

$$= \frac{\mu_{2}}{\Lambda} (1 - e^{-\Lambda t}) + e^{-\Lambda t} + e^{-\Lambda t} \int_{0}^{t} \lambda_{3} e^{\Lambda \nu} \left(1 - p_{C}^{I}(\nu)\right)^{2} d\nu$$

we now do a logarithmic change of variable in the integral,  $z = e^{\Lambda v}$ : 698

699

700

$$= \frac{\mu_2}{\Lambda} (1 - e^{-\Lambda t}) + e^{-\Lambda t} + e^{-\Lambda t} \int_1^{e^{\Lambda t}} \lambda_3 z \left( 1 - p_C^I \left( \frac{\log z}{\Lambda} \right) \right)^2 \frac{1}{\Lambda z} dz$$
$$= \frac{\mu_2}{\Lambda} (1 - e^{-\Lambda t}) + e^{-\Lambda t} \left( 1 + \frac{\lambda_3}{\Lambda} g \left( e^{\Lambda t} \right) \right)$$

Λt

with, for  $x \ge 1$ : 701

$$g(x) := \int_{1}^{x} \left(1 - p_{C}^{I}\left(\frac{\log z}{\Lambda}\right)\right)^{2} \mathrm{d}z$$

Noting that  $g'(x) = [1 - p_C^I(\log x/\Lambda)]^2$ , we have: 703

$$g'(x) = \left[\frac{\mu_2}{\Lambda}\left(1 - \frac{1}{x}\right) + \frac{1}{x}\left(1 + \frac{\lambda_3}{\Lambda}g(x)\right)\right]^2.$$

This is a non-linear ODE. With the initial condition g(1) = 0, it can be solved numeri-705 cally and we retrieve the probability of completion of an incipient lineage with 706

$$p_C^I(t) = 1 - \sqrt{g'\left(e^{\Lambda t}\right)}$$

#### A3 Resolution of the probability of extinction of a good lineage 708

From equation 5 we do the same change of variable as in the previous part v = t - u: 709

710 
$$p_E^G(t) = \frac{\mu_1}{\Theta} (1 - e^{-\Theta t}) + \lambda_1 e^{-\Theta t} \int_0^t e^{\Theta v} p_E^I(v) p_E^G(v) dv$$

then with  $z = e^{\Theta v}$  we have: 711

$$= \frac{\mu_{1}}{\Theta} (1 - e^{-\Theta t}) + \lambda_{1} e^{-\Theta t} \int_{1}^{e^{\Theta t}} z p_{E}^{I} \left(\frac{\log z}{\Theta}\right) p_{E}^{G} \left(\frac{\log z}{\Theta}\right) \frac{1}{\Theta z} dz$$

$$= \frac{\mu_{1}}{\Theta} (1 - e^{-\Theta t}) + \frac{\lambda_{1}}{\Theta} e^{-\Theta t} \int_{1}^{e^{\Theta t}} p_{E}^{I} \left(\frac{\log z}{\Theta}\right) p_{E}^{G} \left(\frac{\log z}{\Theta}\right) dz$$

$$= \frac{\mu_{1}}{\Theta} (1 - e^{-\Theta t}) + \frac{\lambda_{1}}{\Theta} e^{-\Theta t} \int_{1} p_{E}^{t} \left(\frac{NS}{\Theta}\right) p$$

$$= \frac{\mu_{1}}{\Theta} (1 - e^{-\Theta t}) + \frac{\lambda_{1}}{\Theta} e^{-\Theta t} h(e^{\Theta t})$$

714

715 716

$$= \frac{1}{\Theta} (1 - \frac{1}{\Theta})$$
  
with, for  $x > 1$ 

$$h(x) = \int_{1}^{x} p_{E}^{I}\left(\frac{\log z}{\Theta}\right) p_{E}^{G}\left(\frac{\log z}{\Theta}\right) dz$$

