



**HAL**  
open science

# The defocusing Calogero–Moser derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation with a nonvanishing condition at infinity

Xi Chen

► **To cite this version:**

Xi Chen. The defocusing Calogero–Moser derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation with a nonvanishing condition at infinity. 2025. hal-04725101v3

**HAL Id: hal-04725101**

**<https://hal.science/hal-04725101v3>**

Preprint submitted on 20 Jan 2025

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Copyright

# The defocusing Calogero–Moser derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation with a nonvanishing condition at infinity

Xi Chen

## Abstract

We consider the defocusing Calogero–Moser derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation

$$i\partial_t u + \partial_x^2 u - 2\Pi D(|u|^2)u = 0, \quad (t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$$

posed on  $E := \{u \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}) : u' \in L^2(\mathbb{R}), u'' \in L^2(\mathbb{R}), |u|^2 - 1 \in L^2(\mathbb{R})\}$ . We prove the global well-posedness of this equation in  $E$ . Moreover, we give an explicit formula for the chiral solution to this equation.

**Keywords** Calogero–Moser derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation, Global well-posedness, Explicit formula.

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>2</b>
1.1	Main results . . . . .	4
<b>2</b>	<b>Preliminaries</b>	<b>4</b>
2.1	The space $E$ and the Sobolev spaces . . . . .	4
2.2	Action of the linear Schrödinger group on the space $E$ . . . . .	6
2.3	The Lax pair . . . . .	7
<b>3</b>	<b>Well-posedness and conservation laws</b>	<b>8</b>
3.1	Local well-posedness . . . . .	8
3.2	Conservation laws . . . . .	11
3.3	Global well-posedness . . . . .	17

# 1 Introduction

We consider the following defocusing Calogero–Moser derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation

$$i\partial_t u + \partial_x^2 u - 2\Pi D(|u|^2)u = 0, \quad (t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}. \quad (1.1)$$

In [9], Gérard and Lenzmann considered the focusing Calogero–Moser derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation

$$i\partial_t u + \partial_x^2 u + 2\Pi D(|u|^2)u = 0 \quad (1.2)$$

on the line. They derived that for any solution  $u \in H_+^s(\mathbb{R})$  with  $s$  sufficiently large, the equation (1.2) enjoys a Lax pair structure and infinite conservation laws. Based on the conservation laws, they showed the global well-posedness of (1.2) in  $H_+^s(\mathbb{R})$  for any  $s > \frac{1}{2}$  with small mass initial data ( $\|u_0\|_{L^2}^2 \leq 2\pi$ ). Killip, Laurens and Vişan combined the explicit formulae of (1.1) and (1.2) with the tools and techniques of commuting flows to deduce the global well-posedness of (1.1) and (1.2) (with small mass initial data) in  $H_+^s(\mathbb{R})$  ( $s \geq 0$ ) [13]. Hogan and Kowalski showed that for arbitrarily small  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists initial data  $u_0 \in H_+^\infty$  of mass  $2\pi + \varepsilon$  to the equation (1.2) such that the corresponding maximal lifespan solution  $u : (T_-, T_+) \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  satisfies  $\lim_{t \rightarrow T_\pm} \|u(t)\|_{H^s} = \infty$  for all  $s > 0$  [10]. K. Kim, T. Kim and Kwon constructed smooth, chiral, and finite energy finite-time blow-up solutions to (1.2) with mass arbitrarily close to  $2\pi$  [14]. Badreddine characterized the zero dispersion limit solution to (1.1) and (1.2) with initial data  $u_0 \in L_+^2(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  by explicit formulae, and she identified the zero dispersion limit in terms of the branches of the multivalued solution of the inviscid Burgers–Hopf equation [3]. Badreddine also showed the global well-posedness of (1.1) and (1.2) (with small mass initial data) on the circle in  $H^s(\mathbb{T})$  for any  $s \geq 0$  [1], and she characterized the traveling wave solutions to (1.1) and (1.2) on the circle [2].

In this paper, we study the Cauchy problem of (1.1) defined on the space

$$E := \{u \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}) : u' \in L^2(\mathbb{R}), u'' \in L^2(\mathbb{R}), |u|^2 - 1 \in L^2(\mathbb{R})\}. \quad (1.3)$$

It is easy to endow the space  $E$  with a structure of complete metric space by introducing the following distance function,

$$d_E(u, \tilde{u}) = \|u - \tilde{u}\|_{L^\infty} + \|u' - \tilde{u}'\|_{L^2} + \|u'' - \tilde{u}''\|_{L^2} + \||u|^2 - |\tilde{u}|^2\|_{L^2}. \quad (1.4)$$

We also introduce the following Zhidkov space (see also [19, 20])

$$X^2(\mathbb{R}) := \{u \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}) : u' \in L^2(\mathbb{R}), u'' \in L^2(\mathbb{R})\}, \quad (1.5)$$

equipped with the norm

$$\|u\|_{X^2} = \|u\|_{L^\infty} + \|u'\|_{L^2} + \|u''\|_{L^2}.$$

It is obvious that  $E = X^2(\mathbb{R}) \cap \{|u|^2 - 1 \in L^2(\mathbb{R})\}$ . However,  $E$  is not a vector space.

Furthermore, we introduce the following Hardy–Zhidkov space

$$X_+^2(\mathbb{R}) := \{u \in L_+^\infty(\mathbb{R}) : u' \in L_+^2(\mathbb{R}), u'' \in L_+^2(\mathbb{R})\} \subset X^2(\mathbb{R}), \quad (1.6)$$

equipped with the same norm as  $\|\cdot\|_{X^2}$ . In this paper, we also study the explicit formula of the solution to (1.1) in

$$E_+ := X_+^2(\mathbb{R}) \cap \{|u|^2 - 1 \in L^2(\mathbb{R})\}, \quad (1.7)$$

where  $E_+ \subset E$ .

In [15], Matsuno presented the multiperiodic solutions to (1.1) and then derived the multi-soliton solutions. Also, Matsuno established the linear stability of the solitary wave solutions [16] and studied the linear spectral problems of (1.1) [17].

Zhidkov introduced the space

$$X^1(\mathbb{R}) := \{u \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}) : u' \in L^2(\mathbb{R})\}$$

in [19] and obtained the global well-posedness results [19, 20] of the Gross–Pitaevskii equation

$$i\partial_t u + \Delta u = (|u|^2 - 1)u \quad (1.8)$$

in the space

$$\{u \in X^1(\mathbb{R}) : |u|^2 - 1 \in L^2(\mathbb{R})\}.$$

Using the strategy of Kato [11], B ethuel and Saut derived the global well-posedness of (1.8) in  $1 + H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  for  $d = 2, 3$ . Also, G erard used the Strichartz estimates to obtain the global well-posedness of (1.8) in the space

$$\left\{u \in H_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^d) : \nabla u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d), |u|^2 - 1 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)\right\}$$

for  $d = 2, 3$  [7].

## 1.1 Main results

In this paper, we first study the Cauchy problem of (1.1) defined on  $E$  and we obtain the following global well-posedness result.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Given  $u_0 \in E$ , there exists a unique solution  $u \in C(\mathbb{R}; E)$  of (1.1) with  $u(0) = u_0$ . Moreover, for every  $T > 0$ , the flow map  $u_0 \in E \mapsto u \in C([-T, T]; E)$  is continuous.*

In [8], Gérard established an explicit formula for the  $H_{\text{real}}^2(\mathbb{R})$  solution to the Benjamin–Ono equation on the line. According to his method, Killip, Laurens and Vişan also obtained an explicit formula for the  $H_+^s(\mathbb{R})$  ( $s \geq 0$ ) solution to (1.1) [13]. In this paper, we establish an explicit formula for the solution to (1.1) in  $E_+$ .

