

Functional Connectivity improves the efficiency of the extension of a network of highly protected marine areas (Gulf of Lion, North Western Mediterranean sea)

Sylvain Blouet, Thibaud Tournadre, Skandar Hentati, Katell Guizien

► To cite this version:

Sylvain Blouet, Thibaud Tournadre, Skandar Hentati, Katell Guizien. Functional Connectivity improves the efficiency of the extension of a network of highly protected marine areas (Gulf of Lion, North Western Mediterranean sea). 2024. hal-04719142

HAL Id: hal-04719142 https://hal.science/hal-04719142v1

Preprint submitted on 2 Oct 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Functional Connectivity improves the efficiency of the extension of a					
2	network of highly protected marine areas (Gulf of Lion, North Western					
3	Mediterranean sea)					
4						
5	Sylvain Blouet ^{1,2} , Tournadre Thibaud ¹ , Hentati Skandar ¹ , Katell Guizien ¹					
6						
7	1 CNRS-Sorbonne Université, Laboratoire d'Ecogéochimie des Environnements Benthiques,					
8	LECOB, Observatoire Océanologique de Banyuls Sur Mer, 66650 Banyuls sur Mer, France					
9	2 Ville d'Agde, Aire marine protégée de la côte agathoise, 34300 Agde, France.					
10						
11	Abstract					
12						
13	The creation of networks of interconnected highly protected marine areas is one way of					
14	increasing population resilience and halting the long-term loss of biodiversity in the oceans.					
15	In this study, we evaluated the efficiency of systematic conservation planning (SCP) varying					
16	the adequacy resulting from connectivity- in the fragmented hard bottom habitat in the Gulf					
17	of Lion. Twelve sets of conservation plans- with objectives of conserving 10% and- 30% of					
18	the hard bottom habitat surface were established by crossing current pressures with three					
19	types of connectivity : (i) structural connectivity (ii) early summer and (iii) late summer					
20	connectivity arising from a one week larval dispersal, considering or disregarding existing					
21	highly protected areas. These connectivities are likely estimates of the functional					
22	connectivity of two umbrella species, the gorgonians Eunicella singularis and Leptogorgia					
23	sarmentosa. The efficiency of each conservation plan in protecting populations in the Gulf					

of Lion was then assessed against independent observations of the spatial distribution of thetwo species. When functional connectivity replaced structural connectivity, the spatial

distribution of highly protected areas was significantly modified targeting sites in the central Gulf of Lion, and the efficiency (ratio of proportion of individuals under protection to protection cost) increased by 300 % (20 %, respectively) in the objective of conserving 10% (respectively, 30%) of the hard bottom habitat surface. Moreover, SCP was more focussed when functional connectivity replaced structural connectivity. The present study suggests that using marine functional connectivity is all the more important that the conservation target is low.

33

34 Keywords: marine functional connectivity, spatial conservation planning, hard bottom
35 habitat, Marxan Connect, gorgonian, NW Mediterranean Sea

36

37 Impact statement

38 First operational systematic conservation planning integrating functional connectivity due to39 larval dispersal in the NW Mediterranean sea

40

41 Introduction

42 The on-going collapse of marine biodiversity urges to revise the current marine protected 43 areas (MPAs, Watson et al. 2009) system to ensure the long-term conservation of nature and 44 the ecosystem services and cultural values associated with it (Halpern et al. 2012; 2019). At 45 COP15, the Global Biodiversity Framework agreed that MPAs coverage should be drastically extended to reach at least 30% of the seas by 2030. In 2024, the coverage of 46 **MPAs** 8,4% of 47 designated at global scale is the ocean surface 48 (https://www.protectedplanet.net/en/thematic-areas/marine-protected-areas, accessed Sept. 11, 2024). However, protection levels can largely vary among MPAs (Grorud-Colvert et al. 49 50 2021) and if focussing on fully or highly protected areas only, the figure drops to 2,9% 51 (https://mpatlas.org/, accessed Sept. 11, 2024). In addition, candidate areas should emerge 52 from a selection process based on hierarchical scientifically sound criteria highlighting 53 representativeness of the full range of biodiversity, adequacy meaning long-term persistence 54 and efficiency (Wilson et al. 2009). Building connected networks is now put forward as a 55 priority to reach adequacy (Goetze et al. 2021). Indeed, it is recognized that the exchange of individuals plays a fundamental role in improving conservation outcomes by promoting the 56 57 resilience and adaptation potential of populations to global change (Carr et al. 2017). 58 However, the integration of connectivity as an ecological criterion is still limited compared to 59 other criteria such as the presence of heritage, endemic or protected species or habitats with 60 high ecosystem values in decisions to create or manage marine protected areas (11% of 61 MPAs, Balbar and Metaxas 2019). Furthermore, when included, population connectivity 62 have been mainly estimated by the physical continuity of habitats fostering an ecological 63 continuum or corridor (i.e. structural connectivity, Balbar et al. 2020). The adequacy of promoting corridors of habitat continuity to justify spatial conservation plans has been 64 65 documented for terrestrial populations (McRae, 2006). However, given the dominance of pelagic displacement among marine species, habitat fragmentation is less of a barrier to 66 67 population connectivity (Cheminée et al. 2014; Lett et al. 2020). Specifically, for sessile benthic invertebrates, population connectivity mainly happens thanks to larval dispersal 68 69 through ecological routes drawn by marine currents structure in a fragmented habitat 70 (Villamor et al. 2014; Padron et al. 2018; Schunter et al. 2019). However, the identification 71 of species-specific ecological routes in the three-dimensional ocean is less obvious than 72 structural connectivity and relies on various methods used separately or combined (marine 73 functional connectivity, Sturrock et al. submitted). Those methods have shown that the 74 probability of exchange between two areas of the same habitat that are geographically close 75 may not be necessarily higher than between two areas that are further apart (Bandelj et al. 76 2020). Thus, the adequacy of structural connectivity, which is based on the geographical
77 continuity of sites in order to limit habitat fragmentation to optimize exchanges, is
78 questionable for the conservation of marine sessile invertebrates.

79 In this study we explored how efficiency of SCP varied with the adequacy of the connectivity 80 criteria used. Representativeness of the SCP study was ensured by targeting a single and 81 unique habitat of the Gulf of Lion (NW Mediterranean sea), the rocky and coralligenous 82 habitat (hereinafter called hard bottom) which representativity for the Mediterranean 83 biodiversity has been put forward to position existing highly protected areas. Gorgonian 84 species such as Eunicella singularis (Esper, 1791) and Leptogorgia sarmentosa (Esper, 85 1789) which are commonly found in the Gulf of Lion hard bottom habitat, are monitored in 86 the marine protected areas management plans for being considered as umbrella species for 87 the hard bottom habitat biodiversity (True, 1970; Hong, 1980). Twelve sets of conservation 88 plans were built in the objective to protect 10% (respectively 30%) of the hard bottom habitat surface, varying the connectivity type among (i) structural connectivity, (ii) early summer 89 90 (corresponding to *Eunicella singularis*) and (iii) late summer (corresponding to *Leptogorgia* 91 sarmentosa) functional connectivity arising from larval dispersal, and considering or 92 disregarding existing highly protected areas. The efficiency of each conservation plan to protect the two gorgonian species was ultimately evaluated independently based on 93 94 observations of the spatial distribution of their abundance in hard bottom habitats.

95

96 Material and methods

97 Study area

98 The coastline of the Gulf of Lion stretches 350 km from Marseille to Cerbère. Along this 99 coastline, the coastal benthic habitat is mainly made up of soft bottoms, with a few small 100 patches of hard bottom habitat ranging in size from 0,5 to 34 km², totalling a surface of 80 101 km2. The study area comprises a set of eight sites (Parc Marin de la Côte Bleue: PMCB,
102 Aigues Mortes : AGM, Aresquiers: ARES, Agde: AGD, Valras: VLR, Leucate: LEU, Saint103 cyprien: CST, Côte Vermeille: CVM; Figure S1). Four sites include a highly protected area
104 (according to the classification of Horta e Costa et al. 2016: Fully protected) with different
105 surface areas (PMCB: 289 ha, AGM: 100 ha; AGD: 310 ha, CVM: 69 ha; Figure S1),
106 representing 5.45 % of the hard bottom habitat surface.