We note that 717

718 
$$h'(x) = p_E^I \left(\frac{\log x}{\Theta}\right) p_E^G \left(\frac{\log x}{\Theta}\right)$$
719 
$$= p_E^I \left(\frac{\log x}{\Theta}\right) \times \left[\frac{\mu_1}{\Theta} \left(1 - \frac{1}{x}\right) + \frac{\lambda_1}{\Theta} \frac{1}{x} h(x)\right].$$
(8)

With the condition h(1) = 0, equation 8 gives the ODE satisfied by h. If we solve this

equation numerically we can retrieve  $p_E^G(t)$ :

$$p_E^G(t) = \frac{h'(e^{\Theta t})}{p_E^I(t)}$$

#### 723 A4 Resolution of the probability of speciation of a good lineage

From equation 6, we do the same change of variable v = t - u, then  $z = e^{\Theta v}$ :

$$p_{S}^{G}(t) = \lambda_{1} e^{-\Theta t} \int_{0}^{t} e^{\Theta v} \left[ p_{C}^{I}(v) + (1 - p_{C}^{I}(v)) p_{S}^{G}(v) \right] dv$$

$$= \lambda_{1} e^{-\Theta t} \int_{0}^{e^{\Theta t}} z \left[ p_{C}^{I} \left( \frac{\log z}{2} \right) + \left( 1 - p_{C}^{I} \left( \frac{\log z}{2} \right) \right) p_{S}^{G} \left( \frac{\log z}{2} \right) \right] dv$$

$$= \lambda_{1} e^{-\Theta t} \int_{1}^{e^{\Theta t}} z \left[ p_{C}^{I} \left( \frac{\log z}{\Theta} \right) + \left( 1 - p_{C}^{I} \left( \frac{\log z}{\Theta} \right) \right) p_{S}^{G} \left( \frac{\log z}{\Theta} \right) \right] \frac{1}{\Theta z} dz$$

$$= \frac{\lambda_{1}}{\Theta} e^{-\Theta t} \int_{1}^{e^{\Theta t}} \left[ p_{C}^{I} \left( \frac{\log z}{\Theta} \right) + \left( 1 - p_{C}^{I} \left( \frac{\log z}{\Theta} \right) \right) p_{S}^{G} \left( \frac{\log z}{\Theta} \right) \right] dz$$

$$= \frac{\lambda_1}{\Theta} e^{-\Theta t} m(e^{\Theta t})$$

<sup>729</sup> with, for  $x \ge 0$ 

722

$$m(x) := \int_{1}^{x} \left[ p_{C}^{I} \left( \frac{\log z}{\Theta} \right) + \left( 1 - p_{C}^{I} \left( \frac{\log z}{\Theta} \right) \right) p_{S}^{G} \left( \frac{\log z}{\Theta} \right) \right] \mathrm{d}z.$$

731 We note that

732

733

$$m'(x) = p_C^I\left(\frac{\log x}{\Theta}\right) + \left(1 - p_C^I\left(\frac{\log x}{\Theta}\right)\right) p_S^G\left(\frac{\log x}{\Theta}\right)$$
(9)

$$= p_C^I \left(\frac{\log x}{\Theta}\right) + \left(1 - p_C^I \left(\frac{\log x}{\Theta}\right)\right) \frac{\lambda_1}{\Theta} \frac{1}{x} m(x).$$
(10)

With the initial condition m(1) = 0, the equation 10 gives the ODE satisfied by m. If we solve this equation numerically we can retrieve  $p_S^G(t)$  with the derivative of the solution m, from equation 9:

737 
$$p_S^G(t) = \frac{m'(e^{\Theta t}) - p_C^I(t)}{1 - p_C^I(t)}.$$

<sup>738</sup> We show an example of the obtained numerical solutions of  $p_E^I, p_C^I, p_E^G$  and  $p_S^G$  and <sup>739</sup> empirical probabilities from simulations in supplementary figure S1.