**Theorem 1.2.** *Given  $u_0 \in E_+$ , let  $u \in C(\mathbb{R}; E_+)$  be the corresponding solution of (1.1). Then we give the following explicit formula: For every  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and for every  $z \in \mathbb{C}_+ := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Im}(z) > 0\}$ ,  $u(t, z)$  identifies to*

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{it\partial_x^2} u_0(z) - \frac{t}{i\pi} I_+ \left[ (G + 2tL_{u_0} - z\text{Id})^{-1} \left( T_{u_0} T_{\bar{u}_0} e^{-it\partial_x^2} \left( \frac{e^{it\partial_x^2} u_0(x) - e^{it\partial_x^2} u_0(z)}{x - z} \right) \right) \right] \\ &= e^{it\partial_x^2} u_0(z) \\ & - 2t \left[ \left( \text{Id} + 2te^{it\partial_x^2} T_{u_0} T_{\bar{u}_0} e^{-it\partial_x^2} (G - z\text{Id})^{-1} \right)^{-1} e^{it\partial_x^2} T_{u_0} T_{\bar{u}_0} e^{-it\partial_x^2} \left( \frac{e^{it\partial_x^2} u_0(x) - e^{it\partial_x^2} u_0(z)}{x - z} \right) \right] (z). \end{aligned} \tag{1.9}$$

Here  $u(t, z)$  and  $e^{it\partial_x^2} u_0(z)$  are defined by the Poisson's formulation (2.6), and the operators  $G, L_{u_0}, T_{u_0}$  are defined in the subsection 2.3.

## 2 Preliminaries

### 2.1 The space $E$ and the Sobolev spaces

Firstly, we give the following estimate.

**Lemma 2.1.** *For any  $u \in E$ , we have*

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty} \leq 3 + C(\| |u|^2 - 1 \|_{L^2}^2 + \| |u|^2 - 1 \|_{L^2}^{2-2s} \|u'\|_{L^2}^{2s})^{1/2} \tag{2.1}$$

for any  $\frac{1}{2} < s \leq 1$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{C})$  such that  $0 \leq \chi \leq 1$ ,  $\chi(z) = 1$  for  $|z| \leq 2$ , and  $\chi(z) = 0$  for  $|z| \geq 3$ . Let us decompose

$$u = u_1 + u_2, \quad u_1 = \chi(u)u, \quad u_2 = (1 - \chi(u))u.$$

We have

$$\|u_1\|_{L^\infty} \leq 3.$$

Since  $|u| \geq 2$  on the support of  $u_2$ , we have

$$\|u_2\|_{L^2} \leq \| |u|^2 - 1 \|_{L^2}.$$

On the other hand,

$$u'_2 = (1 - \chi(u) - u\partial\chi(u))u' - u\bar{\partial}\chi(u)\bar{u}'.$$

This implies easily, for some  $A = A(\chi)$ ,

$$\|u'_2\|_{L^2} \leq A\|u'\|_{L^2}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{L^\infty} &\leq \|u_1\|_{L^\infty} + \|u_2\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\leq \|u_1\|_{L^\infty} + \|u_2\|_{H^s} \\ &\leq 3 + C(\| |u|^2 - 1 \|_{L^2}^2 + \| |u|^2 - 1 \|_{L^2}^{2-2s} \|u'\|_{L^2}^{2s})^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

for any  $\frac{1}{2} < s \leq 1$ . □

Then we introduce the following lemma which will be useful in the sequel.

**Lemma 2.2.** *We have  $E + H^2(\mathbb{R}) \subset E$ , and for every  $v \in E, w \in H^2(\mathbb{R})$ ,*

$$\| |v+w|^2 - 1 \|_{L^2} \leq \| |v|^2 - 1 \|_{L^2} + 2\|v\|_{L^\infty}\|w\|_{L^2} + \|w\|_{L^4}^2. \quad (2.2)$$

Moreover, for every  $v \in E, \tilde{v} \in E$  and  $w \in H^2, \tilde{w} \in H^2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_E(v+w, \tilde{v}+\tilde{w}) &\leq C(1 + \|w\|_{L^2} + \|\tilde{w}\|_{L^2})d_E(v, \tilde{v}) \\ &\quad + C(1 + \|v\|_{L^\infty} + \|\tilde{v}\|_{L^\infty} + \|w\|_{H^1} + \|\tilde{w}\|_{H^1})\|w - \tilde{w}\|_{H^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

*Proof.* Given  $v \in E, w \in H^2$ , we expand

$$|v+w|^2 - 1 = |v|^2 - 1 + 2\operatorname{Re}(\bar{v}w) + |w|^2,$$

we get the corresponding estimates (2.2) since we have  $v \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  and  $w \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^4(\mathbb{R})$ . Then we can easily deduce that  $E + H^2(\mathbb{R}) \subset E$ .

Also, the estimate of  $\| |v+w|^2 - |\tilde{v}+\tilde{w}|^2 \|_{L^2}$  can be established along the same line as (2.2), so we can easily deduce (2.3). □

## 2.2 Action of the linear Schrödinger group on the space $E$

We start with the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.3.** *For any  $f \in E$ , we have  $e^{it\partial_x^2} f - f \in H^2(\mathbb{R})$ . Moreover, we have*

$$\|e^{it\partial_x^2} f - f\|_{L^2} \leq C|t|^{1/2}\|f'\|_{L^2} \quad (2.4)$$

and

$$\|e^{it\partial_x^2} f - f\|_{H^2} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \rightarrow 0. \quad (2.5)$$

*Proof.* Let  $\chi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $\chi = 1$  near the origin, we can write

$$e^{-it|\xi|^2} - 1 = g(t, \xi)\xi$$

with

$$g(t, \xi) = -it\chi(t\xi^2)\xi \int_0^1 e^{-ist\xi^2} ds + \frac{1 - \chi(t\xi^2)}{\xi} (e^{-it\xi^2} - 1) = \mathcal{O}(|t|^{1/2}).$$

Consequently,

$$e^{it\partial_x^2} f - f = -ig(t, D)f' \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$$

and this yields (2.4).

Also, we can easily observe that

$$\left\| \partial_x^2 (e^{it\partial_x^2} f - f) \right\|_{L^2} \leq C \|f''\|_{L^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \left\| \partial_x^2 (e^{it\partial_x^2} f - f) \right\|_{L^2} \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow 0} 0,$$

combined with (2.4), we infer (2.5).  $\square$

Combining the previous lemmas, it is now possible to show that the space  $E$  is kept invariant by the Schrödinger group.

**Lemma 2.4.** *For every  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $e^{it\partial_x^2}(E) \subset E$  and for every  $u_0 \in E$ , the map*

$$t \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto e^{it\partial_x^2} u_0 \in E$$

*is continuous. Moreover, for every  $R$ , for every  $T > 0$ , there exists  $C > 0$  such that, for every  $u_0, \tilde{u}_0 \in E$  such that  $\|u_0\|_{X^2} \leq R$  and  $\|\tilde{u}_0\|_{X^2} \leq R$ ,*

$$\sup_{|t| \leq T} d_E \left( e^{it\partial_x^2} u_0, e^{it\partial_x^2} \tilde{u}_0 \right) \leq C d_E(u_0, \tilde{u}_0).$$

*Proof.* We write

$$e^{it\partial_x^2}u_0 = u_0 + \left(e^{it\partial_x^2}u_0 - u_0\right).$$

By using Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.3, we can easily see that  $e^{it\partial_x^2}(E) \subset E$ , while the continuity property

$$e^{it\partial_x^2}u_0 \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow 0} u_0 \quad \text{in } E.$$

is a consequence of (2.3) and (2.5). The last argument in Lemma 2.4 also comes from (2.3) and (2.5).  $\square$

