

# **MINIMALITY OF THE VORTEX SOLUTION FOR GINZBURG-LANDAU SYSTEMS**

Radu Ignat

### **To cite this version:**

Radu Ignat. MINIMALITY OF THE VORTEX SOLUTION FOR GINZBURG-LANDAU SYSTEMS. Workshop "Calculus of Variations" in Oberwolfach, Aug 2024, Oberwolfach, Germany. hal-04722724

## **HAL Id: hal-04722724 <https://hal.science/hal-04722724v1>**

Submitted on 7 Oct 2024

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

#### MINIMALITY OF THE VORTEX SOLUTION FOR GINZBURG-LANDAU SYSTEMS

#### RADU IGNAT

Abstract. We consider the Ginzburg-Landau system for N-dimensional maps defined in the unit ball for some parameter  $\varepsilon > 0$ . For a boundary data corresponding to a vortex of topological degree one, the aim is to prove the symmetry of the ground state of the system. We show this conjecture for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  in any dimension  $N \ge 7$ , and then, we also prove it in dimension  $N = 4, 5, 6$  provided that the admissible maps are gradient fields.

This note represents the summary of the talk of the author given at the Workshop "Calculus of Variations" in Oberwolfach, 11-16 August 2024. It is based on a series of articles [8, 9, 5, 10, 6] in collaboration with Luc Nguyen (Oxford), Mickael Nahon (Grenoble), Mircea Rus (Cluj), Valeriy Slastikov (Bristol) and Arghir Zarnescu (Bilbao). This report will be included in a volume Oberwolfach Reports (2024) dedicated to that workshop.

The Ginzburg-Landau model. Let  $B^N \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  be the unit ball,  $N \geq 2$ . For  $u: B^N \to \mathbb{R}^N$ , consider the Ginzburg-Landau functional for a parameter  $\varepsilon > 0$ :

$$
G_{\varepsilon}(u) = \int_{B^N} \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon^2} W(1 - |u|^2) dx,
$$

where  $W: (-\infty, 1] \to \mathbb{R}_+$  is  $C^1$  convex,  $W(0) = 0$ ,  $W(t) > 0$  for  $t \neq 0$ . Typically,  $W(t) = \frac{t^2}{2}$  $\frac{e^2}{2}$ . As  $\varepsilon \to 0$ , the limit maps take values into the unit sphere  $\mathbb{S}^{N-1}$ , so the limit model is the  $\mathbb{S}^{N-1}$ -harmonic map problem (HMP). Thus, our results are expected to be closely related with those obtained for HMP.

We focus on critical points u of  $G_{\varepsilon}$  for fixed  $\varepsilon > 0$ :

(1) 
$$
-\Delta u = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} W'(1 - |u|^2) u \quad \text{in } B^N
$$

under the boundary condition

(2) 
$$
u(x) = x \quad \text{on } \partial B^N = \mathbb{S}^{N-1}.
$$

Such critical points  $u$  (e.g., minimizers) exist. In particular, by the maximum principle,  $|u| \leq 1$  in  $B^N$  and then, the standard elliptic theory yields  $u \in W^{2,p} \cap C^{1,\alpha}$ for every  $p < \infty$  and  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ . Moreover, the topological constraint in (2) implies that u has a zero point inside  $B<sup>N</sup>$  that plays an important role in this theory. The main question concerns the uniqueness of solutions in  $(1) \& (2)$ .

**The vortex solution**. For every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a unique solution to (1) & (2) that is invariant under the special orthogonal group  $SO(N)$ , i.e., the group action  $u \mapsto u^R(x) = R^{-1}u(Rx)$  for every  $R \in SO(N)$  that keeps invariant the functional  $G_{\varepsilon}$ 

Date: October 4, 2024.