107

108 MPA network design

We used Marxan (V 4.0.6) and Marxan Connect (V 1.0.0) to design a network of conservation areas. These two software packages optimize a networked conservation area layout among a set of planning units (PU) according to conservation objectives and targets, minimizing layout costs and maximizing a type of connectivity. Both Marxan software programs require two types of information for each PU: data in relation to the conservation objective (species population density, species biomass, habitat area, etc.) and implementation cost data (action costs, indirect costs, opportunity costs).

116 Marxan maximizes structural connectivity defined as reduced landscape fragmentation and increased geographic continuity by compacting boundaries (Ball et al. 2009). Thus, structural 117 118 connectivity favors large PU and common boundaries between PUs to form clusters and 119 corridors linking these clusters across the seascape. This type of connectivity appears to be 120 well suited to habitat-following species and, above all, reduces the cost of monitoring 121 (Ardron et al. 2010). When the connectivity type is structural connectivity, boundary cost 122 weighting is controlled by a multiplier called the "boundary length modifier" or BLM. The 123 higher the BLM value, the greater the weight given to boundary cost and the more compact 124 the conservation plan is. Reversely, the lower the BLM, the less costly the conservation plan 125 is.

Marxan Connect replaces the structural connectivity criterion with functional connectivity, 127 enabling sites that may be spatially distant but ecologically connected by a functional flux to 128 129 be maintained (Daigle et al. 2020). Marxan Connect deals with functional connectivity in two 130 ways. One way is to integrate functional connectivity (symmetrical or asymmetrical) through links between PUs (flow, migration, transfer probability) by creating high penalties in 131 132 conservation plans that fail to protect a pair of highly connected PUs (Beger et al. 2010). The other way is to integrate functional connectivity in the form of a feature ranking PUs 133 134 according to their influence in the connectivity network (e.g. degree of entry, intermediate centrality, local retention, Google pagerank, <u>https://marxanconnect.ca/</u>). In the present study, 135 functional connectivity was implemented as spatial dependency with asymmetrical 136 137 connectivity. Like the BLM, the connectivity strength modifier (CSM) adjusts the 138 importance of connectivity in the conservation plan design by applying a penalty when connected PUs are not included (Beger et al. 2010). 139

140 Both versions of Marxan use a simulated annealing algorithm that tests at each iteration a portfolio of PUs achieving the conservation objective, aiming to decrease the portfolio score 141 after a finite number of iterations. This score is the sum of the cost of PUs related to 142 143 pressures, the cost of connectivity and a penalty if the conservation objective is not reached. 144 Thus, a reserve network with a more connected distribution will be favored (e.g. total edge 145 length of selected PUs lowest for structural connectivity (Ball and Possingham 2000). The 146 simulated annealing algorithm is repeated several times (number of runs) to obtain several 147 optimal prospective conservation plans.

148

149 Marxan implementation

As PUs, we targeted plots of less than 1.5 km², which is in the order of magnitude of the smallest surface area of current strong protection zones and a spatial scale consistent with the variability of local retention linked to marine currents in the Gulf of Lion (Figure S2, Briton et al. 2018). In total, the 8 sites were described by 138 PUs in natural habitat (with surface areas ranging from 0.01 to 1.4 km²).

Socio-economic data for calculating costs per PU were extracted from IMPACTS (Modeling coastal anthropogenic pressures and vulnerability thresholds; 20 x 20 m resolution); https://medtrix.fr/, Holon et al. 2015). We selected activities for which conservation could lead to a drop in income or conflicts of use. Four activities were selected: small boat mooring (<24 m) and large boat mooring (>24 m), artisanal fishing and beach tourism. The maximum value for each activity was extracted for each PU.

161 The mapping of anthropogenic impacts, obtained by interpolating pressure as a function of 162 distance between proven pressure sites (100%) and habitat boundaries (0%), did not take into 163 account existing activity regulation. Existing activity regulations could be taken into account 164 by setting fishing and beach tourism pressures to zero in PUs located in highly protected areas (www.medamp.org). For PUs that overlap two types of zoning (protected and 165 unprotected), the value of the pressures is proportional to the surface area of the type of 166 167 zoning. The final cost for each PU was calculated by summing the pressure values of the 168 activities. Two cost scenarios were considered for setting up conservation plans, one with 169 existing highly protected areas and one without them, to test for the relevance of existing highly protected areas that were proposed long before pressures and connectivity assessments 170 (Figure S2 A and B). 171

172

173 Marxan Connect implementation: functional connectivity scenarios

174 Functional connectivity by larval dispersal for *E. Singularis* and *L. Sarmentosa* is described as a probability of larval transfer from a source PU to a destination PU after a pelagic 175 176 dispersal duration (PLD, Figure 1). Larval dispersal was simulated by a Lagrangian method 177 integrating the transport by ocean currents of virtual particles of neutral buoyancy, according to measured larval traits of E. Singularis (Guizien et al. 2020). Currents were simulated on a 178 curvilinear grid with high spatial resolution (from 80 m to 300 m near the coast) and 179 180 temporal resolution (every 1h) in the Gulf of Lion during 3 consecutive summer periods (from June to October, in 2010-2011 and 2012, Briton et al. 2018). A virtual particle is 181 182 released at 1 m above the seabed every hour and every 100 m in the PUs from June 1 to July 183 10 for *E. singularis* (early summer) and from August 1 to September 10 for *L. sarmentosa* 184 (late summer) in the three years (2010, 2011 and 2012). The probability of larval transfer 185 from a source PU to a destination PU was computed as the median of the probability of larval transfer obtained after a one-week PLD for twelve (four per year) 10-day release periods per 186 species. Larval transfer probability was calculated as the ratio of the number of particles that 187 188 were released in a source PU and reached a destination PU to the total number of particles 189 released in the source PU, multiplied by the ratio of surface areas of source PU to destination 190 PU.

A PLD of one week was chosen because larvae of E. singularis settle massively after this 191 192 duration (Zelli et al. 2020) and this larval dispersal duration best explained gene flow among 193 natural populations of *E. singularis* living in the fragmented hard bottom habitat of the Gulf of Lion (Padron et al. 2018). For L. sarmentosa, the lack of knowledge of PLD leads us to 194 hypothesize a one-week PLD (Rossi and Gili, 2009). Hence, differences in functional 195 196 connectivity by larval dispersal between the two species only arises from the different release periods, with a greater number of PUs connected in late summer than in early summer 197 198 (Figure 1).

200 Configurations and simulations with Marxan

201 The simulations were carried out considering two conservation targets of 10% and 30% of 202 the hard bottom habitat (Tables 1 and S1). Extending the surface area of highly protected 203 10% objective for 2030 French government zones to is the of the (https://biodiversite.gouv.fr/la-strategie-nationale-biodiversite-2030). 204 This objective 205 reformulates the Aichi biodiversity target 11 of the Strategic plan for Biodiversity 2011-2020 of the United Nation Convention on Biological Diversity. Extending the surface area of 206 207 highly protected zones to 30% is the current objective of the United Nation Convention on 208 Biological Diversity for 2030 and corresponds to a value generally applied in conservation 209 planning studies (Schill et al. 2015; Chen et al. 2022), as according to the neutral theory of 210 biodiversity distribution, which links the surface area of an area to the number of species 211 present, 30% of a habitat would be sufficient to protect between 60 and 80% of species 212 (Hubbell, 2001).

