

Asai-Flach classes and p-adic L-functions

Giada Grossi, Sarah Zerbes, David Loeffler

▶ To cite this version:

Giada Grossi, Sarah Zerbes, David Loeffler. Asai-Flach classes and p-adic L-functions. 2024. hal-04727884

HAL Id: hal-04727884 https://hal.science/hal-04727884v1

Preprint submitted on 9 Oct 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

ASAI-FLACH CLASSES AND p-ADIC L-FUNCTIONS

GIADA GROSSI, DAVID LOEFFLER, AND SARAH LIVIA ZERBES

ABSTRACT. We prove a formula for the Bloch–Kato logarithm of the bottom class in the Asai–Flach Euler system associated to a quadratic Hilbert modular form. We show that this can be expressed as a value, outside the interpolation range, of the *p*-adic Asai *L*-function constructed in the prequel paper [GLZ23].

Contents

1.	Introduction									1
2.	Conventions									2
3.	The Asai motive and its realisations									2
4.	Definition of the p -adic regulator .									6
5.	Lifting ν to the \mathfrak{p}_1 -ordinary locus .									7
6.	Restricting to the fully-ordinary locus									10
7.	Expression via coherent cohomology						•			13
Ref	erences									18

1. INTRODUCTION

The theory of Euler systems – norm-compatible families of cohomology classes for global Galois representations – has played an important role in many discoveries in number theory. A key aspect of this theory is *explicit reciprocity laws*, which relate Euler systems to the special values of L-functions; this can be used as a criterion for the non-vanishing of Euler systems, a crucial input in applications to the Bloch–Kato conjecture and related problems.

One strategy for proving such explicit reciprocity laws, introduced in the seminal paper [BDP13] in the context of Heegner points, proceeds as follows. Supposing we already have an Euler system for some Galois representation V, we aim to carry out the following steps:

- (1) Construct a *p*-adic *L*-function, for some *p*-adic family of Galois representations specialising to V, whose values in a suitable interpolation range are critical values of the corresponding complex *L*-functions.
- (2) Relate values of this *p*-adic *L*-function at suitable points *outside* the range of interpolation to Bloch-Kato logarithms of Euler system classes.
- (3) Via analytic continuation, deduce a relation between Euler systems and p-adic L-values at points *inside* the interpolation range, where the p-adic L-function specialises to the complex L-value.

In the present paper we focus on the *Euler system of Asai–Flach classes*, introduced in [LLZ18], which is an Euler system for the Asai, or twisted tensor, Galois representation associated to a Hilbert modular form for a real quadratic field. In this setting, step (1) of the above strategy was carried out in the prequel paper [GLZ23]. The goal of the present paper is to carry out step (2): the main result (Theorem 7.7.6) expresses the logarithms of Euler system classes as non-critical values of the *p*-adic *L*-function constructed in *op.cit*.. The remaining step (3), with applications to the Bloch–Kato conjecture and the Iwasawa main conjecture for Asai *L*-functions, will be carried out in a third paper in the series, currently in preparation.

Date: September 15, 2023.

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 11F41, 11F33, 11G18, 14G35.

Key words and phrases. Hilbert modular varieties, p-adic modular forms, higher Hida theory, coherent cohomology of Shimura varieties.

Supported by European Research Council Consolidator Grant No. 101001051 (SHIMBSD) (Loeffler) and US National Science Foundation Grant No. DMS-1928930 (all authors).

This strategy was successfully carried out for the Euler system of Beilinson–Flach elements (attached to a Rankin–Selberg convolution of modular forms) in [BDR15, KLZ17]; and more recently in the GSp₄ setting in [LPSZ21, LZ20]. The Asai representation can be seen as a "twisted version" of the Rankin– Selberg convolution, and the construction of the Euler system classes is recognisably a direct generalisation of the Beilinson–Flach case; but the techniques used to construct *p*-adic *L*-functions and prove the reciprocity law for Rankin–Selberg convolutions do not straightforwardly generalise, since they rely on the decomposition of the Shimura variety for $GL_2 \times GL_2$ as a product of two factors, and new techniques are required. Hence the present paper follows a strategy rather closer to the GSp₄ case, relying on the use of *higher Hida theory*, which has been developed for Hilbert modular varieties at split primes by the first author [Gro21]; and its "overconvergent" version, *higher Coleman theory*. The higher Coleman theory we use is based on the general results of [BP20], with some slight modifications to allow *p*-adic interpolation at only one of the primes above *p*, for which we refer to [LZ22].

(We remark that a related problem is also considered in the paper [LSZ20], where Skinner and two of the present authors computed a pairing which also involves the Bloch–Kato logarithm of the Asai– Flach class, but projected into a different piece of the de Rham cohomology which can be computed using only conventional, rather than higher, Coleman theory. The earlier paper gives an explicitly computable formula in terms of p-adic Hilbert modular forms, but one which does not seem to have any straightforward relationship to p-adic L-values, in contrast to the formula of Theorem 7.7.6.)

2. Conventions

Throughout this paper F denotes a real quadratic field, and we fix an enumeration of the embeddings $F \hookrightarrow \mathbf{R}$ as σ_1, σ_2 .

2.1. Algebraic groups. As in [LLZ18], define $G = \operatorname{Res}_{F/\mathbf{Q}}(\operatorname{GL}_2)$, and $H = \operatorname{GL}_2$, with $\iota : H \hookrightarrow G$ the natural embedding. We write B_H and B_G for the upper-triangular Borel subgroups.

Recall that if V is an algebraic representation of B_H , then V gives rise to a vector bundle on the Shimura variety Y_H (for any sufficiently small level group), endowed with an action of Hecke correspondences. There are two possible normalisations for this functor, and we normalise so that the defining 2-dimensional representation of H (restricted to B_H) maps to the relative de Rham *cohomology* sheaf of the universal elliptic curve $\mathcal{E} \to Y_H$ (rather than the relative homology, which is the other convention in use). There is an analogous construction for G as long as we restrict to representations trivial on the norm-one subgroup $\{\binom{x}{x}: N_{F/\mathbf{Q}}(x) = 1\}$ of Z_G , cf. [LLZ18, §3.2c].

2.2. Algebraic representations and the Clebsch–Gordan map. For the group G, we shall always work with representations over fields containing F. Given two representations V, V' of GL_2 , we write $V \boxtimes V'$ for the tensor product of V, V', endowed with a G-action as the tensor product of the actions via σ_1 on V and via σ_2 on V'.

Given integers $k_1, k_2 \ge 0$, and $0 \le j \le \min\{k_1, k_2\}$, let

$$V_G \coloneqq \operatorname{Sym}^{k_1} W_G \boxtimes \operatorname{Sym}^{k_2} W_G$$
 and $V_H = \operatorname{Sym}^t W_H$, $t = k_1 + k_2 - 2j$,

where W_H and W_G denote the defining 2-dimensional representations of $\operatorname{GL}_2/\mathbf{Q}$ and GL_2/F respectively.

The representation V_H has a canonical basis $(v^a w^{t-a})_{0 \leq a \leq t}$, where v, w are the two standard basis vectors of W_H ; and is equipped with a decreasing B_H -stable weight filtration $\operatorname{Fil}^n V_H = \langle \{v^a w^{t-a} : a \geq n\} \rangle$. Similarly, V_G is equipped with a bi-filtration (a decreasing filtration indexed by \mathbb{Z}^2) arising from the weight vector filtrations on each factor.

There is a non-zero morphism of *H*-representations (the *Clebsch–Gordan map*), unique up to scalars,

$$\mathrm{CG}^{[k_1,k_2,j]}: V_H \to V_G \otimes \det^{-j}.$$

See (7.1) below for explicit formulae. If we equip the one-dimensional representation det^{-j} with a filtration concentrated in degree -j, then this map respects the filtrations, and hence induces a map on the graded pieces.

3. The Asai motive and its realisations

3.1. Automorphic representations of G.

Definition 3.1.1. Let Π be the **unitary** cuspidal automorphic representation of $G(\mathbf{A})$ generated by a holomorphic Hilbert modular newform of weight $(k_1 + 2, k_2 + 2)$, for some integers $k_1, k_2 \ge 0$, and some level $\mathcal{N}_{\Pi} \triangleleft \mathcal{O}_F$.

We shall suppose throughout this work that the following conditions hold:

- Π is not of CM type,
- the central character of Π is trivial (and hence k_i are both even).

Both of these could almost certainly be relaxed with a little extra work, but we shall not pursue this here. The representation Π (and hence the integers (k_1, k_2)) will remain fixed throughout the remainder of this paper.

We define $a_{\mathfrak{n}}^{\circ}(\Pi)$, for each ideal $\mathfrak{n} \leq \mathcal{O}_{F}$, to be the Hecke eigenvalues of the new vector of Π , normalised in the analytic fashion, so that $|a_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\circ}(\Pi)| \leq 2$ for primes $\mathfrak{p} \nmid \mathcal{N}_{\Pi}$. For each prime $\mathfrak{p} \nmid \mathcal{N}_{\Pi}$ we let $\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\circ}$ and $\beta_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\circ}$ be the Satake parameters of $\Pi_{\mathfrak{p}}$, normalised so that $|\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\circ}| = |\beta_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\circ}| = 1$ and $\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\circ} + \beta_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\circ} = a_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\circ}(\Pi)$. By a rationality theorem due to Shimura [Shi86, Proposition 1.2], there exists a number field $L \subset \mathbf{C}$

By a rationality theorem due to Shimura [Shi86, Proposition 1.2], there exists a number field $L \subset \mathbf{C}$ (depending on Π) such that the quantities $N(\mathfrak{n})^{1/2}a_{\mathfrak{n}}^{\circ}$ lie in L, for all $\mathfrak{n} \triangleleft \mathcal{O}_F$. Extending L if necessary, we may assume that L also contains the images of the embeddings $\sigma_i : F \hookrightarrow \mathbf{R}$ (this is automatic if $k_1 \neq k_2$).

Definition 3.1.2. For $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, we define $a_n(\Pi)$ by

$$a_n(\Pi) = n^{(k_1 + k_2 + 2)/2} a_n^{\circ}(\Pi).$$

One checks that $a_n(\Pi) \in \mathcal{O}_L$ for all n. (However, unless we make the stronger assumption that $k_1 = k_2 \mod 4$, we cannot extend this normalisation of the Hecke eigenvalues to include ideals \mathfrak{n} which do not come from \mathbf{Q} , without introducing infinitely many square roots to L.)

3.2. The Asai *L*-function. For each rational prime ℓ , let us set $\Pi_{\ell} = \bigotimes_{v|\ell} \Pi_v$, considered as a representation of $G(\mathbf{Q}_{\ell}) = \prod_{v|\ell} \operatorname{GL}_2(F_v)$. Associated to Π_{ℓ} we have an *Asai L-factor*¹, $L_{As}(\Pi_{\ell}, s)$. We write $L_{As}(\Pi, s) = \prod_{\ell \text{ prime }} L_{As}(\Pi_{\ell}, s)$ (without any Archimedean factors) for the Asai *L*-function; note that this Dirichlet series has coefficients in *L*.

Since the Euler factors of L_{As} at primes dividing \mathcal{N}_{Π} are hard to describe explicitly, we shall also consider the "imprimitive" Asai *L*-function

$$L_{\mathrm{As}}^{\mathrm{imp}}\left(\Pi,s\right) = \zeta_{(N)}(2s) \sum_{n \ge 1} a_n^{\circ}(\Pi) n^{-s},$$

where the notation (N) signifies removing the Euler factors at the primes dividing $N = \mathcal{N}_{\Pi} \cap \mathbf{Z}$. Note that this Dirichlet series has coefficients in L. The following result is standard:

Lemma 3.2.1. The function $L_{As}^{imp}(\Pi, s) / L_{As}(\Pi, s)$ is a product of polynomials in ℓ^{-s} for $\ell \mid N$. All of its zeroes have real part in $\{0, -\frac{1}{2}, -1\}$.

3.3. De Rham and coherent cohomology groups. We let $U_1(\mathcal{N}_{\Pi})$ denote the open compact subgroup $\{g \in G(\mathbf{A}_f) : g = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mod \mathcal{N}_{\Pi} \}$, so that the space of $U_1(\mathcal{N}_{\Pi})$ -invariants of Π_f (the new subspace of Π_f) is one-dimensional. We assume, for simplicity² that \mathcal{N}_{Π} does not divide $6 \operatorname{disc}_{F/\mathbf{Q}}$, so that $U_1(\mathcal{N}_{\Pi})$ is sufficiently small in the sense of [LLZ18, Definition 2.2.1]; thus the Hilbert modular variety Y_G of level $U_1(\mathcal{N}_{\Pi})$ is a smooth quasiprojective variety defined over \mathbf{Q} .

Definition 3.3.1. Let \mathcal{V}_G denote the vector bundle with connection on Y_G corresponding to the algebraic representation³ V_G ; and set

$$D_L^{\mathrm{As}}(\Pi) = H^2_{\mathrm{dR},c}\Big(Y_G, \mathcal{V}_G\Big)[\Pi_{\mathrm{f}}],$$

which is 4-dimensional over L.

The de Rham cohomology can be computed via the coherent cohomology of a smooth toroidal compactification X_G of Y_G . We can then compute de Rham cohomology using the logarithmic de Rham complex $\mathcal{V}_G \otimes \Omega^{\bullet}_{X_G} \langle D \rangle$, where $D = X_G - Y_G$ is the boundary divisor; and we can compute compactly-supported

¹This can be defined as the "common denominator" of a family of zeta-integrals, or alternatively via the local Langlands correspondence, but both definitions give the same *L*-factor. For ℓ split this is one of the defining properties of the local Langlands correspondence; for ℓ non-split, see [Mat10, Theorem 3.3].