#### 2 RADU IGNAT

and the boundary condition  $(2)$ . This is the so-called *vortex solution* (of topological degree 1) given by

$$
u_{\varepsilon}(x) = f_{\varepsilon}(|x|) \frac{x}{|x|}, \quad x \in B^N \setminus \{0\}.
$$

The radial profile  $f_{\varepsilon} : [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$  is the unique solution to the singular ODE:

(3) 
$$
\begin{cases}\n-f''_{\varepsilon} - \frac{N-1}{r} f'_{\varepsilon} + \frac{N-1}{r^2} f_{\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} W'(1 - f_{\varepsilon}^2) f_{\varepsilon} & \text{in } (0, 1), \\
f_{\varepsilon}(0) = 0, f_{\varepsilon}(1) = 1,\n\end{cases}
$$

where  $r = |x|$  (see [3, 4, 7]). In particular,  $1 > f_{\varepsilon} > 0$  and  $f'_{\varepsilon} > 0$  in (0, 1). The aim is to study the minimality of the vortex solution:

Question 1: Is  $u_{\varepsilon}(x) = f_{\varepsilon}(|x|) \frac{x}{|x|}$  $\frac{x}{|x|}$  the (unique) minimiser of  $G_{\varepsilon}$  under the boundary condition (2) for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ?

For large  $\varepsilon$ , i.e.,  $\varepsilon \geq \varepsilon_{conv}$ , the functional  $G_{\varepsilon}$  is strictly convex yielding uniqueness in  $(1)$  &  $(2)$  (in particular, the positive answer to Question 1), see [1, 9]. For  $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_{conv}$ , there are only some partial results. In dimension  $N = 2$ , Bethuel-Brezis-Hélein [1] proved in the regime  $\varepsilon \to 0$  that a minimizer u of  $G_{\varepsilon}$  under (2) has a unique topological zero converging to the origin, while Pacard-Rivière [17] proved that  $u_{\varepsilon}$  is the unique solution to (1) & (2) for very small  $\varepsilon > 0$ ; we also mention the work of Mironescu [16] for the corresponding blow-up problem in the domain  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . In dimension  $N \geq 3$ , we quote the works of Millot-Pisante [14] and Pisante [18] for the blow-up problem in the domain  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . Finally, for the  $\mathbb{S}^{N-1}$ -harmonic map problem,  $u_*(x) = \frac{x}{|x|}$  is the unique minimizing harmonic map in  $B^N$  under (2) if  $N \geq 3$  (see Jäger-Kaul [11], Brezis-Coron-Lieb  $[2]$ , Lin  $[13]$ ).

Main results. Our first result gives a positive answer to Question 1 in dimension  $N \geq 7$  (see [8, 9]):

**Theorem 2** If  $N \geq 7$ , then  $u_{\varepsilon}(x) = f_{\varepsilon}(|x|) \frac{x}{|x|}$  $\frac{x}{|x|}$  is the unique minimiser of  $G_{\varepsilon}$  under (2) for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

Sketch of the proof. The idea is to linearize the potential energy in  $G_{\varepsilon}$ . More precisely, the convexity of W yields for every  $v \in H_0^1(B^N, \mathbb{R}^N)$ :

(4) 
$$
G_{\varepsilon}(u_{\varepsilon}+v)-G_{\varepsilon}(u_{\varepsilon})\geq \frac{1}{2}F_{\varepsilon}(v)
$$

where  $F_{\varepsilon}(v) = \int_{B^N} |\nabla v|^2 - \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}$  $\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}W'(1-|u_{\varepsilon}|^2)|v|^2 dx$ . To conclude, we need to prove that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $F_{\varepsilon}(v) = \int L_{\varepsilon}v \cdot v dx \ge 0$ ,  $\forall v \in H_0^1(B^N, \mathbb{R}^N)$ , where  $B^N$  $L_{\varepsilon} = -\Delta - \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}$  $\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}W'(1-f_\varepsilon^2)$ . Let  $\ell(\varepsilon) = \lambda_1(L_\varepsilon, B^N)$  be the first eigenvalue of  $L_\varepsilon$ in  $B<sup>N</sup>$  under zero Dirichlet condition. The conclusion follows by:

**Lemma 3** If  $N \ge 7$ , then  $\ell(\varepsilon) \ge c_N = \frac{(N-2)^2}{4} - (N-1) > 0$ ,  $\forall \varepsilon > 0$ .

