

Gut microbiota drives colon cancer risk associated with diet: a comparative analysis of meat-based and pesco-vegetarian diets

Carlotta de Filippo, Sofia Chioccioli, Niccolò Meriggi, Antonio Dario Troise, Francesco Vitali, Mariela Mejia Monroy, Serdar Özsezen, Katia Tortora, Aurélie Balvay, Claire Maudet, et al.

To cite this version:

Carlotta de Filippo, Sofia Chioccioli, Niccolò Meriggi, Antonio Dario Troise, Francesco Vitali, et al.. Gut microbiota drives colon cancer risk associated with diet: a comparative analysis of meat-based and pesco-vegetarian diets. Microbiome, 2024, 12 (1), pp.180. 10.1186/s40168-024-01900-2. hal-04717263ff

HAL Id: hal-04717263 <https://hal.science/hal-04717263v1>

Submitted on 1 Oct 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

[Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - NoDerivatives 4.0](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/) [International License](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)

Gut microbiota drives colon cancer risk associated with diet: a comparative analysis of meat-based and pesco-vegetarian diets

Carlotta De Filippo^{1*}, Sofia Chioccioli², Niccolò Meriggi¹, Antonio Dario Troise⁴, Francesco Vitali¹, Mariela Mejia Monroy^{1,2}, Serdar Özsezen⁵, Katia Tortora², Aurélie Balvay⁶, Claire Maudet⁶, Nathalie Naud⁷, Edwin Fouché⁷, Charline Buisson⁷, Jacques Dupuy⁷, Valérie Bézirard⁷, Sylvie Chevolleau^{7,8}, Valérie Tondereau⁷, Vassilia Theodorou⁷, Claire Maslo⁷, Perrine Aubry⁷, Camille Etienne⁶, Lisa Giovannelli², Vincenzo Longo¹, Andrea Scaloni⁴, Duccio Cavalieri³, Jildau Bouwman⁵, Fabrice Pierre⁷, Philippe Gérard⁶, Françoise Guéraud⁷ and Giovanna Caderni2,1*

Abstract

Background Colorectal cancer (CRC) risk is strongly affected by dietary habits with red and processed meat increasing risk, and foods rich in dietary fbres considered protective. Dietary habits also shape gut microbiota, but the role of the combination between diet, the gut microbiota, and the metabolite profle on CRC risk is still missing an unequivocal characterisation.

Methods To investigate how gut microbiota afects diet-associated CRC risk, we fed *Apc*-mutated PIRC rats and azoxymethane (AOM)-induced rats the following diets: a high-risk red/processed meat-based diet (MBD), a normalised risk diet (MBD with *α*-tocopherol, MBDT), a low-risk pesco-vegetarian diet (PVD), and control diet. We then conducted faecal microbiota transplantation (FMT) from PIRC rats to germ-free rats treated with AOM and fed a standard diet for 3 months. We analysed multiple tumour markers and assessed the variations in the faecal microbiota using 16S rRNA gene sequencing together with targeted- and untargeted-metabolomics analyses.

Results In both animal models, the PVD group exhibited signifcantly lower colon tumorigenesis than the MBD ones, consistent with various CRC biomarkers. Faecal microbiota and its metabolites also revealed signifcant dietdependent profles. Intriguingly, when faeces from PIRC rats fed these diets were transplanted into germ-free rats, those transplanted with MBD faeces developed a higher number of preneoplastic lesions together with distinctive diet-related bacterial and metabolic profles. PVD determines a selection of nine taxonomic markers mainly belonging to Lachnospiraceae and Prevotellaceae families exclusively associated with at least two diferent animal models, and within these, four taxonomic markers were shared across all the three animal models. An inverse correlation between nonconjugated bile acids and bacterial genera mainly belonging to the Lachnospiraceae and Prevotellaceae families (representative of the PVD group) was present, suggesting a potential mechanism of action for the protective efect of these genera against CRC.

*Correspondence: Carlotta De Filippo carlotta.deflippo@cnr.it Giovanna Caderni giovanna.caderni@unif.it Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License, which permits any non-commercial use, sharing, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if you modifed the licensed material. You do not have permission under this licence to share adapted material derived from this article or parts of it. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>.

Conclusions These results highlight the protective effects of PVD while reaffirming the carcinogenic properties of MBD diets. In germ-free rats, FMT induced changes reminiscent of dietary efects, including heightened preneoplastic lesions in MBD rats and the transmission of specifc diet-related bacterial and metabolic profles. Importantly, to the best of our knowledge, this is the frst study showing that diet-associated cancer risk can be transferred with faeces, establishing gut microbiota as a determinant of diet-associated CRC risk. Therefore, this study marks the pioneering demonstration of faecal transfer as a means of conveying diet-related cancer risk, frmly establishing the gut microbiota as a pivotal factor in diet-associated CRC susceptibility.

Introduction

The risk of colorectal cancer (CRC), the third most common cancer worldwide $[1]$, is strongly affected by dietary habits [\(https://wcrf.org/diet-activity-and-can](https://wcrf.org/diet-activity-and-cancer/)[cer/\)](https://wcrf.org/diet-activity-and-cancer/). Specifcally, red/processed meat consumption has been associated with an elevated CRC risk due to mutagenic compounds generated during food cooking and *N*-nitroso compounds formed in the colon, whose production is catalysed by heme iron present in red meat [2, 3]. Heme iron can also catalyse lipid peroxidation, leading to the formation of cytotoxic and genotoxic alkenals in the colon, capable of inducing positive selection of precancerous cells [3, 4]. Antioxidants, especially lipidsoluble tocopherols, have been shown to modulate red meat-associated cancer risk by controlling heme ironinduced lipid peroxidation [5–7].

Contrary to red and processed meat, foods rich in dietary fbres have been associated with a lower CRC risk, while for other foods, like fsh, despite epidemiological studies suggest a lower risk [8, 9], this evidence is deemed limited [\(https://wcrf.org/diet-activity-and-cancer/\)](https://wcrf.org/diet-activity-and-cancer/). Rodent studies indicate a protective effect of fish oils (ω 3 lipids), but research on fish consumption is insufficient $[9]$. The gut microbiota is strongly infuenced by dietary habits $[10]$; it has been associated with heme-induced peroxidation in CRC [11], and it may infuence CRC risk associated with red meat $[12]$. While some reports suggest a positive link between fsh consumption and increased gut microbiota diversity [13], evidence remains limited, leaving the question of whether fsh-based diets beneft from changes in the intestinal microbiota somewhat unanswered.

Given these considerations, our study aimed to comparatively investigate the efects of a high-risk red/processed meat-based diet (MBD), a normalised-risk diet (MBD supplemented with α-tocopherol, MBDT), and a low-risk pesco-vegetarian diet (PVD) based on codfsh and spinach on colon tumorigenesis, colon mucosa, lipid peroxidation markers, faecal microbiota, and metabolite patterns. A further experimental group (control group, CTR) was also included, fed a basic rodent synthetic control diet, consisting in a low-calcium AIN-76 diet, which has been shown to highlight the cancer-promoting activity of red-processed meat [5, 14]. Our biological inquiry focuses on the mechanisms through which both high-risk and low-risk diets can infuence the microbiota and, conversely, how the microbiota can afect the process of intestinal carcinogenesis. We used two complementary animal models of colon carcinogenesis: PIRC rats, mutated in *Apc*, a key gene in CRC development, which spontaneously develop colon tumours [15], and azoxymethane (AOM)-induced rats, developing colon preneoplastic lesions [14, 16]. Additionally, to establish a direct causal link between the gut microbiota and carcinogenesis and to test whether diet-infuenced microbiota could transmit cancer risk, we performed a faecal microbiota transplantation (FMT) experiment from PIRC rats fed the abovementioned diets into germ-free (GF) rats in which carcinogenesis was induced with AOM (experimental scheme described in Fig. 1). We observed a protective efect in the PVD group with the reduction of several tumour biomarkers in both PIRC and AOM models as well as an increase of them in the MBD group. The variation in CRC was associated with changes in the bacterial and metabolic profles. We described specifc correlations between genera belonging to the Prevotellaceae and/or Lachnospiraceae family and bile acids. Furthermore, through the FMT experiment, we highlighted the connection between microbiota and CRC risk.

Methods

Experimental diets

Experimental diets (Supplementary Table S1) were formulated in two separate locations: in Italy (for the PIRC rat experiment) and in France (for the AOM experiment) following the same protocol. These diets were administered in powdered form (for all diets) with included portions of cooked meat and ham (MBD and MBDT) or cooked fsh pieces (PVD). Organic spinach powder was purchased from SAS Lyophilise.fr (Lorient, France), while beef sirloin and codfsh fllets were purchased frozen from local suppliers (Geloin, Florence, Italy, and Picard, France) (Supplementary Table S2). The cooked ham was sourced from COOP.it (Italy) and Votrecharcutier.fr (France). To prevent food oxidation, the ham, beef, and fsh were stored frozen until

Fig. 1 Experimental design. Schematic representation of the experiments performed to understand the effects of the different diets (CTR, MBD, MBDT, and PVD) on colon tumorigenesis, faecal microbiota, metabolite patterns, and cancer-related biomarkers. The four experimental diets (CTR, MBD, MBDT, and PVD) were tested for 3 months in two complementary animal models: PIRC rats (PIRC model) and azoxymethane (AOM)-treated rats (AOM model). PIRC rats, mutated in *Apc*, a key gene in CRC development, spontaneously develop colon tumours that can be enumerated at sacrifce, after 3 months of feeding as indicated by red arrowheads in the picture of tumours in a PIRC rat [15]. In the AOM model, F344 rats are treated with the specifc colon carcinogen AOM to induce colon preneoplastic lesions such as mucin-depleted foci (MDF), which can be easily visualised in the unsectioned colon of the animals through a mucin-specific staining [14, 16]; the yellow arrowhead in the picture indicates an example of a MDF in an AOM-treated rat, as observed at microscope (magnifcation 40X). In addition, to test whether diet-infuenced microbiota could transmit cancer risk when transplanted into GF AOM-treated rats, we performed a faecal microbiota transplantation (FMT) experiment in which the faeces of PIRC rats, collected at the end of the four dietary treatments, were transplanted into GF rats that were treated with AOM to induce colon tumorigenesis and then fed with a control diet (Standard AIN-76) for 3 months; and fnally, tumorigenesis was determined, as in the AOM model, counting MDF in the colon after 3 months. In all the experimental models, we identifed faecal microbiota and metabolomic profles, together with specifc cancer-related biomarkers, as described in the " Methods" section. Images of PIRC colon tumours and MDF are from Giovanna Caderni

microwave cooking (every 2 weeks, for beef and fsh) and then frozen again in two-rat portions until distributed to animals, every day at the end of the day, just before rat active period, to avoid food oxidation. All diets were based on modifed low calcium AIN-76 diets with two powder compositions: one for rat acclimatisation and CTR diet group and one to complement beef/ cooked ham and fsh in the MBD, MBDT, and PVD groups. Powder for the PVD group contained 8.2% w/w spinach powder; powder for the MBDT group contained 0.1% w/w *α*-tocopherol. Powder for acclimatisation and CTR group contained 32% of casein as protein source, while complement powders of other diets contained no protein, because the protein source was meat or fish. All diets were balanced for protein content; protein amounts in beef, fsh, and ham were calculated from Ciqual tables. All diets contained 5% w/w safflower oil (MPBio, France). CTR diet contained 10.16% lard to balance lipids present in the meat occurring in MBD and MBDT.

PIRC rat model

PIRC rats (F344/NTac-Apcam1137) were obtained from the National Institutes of Health (NIH), Rat Resource and Research Center (RRRC) (University of Missouri, Columbia, MO, USA), and bred in CESAL (Housing Centre for Experimental Animals of the University of Florence, Italy). Approval to perform the experiments was obtained from the Animal Subjects Review Board of the University of Florence and by the Italian Ministry of Health, according to EU Directive 2010/63/EU for animal experiments (authorization: 319/2018-PR). The PIRC rat colony was maintained by mating heterozygous PIRC with wt rats, genotyping pups at 3 weeks of age, as previously described [17]. Male PIRC rats entering in the experiment were maintained in polyethylene cages with their respective mothers up to 4 weeks of age; then, they were separated and fed for 1 week with a CTR diet (Supplementary Table S1) to collect faeces, before the beginning of the experiment. Rats were then allocated to the diferent dietary treatments (*n*=11 in CTR and *n*=12 in all the

other groups). At day 50, they were individually placed in plastic metabolic cages to separately collect urine and faeces. The rats were euthanized after 3 months of feeding. At sacrifce, the entire colon and small intestine were opened to enumerate the macroscopic tumours.

AOM‑treated rat model

F344 male rats were purchased from Charles River at 5 weeks of age. They were randomly assigned to their respective group (12 rats/group) and housed in wire cages to collect faeces (2 animals/cage). After 1 week of acclimatisation with CTR diet, animals were injected with AOM (Sigma-Aldrich) in bufered saline solution (20 mg/kg i.p.) to induce colon tumorigenesis. One week after AOM injection, rats were given their respective experimental diets (Supplementary Table S1). At day 50, they were individually placed in plastic metabolic cages to separately collect urine and faeces. They were euthanized after 3 months of feeding. At sacrifice, the colons were removed and the number of MDF and ACF determined as previously described [14, 17]. Faeces were collected just before the start of the experimental diets (T0) and just before the end of the experimentation (T3). Approval to perform these and the permeability experiments outlined below was obtained from the French Comité d'Ethique CEEA-86 and the Ministère de l'Education Nationale, de l'Enseignement Supérieur et de la Recherche (authorization: APAFiS no. 16139-2018071617502938v3).

Permeability experiment

F344 rats were purchased from Charles River at 5 weeks of age. They were randomly assigned to their respective dietary group (eight rats/group). After 1 week of acclimatisation, they were given the four experimental diets (Supplementary Table $S1$) for 2 weeks. They were then placed in metabolic cages for 24 h to collect faeces and urine. They were then treated orally with $51C$ rEDTA (25.9 kBq) (PerkinElmer Life Science) diluted in 0.5 ml of saline solution; their urine was then collected for 24 h more, and its radioactivity was determined with a gamma counter (Cobra II; Packard) to measure intestinal permeability. Rats were then replaced in conventional cages and fed the experimental diets for one more week to decrease residual radioactivity before euthanasia. Colons were collected for cytokine assays.

Collection of faeces and urine

In both PIRC rats and AOM-treated rats, fresh faeces (at least fve pellets) were collected at the beginning (T0 samples) and at the end of each dietary treatment (T3 samples), rapidly frozen at−80 °C and then used for 16S rRNA gene sequencing and metabolomic studies.

To perform FMT, additional faeces from PIRC rats were collected at the end of the experimental diet period and immediately processed as described below. Three days before sacrifce, in PIRC- and AOM-treated rats, 24-h-faecal pellets and urine samples were also collected in metabolic cages to assess haem, TBARS and free HNE in faecal water (FW), and DHN-MA (1,4-dihydroxynonane mercapturic acid) and 8 -isoPGF2_{α} in urine as described below. Faecal water (FW) preparation was as follows: 0.5 g of faeces was solved in 1 ml of distilled water and added with 50 μ l of 0.45-M 2,6-ditert-butyl-4-methyl-phenol (BHT) in ethanol. The resulting samples were processed using FastPrep technology with Lysing Matrix E beads in 15-ml tubes and then centrifuged at 3200 g, for 20 min. After centrifugation, supernatants were collected and stored at−80 °C until use.