²The excluded cases may be dealt with via the usual trick of introducing full level \mathfrak{h} structure, for an auxiliary ideal \mathfrak{h} , and then taking invariants under $\operatorname{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{h})$; we leave the details to the interested reader.

 $^{^{3}}$ More precisely, we need to twist by an appropriate character to make the action of norm-one units trivial, but the resulting vector bundle is independent of the choice up to a canonical isomorphism.

de Rham cohomology using the "minus-log" complex $\mathcal{V}_G \otimes \Omega^{\bullet}_{X_G} \langle -D \rangle$, where $\Omega^{\bullet} \langle -D \rangle \coloneqq \Omega^{\bullet} \langle D \rangle (-D)$. We denote the corresponding cohomologies by

$$R\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(X_G, \mathcal{V}_G \langle D \rangle) \coloneqq R\Gamma(X_G, \mathcal{V} \otimes \Omega^{\bullet}_{X_G} \langle D \rangle) \cong R\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(Y_G, \mathcal{V}_G),$$

$$R\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(X_G, \mathcal{V}_G \langle -D \rangle) \coloneqq R\Gamma(X_G, \mathcal{V} \otimes \Omega^{\bullet}_{X_G} \langle -D \rangle) \cong R\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR},c}(Y_G, \mathcal{V}_G).$$

Rather than explicitly working with the full de Rham complex, it is more convenient to work with the $dual \ BGG \ complex$

$$BGG^{\bullet} = \left[\omega^{(-k_1, -k_2)} \longrightarrow \omega^{(-k_1, k_2+2)} \oplus \omega^{(k_1+2, -k_2)} \longrightarrow \omega^{(k_1+2, k_2+2)}\right]$$

and its compactly-supported analogue $BGG^{\bullet}(-D)$, which are quasi-isomorphic to the logarithmic de Rham complexes $V \otimes \Omega^{\bullet} \langle D \rangle$ and $V \otimes \Omega^{\bullet} \langle -D \rangle$ respectively. (We shall give explicit formulae for the differentials of the BGG complex, and the quasi-isomorphism relating it to the de Rham complex, in Section 7.1 below, but we shall not need this just yet.)

The BGG complex is equipped with a natural decreasing \mathbb{Z}^2 -filtration Fil^{••}, which gives rise to a \mathbb{Z}^2 -filtration on its cohomology. We can (and do) normalise so that the nontrivial graded pieces are in bidegrees $\{(0,0), (k_1+1,0), (0,k_2+1), (k_1+1,k_2+1)\}$, and are given by

$$Gr^{(k_1+1,k_2+1)} = H^0 \left(X_G, \omega^{(k_1+1,k_2+1)}(-D) \right) [\Pi_f],$$

$$Gr^{(k_1+1,0)} = H^1 \left(X_G, \omega^{(k_1+2,-k_2)}(-D) \right) [\Pi_f], \qquad Gr^{(0,k_2+1)} = H^1 \left(X_G, \omega^{(-k_1,k_2+2)}(-D) \right) [\Pi_f],$$

$$Gr^{(0,0)} = H^2 \left(X_G, \omega^{(-k_1,-k_2)}(-D) \right) [\Pi_f],$$

where $\omega^{(r,s)}$ is the sheaf of Hilbert modular forms of weight (r,s) (so that $\omega^{(r,s)}(-D)$ is the subsheaf of cusp forms). The induced single filtration, with graded pieces in degrees $\{0, k_1 + 1, k_2 + 1, k_1 + k_2 + 2\}$, is the Hodge filtration.

Definition 3.3.2. We let ν be a basis of the 1-dimensional L-vector space $H^1(X_G, \omega^{(-k_1, k_2+2)})[\Pi_f]$.

Remark 3.3.3 (Occult periods). After base-extension to **C**, these graded pieces have canonical bases arising from the comparison between sheaf cohomology and Dolbeault cohomology. The one which will interest us is $\operatorname{Gr}^{(0,k_2+1)}$, which is spanned by the differential form associated to the real-analytic Hilbert modular form $\mathcal{F}^{\operatorname{ah},1}$ (anti-holomorphic at the place σ_1 and holomorphic at σ_2) having the same Fourier–Whittaker coefficients as the holomorphic newform \mathcal{F} generating Π ; see Lemma 5.2.1 of [LLZ18] for further details. Harris' occult period for Π at σ_1 (cf. [Har90]) is the ratio between ν and $\mathcal{F}^{\operatorname{ah},1}$, well-defined as an element of $\mathbf{C}^{\times}/L^{\times}$.

3.4. The Asai Galois representation. We now fix (for the remainder of this paper) a prime p, and a prime \mathfrak{P} of the coefficient field L above p.

Definition 3.4.1.

- (i) Let $V_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\mathrm{As}}(\Pi)$ denote the four-dimensional Asai Galois representation associated to Π as in [LLZ18, Definition 4.4.2], defined as the Π_{f} -eigenspace in the p-adic étale cohomology of the Hilbert modular variety $Y_{G,1}(\mathcal{N}_{\Pi}) \otimes \overline{\mathbf{Q}}$ (with coefficients in the étale local system of $L_{\mathfrak{P}}$ -vector spaces determined by (k_1, k_2)).
- (ii) Let $D_{\mathfrak{P}}^{As}(\Pi) = L_{\mathfrak{P}} \otimes_L D_L^{As}(\Pi)$, so that there is a canonical comparison isomorphism (compatible with the filtrations)

$$\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}\left(\mathbf{Q}_p, V_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\mathrm{As}}(\Pi)\right) \cong D_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\mathrm{As}}(\Pi).$$

Remark 3.4.2. More precisely, this is $M_{L_{\mathfrak{P}}}(\mathcal{F})$ in the notation of *op.cit.*, where \mathcal{F} is the normalised newform generating Π .

By results of Brylinski–Labesse and Nekovář recalled in *op.cit.*, the Galois representation $V_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\operatorname{As}}(\Pi)$ can be characterised, up to isomorphism, as the unique semisimple Galois representation whose *L*series is $L_{\operatorname{As}}\left(\Pi, s - \frac{k_1+k_2+2}{2}\right)$. (However, since we want to consider Euler system classes for $V_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\operatorname{As}}(\Pi)$, it is important to fix not only an abstract isomorphism class of Galois representations but a specific realisation of this isomorphism class in étale cohomology.) By Poincaré duality for the Hilbert modular surface, there is a canonical nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form

(3.1)
$$\lambda : \operatorname{Sym}^{2} \left(V_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\operatorname{As}}(\Pi) \right) \to L_{\mathfrak{P}}(-2 - k_{1} - k_{2}),$$

equivariant for the action of $\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}/\mathbf{Q})$. (The pairing is symmetric since $V_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\operatorname{As}}(\Pi)$ appears in cohomology in even degree.)

Remark 3.4.3. By [LLZ18, Proposition 9.4.3], our hypotheses on Π imply that $V_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\mathrm{As}}(\Pi)$ is either irreducible, or the direct sum of a character and a three-dimensional irreducible representation, with the latter case occurring if and only if Π is a twist of a base-change from GL_2/\mathbf{Q} .

3.5. The split-prime case. For the remainder of this paper, we suppose that p is split in F, and Π is unramified at the primes above p. Since the embeddings σ_i of F take values in $L \subset \mathbf{C}$, and we have fixed a prime $\mathfrak{P} \mid p$ in L, we can number the primes above p as $\mathfrak{p}_1, \mathfrak{p}_2$ such that $\sigma_i(\mathfrak{p}_i) \subset \mathfrak{P}$.

We define

 $a_{\mathfrak{p}_i}(\Pi) = p^{(k_i+1)/2} a_{\mathfrak{p}_i}^{\circ}(\Pi) \in L, \qquad \text{so } a_p(\Pi) = a_{\mathfrak{p}_1}(\Pi) a_{\mathfrak{p}_2}(\Pi).$

(Note this normalisation depends on the choice of prime $\mathfrak{P} \mid p$, and the $a_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$ are integral at \mathfrak{P} , but possibly not at other primes of L above p.) We likewise define

$$\alpha_i = p^{\frac{1+k_i}{2}} \alpha_{\mathfrak{p}_i}^{\circ}$$

and similarly β_i . Extending L if necessary, we may suppose that the α_i and β_i also lie in L.

Corollary 3.5.1. The representation $V_{\mathfrak{P}}^{As}(\Pi)$ is crystalline at p, so $D_{\mathfrak{P}}(\Pi)$ is naturally a filtered φ -module; and the eigenvalues of φ on this module are the pairwise products $\{\alpha_1\alpha_2,\ldots,\beta_1\beta_2\}$.

Lemma 3.5.2. Let $0 \leq j \leq \min(k_1, k_2)$ be an integer. Then p^j is not an eigenvalue of φ on $D^{As}_{\mathfrak{P}}(\Pi)$. Moreover, if $p^{(1+j)}$ is an eigenvalue of φ , then we must have $k_1 = k_2 = j$.

Proof. This follows from the fact that the Satake parameters α_i° , β_i° have complex absolute value 1. \Box

This implies that for the Galois representation $V^{\text{As}}_{\mathfrak{P}}(\Pi)^*(-j)$, the Bloch–Kato subspaces $H^1_{\text{e}}(\mathbf{Q}_p, -)$ and $H^1_{\text{f}}(\mathbf{Q}_p, -)$ agree; and these are also equal to H^1_{g} , except possibly in the boundary case $k_1 = k_2 = j$ in which case H^1_{g} can be strictly larger. Moreover, the inverse of the Bloch–Kato exponential map for $V^{\text{As}}_{\mathfrak{P}}(\Pi)^*(-j)$ is an isomorphism

(3.2)
$$\log: H^1_f \left(\mathbf{Q}_p, V^{\mathrm{As}}_{\mathfrak{P}}(\Pi)^*(-j)\right) \xrightarrow{\cong} \left(\mathrm{Fil}^{1+j} D^{\mathrm{As}}_{\mathfrak{P}}(\Pi)\right)^* = \left(\mathrm{Fil}^1 D^{\mathrm{As}}_{\mathfrak{P}}(\Pi)\right)^*,$$

with both sides 3-dimensional over $L_{\mathfrak{P}}$.

3.6. **Partial Frobenii.** We may identify $D_{\mathfrak{P}}^{As}(\Pi)$, as a Frobenius module (forgetting the filtration), with the rigid cohomology of the special fibre of Y_G at p. This special fibre has two commuting endomorphisms, the **partial Frobenii** φ_1 and φ_2 at the primes \mathfrak{p}_i , whose composite is the Frobenius φ ; more precisely, φ_i corresponds to sending a Hilbert–Blumenthal abelian surface A to the quotient $A/(\ker(\varphi_A) \cap A[\mathfrak{p}_i])$. We refer to [TX16] or [Nek18] for detailed accounts of this construction.

The operators φ_i induce commuting linear operators on $D^{\text{As}}_{\mathfrak{P}}(\Pi)$, with $\varphi = \varphi_1 \varphi_2$; and it follows from the "partial Eichler–Shimura" comparison result proved in [Nek18] that for each *i* we have

$$(\varphi_i - \alpha_i)(\varphi_i - \beta_i) = 0 \text{ on } D_{\mathfrak{V}}^{\mathrm{As}}(\Pi).$$

One checks easily that the partial Frobenii satisfy $\lambda(\varphi_i x, \varphi_i y) = p^{k_i+1}\lambda(x, y)$, where λ is the Poincaré duality form. This identifies the β_i -generalised eigenspace with the dual of that for α_i . Hence, if $\alpha_i \neq \beta_i$, the $\varphi_i = \alpha_i$ and $\varphi_i = \beta_i$ eigenspaces are both 2-dimensional, and each is isotropic with respect to λ .

Remark 3.6.1. We are using a slightly different normalisation of the partial Frobenii here from [LZ22]: the φ_i here is $p^{-t_i}\varphi_i$ in the notation of *op.cit.*, where (t_1, t_2) is an auxiliary choice of integers such that $w = k_i + 2t_i$ is independent of *i*. This reflects the fact that the α_i of *op.cit.* is $p^{(w+1)/2}\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}_i}^{\circ}$, while α_i here is $p^{(k_i+1)/2}\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}_i}^{\circ}$. The present normalisation is more convenient for comparison with higher Hida theory, since it matches the minimal integral normalisation of the $U'_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$ operators.

3.7. A lifting of ν . We write v_p for the valuation on $L_{\mathfrak{P}}$ normalized by $v_p(p) = 1$; and we fix an ordering of the Satake parameters at \mathfrak{p}_1 . Then we have $0 \leq v_p(\alpha_1) \leq k_1 + 1$.

Proposition 3.7.1. Suppose that $\alpha_1 \neq \beta_1$, and $v_p(\alpha_1) < k_1$. Then the vector space

$$D_{\mathfrak{N}}(\Pi)^{(\varphi_1=\alpha_1)} \cap \operatorname{Fil}^{(0,k_2+1)} D_{\mathfrak{N}}(\Pi)$$

is one-dimensional, and surjects onto $\operatorname{Gr}^{(0,k_2+1)} D_{\mathfrak{P}}(\Pi)$.

Hence there exists a uniquely determined vector $\nu_{dR} \in D_{\mathfrak{P}}$ with the following properties:

•
$$\varphi_1 \nu_{\mathrm{dR}} = \alpha_1 \cdot \nu_{\mathrm{dR}};$$

•
$$\nu_{\mathrm{dR}} \in \mathrm{Fil}^{(0,k_2+1)} D_{\mathfrak{P}};$$

• the image of ν_{dR} in the graded piece

$$\operatorname{Gr}^{(0,k_2+1)} D_{\mathfrak{P}} \cong L_{\mathfrak{P}} \otimes_L H^1\left(X_G, \omega^{(-k_1,k_2+2)}\right) [\Pi_{\mathbf{f}}]$$

coincides with the ν of Definition 3.3.2.

