

Post-Quantum Cryptographically-Secured Trusted Node for Quantum Key Distribution in a Deployed Network

Heming Huang, Yves Jaouën, Nicolas Fabre, Romain Alléaume, Jean-Sébastien Pegon, Thomas Camus, Martin Zuber, Jean-Charles Faugère, Pierre-Enguerrand Verdier, Baptiste Lacour, et al.

► To cite this version:

Heming Huang, Yves Jaouën, Nicolas Fabre, Romain Alléaume, Jean-Sébastien Pegon, et al.. Post-Quantum Cryptographically-Secured Trusted Node for Quantum Key Distribution in a Deployed Network. QCRYPT 2024 - 14th International Conference on Quantum Cryptography, Sep 2024, Vigo, Spain. hal-04722437

HAL Id: hal-04722437 https://hal.science/hal-04722437v1

Submitted on 14 Nov 2024 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Post-Quantum Cryptographically-Secured Trusted Node for Quantum Key Distribution in a Deployed Network ParisRegionQCI						
	Heming Huang Nicolas Fabre Yves Jaouën Romain Alléaume	Jean-Sébastien Pegon Thomas Camus	Martin Zuber Jean-Charles Faugère	Pierre-Enguerrand Verdier Baptiste Lacour Maxime Gautier Thomas Rivera	Yoann Piétri Matteo Schiavon Amine Rhouni Eleni Diamanti	CORSERVENTION DE LA CORSERVENTION DE LA CORSERVENTION DE LA CORSERVENTIÓN DE LA CORSERVENTE DE LA CORSERVENT
IP PAF	Institut Polytechnique de Paris	ID Quantique SA	CryptoNext Security	Orange Innovation	Sorbonne Université, CNF	RS, LIP6

Objective

Reduce the security risks associated with the usage of trusted nodes in a QKD network.

1. The need for trusted nodes in a QKD network

Conclusion

The transported QKD key is secure agains honest-curious nodes at a lower key-rate cost than state-of-the-art.

4. Implementation of the scheme

QKD requires point-to-point quantum communication, which is highly unpractical for large networks. In theory, this could be overcome by using intermediate nodes equipped with optical switches. But these switches would add optical losses detrimental to the secret key rate — not in fact a range extension.

A practical solution to these issues is the use of **trusted nodes**: the whole link is composed of several sub-links between secure locations, and the intermediate nodes serve as key relays.

2. Initial key relay scheme

One option for key relay is to transfer the key linearly from node to node under the cover of a One-Time Pad (OTP) encryption (symbol \oplus).

This has a significant drawback: the key is deciphered and re-ciphered on each node. Nodes need to be trustued not only to stick to the key relay protocol but

also to do so "blindfolded" and not retrieve the key.

Ideally, one could want to modify the key relay scheme so as to reduce the amount of trust given to the nodes: if the nodes are only honest-butcurious, they are only expected to follow protocol instructions but can try to glean information.



We have implemented this scheme with one trusted node on the Parisian Quantum Network. The overall network, with optical (dark) fibers dedicated to quantum communication applications, is composed of 8 nodes corresponding to locations of academic and industrial partners.

The PQC KEM used is Crystals-Kyber (precursor to the future FIPS standard ML-KEM).

Although several quantum-safe KEMs have been submitted since NIST launched its PQC competition, Kyber is the only KEM that has been selected for standardisation as of today.



On-scale map of the network



Average Quantum Bit Error Rates (QBERs) of 1.93% +/- 0.57% (OG-LIP6) and 1.72% +/- 0.68% (OG-TP) and average visibilities of 0.998 +/- 0.012 and 0.959 +/- 0.024 respectively were obtained.





An illustration of such a key relay from [1]

3. Modified key relay scheme

1. Alice and Bob have access to a PQC KEM (Post-Quantum Cryptographic Key Encapsulation Mechanism). This KEM allows Alice and Bob to agree on a secret key K over an untrusted channel

2. Then both Alice and Charlie and Charlie and Bob perform QKD. Alice ends up with the key k_{AC} Bob with k_{BC} and Charlie with the keys k_{AC} and k_{BC} .



This corresponds to an average key rate of respectively 2493 bit/s (standard deviation 28 bit/s) and 612 bit/s (standard deviation 139 bit/s), yielding an **overall LIP6 - TP key rate of 612 bit/s on average.**

5. Comparison with previous work

The work that most closely matches ours is a similar attempt at mitigating the risk posed by trusted nodes using PQC in [2].

The main difference between the protocol presented by the authors and ours is in the encryption method used as an additional layer of protection for the key k_{AB} where we use an AES encryption with keys exchanged through PQC, they use a PQC encryption scheme directly (Kyber).

Because a Kyber ciphertext is much bigger in size than an AES ciphertext, our method drastically reduces the amount of key needed for the transfer during the OTP operation and saturates the achievable secret key rate over the overall link.

3. Alice then generates the final key k_{AB} using a Quantum Random Number Generator, and encrypts it with the shared key as: $k^{enc} = \text{Enc}_{AES}(K, k_{AB})$ using the AES encryption standard.

4. Alice sends k^{enc} using One-Time Pad (OTP) with the key k_{AC} . Charlie then relays k^{enc} by deciphering the OTP with k_{AC} and performing again OTP with k_{BC} . Bob can finally decipher the OTP and perform

$$k_{AB} = \texttt{Dec}_{AES}(K, k^{enc})$$

to recover the final key.

With this approach, Charlie never has direct access to the final key and the resources that he would need to learn some information about the key are increased. Transferring a 256-bit key using PQC encryption directly would consume around 2 kB of OTP keys while using AES with a PQC-based key consumes 2 x 256 bits of OTP keys.

Bibliography

[1] ITU. 'ITU-T - Y.3803 : Quantum Key Distribution Networks - Key Management', December 2020.

[2] Geitz, Marc, Ronny Döring, and Ralf-Peter Braun. 'Hybrid QKD & PQC Protocols Implemented in the Berlin OpenQKD Testbed'. In 2023 8th International Conference on Frontiers of Signal Processing (ICFSP), 69–74. Corfu, Greece.

Acknowledgements

This work has been funded through the ParisRegionQCI project.

Figures were created using illustrations from the Flat Profile Avatar collection (CC BY Ceria Studio), from the Travel Duotone Icons collection (PD Anita Csillag) and from the Security 11 collection (CC0). Map was created using the umap tool with tiles from jawgmaps and map data from OpenStreetMap.