#### A5 Calculation of the time-constant BD rates under the PBD model

#### 741 Speciation probability and expected time for speciation under the BD model

<sup>742</sup> Under the BD model with parameters  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$ , the probability of speciation of a given <sup>743</sup> lineage is the probability that a birth event occurs before a death event, *i.e.* 

$$\mathbb{P}(\text{speciation}) = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda + \mu}.$$
(11)

<sup>745</sup> Conditionally on speciation, the expected time T it takes for a given lineage to speciate <sup>746</sup> is

$$\mathbb{E}[T|\text{speciation}] = \frac{1}{\lambda + \mu}$$
(12)

<sup>748</sup> since *T* has the same distribution as  $\min(X, Y)$  with  $X \hookrightarrow \mathscr{E}(\lambda)$  and  $Y \hookrightarrow \mathscr{E}(\mu)$  two in-<sup>749</sup> dependent clock variables representing speciation and extinction events. The minimum <sup>750</sup> of two exponential independent processes is distributed exponentially with a parameter <sup>751</sup> equal to the sum of the rates.

#### 752 Speciation probability and expected time for speciation under the PBD model

<sup>753</sup> Under the PBD model, a good lineage speciates if it generates at least one incipient
 <sup>754</sup> lineage and one of these incipient lineages or one of the descendants of these lineages
 <sup>755</sup> completes speciation.

<sup>756</sup> Considering one incipient lineage *L* that has still no descendent, there are two out-<sup>757</sup> comes: (*i*) the lineage or one of its descendent at least complete speciation (we will <sup>758</sup> denote this event as "complete"), or (*ii*) nor the lineage or any of its descendent com-<sup>759</sup> plete speciation before dying (we will denote this event as "does not complete").

Let us denote  $\pi := \mathbb{P}(L$  does not not complete) and *N* the number of direct descendants of the lineage before it dies or completes speciation, and *L* and  $L_1, ..., L_N$  those lineages. All the possible events that can happen to *L* (completion at rate  $\lambda_2$ , new incipient lineage at rate  $\lambda_3$  or extinction at rate  $\mu_2$ ) are independent point process. The first one to happen is therefore a point process with rate  $\lambda_2 + \lambda_3 + \mu_2$  and the probability that it is the formation of an incipient lineage is  $\lambda_3/(\lambda_2 + \lambda_3 + \mu_2)$ . We can thus decompose:

$$\pi = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \mathbb{P}(L \text{ has } n \text{ children}) \mathbb{P}(L \text{ dies}) \prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{P}(L_i \text{ does not complete})$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \left(\frac{\lambda_3}{\lambda_2 + \lambda_3 + \mu_2}\right)^n \frac{\mu_2}{\lambda_2 + \lambda_3 + \mu_2} \pi^n.$$

768 Therefore

76

744

$$\pi = \frac{\mu_2}{\lambda_2 + \lambda_3 + \mu_2} \times \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\pi \lambda_3}{\lambda_2 + \lambda_3 + \mu_2}} \Leftrightarrow \pi^2 - \pi \frac{\lambda_2 + \lambda_3 + \mu_2}{\lambda_3} + \frac{\mu_2}{\lambda_3} = 0$$

The solution of this equation in [0, 1] is

$$\pi = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\lambda_2 + \lambda_3 + \mu_2}{\lambda_3} \left( 1 - \sqrt{1 - 4 \frac{\lambda_3 \mu_2}{(\lambda_2 + \lambda_3 + \mu_2)^2}} \right). \tag{13}$$