Proof. This is a special case of the first main theorem of [LZ22], which states that (over totally-real fields of any degree) the \mathbb{Z}^{d} -indexed filtration of $D_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\mathrm{As}}(\Pi)$ has a canonical splitting given by intersecting with partial Frobenius eigenspaces. The assumption $v_p(\alpha_1) < k_1$ is the *strictly small slope* assumption of *op.cit.*.

Remark 3.7.2. Note that ker $((\varphi - \alpha_1 \alpha_2)(\varphi - \alpha_1 \beta_2) : D_p \to D_p)$ contains $D_p^{(\varphi_1 = \alpha_1)}$, but it may be larger; this always occurs if Π is a twist of a base-change from \mathbf{Q} (so that $\alpha_1/\beta_1 = \alpha_2/\beta_2$).

4. Definition of the p-adic regulator

4.1. Euler system classes. Let $0 \leq j \leq \min(k_1, k_2)$. We refer to [LLZ18, Definition 4.4.6] for the definition of the *étale Asai–Flach class*

$$\operatorname{AF}_{\operatorname{\acute{e}t}}^{[\Pi,j]} \in H^1\left(\mathbf{Z}[1/\Sigma], V_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\operatorname{As}}(\Pi)^*(-j)\right),$$

where Σ is the set of primes dividing $pN \operatorname{disc}(F)$. (More precisely, this class is defined for any normalised eigenform \mathcal{F} , not necessarily new, and we are defining $\operatorname{AF}_{\operatorname{\acute{e}t}}^{[\Pi,j]}$ as $\operatorname{AF}_{\operatorname{\acute{e}t}}^{[\mathcal{F},j]}$ where \mathcal{F} is the unique newform generating Π , consistently with our definition of the Galois representation $V_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\operatorname{As}}(\Pi)$.)

Remark 4.1.1. In *op.cit.* we showed that $AF_{\text{ét}}^{[\Pi,j]}$ was the m = 1 case of a family of classes defined over $\mathbf{Q}(\mu_m)$ with norm-compatibility properties as m varies, but we shall not use this here.

Note 4.1.2. We briefly recall the definition of $AF_{\acute{e}t}^{[\Pi,j]}$. Letting \mathcal{V}_G and \mathcal{V}_H denote the relative Chow motives (over L) associated to the representations V_G and V_H defined in Section 2.2, we have a pushforward map

 $\iota_*^{[j]}: H^1_{\mathrm{mot}}\left(Y_{H,1}(N), \mathcal{V}_H(1+t)\right) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} L \longrightarrow H^3_{\mathrm{mot}}\left(Y_{G,1}(\mathcal{N}_{\Pi}), \mathcal{V}_G(2+k_1+k_2-j)\right),$

where $t = k_1 + k_2 - 2j$ as before. We have an analogous pushforward map in étale cohomology with $L_{\mathfrak{P}}$ -coefficients, and the two are compatible via the étale regulator map $r_{\acute{e}t}$. Since the $\Pi_{\rm f}$ -generalised eigenspace in the cohomology of $Y_{G,1}(\mathcal{N}_{\Pi})$ vanishes outside degree 2, the Hochschild–Serre spectral sequence gives a projection map from $H^3_{\acute{e}t}(Y_{G,1}(\mathcal{N}_{\Pi}), \mathcal{V}_G(2+k_1+k_2-j))$ to $H^1\left(\mathbf{Z}[1/\Sigma], \mathcal{V}^{\rm As}_{\mathfrak{P}}(\Pi)^*(-j)\right)$. We can then define $\mathrm{AF}^{[\Pi,j]}_{\acute{e}t}$ as the image of the weight t Eisenstein class under this chain of maps. \diamond

4.2. Localisation at p and syntomic cohomology.

Proposition 4.2.1. The localisation of $AF_{\text{ét}}^{[\Pi,j]}$ at p lies in the Bloch-Kato subspace

 $H^1_{\mathrm{f}}(\mathbf{Q}_p, V^{\mathrm{As}}_{\mathfrak{P}}(\Pi)^*(-j)) \subseteq H^1(\mathbf{Q}_p, V^{\mathrm{As}}_{\mathfrak{P}}(\Pi)^*(-j)).$

Proof. This follows from the comparison between étale and syntomic cohomology, since the map from syntomic to étale cohomology factors through the Bloch–Kato exponential map; cf. [KLZ20, Proposition 5.4.1] in the analogous case of Beilinson–Flach elements.

Now fix a choice of α_1 satisfying the conditions of Proposition 3.7.1, and let $\nu_{dR} \in \operatorname{Fil}^{(0,k_2+1)} D_{\mathfrak{P}}(\Pi)$ be the ensuing lifting of ν . Our goal will be to compute the pairing

(4.1)
$$\left\langle \nu_{\mathrm{dR}}, \log\left(\log_p \mathrm{AF}_{\mathrm{\acute{e}t}}^{[\Pi,j]}\right) \right\rangle_{D_{\mathfrak{P}}(\Pi)}$$

where log is the Bloch–Kato logarithm (3.2), and $\langle -, - \rangle_{D_{\mathfrak{P}}(\Pi)}$ denotes the canonical pairing between $D_{\mathfrak{P}}^{As}(\Pi)$ and its dual.

Let \mathbb{Y}_G denote the canonical \mathbb{Z}_p -model of $Y_{G,1}(\mathcal{N}_{\Pi})$. This is a smooth \mathbb{Z}_p -scheme, and we may choose an arithmetic toroidal compatification \mathbb{X}_G such that $(\mathbb{Y}_G, \mathbb{X}_G)$ is a smooth pair over \mathbb{Z}_p in the sense of [KLZ20] (i.e. \mathbb{X}_G is smooth, and the cuspidal divisor $\mathbb{X}_G - \mathbb{Y}_G$ is a smooth normal-crossing divisor relative to Spec \mathbb{Z}_p). Thus Besser's theory of *rigid syntomic* and *finite-polynomial* cohomology applies to \mathbb{Y}_G , and rigid-syntomic cohomology has a natural comparison to étale cohomology.

Notation 4.2.2. Let
$$P(T) = \left(1 - \frac{T}{\alpha_1 \alpha_2}\right) \left(1 - \frac{T}{\alpha_1 \beta_2}\right) \in L[T]$$
, and let $P_{1+j}(T) = P(p^{1+j}T)$.

Proposition 4.2.3. There is a unique lift $\nu_{\rm fp}$ of $\nu_{\rm dR}$ to the space

$$H_{\mathrm{fp},c}^{2}\left(\mathbb{Y}_{G},\mathcal{V}_{G};1+j,P_{1+j}\right)\left[\Pi_{f}\right]$$

Remark 4.2.4. The above group is actually independent of j in the range $0 \le j \le \min(k_1, k_2)$: Besser's cohomology for twist r and polynomial Q is defined using the mapping fibre of $Q(p^{-r}\varphi)$, and we have $P_{1+j}(p^{-1-j}\varphi) = P(\varphi)$ for any j. However, different values of j will correspond to the étale cohomology of different twists of $V_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\mathrm{As}}(\Pi)$.

Proof. Since Π is cuspidal, the $\Pi_{\rm f}$ -generalised eigenspace in de Rham (or, equivalently, rigid) cohomology vanishes outside degree 2. So the natural map

$$H^2_{\mathrm{fp},c}(\mathbb{Y}_G, \mathcal{V}_G; 1+j, P) \to \mathrm{Fil}^{(1+j)} H^2_{\mathrm{dR},c}(\mathbb{Y}_G, \mathcal{V}_G)^{P(\varphi)=0}$$

is an isomorphism after localising at the $\Pi_{\rm f}$ -eigenspace. Since $P(\varphi)$ annihilates $\nu_{\rm dR}$ the result follows. \Box

The compatibility of étale and syntomic Abel–Jacobi maps for smooth pairs (cf. Proposition 5.4.1 of [KLZ20]) then implies that

(4.2)

$$\left\langle \nu_{\mathrm{dR}}, \log\left(\log_{p} \mathrm{AJ}_{\mathrm{\acute{e}t}}^{[\Pi,j]}\right) \right\rangle_{D_{\mathfrak{P}}(\Pi)} = \left\langle \nu_{\mathrm{dR}}, \log \circ \mathrm{pr}_{\Pi}^{\mathrm{As}} \circ \iota_{*}^{[j]} \left(\mathrm{Eis}_{\mathrm{\acute{e}t},N}^{t}\right) \right\rangle_{\mathrm{dR},Y_{G}} \\
= \left\langle \nu_{\mathrm{fp}}, \iota_{*}^{[j]} \left(\mathrm{Eis}_{\mathrm{syn},N}^{t}\right) \right\rangle_{\mathrm{fp},\mathbb{Y}_{G}} \\
= \left\langle \iota^{[j],*}(\nu_{\mathrm{fp}}), \mathrm{Eis}_{\mathrm{syn},N}^{t} \right\rangle_{\mathrm{fp},\mathbb{Y}_{H}},$$

where the last equality follows from the adjunction between pushforward and pullback. Note that

$$\mathcal{L}^{[j],*}(\nu_{\mathrm{fp}}) \in H^2_{\mathrm{fp},c}(\mathbb{Y}_H, \mathcal{V}_H; 1+j, P_{1+j}),$$

and the coefficient module \mathcal{V}_H depends on j.

5. Lifting ν to the \mathfrak{p}_1 -ordinary locus

In this section, we shall lift the coherent class ν (and its cousins ν_{dR} and ν_{fp}) from the cohomology of the full variety Y_G , to cohomology groups associated to certain open subsets of the special fibre of Y_G .

5.1. Geometry of the Hilbert modular variety. Let $Y_{G,0}$ be the special fibre of \mathbb{Y}_G , which is a smooth \mathbb{F}_p -variety; and similarly for the compactification $X_{G,0}$.

Notation 5.1.1.

- For i = 1, 2, denote by X^{i-ss}_{G,0} ⊂ X_{G,0} the p_i-supersingular locus (the vanishing locus of the partial Hasse invariant, as constructed in [TX16, §3.2]).
 Let X^{i-ord}_{G,0} be the complement of X^{i-ss}_{G,0}, and X^{ord}_{G,0} = X^{1-ord}_G ∩ X^{2-ord}_{G,0}.

We write $Y_{G,0}^{i-\text{ord}}$ etc for the intersection of these subvarieties with $Y_{G,0} \subset X_{G,0}$.

The following results on the geometry of the supersingular loci are well-known (see e.g. [TX16]):

Lemma 5.1.2. For $i = 1, 2, X_{G,0}^{i-ss}$ is a smooth codimension 1 closed subscheme of $X_{G,0}$, disjoint from the toroidal boundary; and $X_{G,0}^{1-ss} \cap X_{G,0}^{2-ss}$ is a smooth closed subvariety of codimension 2 (i.e. a finite disjoint union of points).

Remark 5.1.3. The preimage of either $X_{G,0}^{1-\text{ord}}$ or $X_{G,0}^{2-\text{ord}}$ under the finite map $\iota: X_{H,0} \to X_{G,0}$ is the ordinary locus $X_{H,0}^{\text{ord}}$.

Proposition 5.1.4. The extension-by-0 map

$$R\Gamma_{\mathrm{rig},c}(Y_{G,0}^{1-\mathrm{ord}},\mathcal{V}_G) \longrightarrow R\Gamma_{\mathrm{rig},c}(Y_{G,0},\mathcal{V}_G) \cong R\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR},c}(Y_G,\mathcal{V}_G)$$

is a quasi-isomorphism on the Π generalised eigenspace for the prime-to-Np Hecke operators.

Proof. This is a special case of Proposition 4.3 of [LZ22].

Notation 5.1.5. Write $\nu_{dR}^{(1-\text{ord})} \in H^2_{\operatorname{rig},c}(Y_{G,0}^{1-\text{ord}},\mathcal{V}_G)$ for the preimage of ν_{dR} under the isomorphism in Proposition 5.1.4.

5.2. Coherent cohomology of the 1-ordinary locus. We write \mathcal{X}_G for the dagger space associated to X_G/\mathbf{Q}_p ; and we denote the tubes in \mathcal{X}_G of the various subvarieties of $X_{G,0}$ considered above by the corresponding superscripts on \mathcal{X}_G , so $\mathcal{X}_G^{i-\text{ord}}$ is the tube of $X_{G,0}^{i-\text{ord}}$ in \mathcal{X}_G etc.

Theorem 5.2.1. Suppose $v_p(\alpha_1) < k$, and $\alpha_1 \neq \beta_1$. Then there exists a unique class

 $\nu^{1-\mathrm{ord}} \in H^1_c\left(\mathcal{X}_G^{(1-\mathrm{ord})}, \omega^{(-k_1,k_2+2)}(-D)\right)$

satisfying the following properties:

- (1) it is a φ_1 -eigenvector with eigenvalue α_1 ;
- (2) its image in $H^1(\mathcal{X}_G, \omega^{(-k_1, k_2+2)}(-D))$ is ν .

Proof. This is an instance of Proposition 5.2 of [LZ22].

Remark 5.2.2. Observe that we have chosen a lifting of the de Rham class ν_{dR} to $X_{G,0}^{(1-\text{ord})}$ characterised by information about the action of Hecke operators *away* from *p*; and, separately, we have lifted the coherent class ν to $\mathcal{X}_{G}^{(1-\text{ord})}$ using information about the action of the Frobenius φ_1 at \mathfrak{p}_1 . So it is not obvious how these liftings are related, and our next task is to find a way to reconcile the two, which we will carry out in Section 5.4 – see Proposition 5.4.1 below.