213 Twelve configurations were tested (Tables 1 and S1). In each configuration, the model 214 sensitivity to the scaling factor (BLM or CSM) between cost and connectivity measure that adjusts the score's sensitivity to these two quantities was explored. The most compact 215 solution was explored by setting BLM value equal to 1 and the least cost solution was 216 217 explored by setting BLM value equal to 0. The optimal BLM of 0.004 in the structural 218 connectivity configurations was calibrated using ©Qmarxan software (Figure S3). In the 219 functional connectivity configurations, the sensitivity to the choice of the CSM was explored, 220 varying its value from 3 (medium penalty), 10 (high penalty) to 100 (very high penalty) and 221 finally set to 3, this value leading to a reasonable compromise between cost and connectivity 222 (Stewart and Possingham, 2005).

223 In all configurations, the number of executions was set to 100 times with 10 million iterations (Figure S3), generating 100 conservation plans. The selection frequencies for each 224 225 PU obtained from the 100 conservation plan were classified into 5 classes adapted to the 226 conservation objective. For the conservation objective of 10%, the classes were : 10-20% 227 (low), 20-40%, 40-60%, 60-80%, 80-100% (high). For the conservation objective of 30%, the classes were : 30-44% (low), 44-58%, 58-72%, 72-86%, 86-100% (high). Similarity 228 229 between conservation plans in the different configurations and species was estimated by the Pearson coefficient between PU selection frequencies. Selection frequency maps were 230 231 produced using GIS software (©QGIS).

232

233 Conservation plans assessment

234 The two species display different spatial distributions in the hard bottom habitat (Blouet et al. 235 2024). The population density of both species was assessed in 2013 and in 2021 at 601 georeferenced stations spaced by 100 m to 800 m, depending on the bathymetric gradient of 236 237 each zone and covering the hard bottom habitat at all sites (Guizien et al. 2022; 238 https://cardobs.mnhn .fr/). E. singularis is frequently observed and abundant throughout the hard bottom habitat of the Gulf of Lion (Figure S4 A). Conversely, L. sarmentosa is eight 239 240 times less abundant than E. singularis in the hard bottom habitat (Figure S4 B). The 241 abundance of each species in the PUs was calculated by multiplying the maximum 242 population density measured during inventories by the effective surface area of substrate in 243 each PU.

For each of the 100 conservation plans, the proportion of individuals of the known population of *L. sarmentosa* and *E. singularis* and the proportion of the total cost in the PUs selected in the plan was calculated. Conservation plan efficiency was defined as the ratio between the proportion of individuals of the known population that are proposed to be putunder protection and the proportion of the total cost.

249

250 Results

In both conservation objectives of 10 and 30 %, the conservation target in terms of surface 251 area was almost always achieved, except in a few conservation plans, whatever the 252 253 connectivity or cost scenarios considered. Nevertheless, the surface area of the hard bottom 254 habitat selected, on average across the 100 conservation plans, was lower with structural 255 connectivity (9% and 28.4%, respectively when extending the current highly protected 256 areas) than with functional connectivity (at least 9.5 % and 29.3 %, respectively, Table 1). 257 More importantly, the average costs of the 100 conservation plans with structural 258 connectivity always exceeded the ones with any of the functional connectivity by at least 259 20 % (10 % target with existing highly protected areas and early summer functional connectivity) and up to 135 % (10 % target with existing highly protected areass and late 260 261 summer functional connectivity, Table 1).

262 The spatial arrangement of conservation plans in both conservation objective of 10 % and 30 % varied more with the type of connectivity (sharing less than 9% of the variance in PU 263 selection frequencies, panels A versus B and panels C versus D in Fig. 2 and 3 respectively) 264 265 than with accounting or not for the existing highly protected areas with structural 266 connectivity (sharing more than 72 % of variance in PU selection frequency, panels A versus 267 C in Fig. 2 and 3, respectively). This difference is even more marked in the 30% objective, in 268 which conservation plans with functional connectivity consisted of a network of small highly 269 protected areas distributed throughout the region (Fig. 3B and D).

In the conservation objective of 10%, conservation plans with structural connectivity,targeted isolated PUs (having no boundary with other PUs) in PMCB, CST and CVM (Fig.

272 2A and C). None of these conservation plans reflected the least cost of large PUs located in AGD, ARES and AGM, that would have been prioritized with BLM=0 (Fig. S5A and C). 273 274 Interestingly, conservation plans seeking for most compact solutions (BLM=1) excluded the isolated PUs selected with BLM=0.004, but were not selective with no difference in 275 276 frequency selection among PUs (Fig. S5B and D). In contrast, conservation plans with functional connectivity which included PUs from the center of the Gulf of Lion (Fig. 2B), 277 278 and mainly them if disregarding existing highly protected areas (Fig. 2D) as proposed in the most compact solutions, were more focussed. Noteworthy, with functional connectivity, PUs 279 280 from CVM were not prioritized in conservation plans in the 10 % objective when 281 disregarding existing highly protected areas. As expected, conservation plans tended to favor 282 existing highly protected areas, when taking into account their reduced cost, resulting in little 283 variability among conservation plans in the conservation objective of 10 %.

284 In the conservation objective of 30 %, conservation plans with structural connectivity could include PUs from all zones except AGM, with low selection frequency, except in CST and 285 286 highly protected areas when their reduced cost was considered (Figure 3A and C). In 287 contrast, conservation plans with functional connectivity targeted PUs from the center of the Gulf of Lion with high selection frequency (Figure 3B and D), and mainly them if 288 disregarding existing highly protected areas (Figure 3D). Like in the conservation objective 289 290 of 10%, conservation plans included existing highly protected areas, when taking into 291 account their reduced cost in the 30 % objective (Figure 3B). Noteworthy, conservation plans 292 included existing highly protected areas from AGD, AGM and PMCB as well when their 293 reduced cost was not taken into account when considering functional connectivity (Figure 294 3D). In contrast, with functional connectivity, PUs from CVM were not prioritized in 295 conservation plans in the 30 % objective when disregarding existing highly protected areas 296 (Figure 3D).

297 Conservation plans obtained using early or late summer functional connectivity were very 298 similar to each other, under the same conditions of costs and conservation objectives, sharing 299 over 70% of the variance in PU selection frequencies (Fig. S6A versus Fig. 2B – R²=0.82 ; 300 Fig. S6C versus Fig. 2D - R²=0.73;Fig. S6B versus Fig. 3B – R²=0.89 ; Fig. S6D versus Fig. 301 $3D - R^2 = 0.76$).

In terms of protection effect, there was a large variability in the proportion of the Gulf of 302 303 Lion individuals concerned by conservation plans with 10% objective whatever the 304 connectivity type, varying from 0 % to 24% for *E. singularis* and 0% to 32% for *L.* 305 sarmentosa (Figure S7). When extending existing highly protected areas, a minimum of 5 % 306 (2.5%, respectively) of the Gulf of Lion individuals of *E. singularis* (*L. sarmentosa*, 307 respectively) was included in conservation plans, while disregarding existing highly 308 protected areas could lead to no protection at all, whatever the type of connectivity (Figure 309 S7). In the 10 % conservation plans, the proportion of the Gulf of Lion individuals for *E*. singularis (L. sarmentosa, respectively) was at least 10.4 % (12.8%, respectively) with 310 311 functional connectivity compared to 6.6 % (6.9%, respectively) with structural connectivity 312 in half of plans (Fig. S7). When the conservation objective was raised to 30 %, protection effect increased whatever the connectivity type, but increased more with structural 313 314 connectivity (at least 43.3 % for E. singularis and 73 % for L. sarmentosa in half of the 315 plans) than with functional connectivity (36 % for E. singularis and 33% for L. sarmentosa, 316 in half of the plans). It could reach up to 48 % of the *E. singularis* and 86 % of *L*. 317 sarmentosa individuals of the Gulf of Lion in some 30 % conservation plans with structural 318 connectivity while yielding a maximum of 45 % of *E. singularis* and 55 % for *L. sarmentosa* 319 with late summer functional connectivity. However, some 30 % objective conservation plans could also protect less than 20 % of the L. sarmentosa Gulf of Lion individuals (Fig. S8). 320 321 Finally, the most striking effect of considering functional connectivity (early or late summer)

322 compared to structural connectivity was to reduce the cost of the protection whatever the
323 conservation objective by a factor 1.5 to 3, and even more when extending existing highly
324 protected areas (Fig. S7 and S8).