Faecal microbiota transplantation model (FMT)

Faecal samples from PIRC rats collected at the end of each dietary treatment (CTR, MBD, MBDT, and PVD) were prepared for FMT by thoroughly dispersion and dilution $(1:50 \t{w/v})$ with a saline solution (sodium chloride 9 g/l , potassium chloride 0.4 g/l) added with L-cysteine 0.5 g/l (Ringer Solution). The suspension was then diluted 1:1 with 20% v/v skimmed milk and stored at−80 °C. Fischer male F344 rats were obtained from the breeding unit of Anaxem, the GF facility of the Micalis Institute (INRAE, Jouy-en-Josas, France). All standardised procedures, including the breeding of GF animals, were carried out in France in licenced animal facilities (Anaxem licence number: B78-33–6). The experimental protocol was agreed upon by the French Ministère de l'Education Nationale, de l'Enseignement Supérieur et de la Recherche (APAFIS no. 27039). GF rats were given free access to autoclaved tap water and a gamma-irradiated (45 kGy) standard diet (AIN-76 diet) referred to as control diet. GF rats were randomised into the four experimental groups $(n=10 \text{ in CTR}, \text{MBDT}, \text{and PVD}$ groups, and *n*=8 in MBD group), and each group was housed in a distinct sterile isolator, with fve cages per isolator (two rats/cage). Transplantation was achieved by oral-gastric gavage with 1 ml of the diluted faecal samples from PIRC rats fed the four diferent diets (CTR, MBD, MBDT, PVD) as described previously $[18]$. Three weeks after FMT, recipient rats were treated with AOM (20 mg/kg i.p.) to induce colonic preneoplastic lesions. After AOM injection, all rats received the same control diet ad libitum, for 14 weeks following AOM injection. Body weight and diet consumption were measured weekly. At sacrifce, the colons were removed and the number of MDF (*n*=8 in CTR, *n*=7 in MBD, and *n*=9 in MBDT and PVD) and ACF (*n*=10 in CTR, MBDT, and PVD groups, and *n*=8 in MBD group) determined as described [14, 17].

Determination of myeloperoxidase activity in the *colon* **mucosa**

Myeloperoxidase activity (MPO) was determined in the colon of AOM-treated rats (*n*=10 in CTR and PVD, $n=12$ in MBD and MBDT) as previously described [19], with only slight modifcations: the MPO activity kinetics were performed in 96-well plates and recorded for 5 min; a MPO standard curve was used for quantitation. Protein levels were measured using the BCA assay (Pierce BCA Protein Assay Kit, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Rockford, USA).

Determination of markers of lipid peroxidation, oxidative stress in the urine, and FW

DHN-MA is the major urinary metabolite of HNE, a lipid peroxidation product. DHN-MA was measured in urine after dilution $(1/200 \text{ to } 1/1000 \text{ in the dedicated buffer})$, through Enzyme ImmunoAssay (EIA) using Bertin Bioreagent kit, according to manufacturer's instructions. 8-isoPGF_{2 α} is formed upon arachidonic acid nonenzymatic oxidation; it was here quantifed in urine after dilution (1/20 in the dedicated bufer) by Enzyme ImmunoAssay (EIA) using Bertin Bioreagent kit, according to manufacturer's instructions. Faecal TBARS and free HNE were measured in FW according to Ohkawa et al. [20] and Chevolleau et al. [21], respectively. In the PIRC rats for TBARS in FW, *n*=9 in CTR and MBDT groups and *n*=12 in MBD and PVD groups; for HNE in FW, *n*=9 in CTR and MBDT groups and *n*=12 in MBD and PVD groups; for urinary DHN-MA, *n*=7 in CTR group, $n=12$ in MBD group, $n=8$ in MBDT group, and $n=10$ in PVD group; and for urinary 8-isoPGF2α, *n*=7 in CTR and MBDT groups, $n=12$ in MBD group, and $n=10$ in PVD group. In the AOM rats for TBARS in FW, *n*=6 for all the groups; for HNE in FW, *n*=6 in CTR, MBDT, and PVD groups and *n*=5 in MBD group; for urinary DHN-MA, $n=11$ in CTR group and $n=12$ in the other groups; and for urinary 8-isoPGF2α, *n*=12 for all the groups.

FW cytotoxicity on "Co" cell culture

"Co" (derived from C57BL/6 J mice and Immorto-Mouse®) colon epithelial cells express the heat-labile SV40 large T antigen (AgT tsa58) under the control of an IFNy-inducible promoter $[22]$. Those "Co" cells express cytokeratin 18, an epithelial phenotype marker. Cell proliferation and phenotype are afected by culture conditions: at 33 °C with IFNγ, the large T antigen is active and drives cellular proliferation, while at 37 °C, the temperature-sensitive mutation yields an inactive protein, and cells act like non-proliferating epithelial cells. All the studies were conducted at 37 °C. Cytotoxicity of FW

from diferent dietary groups was quantifed using the WST-1 assay on colon epithelial cell line, after treatment with diluted (1/20) and fltered FW.

Colon cytokines

Colon samples were FastPrep ground in FastPrep Lysing Matrix D tubes with RIPA bufer containing protease inhibitors, centrifuged at 10,000 g for 15 min, at 4 $°C$. Supernatants were collected and stored at−80 °C until use. Proteins were quantifed using the BCA kit (Pierce).

Cytokines were quantifed using R&D systems kits (Bio-Techne) (IL-6: DY506; IL-1β: DY501; TNF-α: DY510), according to the manufacturer's instructions. In PIRC rat's normal mucosa for IL-6, *n*=5 in CTR and MBD and *n*=6 in MBDT and PVD groups; for TNF-α, *n*=6 in CTR and PVD groups and *n*=5 in MBD and MBDT; and for IL-1 β , $n=5$ in CTR and MBD groups and $n=6$ in MBDT and PVD groups. In AOM-treated rats' normal mucosa for IL6, $n=11$ in CTR and PVD groups, $n=8$ in MBD, and *n*=10 in MBDT, and for IL-1β, *n*=12 in all the groups. In the normal mucosa of the permeability experiment rats for TNF-α, *n*=8 in CTR, MBDT, and PVD and *n*=7 in MBD; for IL-6, *n*=8 in CTR and MBDT and *n*=7 in MBD in PVD; and for IL-1β, *n*=8 in all the groups.

Evaluation of proliferation, apoptosis, CD68, and Dcamk1 in *colon* **mucosa and tumours**

Proliferative activity in tumours and in the normal mucosa of PIRC- and AOM-treated rats was evaluated measuring the proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA) immunoreactivity with a mouse monoclonal antibody (PC-10, Santa Cruz, CA, USA), as previously reported [17]. CD68 and Dcamkl1 expressions were also evaluated in normal mucosa of PIRC rats (CD68: *n*=10 in CTR group, *n*=12 in MBD and PVD groups, *n*=11 in MBDT group; Dcamkl1: *n*=9 in CTR and MBD groups and *n*=10 in MBDT and PVD groups); AOM-treated rats (CD68: $n=9$ in CTR group, $n=11$ in MBD group, $n=8$ in MBDT group, and $n=10$ in PVD group) and in PIRC tumours (CD68: *n*=10 in CTR group, *n*=12 in MBD group, and $n = 11$ in MBDT and PVD groups) with immunohistochemistry experiments [23]. Apoptosis was evaluated morphologically in histological longitudinal Sects. $(5 \mu m)$ thick) of the normal mucosa of PIRC rats $(n=10$ in CTR group, $n=12$ all the other groups), AOMtreated rats $(n=7$ in CTR group, $n=10$ in the other groups), and in PIRC tumours (*n*=9 in CTR group, *n*=11 in MBD and PVD groups, and *n*=12 in MBDT group) stained with haematoxylin–eosin, as reported [17].

Semiquantitative RT‑PCR in colonic tissue

Total RNA was extracted by TRIzol reagent (Ambion) from the colonic normal mucosa and colonic tumour

collected at the time of sacrifce. RNA (300 ng) was reverse transcribed in cDNA using the RevertAid RT Kit (Thermo Scientific), according to manufacturer's instructions. The list of primers used in RT-PCR is reported in Supplementary Table S3. The amplification conditions and the analysis of gene expression were carried out following the procedures previously described [17].

Bacterial DNA extraction, 16S rRNA gene sequencing, and sequencing data analysis

Faecal samples from all rats collected at all time points were stored at−80 °C, and then DNA extraction was carried out by using DNeasy PowerLyzer PowerSoilKit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) following the manufacturer's instructions. Library preparation and sequencing of the V3–V4 region of the 16S rRNA gene were carried out on Illumina MiSeq platform in paired-end mode (PE300). All additional details are reported in supplementary methods. Primer pairs were removed by using cutadapt version 3.5 [24]. Amplicon sequence variants (ASVs) were identifed from the raw sequences using the DADA2 pipeline version 1.26 [25]. Taxonomic classifcation was performed using the DECIPHER package version 2.14 with the latest version of the pre-formatted SILVA smallsubunit reference database [26] (SSU version 138, available at [http://www2.decipher.codes/Downloads.html\)](http://www2.decipher.codes/Downloads.html). All sequence variants not classifed as bacteria were removed together with chloroplasts or mitochondria sequences.

DADA2 pipeline and subsequent statistical analyses were conducted in R environment version 4.2.2 (R Core Team, 2022 — <https://www.r-project.org>). *β-*diversity analyses were conducted using "vegan" package version 2.6–4 [27]. Signifcant taxonomic features were obtained by performing the linear discriminant analysis efect size (LEfSe) on relative abundances table after counts per million (CPM) transformation [28]. Likelihood ratio test (LRT) was performed by using "DESeq2" package version 1.38.3 [29]. Environmental ftting analysis was performed by using the *envft* function in the "vegan" package. Additional details on sequence variants inference and statistical analyses were reported in supplementary materials and methods.

Metabolomics and data analysis

Metabolite profles in faecal samples of all the rats of the experiments at all time points described were covered through four independent procedures based on gas chromatography (GC–MS) and liquid chromatography-highresolution tandem mass spectrometry (LC–MS/MS). Samples were separately extracted with both methanol and water to respectively obtain methanolic supernatants for bile acid analysis and aqueous supernatants for the other three procedures (SCFAs, semipolar metabolites, and methyl-chloroformate derivatives). Details are reported in supplementary methods.

Short‑chain fatty acids

Samples were acidifed using hydrochloric acid and spiked with deuterium-labelled internal standards. Analysis was performed using a high polarity column (Zebron™ ZB-FFAP, GC Cap. Column 30 m×0.25 mm×0.25 μm, Phenomenex, Torrance, CA, USA) installed in a GC–MS (7890B, Agilent, Santa Clara, CA, USA) coupled with a quadrupole detector (5977B, Agilent). The system was controlled by ChemStation; raw data were converted to NetCDF format using ChemStation (Agilent) and imported and processed in MATLAB R2014b (MathWorks, Inc.) using the PARADISe software described by Johnsen et al. [30].

Semipolar metabolites

Faecal water samples were diluted (1/10) in 1% formic acid in 10-mM ammonium formate; the suspensions were mixed for 2 min at 4 °C, and then centrifuged at 12,000 g, at $4 °C$, for 10 min. The analysis was carried out by LC–MS/MS, using a Vanquish system coupled to a hybrid quadrupole Orbitrap, Q Exactive HF (both from Thermo Fisher Scientific, Bremen, Germany) working in polarity switching and in data-dependent scanning mode. A heated electrospray interface (HESI) was interfaced to the chromatographic stream, and analyte separation was achieved through a thermostated (30 °C) reversed phase column (ACQUITY HSS-T3 C18, 150×2.1, 1.8 µm, Waters, Milford, MA, USA). Mobile phases consisted of 10-mM ammonium formate in 0.1% formic acid in water (solvent A, pH 3.1) and 10-mM ammonium formate in 0.1% formic acid in methanol (solvent B). Samples were injected in partial loop mode, and analytes were separated through the following gradient of solvent B (minutes/%B): (0/0), (2/0), (12/35), (13/90), and (14/90), at a fow rate of 0.3 ml/min. Peak areas were extracted using Compound Discoverer 3.0 (Thermo Fisher Scientifc). Compounds identifcation was performed at four levels according to Sumner et al. [31]. In detail, Level 1 included identifcation by retention time, accurate mass value $(\pm 3$ ppm), and MS/MS spectra matching with inhouse authentic reference standards database; Level 2a included the same parameters as Level 1, but without MS/MS spectra; Level 2b was in line with Level 1, but retention time was not used as a discriminant factor; and Level 3 only considered the accurate mass value alone $(\pm 3$ ppm), elemental composition, and isotopic patterns as identification parameters. The compound annotations on Level 3 were matched with a publicly available database as Human Metabolome Database [\(www.hmdb.ca\)](http://www.hmdb.ca).

Bile acids

Aliquots of 50–100 mg faeces were weighed, and methanol was added by using a 1:4 sample/solvent ratio (w/v). The suspensions were mixed for $1-2$ min at $4 °C$; then, the samples were centrifuged at 12,000 g, 4 °C, for 10 min, and the supernatants were transferred to a Spin-X centrifuge flters (Corning) and centrifuged again at max speed, 4 °C, for 5 min. The final filtrates were collected for the analysis. Bile acids analysis was carried out by using a Vanquish LC coupled to Thermo Q Exactive HF working in negative ion mode. The chromatographic separation of bile acids was achieved through a Waters ACQUITY HSS T3, 1.8-µm particle size, 2.1×150 mm. The column was thermostated at 30 °C; the mobile phases consisted of (A) ammonium acetate 10 mM and (B) methanol: acetonitrile $(1:1, v/v)$, running at a final flow rate of 0.3 ml/min. Bile acids were eluted by linearly increasing solvent B in A from 45 to 100% for 16 min. Peak areas were extracted using TraceFinder 4.1 (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Identifcation of compounds was based on accurate mass value and retention time of authentic reference standards.

GC–MS methyl‑chloroformate derivative metabolites

Samples were derivatized with methyl chloroformate using a slightly modifed version of the protocol described by Smart et al. [32]. For such metabolites, the same GC–MS confguration described for SCFA was used. Data were imported and processed in MATLAB R2018b (MathWorks, Inc.) using the PARADISe software described by Johnsen et al. [30]. Briefy, the identifcation workflow encompassed the elimination of impurities and unresolved redundant peaks and the curation of annotated metabolites based on authentic reference standards and library matching with the NIST Library.

Statistical analysis

Data on tumorigenesis and related cancer markers from tissues (normal mucosa or tumours), FW, and urine were presented as means \pm SE. The levels of the markers measured were tested within the four diets or diet- derived FMT groups in the R environment by performing Tukey HSD test using the *tukey_hsd* function of the "rstatix" package version 0.7.2 [\(https://CRAN.R-project.org/](https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=rstatix) [package](https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=rstatix)=rstatix). Area counts of LC–MS/MS semipolar compounds were loaded in XLStat environment (v. 5.03, Addinsoft, NY, USA) for multivariate data analysis; red to blue through white heatmap was optimised through centering and reduction procedures to magnify association between diet (dendrograms on *x*-axis) and target analytes (dendrograms on *y*-axis) following Euclidean distance and the Ward linkage method. For diferential analysis, volcano plots compared compound area counts in the four dietary interventions through a 1 vs 1 comparison. False discovery rate was minimised post hoc through Benjamini–Hochberg corrections; parametric test type was used at a signifcance level of 0.05, through a nonspecifc fltering with a standard deviation less than 50%. Log2 mean ratio fold change was fxed at 1, and Log10 for *p*-value was fxed at 2.