Sketch of the proof. For  $v \in C_c^{\infty}(B^N \setminus \{0\}, \mathbb{R})$ , we use the Hardy decomposition  $v = f_{\varepsilon} s$ . Integration by parts combined with (3) imply

$$
F_{\varepsilon}(v) = \int_{B^N} L_{\varepsilon} v \cdot v = \int_{B^N} (f_{\varepsilon}^2 |\nabla s|^2 + s^2 L_{\varepsilon} f_{\varepsilon} \cdot f_{\varepsilon}) = \int_{B^N} f_{\varepsilon}^2 (|\nabla s|^2 - \frac{N-1}{r^2} s^2).
$$

The limit case  $\varepsilon \to 0$  follows from the fact that  $f_{\varepsilon} \to 1$  in  $(0,1]$  combined with Hardy's inequality:

$$
\int_{B^N} L_{\varepsilon} v \cdot v \to \int_{B^N} |\nabla s|^2 - \frac{N-1}{r^2} s^2 \ge \int_{B^N} \left( \frac{(N-2)^2}{4} - (N-1) \right) \frac{s^2}{r^2} \ge c_N \int_{B^N} s^2.
$$

For the general case  $\varepsilon > 0$  (fixed), one decomposes  $s = \phi \tilde{s}$  with  $\phi = r^{-\frac{N-2}{2}}$  and obtains  $F_{\varepsilon}(v) \geq c_N \int_{B^N}$  $v^2$  $\frac{v^2}{r^2}$  yielding the conclusion of Lemma 3 together with the uniqueness of the minimizer  $u_{\varepsilon}$  in Theorem 2.

In dimension  $N \in [2, 6]$ , the above argument does not yield the answer to Question 1. Indeed, the first eigenvalue  $\ell(\varepsilon)$  of  $L_{\varepsilon}$  in  $B^N$  becomes negative for small  $\varepsilon > 0$ if  $2 \leq N \leq 6$ . However, the above argument improves the range of  $\varepsilon$  where  $u_{\varepsilon}$  is the unique minimizer of  $G_{\varepsilon}$  under (2) (with respect to  $\varepsilon_{conv}$  above which  $G_{\varepsilon}$  is strictly convex), see [5, 10]:

**Lemma 4** If  $2 \leq N \leq 6$ , then there is  $\varepsilon_N \in (0, \varepsilon_{conv})$  such that  $\ell(\varepsilon_N) = 0$  and  $\ell(\varepsilon) < 0$  if  $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_N$  (resp.  $\ell(\varepsilon) > 0$  if  $\varepsilon > \varepsilon_N$ ). In particular, if  $\varepsilon > \varepsilon_N$ , then the vortex solution  $u_{\varepsilon}$  is the unique minimizer of  $G_{\varepsilon}$  under (2).

The minimality of  $u_{\varepsilon}$  is still an open question if  $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_N$  and  $N \in [2, 6]$ . A partial result is the *local* minimality of  $u_{\varepsilon}$  for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ . This is known in dimension  $N = 2$  thanks to the works of Mironescu [15] and Lieb-Loss [12], while in dimension  $N \in [3, 6]$ , this is proved by Ignat-Nguyen [5]:

**Theorem 5** If  $3 \leq N \leq 6$ , then  $u_{\varepsilon} = f_{\varepsilon}(|x|) \frac{x}{|x|}$  $\frac{x}{|x|}$  is a *local* minimizer of  $G_{\varepsilon}$  under (2) for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

Sketch of the proof. The aim is to prove that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $G_{\varepsilon}(u_{\varepsilon} + v) - G_{\varepsilon}(u_{\varepsilon}) \ge$  $C||v||_{H^1}^2$  if  $||v||_{H^1} \leq \delta$  for some  $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon) > 0$  and  $C = C(\varepsilon) > 0$  small. For that, we analyse the second variation of  $G_{\varepsilon}$  at  $u_{\varepsilon}$  in direction  $v \in H_0^1(B^N, \mathbb{R}^N)$ :

$$
Q_{\varepsilon}(v) = \frac{d^2}{dt^2}\bigg|_{t=0} G_{\varepsilon}(u_{\varepsilon} + tv) = F_{\varepsilon}(v) + \frac{2}{\varepsilon^2} \int_{B^N} W''(1 - f_{\varepsilon}^2) f_{\varepsilon}^2(v \cdot \frac{x}{|x|})^2 dx.
$$