325 Combining the reduced cost with effective protection of the two target species, the median of 326 10% conservation plans efficiency increased from 0.8 to 3 (4.5, respectively) for E. singularis and from 0.9 to 3.9 (6.3, respectively) for *L. sarmentosa* when replacing structural 327 328 connectivity by early summer (late summer, respectively) functional connectivity (Fig. 4). 329 However, the median 30 % conservation plan efficiency only increased from 1.9 with 330 strucutural connectivity to 2.3 (2.4, respectively) with early summer (late summer, 331 respectively) functional connectivity for *E. singularis* (Fig. 4A). For *L. sarmentosa*, 332 structural connectivity led to more efficient conservation plans than functional connectivity 333 in more than half of the conservation plans but could lead to totally inefficient plans as well 334 (Fig. 4B).

335

336 Discussion

337 The value of population connectivity in improving population persistence and resilience has 338 been widely demonstrated theoretically (Hanski, 1998; Hanski and Gaggiotti, 2004; Hastings 339 and Harrison, 1994). Theoretically, metapopulation persistence requires the identification of 340 closed exchange circuits known as persistence loops of stepping stones that can extend over 341 more than a pair of populations (Hastings and Botsford, 2006). Spatially explicit 342 metapopulation modeling in realistic seascapes showed that persistence is facilitated by 343 connectivity through a complex network involving many populations (Moffitt et al. 2011; 344 Guizien et al. 2014). Moreover, beyond lowering the persistence threshold, connectivity 345 between MPAs also enables the recovery of impacted populations, as shown theoretically 346 (Hanski and Gaggiotti, 2004; Guizien et al. 2014) and empirically (Cupido et al. 2009; 347 Padron et al. 2018; Giménez et al. 2020). Ignoring where populations will be impacted encourages to consider multiple connectivity routes between populations to promote species 348 349 resilience. The present study confirms that systematic conservation planning (SCP) focused 350 on conservation objectives while minimizing costs and conflicts with resource or space users 351 were modified when functional connectivity estimated from larval dispersal modeling replaces structural connectivity within a fragmented natural habitat network. Furthermore, 352 353 the efficiency of SCP incorporating functional connectivity was higher than those based on 354 structural connectivity by reducing the cost for protecting the same proportion of individuals 355 of two sessile species. The present study thus supports the old consensus that a network of 356 small to medium-sized MPAs achieving persistence by mutualizing their offspring through 357 exchange between MPAs, and thus limiting losses, is a more socially acceptable and 358 profitable alternative than extending the size of an MPA to promote self-persistence by 359 increasing the recovery of locally produced larvae returning to the MPA, especially for highly dispersive species (Gerber et al. 2003; Halpern and Warner, 2003). 360

361 Yet, and despite advances in tools for measuring connectivity, the adoption and 362 transferability of population connectivity estimates, whatever the method used, to decision-363 makers remains limited (Beger et al. 2022). Including population connectivity into systematic conservation plans faces various challenges, of which some are specific to the marine 364 365 environment (Virtanen et al. 2020). At sea, systematic conservation planning tools need to incorporate the diffuse directional population connectivity at distances larger than 1km, 366 367 overpassing habitat physical fragmentation (Kinlan and Gaines 2003). This is the first study 368 in a marine setting crossing real cost estimates with directed connectivity estimates using the 369 recently developed systematic conservation planning tool, Marxan Connect (Daigle et al. 370 2020). To our knowledge, the alternative decision-support tool for SCP, Zonation, has not 371 been put into practice in similar conditions (Lethömaki and Moilanen 2013). In Marxan

372 Connect, transport can be incorporated directly as spatial dependencies (Beger et al. 2010; 2015, Muenzel et al. 2022a) or after hierarchizing sites using graph-theoretic metrics 373 374 characterizing node role in a network (Magris et al. 2018; White et al. 2014). The way to 375 integrate functional connectivity due to larval dispersal is debatable. In the present study, we 376 privileged the spatial dependence method, as a measure of functional connectivity, prioritizing pairs of habitat patches connected both ways, arguing this approach is a desirable 377 378 prioritization approach for rebuilding and maintaining populations as it preserves functional loops across generations to ensure long term species persistence (Hastings and Botsford, 379 380 2006). Indeed, metrics of node role in a network (such as centrality, strength, degree) are not 381 correlated and taken separately are poor substitutes of causal influence in directed graphs 382 (Dablander and Hinne 2019). As a consequence, they result in different node hierarchies in a 383 network, leading to less focussed SCP combining different metrics, which renders decision-384 support tools of little relevancy. Magris et al. (2016) suggested combining three node metrics (local retention, outflux and betweenness centrality) which may increase focus by 385 386 multiplying constraints. In any case, none of the two approaches, between node ranking and 387 spatial dependencies fully integrates the long term persistence brought by functional 388 connectivity over multiple generations in a metapopulation, as population demography is not 389 accounted for (Moilanen 2011). Using spatially explicit metapopulation modeling to quantify 390 the conservation plan efficiency in terms of proportion of the metapopulation size actually 391 under protection would be totally relevant to compare methodologies to account for 392 connectivity metrics into SCP tools, given a connectivity structure (Magris et al. 2018). Such 393 a methodology should be particularly encouraged to explore the optimal trade-off between 394 local retention and connectivity between separated patches to maintain the adaptation potential of populations while preserving population genetics diversity and avoid local 395 396 extinction (Padron and Guizien, 2016). However, comparing the outputs of a SCP and a metapopulation projection based on the same connectivity structure is a circular method to
evaluate the reliability of the connectivity structure used. Indeed, connectivity structure can
be incomplete or uncertain.

400 Including connectivity into SCP requires examining all possible connections within a set of 401 planning units (Marxan Connect, Daigle et al. 2020) or on a grid (Zonation, Moilanen et al. 402 2005). Population connectivity spatial scales can span over various order of magnitude, 403 depending on the species or the dispersing stage, ranging from a few kilometers for most 404 adult fish movements (Harmelin-Vivien et al. 2008; Pérez-Ruzafa et al. 2008), to tens to 405 hundreds of kilometers in the case of larval dispersal (D'Aloia et al. 2015; Jessopp & McAllen, 2007; Palumbi, 2004). However, spatial scales of connectivity larger than 406 407 hundreds of kilometers may be difficult to match the spatial scales at which systematic 408 conservation planning can be actually put into practice (Watson et al. 2009). Only few SCP 409 studies used connectivity estimates derived from observations such as telemetry tracking data 410 (Beger et al. 2015; Lea et al. 2016) and genetic metrics (Beger et al. 2014). To date, the 411 connectivity input to SCP has been mainly estimated by direction and strength of transport 412 among sites using larval dispersal modeling, because it can explore exhaustively potential 413 connections (Álvarez-Romero et al. 2018; Beger et al. 2015; Beyer et al. 2018; Daigle et al. 414 2020; Magris et al. 2018; Muenzel et al. 2022b). However, connectivity estimates established 415 from larval dispersal modeling should be taken with caution as they may vary significantly 416 with modeling parameters. In particular, despite larval dispersal modeling in theory enables 417 spanning thousands of kms using global ocean models, these models should be avoided to 418 establish connectivity metrics for coastal SCP due to the poor representation of ocean flow 419 near the coast in such models and the sensitivity of connectivity to ocean flow representation 420 (Putman and He, 2013; Sciascia et al. 2022). In the present study, the early summer 421 functional connectivity structure used for SCP was estimated from larval dispersal modeling 422 after selecting the adequate pelagic dispersal duration to be used in simulations by 423 confronting larval transport to gene flow between PMCB, ARES, AGD and CVM populations of Eunicella singularis (Padron et al. 2018). Partial cross-validation of 424 425 connectivity estimates helped to fine tune the connectivity estimates and likely explain the 426 higher focus of SCP outputs established with functional connectivity compared to the ones Among the four recommendations on incorporating 427 with structural connectivity. 428 connectivity for MPA design, Balbar and Metaxas (2019) advocated that it is essential to 429 identify the role each MPA plays in supporting connectivity. MPAs containing source, self-430 reconstructing and core populations are considered essential to the network (Magris et al. 431 2018). Practically, the present study prioritized sites located in the center of the Gulf of Lion 432 previously identified for both local persistence (Guizien et al. 2012) and regional persistence 433 of soft substrate species with PLDs ranging from1 to 6 weeks (Guizien et al. 2014). Among 434 the five current MPAs in the Gulf of Lion, the AGD highly protected area therefore plays a key role in the network for the conservation of both E. singularis and L. sarmentosa, and 435 436 should be enlarged in its south-eastern part to optimize their conservation. Finally, the ARES 437 site also appears to be a major candidate for the creation of a new marine protected area, with 438 effect on the gorgonian dominant species of the region, *E. singularis*.