5.3. Comparison with a higher-level class. We now compare the class $\nu^{1-\text{ord}}$ with algebraic coherent classes at level \mathfrak{p}_1 . If $X_G(\mathfrak{p}_1)$ denotes the Shimura variety of level $U_1(\mathcal{N}_{\Pi}) \cap \{(\overset{*}{0} \overset{*}{*}) \mod \mathfrak{p}_1\}$, then the special fibre $X_G(\mathfrak{p}_1)_0$ has a stratification with three strata,

$$X_G(\mathfrak{p}_1)_0 = X_G(\mathfrak{p}_1)_0^m \cup X_G(\mathfrak{p}_1)_0^{\text{\acute{e}t}} \cup X_G(\mathfrak{p}_1)_0^\alpha,$$

on which the level structure is multiplicative, étale, or α_p respectively; and this gives a corresponding decomposition of the dagger space $\mathcal{X}_G(\mathfrak{p}_1)$, which restricts to an isomorphism of dagger spaces

$$\mathcal{X}_G(\mathfrak{p}_1)^m \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{X}_G^{1-\mathrm{ord}}$$

(The inverse map is given by the "canonical subgroup" construction.) From the functoriality of pushforward maps we have a commutative square of cohomology groups (all with coefficients in $\omega^{-k_1,k_2+2}(-D)$)

$$\begin{array}{c} H^1_c(\mathcal{X}_G(\mathfrak{p}_1)^m) \longrightarrow H^1(\mathcal{X}_G(\mathfrak{p}_1)) \\ \\ \| \\ \\ \\ H^1_c(\mathcal{X}_G^{1-\mathrm{ord}}) \longrightarrow H^1(\mathcal{X}_G) \end{array}$$

where the horizontal maps are extension-by-zero (i.e. pushforwards along open embeddings) and the right-hand vertical map is pushforward along the natural degeneracy map $\pi_{\mathfrak{p}_1} : \mathcal{X}_G(\mathfrak{p}_1) \to \mathcal{X}_G$. By [LZ22, Lemma 5.7], our Frobenius lift φ_1 on $H^1_c(\mathcal{X}_G^{1-\text{ord}})$ corresponds to the Hecke operator $U'_{\mathfrak{p}_1}$ at level $\mathcal{X}_G(\mathfrak{p}_1)$. Hence we have the following compatibility:

Proposition 5.3.1. The image of $\nu^{1-\text{ord}}$ in $H^1(\mathcal{X}_G(\mathfrak{p}_1))$ is the unique class which lies in the Π_{f} eigenspace away from p, is a $(U'_{\mathfrak{p}_1} = \alpha_1)$ -eigenvector, and maps to ν under the trace map.

We briefly compare this with the choice of basis vector used in [GLZ23, §6–7], since this will be needed for our final formula. In §7.3 of *op.cit*. we define a $U'_{\mathfrak{p}_1} = \alpha_1$ eigenvector $\check{\nu}_{\Pi,\alpha} \in H^1(\mathcal{X}_G(\mathfrak{p}_1))$, depending on a choice of basis $W_{\mathfrak{f}}^{(p)}$ of the Whittaker model away from $p\infty$. If we choose this basis to be the normalised new-vector, then by construction we have

$$\breve{\nu}_{\Pi,\alpha} = \left(1 - \frac{\beta_1}{U'_{\mathfrak{p}_1}}\right) \pi^*_{\mathfrak{p}_1}(\nu).$$

Since $\check{\nu}_{\Pi,\alpha}$ and the image of $\nu^{1-\text{ord}}$ lie in the same one-dimensional space, we may compare them by computing their images in $H^1(\mathcal{X}_G)$. An elementary computation shows that the map

$$\pi_{\mathfrak{p}_{1},*} \circ \left(1 - \frac{\beta}{U'_{\mathfrak{p}_{1}}}\right) \circ \pi^{*}_{\mathfrak{p}_{1}}$$

acts on the Π -eigenspace as multiplication by $p(1 - \frac{\beta_1}{p\alpha_1})$ (which is not zero, since α_1/β_1 has complex absolute value 1); so we have

$$\breve{\nu}_{\Pi,\alpha} = p(1 - \frac{\beta_1}{p\alpha_1}) \cdot \operatorname{image}\left(\nu^{1-\operatorname{ord}}\right).$$
⁸

5.4. The de Rham spectral sequence for $\mathcal{X}_G^{1-\text{ord}}$. Since $(\mathbb{X}_G, \mathbb{Y}_G)$ is a smooth pair, and our coefficient system \mathcal{V}_G extends to a vector bundle on \mathcal{X}_G whose connection has log poles along the boundary divisor D, we can compute rigid cohomology of $Y_{G,0}$ using the analytification of the BGG complex on \mathcal{X}_G (just as we did for de Rham cohomology above). By taking the mapping fibre of the restriction map we obtain the same result for compactly-supported cohomology of $Y_{G,0}^{1-\text{ord}}$; that is, we have

$$R\Gamma_{\mathrm{rig},c}(Y_{G,0}^{1-\mathrm{ord}},\mathcal{V})\cong R\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR},c}(\mathcal{X}_{G}^{1-\mathrm{ord}},\mathcal{V}\langle -D\rangle)\cong R\Gamma_{c}(\mathcal{X}_{G}^{1-\mathrm{ord}},\mathrm{BGG}^{\bullet}(-D)).$$

This gives rise to a first-quadrant spectral sequence converging to $H^*_{\operatorname{rig},c}(Y^{1-\operatorname{ord}}_{G,0},\mathcal{V}_G)$, whose E^{mn}_1 terms are $H^n_c\left(\mathcal{X}^{(1-\operatorname{ord})}_G,\operatorname{BGG}^m(-D)\right)$.

We denote by $\widetilde{R\Gamma}_{\mathrm{dR},c}(\mathcal{X}_G^{1-\mathrm{ord}},\mathcal{V}\langle -D\rangle)$ the cohomology of the truncated complex

$$\tau_{\geq 1} \operatorname{BGG}^{\bullet}(-D) = \left[0 \longrightarrow \omega^{(-k_1, k_2 + 2)} \oplus \omega^{(k_1 + 2, -k_2)} \longrightarrow \omega^{(k_1 + 2, k_2 + 2)} \right] (-D),$$

which is quasi-isomorphic to the filtered de Rham complex $\operatorname{Fil}^{1+j} \operatorname{BGG}^{\bullet}(-D)$, for any j in our range. Since \mathcal{X}_G is connected and non-compact, $H^0_c(\mathcal{X}^{(1-\operatorname{ord})}_G, -)$ is zero for all locally-free sheaves, and so we obtain an isomorphism

$$\alpha_{\mathrm{dR}}^{(1-\mathrm{ord})}: H^1_c \left(\mathcal{X}_G^{(1-\mathrm{ord})}, \mathrm{BGG}^1(-D) \right)^{\nabla=0} \cong \widetilde{H}^2_{\mathrm{dR},c}(\mathcal{X}_G^{1-\mathrm{ord}}, \mathcal{V}\langle -D \rangle).$$

Moreover, the inclusion of the subcomplex $\tau_{\geq 1}$ BGG into the full BGG complex gives a commutative square of maps

in which the top horizontal arrow is compatible, via $\alpha_{dR}^{(1-\text{ord})}$, with the natural map

$$H_c^1\left(\mathcal{X}_G^{(1-\mathrm{ord})}, \mathrm{BGG}^1(-D)\right) \to H_c^1\left(\mathcal{X}_G, \mathrm{BGG}^1(-D)\right) = \mathrm{Fil}^1 H_{\mathrm{dR}}^2 / \mathrm{Fil}^{k_1+k_2+2}.$$

Since the partial Frobenius φ_1 lifts to $\mathcal{X}_G^{(1-\text{ord})}$, there is an action of φ_1 on both of the spaces in the left-hand column, compatible with the action on $H^2_{dR,c}(\mathcal{X}_G^{1-\text{ord}}, \mathcal{V}\langle -D\rangle, 1+j)$ given by comparison with the rigid cohomology of $X_{G,0}$.

Proposition 5.4.1. If $\nu^{1-\text{ord}}$ is as in Theorem 5.2.1, then the class ($\nu^{1-\text{ord}}, 0$) in

$$H_{c}^{1}\left(\mathcal{X}_{G}^{(1-\text{ord})}, \text{BGG}^{1}(-D)\right) = H_{c}^{1}\left(\mathcal{X}_{G}^{(1-\text{ord})}, \omega^{-k_{1},k_{2}+2}(-D)\right) \oplus H_{c}^{1}\left(\mathcal{X}_{G}^{(1-\text{ord})}, \omega^{k_{1}+2,-k_{2}}(-D)\right)$$

is in the kernel of ∇ , and hence defines a class in $\widetilde{H}^2_{\mathrm{dR},c}(\mathcal{X}_G^{(1-\mathrm{ord})}, \mathcal{V}\langle -D \rangle)$. The image of this class in $H^2_{\mathrm{rig},c}(Y^{(1-\mathrm{ord})}_{G,0}, \mathcal{V}_G)$, under the left vertical map of the above diagram, is $\nu_{\mathrm{dR}}^{(1-\mathrm{ord})}$.

Proof. We first show that $\nu^{1-\text{ord}}$ is in the kernel of ∇ . This follows from the fact that it has strictly small slope for φ_1 : the slopes of φ_1 on $\omega^{(k_1+2,k_2+2)}$ are all at least $k_1 + 1$, and the operator ∇ commutes with the Frobenius, so it must be zero on all Frobenius eigenspaces of slope smaller than $k_1 + 1$.

with the Frobenius, so it must be zero on all Frobenius eigenspaces of slope smaller than $k_1 + 1$. This shows that $\nu^{1-\text{ord}}$ has a well-defined image in $H^2_{\text{rig},c}(Y^{(1-\text{ord})}_{G,0}, \mathcal{V}_G)$. Let us temporarily write $\hat{\nu}_{dR}^{(1-\text{ord})}$ for this image; our goal is to show that it coincides with $\nu_{dR}^{1-\text{ord}}$. Since the latter is characterised as the unique lifting of ν_{dR} compatible with Hecke operators away from $p\mathcal{N}$, it suffices to show that $\hat{\nu}_{dR}^{(1-\text{ord})}$ lies in the correct Hecke eigenspace, and that it maps to ν_{dR} in $H^2_{dR,c}(Y_G, \mathcal{V}_G)$.

It follows readily from the construction of $\nu^{1-\text{ord}}$ that it lies in the Π -eigenspace for the Hecke operators away from \mathfrak{p}_1 (including $T_{\mathfrak{p}_2}$), since these operators commute with φ_1 . So $\hat{\nu}_{dR}^{(1-\text{ord})}$ has the correct Hecke action. Moreover, its image in $H^2_{dR,c}(\mathcal{Y}_G, \mathcal{V}_G)$ is in the $\varphi_1 = \alpha_1$ eigenspace (because $\nu^{1-\text{ord}}$ is); and it lies in Fil^(0,1+k_2), and maps to ν in Gr^(0,1+k_2), so it must be equal to ν_{dR} .

5.5. **FP-cohomology of the p_1-ordinary locus.** We now consider a modified form of Besser's finitepolynomial cohomology, namely *Gros fp-cohomology*, for the p_1 -ordinary locus (with compact supports). This cohomology, denoted by $\widetilde{R\Gamma}_{\text{fp},c}(\mathcal{X}_G^{(1-\text{ord})}, \mathcal{V}\langle -D\rangle; 1+j, P_{1+j})$ can be defined as the mapping fibre of the map

$$\widetilde{R\Gamma}_{\mathrm{dR},c}(\mathcal{X}_G^{(1-\mathrm{ord})},\mathcal{V}\langle -D\rangle) \xrightarrow{P_{1+j}(p^{-1-j}\varphi)} R\Gamma_{\mathrm{rig},c}(X_{G,0}^{(1-\mathrm{ord})},\mathcal{V}\langle -D\rangle).$$

(As before, this is in fact independent of j in the stated range, despite the notations.) Note that although φ_1 lifts to $\mathcal{X}_G^{1-\text{ord}}$, the full Frobenius φ does not; so although $R\Gamma_{\text{rig},c}(X_{G,0}^{(1-\text{ord})}, \mathcal{V}\langle -D\rangle)$ is isomorphic to de Rham cohomology of $\mathcal{X}_G^{1-\text{ord}}$, the action of Frobenius (given by the functoriality of rigid cohomology) cannot be 'seen' via this description.

For proper schemes such as X_G , there is no difference between Gros fp-cohomology and the usual fp-cohomology, so there is an extension-by-zero map (11)

$$R\Gamma_{\mathrm{fp},c}(\mathcal{X}_G^{(1-\mathrm{ord})},\mathcal{V}\langle -D\rangle;1+j,P_{1+j})\to R\Gamma_{\mathrm{fp},c}(\mathbb{X}_G,\mathcal{V}_G\langle -D\rangle;1+j,P_{1+j}).$$

Proposition 5.5.1. There exists a class

$$\tilde{\nu}_{\rm fp}^{1-{\rm ord}} \in \widetilde{H}_{{\rm fp},c}^2(\mathcal{X}_G^{(1-{\rm ord})}, \mathcal{V}\langle -D\rangle; 1+j, P_{1+j})$$

with the following properties:

- Its image in H²_{fp,c}(X_G, V_G(−D); 1 + j, P_{1+j}) is the ν_{fp} of Proposition 4.2.3.
 Its image in H²_{dB,c}(X^(1-ord)_G, V(−D)) is the class (ν^{1-ord}, 0) of Proposition 5.4.1.

Remark 5.5.2. The reader may be relieved to hear that the class $\tilde{\nu}_{\rm fp}^{1-{\rm ord}}$ is "the ultimate among liftings" of ν ": all other variants of ν will be images of this one.