**Remark 2.5.** *The argument in Lemma 2.4 is also valid for  $u_0 \in X^2(\mathbb{R})$ .*

## 2.3 The Lax pair

We denote by  $L_+^p(\mathbb{R})$  ( $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ) the Hardy space corresponding to  $L^p(\mathbb{R})$  functions having a Fourier transform supported in the domain  $\xi \geq 0$ . Equivalently, the space  $L_+^p(\mathbb{R})$  comprised of  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$  whose Poisson integral

$$f(z) = \int \frac{\text{Im } z}{\pi|x-z|^2} f(x) dx \tag{2.6}$$

is holomorphic in the upper half-plane  $\mathbb{C}_+ := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Im}(z) > 0\}$ . With the Poisson's formulation (2.6), the Holder's inequality implies

$$|f(z)| \lesssim (\text{Im } z)^{-\frac{1}{p}} \|f\|_{L^p}.$$

The Riesz-Szegő projector  $\Pi$  is the orthogonal projector from  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  onto  $L_+^2(\mathbb{R})$ . It is given by

$$\forall f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}), \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{C}_+, \quad \Pi f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty e^{iz\xi} \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi = \frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{f(y)}{y-z} dy. \tag{2.7}$$

The Toeplitz operator  $T_b$  on  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  associated to a function  $b \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  is defined by

$$T_b f := \Pi(bf), \quad f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}).$$

For  $u \in E$ , the operator  $L_u$  is defined by

$$\forall f \in \text{Dom}(L_u) = H^1(\mathbb{R}), \quad L_u f := Df + uT_{\bar{u}}f \quad \text{with } D := \frac{1}{i} \frac{d}{dx}.$$

We also consider, for  $u \in E$ , the bounded operator defined by

$$B_u = -uT_{\partial_x \bar{u}} + \partial_x uT_{\bar{u}} + i(uT_{\bar{u}})^2.$$



Also, we recall the definition of  $G$  in [8],

$$\forall f \in \text{Dom}(G) := \left\{ f \in L_+^2(\mathbb{R}) : \hat{f} \in H^1(0, \infty) \right\}, \quad \widehat{Gf}(\xi) := i \frac{d}{d\xi} [\hat{f}(\xi)] \mathbf{1}_{\xi > 0}.$$

Here  $G$  is the adjoint of the operator of multiplication by  $x$  on  $L_+^2(\mathbb{R})$ , and  $(\text{Dom}(G), -iG)$  is maximally dissipative. Notice that  $-iG$  is the infinitesimal generator of the adjoint semi-group of contractions  $(S(\eta)^*)_{\eta \geq 0}$  with

$$\forall \eta \geq 0, \quad S(\eta)^* = e^{-i\eta G}.$$

We also notice that

$$\forall f \in \text{Dom}(G), \quad \left| \hat{f}(0^+) \right|^2 = -4\pi \text{Im} \langle Gf \mid f \rangle \leq 4\pi \|Gf\|_{L^2} \|f\|_{L^2}.$$

Therefore, we can define

$$\forall f \in \text{Dom}(G), \quad I_+(f) := \hat{f}(0^+).$$

In fact, as observed in [12, Lemma 3.4], the resolvent of  $G$  is given by

$$\forall z \in \mathbb{C}_+, \quad \forall f \in L_+^2(\mathbb{R}), \quad (G - z \text{Id})^{-1} f(x) = \frac{f(x) - f(z)}{x - z}, \quad (2.8)$$

and we have

$$\forall z \in \mathbb{C}_+, \quad \forall f \in L_+^2(\mathbb{R}), \quad f(z) = \frac{1}{2i\pi} I_+((G - z \text{Id})^{-1} f). \quad (2.9)$$

## 3 Well-posedness and conservation laws

### 3.1 Local well-posedness

In this subsection, we consider the local well-posedness of (1.1) with initial data in  $E$ , where Kato's classical iterative scheme for quasilinear evolution equations can be utilized.

We first write (1.1) as

$$\partial_t u = i \partial_x^2 u - 4u \Pi(\Re(\bar{u} \partial_x u)). \quad (3.1)$$

In view of (3.1), we consider the following iteration scheme

$$\partial_t u^{k+1} = i \partial_x^2 u^{k+1} - 4u^k \Pi(\Re(\bar{u}^k \partial_x u^{k+1})) \quad (3.2)$$

with initial datum  $u^{k+1}(0, x) = u_0(x) \in E$ . A standard energy method yields the following result.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $w \in C([-T, T], E)$  with some  $T > 0$  and  $w_0 \in E$ . Then there exists a unique solution  $w \in C([-T, T]; E)$  such that*

$$\partial_t w = i\partial_x^2 w - 4u\Pi(\Re(\bar{u}\partial_x w)), \quad w(0, x) = w_0(x).$$

Furthermore, if we write

$$w = e^{it\partial_x^2} w_0 + v,$$

then we have

$$\sup_{|t| \leq T} \|v(t)\|_{H^2} \leq C e^{C \int_{-T}^T \|u\|_{X^2}^2 dt} \left( \|w'_0\|_{H^1} + \int_{-T}^T \|u\|_{X^2}^2 \|w'_0\|_{H^1} dt \right), \quad (3.3)$$

*Proof.* By Duhamel's formula, we have

$$v(t) = \int_0^t e^{i(t-s)\partial_x^2} (-4u\Pi(\Re(\bar{u}\partial_x w))) ds,$$

so we can deduce that

$$\|v(t)\|_{L^2} \leq C \int_0^t \|u\|_{L^\infty}^2 (\|\partial_x v\|_{L^2} + \|w'_0\|_{L^2}) ds \quad (3.4)$$

Also, a standard energy method yields (see [6, Lemma 5.4])

$$\|\partial_x w(t)\|_{H^1} \leq \|w'_0\|_{H^1} + C \int_0^t \|u\|_{X^2}^2 \|\partial_x w\|_{H^1} ds,$$

which implies

$$\|v(t)\|_{H^2} \leq C \|w'_0\|_{H^1} + C \int_0^t (\|u\|_{X^2}^2 \|v\|_{H^2} + \|u\|_{X^2}^2 \|w'_0\|_{H^1}) ds, \quad (3.5)$$

Combining (3.4) and (3.5), by Grönwall's inequality, we can deduce that

$$\sup_{|t| \leq T} \|v(t)\|_{H^2} \leq C e^{C \int_{-T}^T \|u\|_{X^2}^2 dt} \left( \|w'_0\|_{H^1} + \int_{-T}^T \|u\|_{X^2}^2 \|w'_0\|_{H^1} dt \right),$$

which yields (3.3). □

Now we can introduce the following local well-posedness result.

**Proposition 3.2.** *For any  $R > 0$ , there is some  $T = T(R) > 0$  such that, for every  $u_0 \in E$  with  $\|u_0\|_{X^2}^2 \leq R$ , there exists a unique solution  $u \in C([-T, T]; E)$  of (1.1) with  $u(0) = u_0$ . Moreover, the flow map  $u_0 \in E \mapsto u \in C([-T, T]; E)$  is continuous.*

*Proof.* We consider the iteration scheme

$$\partial_t u^{k+1} = i\partial_x^2 u^{k+1} - 4u^k \Pi(\Re(\bar{u}^k \partial_x u^{k+1})) \quad (3.6)$$

with initial datum  $u^{k+1}(0, x) = u_0(x) \in E$ . Lemma 3.1 allows us to construct by induction a sequence  $u^k$  with  $u^0(t, x) = e^{it\partial_x^2} u_0(x)$ .

We write

$$u^k = e^{it\partial_x^2} u_0 + v^k.$$

From Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\sup_{|t| \leq T} \|v^{k+1}(t)\|_{H^2} \leq C e^{C \int_{-T}^T \|u^k\|_{X^2}^2 dt} \left( \|u'_0\|_{H^1} + \int_{-T}^T \|u^k\|_{X^2}^2 \|u'_0\|_{H^1} dt \right). \quad (3.7)$$

Given  $u_0 \in E$  such that  $\|u_0\|_{X^2} \leq R$ , by Lemma 2.3, for  $T = T(R)$  with respect to  $R$ ,

$$\left\| e^{it\partial_x^2} u_0 \right\|_{X^2} \leq 2R.$$

Assume that  $\sup_{|t| \leq T} \|u^k\|_{X^2} \leq R_1$ , and the size of  $R_1$  will be determined later. By (3.7), we have

$$\sup_{|t| \leq T} \|v^{k+1}\|_{H^2} \leq C_1 e^{2C_1 T R_1^2} (R + 2T R_1^2 R) := R_2.$$

By the triangle inequality, we know that

$$\sup_{|t| \leq T} \|u^{k+1}\|_{X^2} \leq 2R + C_2 R_2.$$

Let  $R_1 = (3 + C_1 C_2)R$ , then we can choose  $T = T(R)$  such that

$$2R + C_2 R_2 \leq R_1.$$

Then by an elementary induction argument, we find that  $\sup_{|t| \leq T} \|u^k\|_{X^2} \leq R_1$  for all  $k$  and  $\sup_{|t| \leq T} \|v^k\|_{H^2} \leq R_2$  for all  $k$ .