Ideally, conservation spatial planning requires the definition of a biodiversity conservation 439 440 objective, thus, targeting multiple species. The neutral theory approach whereby 30% habitat 441 surface area would contain 60-70% of the species number has been widely used as a criteria 442 in conservation spatial planning (Zhao et al. 2020). However, the neutral theory does not 443 inform about species population density in a particular habitat subpart. This could be dramatic if the number of representatives of a species is too small to prevent the collapse of 444 445 genetic diversity and demography (Allee, 1931). For species which are not evenly 446 distributed like the two gorgonian species of the present study, a broad habitat criteria could 447 include sites where the species for which the functional connectivity was estimated was absent (Guizien et al. 2022). Most recent spatial conservation planning studies based on 448 449 larval dispersal patterns of one or more species exhibited the same methodological bias and 450 targeted a broad habitat category as the conservation goal without considering the actual 451 distribution of the targeted species (White et al. 2014; Schill et al. 2015; Magris et al. 2016; 452 D'Aloia et al. 2017; Krueck et al. 2017; Muenzel et al. 2022A and b). This could be improved 453 using ecological niche modeling methods to refine species specific habitat delineation based 454 on environmental predictors, as a basis for conservation planning studies (Sillero et al. 2021; 455 Blouet et al. 2024). The present study suggests that using functional connectivity is all the 456 more important that the conservation target is low. While aiming to protect 10 % of the 457 habitat, more than 10 % of the Gulf of Lion metapopulation was put under protection using 458 functional connectivity while it reaches only 6.6 % of the metapopulation using structural 459 connectivity. However, for common species such as *E. singularis* and *L. sarmentosa* in the hard bottom habitat of the Gulf of Lion (Blouet et al. 2024), when the conservation target 460 461 was increased to 30%, the importance of using functional connectivity vanished. We thus 462 conclude the less ambitious the target, the more informed the decision should be.

463

464 Acknowledgements

This work was funded by the Programme National Français LITEAU IV of the Ministère de l'Ecologie et de l'Environnement Durable as part of the RocConnect project - Connectivité des habitats rocheux fragmentés du golfe du Lion (PI, K. Guizien, Project Number 12-MUTS- LITEAU-1-CDS-013) and by the Agence de l'Eau Rhône-Méditerranée-Corse as part of the ICONE project - Impacts actuels et potentiels de la CONnectivité Ecologique ajoutés par les récifs artificiels sur la biodiversité fixée des substrats durs du Golfe du Lion (PI, K. Guizien, AAP 2016). The authors would like to thank R. Bricout, B. Hesse, L. Lescure, J.-C. 472 Roca, and the staff of the Aire marine protégée de la côte agathoise, the Réserve nationale473 marine de Cerbère-Banyuls and the Parc marin de la côte bleue.

474

475 Author contributions

476 SB and KG designed the study and wrote the manuscript, SB performed the Marxan 477 simulations and TT, SH and KG performed the biophysical simulations of larval dispersal 478 and established the ecological connectivity matrices. All reviewed and approved the final 479 version of the manuscript.

480

481 Disclosure of conflicts of interest

482 The authors of this publication declare that they have no financial conflicts of interest with483 the content of this article.

484

485 **References**

- 486 Allee WC. 1931. Animal Aggregations, a Study in General Sociology. Chicago: The
 487 University of Chicago Press. https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.title.7313.
- 488 Ardron JA, Possingham HP, Klein CJ. 2010. Marxan Good Practices Handbook, Version 2.
- 489 Pacific Marine Analysis and Research Association, Victoria, BC, Canada. 165 pages.

490 www.pacmara.org.

- 491 Balbar AC, Metaxas A. 2019. The current application of ecological connectivity in the design
- 492 of marine protected areas. Global Ecology and Conservation 17:e00569.
- 493 Balbar, A.C, Daigle, R.M., Heaslip, S.G., Jeffery, N.W., Proudfoot, B., Robb, C.K., Rubidge,
- 494 E. and Stanley R. 2020. Approaches for Assessing and Monitoring Representation,
- 495 Replication, and Connectivity in Marine Conservation Networks. DFO Can. Sci. Advis. Sec.
- 496 Res. Doc. 2020/050. vii + 57 p.

- 497 Ball I, Possingham H. 2000. Marine Reserve Design using Spatially Explicit Annealing.
- Ball IR, Possingham HP, Watts ME. 2016. Marxan and Relatives: Software for SpatialConservation Prioritization:12.
- Bandelj V, Solidoro C, Laurent C, Querin S, Kaleb S, Gianni F, Falace A. 2020. Cross-scale
 connectivity of macrobenthic communities in a patchy network of habitats: The Mesophotic
 Biogenic Habitats of the Northern Adriatic Sea. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science
 245:106978.
- Beger M, Linke S, Watts M, Game E, Treml E, Ball I, Possingham HP. 2010. Incorporating
 asymmetric connectivity into spatial decision making for conservation. Conservation Letters
 3:359–368.
- Beger M, Selkoe KA, Treml E, Barber PH, Von Der Heyden S, Crandall ED, Toonen RJ,
 Riginos C. 2014. Evolving coral reef conservation with genetic information. *Bulletin of Marine Science*, *90*(1), 159–185. https://doi.org/10.5343/bms.2012.1106
- Beger M, McGowan J, Treml EA, Green AL, White AT, Wolff NH, Klein CJ, Mumby PJ,
 Possingham HP. 2015. Integrating regional conservation priorities for multiple objectives
 into national policy. Nature Communications 6:8208. Nature Publishing Group.
- 513 Beger M, Metaxas A, Balbar AC, McGowan JA, Daigle R, Kuempel CD, Treml EA,
 514 Possingham HP. 2022. Demystifying ecological connectivity for actionable spatial
 515 conservation planning. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 37:1079–1091.
- 516 Beyer HL, KennedyEV, Beger M, et al. 2018. Risk-sensitive planning forconserving coral
 517 reefs under rapid climate change. Conservation Letters. 2018;11:e12587.
 518 https://doi.org/10.1111/conl.12587
- Blouet S, Bramanti L, Guizien K. 2024. Ecological niche modelling of five gorgonian
 species within the shallow rocky habitat of the french mediterranean coast. Vie et Milieu /
 Life & Environment, 2024, 7:1-43.