<sup>772</sup> Let us now consider a good lineage. Ignoring the proportion  $\pi$  of incipient daughter <sup>773</sup> lineages that will not complete, we can consider the filtered point process with rates of <sup>774</sup> successful initiation  $(1 - \pi)\lambda_1$  and extinction  $\mu_1$ . Therefore the probability of speci-<sup>775</sup> ation is the probability that a successful initiation occurs before the extinction, given <sup>776</sup> by:

$$\mathbb{P}(\text{speciation}) = \frac{(1-\pi)\lambda_1}{\mu_1 + (1-\pi)\lambda_1}.$$
(14)

The speciation time is the branching time of the first successful incipient lineage to
 speciate. Therefore the speciation time is distributed as the result of the filtered point
 process and has the expected value:

$$\mathbb{E}[T|\text{speciation}] = \frac{1}{(1-\pi)\lambda_1 + \mu_1}.$$
(15)

#### 782 Expression of the equivalent rates of speciation and extinction

The equivalent rates of BD are the rates such that  $\mathbb{P}(\text{speciation})$  and  $\mathbb{E}[T|\text{speciation}]$ are equal in both models. To do so, we set  $\tilde{\lambda}$  and  $\tilde{\mu}$  such that the pairs of expressions in equation 11/14 and 12/15 are equal. This gives us:

786
$$\tilde{\lambda} = \frac{\mathbb{P}(\text{speciation})}{\mathbb{E}[T|\text{speciation}]}$$
787
$$= (1 - \pi)\lambda_1 \tag{16}$$

788 and

771

7

781

#### 791 A6 Analysis of parameters limiting the equivalent birth rate

We measure the influence of variation in each of the parameters of the PBD model on the equivalent birth rate  $\tilde{\lambda}$ . Parameters that influence  $\tilde{\lambda}$  the most can be considered potentially limiting, as they can drive  $\tilde{\lambda}$  down depending on their values. We calculated the partial derivatives of  $\hat{\lambda}$ , given by the expression equation 16, with respect to the PBD parameters  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3$  and  $\mu_2$ .  $\mu_1$ , denoting the rate of extinction of a good lineage, has no influence on the equivalent birth rate.

<sup>798</sup>
$$\frac{\partial \hat{\lambda}}{\partial \lambda_{1}} = (1 - \pi), \quad \frac{\partial \hat{\lambda}}{\partial \lambda_{2}} = -\lambda_{1} \frac{\partial \pi}{\partial \lambda_{2}}, \quad \frac{\partial \hat{\lambda}}{\partial \lambda_{3}} = -\lambda_{1} \frac{\partial \pi}{\partial \lambda_{3}},$$
<sup>799</sup>and  $\frac{\partial \hat{\lambda}}{\partial \mu_{2}} = -\lambda_{1} \frac{\partial \pi}{\partial \mu_{2}}.$ 

In what follow, we explicit the expressions (*i*, *ii* and *iii*) of the three partial derivatives of  $\pi$  with respect to the three parameters of the incipient lineages. From equation 13 we remind  $\pi = \Lambda \left(1 - \sqrt{1 - 4\lambda_3 \mu_2 / \Lambda^2}\right) / 2\lambda_3$  with  $\Lambda = \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 + \mu_2$ .

$$(i) \quad \frac{\partial \pi}{\partial \lambda_2} = \frac{1}{2\lambda_3} \left( 1 - \sqrt{1 - 4\frac{\lambda_3 \mu_2}{\Lambda^2}} \right) + \frac{\Lambda}{2\lambda_3} \left( \frac{-\frac{8\lambda_3 \mu_2}{\Lambda^3}}{2\sqrt{1 - 4\frac{\lambda_3 \mu_2}{\Lambda^2}}} \right)$$