Next, we show that we have a contraction property of the sequence  $v_k$  in  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ . Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t (u^{k+1} - u^k) &= i\partial_x^2 (u^{k+1} - u^k) - 4u^k \Pi(\Re(\bar{u}^k \partial_x (u^{k+1} - u^k))) \\ &\quad + 4u^{k-1} \Pi(\Re(\bar{u}^{k-1} \partial_x u^k)) - 4u^k \Pi(\Re(\bar{u}^k \partial_x u^k)). \end{aligned}$$

A standard energy method yields

$$\sup_{|t| \leq T} \left\| u^{k+1}(t) - u^k(t) \right\|_{L^2} \leq KT \sup_{|t| \leq T} \left\| u^k(t) - u^{k-1}(t) \right\|_{L^2}.$$

So we take  $T$  small enough to ensure that  $KT < 1$ , and we then we can deduce that  $\sup_{|t| \leq T(R)} \left\| u^{k+1}(t) - u^k(t) \right\|_{L^2} = \sup_{|t| \leq T(R)} \left\| v^{k+1}(t) - v^k(t) \right\|_{L^2}$  is geometrically convergent.

Finally, the sequence  $v_k(t)$  is uniformly weakly convergent in  $C([-T, T]; H^2(\mathbb{R}))$  and strongly convergent in  $C([-T, T]; L^2(\mathbb{R}))$ . We note the limit of  $v_k(t)$  as  $v(t)$ . By adapting an argument due to Bona-Smith [5] (or Tao's frequency envelope method [18]), we can deduce that the limit  $v(t)$  actually belongs to  $C([-T, T]; H^2(\mathbb{R}))$ . Thus  $u(t) = e^{it\partial_x^2} u_0 + v(t) \in C([-T, T]; E)$  solves (1.1).

Uniqueness and continuity of the flow map follow along the same lines as the contraction property in  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ . The proof of Proposition 3.2 is complete.  $\square$

**Remark 3.3.** *In fact, by adapting the same argument used in the proof of Proposition 3.2, we can also deduce the local well-posedness of (1.1) in  $\{u \in E : u^{(3)} \in L^2(\mathbb{R})\}$ .*

## 3.2 Conservation laws

For  $u \in E$ , we recall the operators  $L_u$  and  $B_u$  acting on  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ ,

$$L_u = D + uT_{\bar{u}} \quad \text{and} \quad B_u = -uT_{\partial_x \bar{u}} + \partial_x uT_{\bar{u}} + i(uT_{\bar{u}})^2.$$

We infer the following Lax equation for (1.1).

**Lemma 3.4.** *(Lax Equation) If  $u \in C([0, T]; E)$  solves (1.1), then it holds*

$$\frac{d}{dt} L_u = [B_u, L_u]. \tag{3.8}$$

*Proof.* We refer to [9, Lemma 2.3] for the proof.  $\square$

As a consequence of the Lax equation, we obtain a hierarchy of conservation laws of (1.1), and this implies the a-priori bound on the solution.

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let  $u_0 \in E$  and  $u \in C(I, E)$  be the corresponding solution of (1.1) with  $u(0, x) = u_0(x)$ , where  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$  denotes the maximal time interval of existence of the solution. Then the quantities*

$$I_1(u) := \left\| Du + u\Pi(|u|^2 - 1) \right\|_{L^2}$$

and

$I_2(u) := \|D^2u + uT_{\bar{u}}D(u) + D(u\Pi(|u|^2 - 1)) + Du + u\Pi(|u|^2\Pi(|u|^2 - 1)) + u\Pi(|u|^2 - 1)\|_{L^2}$   
are conserved.

Also, we have the following a-priori bound on the solution  $u$ ,

$$\sup_{t \in I} \|u\|_{X^2} \leq C(u_0). \quad (3.9)$$

*Proof.* We observe that (1.1) can be written as

$$\partial_t u = -iD^2u - 2iu\Pi D(|u|^2) \quad (3.10)$$

Let

$$\chi_\varepsilon(x) := \frac{1}{1 - i\varepsilon x}, \quad (3.11)$$

then we have

$$\partial_t(u\chi_\varepsilon) = \chi_\varepsilon \partial_t u,$$

$$-iD^2(u\chi_\varepsilon) = i\partial_x^2(u\chi_\varepsilon) = i\chi_\varepsilon \partial_x^2 u + 2i\partial_x u \partial_x \chi_\varepsilon + iu \partial_x^2 \chi_\varepsilon$$

and

$$-2iu\Pi D(|u|^2 \chi_\varepsilon) = -2iu\Pi(D(|u|^2)\chi_\varepsilon) - 2iu\Pi(|u|^2 D(\chi_\varepsilon)).$$

So we have

$$\begin{aligned} r_\varepsilon &:= \partial_t(u\chi_\varepsilon) - B_u(u\chi_\varepsilon) + i(L_u)^2(u\chi_\varepsilon) \\ &= \partial_t(u\chi_\varepsilon) + iD^2(u\chi_\varepsilon) + 2itu\Pi D(|u|^2 \chi_\varepsilon) \\ &= -2i\partial_x u \partial_x \chi_\varepsilon - iu \partial_x^2 \chi_\varepsilon + 2iu\Pi(|u|^2 D(\chi_\varepsilon)) + 2iu\Pi(D(|u|^2)\chi_\varepsilon) - 2i\chi_\varepsilon u \Pi(D(|u|^2)). \end{aligned}$$