- 522 Briton F, Cortese D, Duhaut T, Guizien K. 2018. High-resolution modelling of ocean 523 circulation can reveal retention spots important for biodiversity conservation. Aquatic 524 Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems 28:882–893.
- 525 Carr MH, Robinson SP, Wahle C, Davis G, Kroll S, Murray S, Schumacker EJ, Williams M.
 526 2017. The central importance of ecological spatial connectivity to effective coastal marine
 527 protected areas and to meeting the challenges of climate change in the marine environment.
 528 Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems 27:6–29.
- 529 Cheminée A, Feunteun E, Clerici S, Cousin B, Francour P. 2014. Management of Infralittoral
 530 Habitats: Towards a Seascape Scale Approach. Page Underwater Seascapes: From
 531 Geographical to Ecological Perspectives.
- 532 Chen Z, Zhang H, Xu M, Liu Y, Fang J, Yu X, Zhang S. 2022. A study on the ecological
 533 zoning of the Nantong coastal zone based on the Marxan model. Ocean & Coastal
 534 Management 229:106328.
- 535 Cupido R, Cocito S, Barsanti M, Sgorbini S, Peirano A, Santangelo G. 2009. Unexpected
 536 long-term population dynamics in a canopy-forming gorgonian coral following mass
 537 mortality. Marine Ecology Progress Series 394:195–200.
- 538 Dablander F, Hinne M. 2019. Node centrality measures are a poor substitute for causal539 inference. Scientific Reports 9:6846.
- 540 Daigle RM, Metaxas A, Balbar AC, McGowan J, Treml EA, Kuempel CD, Possingham HP,
- 541 Beger M. 2020. Operationalizing ecological connectivity in spatial conservation planning
 542 with Marxan Connect. Methods in Ecology and Evolution 11:570–579.
- 543 D'Aloia CC, Bogdanowicz SM, Francis RK, Majoris JE, Harrison RG, Buston PM. 2015.
- 544 Patterns, causes, and consequences of marine larval dispersal. Proceedings of the National
- 545 Academy of Sciences 112:13940–13945.

- 546 D'Aloia CC, Daigle RM, Côté IM, Curtis JMR, Guichard F, Fortin M-J. 2017. A multiple-547 species framework for integrating movement processes across life stages into the design of 548 marine protected areas. Biological Conservation 216:93–100.
- Gerber LR, Botsford LW, Hastings A, Possingham HP, Gaines SD, Palumbi SR, Andelman
 S. 2003. Population models for marine reserve design : a retrospective and prospective
 synthesis. Ecological Applications 13:47–64.
- 552 Giménez L, Robins P, Jenkins SR. 2020. Role of trait combinations, habitat matrix, and 553 network topology in metapopulation recovery from regional extinction. Limnology and 554 Oceanography 65:775–789.
- Goetze JS et al. 2021. Increased connectivity and depth improve the effectiveness of marinereserves. Global Change Biology 27:3432–3447.
- 557 Grorud-Colvert K et al. 2021. The MPA Guide: A framework to achieve global goals for the558 ocean. Science 373:eabf0861.
- Guizien K et al. 2022. Database of sea fans spatial distribution in the northwestern
 Mediterranean sea. SEANOE. Available from https://www.seanoe.org/data/00750/86176/
 (accessed February 7, 2022).
- 562 Guizien K, Belharet M, Marsaleix P, Guarini JM. 2012. Using larval dispersal simulations 563 for marine protected area design: Application to the Gulf of Lions (northwest 564 Mediterranean). Limnology and Oceanography 57:1099–1112.
- 565 Guizien K, Belharet M, Moritz C, Guarini JM. 2014. Vulnerability of marine benthic 566 metapopulations: implications of spatially structured connectivity for conservation practice in 567 the Gulf of Lions (NW Mediterranean Sea). Diversity and Distributions 20:1392–1402.
- 568 Guizien K, Viladrich N, Martínez-Quintana Á, Bramanti L. 2020. Survive or swim: different
- 569 relationships between migration potential and larval size in three sympatric Mediterranean
- 570 octocorals. Scientific Reports 10:18096. Nature Publishing Group.

- Halpern, B., Longo, C., Hardy, D. et al. 2012. An index to assess the health and benefits of
 the global ocean. Nature 488, 615–620. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11397
- 573 Halpern BS, Frazier M, Afflerbach J, Lowndes JS, Micheli F, O'Hara C, Scarborough C,
- 574 Selkoe KA. 2019. Recent pace of change in human impact on the world's ocean. Scientific
- 575 Reports 9:11609. Nature Publishing Group.
- Halpern BS, Warner RR. 2003. Review Paper. Matching marine reserve design to reserve
 objectives. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences
 270:1871–1878. Royal Society.
- 579 Hanski I. 1998. Metapopulation dynamics. Nature 396:41–49. Nature Publishing Group.
- 580 Hanski I, Gaggiotti O. 2004. 1 Metapopulation Biology: Past, Present, and Future. Pages 3–
- 22 in Hanski I, Gaggiotti OE, editors. Ecology, Genetics and Evolution of Metapopulations.
 Academic Press, Burlington. Available from
 https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/B9780123234483500039 (accessed March
 31, 2023).
- Harmelin-Vivien M, Le Diréach L, Bayle-Sempere J, Charbonnel E, García-Charton JA, Ody
 D, Pérez-Ruzafa A, Reñones O, Sánchez-Jerez P, Valle C. 2008. Gradients of abundance and
 biomass across reserve boundaries in six Mediterranean marine protected areas: Evidence of
 fish spillover? Biological Conservation 141:1829–1839.
- Hastings A., Harrison S. 1994. Metapopulation dynamics and genetics. Annual review of
 Ecology, Evolution and Systematics, 25:167-188.
 https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.es.25.110194.001123
- Hastings A, Botsford L. 2006. Persistence of spatial populations depends on returning home.
 Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America
 103:6067–72.

- Hong JS. 1980. Étude faunistique d'un fond de concrétionnement de type coralligène soumis
 à un gradient de pollution en Méditerranée nord-occidentale (Golfe de Fos). Thèse de
 Doctorat. Université d'Aix -Marseille II. 134 pp.
- Holon F, Mouquet N, Boissery P, Bouchoucha M, Delaruelle G, Tribot A-S, Deter J. 2015.
- 599 Fine-Scale Cartography of Human Impacts along French Mediterranean Coasts: A Relevant600 Map for the Management of Marine Ecosystems. PLOS ONE 10:e0135473.
- 601 Horta e Costa B, Claudet J, Franco G, Erzini K, Caro A, Gonçalves EJ. 2016. A regulation-
- based classification system for Marine Protected Areas (MPAs). Marine Policy 72:192–198.
- 603 Hubbell SP. 2001. The Unified Neutral Theory of Biodiversity and Biogeography (MPB-32).
- 604 Princeton University Press. Available from https://www.jstor.org/stable/j.ctt7rj8w (accessed
 605 March 31, 2023).
- Jessopp M, McAllen R. 2007. Water retention and limited larval dispersal: implications for
 short and long distance dispersers in marine reserves. Marine Ecology Progress Series
 333:27–36.
- 609 Kinlan BP, Gaines SD. 2003. Propagule Dispersal in Marine and Terrestrial Environments: A
- 610 Community Perspective. Ecology 84:2007–2020. Ecological Society of America.
- 611 Krueck NC, Ahmadia GN, Green A, Jones GP, Possingham HP, Riginos C, Treml EA,
- 612 Mumby PJ. 2017. Incorporating larval dispersal into MPA design for both conservation and
- 613 fisheries. Ecological Applications 27:925–941.
- Lea JSE, Humphries NE, Von Brandis RG, Clarke CR, Sims DW. 2016. Acoustic telemetry
 and network analysis reveal the space use of multiple reef predators and enhance marine
 protected area design. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences
 283:20160717.
- 618 Lehtomäki J, Moilanen A. 2013. Methods and workflow for spatial conservation619 prioritization using Zonation. Environmental Modelling & Software 47:128–137.