Results

Our biological inquiry delves into the dynamics of how high- and low-risk diets impact the gut microbiota and how the microbiota in turn infuences the complex process of intestinal carcinogenesis. To investigate how gut microbiota infuences diet-linked CRC risk in *Apc*mutated PIRC and AOM-induced models, rats were fed for 3 months with diferent diets: high-risk red/processed meat-based (MBD), normalised risk with *α*-tocopherol (MBDT), low-risk pesco-vegetarian (PVD), and control (CTR) diets. To highlight the role of microbiota in infuencing cancer risk, we also performed faecal microbial transplantation (FMT) from PIRC rats on each diet to GF rats, which were then induced with AOM. The modulation of CRC risk was assessed by the analysis of multiple markers of carcinogenesis, including apoptotic, infammation, intestinal permeability, and oxidative stress assays. These results were combined with changes in the microbiota as determined by 16S rRNA gene sequencing and changes in metabolic profles obtained by targeted and untargeted approaches (Fig. 1).

Diet afects tumorigenesis in both PIRC‑ and AOM‑treated rat models

In PIRC rats (Fig. 2a), PVD markedly suppressed tumour formation (Fig. 2b), particularly in the colon (Fig. 2c). The size of colon tumours was not significantly different among groups (data not shown). In the AOM model (Fig. 2d), the number of colon precancerous lesions, known as mucin-depleted foci (MDF) [16], was similar among groups (Fig. 2e). However, the number of crypts forming each MDF (Fig. 2f), a measure of their size, was signifcantly lower in PVD and control diet (CTR) groups compared to the MBD one, suggesting a slower growth of these lesions. The number of aberrant crypt foci (ACF), another type of preneoplastic lesion $[14]$, was significantly lower in the CTR- and PVD-fed rats compared to MBD- and MBDT-fed ones (Supplementary results). In both models, body weight was not afected by dietary treatment (Supplementary results).

Diet afects colon cancer‑related biomarkers

The cancer-related biomarkers tested in both models show a similar trend associated with the PVD diet, but opposite when associated with the MBD diet, suggesting that the two diets may infuence carcinogenesis-related

Fig. 2 Colon tumorigenesis and cancer-related biomarkers in PIRC and AOM models. **a**, **b**, **c**, **g**, **h**, and **j**, **k** PIRC model experiments. **a** PIRC model experiment overview; group size (rats): *n*=11 (CTR) and *n*=12 (MBD, MBDT, and PVD group). **b**, **c** Tumour count per rat in the whole intestine (small intestine and colon) and in the colon, respectively. **g**, **h**, Apoptosis in colon tumours and in the normal mucosa, respectively. **j**, **k**, CD68-positive cells/mm2 in colon tumours and in the normal mucosa, respectively. **d**, **e**, **f** and **i**, **l**, **m** AOM model experiments. **d** AOM model experiment overview; group size (rats): *n*=12 for all the groups. **e** Number of MDF per rat. **f** Number of crypts forming each MDF. **i** Apoptotic cells per crypt in the normal mucosa. **I** CD68-positive cells/mm² in the colonic mucosa. **m** Myeloperoxidase activity in the colon mucosa [19]. Coloured circles in **a** and **d** represent the four diferent diets administered in PIRC- and AOM-treated rats, respectively. Additional details regarding biomarkers description and sample size are reported in the " Methods" section. Barplots illustrate tumour marker levels for each diet-related group (colour coded). Tukey HSD tests were used for group comparisons, and signifcant comparisons are denoted with asterisks (**p*<0.05; ***p*<0.01; ****p*<0.001). Error bars in each barplot represent standard errors

processes diferently. In particular, in PVD-fed PIRC rats, apoptosis in both tumours and normal mucosa was higher than in MBD-fed animals (Fig. 2g, h respectively and Supplementary Fig. S2a, b), while in the AOM model, it was similar in the four diets (Fig. 2i). In both PIRC and AOM models, colon proliferation was not afected by dietary treatments (data not shown), while the expression of Dcamkl1, which is associated with mucosal pro-survival [23], was slightly higher in PIRC MBD-fed rats than in the other groups (Supplementary Fig. S2c). In PIRC rats, the expression of some apoptosis/survival-related genes was also afected by dietary treatments (Supplementary Fig. S3a, b, c, d).

In the PIRC model, expression of the macrophage marker CD68 was similar among groups in both the tumours and normal mucosa (Fig. 2j and k, respectively). Conversely, in the AOM model (Fig. 2l), CD68-positive cells were less abundant in the normal mucosa of the PVD group than in the MBD counterpart. Interestingly, the myeloperoxidase activity in AOM rats was also lower in the PVD than in MBD/MBDT groups (Fig. 2m).

In PIRC rats fed PVD and MBDT diets, IL-6 levels in the normal mucosa were lower than in CTR and MBD groups (Supplementary Fig. S4a). Further, TNF-α levels were slightly lower in the PVD group compared to the others (Supplementary Fig. S4b), while IL-1β levels were similar among groups (Supplementary Fig. S4c). In the AOM model, no signifcant efects were observed for IL-6 and IL-1β (Supplementary Figs. S4d and S4e, respectively). In PIRC rats, the expression of *IL-6*, *IL-1β*, *Cox2*, and *iNOS* genes (Supplementary Fig. S3 e, f, g, h) was consistently higher in tumours than in the respective normal mucosa, regardless of the diet.

Gut permeability, tested in a separate experiment (Supplementary Fig. S4i), was lower in the PVD group, than in CTR and MBDT ones. In rats of this experiment, IL-6 levels (Supplementary Fig. S4g) were also lower in the PVD group compared to all the other groups, with a signifcant diference when comparing PVD with CTR. TNF-α and IL-1β remained similar among the groups (Supplementary Fig. S4f, h).

Diet afects lipid peroxidation biomarkers

We have thus studied lipid peroxidation biomarkers that refect oxidative stress and infammation in colon tissues, playing a crucial role in understanding and potentially intervening in the initiation and progression of colon cancer. In PIRC rats, faecal thiobarbituric reactive substances (TBARS) (Fig. 3a) and 4-hydroxy-2-nonenal (HNE) (Fig. 3b) levels were lower in the PVD and CTR groups than in MBD and MBDT counterparts. Urinary 1,4-dihydroxynonene mercapturic acid (DHN-MA) (Fig. 3c) and 8-isoprostane (8-isoPGF_{2 α}) (Fig. 3d) were also lower in the PVD than in meat-based diets and CTR. Similarly, in the AOM model, HNE was signifcantly lower in the PVD than in meat-based diets and CTR (Fig. 3f), while urinary DHN-NA (Fig. 3g) and 8-isoPGF_{2 α} (Fig. 3h) were lower in the PVD than in the MBD and MBDT groups, with the latter group showing an appreciable but nonsignifcant diference only for DHN-NA. Faecal TBARS were higher in the three experimental diets compared to CTR (Fig. 3e). Faecal water (FW) of PIRC MBD-fed rats was slightly more cytotoxic than CTR and PVD-fed counterparts (Supplementary Fig. S2d).

The intestinal microbiota as a determinant of diet‑related CRC risk

To establish a direct link between gut microbiota profles (including taxonomic and metabolic ones) and their impact on colon carcinogenesis, we performed a faecal transplant from PIRC rats fed the above-mentioned diets into GF rats, subsequently induced with AOM and then fed CTR diet for 3 months (Fig. $4a$). The use of the control diet following AOM induction was chosen to understand how much the microbiota, modulated by the diet in the PIRC model, carried with it the "memory" of potential promoter of colon carcinogenesis.

Strikingly, at the end of this period of 3 months, rats transplanted with faeces from the MBD group exhibited a signifcantly higher number of preneoplastic lesions (MDF) compared to rats transplanted with faeces from the other dietary groups (Fig. 4b); ACF determination in these animals confrmed these results (Supplementary Fig. S2e, f).

Faecal microbiota analysis in diferent dietary groups across three experimental models (AOM, PIRC, and FMT)

We frst compared the faecal microbiota profles in PIRC and AOM models, regardless of dietary groups, at the beginning of the experiments (T0), fnding signifcant diferences in the bacterial diversity (*β*-diversity) between the two animal models, in accordance with Vitali et al. (2022) [23] (Supplementary Figure S5). Faecal bacterial composition was then evaluated at the beginning (T0) and at the end (T3) of the study, across the AOM, PIRC, and FMT experimental models. *β*-diversity analysis, employing PCoA based on Bray–Curtis distance, indicated signifcant infuences of dietary regimen (Supplementary Table S4). The PIRC model exhibited comparatively less pronounced efects in terms of signifcance and explained variance, compared to the AOM model (Fig. 5a, b, c). When examining T0 and T3 data separately (Fig. 5d, e and Supplementary Table S5), PIRC and AOM models showed initial overlap of samples at T0, confrming microbiota homogeneity. After 3 months of diferent diets (T3), signifcant alterations in bacterial composition

Fig. 3 Lipid peroxidation and oxidative-stress biomarkers in PIRC and AOM models. Markers of lipid peroxidation and oxidative stress were evaluated in PIRC model (**a**, **b**, **c**, **d**) and AOM model (**e**, **f**, **g**, **h**). **a**, **e** TBARS in FW (µM eq malondialdehyde, MDA) for PIRC and AOM models, respectively. **b**, **f** HNE in FW (pg/µl) for PIRC and AOM models, respectively. **c**, **g** Urinary DHN-MA (ng/24-h urine) for PIRC and AOM models, respectively. **d**, **h** Urinary 8-isoPGF_{2a} (ng/24-h urine) for PIRC and AOM models, respectively. Additional details regarding biomarkers description and sample size are reported in the "Methods" section. Barplots illustrate marker levels for each diet group (colour coded). Group comparisons were assessed using the Tukey HSD test, and signifcant comparisons are indicated with asterisks (**p*<0.05; ***p*<0.01; ****p*<0.001; *****p*<0.0001). Standard errors for each barplot are represented with error bars. Colour legend as in Fig. 2

Fig. 4 Colon tumorigenesis in faecal microbiota transplantation (FMT) experiment. **a** Overview of FMT experiment description. The time points of faecal sampling are reported as follows: T0 (3 weeks after the inoculum with PIRC rat faeces), T1 (2 weeks after AOM injection), and T3 (12 weeks after T1). Coloured diamonds in the **a** represent the faecal samples, obtained from each dietary group of PIRC rats (coloured circles in Fig. 1a), which were used for the faecal microbiota transplantation (FMT). The light blue rectangle in the timeline represents the CTR diet administered to the animals transplanted with PIRC faeces. **b** Number of MDF/colon in the rats transplanted with PIRC faeces from the four diferent groups (sample size is reported in the " Methods" section). Statistical signifcance is denoted as follows: **p*<0.05; ***p*<0.01; ****p*<0.001, determined by ANOVA followed by Tukey's test. Standard errors in each barplot are represented with error bars

Fig. 5 Bacterial diversity among dietary groups in PIRC and AOM models. **a** Principal coordinates analysis (PCoA) based on Bray–Curtis distance matrix for both PIRC and AOM models, illustrating sample distribution according to diferent dietary treatments (represented by colours) and time points (represented by shapes, as indicated by the legend at the bottom of each panel). **b** Barplot displaying explained variance (R^2) values from adonis PERMANOVA was calculated based on the factors in the multidimensional analysis (**a**). Signifcant efects associated with each variable (factor) are marked with asterisks (**p*<0.05; ***p*<0.01; ****p*<0.001) and displayed using a grey colour scale. **c** Explained variance (*R*²) from adonis PERMANOVA calculated based on the diet variable only. The datasets are split by the time points at the beginning and end of the treatments (T0 and T3) for both PIRC and AOM models. Signifcant efects linked to the diet variable are indicated by asterisks (****p*<0.001). **d**, **e** Principal coordinates analysis (PCoA) based on Bray–Curtis distance matrix demonstrating sample distribution based on the diet variable only (represented by colours). The datasets are separated by time points T0 and T3, as indicated at the top of each panel, for both PIRC (**d**) and AOM (**e**) models. **f** Significant pairs and their related variance explained (R^2) values from pairwise adonis PERMANOVA are reported for each group pair according to the model and time. Explained variance (R^2) levels are depicted using a blue-red colour gradient, with significant contrasts highlighted by asterisks (ns, *p*>0.05; **p*<0.05; ***p*<0.01)

emerged, revealing diet-related clusters in the multidimensional distribution in both models. Pairwise adonis PERMANOVA analysis showed signifcant diet-based group diferences, except for MBD and MBDT in the PIRC model (Fig. 5f, Supplementary Table S6). Explained variance in cross-comparisons highlighted more pronounced diet efects in the AOM than in the PIRC model (colour gradient in Fig. 5f).

In the FMT experiment, *β*-diversity analysis using PCoA (Bray–Curtis distance) distinctly exhibited separation among sample groups at different time points (T0, T1, T3) based on the dietary group of the faecal inoculum donors (Fig. 6a). This separation was confirmed by adonis PERMANOVA, highlighting significant effects and explained variance (Fig. 6b, Supplementary Table S4).

When analysing the dataset across the three sampling times, a signifcant distinction among the FMT groups emerged (Fig. 6d, Supplementary Table S5). Pairwise adonis PERMANOVA tests confrmed this evident distinction (Fig. 6e, Supplementary Table S6), revealing signifcant diferences associated with the faecal donor's diet. While no clear diferences between the MBD and MBDT groups were observed at T0 and T1, they became evident at T3, suggesting a noteworthy shift in the bacterial community over the 3-month period.

The diets and FMT treatment also produced variations in *α*-diversity metrics as detailed in Supplementary Fig. S6, Supplementary Table S7 and Supplementary Table S8. The log-likelihood ratio test (LRT of DESeq2) was performed to examine diferences in bacterial amplicon sequence variants (ASVs) among experimental groups across the AOM, PIRC, and FMT models (ASVs selected by LRT for each model were resumed in Supplementary Table S9). Hierarchical clustering, performed on the variance-stabilised transformed (VST) abundances of signifcant ASVs, revealed distinct clusters corresponding to diferent diets in both the AOM and PIRC models (Supplementary Fig. S7a, b). The MBD and MBDT groups exhibited similar ASVs abundance distributions in both PIRC and AOM models, notably forming a "meatbased diet" cluster. In contrast, in the FMT experiment, a noticeable separation between the MBD and MBDT groups emerged (Supplementary Fig. S7c).

Additionally, we conducted LEfSe analysis to identify taxonomic markers associated with each group (*LDA*>3). Across the AOM, PIRC, and FMT models, a total of 83, 46, and 91 distinct taxonomic markers were identifed, respectively (Supplementary Fig. S8). While each model showcased its unique taxonomic signature, shared taxonomic marker-group associations were observed, indicating "taxonomic convergence" (Fig. 7a). Notably, 17 bacterial markers were identifed across at least two of the three models exclusively, associated with the same diet/FMT groups (Fig. 7a). For instance, *Faecalibaculum*, *Romboutsia*, and *Hungatella* were associated with the CTR. The MBDT group had *Acetanaerobacterium* and *Eubacterium fssicatena* group as exclusive markers, while the MBD group had none. Interestingly, the PVD group exhibited the most numerous taxonomic markers, including *Prevotellaceae NK3B31* group, *Lachnospiraceae* *NK4A136 group*, *Lachnospiraceae UCG-001*, *Papillibacter*, *Paraprevotella*, *Enterorhabdus*, and *Parvibacter*, representing over 50% of the shared markers.