We can easily observe that

$$\|r_\varepsilon\|_{H^1} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} 0.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \langle u\chi_\varepsilon, u\chi_\varepsilon \rangle &= 2\Re \langle \partial_t u\chi_\varepsilon, u\chi_\varepsilon \rangle \\ &= 2\Re \langle (B_u - iL_u^2)(u\chi_\varepsilon), u\chi_\varepsilon \rangle + 2\Re \langle r_\varepsilon, u\chi_\varepsilon \rangle \\ &= 2\Re \langle r_\varepsilon, u\chi_\varepsilon \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Also, by the Lax pair equation (3.8) and (3.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{dt} \langle L_u(u\chi_\varepsilon), u\chi_\varepsilon \rangle \\
&= \langle L_u(u\chi_\varepsilon), \partial_t(u\chi_\varepsilon) \rangle + \langle L_u(\partial_t(u\chi_\varepsilon)), u\chi_\varepsilon \rangle + \langle \partial_t(L_u)(u\chi_\varepsilon), u\chi_\varepsilon \rangle \\
&= \langle L_u(u\chi_\varepsilon), (B_u - iL_u^2)(u\chi_\varepsilon) \rangle + \langle L_u(B_u - iL_u^2)(u\chi_\varepsilon), u\chi_\varepsilon \rangle \\
&+ \langle (B_u L_u - L_u B_u)(u\chi_\varepsilon), u\chi_\varepsilon \rangle + 2\Re \langle L_u(u\chi_\varepsilon), r_\varepsilon \rangle \\
&= 2\Re \langle L_u(u\chi_\varepsilon), r_\varepsilon \rangle \\
&= 2\Re \langle D(u\chi_\varepsilon) + u\Pi(|u|^2\chi_\varepsilon), r_\varepsilon \rangle \\
&= 2\Re \langle D(u\chi_\varepsilon) + u\Pi((|u|^2 - 1)\chi_\varepsilon), r_\varepsilon \rangle + 2\Re \langle u\chi_\varepsilon, r_\varepsilon \rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$2\Re \langle D(u\chi_\varepsilon) + u\Pi((|u|^2 - 1)\chi_\varepsilon), r_\varepsilon \rangle \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} 0.$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{dt} \langle L_u^2(u\chi_\varepsilon), u\chi_\varepsilon \rangle \\
&= \langle L_u^2(u\chi_\varepsilon), \partial_t(u\chi_\varepsilon) \rangle + \langle L_u^2(\partial_t(u\chi_\varepsilon)), u\chi_\varepsilon \rangle + \langle \partial_t(L_u^2)(u\chi_\varepsilon), u\chi_\varepsilon \rangle \\
&= \langle L_u^2(u\chi_\varepsilon), (B_u - iL_u^2)(u\chi_\varepsilon) \rangle + \langle L_u^2(B_u - iL_u^2)(u\chi_\varepsilon), u\chi_\varepsilon \rangle \\
&+ \langle (B_u L_u^2 - L_u^2 B_u)(u\chi_\varepsilon), u\chi_\varepsilon \rangle + 2\Re \langle L_u^2(u\chi_\varepsilon), r_\varepsilon \rangle \\
&= 2\Re \langle L_u(u\chi_\varepsilon), L_u(r_\varepsilon) \rangle \\
&= 2\Re \langle D(u\chi_\varepsilon), L_u(r_\varepsilon) \rangle + 2\Re \langle u\Pi(|u|^2\chi_\varepsilon), L_u(r_\varepsilon) \rangle \\
&= 2\Re \langle D(u\chi_\varepsilon), L_u(r_\varepsilon) \rangle + 2\Re \langle u\Pi((|u|^2 - 1)\chi_\varepsilon), L_u(r_\varepsilon) \rangle + 2\Re \langle L_u(u\chi_\varepsilon), r_\varepsilon \rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$2\Re \langle D(u\chi_\varepsilon), L_u(r_\varepsilon) \rangle + 2\Re \langle u\Pi((|u|^2 - 1)\chi_\varepsilon), L_u(r_\varepsilon) \rangle \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} 0.$$

Thus we infer that

$$\frac{d}{dt} (\langle L_u^2(u\chi_\varepsilon), u\chi_\varepsilon \rangle - 2 \langle L_u(u\chi_\varepsilon), u\chi_\varepsilon \rangle + \langle u\chi_\varepsilon, u\chi_\varepsilon \rangle) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} 0. \quad (3.12)$$

In fact,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle L_u^2(u\chi_\varepsilon), u\chi_\varepsilon \rangle - 2 \langle L_u(u\chi_\varepsilon), u\chi_\varepsilon \rangle + \langle u\chi_\varepsilon, u\chi_\varepsilon \rangle \\
&= \langle L_u(u\chi_\varepsilon), L_u(u\chi_\varepsilon) \rangle - 2 \langle L_u(u\chi_\varepsilon), u\chi_\varepsilon \rangle + \langle u\chi_\varepsilon, u\chi_\varepsilon \rangle \\
&= \langle (D + uT_{\bar{u}})(u\chi_\varepsilon), (D + uT_{\bar{u}})(u\chi_\varepsilon) \rangle - 2 \langle (D + uT_{\bar{u}})(u\chi_\varepsilon), u\chi_\varepsilon \rangle + \langle u\chi_\varepsilon, u\chi_\varepsilon \rangle \\
&= \langle D(u\chi_\varepsilon) + u\Pi((|u|^2 - 1)\chi_\varepsilon) + u\chi_\varepsilon, D(u\chi_\varepsilon) + u\Pi((|u|^2 - 1)\chi_\varepsilon) + u\chi_\varepsilon \rangle \\
&- 2 \langle D(u\chi_\varepsilon) + u\Pi((|u|^2 - 1)\chi_\varepsilon) + u\chi_\varepsilon, u\chi_\varepsilon \rangle + \langle u\chi_\varepsilon, u\chi_\varepsilon \rangle \\
&= \|D(u\chi_\varepsilon) + u\Pi((|u|^2 - 1)\chi_\varepsilon)\|_{L^2}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Then by (3.12), we can deduce that

$$\|D(u(t)\chi_\varepsilon) + u(t)\Pi(|u(t)|^2 - 1)\chi_\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 - \|D(u_0\chi_\varepsilon) + u_0\Pi(|u_0|^2 - 1)\chi_\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} 0,$$

and thus

$$I_1(u) := \|Du(t) + u(t)\Pi(|u(t)|^2 - 1)\|_{L^2} = \|Du_0 + u_0\Pi(|u_0|^2 - 1)\|_{L^2} = I_1(u_0),$$

which yields the first conservation law in Lemma 3.5.

Following the modified gauge transform

$$v := u(x)e^{\frac{i}{2} \int_0^x (|u(y)|^2 - 1) dy},$$

we know that

$$I_1(u) = \|Du + u\Pi(|u|^2 - 1)\|_{L^2} = \|\partial_x v - \frac{1}{2}vH(|v|^2 - 1)\|_{L^2}.$$

From [9, Appendix C] we infer

$$\begin{aligned} & \Re \langle \partial_x v, \mathbf{H}(|v|^2 - 1)v \rangle \\ &= \langle \Re[\bar{v}\partial_x v], \mathbf{H}(|v|^2 - 1) \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \langle \partial_x (|v|^2 - 1), \mathbf{H}(|v|^2 - 1) \rangle \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \langle |v|^2 - 1, H\partial_x (|v|^2 - 1) \rangle \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \langle |v|^2 - 1, |D|(|v|^2 - 1) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \mathbf{H}(|v|^2 - 1)v, \mathbf{H}(|v|^2 - 1)v \rangle \\ &= \langle |v|^2, (\mathbf{H}(|v|^2 - 1))^2 \rangle \\ &= \langle |v|^2 - 1, (\mathbf{H}(|v|^2 - 1))^2 \rangle + \langle 1, (\mathbf{H}(|v|^2 - 1))^2 \rangle \\ &= \langle |v|^2 - 1, (\mathbf{H}(|v|^2 - 1))^2 \rangle + \langle 1, (\mathbf{H}(|v|^2 - 1))^2 \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{3} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (|v|^2 - 1)^3 dx + \| |v|^2 - 1 \|_{L^2}^2, \end{aligned}$$

so we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\partial_x v - \frac{1}{2}vH(|v|^2 - 1)\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&= \|\partial_x v\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\| |v|^2 - 1\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}}^2 + \frac{1}{12} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (|v|^2 - 1)^3 dx + \frac{1}{4}\| |v|^2 - 1\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\geq \frac{1}{12} \int_{|v| \geq 1} (|v|^2 - 1)^3 dx + \frac{1}{12} \int_{|v| < 1} (|v|^2 - 1)^3 dx + \frac{1}{4}\| |v|^2 - 1\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\geq \frac{1}{4} \int_{|v| \geq 1} (|v|^2 - 1)^2 dx + \frac{1}{6} \int_{|v| < 1} (|v|^2 - 1)^2 dx \\
&\geq \frac{1}{6}\| |v|^2 - 1\|_{L^2}^2,
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$I_1(u_0)^2 = I_1(u)^2 = \|\partial_x v - \frac{1}{2}vH(|v|^2 - 1)\|_{L^2}^2 \geq \frac{1}{6}\| |v|^2 - 1\|_{L^2}^2 = \frac{1}{6}\| |u|^2 - 1\|_{L^2}^2,$$

which infers that  $\sup_{t \in I} \| |u(t)|^2 - 1\|_{L^2} \leq C(u_0)$ .