Proof. From the mapping-fibre definition of Gros fp-cohomology we have a long exact sequence

$$\cdots \to H^1_{\mathrm{rig},c}(X^{1-\mathrm{ord}}_{G,0}, \mathcal{V}\langle -D\rangle) \to \widetilde{H}^2_{\mathrm{fp},c}(\mathcal{X}^{(1-\mathrm{ord})}_G, \mathcal{V}\langle -D\rangle; 1+j, P_{1+j}) \to \widetilde{H}^2_{\mathrm{dR},c}(\mathcal{X}^{(1-\mathrm{ord})}_G, \mathcal{V}\langle -D\rangle) \to \dots,$$

in which the boundary map is $P(\varphi) \circ \iota$. Moreover, this is compatible under extension-by-zero with the corresponding sequence for fp-cohomology of X_G .

We have seen that the image of $(\nu^{1-\text{ord}}, 0)$ under ι is the class $\nu_{dR}^{1-\text{ord}}$, which is annihilated by $P(\varphi)$. Hence it lifts to $\widetilde{H}^2_{\text{fp},c}$. This lift is unique up to the image of an element of $H^1_{\text{rig},c}(X^{1-\text{ord}}_{G,0},\mathcal{V}\langle -D\rangle);$ but from Proposition 5.1.4 it follows that this group has trivial $\Pi_{\rm f}$ -eigenspace for the prime-to-p Hecke operators, so there is a unique Hecke-equivariant lifting of $(\nu^{1-\text{ord}}, 0)$ to Gros-fp cohomology. The image of this class under extension-by-0 is therefore a Hecke-equivariant lifting of ν_{dR} to fp-cohomology of \mathbb{X}_G , so it must be $\nu_{\rm fp}$.

It is easily checked that $\iota^{-1}(\mathcal{X}_G^{\mathrm{ord}}) = \mathcal{X}_H^{\mathrm{ord}}$, so we have the following:

Corollary 5.5.3. The pairing (4.1) is equal to $\left\langle \iota^{[j],*}\left(\tilde{\nu}_{\rm fp}^{1-{\rm ord}}\right), \widetilde{\rm Eis}_{\rm syn}^{t,{\rm ord}}\right\rangle$, where $\widetilde{\rm Eis}_{\rm syn}^{t,{\rm ord}}$ is the image of $\operatorname{Eis}_{\operatorname{syn}}^t$ in the Gros fp-cohomology of $\mathcal{X}_H^{\operatorname{ord}}$.

Here we define $\iota^{[j],*}$ for classes in Gros fp-cohomology using the quasi-isomorphism from the BGG complex to the full de Rham complex. We shall give explicit formulae in Section 7.1 below, but first we need to give an explicit form for $\tilde{\nu}_{\rm fp}^{1-{\rm ord}}$, which can only be done after restricting to $\mathcal{X}_G^{\rm ord} \subset \mathcal{X}_G^{1-{\rm ord}}$.

6. Restricting to the fully-ordinary locus

6.1. Cohomology with partial compact support. We now consider the cohomology of the fully ordinary locus $X_{G,0}^{\text{ord}}$. Since the complement $X_{G,0} - X_{G,0}^{\text{ord}}$ is the disjoint union of a closed subvariety $X_{G,0}^{(1-\text{ss})}$ and the open subvariety $X_{G,0}^{(2-\text{ss})} \cap X_{G,0}^{(1-\text{ord})}$, we can apply the formalism of [LZ20, §13] to define "cohomology of $\mathcal{X}_G^{\text{ord}}$ with compact support towards $\mathcal{X}_G^{(1-\text{ss})}$ " (with coefficients in any abelian sheaf on \mathcal{X}_G). We write this as $R\Gamma_{c1}(\mathcal{X}_G, -)$. By construction, this comes equipped with a restriction map

$$R\Gamma_{c}\left(\mathcal{X}_{G}^{1-\mathrm{ord}},-\right) \to R\Gamma_{c1}\left(\mathcal{X}_{G}^{\mathrm{ord}},-\right),$$

which fits into a triangle whose third term is the compactly-supported cohomology of $\mathcal{X}_G^{1-\mathrm{ord}} \cap \mathcal{X}_G^{2-\mathrm{ss}}$. In particular, by the same argument as Proposition 5.1.4, we have isomorphisms

 $R\Gamma_{\mathrm{rig},c1}\left(\mathcal{X}_{G}^{\mathrm{ord}},\mathcal{V}\langle-D\rangle\right)\left[\Pi_{\mathrm{f}}\right] \longleftarrow R\Gamma_{\mathrm{rig},c}\left(\mathcal{X}_{G}^{1-\mathrm{ord}},\mathcal{V}\langle-D\rangle\right)\left[\Pi_{\mathrm{f}}\right] \longrightarrow R\Gamma_{\mathrm{rig},c}\left(\mathcal{X}_{G},\mathcal{V}\langle-D\rangle\right)\left[\Pi_{\mathrm{f}}\right].$

The advantage of working with $\mathcal{X}_G^{\text{ord}}$ is that both φ_1 and φ_2 have liftings.

Notation 6.1.1. Write $\nu^{\text{ord}} \in H^1_{c1}(\mathcal{X}^{\text{ord}}_G, \omega^{(-k_1, k_2+2)}(-D))$ for the image of $\nu^{1-\text{ord}}$ under the above restriction map.

Note 6.1.2. Over the ordinary locus, we have commuting liftings of both φ_1 and φ_2 , which both act on $R\Gamma_{c1}\left(\mathcal{X}_{G}^{\mathrm{ord}},\omega^{(\dots)}\right);$ and the operator $T_{\mathfrak{p}_{2}}$ decomposes as $T_{\mathfrak{p}_{2}} = U_{\mathfrak{p}_{2}} + \varphi_{2},$ with $U_{\mathfrak{p}_{2}} \circ \varphi_{2} = p^{k_{2}+1}\langle \mathfrak{p}_{2} \rangle.$

Corollary 6.1.3. The class $P(\varphi) \cdot \nu^{\text{ord}}$ lies in the kernel of the Hecke operator $U_{\mathfrak{p}_2}$.

Proof. We have $\varphi = \varphi_1 \varphi_2$. The result follows easily from Theorem 5.2.1 and Note 6.1.2, using the fact that $\nu^{(1-\text{ord})}$ is a $T_{\mathfrak{p}_2}$ -eigenvector.

6.2. The Poznan spectral sequence. We now recall a spectral sequence (introduced in [LZ20]) relating Gros fp-cohomology to coherent cohomology. Here Gros fp-cohomology is defined in the same way as for the \mathfrak{p}_1 -ordinary locus above, but now with *c*1-support.

Definition 6.2.1. We define groups $\mathscr{C}_{\mathrm{fp},c1}^{m,n}(\mathcal{X}_G^{\mathrm{ord}},\mathcal{V}\langle -D\rangle;1+j,P_{1+j})$, for $m,n \ge 0$, by

$$\mathscr{C}_{\mathsf{fp},c1}^{m,n}(\dots) = H_{c1}^n \left(\mathscr{X}_G^{\mathrm{ord}}, (\tau_{\ge 1} \operatorname{BGG})^m(-D) \right) \oplus H_{c1}^n \left(\mathscr{X}_G^{\mathrm{ord}}, \operatorname{BGG}^{m-1}(-D) \right)$$

and we define differentials $\mathscr{C}^{m,n}_{\mathrm{fp},c1}(\ldots) \to \mathscr{C}^{m+1,n}_{\mathrm{fp},c1}(\ldots)$ by

 \mathbf{Pz}

$$(x, y) \mapsto (\nabla x, P(\varphi/p^n)\iota(x) - \nabla y)$$

where ι is the inclusion of Fil^{1+j} BGG[•] into BGG[•], and ∇ the differential of the BGG complex.

Note that $\mathscr{C}_{\mathrm{fp},c1}^{m,n}$ is zero for $m \leqslant 0$ (this is obvious for $m \leqslant -1$, and holds for m = 0 since $\operatorname{Fil}^{(1+j)} \operatorname{BGG}^0 = 0$). It is also zero for $n \leq 0$, since H_{c1}^0 vanishes for locally-free sheaves.

Proposition 6.2.2. There is a first-quadrant spectral sequence, the Poznań spectral sequence, with

$$E_1^{mn} = \mathscr{C}_{\text{fp},c1}^{m,n}(\mathcal{X}_G^{\text{ord}}, \mathcal{V}\langle -D\rangle; 1+j, P_{1+j}),$$

and the differentials on the E_1 page given by the formula above. This spectral sequence abuts to the Gros fp-cohomology $\widetilde{H}^{m+n}_{\mathrm{fp},c1}(\mathcal{X}^{\mathrm{ord}}_G,\mathcal{V}\langle -D\rangle;1+j,P_{1+j}).$

Definition 6.2.3. We define a coherent fp-pair (of degree (m, n), twist 1+j and c1-support) to be an element of the kernel of the differential $\mathscr{C}_{\text{fp},c1}^{m,n} \to \mathscr{C}_{\text{fp},c1}^{m+1,n}$; we write the group of these as $\mathscr{Z}_{\text{fp},c1}^{m,n}(\mathcal{X}_G^{\text{ord}}, \mathcal{V}\langle -D\rangle; 1+1)$ $j, P_{1+j}).$

Thus an fp-pair is a pair of elements

$$x \in H^n_{c1}(\mathcal{X}_G^{\text{ord}}, \mathscr{F}il^{1+j}\operatorname{BGG}^m(-D)), \qquad y \in H^n_{c1}(\mathcal{X}_G^{\text{ord}}, \mathscr{F}il^{1+j}\operatorname{BGG}^{m-1}(-D))$$
atisfy

which s

(6.1)

$$\nabla(x) = 0$$
 and $\nabla(y) = P(p^{-1-j}\varphi)\iota(x).$

Note 6.2.4. Given x, the equation (6.1) does not determine the element y uniquely: it is determined up to an element of $H^n_{c1}(\mathcal{X}^{\mathrm{ord}}_G, \mathrm{BGG}^{m-1}(-D))^{\nabla=0}$.

Proposition 6.2.5. For $0 \leq j \leq \min\{k_1, k_2\}$, the spectral sequence gives rise to an isomorphism

$$\alpha_{G,\mathrm{fp}}: \mathscr{Z}^{1,1}_{\mathrm{fp},c1}(\mathcal{X}_G^{\mathrm{ord}}, \mathcal{V}\langle -D\rangle; 1+j, P_{1+j}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \widetilde{H}^2_{\mathrm{fp},c1}(\mathcal{X}_G^{\mathrm{ord}}, \mathcal{V}\langle -D\rangle; 1+j, P_{1+j})$$

Proof. Since the E_1 page of the spectral sequence is zero for $m \leq 0$, the term ${}^{\Pr}E_2^{1,1}$ is the kernel of the differential on ${}^{\mathrm{Pz}}E_1^{1,1}$, which is the group of fp-pairs. Since this is the only nonzero term with m+n=2, and the incoming and outgoing differentials at ${}^{\mathrm{Pz}}E_r^{1,1}$ are trivially zero for all $r \ge 1$, we conclude that ${}^{\mathrm{Pz}}E_2^{1,1}$ coincides with \widetilde{H}^2 of the abutment, as required.

Corollary 6.2.6. Every cohomology class in $\widetilde{H}^2_{\text{fp},c1}(\mathcal{X}_G^{\text{ord}}, \mathcal{V}\langle -\mathcal{D}\rangle; 1+j, P_{1+j})$ can be uniquely represented by a coherent fp-pair of degree (1, 1).

Proof. Since the E_1 terms of the spectral sequence are supported in the region $m, n \ge 1$, there are no other terms of total degree 2 except (m, n) = (1, 1); and clearly $E_2^{(1,1)} = E_{\infty}^{(1,1)}$ since the differentials on the E_2 page and beyond land outside this region. \square

An exactly analogous argument shows that for the truncated de Rham cohomology groups $H^i_{dR,c1}$ (the hypercohomology of $\tau_{\geq 1} \operatorname{BGG}^{\bullet}(-D)$), we have an isomorphism

$$H^{1}_{c1}(\mathcal{X}_{G}^{\mathrm{ord}}, \mathrm{BGG}^{1}(-D)) \xrightarrow{\alpha_{G,\mathrm{rig}}} \widetilde{H}^{2}_{\mathrm{dR},c1}(\mathcal{X}_{G}^{\mathrm{ord}}, \mathcal{V}\langle -\mathcal{D}\rangle, 1+j).$$
¹¹

Lemma 6.2.7. Let $0 \leq j \leq \min\{k_1, k_2\}$. We have a commutative diagram

where the vertical arrows are the natural projection maps.

Proof. Clear from the constructions.

6.3. Construction of a coherent fp-pair.

Notation 6.3.1. Define

$$\tilde{\nu}_{\rm fp}^{\rm (ord)} \in \widetilde{H}_{{\rm fp},c1}^2(\mathcal{X}_G^{\rm ord}, \mathcal{V}\langle -\mathcal{D}\rangle; 1+j, P_{1+j})$$

to be the restriction of the class $\tilde{\nu}_{\rm fp}^{(1-{\rm ord})}$ constructed above.

Corollary 6.3.2. There exists a uniquely determined class

 $\xi \in H^1_{c1}(\mathcal{X}_G^{\text{ord}}, \omega^{(-k_1, -k_2)}(-\mathcal{D})),$

which is independent of j, such that $(\nu^{(\text{ord})},\xi)$ forms an fp-pair representing the class $\tilde{\nu}_{\text{fp}}^{(\text{ord})}$, and such that ξ lies in the Π -eigenspace for the Hecke operators away from pN.

Proof. The existence of ξ is immediate from Corollary 6.2.6 and Lemma 6.2.7; the independence from j is clear by construction.

Now, if ξ' is another element such that $(\nu^{(\text{ord})}, \xi')$ also represents $\tilde{\nu}_{\text{fp}}^{(\text{ord})}$, then

$$\xi - \xi' \in H^1_{c1}(\mathcal{X}^{\mathrm{ord}}_G, \mathrm{BGG}^0(-D))^{\nabla=0} \cong H^1_{\mathrm{rig},c1}(X_{G,0}, \mathcal{V}\langle -\mathcal{D} \rangle).$$

As we have seen, the $\Pi_{\rm f}$ -eigenspace in this cohomology is zero, so there is a unique choice of ξ which is Hecke-equivariant.