- Lett C, Barrier N, Bahlali M. 2020. Converging approaches for modeling the dispersal ofpropagules in air and sea. Ecological Modelling 415:108858.
- Magris RA, Andrello M, Pressey RL, Mouillot D, Dalongeville A, Jacobi MN, Manel S.
 2018. Biologically representative and well-connected marine reserves enhance biodiversity
 persistence in conservation planning. Conservation Letters 11:e12439.
- Magris RA, Treml EA, Pressey RL, Weeks R. 2016. Integrating multiple species
 connectivity and habitat quality into conservation planning for coral reefs. Ecography
 39:649–664.
- 628 McRae BH. 2006. Isolation by Resistance. Evolution 60:1551–1561.
- Moffitt EA, Wilson White J, Botsford LW. 2011. The utility and limitations of size and
 spacing guidelines for designing marine protected area (MPA) networks. Biological
 Conservation 144:306–318.
- Moilanen A. 2011. On the limitations of graph-theoretic connectivity in spatial ecology andconservation. Journal of Applied Ecology, 48, 1543–1547.
- Moilanen A, Franco AM, Early RI, Fox R, Wintle B, Thomas CD. 2005. Prioritizing
 multiple-use landscapes for conservation: methods for large multi-species planning problems.
 Proc Biol Sci. 272(1575):1885-91. doi: 10.1098/rspb.2005.3164.
- Muenzel D, Critchell K, Cox C, Campbell S, Jakub R, Chollett I, Krueck N, Holstein D,
 Treml E, Beger M. 2022a. Comparing spatial conservation prioritization methods with site
 versus spatial dependency-based connectivity. Conservation BiologyDOI:
 10.1111/cobi.14008.
- Muenzel D, Critchell K, Cox C, Campbell S, Jakub R, Suherfian W, Sara L, Chollett I, Treml
 E, Beger M. 2022b. Integrating larval connectivity into the marine conservation decision-
- 643 making process across spatial scales. Conservation Biology.DOI: 10.1111/cobi.14038.

- Padron M, Costantini F, Baksay S, Bramanti L, Guizien K. 2018. Passive larval transport
 explains recent gene flow in a Mediterranean gorgonian. Coral Reefs 37.
- Padrón M, Guizien K. 2016. Modelling the effect of demographic traits and connectivity on
 the genetic structuration of marine metapopulations of sedentary benthic invertebrates. ICES
 Journal of Marine Science 73:1935–1945.
- Palumbi SR. 2004. Marine reserves and ocean neighborhoods : the Spatial Scale of Marine
 Populations and Their Management. Annual Review of Environment and Resources 29:31–
 651 68.
- Pérez-Ruzafa A, Marcos C, García-Charton JA, Salas F. 2008. European marine protected
 areas (MPAs) as tools for fisheries management and conservation. Journal for Nature
 Conservation 16:187–192.
- Putman NF, He R. 2013. Tracking the long-distance dispersal of marine organisms:sensitivity to ocean model resolution. Journal of The Royal Society Interface 10:20120979.
- Rossi S, Gili J-M. 2009. The cycle of gonadal development of the soft bottom-gravel
 gorgonian Leptogorgia sarmentosa in the NW Mediterranean sea. Invertebr Reprod Dev.
 Invertebrate Reproduction and Development 53:175–190.
- Schill SR, Raber GT, Roberts JJ, Treml EA, Brenner J, Halpin PN. 2015. No Reef Is an
 Island: Integrating Coral Reef Connectivity Data into the Design of Regional-Scale Marine
 Protected Area Networks. PLOS ONE 10:e0144199.
- Schunter C, Pascual M, Raventos N, Garriga J, Garza JC, Bartumeus F, Macpherson E. 2019.
 Seascape genetics at its finest: dispersal patchiness within a well-connected population.
 preprint. Ecology. Available from http://biorxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/530451 (accessed
 January 28, 2021).

- Sciascia R, Guizien K, Magaldi MG. 2022. Larval dispersal simulations and connectivity
 predictions for Mediterranean gorgonian species: sensitivity to flow representation and
 biological traits. ICES Journal of Marine Science 79:2043–2054.
- 670 Sillero N, Arenas-Castro S, Enriquez-Urzelai U, Vale CG, Sousa-Guedes D, Martínez-Freiría
- F, Real R, Barbosa AM. 2021. Want to model a species niche? A step-by-step guideline oncorrelative ecological niche modelling. Ecological Modelling 456:109671.
- 673 Stewart RR, Possingham HP. 2005. Efficiency, costs and trade-offs in marine reserve system
 674 design. Environmental Modeling & Assessment 10:203–213.
- 675 Sturrock AM, Tanner SE, et al. (2024) Methods to estimate marine functional connectivity:
- 676 A primer. Submitted to Biological reviews.
- 677 True MA. 1970. Étude quantitative de quatre peuplements sciaphiles sur substrat rocheux678 dans la région marsellaise. Bulletin de l'Institut Océanographique de Monaco 69 (1401), 1-
- 679 48.
- 680 Villamor A, Costantini F, Abbiati M. 2014. Genetic Structuring across Marine
 681 Biogeographic Boundaries in Rocky Shore Invertebrates. PLoS ONE 9:e101135.
- 682 Virtanen EA, Moilanen A, Viitasalo M. 2020. Marine connectivity in spatial conservation
 683 planning: analogues from the terrestrial realm. Landscape Ecology 35:1021–1034.
- Watson JEM, Grantham HS, Wilson KA, Possingham HP. 2009 'Systematic conservation
 planning: Past, present and future'. in Atte Moilanen, Kerrie A Wilson, and Hugh P
 Possingham, Spatial Conservation Prioritization: Quantitative Methods and Computational
 Tools, https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780199547760.003.0002
- White JW, Schroeger J, Drake PT, Edwards CA. 2014. The Value of Larval Connectivity
 Information in the Static Optimization of Marine Reserve Design. Conservation Letters
 7:533–544.

691	Wilson KA, Cabeza M, Klein CJ. 2009. Fundamental Concepts of Spatial Conservation
692	Prioritization. Page 0 in Moilanen A, Wilson KA, Possingham HP, editors. Spatial
693	Conservation Prioritization: Quantitative Methods and Computational Tools. Oxford
694	University Press. Available from https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780199547760.003.0002
695	(accessed September 26, 2024).
696	Zelli E, Quéré G, Lago N, Di Franco G, Costantini F, Rossi S, Bramanti L. 2020. Settlement
697	dynamics and recruitment responses of Mediterranean gorgonians larvae to different crustose
698	coralline algae species. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 530–
699	531:151427.
700	Zhao Q, Stephenson F, Lundquist C, Kaschner K, Jayathilake D, Costello MJ. 2020. Where
701	Marine Protected Areas would best represent 30% of ocean biodiversity. Biological
702	Conservation 244:108536.
703	
704	
705	
706	
707	
708	
709	
710	
711	
712	
713	
714	
715	

	TARGET 10%			TARGET 30%				
	WITH EXISTING HIGHLY PROTECTED AREAS		NO PRE- EXISTING HIGHLY PROTECTED AREAS		WITH EXISTING HIGHLY PROTECTED AREAS		NO PRE- EXISTING HIGHLY PROTECTED AREAS	
	Cost	Surface (%)	Cost	Surface (%)	Cost	Surface (%)	Cost	Surface (%)
Structural connectivity (BLM=0.004)	12.0	9.0	14.1	8.8	37.3	28.4	40.6	28.3
Functional connectivity of early summer (CSM=3)	9.7	10.3	9.8	9.7	25.2	29.6	31.0	29.5
Functional connectivity of late summer (CSM=3)	5.1	10.1	9.8	9.5	24.9	29.3	30.6	28.8

Table 1 : Costs and proportion of the hard bottom habitat surface (average for the 100 conservation plans) in the three connectivity scenarios (structural connectivity, functional connectivity of early summer, functional connectivity of late summer) and with or without existing highly protected areas in the objective of fully protecting 10% or 30% of the hard bottom habitat surface.