According to Lemma 2.1, for every  $\frac{1}{2} < s < 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|Du + u\Pi(|u|^2 - 1)\|_{L^2} \\
&\geq \|\partial_x u\|_{L^2}^2 - 2\|\partial_x u\| \|u\|_{L^\infty} \|\Pi(|u|^2 - 1)\|_{L^2} \\
&\geq \|\partial_x u\|_{L^2}^2 - 6\|\partial_x u\|_{L^2} \|\Pi(|u|^2 - 1)\|_{L^2} \\
&- C\|\partial_x u\|_{L^2} \left( \| |u|^2 - 1\|_{L^2}^2 + \| |u|^2 - 1\|_{L^2}^{2-2s} \|\partial_x u\|_{L^2}^{2s} \right)^{1/2} \|\Pi(|u|^2 - 1)\|_{L^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

From the a-priori bound on  $\| |u|^2 - 1\|_{L^2}$ , we readily infer that  $\sup_{t \in I} \|\partial_x u(t)\|_{L^2} \leq C(u_0)$ . Again by Lemma 2.1, we can deduce that  $\sup_{t \in I} \|u(t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq C(u_0)$ .

Now we assume moreover that  $u_0^{(3)} \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ . By Remark 3.3, we know that  $\partial_x^3 u(t) \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ , and then we can easily observe that

$$\|r_\varepsilon\|_{H^2} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} 0$$

in this case.



Now we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{dt} \langle L_u^4(u\chi_\varepsilon), u\chi_\varepsilon \rangle \\
&= \langle L_u^4(u\chi_\varepsilon), (\partial_t u\chi_\varepsilon) \rangle + \langle L_u^4(\partial_t(u\chi_\varepsilon)), u\chi_\varepsilon \rangle + \langle \partial_t(L_u^4)(u\chi_\varepsilon), u\chi_\varepsilon \rangle \\
&= \langle L_u^4(u\chi_\varepsilon), (B_u - iL_u^2)(u\chi_\varepsilon) \rangle + \langle L_u^4(B_u - iL_u^2)(u\chi_\varepsilon), u\chi_\varepsilon \rangle \\
&+ \langle (B_u L_u^4 - L_u^4 B_u)(u\chi_\varepsilon), u\chi_\varepsilon \rangle + 2\Re \langle L_u^4(u\chi_\varepsilon), r_\varepsilon \rangle \\
&= 2\Re \langle L_u^2(u\chi_\varepsilon), L_u^2(r_\varepsilon) \rangle \\
&= 2\Re \langle D^2(u\chi_\varepsilon), L_u(r_\varepsilon) \rangle + 2\Re \langle uT_{\bar{u}}D(u\chi_\varepsilon), L_u^2(r_\varepsilon) \rangle + 2\Re \langle D(uT_{\bar{u}}(u\chi_\varepsilon)), L_u^2(r_\varepsilon) \rangle \\
&+ 2\Re \langle u\Pi(|u|^2\Pi(|u|^2 - 1)\chi_\varepsilon), L_u^2(r_\varepsilon) \rangle + 2\Re \langle u\Pi((|u|^2 - 1)\chi_\varepsilon), L_u^2(r_\varepsilon) \rangle \\
&+ 2\Re \langle L_u(u\chi_\varepsilon), L_u(r_\varepsilon) \rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2\Re \langle D^2(u\chi_\varepsilon), L_u(r_\varepsilon) \rangle + 2\Re \langle uT_{\bar{u}}D(u\chi_\varepsilon), L_u^2(r_\varepsilon) \rangle + 2\Re \langle D(uT_{\bar{u}}(u\chi_\varepsilon)), L_u^2(r_\varepsilon) \rangle \\
&+ 2\Re \langle u\Pi(|u|^2\Pi(|u|^2 - 1)\chi_\varepsilon), L_u^2(r_\varepsilon) \rangle + 2\Re \langle u\Pi((|u|^2 - 1)\chi_\varepsilon), L_u^2(r_\varepsilon) \rangle \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Then we infer that

$$\frac{d}{dt} (\langle L_u^4(u\chi_\varepsilon), u\chi_\varepsilon \rangle - 2\langle L_u^2(u\chi_\varepsilon), u\chi_\varepsilon \rangle + \langle u\chi_\varepsilon, u\chi_\varepsilon \rangle) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} 0.$$

We also observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle L_u^4(u\chi_\varepsilon), u\chi_\varepsilon \rangle - 2\langle L_u^2(u\chi_\varepsilon), u\chi_\varepsilon \rangle + \langle u\chi_\varepsilon, u\chi_\varepsilon \rangle \\
&= \|D^2(u\chi_\varepsilon) + uT_{\bar{u}}D(u\chi_\varepsilon) + D(u\Pi((|u|^2 - 1)\chi_\varepsilon)) + D(u\chi_\varepsilon) + u\Pi(|u|^2\Pi((|u|^2 - 1)\chi_\varepsilon)) \\
&+ u\Pi((|u|^2 - 1)\chi_\varepsilon)\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&:= I_{2,\varepsilon}(u)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Then we can deduce that

$$I_{2,\varepsilon}(u(t))^2 - I_{2,\varepsilon}(u_0)^2 \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} 0,$$

which implies that

$$I_2(u(t)) = I_2(u_0). \tag{3.13}$$

By the density and the continuity of the flow map, we can deduce (3.13) for  $u_0 \in E$ , which yields the second conservation law in Lemma 3.5.

Then from the a-priori bounds on  $\|u(t)\|_{L^\infty}$ ,  $\|\partial_x u(t)\|_{L^2}$  and  $\||u|^2 - 1\|_{L^2}$ , we can infer that  $\sup_{t \in I} \|\partial_x^2 u(t)\|_{L^2} \leq C(u_0)$ , thus we have (3.9).

The proof is complete. □

### 3.3 Global well-posedness

As an application of Lemma 3.5, we deduce the following global well-posedness result.

**Theorem 3.6.** *Given  $u_0 \in E$ , there exists a unique solution  $u \in C(\mathbb{R}; E)$  of (1.1) with  $u(0) = u_0$ . Moreover, for every  $T > 0$ , the flow map  $u_0 \in E \mapsto u \in C([-T, T]; E)$  is continuous.*

*Proof.* From Lemma 3.5, we know that  $\|u(t)\|_{X^2}$  is uniformly bounded in  $t$ , then by the classical bootstrap argument, we infer that the solution exists globally in time, which yields the global well-posedness of (1.1) in  $E$ .  $\square$

## 4 Explicit formula

In [13], Killip, Laurens and Viřan established an explicit formula of the  $H_+^s(\mathbb{R})$  ( $s \geq 0$ ) solution to (1.1). In this section, we establish an explicit formula of the solution to (1.1) in  $E_+$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** *Given  $u_0 \in E_+$ , let  $u \in C(\mathbb{R}; E_+)$  be the corresponding solution of (1.1). Then we give the following explicit formula: For every  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and for every  $z \in \mathbb{C}_+$ ,  $u(t, z)$  identifies to*

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{it\partial_x^2} u_0(z) - \frac{t}{i\pi} I_+ \left[ (G + 2tL_{u_0} - z\text{Id})^{-1} \left( T_{u_0} T_{\bar{u}_0} e^{-it\partial_x^2} \left( \frac{e^{it\partial_x^2} u_0(x) - e^{it\partial_x^2} u_0(z)}{x - z} \right) \right) \right] \\ &= e^{it\partial_x^2} u_0(z) \\ & - 2t \left[ \left( \text{Id} + 2te^{it\partial_x^2} T_{u_0} T_{\bar{u}_0} e^{-it\partial_x^2} (G - z\text{Id})^{-1} \right)^{-1} e^{it\partial_x^2} T_{u_0} T_{\bar{u}_0} e^{-it\partial_x^2} \left( \frac{e^{it\partial_x^2} u_0(x) - e^{it\partial_x^2} u_0(z)}{x - z} \right) \right] (z). \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

Here  $u(t, z)$  and  $e^{it\partial_x^2} u_0(z)$  are defined by the Poisson's formulation (2.6).