804

8

813

$$\frac{\pi}{\Lambda} - \frac{2\mu_2}{\Lambda\sqrt{\Lambda^2 - 4\lambda_3\mu_2}},$$

$$^{805} \qquad (ii) \quad \frac{\partial \pi}{\partial \lambda_3} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\lambda_3 - \Lambda}{\lambda_3^2} \left( 1 - \sqrt{1 - 4\frac{\lambda_3 \mu_2}{\Lambda^2}} \right) + \frac{\Lambda}{2\lambda_3} \times \left( -\frac{\partial u}{\partial \lambda_3} \frac{1}{2\sqrt{1 - 4\frac{\lambda_3 \mu_2}{\Lambda^2}}} \right)$$

with *u* defined as  $1 - 4\frac{\lambda_3\mu_2}{\Lambda^2}$ , so  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial\lambda_3} = 4\mu_2\frac{\lambda_3 - \lambda_2 - \mu_2}{\Lambda^3}$ , hence

$$\frac{\partial \pi}{\partial \lambda_3} = -\frac{\lambda_2 + \mu_2}{2\lambda_3^2} \left( 1 - \sqrt{1 - 4\frac{\lambda_3\mu_2}{\Lambda^2}} \right) + \frac{\Lambda}{4\lambda_3} \frac{4\mu_2\Lambda}{\Lambda^3\sqrt{1 - 4\frac{\lambda_3\mu_2}{\Lambda^2}}}$$

$$= -\frac{\lambda_2 + \mu_2}{\Lambda \lambda_3} \pi + \frac{\mu_2}{\lambda_3 \Lambda^2} \frac{\lambda_2 + \mu_2 - \lambda_3}{\sqrt{1 - 4\frac{\lambda_3 \mu_2}{\Lambda^2}}}, \quad \text{and}$$

$$(iii) \quad \frac{\partial \pi}{\partial \mu_2} = \frac{1}{2\lambda_3} \left( 1 - \sqrt{1 - 4\frac{\lambda_3 \mu_2}{\Lambda^2}} \right) - \frac{\Lambda}{2\lambda_3} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \mu_2} \frac{1}{2\sqrt{1 - 4\frac{\lambda_3 \mu_2}{\Lambda^2}}}$$

with 
$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \mu_2} = -4\lambda_3 \frac{\lambda_2 + \lambda_3 - \mu_2}{\Lambda^3}$$
, so  
 $\frac{\partial \pi}{\partial \mu_2} = \frac{\pi}{\Lambda} + \frac{\lambda_2 + \lambda_3 - \mu_2}{\Lambda^2 \sqrt{1 - 4\frac{\lambda_3 + \mu_2}{\Lambda^2}}}$ .

=

We then use the simplified framework of the PBD model where all rates of initiation are equal ( $b := \lambda_1 = \lambda_3$ ) and all rates of population extinction are equal ( $e := \mu_1 = \mu_2$ ). By the chain rule:

$$\frac{\partial \hat{\lambda}}{\partial b} = \frac{\partial \hat{\lambda}}{\partial \lambda_1} + \frac{\partial \hat{\lambda}}{\partial \lambda_3}$$
 and  $\frac{\partial \hat{\lambda}}{\partial e} = \frac{\partial \hat{\lambda}}{\partial \mu_1} + \frac{\partial \hat{\lambda}}{\partial \mu_2}$ .

<sup>814</sup> We then evaluate the relative influence of a parameter as the ratio between the absolute <sup>815</sup> partial derivatives. For instance the relative influence of the rate of initiation b is:

relative influence(b) = 
$$\frac{\left|\frac{\partial \hat{\lambda}}{\partial b}\right|}{\left|\frac{\partial \hat{\lambda}}{\partial b}\right| + \left|\frac{\partial \hat{\lambda}}{\partial \lambda_2}\right| + \left|\frac{\partial \hat{\lambda}}{\partial e}\right|}.$$

<sup>817</sup> We provided a detailed plot of the values of this relative influence of the 3 simplified <sup>818</sup> parameters in supplementary figure S5. The summary of these relative influences is <sup>819</sup> provided on figure 4. A similar analysis with the detailed model (with  $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_3$  and <sup>820</sup>  $\mu_1 \neq \mu_2$  a priori) was also done (supplementary figure S6).

816