Taxonomic changes induced by the diferent diets lead to distinctive metabolic profles in faeces

To comprehend the metabolites generated by bacterial communities in the specifc context, metabolomic analysis was conducted on faecal samples, identifying specifc metabolites associated with the four diets in the three diferent models.

LC–MS/MS untargeted screening of polar metabolites (annotation levels 1 and 2a, see the " Methods" section) revealed distinct molecular efects infuenced by the different diets. In the AOM model (Supplementary Fig. S9), the *x*-axis dendrogram distinguished the CTR diet from the two meat-based diets. Notably, PVD was characterised by blue-labelled polyphenols and polyphenol metabolites, which were positioned in the lower-left corner of the *y*-axis dendrogram. In PIRC rats (Supplementary Fig. S10), no specifc dietary clustering was observed, despite a higher number of identifed compounds. Both the metabolite and diet dendrograms exhibited puzzling profles.

In the FMT model (Supplementary Fig. S11), the metabolite pattern outlined a clear clustering between MBD+MBDT and CTR+PVD groups, highlighting the impact of meat on microbial metabolites, regardless of tocopherol presence. MBD promoted acetylation and methylation, particularly in N- and O-acetylated amino acids and 5-methylcytosine.

Furthermore, untargeted LC–MS/MS data were analysed through discriminant analysis and volcano plot. In the AOM model, a prevalence of polyphenols was observed in PVD, while MBD and MBDT diets exhibited signifcantly higher concentrations of tryptophan metabolites, exemplifed by 3-indole acetic acid and kynurenic acid responses (Supplementary Fig. S12).

In the PIRC model, hypoxanthine, inosine, and xanthosine were the key markers distinguishing PVD and CTR from MBD and MBDT (Supplementary Fig. S13). Interestingly, the diferential analysis between PVD and MBD showed a signifcant increase of bile acids (deoxycholic acid, hyodeoxycholic acid, hyocholic acid, and muricholic acid), as well as carnitine and butylcarnitine, in MBD compared to PVD (Supplementary Fig. S13c). Similar diferences were observed when comparing PVD with MBDT, with an increase of deoxycholic acid, hyodeoxycholic acid, carnitine, and its derivatives in MBDT (Supplementary Fig. S13d).

In the FMT model, we identifed specifc PVD biomarkers such as 4-coumaric acid, salicylic acid and histidinol, representing primary markers of plant-based

Fig. 6 Bacterial diversity among FMT groups. **a** Principal coordinates analysis (PCoA) based on the Bray–Curtis distance matrix reveals the distribution of samples according to the diet of faecal inoculum donors (indicated by colour patterns) and time points (indicated by shape patterns, as shown below the panel). **b** Bar plot displays explained variance (R^2) values from adonis PERMANOVA, calculated based on the variables (factors) presented in the multidimensional analysis (**a**). Signifcant efects associated with each factor are marked with asterisks (ns, *p*>0.05; ***p*<0.01; ****p*<0.001), and the factors are represented using a grey colour scale. **c** Overview of explained variance (*R*²) values from adonis PERMANOVA, calculated based on the diet FMT group variable only. The datasets were categorised according to the time points after 3, 5, and 17 weeks from microbial inoculation (referred to as T0, T1, and T3, respectively). Signifcant efects linked to the FMT group factor are denoted by asterisks (****p*<0.001). **d**, **e** Principal coordinates analysis (PCoA) based on the Bray–Curtis distance matrix illustrates the sample distribution associated with the FMT group variable only (indicated by the colour pattern). The datasets were divided according to the time points (T0, T1, and T3), as indicated at the top of each panel. Significant pairs and their corresponding variance explained (*R*²) from pairwise adonis PERMANOVA are provided for each group contrast according to each time point, as mentioned in **d** and **e**. Explained variance (*R*²) levels are depicted using a blue-red colour gradient, with signifcant diferences marked by asterisks (ns, *p*>0.05; **p*<0.05; ***p*<0.01)

products (Supplementary Fig. S14). Comparisons of PVD with MBD and MBDT revealed a notable increase of histidinol and 4-imidazoleacrylic acid (a marker of histidine deamination) in the former diet, along with tryptophan metabolites like 5-hydroxyindole acetic acid, tryptamine, and kynurenic acid in PVD compared

to the two meat-based diets (Supplementary Fig. S14c, d).

Finally, targeted analytical methods were used to quantitatively determine diferences in bile acids (conjugated and nonconjugated bile acids: CBAs and NCBAs, respectively), amino acids, and short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs) using authentic reference standards. Concentration diferences in metabolites were assessed through the Kruskal–Wallis test (Fig. 7b), and metabolites showing signifcant diferences among groups were further analysed through pairwise comparisons (Supplementary Figs. S15, 16, 17).

In the AOM model, 35 compounds signifcantly varied among diferent dietary groups (Supplementary Fig. S15). Bile acids such as muricholic acids (in ω - and α -form), hyodeoxycholic acid, and deoxycholic acid were present. The most abundant was ω -muricholic acid, a secondary bile acid signifcantly higher in the MBD group than in MBDT and PVD counterparts. *α*-muricholic was also higher in MBD, while hyodeoxycholic and deoxycholic acids were signifcantly lower in CTR. Considering the sum of all NCBAs, a signifcant comparison was evident for the MBD group compared to the MBDT, CTR, and PVD groups (Fig. 7c). CBAs levels were similar among groups (Fig. 7c). Tocopherol acetate was exclusively detected in MBDT, likely due to tocopherol metabolism, with its antioxidant properties preventing degradation or promoting the accumulation of alanine and lactic acid in faeces.

In the PIRC model, 43 compounds exhibited signifcant variations among the diferent diets (Supplementary Fig. S16). Notably, bile acids (*α*- and *β*-muricholic acids, deoxycholic acid, deoxycholic acid isomers, glycodeoxycholic acid, Z-ketolithocholic acid, and its isomers) as well as amino acids and their derivatives (glutamic acid, glycine, isoleucine, propionic acid, 2-methyl-propanoic acid, and butanoic acid) displayed higher concentrations in the MBD and MBDT groups compared to the PVD one. Considering the sum of all nonconjugated BAs (NCBAs), the MBD and MBDT groups showed a signifcantly higher level of total NCBAs compared to the CTR and PVD groups, while no signifcant diferences were evident for conjugated bile acids (CBAs) (Fig. 7c). CBAs levels were similar among diferent groups.

In the FMT model, only 16 compounds showed signifcant diferences among sample groups (Supplementary Fig. S17). *β*-muricholic was the most abundant bile acid, with higher levels in rats transplanted with MBD- and MBDT-derived faeces than in those transplanted with the PVD- or CTR-related counterparts. No signifcant diferences in total NCBAs were present, while total CBAs levels were higher in PVD than in the MBDT group (Fig. 7c). In the PVD-derived group, a notable decrease in propionic acid and pentanoic acid was observed with respect to the corresponding MBD and MBDT groups and a higher 5-aminovaleric acid concentration compared to all other groups.

We evaluated correlations of total NCBAs and CBAs levels with the four bacterial genera belonging to the Lachnospiraceae and Prevotellaceae families, selected by the PVD diet in at least two of the models (Fig. 7a), i.e. *Lachnospiraceae NK4A136 group*, *Lachnospiraceae UCG-001*, *Paraprevotella*, and *Prevotellaceae NK3B31 group*. In the PIRC model, negative correlations were evident between NCBAs level and both *Lachnospiraceae NK4A136* group and *Lachnospiraceae UCG-001* genera, while positive correlations were evident between CBAs and *Lachnospiraceae UCG-001* and *Paraprevotella*

Fig. 7 Specifc taxonomic and metabolic profles associated with diferent dietary treatments in PIRC, AOM, and FMT models. **a** Barplot outlines the taxonomic markers associated with each group, identifed through LEfSe analysis, and detected exclusively in at least two diferent experimental models within the entire dataset. Linear discriminant analysis (LDA) scores are presented on the *x*-axis, while the taxonomic assignments of markers are indicated on the *y*-axis. Group-related taxonomic markers are colour coded as per the legend. **b** A heatmap depicting the distribution of metabolite concentrations detected using targeted quantitative analysis in PIRC, AOM, and FMT models. The heatmap illustrates metabolite concentrations that exhibit signifcant changes among sample groups (Kruskal–Wallis test, *p*<0.05). Metabolite concentrations are reported with a log+1 transformation and are indicated by a colour gradient. **c** Barplot reports the total amount, expressed as µmol/L, of nonconjugated bile acids (NCBAs) and conjugated bile acids (CBAs), signifcantly diferent within dietary groups (**b**), in the three animal models. Diferences between groups are tested using Tukey HSD test, and signifcant comparisons are highlighted using asterisks (**p*<0.05; ***p*<0.01; ****p*<0.001). **d** Heatmap reports correlations between NCBAs or CBAs and the relative abundance of four representative bacterial genus selected by PVD in at least two different animal models (a). Pearson's correlation coefficient is reported with colour gradient, while significant correlations are reported using asterisks (*, from $p < 0.05$ to $p < 0.001$). **e** Scatter plots report the correlation trend between specific NCBA or CBA and representative bacterial genera within the four genera tested in **d**. The *y*-axis reports metabolite concentration (µmol/L), while *x*-axis reports the logarithm of bacterial relative abundance. Animal model and Pearson's coefficients are reported above each panel. Significant correlations are reported using asterisks (**p*<0.05; ***p*<0.01; ****p*<0.001), and bacterial genera are reported using the following abbreviations, Lach-NK4A136-group, *Lachnospiraceae NK4A136 group*; Lach-UCG-001, *Lachnospiraceae UCG-001*; Prev.-NK3B31-group, *Prevotellaceae NK3B31 group*. Metabolites in **e** are reported using the following abbreviations: *α*-MurAc, *α*-muricholic acid; *β*-MurAc, *β*-muricholic acid; T-CholAc, taurocholic acid; T-DeCholAc, taurodeoxycholic acid; T-UrDeCholAc, tauroursodeoxycholic acid; T-α-MurAc, tauro-α-muricholic acid

⁽See fgure on next page.)

Fig. 7 (See legend on previous page.)

genera (Fig. 7d). In the FMT model, positive correlations between CBAs and the *Lachnospiraceae NK4A136 group* and *Prevotellaceae NK3B31 group* genera were evident (Fig. 7d). The specific correlations of the individual compounds within the NCBAs and CBAs categories were reported in Fig. 7e.

We also used environmental ftting regression analysis to explore metabolite-bacterial profle relationships. In the AOM model, signifcant correlations (refer to environmental ftting analysis) were found between bacterial diversity and 23 metabolites, while the FMT model showed one such correlation with 2 compounds

(Supplementary Fig. S18). No signifcant correlations were found in the PIRC model.

Discussion

Our research analysed how diferent diets, each linked with difering risks of colorectal cancer, infuence both colon cancer development and the gut microbiota. Our aim was to uncover a direct connection between dietinfuenced microbiota and cancer susceptibility. What we found was striking: the pesco-vegetarian (PVD) diet exhibited a notably diminished potential for tumour formation compared to the other dietary groups in all three experimental models.

In PIRC rats, the PVD signifcantly reduced spontaneous tumorigenesis; in the parallel AOM model, the precancerous lesions MDF in the PVD and CTR groups exhibited fewer crypts, compared to the meat-based diet (MBD) group, which were indicative of a lower growth of these lesions with the PVD. These results suggested a protective efect of PVD against CRC and, in the AOM model, a potential tumour-promoting efect of MBD, not evident in the PIRC rats. The disparity between the two models might be explained by the *Apc* mutation in PIRC rats, which is a potent driver of tumorigenesis that could mask the promotion efect of MBD [15, 17]. Conversely, in the AOM model, with a weaker stimulus for tumorigenesis, the promoting efect of MBD may be more apparent, alongside the lower tumorigenesis in the PVD group.

Importantly, when the faeces of PIRC rats fed the four diferent diets were transplanted into GF animals which were subsequently initiated with AOM, those receiving the MBD-associated faeces developed more preneoplastic lesions than those receiving the PVD-associated faeces, even more than those receiving the MBDT-associated faeces, demonstrating the ability of gut microbiota to convey risk factors linked to the diet. These findings also confrmed the PVD diet's protective role and suggest a protective efect of *α*-tocopherol, which was not evident in the PIRC carcinogenesis experiment, possibly due to the strong efect of *Apc* mutation, as discussed above.

In PIRC rats fed PVD, apoptosis levels were higher than in the MBD group, suggesting a mechanism like that associated with the cancer preventive efect of fish-derived ω 3-lipids [33]. Although the fish we fed to our rats (codfsh) is not particularly high in lipids, we could speculate that the observed efects may be partly due to the surplus of ω3-lipids content. Infammation potentially promotes carcinogenesis [34], and in AOM rats fed PVD, CD68 expression and myeloperoxidase activity were lower compared to the MBD counterpart. Moreover, lower levels of IL-6 and TNF-α in the colon of PIRC rats fed PVD were also indicative of a mild anti-infammatory efect, like fsh oils [9]. Furthermore, PVD maintained a healthy intestinal permeability, acting as a protective barrier against infammatory triggers [34, 35]. Regarding lipid peroxidation and oxidative stress-related biomarkers, in both experimental models, PVD-fed rats showed lower values than those experiencing MBD diets, potentially contributing to PVD protective efect against carcinogenesis, consistent with prior fndings [6, 7, 36].

Our previous studies [5, 7, 37] indicated that *α*-tocopherol added during the pork meat curing process inhibits rat colon carcinogenesis and normalises urinary DHN-MA. Consistent with these previous reports, in the AOM model fed MBDT, urinary DHN-MA levels were slightly lower than in the MBD group. In parallel, faecal TBARS and HNE concentrations, markers of lipid peroxidation, were slightly but not signifcantly lower in the MBDT group than that in the MBD one. Along with the lower carcinogenicity of MBDT-derived faeces observed in the FMT experiments, these fndings suggested a protective efect of *α*-tocopherol, which might require higher doses for a more pronounced efect. Moreover, in our study, *α*-tocopherol was added directly to the powdered component of the diet, and not during the curing process.

Our study highlighted the signifcant impact of the diet on microbial composition, which was evident after 3-month treatments across all three models (AOM, PIRC, and FMT). During this period, substantial changes in microbiota composition occurred, highlighting the gut microbiota's adaptability and responsiveness to dietary changes and confrming its plasticity, as previously demonstrated [38]. At 3 months, *α*-diversity measures revealed signifcant diferences, with the PVD group in the AOM model displaying higher diversity than the MBD/MBDT groups, a result aligning with previous studies in PIRC rats on a spinach-rich diet [39] and with evidence on healthy subjects consuming fish [13].

β-diversity analysis also demonstrated substantial dietdriven microbial composition shifts, with a stronger impact seen in the AOM model, particularly after 3 months. Note that we also observed signifcant diferences in *β*-diversity between the AOM and PIRC models at the beginning of the experiment, i.e. at time T0, highlighting the diferent microbiota of these two diferent animal models as previously reported $[23]$. Therefore, our observation that, despite the diferent structure of the starting microbiota, the dietary treatments determine a selection of specifc bacterial communities which is shared across diferent models points out to the central efect of diet in selecting specifc communities and corroborates the protective efect of PVD diet in the three animal models.