*Proof.* We start with the derivation method as in [8, Section 3]. For every  $f \in L_+^2(\mathbb{R})$ , from (2.7) we know that

$$\forall z \in \mathbb{C}_+, \quad f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty e^{iz\xi} \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi.$$

While, in view of the Plancherel theorem, we have, in  $L^2(0, +\infty)$ ,

$$\hat{f}(\xi) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-ix\xi} \frac{f(x)}{1 + i\delta x} dx = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \langle S(\xi)^* f, \chi_\delta \rangle,$$

where

$$\chi_\delta(x) := \frac{1}{1 - i\delta x}.$$

Plugging the second formula into the first one, we infer

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &= \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty e^{iz\xi} \langle S(\xi)^* f, \chi_\delta \rangle d\xi \\ &= \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty e^{iz\xi} \langle e^{-i\xi G} f, \chi_\delta \rangle d\xi \\ &= \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2i\pi} \langle (G - z\text{Id})^{-1} f, \chi_\delta \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

We then use the family  $U(t)$  of unitary operators defined by the linear initial value problem in  $\mathcal{L}(L_+^2(\mathbb{R}))$ ,

$$U'(t) = B_{u(t)}U(t), U(0) = \text{Id}.$$

For every  $z \in \mathbb{C}_+$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (u\chi_\varepsilon)(t, z) &= \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2i\pi} \langle U(t)^*(G - z\text{Id})^{-1}(u\chi_\varepsilon)(t), U(t)^*\chi_\delta \rangle \\ &= \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2i\pi} \langle (U(t)^*GU(t) - z\text{Id})^{-1}U(t)^*(u\chi_\varepsilon)(t), U(t)^*\chi_\delta \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

It is not difficult to see that

$$[G, B_u] = 2L_u + i[G, (L_u)^2], \quad (4.2)$$

then we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}U(t)^*GU(t) &= U(t)^*[G, B_{u(t)}]U(t) \\ &= U(t)^*\left(2L_{u(t)} + i[G, L_{u(t)}^2]\right)U(t) \\ &= 2L_{u_0} + i[U(t)^*GU(t), L_{u_0}^2]. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating this ODE, we get

$$U(t)^*GU(t) = 2tL_{u_0} + e^{-itL_{u_0}^2}Ge^{itL_{u_0}^2}.$$

Let us determine the other terms in the inner product. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}U(t)^*(u\chi_\varepsilon) &= U(t)^*(\partial_t(u\chi_\varepsilon) - B_{u(t)}(u\chi_\varepsilon)) \\ &= -iU(t)^*L_{u(t)}^2(u\chi_\varepsilon) + U(t)^*r_\varepsilon \\ &= -iL_{u_0}^2U(t)^*(u\chi_\varepsilon) + U(t)^*r_\varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

from which we infer

$$R_\varepsilon := U(t)^*(u\chi_\varepsilon) - e^{-itL_{u_0}^2}(u_0\chi_\varepsilon) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} 0$$

in  $L_+^2(\mathbb{R})$ .

Moreover, we can easily observe that

$$B_u\chi_\delta - iL_u^2\chi_\delta \xrightarrow{\delta \rightarrow 0} 0$$

in  $L_+^2(\mathbb{R})$ .

So we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}U(t)^*\chi_\delta &= -U(t)^*B_u\chi_\delta \\ &= -i \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} U(t)^*(L_u^2\chi_\delta) \\ &= -i \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} L_{u_0}^2 U(t)^*\chi_\delta \end{aligned}$$

By integrating in time, we infer

$$U(t)^*\chi_\delta - e^{-itL_{u_0}^2}\chi_\delta \xrightarrow{\delta \rightarrow 0} 0$$

in  $L_+^2(\mathbb{R})$ . Plugging these informations into the formula which gives  $u\chi_\varepsilon$ , we infer

$$\begin{aligned} &(u\chi_\varepsilon)(t, z) \\ &= \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2i\pi} \left\langle (U(t)^*GU(t) - z\text{Id})^{-1} U(t)^*(u\chi_\varepsilon)(t), U(t)^*\chi_\delta \right\rangle \\ &= \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2i\pi} \left\langle \left( e^{-itL_{u_0}^2} G e^{itL_{u_0}^2} + 2tL_{u_0} - z\text{Id} \right)^{-1} \left( e^{-itL_{u_0}^2}(u_0\chi_\varepsilon) + R_\varepsilon \right), e^{-itL_{u_0}^2}\chi_\delta \right\rangle \\ &= \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2i\pi} \left\langle (G + 2tL_{u_0} - z\text{Id})^{-1} \left( u_0\chi_\varepsilon + e^{itL_{u_0}^2} R_\varepsilon \right), \chi_\delta \right\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2i\pi} I_+ \left[ (G + 2tL_{u_0} - z\text{Id})^{-1} \left( u_0\chi_\varepsilon + e^{itL_{u_0}^2} R_\varepsilon \right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

We can easily see that

$$(u\chi_\varepsilon)(t, z) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} u(t, z), \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{C}_+$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2i\pi} I_+ \left[ (G + 2tL_{u_0} - z\text{Id})^{-1} R_\varepsilon \right] \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} 0, \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{C}_+,$$

so we only need to give the limit of  $\frac{1}{2i\pi}I_+ \left[ (G + 2tL_{u_0} - z\text{Id})^{-1} (u_0\chi_\varepsilon) \right]$  as  $\varepsilon$  tends to 0.

In fact, we have

$$\begin{aligned} G + 2tL_{u_0} - z &= G + 2tD + 2tT_{u_0}T_{\bar{u}_0} - z\text{Id} \\ &= e^{-it\partial_x^2} G e^{it\partial_x^2} + 2tT_{u_0}T_{\bar{u}_0} - z\text{Id} \\ &= e^{-it\partial_x^2} \left( G + 2te^{it\partial_x^2} T_{u_0}T_{\bar{u}_0} e^{-it\partial_x^2} - z\text{Id} \right) e^{it\partial_x^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining the above formula and (2.9), we infer

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2i\pi}I_+ \left[ (G + 2tL_{u_0} - z\text{Id})^{-1} (u_0\chi_\varepsilon) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2i\pi}I_+ \left[ \left( G + 2te^{it\partial_x^2} T_{u_0}T_{\bar{u}_0} e^{-it\partial_x^2} - z\text{Id} \right)^{-1} e^{it\partial_x^2} (u_0\chi_\varepsilon) \right] \\ &= \left[ \left( \text{Id} + 2te^{it\partial_x^2} T_{u_0}T_{\bar{u}_0} e^{-it\partial_x^2} (G - z\text{Id})^{-1} \right)^{-1} e^{it\partial_x^2} (u_0\chi_\varepsilon) \right] (z). \end{aligned}$$

From the identity

$$(\text{Id} + A)^{-1} = \text{Id} - (\text{Id} + A)^{-1}A,$$

we know that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left( \text{Id} + 2te^{it\partial_x^2} T_{u_0}T_{\bar{u}_0} e^{-it\partial_x^2} (G - z\text{Id})^{-1} \right)^{-1} \\ &= \text{Id} - 2t \left( \text{Id} + 2te^{it\partial_x^2} T_{u_0}T_{\bar{u}_0} e^{-it\partial_x^2} (G - z\text{Id})^{-1} \right)^{-1} e^{it\partial_x^2} T_{u_0}T_{\bar{u}_0} e^{-it\partial_x^2} (G - z\text{Id})^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we infer

$$\begin{aligned} &\left( \text{Id} + 2te^{it\partial_x^2} T_{u_0}T_{\bar{u}_0} e^{-it\partial_x^2} (G - z\text{Id})^{-1} \right)^{-1} e^{it\partial_x^2} (u_0\chi_\varepsilon) \\ &= e^{it\partial_x^2} (u_0\chi_\varepsilon) \\ &\quad - 2t \left( \text{Id} + 2te^{it\partial_x^2} T_{u_0}T_{\bar{u}_0} e^{-it\partial_x^2} (G - z\text{Id})^{-1} \right)^{-1} e^{it\partial_x^2} T_{u_0}T_{\bar{u}_0} e^{-it\partial_x^2} (G - z\text{Id})^{-1} e^{it\partial_x^2} (u_0\chi_\varepsilon) \\ &= e^{it\partial_x^2} (u_0\chi_\varepsilon) \\ &\quad - 2t \left( \text{Id} + 2te^{it\partial_x^2} T_{u_0}T_{\bar{u}_0} e^{-it\partial_x^2} (G - z\text{Id})^{-1} \right)^{-1} e^{it\partial_x^2} T_{u_0}T_{\bar{u}_0} e^{-it\partial_x^2} \left( \frac{e^{it\partial_x^2} (u_0\chi_\varepsilon)(x) - e^{it\partial_x^2} (u_0\chi_\varepsilon)(z)}{x - z} \right). \end{aligned}$$

The second equality above comes from (2.8).