Lemma 6.3.3. The element ξ has the following properties:

(6.2)
$$\varphi_1.\xi = \alpha_1 \xi \qquad and \qquad U_{\mathfrak{p}_2}.\xi = 0.$$

Proof. We first show the latter statement. We deduce from Corollary 6.1.3 that

$$U_{\mathfrak{p}_2} \cdot \xi \in H^1_{c1}(\mathcal{X}_G^{\mathrm{ord}}, \mathrm{BGG}^0(-\mathcal{D}))^{\nabla=0}[\Pi'_f]$$

But as observed above, this space is zero. The former statement follows analogously, by considering the element $(\varphi_1 - \alpha_1) \cdot \xi$. \square

We now lift these classes from the BGG complex to the full de Rham complex:

Definition 6.3.4.

(1) Write $\dot{\xi}$ for the image of ξ in $H^1_{c1}(\mathcal{X}_G^{\text{ord}}, \mathcal{V}(-D))$. (2) Similarly, for $0 \leq j \leq \min\{k_1, k_2\}$ write $\dot{\nu}_j^{(\text{ord})}$ for the image of $\nu^{(\text{ord})}$ in

$$H^1_{c1}(\mathcal{X}^{\mathrm{ord}}_G,\mathscr{F}il^j\mathcal{V}\otimes\Omega^1\langle-\mathcal{D}
angle).$$

Lemma 6.3.5. The element $\dot{\xi}$ also satisfies

 $\varphi_1.\dot{\xi} = \alpha_1 \dot{\xi}$ and $U_{\mathfrak{p}_2}.\dot{\xi} = 0.$ (6.3)

The following result will be very important for the regulator evaluation:

Proposition 6.3.6. We have

$$U_p \circ \iota^*(\dot{\xi}) = 0.$$

Proof. As maps $H^{\bullet}(\mathcal{X}_{G}^{\mathrm{ord}}) \to H^{\bullet}(\mathcal{X}_{H}^{\mathrm{ord}})$, we have the following identity:

(6.4)
$$U_p \circ \iota^* \circ \varphi_{\mathfrak{p}_1} = \iota^* \circ (\langle \mathfrak{p}_1 \rangle U_{\mathfrak{p}_2}).$$

Since $\dot{\xi}$ is an eigenvector of $\varphi_{\mathfrak{p}_1}$ with non-zero eigenvalue, and it is in the kernel of $\varphi_{\mathfrak{p}_2}$, the Proposition follows.

7. EXPRESSION VIA COHERENT COHOMOLOGY

7.1. Relating the BGG and de Rham complexes. We need to recall some formulae relating the de Rham and BGG complexes for GL₂. Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. The BGG complex for weight $k \geq 0$ is given by $\left[\omega^{-k} \xrightarrow{\Theta} \omega^{k+2}\right]$, where Θ is a differential operator given in terms of *q*-expansions by

$$\Theta = \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \theta^{k+1}, \qquad \theta = q \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}q}$$

(Cf. [TX16, Remark 2.17] for example.) The map $BGG^{\bullet} \to DR^{\bullet}$ is given as follows.

- In degree 1, it is given by the tensor product of the natural inclusion $\omega^k = \operatorname{Fil}^k(\operatorname{Sym}^k \mathcal{W}_H) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{W}_H$ and the Kodaira–Spencer isomorphism $\omega^2 \cong \Omega^1(D)$.
- In degree 0, a section s of ω^{-k} is mapped to the unique section of $\operatorname{Sym}^k \mathcal{W}_H$ whose image in $\operatorname{Sym}^k \mathcal{W}_H / \operatorname{Fil}^0 \cong \omega^{-k}$ is s, and whose image under the differential ∇ lands in $\omega^k \otimes \Omega^1(D)$.

We now recall (and somewhat reformulate) some results from §4 of [KLZ20] giving a completely explicit description of these maps. We write $\mathscr{X}_{H}^{\text{ord}}$ for the ordinary locus as a classical rigid space (not a dagger space), i.e. neglecting overconvergence. Passing to the Igusa tower \mathscr{IG}_{H} (the canonical \mathbf{Z}_{p}^{\times} -covering of $\mathscr{X}_{H}^{\text{ord}}$ parametrising ordinary elliptic curves with a trivialization of their formal group), we obtain a canonical section v of ω , corresponding to the invariant differential form $\frac{dT}{T}$ on the Tate curve $\mathbf{G}_{m}/q^{\mathbb{Z}}$; note that $\varphi^{*}(v) = pv$. If we let w be the unique section such that $\nabla(v) = u \otimes \xi$, where ξ the local basis of $\Omega_{\mathscr{X}_{H}^{\text{ord}}}^{1}(D)$ corresponding to $\frac{dq}{q}$, then v and w are a basis of sections of \mathcal{W}_{H} over the Igusa tower, with w spanning the unit-root subspace (we have $\varphi^{*}(w) = w$) and $\nabla w = 0$. Hence we obtain a basis of sections $(v^{a}w^{k-a})_{0 \leqslant a \leqslant k}$ of Sym^k \mathcal{W}_{H} in which the actions of ∇ and φ are completely explicit.

In these coordinates, the map from ω^{-k} to $\operatorname{Sym}^k \mathcal{W}_H$ sends a section \mathcal{G} of ω^{-k} to the section

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k} \frac{(-1)^{i}}{i!} \theta^{i}(\mathcal{G}) \cdot v^{i} w^{k-i}.$$

One verifies easily that the image of this under ∇ is $\theta^{k+1}(\mathcal{G})v^k \otimes \xi$, as expected. (Note that it is not *a priori* obvious that such a sum is overconvergent if \mathcal{G} is, since neither θ nor the local bases $v^i w^{k-i}$ have any meaning outside the ordinary locus.)

7.2. The Eisenstein class as a coherent fp-pair. Recall that the GL₂ Eisenstein class $\text{Eis}_{\text{syn},N}^t$ lies in $H^1_{\text{syn}}(\mathbb{Y}_H, \mathcal{V}_H(1+t))$, where \mathbb{Y}_H is the \mathbb{Z}_p -model of the modular curve $Y_1(N)$, and \mathcal{V}_H is the sheaf corresponding to the *t*-th symmetric power of the standard representation.

If we restrict to the open subscheme $\mathbb{Y}_{H}^{\text{ord}}$ given by removing the supersingular points of the special fibre (so $\mathbb{Y}_{H}^{\text{ord}}$ has the same generic fibre as \mathbb{Y}_{H}), and work with Gros syntomic cohomology, then we have a convenient explicit description: a class $x \in \widetilde{H}^{1}_{\text{syn}}(\mathcal{X}_{1}(N)^{\text{ord}}, \mathcal{V}_{H}, 1+t)$ is given by a pair (x_{0}, x_{1}) , where

$$x_0 \in H^0(\mathcal{X}_1(N)^{\mathrm{ord}}, \mathcal{V}), \qquad x_1 \in H^0(\mathcal{X}_1(N)^{\mathrm{ord}}, \mathrm{Fil}^t \mathcal{V} \otimes \Omega^1 \langle D \rangle), \qquad \nabla x_0 = (1 - p^{-1 - t} \varphi) x_1.$$

The sheaf Fil^t $\mathcal{V} \otimes \Omega^1 \langle D \rangle$ is simply ω^{t+2} , so x_1 is an overconvergent *p*-adic modular form of weight t+2; via this description, φ acts on overconvergent forms as $p^{t+1} \langle p \rangle V_p$, where $\langle p \rangle$ is the diamond operator for $p \mod N$.

We let $\widetilde{\text{Eis}}_{\text{syn},N}^{t,\text{ord}}$ be the image of $\text{Eis}_{\text{syn},N}^{t}$ in this group; we shall now write down an explicit representing pair. The following is a reformulation of Theorem 4.5.7 of [KLZ20]; the formulations differ because we are using symmetric powers here rather than symmetric tensors (the basis vector $v^{[r,s]}$ of *op.cit*. corresponds to $\frac{v^s w^r}{r!s!}$ in our present notation) and because we use a slightly different notation for Eisenstein series following [Kat04].

Proposition 7.2.1. The Eisenstein class $\widetilde{\text{Eis}}_{\text{syn},N}^{t,\text{ord}}$ is represented by the pair of sections $(\epsilon_0^t, \epsilon_1^t)$ whose restrictions to $\mathscr{X}_H^{\text{ord}}$ are

$$\epsilon_{0} = -N^{k} \sum_{u=0}^{t} \frac{(-1)^{t-u}}{u!} \theta^{u} \left(E_{0,1/N}^{-t, \text{ord}} \right) \cdot v^{t-u} w^{u},$$

$$\epsilon_{1} = -\frac{N^{t}}{t!} F_{0,1/N}^{t+2} \cdot v^{t} \otimes \xi.$$

Here $F_{0,1/N}^{t+2}$ is the algebraic Eisenstein series with q-expansion

$$\zeta(-1-t) + \sum_{n>0} q^n \sum_{d|n} (\frac{n}{d})^{t+1} (\zeta_N^d + (-1)^t \zeta_N^{-d}),$$

and $E_{0,1/N}^{-t,\text{ord}}$ is an ordinary p-adic Eisenstein series of weight -t, satisfying $\theta^{t+1}(E_{0,1/N}^{-t,\text{ord}}) = (1 - \langle p \rangle V_p) F_{0,1/N}^{t+2}$.

Remark 7.2.2. Note that the individual terms $\theta^u(E_{0,1/N}^{-t, \text{ord}})$ are p-adic modular forms, but they are not overconvergent (unless u = 0). Nonetheless, ϵ_0 is an overconvergent section of \mathcal{V}_H ; the nonoverconvergence arises because the sections v, w we are using to trivialize \mathcal{V}_H over the ordinary locus are not themselves overconvergent.

7.3. Explicit formulae for the Clebsch–Gordan map. The map $CG^{[j]}$ is given explicitly by the following formula (c.f. [KLZ20, Prop. 5.1.2])⁴: for $0 \leq s \leq t$, we have

$$(7.1) \quad \iota^{[j]}(v^s w^{t-s}) = \sum_{\substack{0 \leqslant r \leqslant k_1 - j \\ 0 \leqslant r' \leqslant k_2 - j \\ r^+ r' = s}} \sum_{i=0}^{j} (-1)^i \frac{s!(t-s)!}{r!(r')!(k_1 - r - j)!(k_2 - r' - j)!i!(j-i)!} v^{r+i} w^{k_1 - r - i} \boxtimes v^{r' + j - i} w^{k_2 - r' - j + i} \otimes e_{-j}.$$

Lemma 7.3.1. For given values of k_1, k_2, j , the image of $\iota^{[j]}(v^s w^{t-s})$ in the line spanned by the basis vector $v^{k_1} \boxtimes w^{k_2} \otimes e_{-j}$ is zero for all s except $s = k_1 - j$, in which case it is equal to $\frac{(-1)^j}{i!} \cdot v^{k_1} \boxtimes w^{k_2} \otimes e_{-j}$.

Proof. This is a superficially modified version of Proposition 5.1.2 of [KLZ20].

Remark 7.3.2. In particular, this shows that the Clebsch–Gordan map is not in general defined integrally (i.e. does not respect the lattice given by the **Z**-span of the basis vectors). However, the coefficient j! is the worst possible – one can check that $j! \iota^{[j]}$ is integral.

7.4. Reduction to a pairing in coherent cohomology. Recall that we want to evaluate the pairing $\left| \left(i \right) \right|$ $\left| \begin{smallmatrix} t \\ \mathrm{syn}, N \end{smallmatrix} \right\rangle.$

(7.2)
$$\langle (\iota^{[J]})^*(\nu_{\rm fp}), \operatorname{Eis}_{\rm sy}^{\iota} \rangle$$

Now we have

(7.2) =
$$\left\langle (\iota^{[j]})^* \left(\nu_{\rm fp}^{(1-{\rm ord})} \right), \operatorname{Eis}_{\operatorname{syn},N}^{t,\operatorname{ord}} \right\rangle$$

= $\left\langle (\iota^{[j]})^* \left(\tilde{\nu}_{\rm fp}^{(1-{\rm ord})} \right), \widetilde{\operatorname{Eis}}_{\operatorname{syn},N}^{t,\operatorname{ord}} \right\rangle$
= $\left\langle (\iota^{[j]})^* \left(\tilde{\nu}_{\rm fp}^{({\rm ord})} \right), \widetilde{\operatorname{Eis}}_{\operatorname{syn},N}^{t,\operatorname{ord}} \right\rangle$
= $\left\langle (\iota^{[j]})^* \left(\tilde{\nu}_{j}^{({\rm ord})}, \dot{\xi} \right), (\epsilon_1, \epsilon_0) \right\rangle$.