In the FMT study, distinct patterns in bacterial *β*-diversity emerged at various time points (T0, T1, T3) according to the faecal donor's diet. Notably, while no signifcant distinctions were found between the MBD and MBDT groups at T0 and T1, a signifcant separation between these two groups was observed after 3 months (T3) and was associated with diferential CRC risk. Accordingly, in the FMT experiment, not only the PVDderived faeces but also those derived from the MBDT group were able to reduce the number of preneoplastic lesions, an efect in contrast with the negative impact on colon tumorigenesis observed with the MBD-derived faeces.

LEfSe analysis revealed unique taxonomic profles for each dietary group. Shared markers linked CTR to *Faecalibaculum*, *Romboutsia*, and *Hungatella*, while *Acetanaerobacterium* and *Eubacterium fssicatena group* were associated with the MBDT group. The PVD group across all models displayed signifcant markers, including *Prevotellaceae NK3B31 group*, *Lachnospiraceae NK4A136 group*, *Lachnospiraceae UCG-001*, *Papillibacter*, *Paraprevotella*, *Enterorhabdus*, and *Parvibacter*. Plant-based diets have already been associated with increased *Prevotellaceae NK3B31 group*, known for its positive efects on metabolism and growth [40, 41]. A previous study in PIRC rats harbouring diferent microbiota [42] also showed an association between relative abundance of *Prevotella copri* and decreased tumour burden. *Lachnospiraceae NK4A136 group*, a potential probiotic genus [43], is reduced in mice fed red meat which, in line with our report, showed an impairment in colon barrier integrity and more infammation [44]. In addition, *Lachnospiraceae NK4A136 group* has been recently shown to be increased by plant lipids [45]. *Lachnospiraceae UCG-001* was previously associated with carbohydrate metabolism and fermentation [46]. *Papillibacter* and *Paraprevotella*, both PVD markers, are known butyrate producers and have been proposed as "microbiomarkers" of intestinal health in patients with infammatory bowel diseases [47]. *Enterorhabdus*, also more prevalent in PVD-fed animals, was shown to metabolise polyphenols, including ellagic acid and isofavones [48] and dietary lignin [49]. *Parvibacter* has also been recently suggested to be beneficial [50] since its presence, in mice fed polysaccharides, is associated with improved insulin resistance, reduced infammation, and amelioration of the lipid profle.

Regarding the faecal metabolites, in all three models, nonconjugated bile acids (NCBAs) were the signifcantly overrepresented metabolic class. Epidemiological and experimental studies have shown a strong link between faecal BAs and an elevated risk of CRC [34, 51]. BAs can promote tumorigenesis by acting as surfactants on the mucosa, leading to increased cell turnover and proliferation [52, 53]. Red meat or processed meat-based diets, rich in lipids, can stimulate BAs secretion in the intestine, potentially increasing CRC risk [2]. Notably, fish ω -3 fatty acids do not impact luminal concentrations of secondary bile acids, in contrast to saturated and ω -6 fatty acids [9]. It is also interesting to note that in a study performed in *Apc*-mutated mice fed deoxycholic acid [54], the faecal microbiota transplant to the recipient group of *Apc*-mutated mice increased intestinal carcinogenesis, according to our study. Therefore, the increased faecal BAs, in the MBD diet, especially secondary BAs, may contribute to the carcinogenic outcome observed in our study. Interestingly, considering the sum of all NCBAs, in both the PIRC and the AOM models, we observed a signifcantly higher level in the MBD group than in the CTR and PVD, while no signifcant diferences were evident for CBAs whose level, as expected, was much lower respect NCBAs. At least in the PIRC model a signifcant inverse correlation between several bacterial genera belonging to the Lachnospiraceae and Prevotellaceae families (representative of the PVD group) and total NCBAs was present, suggesting a potential mechanism of action for the protective efect of these genera against CRC.

Our data demonstrate the importance of associating faecal metabolic changes with taxonomic changes in the microbiota in order to elucidate the various CRC biomarkers across diferent dietary groups. It was therefore particularly interesting to identify diet-related patterns of microbiota-metabolite association within the natural variability inherent to the diferent models. In this regard, we observed crucial evidence essential for understanding the link between diet, microbiota, metabolic variations, and modulation of CRC risk. As mentioned earlier, regardless of the animal model considered, the PVD selected four bacterial genera (*Prevotellaceae NK3B31 group*, *Lachnospiraceae NK4A136 group*, *Papillibacter*, and *Lachnospiraceae UCG-001*), thus identifed as biomarkers in all three models. Intervention trials highlighted the Mediterranean diet's role in selecting the genus *Lachnospiraceae NK4A136 group*, associating it with a reduction in metabolic risk markers and a decrease in bile acid levels in subjects with metabolic syndrome [55]. In PIRC rats, we observed a negative correlation between the two genera *Lachnospiraceae NK4A136 group* and *Lachnospiraceae UCG-001* with two diferent NCBAs, *α*-muricholic acid and *β*-muricholic acid. Interestingly, in the FMT experiment, although the direct taxa-metabolite correlation was no longer evident, *β*-muricholic acid (NCBA) levels were signifcantly higher in the two MB groups (MBD and MBDT) compared to the CTR and PVD groups. Moreover, in the FMT rats, as reported in PIRC rats, we observed signifcantly higher levels

of *β*-muricholic acid and 3-oxocholic acid in the MBD group. Additionally, in the FMT rats, a distinct efect was observed, characterised by the positive correlations between *Prevotellaceae NK3B31 group*, *Lachnospiraceae NK4A136 group*, and CBAs. However, the very lower concentration of CBAs requires cautious interpretation to avoid misinterpretations.

While BAs represented the key metabolic class signifcantly over-represented in the MBD-fed rats belonging to all the animal models here investigated, polar and semipolar metabolites showed specifc metabolic patterns, especially in the AOM and FMT models. Furthermore, the accumulation of faecal polyphenols, such as protocatechuic acid, gentisic acid, 4-vinylphenol, and 4-coumaric acid in the PVD group, was coherent with the intake of vegetable products and fbres, consistent with faecal comparative metabolomic studies on vegans and omnivores [56]. We hypothesised an interconnection between the production of these metabolites, infammation status infuenced by antioxidant compounds and the prevalence of specifc microbiota genera as *Prevotellaceae NK3B31 group*, *Lachnospiraceae NK4A136 group*, and *Papillibacter*, which may be modulated by polyphenols in PVD [57].

Conversely, we also observed that faecal polyphenol concentration was lower in the MBD, MBDT, and CTR groups, leading to metabolite production shifts towards amino acid metabolites, simple sugars, nucleic acids, purine nucleosides, carnitine, and their acetylated and methylated derivatives [58]. In PIRC and FMT models, 5-methylcytosine was under-represented in PVD when compared to MB diets. This metabolite has been studied as a marker of the DNA methylation, a process altered during colorectal carcinogenesis [59]. Interestingly, a report studying faecal metabolites and microbiota in healthy elderly subjects found that 5-methylcytosine, together with other metabolites associated with agerelated diseases, was enriched in elderly type gut microbiota and characterised by increased intestinal permeability [60], suggesting, in agreement with our data, that low levels of this metabolite are associated with a lower CRC risk. Specifc compounds like hypoxanthine, inosine (hypoxanthosine), and xanthosine markedly differentiated PVD and CTR from MBD and MBDT, as in the case of the PIRC model. Furthermore, we observed the prevalence of compounds like methionine, tryptophan, and histidine metabolites in CTR and MBD, consistent with observations in humans consuming bovine meat [61]. When comparing the metabolite profles in AOM and FMT, we spotted similarities in the increase of indole ring accumulation in the corresponding MBD groups, with higher concentration of indole-3-acetic acid, kynurenine, and indole 3-lactic acid, which suggested an enrichment of compounds formed in tryptophan degradation pathway. We also document that carnitines and their acetylated and methylated forms were over-represented in the MBD group compared to the PVD one, a result that was evident also in the PIRC model. Carnitine, like other compounds present in red meat, can be converted by gut microbiota into trimethylamine which, once absorbed, is transformed into trimethylamine-Noxide (TMAO) in the liver [62, 63]. TMAO concentration, especially in the serum, has already been correlated with CRC risk, but preformed TMAO is also present in seafood and fish $[64]$, which may explain why in the AOM model TMAO was over-represented in the PVD group. On the contrary, in the FMT experiment, TMAO had an opposite trend, being highly represented in the MBD- and MBDT-associated groups compared to those derived from PVD faeces.

Conclusions

Our results highlight, for the frst time, a strong protective efect on colon tumorigenesis of a fsh- and spinach-based diet and confrmed the potential carcinogenicity of a red and processed meat-based diet, efects associated with variation in specifc CRC biomarkers as apoptosis, infammation, intestinal permeability, and oxidative stress. Importantly, the faecal microbiota transplant experiment clearly establishes a causal role of diet-shaped microbiota in CRC risk, demonstrating the strong protective efect of the gut microbiota associated with PVD, and the harm of that associated with MBD. The negative correlation between NCBAs and bacterial genera belonging to the Lachnospiraceae and Prevotellaceae families, abundant in the PVD diet, suggests a connection between changes in bacterial and metabolic profles. Although previous studies have reported that risk factors for colon cancer may be transmitted by the faecal microbiome [54, 65], this is the frst study demonstrating that diet-associated cancer risk can be transmitted through faeces. This underscores the significance of faecal microbiota and its metabolites in conveying dietrelated risk factors for colon cancer. Further research should aim to understand the molecular mechanisms and explore dietary interventions to prevent colon cancer in high-risk individuals.

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1186/s40168-024-01900-2) [org/10.1186/s40168-024-01900-2](https://doi.org/10.1186/s40168-024-01900-2).

Supplementary Material 1: Supplementary Methods. Supplementary Table S1. Composition of the four experimental diets. Supplementary Table S2. Nutritional values of beef sirloin, codfsh fllets, cooked ham and freeze dried spinach used in the experiments (g/100g) as purchased from local suppliers. Figures are g/100 g of row product. Supplementary

Table S3. Primer sequences used for PCR amplifcation and predicted product sizes. Abbreviation used: Bax, Bcl-2 Associated X-protein; Bcl2, B-cell lymphoma 2; Birc5, Baculoviral IAP Repeat Containing 5; Bok, BCL2 family apoptosis regulator BOK; Cox2, cyclooxygenase 2; iNOS, inducible nitric oxide synthase; IL1β, interleukin 1β; IL6, interleukin 6. Supplementary Fig. S1. Sequencing depth among diferent experimental models. a, Violin plot shows the distribution between the total number of reads and related ASVs after quality fltering for each sample among the three experimental models. The total number of ASVs in each sample are represented following the colour gradient in the legend. Blue dashed intercept on the y-axis represented the median number of reads (39,999). b, Number of ASVs (species richness) detected as a function of sequencing depth (sample size) within sample groups (colour pattern) among diferent experimental models. Supplementary Results. Supplementary Fig. S2. Cancer-related biomarkers. a, Expression of the marker of apoptosis and DNA damage γH2AX in PIRC tumours (*n*=8 in CTR, MBDT and PVD, *n*=12 in MBD), b, normal mucosa (*n*=10 in CTR, *n*=11 in MBD and PVD and *n*=8 in MBDT). The expression was signifcantly higher in the tumours of the PVD group compared to the MBD one, while no signifcant diferences were observed in normal mucosa. c, Dcamkl1 expression in PIRC normal mucosa (*n*=9 in CTR and MBD, *n*=10 in MBDT and PVD). d, Viability of "Co" colon epithelial cells exposed to faecal water from PIRC rats of diferent dietary groups (*n*=9 in CTR and MBDT, *n*=12 in MBD, and PVD). Group comparisons were assessed using the Tukey HSD test, and signifcant comparisons are denoted with asterisks (*, *p*<0.05). Standard errors for each barplot are represented with error bars. e, f, Number of ACF and AC/colon in Germ Free animals transplanted with PIRC faeces, respectively (*n*=10 in CTR, MBDT and PVD and *n*=8 in MBD group). Group comparisons were assessed using the Tukey HSD test, and signifcant comparisons are indicated by asterisks (*, *p*< 0.05). Standard errors for each barplot are represented by error bars. A slight decrease in the number of ACF in rats transplanted with PVD and MBDT faeces was observed with respect to those transplanted with CTR or MBD faeces (ANOVA, *p*=0.053). When considering the total number of aberrant crypts (AC)/colon, the group receiving PVD faeces showed signifcantly fewer aberrant crypts compared to those receiving MBD faeces. The multiplicity of ACF was not afected by the transplantation group (data not shown). Similarly, the multiplicity of MDF (number of crypts forming each MDF) was not significantly different among groups (crypts/MDF were 5.9±1.1, 5.2±0.3, 4.2±0.7, and 3.9±0.4 in CTR, MBD, MBDT, and PVD groups, respectively, means ± SE). Supplementary Fig. S3. In PIRC rats, gene expression in normal mucosa (NM) and tumours (TUM) is modulated by diferent diets. Panels a-d, expression of genes involved in apoptosis/ survival in the normal mucosa and tumours of PIRC rats. a, Bcl2 normal mucosa and tumours (*n*=6 in CTR, *n*= 7 in all the other groups). b, Bax normal mucosa and tumours (*n*=7 in all the groups). c, Birc5 normal mucosa and tumours (*n*=6 in CTR, *n*= 7 in all the other groups). d, Bok normal mucosa (*n*=6 in all the groups) and tumours (*n*=5 in PVD, *n*=6 in all the other groups). For Bcl2, Bax, Birc5, expression was higher in the tumours compared with the normal mucosa, regarding Bok (panel d), a pro-apoptotic gene under Wnt-signalling control [20] we found a significant effect of the diet treatment, with PVD samples showing slightly lower expression values, especially when compared with CTR tumours. Panels e-h, expression of genes involved in the infammatory process (*n*=6/group in normal mucosa and tumours for IL6, IL1β and Cox2; for iNOS, *n*=11 in CTR and *n*=12 in all the other groups); irrespectively from the diet, tumours showed a signifcant over-expression of all these genes compared with the normal mucosa. For IL6, a signifcant efect of dietary treatment was also present (*p*<0.05), with tumours from the CTR group showing higher values than tumours from the MBD group. Standard errors for each barplot are indicated with error bars. Two-way ANOVA *, *p*<0.05; **, *p*<0.001; ****, *p*<0.0001. Supplementary Fig. S4. Infammatory markers in colon mucosa in PIRC and AOM models, and permeability assays. Cytokine levels were measured in PIRC rats (panels a-c), AOM-treated rats (panels d-e), and rats used for permeability experiments (panels f-h). a-c, IL6, TNFα and IL1β in normal mucosa of PIRC rats, respectively (pg/mg of proteins). d-e, IL6 and IL1β in colon mucosa of AOM-treated rats, respectively (pg/mg of proteins). f-h, TNFα, IL6 and IL1β levels, respectively (pg/mg of proteins) in the normal mucosa of rats used in the gut