This is done by writing  $v(x) = s(x) \frac{x}{|x|} + \tilde{v}(x)$  for some scalar function s and a tangent vector field  $\tilde{v}(x) \cdot x = 0$  and then use the Hodge decomposition in the tangent space for every  $x \in B^N \setminus \{0\}$ :  $\tilde{v}(r, \cdot) = v^{\circ}(r, \cdot) + \nabla \psi(r, \cdot)$  on  $S^{N-1}$  where  $\nabla \cdot v^{\circ}(r, \cdot) = 0$  in  $\mathbb{S}^{N-1}$  and  $\psi$  is a scalar function. (Here,  $\nabla$  is the covariant derivative.) The spectral decomposition of  $s(r, \cdot)$  and  $\psi(r, \cdot)$  in  $L^2(\mathbb{S}^{N-1})$  yields a decomposition of  $v - v^{\circ}$  in modes  $v_k$  and furthermore, the following decomposition of the second variation

$$
Q_{\varepsilon}(v) = Q_{\varepsilon}(v^{\circ}) + \sum_{k \geq 0} Q_{\varepsilon}(v_k).
$$

Using Hardy decompositions for  $v^{\circ}$  and each  $v_k$ , we obtain  $Q_{\varepsilon}(v) \geq C(\varepsilon) ||v||_{H^1}^2$  for every  $v \in H_0^1(B^N, \mathbb{R}^N)$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . An extra argument yields local minimality of  $u_{\varepsilon}$ .

The Aviles-Giga model. Note that the vortex solution is a gradient field, i.e.,  $u_{\varepsilon} = \nabla \phi_{\varepsilon}$  for some radial function  $\phi_{\varepsilon} : B^N \to \mathbb{R}$  determined by  $\phi_{\varepsilon}' = f_{\varepsilon}$  in  $(0, 1)$ . Therefore, in dimension  $N \in [2, 6]$ , it is natural to study the minimality of  $u_{\varepsilon}$ restricted to the class of gradient fields.

**Question 2:** Is  $u_{\varepsilon}$  the (unique) minimizer of  $G_{\varepsilon}$  for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  over gradient fields

$$
\mathcal{V} = \{ u = \nabla \phi : \phi \in H^2(B^N, \mathbb{R}), \nabla \phi = Id \text{ on } \partial B^N \}
$$
?

This is the so-called Aviles-Giga model corresponding to the functional

$$
G_{\varepsilon}(\nabla \phi) = \int_{B^N} \frac{1}{2} |\nabla^2 \phi|^2 + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon^2} W (1 - |\nabla \phi|^2) \, dx.
$$

We are able to improve Theorem 2 to the dimensions  $N = 4, 5, 6$  in this restricted class  $V$ , see Ignat-Nahon-Nguyen [6].

**Theorem 6** If  $N \geq 4$ , then  $u_{\varepsilon}$  is the unique global minimizer of  $G_{\varepsilon}$  over  $V$  for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

Sketch of the first proof. As before, for every  $\nabla \psi \in H_0^1(B^N, \mathbb{R}^N)$ , we have  $G_{\varepsilon}(u_{\varepsilon} +$  $(\nabla \psi) - G_{\varepsilon}(u_{\varepsilon}) \geq \frac{1}{2}$  $\frac{1}{2}F_{\varepsilon}(\nabla\psi)$ . As  $\nabla\psi=0$  on  $\partial B^N$ , we have

$$
F_{\varepsilon}(\nabla\psi) = \int_{B^N} (\Delta\psi)^2 - \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} W'(1 - f_{\varepsilon}^2) |\nabla\psi|^2 dx.
$$

In the limit case  $\varepsilon \to 0$ , we expect that  $F_{\varepsilon}(\nabla \psi) \to \int_{B^N} (\Delta \psi)^2 - \frac{N-1}{r^2}$  $\frac{\sqrt{1-1}}{r^2} |\nabla \psi|^2$  and the conclusion would follow by the Hardy inequality in  $\mathcal{V}$ :

$$
\int_{B^N} (\Delta \psi)^2 \ge K_N \int_{B^N} \frac{|\nabla \psi|^2}{r^2} \quad \text{ with } K_N = \begin{cases} N^2/4 & \text{if } N \ge 5 \\ N-1 & \text{if } N = 4 \\ 25/36 & \text{if } N = 3 \end{cases}.
$$

For the general case  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we use the spherical harmonic decomposition for  $\psi$ and based again on some Hardy decompositions, we get  $F_{\varepsilon}(\nabla \psi) \geq 0$  provided that  $N \geq 4$ .