Here, (7.3) takes values in the group

$$\widetilde{H}^2_{\mathrm{fp},c}(\mathcal{X}_H^{\mathrm{ord}}, \mathbf{Q}_p\langle -\mathcal{D}_H\rangle, 2; P_j) \cong^{\mathrm{tr}} \mathbf{Q}_p.$$

We evaluate (7.3) using the formalism of cup products in fp-cohomology (cf. [Bes00]). We have

$$P_j(st) = a(t,s)P_j(t) + b(t,s)(1-s)$$

with a(t,s) = s and

$$b(t,s) = \frac{P_j(st) - sP_j(t)}{1-s} = 1 - b \cdot t^2 s, \qquad b = \frac{p^{2j+2}}{\alpha_1^2 \alpha_2 \beta_2} = \frac{\beta_1}{\alpha_1} \cdot \frac{1}{p^{(k_1+k_2-2j)}}$$

Hence

$$P_{j}(p^{-1}) \times \left\langle (\iota^{[j]})^{*} \left(\dot{\nu}_{j}^{(\mathrm{ord})}, \dot{\xi} \right), \left(\epsilon_{1}^{t}, \epsilon_{0}^{t} \right) \right\rangle$$
$$= (\iota^{[j]})^{*} (\dot{\xi}) \cup \varphi_{H}^{*}(\epsilon_{1}^{t}) + \left(1 - \frac{\beta_{1} \cdot (\varphi_{H}^{*,2} \otimes \varphi_{H}^{*})}{p^{k_{1}+k_{2}-2j} \langle p \rangle \alpha_{1}} \right) \left((\iota^{[j]})^{*} (\dot{\nu}_{j}^{(\mathrm{ord})}) \cup \epsilon_{0}^{t} \right)$$

 4 The factorials appear slightly different from *op.cit.* since we are here working with symmetric powers v^{m} rather than symmetric tensors $v^{[m]}$. This is also the reason for the presence of t in the formula for the Eisenstein series.

Lemma 7.4.1. We have $(\iota^{[j]})^*(\dot{\xi}) \cup \varphi^*_H(\epsilon^t_0) = 0.$

Proof. Observe that U_p acts on the top-degree rigid cohomology as multiplication by a power of p. But we also have

$$U_p\left((\iota^{[j]})^*(\dot{\xi})\cup\varphi_H^*(\epsilon_0^t)\right)=U_p\left((\iota^{[j]})^*(\dot{\xi})\right)\cup\epsilon_0^t,$$

which is equal to zero by Proposition 6.3.6. The Proposition follows.

Proposition 7.4.2. Equation (7.3) is equal to

$$\frac{\left(1-\frac{\beta_1}{p\alpha_1}\right)}{\left(1-\frac{p^j}{\alpha_1\alpha_2}\right)\left(1-\frac{p^j}{\alpha_1\beta_2}\right)}\left\langle (\iota^{[j]})^*(\dot{\nu}_j^{(\mathrm{ord})}), \epsilon_0^t \right\rangle.$$

Proof. Since any p-depleted form will pair to 0 with a form that's in a direct sum of finite-slope φ eigenspaces, we only care about the ϵ_0 term modulo exact forms. We also only care about its projection to the $\langle p \rangle = 1$ eigenspace, because we are pairing it with a class in the Π -eigenspace and Π has trivial central character.

On the space $H^2_{\mathrm{rig},c}(\mathcal{X}^{\mathrm{ord}}_H\langle -\mathcal{D}\rangle, \mathbf{Q}_p(2))$, the Frobenius acts as p^{-1} , and $\langle p \rangle$ acts trivially; so we can replace $\frac{\beta_1 \cdot (\varphi_H^{*,2} \otimes \varphi_H^*)}{p^{k_1+k_2-2j} \langle p \rangle \alpha_1}$ with $\frac{\beta_1 \cdot (1 \otimes \varphi_H^{-1})}{p^{k_1+k_2-2j+2} \alpha_1}$. The operator φ^{-1} makes sense modulo *p*-depleted forms, and in this quotient we have

$$\varphi^{-1} \cdot \epsilon_0^m = p^{m+1} \langle p \rangle^{-1} \epsilon_0^m.$$

So we are done.

Remark 7.4.3. Note that $\dot{\nu}_j^{(\text{ord})}$ makes sense over the \mathfrak{p}_1 -ordinary locus $\mathcal{X}_G^{1-\text{ord}}$; the antiderivative $\dot{\xi}$ is only defined over the fully ordinary locus $\mathcal{X}_G^{\text{ord}}$, but this term has disappeared from our formula. So we can also interpret the pairing of Proposition 7.4.2 as a cup-product in the cohomology of $\mathcal{X}_{C}^{1-\mathrm{ord}}$, which will allow us to compare with the construction of *p*-adic *L*-functions from [GLZ23].

7.5. A partial unit root splitting. We saw above that over $\mathscr{X}_{H}^{\text{ord}}$ (the ordinary locus as a classical rigid space) the Hodge filtration of \mathcal{V}_H has a canonical splitting given by the unit-root subspace. For X_G we have the more refined structure of a \mathbb{Z}^2 -filtration, and we can ask for splittings of either factor. We state below the case of interest.

Proposition 7.5.1. Over $\mathscr{X}_G^{1-\text{ord}}$, the natural inclusion map $\omega^{(k_1,-k_2)} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{V}_G/\operatorname{Fil}^{k_1+1}$ admits a canonical splitting.

Proof. We have a projection map from \mathcal{V}_G onto $\mathcal{V}_G/\operatorname{Fil}^{(0,1)}\mathcal{V}_G \cong \operatorname{Sym}^{k_1}\mathcal{W}_G \boxtimes \omega^{-k_2}$. So it suffices to show that the filtration on $\operatorname{Sym}^{k_1} \mathcal{W}_G$ is splittable over the 1-ordinary locus; but this is clear, since a complement is provided by the unit-root subspace for the operator φ_1 . \square

7.6. Comparison of pushforward maps. To begin with, we observe that there exist pushforwardmaps in coherent cohomology:

Proposition 7.6.1. There exists a pushforward map on coherent cohomology

$$\iota_{\operatorname{coh},*}: H^0(\mathscr{X}_H^{\operatorname{ord}}, \omega^{k_1-k_2}) \longrightarrow H^1(\mathscr{X}_G^{1-\operatorname{ord}}, \omega^{k_1+2,-k_2}).$$

Proof. Clear since the conormal sheaf of the embedding ι is isomorphic to ω^2 .

We want to compare this map with the pushforward maps $\iota_*^{[j]}$ on the cohomology of the de Rham sheaves.

Notation 7.6.2. We use the notation of Section 2.2, and we write

- $\mathcal{V}_G = \operatorname{Sym}^{[k_1, k_2]} \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})_{\mathbf{Q}_p};$
- $\mathcal{V}_H = \operatorname{Sym}^t \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{E})_{\mathbf{Q}_n} \text{ for } 0 \leq j \leq \min\{k_1, k_2\}.$

for for vector bundles attached to V_H and V_G , respectively.

Proposition 7.6.3. Pushforward along $\iota^{[j]}$ induces a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{c} H^{0}(\mathscr{X}_{H}^{\mathrm{ord}}, V_{H}/\operatorname{Fil}^{k_{1}-j+1}V_{H}) \xrightarrow{\iota_{*}^{[j]}} H^{1}(\mathscr{X}_{G}^{1-\mathrm{ord}}, V_{G}/\operatorname{Fil}^{k_{1}+1}V_{G}\otimes\Omega^{1}\langle D\rangle) \\ \uparrow \qquad \uparrow \qquad \uparrow \qquad \uparrow \\ H^{0}(\mathscr{X}_{H}^{\mathrm{ord}}, \operatorname{Gr}^{k_{1}-j}V_{H}) \xrightarrow{} H^{1}(\mathscr{X}_{G}^{1-\mathrm{ord}}, \operatorname{Gr}^{k_{1}}V_{G}\otimes\Omega^{1}\langle D\rangle) \\ \xrightarrow{\frac{1}{j!}\iota_{\operatorname{coh},*}} \qquad \downarrow \\ H^{1}(\mathscr{X}_{G}^{1-\mathrm{ord}}, \omega^{k_{1}+2,-k_{2}}). \end{array}$$

Proof. For the commutativity of the top square, we note that the Clebsch–Gordan map is compatible with filtrations and hence induces a commutative diagram of B_H -representations

$$V_H / \operatorname{Fil}^{k_1 - j} V_H \longrightarrow V_G / \operatorname{Fil}^{k_1} V_G$$

$$\uparrow \qquad \qquad \uparrow$$

$$\operatorname{Gr}^{k_1 - j} V_H \longrightarrow \operatorname{Gr}^{k_1} V_G.$$

The identification of the diagonal map as $\frac{1}{j!}\iota_{\text{coh},*}$ arises similarly, using the explicit formula (7.1).

Proposition 7.6.4. The diagram in Proposition 7.6.3 is compatible with the unit root splitting

$$u_H: H^0(\mathscr{X}_H^{\mathrm{ord}}, V_H/\operatorname{Fil}^{k_1-j+1}V_H) \longrightarrow H^0(\mathscr{X}_H^{\mathrm{ord}}, \operatorname{Gr}^{k_1-j}V_H)$$

and the partial unit root splitting

$$u_G: H^1(\mathscr{X}_G^{1-\mathrm{ord}}, V_G/\operatorname{Fil}^{k_1+1} V_G \otimes \Omega^1) \longrightarrow H^1(\mathscr{X}_G^{1-\mathrm{ord}}, \omega^{k_1+2, -k_2}):$$

we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{c} H^{0}(\mathscr{X}_{H}^{\mathrm{ord}}, V_{H}/\operatorname{Fil}^{k_{1}-j+1}V_{H}) \xrightarrow{\iota_{*}^{[j]}} H^{1}(\mathscr{X}_{G}^{1-\mathrm{ord}}, V_{G}/\operatorname{Fil}^{k_{1}+1}\otimes\Omega^{1}) \\ \uparrow \\ \downarrow \\ H^{0}(\mathscr{X}_{H}^{\mathrm{ord}}, \operatorname{Gr}^{k_{1}-j}V_{H}) \xrightarrow{} H^{1}(\mathscr{X}_{G}^{1-\mathrm{ord}}, \operatorname{Gr}^{k_{1}}V_{G}\otimes\Omega^{1}) \\ \downarrow \\ H^{1}(\mathscr{X}_{G}^{1-\mathrm{ord}}, \omega^{k_{1}+2,-k_{2}}) \leftarrow \\ \end{array}$$

Proof. Over $\mathscr{X}_{H}^{\text{ord}}$, we have a canonical splitting of the Hodge filtration of \mathcal{W}_{H} , as above. To prove the proposition, it is sufficient to check that the unit root splittings induce a commutative diagram

which boils down to an explicit computation with the Clebsch–Gordan map using equation (7.1). \Box

It follows from the classicity theorem of higher Hida theory proved in [GLZ23] that the natural restriction map (forgetting overconvergence)

$$H^1(\mathcal{X}_G^{1-\mathrm{ord}},\omega^{k_1+2,-k_2}) \to H^1(\mathscr{X}_G^{1-\mathrm{ord}},\omega^{k_1+2,-k_2})$$

is an isomorphism on the ordinary (slope 0) eigenspace for $U_{\mathfrak{p}_1}$. If Π is ordinary at \mathfrak{p}_1 (and α_1 is, necessarily, the unit root) then pairing with ν^{ord} factors through this eigenspace, so we obtain the following:

Corollary 7.6.5. Assume Π is ordinary at \mathfrak{p}_1 . Then the linear functional on $H^0(\mathcal{X}_H^{\text{ord}}, V_H/\operatorname{Fil}^{k_1-j+1}V_H)$ given by pairing with $(\iota^{[j],*})(\dot{\nu}^{\text{ord}})$ factors through the unit root splitting into : $H^0(\mathcal{X}_H^{\text{ord}}, \operatorname{Gr}^{k_1-j}V_H)$, and it is given by

$$\frac{k_1! k_2!}{j!} \cdot \left\langle \nu^{(1-\text{ord})}, \iota_{\text{coh},*}(-) \right\rangle_{\mathcal{X}^{1-\text{ord}}_G}. \quad \Box$$

Remark 7.6.6. The factors $k_i!$ arise from the pairing of the basis vectors v^{k_i} and w^{k_i} of Sym^{k_i} W_G .

7.7. Relation to *p*-adic *L*-functions. We use Corollary 7.6.5 in order to relate the formula in Proposition 7.4.2 to values of *p*-adic *L*-functions. We assume henceforth that Π is ordinary at \mathfrak{p}_1 .

Proposition 7.7.1. The pairing (7.2) is given by

$$\left\langle (\iota^{[j]})^*(\nu_{\rm fp}), \, \operatorname{Eis}_{\operatorname{syn},N}^t \right\rangle = \frac{N^{(k_1+k_2-2j)}(-1)^{k_2-j+1} \left(1-\frac{\beta_1}{p\alpha_1}\right)}{\left(1-\frac{p^j}{\alpha_1\alpha_2}\right) \left(1-\frac{p^j}{\alpha_1\beta_2}\right)} \binom{k_1}{j} k_2! \cdot \left(\nu^{(\operatorname{ord})} \cup \iota_{\operatorname{coh},*}(\theta^{(k_1-j)} E_{0,1/N}^{-t,\operatorname{ord}})\right).$$

Compare Corollary 6.5.7 in [KLZ20].

Proof. We deduce from Proposition 7.2.1 that the image of $\epsilon_0^{k_1+k+2-2j}$ under projection to $H^0(\mathcal{X}_H^{\text{ord}}, \operatorname{Gr}^{k_1-j}\mathcal{V}_H)$ is given by $-N^k(-1)^{k_2-j}\frac{(t)!}{(k_1-j)!}\theta^{k_1-j}(E_{0,1/N}^{-t,\text{ord}})$. Combining this with Proposition 7.4.2 and Corollary 7.6.5 gives the result.