permeability experiments, respectively. i, Gut permeability (% urinary 51Cr-EDTA) in rats fed diferent diets (*n*=8/group). Additional details regarding biomarkers description and sample size are reported in the Materials and Methods section. Group comparisons were tested using the Tukey HSD test, and signifcant comparisons are indicated with asterisks (*, *p*< 0.05; **, *p*< 0.01). Standard errors for each barplot are represented by error bars. Supplementary Fig. S5. Diferences in β-diversity between PIRC and AOM models at T0. Principal Coordinates Analysis (PCoA) based on Bray-Curtis and Jaccard distance matrices performed between AOM and PIRC models (colour coded). The R-squared value and significance (*** *p*<0.001) from adonis PERMANOVA tested on model variable for both distance indices are reported inside each panel. Supplementary Table S4. Results from adonis PERMANOVA for each experimental model. The results from adonis PERMANOVA calculated using Bray-Curtis distance index are reported for each experimental model (AOM, PIRC and FMT), factor included in the model formula (Factor), degree of freedom (Df), sum of squares (SumOfSqs), variance explained (R-squared), F model (F) and *p*-value (Pr(>F)). The proportion of variance unexplained by the factor considered was reported in the Residual row. Signifcant efects are displayed using asterisks (**, *p* <0.01; ***, *p*<0.001). Supplementary Table S5. Results from adonis PERMANOVA for each experimental model according to diferent time-related dataset. The results from adonis PERMANOVA calculated using Bray-Curtis distance index are calculated for

each dataset splitted according to the time within each animal model (AOM, PIRC and FMT), factor included in the model formula (Factor), degree of freedom (Df), sum of squares (SumOfSqs), variance explained (R-squared), F model (F) and *p*-value (Pr(>F)). The proportion of variance unexplained by the factor considered was reported in the Residual row. Significant effects were displayed using asterisks (***, p <0.001). Supplementary Table S6. Results from pairwise adonis PERMANOVA for each experimental model according to diferent time-related dataset. The results from pairwise adonis PERMANOVA calculated using Bray-Curtis distance index on each time-related dataset within the three animal models. The table reported all factor contrast combinations (Pairs), degree of freedom (Df), sum of squares (SumOfSqs), variance explained (R-squared), F model (F-model), *p*-value and Holm adjusted *p*-value (P-adjust). Signifcant contrasts are highlighted using asterisks (*, *p*<0.05; **, *p*<0.01). Supplementary Fig. S6. α-diversity changes in diet-related groups. To inspect signifcant changes in the α-diversity metrics within the tree experimental models, we considered two diferent α-diversity indices, i.e. the total number of ASVs (also referred as Observed) and the Shannon index; we then assess variations in these indices among the four diet/ diet-related groups at diferent time points. No signifcant diferences were observed at time T0 in both AOM and PIRC datasets (Supplementary Table S7). After pairwise comparisons, signifcant diferences were observed at time T3 in the AOM dataset (panel b, Supplementary Table S8), showing that the PVD group exhibited signifcantly higher values for both diversity indices compared to all the other groups (panel b, Supplementary Table S8). In the PIRC dataset at T3, we observed signifcant changes in the total number of ASVs (panel a, Supplementary Table S7). In the faecal microbiota transplantation experiment (FMT), α-diversity metrics showed signifcant variations in the total number of ASVs at T0 (panel c, Supplementary Table S7). Pairwise comparisons showed no signifcant diferences among the total number of ASVs among PVD, MBD and MBDT groups, while all groups showed a signifcantly higher number of ASVs when compared to CTR group (panel c, Supplementary Table S8). No signifcant diferences were detected at time T1 for both α-diversity indices (Supplementary Table S7). At time T3, signifcant diferences were observed only in the total number of ASVs (Supplementary Table S8). Pairwise comparisons revealed a signifcantly higher number of ASVs at T3 in both MBD and MBDT groups compared to CTR and PVD counterparts (Supplementary Table S8). Box plots report the α-diversity measures (Observed and Shannon index) in the four diferent groups in PIRC (panel a), AOM (panel b) and FMT (panel c) model datasets. Pairwise comparisons are assessed by using Wilcoxon rank sum test (Benjamini-Hochberg adjustment) and signifcant contrast are highlighted using asterisks (*, *p*<0.05; **, *p*<0.01; ***, *p*<0.001; ****, *p*<0.0001). Supplementary Table S7. Variations in α-diversity metrics in the three experimental models. Signifcant diferences in α-diversity (Observed and

Shannon index) variability in each animal model are reported in accordance with the suitable statistical test (see "Bacterial diversity estimation" section for additional details) and time point. Statistically signifcative values are reported (*p*-value) and highlighted by using asterisks (**, *p*<0.01; ***, *p*<0.001). Supplementary Table S8. Pairwise comparisons between α-diversity metrics among diet-related groups. Results from pairwise comparisons among diferent diet-related groups assessed using Wilcoxon rank sum test (Benjamini-Hochberg adjustment) and displayed in Supplementary Fig. S6. The α-diversity measure (Observed and Shannon index), group comparisons (Group 1 and 2), *p*-value, adjusted *p*-value and signifcant contrast (Signifcance) are displayed in the columns. Signifcant contrasts are highlighted using asterisks (*, *p*<0.05; **, *p*<0.01; ***, *p* <0.001; ****, *p*<0.0001). Supplementary Table S9. Results of the likelihood ratio test (LRT). Table reports the results from LRT according to the three experimental model datasets. Columns report the name of ASV tested (asv), the mean overall abundance of the each ASV tested (baseMean), the logarithm of the ratio between two levels of the factor considered, the standard error of the log2FoldChange (lfcSE), the diference in deviance between the reduced model and the full model (stat), *p*-value obtained by comparing stat to a chi-squared distribution (*p* value) and *p*-value after Benjamini–Hochberg adjustment (padj). The analyses are carried out separately for each model, reported in the frst column. From 10th to 16th columns (from domain to species) the taxonomic assignments of each ASV are also reported. Supplementary Fig. S7. Microbiota clustering analysis based on ASV abundances in PIRC, AOM, FMT models. Sequence variants clustering according to diferent dietary treatments in the three animal models. Amplicon sequence variants (ASVs) abundances that signifcantly vary within sample groups (LRT of DESeq2) were grouped based on their mean variance-stabilised abundance. Clustering analysis was conducted separately for the AOM (a), PIRC (b), and FMT (c) models. ASVs abundance values were represented using colour gradients in the legend. Signifcant VST-scaled variants were listed in the heatmap rows, while sample distribution within the clustering was illustrated in the columns. Supplementary Fig. S8. Taxonomic markers in AOM, PIRC and FMT models. Barplots depict signifcantly distinct taxa between sample groups as determined by separate LEfSe analyses in the three animal models. The

x-axis displays the linear discriminant analysis (LDA) score, while the y-axis indicates noteworthy taxonomic markers. Taxonomic markers signifcantly linked to sample groups are represented using a colour scheme specifed in the legend. Supplementary Fig. S9. Metabolites hierarchical clustering analysis in the AOM model. Area counts of analytes with a MSI level 1 or 2a were clustered according to the normalised intensity, spanning from -0.38 (labelled in red) to 8.64 (labelled in blue), representing under-represented and over-represented metabolites, respectively. Red to blue through white heatmap underwent centering and reduction procedures following Euclidean distance and the Ward linkage method. The X axis dendrogram distinguished the CTR diet from the two meat-based diets, and the PVD diet. The PVD diet was characterised by blue-labelled polyphenols, polyphenol metabolites (located in the lower-left corner of the y-axis dendrogram), and amino acid metabolites, such as aminobutyric acid and trimethylamine-N-oxide (TMAO). In the centre of the heatmap, a blue-region highlighted a metabolic pattern associated with the CTR diet, suggesting a link to carbohydrate metabolism. This pattern included two hexose isoforms of glucosamine, polyalcohol like mannitol, and sulphur compounds like isethionic acid (2-hydroxyethane-1-sulfonic acid), methanesulfonic acid and methionine sulfoxide. Both meat-based diets exhibited a noticeable increase in tryptophan metabolites, along with carnitines and acetylated and methylated compounds, including as 3-methylhistidine, acetylcholine, N-acetylornithine, 5-acetyltryptophan and 5-methylcytosine. Supplementary Fig. S10. Metabolites hierarchical clustering analysis in the PIRC model. Area counts of analytes with a MSI level 1 or 2a were clustered based on their normalised intensity, spanning from -0.37 (labelled in red) to 11.66 (labelled in blue). Red to blue through white heatmap underwent centering and reduction procedures following Euclidean distance and the Ward linkage method based on the four diets. Despite the higher number of analytes detected in the PIRC model, diet grouping was not as efective as for the AOM and FMT models with overlapping samples independently of the diet. Supplementary Fig. S11.

Metabolites hierarchical clustering analysis in the FMT model. Area counts of analytes with MSI level 1 or 2a were clustered based on their normalised intensity, ranging from -0.43 (labelled in red) to 8.49 (labelled in blue), indicating under-represented and over-represented metabolites, respectively. Red to blue through white heatmap underwent centering and reduction procedures following Euclidean distance and the Ward linkage method based on the four diferent diets, which clearly discriminated MBD and MBDT from the PVD and CRT intervention. In metabolite clustering, acetylation and methylation were predominant in MBD, with higher response for N- and O- acetylated amino acids and 5-methylcytosine. Supplementary Fig. S12. Diferential analysis of the four diets in the AOM model revealed distinct metabolic associations. Volcano plots depict the compound area counts with MSI level of 1 and 2a in the four dietary regimens through a 1 vs 1 comparison. a, (PVD vs CTR), b, (MBD vs CTR), c, (PVD vs MBD) and d, (PVD vs MBDT). False discovery rate correction was applied through Benjamini-Hochberg post-hoc analysis, and a signifcance level of 0.05 was used. Metabolites signifcantly associated with each diet were marked in red (over-represented analytes with Log2 mean ratio fold change higher than 1 and a Log10 for *p*-value higher than 2 were labelled in red). In panel a, the PVD group exhibited signifcant associations with metabolites, including pipecolinic acid, 2-picolinic acid, ferulic acid, δ-gluconolactone, 4-coumaric acid, pyrogallol, biotin, 4-guanidinobutyric acid, trimethylamine N-oxide, N-acetylmethionine, 4-vinylphenol, gentisic acid, protocatechuic acid, urocanic acid, arginine, γ-aminobutyric acid (GABA), and uridine. In the meat-based diet (panel b) was associated with over-represented metabolites are serine phosphate, 2-deoxycytidine, 4-hydroxyproline, uric acid, N-acetylneuraminic acid, carnosine, cytidine, ribofavin, cytosine, arachidonic acid, acetylmuramic acid, thymidine, betaine, asparagine, N-acetylornithine, indole-3-acetic acid, indole-3-propionic acid, choline, N-acetylglutamic acid, N-acetylmannosamine, adenosine, 5-methyltryptophan, thymine, 3-aminoisobutyric acid, methanesulfonic acid, kynurenic acid, histidine, uracil, cysteine, creatinine, and citrulline. Supplementary Fig. S13. Diferential analysis of the four diets in the PIRC model revealed distinct metabolic associations. Volcano plots illustrate compound area counts with MSI levels of 1 and 2a for the four dietary regimens through a 1 vs 1 comparison. a, (PVD vs CTR), b, (MBD vs CTR), c, (PVD vs MBD) and d, (PVD vs MBDT). False discovery rate correction was applied using Benjamini-Hochberg post-hoc analysis, with a signifcance level of 0.05. Over-represented analytes were marked in red, indicating a Log2 mean ratio fold change higher than 1 and a Log10 *p*-value higher than 2. In the PIRC model, it was observed that the highest number of metabolites, particularly bile acids and carnitine derivatives, were present in MBD and MBDT groups, when compared to both the CTR and PVD counterparts. Conversely, compounds such as cytidine, 2-deoxycytidine, hypoxanthine, xanthosine, inosine, 5-hydroxyindole-3-acetic acid, and 5-methyluridine were over-represented in CTR and PVD diets. Supplementary Fig. S14. Diferential analysis of the four diet-related groups in the FMT model revealed distinctive metabolic diferences. Volcano plots represent compound area counts with MSI levels of 1 and 2a in the four dietary regimens, analysed through a 1 vs 1 comparison. a, (PVD vs CTR), b, (MBD vs CTR), c, (PVD vs MBD) and d, (PVD vs MBDT). False discovery rate correction was applied using the Benjamini-Hochberg post-hoc analysis, with a signifcance level of 0.05. Metabolites marked in red on the plots had a Log2 mean ratio fold change higher than 1 and a Log10 *p*-value higher than 2. In the FMT model, a total of 37 metabolites exhibited diferential enrichment between the PVD and MBD groups. Specifcally, the PVD group exhibited signifcantly high responses for metabolites such as 4-imidazoleacrylic acid, 5-hydroxyindole-3-acetic acid, tromethamine, tryptamine, kojic acid, acetylcholine, histidine, 3-(2-hydroxyethyl) indole, histidinol, and guanidoacetic acid. The MBD model outlined signifcant associations with metabolites including 2-picolinic acid, diaminopimelic acid, deoxy-hexose isomer 1, 5-methylcytosine, trimethylamine N-oxide, dopamine, indole-3-lactic acid, indole-3-carboxylate, allopurinol, 5-methyltryptophan, pentose isomer, glucuronic acid, carnosine, N-acetyl-glucosamine, threonic acid, 3-methylhistidine, ferulic acid, indole-3-glyoxylic acid, thymidine, deoxyinosine, hippuric acid, N-acetylneuraminic acid, hexosyl-amine, inosine, 3-aminoisobutyric acid, glyceric acid, pyroglutamic acid, and hydroferulic acid. Supplementary Fig.