Sketch of the second proof if  $N \geq 5$ : This second proof is based on the following symmetrization of gradient fields. More precisely, for the stream function  $\phi \in H^1(B^N, \mathbb{R})$ , we associate the radial function  $\phi_* = \phi_*(r)$  defined by

$$
\phi_*'(r) = \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} |\nabla \phi(r\theta)|^2 d\sigma(\theta)\right)^{1/2} \ge 0, \quad r \in (0,1).
$$

As W is convex, Jensen's inequality yields

$$
\int_{B^N} W(1 - |\nabla \phi|^2) \, dx \ge \int_{B^N} W(1 - |\nabla \phi_*|^2) \, dx.
$$

Moreover, if  $\nabla \phi = Id$  on  $\partial B^N$  and  $N \geq 5$  then

$$
\int_{B^N} |\nabla^2 \phi|^2 dx \ge \int_{B^N} |\nabla^2 \phi_*|^2 dx
$$

with equality if and only if  $\phi$  is radial. Thus, for every  $N \geq 5$  and any  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $G_{\varepsilon}(\nabla \phi) \geq G_{\varepsilon}(\nabla \phi_*) \geq G_{\varepsilon}(u_{\varepsilon} = \nabla \phi_{\varepsilon}).$ 

 $\mathbb{R}^{N+1}$ -valued vortex solutions. We can solve completely Question 1 when we add one target dimension, i.e., the admissible maps are  $U = (u, U_{N+1}) : B^N \to \mathbb{R}^{N+1}$ satisfying the boundary condition

(5) 
$$
U(x) = (x, 0) \in \mathbb{S}^{N-1} \times \{0\} \text{ on } \partial B^N.
$$

We prove that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , minimizers of  $G_{\varepsilon}$  under (5) are vortex type solutions that are either *non-escaping* (i.e., their  $(N + 1)$ -component vanishes in  $B^N$ ), or they are *escaping*, i.e., their  $(N + 1)$ -component is positive (or negative) in  $B<sup>N</sup>$ , see Ignat-Rus [10].

**Theorem 7** Every minimizer of  $G_{\varepsilon}$  under (5) is symmetric of vortex type and the following dichotomy holds in dimension  $2 \leq N \leq 6$ :

a) if  $\varepsilon \geq \varepsilon_N$ , then the non-escaping vortex solution  $\bar{U}_{\varepsilon} = (f_{\varepsilon}(|x|) \frac{x}{\ln n})$  $\frac{x}{|x|}, 0$  is the unique minimizer of  $G_{\varepsilon}$  under (5).

b) if  $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_N$ , then the two *escaping* vortex solutions  $(\tilde{f}_{\varepsilon}(|x|)\frac{x}{|x|})$  $\frac{x}{|x|}, \pm g_{\varepsilon}(|x|)$  with  $g_{\varepsilon} > 0$  are the only minimizers of  $G_{\varepsilon}$  under (5). In this case, the non-escaping solution  $\bar{U}_{\varepsilon}$  is unstable.

The idea of the proof is the following: point a) is implied by the proof of Theorem 2. For point b), if an escaping critical point  $U = (u, U_{N+1})$  of  $G_{\varepsilon}$  exists under (5), then it is a minimizer and the set of minimizers is given by  $\{(u, \pm U_{N+1})\}$  (this phenomenon is explained in [9]). Restricting to the class of symmetric vortex type maps, Lemma 4 implies that the non-escaping vortex solution  $\tilde{U}_{\varepsilon}$  is unstable if  $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_N$ and therefore, an escaping symmetric vortex solution exists, which determines the set of minimizers. Of course, by the proof of Theorem 2, the non-escaping vortex solution  $\bar{U}_{\varepsilon}$  is the unique minimizer of  $G_{\varepsilon}$  under (5) in dimension  $N \geq 7$ .