Note 7.7.2. By adjunction, we can write the formula in Proposition 7.7.1 as

$$\left\langle (\iota^{[j]})^*(\nu_{\rm fp}), \, \operatorname{Eis}_{\operatorname{syn},N}^t \right\rangle = \frac{N^{(k_1+k_2-2j)}(-1)^{k_2-j+1} \left(1-\frac{\beta_1}{p\alpha_1}\right)}{\left(1-\frac{p^j}{\alpha_1\alpha_2}\right) \left(1-\frac{p^j}{\alpha_1\beta_2}\right)} \binom{k_1}{j} k_2! \cdot \left(\iota_{\operatorname{coh}}^*(\nu^{(\operatorname{ord})}) \cup \theta^{(k_1-j)} E_{0,1/N}^{-t,\operatorname{ord}}\right).$$

In order to relate this formula to a (non-critical) value of a *p*-adic *L*-function, we need to replace

$$\theta^{(k_1-j)} E_{0,1/N}^{-t,\text{ord}} = E_{0,1/N}^{j-k_2,\text{ord}}$$

by its p-depletion

$$E_{0,1/N}^{j-k_2,[p]} = (1 - \varphi \circ U_p) E_{0,1/N}^{j-k_2,\text{ord}}.$$

We adapt the argument from [KLZ20, §6.5]. Let $V_{\mathfrak{p}_2} = p^{-1-k_2} \langle \mathfrak{p}_2 \rangle^{-1} \varphi_2$, so $V_{\mathfrak{p}_2}$ is a right inverse of $U_{\mathfrak{p}_2}$. Let λ, μ be constants such that

$$U_{\mathfrak{p}_2}(\nu^{\mathrm{ord}}) = \lambda \nu^{\mathrm{ord}} - \mu V_{\mathfrak{p}_2}(\nu^{\mathrm{ord}})$$

(explicitly, we have $\lambda = a_{\mathfrak{p}_2}(\mathcal{F})$ and $\mu = p^{1+k_2}$), and let $\gamma = p^{k_1-j}$. Using an analogue of Lemma 6.5.8 from *op.cit.*, we deduce the following result:

Lemma 7.7.3. We have

$$\iota_{\rm coh}^{*}(\nu^{\rm ord}) \cup \theta^{k_{1}-j} E_{0,1/N}^{-t,[p]} = \left(1 - \lambda \gamma p^{-k_{1}} \beta_{\mathfrak{p}_{1}} \cdot V_{p} + \mu \gamma^{2} (p^{-k_{1}} \beta_{\mathfrak{p}_{1}})^{2} V_{p}^{2}\right) \left(\iota_{\rm coh}^{*}(\nu^{\rm ord}) \cup \theta^{k_{1}-j} E_{0,1/N}^{-t,{\rm ord}}\right)$$

Proof. Arguing as in §6.5 in *op.cit.*, we see that

$$\iota_{\rm coh}^{*}(\nu^{\rm ord}) \cup \theta^{k_{1}-j} E_{0,1/N}^{-t,[p]} = \left(1 - \lambda \gamma p^{-k_{1}} \beta_{\mathfrak{p}_{1}} \cdot V_{p} + \mu \gamma^{2} (p^{-k_{1}} \beta_{\mathfrak{p}_{1}})^{2} V_{p}^{2}\right) \left(\iota_{\rm coh}^{*}(\nu^{\rm ord}) \cup \theta^{k_{1}-j} E_{0,1/N}^{-t,{\rm ord}}\right) + \left(1 - V_{p} U_{p}\right) \left(\mu \varphi^{2} \iota_{\rm coh}^{*}(\nu^{\rm ord}) \cup \theta^{k_{1}-j} E_{0,1/N}^{-t,{\rm ord}} - \iota_{\rm coh}^{*}(\nu^{\rm ord}) \cup \varphi \theta^{k_{1}-j} E_{0,1/N}^{-t,{\rm ord}}\right).$$

But the operator $1 - V_p U_p$ acts as the zero map, which proves the result.

We deduce the following formula:

Proposition 7.7.4. We have

$$\left\langle (\iota^{[j]})^*(\nu_{\rm fp}), \, \operatorname{Eis}_{\operatorname{syn},N}^t \right\rangle = N^{(k_1+k_2-2j)}(-1)^{k_2-j+1} \times \\ \frac{\left(1 - \frac{\beta_1}{p\alpha_1}\right)}{\left(1 - \frac{p^j}{\alpha_1\alpha_2}\right)\left(1 - \frac{p^j}{\alpha_1\beta_2}\right)\left(1 - \frac{\beta_1\alpha_2}{p^{j+1}}\right)\left(1 - \frac{\beta_1\beta_2}{p^{j+1}}\right)} \binom{k_1}{j} k_2! \cdot \left(\iota_{\operatorname{coh}}^*(\nu^{(\operatorname{ord})}) \cup \theta^{k_1-j} E_{0,1/N}^{-t,[p]}\right).$$

We can also express this in terms of the class $\check{\nu}_{\Pi,\alpha}$ on the higher-level variety $\mathcal{X}_G(\mathfrak{p}_1)$ described above. If $\pi_{\mathfrak{p}_1}$ denotes the degeneracy map $\mathcal{X}_G(\mathfrak{p}_1) \to \mathcal{X}_G$, then we have $\operatorname{pr}_{\mathfrak{p}_1,*}(\check{\nu}_{\Pi,\alpha}) = p\left(1 - \frac{\beta_1}{p\alpha_1}\right)\nu^{(\operatorname{ord})}$; so the formula in Proposition 7.7.4 is equivalent to

$$\left\langle (\iota^{[j]})^*(\nu_{\rm fp}), \operatorname{Eis}_{\operatorname{syn},N}^t \right\rangle = N^{(k_1+k_2-2j)}(-1)^{k_2-j+1}p^{-1} \times \frac{1}{\left(1-\frac{p^j}{\alpha_1\alpha_2}\right)\left(1-\frac{p^j}{\alpha_1\beta_2}\right)\left(1-\frac{\beta_1\alpha_2}{p^{j+1}}\right)\left(1-\frac{\beta_1\beta_2}{p^{j+1}}\right)} \binom{k_1}{j} k_2! \cdot \left(\iota_{\operatorname{coh}}^* \circ \operatorname{pr}_{\mathfrak{p}_1,*}\left(\nu_{\operatorname{Iw}(\mathfrak{p}_1)}^{(\operatorname{ord})}\right) \cup \theta^{k_1-j} E_{0,1/N}^{-t,[p]}\right).$$

We now recall the definition of the (imprimitive) *p*-adic Asai *L*-function in [GLZ23, Def. 7.3.1]. For a *p*-adic character $\sigma : \mathbf{Z}_p^{\times} \to \mathbf{C}_p^{\times}$, we have

(7.4)
$$L_{p,\text{Asai}}^{\text{imp}}(\Pi,\sigma) = \frac{1}{p}(\sqrt{D})^{-1-(k_1+k_2)/2-\sigma}(-1)^{\sigma} \left\langle \breve{\nu}_{\Pi,\alpha}, \iota_{\text{coh},*}^{(p)}\left(\mathcal{E}_{k_1-k_2}(\sigma)\right) \right\rangle,$$

where

- $X_H(p)$ is the modular curve of level $\Gamma_1(N) \cap \Gamma_0(p)$;
- $\iota^{(p)}: X_H(p) \to X_G(\mathfrak{p}_1)$ is the natural embedding induced by ι ;
- $\mathcal{E}_{k_1-k_2}$ is a *p*-adic family of *p*-depleted Eisenstein series, of constant weight $k_1 k_2$, defined in [GLZ23, §7.2], with the Schwartz function $\Phi^{(p)}$ chosen as in §7.3.2 of *op.cit.*; at $\sigma = -\frac{t}{2}$, this specialises to $\theta^{k_1-j}\left(E_{0,1/N}^{-t,[p]}\right)$.

Lemma 7.7.5. The following diagram is cartesian:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_H(p) & \stackrel{\iota^{(p)}}{\longrightarrow} X_G(\mathfrak{p}_1) \\ & \downarrow^{\pi_p} & \downarrow^{\pi_{\mathfrak{p}_1}} \\ & X_{H,\mathrm{sph}} & \stackrel{\iota}{\longrightarrow} X_{G,\mathrm{sph}} \end{array}$$

so $\pi^*_{\mathfrak{p}_1} \circ \iota_* = \iota^{(p)}_* \circ \pi^*_p$.

Comparing the previous proposition with (7.4), we hence deduce the main theorem of this paper:

Theorem 7.7.6. Let $0 \le j \le \min\{k_1, k_2\}$, and let $t = k_1 + k_2 - 2j \ge 0$. Then we have

$$\left\langle \nu_{\mathrm{dR}}, \log\left(\mathrm{loc}_{p} \operatorname{AF}_{\mathrm{\acute{e}t}}^{[\Pi,j]}\right) \right\rangle = \frac{(\sqrt{D})^{j+1}(-1)^{(k_{1}-k_{2})/2+1} N^{t} \binom{k_{1}}{j} k_{2}!}{\left(1 - \frac{p^{j}}{\alpha_{1}\alpha_{2}}\right) \left(1 - \frac{p^{j}}{\alpha_{1}\beta_{2}}\right) \left(1 - \frac{\beta_{1}\alpha_{2}}{p^{j+1}}\right) \left(1 - \frac{\beta_{1}\beta_{2}}{p^{j+1}}\right)} \cdot L_{p,\mathrm{Asai}}^{\mathrm{imp}} \left(\Pi, -\frac{t}{2}\right).$$

Remark 7.7.7. Note that in [GLZ23] we also defined a primitive p-adic L-function, interpolating the critical values of the primitive Asai L-function (with the optimal Euler factors at ramified primes). One can likewise modify the construction of the Asai–Flach class by incorporating more general test data away from p (see [Gro20]), and prove a refinement of Theorem 7.7.6, relating Asai–Flach classes with appropriate "optimal" test data to non-critical values of the primitive p-adic Asai L-function. We leave the details to the interested reader.

References

- [BDP13] M. BERTOLINI, H. DARMON, and K. PRASANNA, Generalized Heegner cycles and p-adic Rankin L-series, Duke Math. J. 162 (2013), no. 6, 1033–1148, with an appendix by Brian Conrad. MR 3053566 ↑ 1
- [BDR15] M. BERTOLINI, H. DARMON, and V. ROTGER, Beilinson-Flach elements and Euler systems I: syntomic regulators and p-adic Rankin L-series, J. Algebraic Geom. 24 (2015), no. 2, 355–378. MR 3311587 ↑ 2
- [Bes00] A. BESSER, A generalization of Coleman's p-adic integration theory, Invent. Math. 142 (2000), no. 2, 397–434. MR 1794067 ↑ 14
- [BP20] G. BOXER and V. PILLONI, Higher Coleman theory, preprint, 2020. $\uparrow 2$
- [Gro20] G. GROSSI, On norm relations for Asai–Flach classes, Int. J. Number Theory 16 (2020), no. 10, 2311–2377. \uparrow 18

- [Gro21] _____, Higher Hida theory for Hilbert modular varieties in the totally split case, preprint, 2021, arXiv:2106. $05666. \uparrow 2$
- [GLZ23] G. GROSSI, D. LOEFFLER, and S. ZERBES, P-adic Asai L-functions for quadratic Hilbert eigenforms, preprint, 2023, arXiv:2307.07004. ↑ 1, 8, 15, 16, 18
- [Har90] M. HARRIS, Period invariants of Hilbert modular forms. I. Trilinear differential operators and L-functions, Cohomology of arithmetic groups and automorphic forms (Luminy, 1989), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1447, Springer, Berlin, 1990, pp. 155–202. MR 1082965 ↑ 4
- [Kat04] K. KATO, P-adic Hodge theory and values of zeta functions of modular forms, Astérisque 295 (2004), 117–290, Cohomologies p-adiques et applications arithmétiques. III. MR 2104361 ↑ 13
- [KLZ17] G. KINGS, D. LOEFFLER, and S. L. ZERBES, Rankin–Eisenstein classes and explicit reciprocity laws, Cambridge J. Math. 5 (2017), no. 1, 1–122. MR 3637653 ↑ 2
- [LLZ18] A. LEI, D. LOEFFLER, and S. L. ZERBES, Euler systems for Hilbert modular surfaces, Forum Math. Sigma 6 (2018), no. e23. MR 3876763 ↑ 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6
- [LPSZ21] D. LOEFFLER, V. PILLONI, C. SKINNER, and S. L. ZERBES, Higher Hida theory and p-adic L-functions for GSp(4), Duke Math. J. **170** (2021), no. 18, 4033–4121. MR 4348233 $\uparrow 2$
- [LSZ20] D. LOEFFLER, C. SKINNER, and S. L. ZERBES, Syntomic regulators of Asai–Flach classes, Development of Iwasawa Theory – the centennial of K. Iwasawa's birth, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., vol. 86, Math. Soc. Japan, 2020, pp. 595–638. ↑ 2
- [LZ20] D. LOEFFLER and S. L. ZERBES, On the Bloch-Kato conjecture for GSp(4), preprint, 2020, arXiv:2003.05960. $\uparrow 2, 10, 11$
- [Mat10] N. MATRINGE, Distinguished representations and exceptional poles of the Asai L-function, Manuscripta Math. 131 (2010), no. 3-4, 415–426. MR 2592088 ↑ 3
- [Nek18] J. NEKOVÁŘ, Eichler-Shimura relations and semisimplicity of étale cohomology of quaternionic Shimura varieties, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 51 (2018), no. 5, 1179–1252. MR 3942040 ↑ 5
- [TX16] Y. TIAN and L. XIAO, p-adic cohomology and classicality of overconvergent Hilbert modular forms, Arithmétique p-adique des formes de Hilbert, Astérisque, vol. 382, Soc. Math. France, 2016, pp. 73–162. MR 3581176 ↑ 5, 7, 13

(G. Grossi) CNRS, INSTITUT GALILÉE, UNIVERSITÉ SORBONNE PARIS NORD, 93430 VILLETANEUSE, FRANCE Email address: grossi@math.univ-paris13.fr

(D. Loeffler) MATHEMATICS INSTITUTE, UNIVERSITY OF WARWICK, COVENTRY CV4 7AL, UK *Email address*: d.loeffler.01@cantab.net

(S.L. Zerbes) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ETH ZÜRICH, RÄMISTRASSE 101, 8092 ZÜRICH, SWITZERLAND *Email address:* sarah.zerbes@math.ethz.ch