S15. Diferential metabolic profles (targeted approach) among dietary groups in the AOM model. The barplots illustrate variations in metabolite concentrations among diet-related groups in the AOM model dataset. Metabolite concentrations that exhibit significant diferences among sample groups (determined through the Kruskal-Wallis test) are compared using the Wilcoxon rank sum test, with adjustments made through the Benjamini-Hochberg correction method. Signifcant diferences among groups after the Wilcoxon test are denoted by asterisks (*, *p*<0.05;**, *p*<0.01; ***, *p*<0.001). Sample groups are represented using the colour scheme provided in the legend, and the metabolite concentrations are indicated in parentheses for each compound. The PVD group had higher concentrations of 3,4-hydroxyphenyl propionic acid, 4-aminobutyric acid, and malonic acid compared to other groups; it also had lower concentrations of 3-methyl-butanoic acid, alanine, aspartic acid, ethylmalonic acid, glutamic acid, malic acid, nicotinic acid, and ornithine compared to all other groups. The term 'relative' specifes the concentration relative to pure reference standards according to the following chemical similarities: 2-hydroxybutyric acid and 4-aminobutyric acid (butyric acid), ethylmalonic acid (malonic acid), 3-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-propionic acid and phenylacetic acid (phenylalanine), tocopherol acetate (α-tocopherol). Supplementary Fig. S16. Diferential metabolic profles (targeted approach) among dietary groups in the PIRC model. The barplots illustrate variations in the metabolite concentrations among diet-related groups in the PIRC model dataset. Metabolite concentrations that exhibit signifcant diferences among sample groups (determined through the Kruskal-Wallis test) are compared using Wilcoxon rank sum test, with adjustment made through the Benjamini-Hochberg correction method. Signifcant diferences among groups after the Wilcoxon test were depicted by asterisks (*,*p*<0.05; **, *p*<0.01; ***, *p*<0.001). Sample groups are represented using the colour scheme provided in the legend, and the metabolite concentrations are indicated in parentheses for each compound. For phenylacetic acid and 4-hydroxyphenylacetic acid, the concentration is reported as phenylalanine equivalent. Supplementary Fig. S17. Diferential metabolic profles (targeted approach) among diet-related groups in the FMT model. The barplots illustrate variations in the metabolite concentrations among diet-related groups in the FMT model dataset. Metabolite concentrations that exhibit signifcant diferences among sample groups (determined through the Kruskal-Wallis test) are compared using Wilcoxon rank sum test, with the adjustments made by the Benjamini-Hochberg correction method. Signifcant diferences among groups following Wilcoxon test are indicated by asterisks (*,*p*<0.05; **, *p*<0.01). Sample groups are represented in accordance with the colour scheme in the legend, and the metabolite concentrations are provided within brackets for each compound. The metabolite 3-(4-hydroxyphenyl) propionic acid is reported as phenylalanine equivalent. Supplementary Fig. S18. Environmental ftting regression analysis to examine metabolite-bacterial profle relationships. a, Principal component analysis (PCA) based on scaled (VST) abundances. Metabolite concentrations from panel b, were used as factors to demonstrate correlations with the ordination confguration through environmental ftting analysis. In the AOM model (upper a panel), we found signifcant correlations between bacterial diversity (based on scaled VST abundances) and 23 metabolites, while the FMT model showed one such correlation (lower a panel). Specifc metabolites, such as 3-hydroxyphenyl propionic acid and malonic acid, were associated with the PVD group in the AOM model. Other metabolites, including aspartic acid, lactic acid, malic acid, 3-methylbutyric acid, nicotinic acid, tauro-hydroxyoleic acid, cystine, ornithine, proline, alanine, lysine, correlated signifcantly with both MBD and MBDT groups (AOM, panel a). In the FMT model, taurocholic acid exhibited signifcant variations correlated with β-diversity measures, inversely linked to meat-based diet groups (FMT, panel a). No signifcant covariates were observed for the PIRC model dataset. Metabolites signifcantly correlated with PCA axes, as determined by environmental ftting analysis, are labelled in black, while non-signifcant correlations are shown in light grey, as noted in the legend. b, Bars charts displaying the amount of explained variance (*R*2) values for each signifcant covariate, as determined by environmental ftting analysis. Signifcance is represented on the right

of each horizontal bar using asterisks (*, *p*< 0.05; **, *p*< 0.01; ***, *p*< 0.001), and the related experimental model is colour-coded according to the legend. Metabolites in panels a and b are reported using the following abbreviations: α-MurAc, α-muricholic acid; HydAc, hyodeoxycholic acid; IsolAc, isolithocholic acid; IsolAcIs, isolithocholic acid isomer; LiAc, lithocolic acid; T-HydAc, tauro hyodeoxycholic acid; ω-MurAc, ω-muricholic acid; T-β-MurAc, tauro-β-muricholic acid; 3m-ButAc, 3-methylbutanoic acid; ButAc, butanoic acid; PntAc, pentanoic acid; 2h-ButrAc, 2-hydroxybutyric acid; 2-OxoGlAc, 2-oxoglutaric acid; 3-4-HydPhPrAc, 3,4-hydroxyphenyl-propionic acid; 4-AmButrAc, 4-aminobutyric acid; Ala, alanine; AspAc, aspartic acid; Cys, cystine; DodAc, dodecanoic acid; EtMalnAc, ethylmalonic acid; GluAc, glutamic acid; Gly, glycine; LacAc, lactic acid; Lys, lysine; MalAc, malic acid; MalnAc, malonic acid; NicAc, nicotinic acid; Orn, ornithine; PhAceAc, phenylacetic acid; Pro, proline; SucAc, succinic acid; Thr, threonine; TocAct, tocopherol acetate; Tyr, tyrosine; DexAc, deoxycholic acid; GlyAc, glycocholic acid; HyoAc, hyocholic acid; T-α-MurAc, tauro-α-muricholic acid; T-CoAc, taurocholic acid; T-DeCoAc, taurodeoxycholic acid; 3-OxoCoAc, 3-oxocholic acid; UrDeCoAc, ursodeoxycholic acid; Z-KeCoAc, z-ketolithocholic acid isomer 2; AceAc, acetic acid; ProAc, propanoic acid; β-MurAc, β-muricholic acid; 5-AmValAc, 5-aminovaleric acid; Leu, leucine.

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge CeSAL (Centro Stabulazione Animali da Laboratorio) at University of Florence and TOxalim for Animal Facility at INRAe, Dr. Massimo Pindo, at Fondazione Edmund Mach (Trento, Italy) for MiSeq Illumina Platform.

Authors' contributions

CDF, GC, PG, FP, FG and JB conceptualised the experiments and approach. SC, MMM, FV, KT, AB, CMau, NN, EF, CB, JD, VB, SC, VTo, VTh, CMas, PA, CE performed the animal treatment and lab experiments. NM, ATD, FV, SO and AB performed computational analyses. CDF, GC, SC, NM, MMM, ADT wrote the manuscript, with signifcant contributions from FG, PG, LG, DC, VL and AS. All authors read and accepted the fnal version of this manuscript.

Funding

This study was funded by the following grants: the Joint Programming Initiative a Healthy Diet for a Healthy Life-Intestinal Microbiomics (JPI HDHL-INTIMIC) Call for Joint Transnational Research Proposals on "Interrelation of the Intestinal Microbiome, Diet and Health" (reference number JTC-2017–7); HDHL INTIMIC-Knowledge Platform on food, diet, intestinal microbiomics, and human health (expression of interest no. 895); European Union, NextGenerationEU, National Recovery and Resilience Plan, Mission 4 Component 2, Investment 1.5, THE (Tuscany Health Ecosystem), ECS00000017, CUP B83C22003920001; National Recovery and Resilience Plan (NRRP), Mission 4 Component 2 Investment 1.3, call for tender no. 341 of 15 March 2022 of Italian Ministry of University and Research funded by the European Union, Next-GenerationEU, award number: project code PE00000003, Concession Decree No. 1550 of 11 October 2022 adopted by the Italian Ministry of University and Research, CUP D93C22000890001, project title "ON Foods—Research and innovation network on food and nutrition Sustainability, Safety and Security – Working ON Foods"; and University of Florence (Fondo ex 60%), Italy.

Availability of data and materials

The raw sequences obtained from 16S rRNA gene sequencing are available at the European Nucleotide Archive (ENA) database under accession code PRJEB60148. All results from statistical analyses were mentioned by writing in the main text, represented as fgures in the main text or reported as Supplementary tables and fgures. Further information can be provided upon request to the corresponding authors.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

PIRC experiment: approval to perform the experiments was obtained from the Animal Subjects Review Board of the University of Florence and by the Italian Ministry of Health, according to EU Directive 2010/63/EU

for animal experiments (authorization: 319/2018-PR). AOM experiment: approval to perform the AOM experiments was obtained from the French Comité d'Ethique CEEA-86 and the Ministère de l'Education Nationale, de l'Enseignement Supérieur et de la Recherche (authorization: APAFiS no. 16139-2018071617502938v3). FMT experiment: all standardised procedures, including the breeding of GF animals, were carried out in France in licenced animal facilities (Anaxem licence number: B78-33–6). The experimental protocol was agreed upon by the French Ministère de l'Education Nationale, de l'Enseignement Supérieur et de la Recherche (APAFIS no. 27039).

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Author details

¹ Institute of Agricultural Biology and Biotechnology (IBBA), National Research Council (CNR), Pisa, Italy. ²NEUROFARBA Department, Pharmacology and Toxicology Section, University of Florence, Florence, Italy. ³Department of Biology, University of Florence, Florence, Italy. ⁴ Proteomics, Metabolomics and Mass Spectrometry Laboratory, ISPAAM, National Research Council, Portici, Italy.⁵ Netherlands Organisation for Applied Scientific Research, Zeist, Netherlands. ⁶Micalis Institute, INRAE, AgroParisTech, Université Paris-Saclay, Jouy-en-Josas, France. ⁷Toxalim, INRAE, ENVT, INP-Purpan, UPS, Toulouse University, Toulouse 31027, France. ⁸MetaboHUB-MetaToul, National Infrastructure of Metabolomics and Fluxomics, Toulouse 31077, France.

Received: 2 February 2024 Accepted: 5 August 2024 Published online: 27 September 2024