Acknowledgement. The author is partially supported by the ANR projects ANR-21-CE40-0004 and ANR-22-CE40-0006-01.

#### **REFERENCES**

- [1] Bethuel, F., Brezis, H., and H´elein, F. Ginzburg-Landau vortices. Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and their Applications, 13. Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 1994.
- [2] Brezis, H., Coron, J.-M., and Lieb, E. H. Harmonic maps with defects. Comm. Math. Phys. 107 (1986), 649-705.
- [3] Chen, X., Elliott, C.M., and Qi, T. Shooting method for vortex solutions of a complex-valued Ginzburg-Landau equation, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A 124 (1994), 1075-1088.
- [4] Hervé, R.-M., and Hervé, M., Étude qualitative des solutions réelles d'une équation différentielle liée à l'équation de Ginzburg-Landau, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré C Anal. Non Linéaire 11 (1994), 427-440.
- [5] Ignat, R., and Nguyen, L. Local minimality of  $\mathbb{R}^N$ -valued and  $\mathbb{S}^N$ -valued Ginzburg-Landau vortex solutions in the unit ball  $B<sup>N</sup>$ . Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire 41 (2024), 663-724.
- [6] Ignat, R., Nahon, M., and Nguyen, L. Minimality of vortex solutions to Ginzburg–Landau type systems for gradient fields in the unit ball in dimension  $N \geq 4$ , arXiv:2310.11384.
- [7] Ignat, R., Nguyen, L., Slastikov, V., and Zarnescu, A. Uniqueness results for an ODE related to a generalized Ginzburg-Landau model for liquid crystals. SIAM J. Math. Anal. 46 (2014), 3390-3425.
- [8] Ignat, R., Nguyen, L., Slastikov, V., and Zarnescu, A. Uniqueness of degree-one Ginzburg-Landau vortex in the unit ball in dimensions  $N \geq 7$ . C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris 356 (2018), 922-926.
- [9] Ignat, R., Nguyen, L., Slastikov, V., and Zarnescu, A. On the uniqueness of minimisers of  $Ginzburg-Landau functionals$ . Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4)  $53$  (2020), 589-613.
- [10] Ignat, R., and Rus, M. Vortex sheet solutions for the Ginzburg–Landau system in cylinders: symmetry and global minimality. Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations. **63** (2024), 20 pp.

#### 6 RADU IGNAT

- [11] Jäger, W., and Kaul, H. Rotationally symmetric harmonic maps from a ball into a sphere and the regularity problem for weak solutions of elliptic systems. J. Reine Angew. Math. 343 (1983), 146-161.
- [12] Lieb, E. H., and Loss, M. Symmetry of the Ginzburg-Landau minimizer in a disc. In Journées "Équations aux Dérivées Partielles" (Saint-Jean-de-Monts, 1995). École Polytech., Palaiseau, 1995, pp. Exp. No. XVIII, 12.
- [13] Lin, F.-H. A remark on the map  $x/|x|$ . C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. 305 (1987), 529-531.
- [14] Millot, V., and Pisante, A. Symmetry of local minimizers for the three-dimensional Ginzburg-Landau functional. J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) 12 (2010), 1069-1096.
- [15] Mironescu, P. On the stability of radial solutions of the Ginzburg-Landau equation. J. Funct. Anal. 130 (1995), 334-344.
- [16] Mironescu, P. Les minimiseurs locaux pour l'équation de Ginzburg-Landau sont à symétrie radiale. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **323** (1996), 593-598.
- [17] Pacard, F., and Rivière, T. Linear and nonlinear aspects of vortices, Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and their Applications 39. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2000.
- [18] Pisante, A. Two results on the equivariant Ginzburg-Landau vortex in arbitrary dimension. J. Funct. Anal. 260 (2011), 892-905.

INSTITUT DE MATHÉMATIQUES DE TOULOUSE, UMR 5219, UNIVERSITÉ DE TOULOUSE, CNRS, UPS IMT, F-31062 Toulouse Cedex 9, France.

Email address: Radu.Ignat@math.univ-toulouse.fr