732 List of Figures

733

Figure 1: Median larval transport (probability of transfer) in the study area after a pelagic dispersal duration of 7 days for a release from June 1 to July 10 (early summer, Eunicella singularis, A) and from August 1 to September 10 (late summer, Leptogorgia sarmentosa, B) during the 3 summers (2010, 2011 and 2012) between each of the 138 hard bottom habitat planning units (black polygons). The blue lines correspond to southward larval transport. The red lines correspond to northward larval transport.

740

741 Figure 2: Selection frequency of planning units obtained with Marxan in the objective of 742 fully protecting 10% of the hard bottom habitat surface in 4 configurations: considering 743 existing highly protected areas (upper panels) and structural connectivity (A) or early summer functional connectivity as asymmetric spatial dependence (B) and disregarding 744 745 existing highly protected areas (lower panels) and structural (C) or early summer functional connectivity as asymmetric spatial dependence (D). The five classes of selection frequencies 746 747 obtained from the 100 runs used were: 10-20% (low), 20-40%, 40-60%, 60-80%, 80-100% (high). 748

749

Figure 3: Selection frequency of planning units obtained with Marxan in the objective of fully protecting 30% of the hard bottom habitat surface in 4 configurations: considering existing highly protected areas (upper panels) and structural connectivity (A, BLM=0.004) or early summer functional connectivity as asymmetric spatial dependence (B, CSM=3) and disregarding existing highly protected areas (lower panels) and structural (C, BLM=0.004) or early summer functional connectivity as asymmetric spatial dependence (D, CSM=3). The

five classes of selection frequencies obtained from the 100 runs used were: 30-44% (low),
44-58%, 58-72%, 72-86%, 86-100% (high).

758

Figure 4 : Boxplot of the efficiency of the 100 conservation plans in protecting Eunicella singularis (A) and Leptogorgia sarmentosa (B) for the targets of 10% and 30% protection of the hard bottom habitat in the different connectivity scenarios : structural (BLM=0.004), functional in the early summer (Es, CSM=3), functional in the late summer (Ls, CSM=3), extending the current highly protected. Cost of the conservation plans were calculated using the current cost with HPAs.



Figure 1: Median larval transport (probability of transfer) in the study area after a pelagic dispersal duration of 7 days for a release from June 1 to July 10 (early summer, Eunicella singularis, A) and from August 1 to September 10 (late summer, Leptogorgia sarmentosa, B) during the 3 summers (2010, 2011 and 2012) between each of the 138 hard bottom habitat planning units (black polygons). The blue lines correspond to southward larval transport.



Figure 2: Selection frequency of planning units obtained with Marxan in the objective of fully protecting 10% of the hard bottom habitat surface in 4 configurations: considering existing highly protected areas (upper panels) and structural connectivity (A) or early summer functional connectivity as asymmetric spatial dependence (B) and disregarding existing highly protected areas (lower panels) and structural (C) or early summer functional connectivity as asymmetric spatial dependence (D). The five classes of selection frequencies obtained from the 100 runs used were: 10-20% (low), 20-40%, 40-60%, 60-80%, 80-100% (high).



Figure 3: Selection frequency of planning units obtained with Marxan in the objective of fully protecting 30% of the hard bottom habitat surface in 4 configurations: considering existing highly protected areas (upper panels) and structural connectivity (A, BLM=0.004) or early summer functional connectivity as asymmetric spatial dependence (B, CSM=3) and disregarding existing highly protected areas (lower panels) and structural (C, BLM=0.004) or early summer functional connectivity as asymmetric spatial dependence (D, CSM=3). The five classes of selection frequencies obtained from the 100 runs used were: 30-44% (low), 44-58%, 58-72%, 72-86%, 86-100% (high).



Figure 4 : Boxplot of the efficiency of the 100 conservation plans in protecting Eunicella singularis (A) and Leptogorgia sarmentosa (B) for the targets of 10% and 30% protection of the hard bottom habitat in the different connectivity scenarios : structural (BLM=0.004), functional in the early summer (Es, CSM=3), functional in the late summer (Ls, CSM=3), extending the current highly protected. Cost of the conservation plans were calculated using the current cost with HPAs.

Supplementary Material

Functional Connectivity improves the efficiency of the extension of a network of highly protected marine areas (Gulf of Lion, North Western Mediterranean sea)

Sylvain Blouet^{1,2}, Tournadre Thibaud¹, Hentati Skandar¹, Katell Guizien¹

1 CNRS-Sorbonne Université, Laboratoire d'Ecogéochimie des Environnements Benthiques,

LECOB, Observatoire Océanologique de Banyuls Sur Mer, 66650 Banyuls sur Mer, France

2 Ville d'Agde, Aire marine protégée de la côte agathoise, 34300 Agde, France.



Figure S1: Surface areas of the 138 planning units used to describe the hard bottom habitat.



Figure S2: Costs applied to the 138 planning units used to explore conservation plans in the configuration without pre-existing highly protected areas (A) and with existing highly protected areas (B).

10% of Natural habitat 30% of Natural habitat	With existing highly protected areas	without existing highly protected areas
Structural continuity	BLM=0 BLM=0.004 BLM=1	BLM=0 BLM=0.004 BLM=1
Early summer functional connectivity	CSM=3 CSM=10 CSM=100	CSM=3 CSM=10 CSM=100
Late summer functional connectivity	CSM=3 CSM=10 CSM=100	CSM=3 CSM=10 CSM=100

Table S1: Summary of all simulations performed varying the conservation plan target (10 and 30% of the Natural Habitat), the type of connectivity (Structural, early summer functional, late summer functional), the cost with or without existing highly protected areas and the value of the Marxan parameter regulating the cost penalty if not taking into account connectivity.



Figure S3 : Cost evolution with boundary length. The median point estimation is 0.004, which corresponds to a cost of 19.6 for a boundary length of 530 meters. Figure and values obtained with $^{\circ}$ Qmarxan software.



Figure S4 : Mapping of maximum population densities per planning units for (A) *Eunicella singularis* and (B) *Leptogorgia sarmentosa*



Figure S5: Sensitivity of selection frequency of planning units across the 100 conservation schemes in the objective of fully protecting 10% of the hard bottom habitat surface to the structural continuity scaling factor with current full protection areas (A: BLM=0; B: BLM=1). Same but without considering current full protection areas (C: BLM=0; D: BLM=1). The five classes of selection frequencies obtained from the 100 runs used were: 10-20% (low), 20-40%, 40-60%, 60-80%, 80-100% (high).



Figure S6: Selection frequency of planning units obtained with Marxan in the objective of fully protecting 10% (left panels) and 30% (right panels) of the hard bottom habitat surface considering pre-existing full protection areas (upper panels) and disregarding existing full protection areas (lower panels) using late summer functional connectivity as asymmetric spatial dependence (CSM=3). Note that the five classes of selection frequencies obtained from the 100 runs differed with the protection objective. The five classes were: 10-20% (low), 20-40%, 40-60%, 60-80%, 80-100% (high) in the 10% objective and 30-44% (low), 44-58%, 58-72%, 72-86% and 86-100% in the 30% objective.



- □ Functional _{Es}
- Functional _{Es} extending current HPA
- △ Functional
- ▲ Functional Ls extending current HPA

Figure S7 : Relationship between the proportion of individuals of Eunicella singularis (A, B) and Leptogorgia sarmentosa (C, D) under protection and the cost of the 100 conservation plans for a target of 10% protection of the hard bottom habitat in the different connectivity scenarios : structural, functional in the early summer (Es), functional in the late summer (Ls), extending or not the current highly protected. Cost of the conservation plans were calculated using the current cost with HPAs and the cost if no HPAs have been implemented in the region.



Figure S8 : Relationship between the proportion of individuals of Eunicella singularis (A, B) and Leptogorgia sarmentosa (C, D) under protection and the cost of the 100 conservation plans for a target of 30% protection of the hard bottom habitat in the different connectivity scenarios : structural, functional in the early summer (Es), functional in the late summer (Ls), extending or not the current highly protected. Cost of the conservation plans were calculated using the current cost with HPAs and the cost if no HPAs have been implemented in the region.