References

- 1. Morgan E, Arnold M, Gini A, Lorenzoni V, Cabasag CJ, Laversanne M, Vignat J, Ferlay J, Murphy N, Bray F. Global burden of colorectal cancer in 2020 and 2040: incidence and mortality estimates from GLOBOCAN. Gut. 2023;72(2):338–44. <https://doi.org/10.1136/gutjnl-2022-327736>.
- 2. Bouvard V, Loomis D, Guyton KZ, Grosse Y, Ghissassi FE, Benbrahim-Tallaa L, Guha N, Mattock H, Straif K. Carcinogenicity of consumption of red and processed meat. Lancet Oncol. 2015;16(16):1599–600. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045(15)00444-1) [1016/S1470-2045\(15\)00444-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045(15)00444-1).
- 3. Bastide NM, Chenni F, Audebert M, Santarelli RL, Taché S, Naud N, Baradat M, Jouanin I, Surya R, Hobbs DA, et al. A central role for heme iron in colon carcinogenesis associated with red meat intake. Cancer Res. 2015;75(5):870–9. [https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-14-2554.](https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-14-2554)
- 4. Pierre F, Peiro G, Taché S, Cross AJ, Bingham SA, Gasc N, Gottardi G, Corpet DE, Guéraud F. New marker of colon cancer risk associated with heme intake: 1,4-dihydroxynonane mercapturic acid. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2006;15(11):2274–9. [https://doi.org/10.1158/1055-9965.](https://doi.org/10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-06-0085) [EPI-06-0085.](https://doi.org/10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-06-0085)
- 5. Pierre F, Martin O, Santarelli R, Taché S, Naud N, Guéraud F, Audebert M, Dupuy J, Meunier N, Attaix D, et al. Calcium and α-tocopherol suppress cured-meat promotion of chemically induced colon carcinogenesis in rats and reduce associated biomarkers in human volunteers. Am J Clin Nutr. 2013;98(5):1255–62.<https://doi.org/10.3945/ajcn.113.061069>.
- 6. Bastide NM, Morois S, Cadeau C, Kangas S, Kangas S, Serafni M, Gusto G, Dossus L, Pierre FH, Clavel-Chapelon F, Boutron-Ruault MC. Heme iron intake, dietary antioxidant capacity, and risk of colorectal adenomas in a large cohort study of French women. Cancer Epidemiol Biomark Prev. 2016;25(4):640–7.<https://doi.org/10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-15-0724>.
- 7. Bastide NM, Naud N, Nassy G, Vendeuvre J-L, Taché S, Guéraud F, et al. Red wine and pomegranate extracts suppress cured meat promotion of colonic mucin-depleted foci in carcinogen-induced rats. Nutr Cancer. 2017;69(2):289–98. [https://doi.org/10.1080/01635581.2017.1263745.](https://doi.org/10.1080/01635581.2017.1263745)
- 8. Vieira AR, Abar L, Chan DSM, Vingeliene S, Polemiti E, Stevens C, Greenwood D, Norat T. Foods and beverages and colorectal cancer risk: a systematic review and meta-analysis of cohort studies, an update of the evidence of the WCRF-AICR Continuous Update Project. Ann Oncol. 2017;28(8):1788–802. [https://doi.org/10.1093/annonc/mdx171.](https://doi.org/10.1093/annonc/mdx171)
- 9. Caini S, Chioccioli S, Pastore E, Fontana M, Tortora K, Caderni G, Masala G. Fish consumption and colorectal cancer risk: meta-analysis of prospective epidemiological studies and review of evidence from animal studies. Cancers (Basel). 2022;14(3):640.<https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers14030640>.
- 10. De Filippo C, Cavalieri D, Di Paola M, Ramazzotti M, Poullet JB, Massart S, Collini S, Pieraccini G, Lionetti P. Impact of diet in shaping gut microbiota revealed by a comparative study in children from Europe and rural Africa. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2010;107(33):14691–6. [https://doi.org/10.1073/](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1005963107) [pnas.1005963107.](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1005963107)
- 11. Martin OCB, Olier M, Ellero-Simatos S, Naud N, Dupuy J, Huc L, Taché S, Graillot V, Levêque M, Bézirard V, et al. Haem iron reshapes colonic luminal environment: Impact on mucosal homeostasis and microbiome through aldehyde formation. Microbiome. 2019;7(1):72. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1186/s40168-019-0685-7) [10.1186/s40168-019-0685-7.](https://doi.org/10.1186/s40168-019-0685-7)
- 12. Abu-Ghazaleh N, Chua WJ, Gopalan V. Intestinal microbiota and its association with colon cancer and red/processed meat consumption. J Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2021;36(1):75–88. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jgh.15042>.
- 13. Partula V, Mondot S, Torres MJ, Kesse-Guyot E, Deschasaux M, Assmann K, Latino-Martel P, Buscail C, Julia C, Galan P, et al. Associations between usual diet and gut microbiota composition: results from the Milieu Intérieur cross-sectional study. Am J Clin Nutr. 2019;109(5):1472–83. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ajcn/nqz029>.
- 14. Pierre F, Santarelli R, Taché S, Guéraud F, Corpet DE. Beef meat promotion of dimethylhydrazine-induced colorectal carcinogenesis biomarkers is suppressed by dietary calcium. Br J Nutr. 2008;99(5):1000–6. [https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007114507843558.](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007114507843558)
- 15. Amos-Landgraf JM, Kwong LN, Kendziorski CM, Reichelderfer M, Torrealba J, Weichert J, Haag JD, Chen KS, Waller JL, Gould MN, et al. A target-selected Apc-mutant rat kindred enhances the modeling of familial human colon cancer. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2007;104(10):4036–41.<https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0611690104>.
- 16. Femia AP, Bendinelli B, Giannini A, Salvadori M, Pinzani P, Dolara P, Caderni G. Mucin-depleted foci have β-catenin gene mutations, altered expression of its protein, and are dose- and time-dependent in the colon of 1,2-dimethylhydrazine-treated rats. Int J Cancer. 2005;116(1):9–15. [https://doi.org/10.1002/ijc.20981.](https://doi.org/10.1002/ijc.20981)
- 17. Femia AP, Luceri C, Soares P, Lodovici M, Caderni G. Multiple mucin depleted foci, high proliferation and low apoptotic response in the onset of colon carcinogenesis of the PIRC rat, mutated in Apc. Int J Cancer. 2015;136(6):E488–95. [https://doi.org/10.1002/ijc.29232.](https://doi.org/10.1002/ijc.29232)
- 18. Busnelli M, Manzini S, Jablaoui A, Bruneau A, Kriaa A, Philippe C, Arnaboldi F, Colombo A, Ferrari B, Ambrogi F, et al. Fat-shaped microbiota afects lipid metabolism, liver steatosis, and intestinal homeostasis in mice fed a low-protein diet. Mol Nutr Food Res. 2020;64(15):e1900835. [https://doi.org/10.1002/mnfr.201900835.](https://doi.org/10.1002/mnfr.201900835)
- 19. Barreau F, Ferrier L, Fioramonti J, Bueno L. Neonatal maternal deprivation triggers long term alterations in colonic epithelial barrier and mucosal immunity in rats. Gut. 2004;53(4):501–6. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1136/gut.2003.024174) [1136/gut.2003.024174.](https://doi.org/10.1136/gut.2003.024174)
- 20. Ohkawa H, Ohishi N, Yagi K. Assay for lipid peroxides in animal tissues by thiobarbituric acid reaction. Anal Biochem. 1979;95(2):351–8. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0003-2697\(79\)90738-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0003-2697(79)90738-3).
- 21. Chevolleau S, Noguer-Meireles MH, Jouanin I, Naud N, Pierre F, Gueraud F, Debrauwer L. Development and validation of an ultra high performance liquid chromatography-electrospray tandem mass spectrometry method using selective derivatisation, for the quantifcation of two reactive aldehydes produced by lipid peroxidation, HNE (4-hydroxy-2(E)-nonenal) and HHE (4-hydroxy-2(E)-hexenal) in faecal water. J Chromatogr B Analyt Technol Biomed Life Sci. 2018;1083:171– 9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jchromb.2018.03.002>.
- 22. Plaisancié P, Buisson C, Fouché E, Martin P, Noirot C, Maslo C, Dupuy J, Guéraud F, Pierre F. Study of the colonic epithelial-mesenchymal dialogue through establishment of two activated or not mesenchymal cell lines: activated and resting ones diferentially modulate colonocytes in co-culture. PLoS ONE. 2022;17(8):e0273858. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0273858) [1371/journal.pone.0273858](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0273858).
- 23. Vitali F, Tortora K, Di Paola M, Bartolucci G, Menicatti M, De Filippo C, Caderni G. Intestinal microbiota profles in a genetic model of colon tumorigenesis correlates with colon cancer biomarkers. Sci Rep. 2022;12(1):1432.<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-05249-0>.
- 24. Martin M. Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing reads. EMBnet J. 2011;17(1):10.
- 25. Callahan BJ, McMurdie PJ, Rosen MJ, Han AW, Johnson AJA, Holmes SP. Dada2: high-resolution sample inference from Illumina amplicon data. Nat Methods. 2016;13(7):581–3. [https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.3869.](https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.3869)
- 26. Quast C, Pruesse E, Yilmaz P, Gerken J, Schweer T, Yarza P, Peplies J, Glöckner FO. The SILVA ribosomal RNA gene database project: improved data processing and web-based tools. Nucleic Acids Res. 2013;41:D590–6. [https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gks1219.](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gks1219)
- 27. Oksanen J, Simpson GL, Blanchet FG, Kindt R, Legendre P, Minchin PR, et al. vegan:Community Ecology Package. R package version 2.6–4. Comprehensive R archive network(CRAN); 2022. [https://github.com/](https://github.com/vegandevs/vegan) [vegandevs/vegan.](https://github.com/vegandevs/vegan)
- 28. Segata N, Izard J, Waldron L, Gevers D, Miropolsky L, Garrett WS, Huttenhower C, et al. Metagenomic biomarker discovery and explanation. Genome Biol. 2011;12(6):R60. [https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2011-12-6-r60.](https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2011-12-6-r60)
- 29. Love M, Huber W, Anders S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biol. 2014;15(12):550. [https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-014-0550-8.](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-014-0550-8)
- 30. Johnsen L, Skou P, Khakimov B, Bro R. Gas chromatography mass spectrometry data processing made easy. J Chromatogr A. 2017;1503:57–64. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2017.04.05.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2017.04.05)
- 31. Sumner LW, Amberg A, Barrett D, Beale MH, Beger R, Daykin CA, Fan TW, Fiehn O, Goodacre R, Griffin JL, et al. Proposed minimum reporting standards for chemical analysis: Chemical Analysis Working Group (CAWG) Metabolomics Standards Initiative (MSI). Metabolomics. 2007;3(3):211–21.
- 32. Smart K, Aggio R, Van Houtte J, Villas-Bôas S. Analytical platform for metabolome analysis of microbial cells using methyl chloroformate derivatization followed by gas chromatography–mass spectrometry. Nat Protoc. 2010;5(10):1709–29. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2010.108>.
- 33. Chang W, Chapkin RS, Lupton JR. Fish oil blocks azoxymethane-induced rat colon tumorigenesis by increasing cell diferentiation and apoptosis rather than decreasing cell proliferation. J Nutr. 1998;128(3):491–7. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jn/128.3.491>.
- 34. Louis P, Hold G, Flint H. The gut microbiota, bacterial metabolites and colorectal cancer. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2014;12(10):661–72. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro3344) [10.1038/nrmicro3344.](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro3344)
- 35. Yang J, Wei H, Zhou Y, Szeto CH, Li C, Lin Y, Coker OO, Lau HCH, Chan AWH, Sung JJY, et al. High-fat diet promotes colorectal tumorigenesis through modulating gut microbiota and metabolites. Gastroenterology. 2022;162(1):135–49.e2.<https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2021.08.041>.
- 36. Diallo A, Deschasaux M, Partula V, Latino-Martel P, Srour B, Hercberg S, Galan P, Fassier P, Guéraud F, Pierre FH, et al. Dietary iron intake and breast cancer risk: modulation by an antioxidant supplementation. Oncotarget. 2016;7(48):79008–16. [https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.12592.](https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.12592)
- 37. Martin OCB, Naud N, Taché S, Debrauwer L, Chevolleau S, Dupuy J, Chantelauze C, Durand D, Pujos-Guillot E, Blas-Y-Estrada F, et al. Targeting colon luminal lipid peroxidation limits colon carcinogenesis associated with red meat consumption. Cancer Prev Res. 2018;11(9):569–80. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1158/1940-6207.CAPR-17-0361) [10.1158/1940-6207.CAPR-17-0361](https://doi.org/10.1158/1940-6207.CAPR-17-0361).
- 38. David LA, Maurice CF, Carmody RN, Gootenberg DB, Button JE, Wolfe BE, Ling AV, Devlin AS, Varma Y, Fischbach MA, et al. Diet rapidly and reproducibly alters the human gut microbiome. Nature. 2014;505(7484):559– 63. [https://doi.org/10.1038/nature12820.](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature12820)
- 39. Chen YS, Li J, Menon R, Jayaraman A, Lee K, Huang Y, Dashwood WM, Zhang K, Sun D, Dashwood RH. Dietary spinach reshapes the gut microbiome in an Apc-mutant genetic background: mechanistic insights from integrated multi-omics. Gut Microbes. 2021;13(1):1972756. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1080/19490976.2021.1972756) [org/10.1080/19490976.2021.1972756.](https://doi.org/10.1080/19490976.2021.1972756)
- 40. Gao K, Yu X, Li F, Huang Y, Liu J, Liu S, Lu L, Yang R, Wang C. Qishen granules regulate intestinal microecology to improve cardiac function in rats with heart failure. Front Microbiol. 2023;14:1202768. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2023.1202768) [3389/fmicb.2023.1202768.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2023.1202768)
- 41. Zhao Y, Liu C, Niu J, Cui Z, Zhao X, Li W, Zhang Y, Yang Y, Gao P, Guo X, et al. Impacts of dietary fber level on growth performance, apparent digestibility, intestinal development, and colonic microbiota and metabolome of pigs. J Anim Sci. 2023;101:skad174.<https://doi.org/10.1093/jas/skad174>.
- 42. Ericsson AC, Akter S, Hanson MM, Busi SB, Parker TW, Schehr RJ, Hankins MA, Ahner CE, Davis JW, Franklin CL, et al. Diferential susceptibility to colorectal cancer due to naturally occurring gut microbiota. Oncotarget. 2015;6(32):33689–704. [https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.5604.](https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.5604)
- 43. Wu M, Li P, Li J, An Y, Wang M, Zhong G. The diferences between luminal microbiota and mucosal microbiota in mice. J Microbiol Biotechnol. 2020;30(2):287–95. <https://doi.org/10.4014/jmb.1908.08037>.
- 44. Li D, Cui M, Tan F, Liu X, Yao P. High red meat intake exacerbates dextran sulfate-induced colitis by altering gut microbiota in mice. Front Nutr. 2021;8:646819. [https://doi.org/10.3389/fnut.2021.646819.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fnut.2021.646819)
- 45. Robert C, Penhoat A, Couëdelo L, Monnoye M, Rainteau D, Meugnier E, Bary S, Abrous H, Loizon E, Krasniqi P, et al. Natural emulsifers lecithins preserve gut microbiota diversity in relation with specifc faecal lipids in high fat-fed mice. J Funct Foods. 2023;105:105540. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jff.2023.105540) [1016/j.jf.2023.105540](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jff.2023.105540).
- 46. Meehan CJ, Beiko RG. A phylogenomic view of ecological specialization in the Lachnospiraceae, a family of digestive tract-associated bacteria. Genome Biol Evol. 2014;6(3):703–13. <https://doi.org/10.1093/gbe/evu050>.
- 47. Rehman A, Rausch P, Wang J, Skieceviciene J, Kiudelis G, Bhagalia K, Amarapurkar D, Kupcinskas L, Schreiber S, Rehman A, Rausch P, Wang J, Skieceviciene J, Kiudelis G, Bhagalia K, Amarapurkar D, Kupcinskas L, Schreiber S, Rosenstiel P, et al. Geographical patterns of the standing and active human gut microbiome in health and IBD. Gut. 2016;65(2):238–48. <https://doi.org/10.1136/gutjnl-2014-308341>.
- 48. Clavel T, Duck W, Charrier C, Wenning M, Elson C, Haller D. Enterorhabdus caecimuris sp. nov., a member of the family Coriobacteriaceae isolated from a mouse model of spontaneous colitis, and emended description of the genus Enterorhabdus Clavel et al. 2009. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol. 2010;60(Pt 7):1527–31.<https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.015016-0.>
- 49. Lahtinen MH, Kynkäänniemi E, Jian C, Salonen A, Pajari A-M, Mikkonen KS. Metabolic fate of lignin in birch glucuronoxylan extracts as dietary fber studied in a rat model. Mol Nutr Food Res. 2023;67(20):e2300201. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1002/mnfr.202300201) [doi.org/10.1002/mnfr.202300201.](https://doi.org/10.1002/mnfr.202300201)
- 50. Li Y, Bai D, Lu Y, Chen J, Yang H, Mu Y, Xu J, Huang X, Li L. The crude guava polysaccharides ameliorate high-fat diet-induced obesity in mice via reshaping gut microbiota. Int J Biol Macromol. 2022;213:234–46. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2022.05.130) [doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2022.05.130.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2022.05.130)
- 51. Reddy B, Maeura Y. Tumor promotion by dietary fat in azoxymethaneinduced colon carcinogenesis in female F344 rats: infuence of amount and source of dietary fat. J Natl Cancer Inst. 1984;72(3):745–50. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1093/jnci/72.3.745) doi.org/10.1093/jnci/72.3.745.
- 52. Rafter JJ, Child P, Anderson AM, Alder R, Eng V, Bruce WR. Cellular toxicity of fecal water depends on diet. Am J Clin Nutr. 1987;45(3):559–63. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1093/ajcn/45.3.559) [doi.org/10.1093/ajcn/45.3.559.](https://doi.org/10.1093/ajcn/45.3.559)
- 53. Bernstein H, Bernstein C, Payne CM, Dvorakova K, Garewal H. Bile acids as carcinogens in human gastrointestinal cancers. Mutat Res. 2005;589(1):47–65. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mrrev.2004.08.001.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mrrev.2004.08.001)
- 54. Cao H, Xu M, Dong W, Deng B, Wang S, Zhang Y, Wang S, Luo S, Wang W, Qi Y, et al. Secondary bile acid-induced dysbiosis promotes intestinal carcinogenesis. Int J Cancer. 2017;140(11):2545–56. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1002/ijc.30643) [1002/ijc.30643](https://doi.org/10.1002/ijc.30643).
- 55. Galié S, García-Gavilán J, Papandreou C, Camacho-Barcía L, Arcelin P, Palau-Galindo A, Rabassa A, Bulló M. Efects of Mediterranean diet on plasma metabolites and their relationship with insulin resistance and gut microbiota composition in a crossover randomized clinical trial. Clin Nutr. 2021;40(6):3798–806. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clnu.2021.04.028.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clnu.2021.04.028)
- 56. Wu GD, Compher C, Chen EZ, Smith SA, Shah RD, Bittinger K, Chehoud C, Albenberg LG, Nessel L, Gilroy E, et al. Comparative metabolomics in vegans and omnivores reveal constraints on diet-dependent gut microbiota metabolite production. Gut. 2016;65(1):63–72. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1136/gutjnl-2014-308209) [1136/gutjnl-2014-308209.](https://doi.org/10.1136/gutjnl-2014-308209)
- 57. Blasco T, Pérez-Burillo S, Balzerani F, Hinojosa-Nogueira D, Lerma-Aguilera A, Pastoriza S, Cendoya X, Rubio Á, Gosalbes MJ, et al. An extended reconstruction of human gut microbiota metabolism of dietary compounds. Nat Commun. 2021;12(1):4728. [https://doi.org/10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-25056-x) [s41467-021-25056-x](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-25056-x).
- 58. Kirchberg FF, Harder U, Weber M, Grote V, Demmelmair H, Peissner W, Rzehak P, Xhonneux A, Carlier C, Ferre N, et al. Dietary protein intake affects amino acid and acylcarnitine metabolism in infants aged 6 months. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2015;100(1):149–58. [https://doi.org/10.1210/jc.](https://doi.org/10.1210/jc.2014-3157) [2014-3157](https://doi.org/10.1210/jc.2014-3157).
- 59. Haque S, Raina R, Afroze N, Hussain A, Alsulimani A, Singh V, Mishra BN, Kaul S, Kharwar RN. Microbial dysbiosis and epigenetics modulation in cancer development - a chemopreventive approach. Semin Cancer Biol. 2022;86(Pt 3):666–81. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semcancer.2021.06.024>.
- 60. Yoshimoto S, Mitsuyama E, Yoshida K, Odamaki T, Xiao JZ. Enriched metabolites that potentially promote age-associated diseases in subjects with an elderly-type gut microbiota. Gut Microbes. 2021;13(1):1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19490976.2020.1865705> .
- 61. Khodorova NV, Rutledge DN, Oberli M, Mathiron D, Marcelo P, Ben amouzig R, Tomé D, Gaudichon C, Pilard S. Urinary metabolomics profles associated to bovine meat ingestion in humans. Mol Nutr Food Res. 2019;63(1):e1700834.<https://doi.org/10.1002/mnfr.201700834> .
- 62. Zou S, Fang L, Lee M. Dysbiosis of gut microbiota in promoting the devel opment of colorectal cancer. Gastroenterol Rep (Oxf). 2018;6(1):1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1093/gastro/gox031> .
- 63. Subramaniam S, Fletcher C. Trimethylamine N-oxide: breathe new life. Br J Pharmacol. 2018;175(8):1344–53.<https://doi.org/10.1111/bph.13959> .
- 64. Duizer C, de Zoete MR. The role of microbiota-derived metabolites in colorectal cancer. Int J Mol Sci. 2023;24(9):8024. [https://doi.org/10.3390/](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms24098024) [ijms24098024](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms24098024) .
- 65. Couturier-Maillard A, Secher T, Rehman A, Normand S, De Arcangelis A, Haesler R, Huot L, Grandjean T, Bressenot A, Delanoye-Crespin A, et al. NOD2-mediated dysbiosis predisposes mice to transmissible colitis and colorectal cancer. J Clin Invest. 2013;123(2):700–11. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI62236) [1172/JCI62236](https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI62236) .

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in pub lished maps and institutional afliations.