Let  $\varepsilon$  tend to 0, we know that

$$u_0\chi_\varepsilon \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} u_0 \text{ pointwisely}$$

and

$$(u_0\chi_\varepsilon)' \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} u_0' \text{ in } H_+^1(\mathbb{R}).$$

By Lemma 2.3, we infer

$$e^{it\partial_x^2}(u_0\chi_\varepsilon) = u_0\chi_\varepsilon + \left( e^{it\partial_x^2}(u_0\chi_\varepsilon) - u_0\chi_\varepsilon \right) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} u_0 + \left( e^{it\partial_x^2}u_0 - u_0 \right) = e^{it\partial_x^2}u_0 \text{ pointwisely.}$$

Then from the Poisson's formulation (2.6) and the dominated convergence theorem, we can deduce that

$$e^{it\partial_x^2}(u_0\chi_\varepsilon)(z) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} e^{it\partial_x^2}u_0(z), \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{C}_+.$$

Again by the dominated convergence theorem, we can deduce that, for every  $z \in \mathbb{C}_+$ ,

$$\frac{e^{it\partial_x^2}(u_0\chi_\varepsilon)(x) - e^{it\partial_x^2}(u_0\chi_\varepsilon)(z)}{x - z} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{e^{it\partial_x^2}u_0(x) - e^{it\partial_x^2}u_0(z)}{x - z} \text{ in } L_+^2(\mathbb{R}).$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2i\pi} I_+ \left[ (G + 2tL_{u_0} - z\text{Id})^{-1} (u_0\chi_\varepsilon) \right] \\ &= e^{it\partial_x^2}u_0(z) \\ & - 2t \left[ \left( \text{Id} + 2te^{it\partial_x^2}T_{u_0}T_{\bar{u}_0}e^{-it\partial_x^2}(G - z\text{Id})^{-1} \right)^{-1} e^{it\partial_x^2}T_{u_0}T_{\bar{u}_0}e^{-it\partial_x^2} \left( \frac{e^{it\partial_x^2}u_0(x) - e^{it\partial_x^2}u_0(z)}{x - z} \right) \right] (z) \\ &= e^{it\partial_x^2}u_0(z) - \frac{t}{i\pi} I_+ \left[ (G + 2tL_{u_0} - z\text{Id})^{-1} \left( T_{u_0}T_{\bar{u}_0}e^{-it\partial_x^2} \left( \frac{e^{it\partial_x^2}u_0(x) - e^{it\partial_x^2}u_0(z)}{x - z} \right) \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete.  $\square$

**Remark 4.2.** In fact, the formula (4.1) is also valid for the  $H_+^s(\mathbb{R})$  ( $s \geq 1$ ) solution to (1.1).

**Remark 4.3.** From Theorem 4.1, if we write  $u(t, x) = e^{it\partial_x^2}u_0(x) + v(t, x)$  as in the proof of Proposition 3.2, we can also establish an explicit formula for  $v(t, x) \in C(\mathbb{R}; H_+^2(\mathbb{R}))$ : For every  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and for every  $z \in \mathbb{C}_+$ ,  $v(t, z)$  identifies to

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{t}{i\pi} I_+ \left[ (G + 2tL_{u_0} - z\text{Id})^{-1} \left( T_{u_0}T_{\bar{u}_0}e^{-it\partial_x^2} \left( \frac{e^{it\partial_x^2}u_0(x) - e^{it\partial_x^2}u_0(z)}{x - z} \right) \right) \right] \\ &= -2t \left[ \left( \text{Id} + 2te^{it\partial_x^2}T_{u_0}T_{\bar{u}_0}e^{-it\partial_x^2}(G - z\text{Id})^{-1} \right)^{-1} e^{it\partial_x^2}T_{u_0}T_{\bar{u}_0}e^{-it\partial_x^2} \left( \frac{e^{it\partial_x^2}u_0(x) - e^{it\partial_x^2}u_0(z)}{x - z} \right) \right] (z). \end{aligned}$$

## References

- [1] R. Badreddine, On the global well-posedness of the Calogero–Sutherland derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *Pure and Applied Analysis*, Vol. 6 (2024), No. 2, p. 379–414.
- [2] R. Badreddine, Traveling waves and finite gap potentials for the Calogero–Sutherland derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré C Anal. Non Linéaire* (2024), published online first. DOI: 10.4171/AIHPC/124.
- [3] R. Badreddine, Zero dispersion limit of the Calogero–Moser derivative NLS equation, *SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis*, Vol. 56 (2024), No.6, p.7228–7249.
- [4] F. Béthuel, J.C. Saut, Travelling waves for the Gross–Pitaevskii equation I, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Phys. Théor.* 70 (1999) 147–238.
- [5] J. L. Bona, R. Smith, The initial-value problem for the Korteweg–deVries equation, *Philos. Trans. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A* 278 (1975), 555–601.
- [6] R.P. de Moura, D. Pilod, Local Well-Posedness for the nonlocal nonlinear Schrödinger Equation below the energy space. *Advances in Differential Equations* 15.9 (2010): 925.
- [7] P. Gérard, The Cauchy problem for the Gross–Pitaevskii equation, *Ann. I. H. Poincaré – AN* 23 (2006) 765–779.
- [8] P. Gérard, An explicit formula for the Benjamin–Ono equation, *Tunisian Journal of Mathematics.*, Vol. 5 (2023), No. 3, 593–603.
- [9] P. Gérard, E. Lenzmann, The Calogero–Moser derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 2024;1–55.
- [10] J. Hogan, M. Kowalski, Turbulent threshold for continuum Calogero–Moser models, *Pure and Applied Analysis*, Vol. 6 (2024), No. 4, 941–954.
- [11] T. Kato, On nonlinear Schrödinger equations, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Phys. Théor.* 46 (1987) 113–129.
- [12] R. Killip, T. Laurens, M. Vişan, Sharp well-posedness for the Benjamin–Ono equation. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 236(3), pp.999–1054.
- [13] R. Killip, T. Laurens, M. Vişan, Scaling-critical well-posedness for continuum Calogero–Moser models. [arXiv:2311.12334](https://arxiv.org/abs/2311.12334).
- [14] K. Kim, T. Kim, S. Kwon, Construction of smooth chiral finite-time blow-up solutions to Calogero–Moser derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation, [arXiv:2404.09603](https://arxiv.org/abs/2404.09603).

- [15] Y. Matsuno, Multiperiodic and multisoliton solutions of a nonlocal nonlinear Schrödinger equation for envelope waves, *Physics Letters A* 278 (2000) 53–58.
- [16] Y. Matsuno, Linear stability of multiple dark solitary wave solutions of a nonlocal nonlinear Schrödinger equation for envelope waves, *Physics Letters A* 285 (2001) 286–292.
- [17] Y. Matsuno, Exactly solvable eigenvalue problems for a nonlocal nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *Inverse Problems* 18 (2002) 1101–1125.
- [18] T. Tao, Global well-posedness of the Benjamin-Ono equation in  $H^1(\mathbb{R})$ , *J. Hyperbolic Differ. Equ.* 1(2004), 27–49.
- [19] P.E. Zhidkov, *The Cauchy problem for a nonlinear Schrödinger equation*, Dubna, 1987.
- [20] P.E. Zhidkov, *Korteweg–de Vries and Nonlinear Schrödinger Equations: Qualitative Theory*, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, vol. 1756, Springer-Verlag, 2001.