

#### Numerical simulations for site effect estimation in a complex sedimentary basin: a comparison between different approaches for designing 3D seismic models of the subsurface

François Lavoué, Céline Gélis, Emmanuel Chaljub, Florent de Martin, Loic Gisselbrecht, Laurent Stehly, Pierre Boué, Marco Pilz, Sophie Beauprêtre, Nanaba Bagayoko, et al.

#### ▶ To cite this version:

François Lavoué, Céline Gélis, Emmanuel Chaljub, Florent de Martin, Loic Gisselbrecht, et al.. Numerical simulations for site effect estimation in a complex sedimentary basin: a comparison between different approaches for designing 3D seismic models of the subsurface. Physics-based Ground Motion Modeling, Oct 2023, Vancouver (BC), Canada. hal-04716059

#### HAL Id: hal-04716059 https://hal.science/hal-04716059v1

Submitted on 1 Oct 2024

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

### Numerical simulations for site effect estimation in a complex sedimentary basin: a comparison between different approaches for designing IRSN GFZ 3D seismic models of the subsurface



François Lavoué<sup>1,2,\*</sup>, Céline Gélis<sup>1</sup>, Emmanuel Chaljub<sup>2</sup>, Florent De Martin<sup>3</sup>, Loïc Gisselbrecht<sup>1,2</sup>, Laurent Stehly<sup>2</sup>, Pierre Boué<sup>2</sup>, Marco Pilz<sup>4</sup>, Sophie Beauprêtre<sup>5</sup>, Nanaba Bagayoko<sup>6</sup>, Damien Do Couto<sup>6</sup>, Edward Marc Cushing<sup>1</sup>, Denis Moiriat<sup>1</sup>, Bérénice Froment<sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup> IRSN, <sup>2</sup> ISTerre, Univ. Grenoble Alpes, <sup>3</sup> BRGM, <sup>4</sup> GFZ Potsdam, <sup>5</sup> Sisprobe/EGIS, <sup>6</sup> ISTEP, Sorbonne Univ. \* francois.lavoue@univ-grenoble-alpes.fr



Helmholtz-Zentrum

rgm

## **Context and objectives**

- **Context:** DARE project (Dense ARray for seismic site effect Estimation), Pls: Bérénice Froment (IRSN, France), Matthias Ohrnberger (Univ. Potsdam, Germany).
- **Objectives:** assess the potential of using ambient noise to estimate seismic site effects in low-seismicity areas via empirical and numerical approaches.
- Case study: Tricastin basin (Rhône valley, South of France), located above a paleo-canyon created during the Messinian salinity crisis and host of a nuclear plant (Tricastin Nuclear Site, TNS).



(Pour la Science, june 2021)

## Data and models

Construction of 3D seismic models based on data from:

- Two seismic arrays (Pilz et al., 2021; Froment et al., 2022, 2023)
  - 400 3C nodes over 1 month: seismic noise recordings
    - $\rightarrow$  1D V<sub>s</sub> and Q<sub>s</sub> profiles via Q-SPAC analysis
    - -> 3D V<sub>5</sub> model via ambient noise surface wave tomography (ANSWT)
  - 50 broadband stations over 6 months: earthquake recordings -> computation of Standard Spectral Ratios (SSR)
- Active seismic profiles
  - -> interpretation of geological horizons (Do Couto et al., in rev.)
- Geotechnical surveys (Moiriat, 2019)
  - $\rightarrow$  V<sub>P</sub>, V<sub>S</sub>, and density in the first 30 meters





Fig. 1: Comparison of ANSWT model and geological horizons interpreted from an active seismic profile.

Fig. 2: 3D V<sub>s</sub> model obtained by ANSWT, depicting the large-scale geometry of the paleo-canyon.

Fig. 3: V<sub>s</sub> and Q<sub>s</sub> values inferred from Q-SPAC analysis (blue dots). These values are used to derive a site-specific relationship between Vs and Qs (black curve).



2 Hz

X SEM (km)

20

4.62

Longitude







Wavefield snapshots







• Bagayoko, N. (2021). Intégration de données géologiques et de sismique réflexion dans un modèle structural 3D du canyon messinien du Rhône : Etude du site du Tricastin. M.Sc. thesis, Sorbonne Univ. • Do Couto, D., Bagayoko, N., Cushing, E.M., Rubino, J.L., Mocochain, L., Micquelis F., Hanot, F., Froment, B., Gélis, C., O. Bellier, Camus H., Suc J.P. Reappraisal of the Messinian canyons morphology of the Rhône and Ardèche (Rhône valley): new insights from seismic profiles. (in rev.) • De Martin, F. (2011). Verification of a spectral-element method code for the Southern California

Earthquake Center LOH.3 Viscoelastic Case. Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am., 101(6):2855–2865.

• Froment, B., Cushing, E. M., Gélis, C., Gisselbrecht, (2023). France 2020, Dense nodal seismic array in RESIF - Réseau Sismologique et géodésique Français • Lavoué et al. (same authors). Potential and limitation for numerical site effect estimation: a case study in the • Moiriat D. (2019). Etude des propriétés des sols du sit • Pilz, M., Cotton, F., Ohrnberger, M., Froment, B. (20



Pilz, M., Cotton, F., Ohrnberger, M., Froment, B. (20, G. F. Z. ARray for effect Estimation. GFZ Data Services. Seismic Network. doi:10.14476/L27575187372.

# Numerical simulations for site effect estimation in a complex sedimentary basin





F. Lavoué\*, C. Gélis, E. Chaljub, F. De Martin, L. Gisselbrecht, L. Stehly, P. Boué, M. Pilz, S. Beauprêtre, N. Bagayoko, D. Do Couto, E. M. Cushing, D. Moiriat, B. Froment \* francois.lavoue@univ-grenoble-alpes.fr

Part 2



Numerical simulations (2) : Comparison of 4 models of increasing complexity



Model 1 2 homogeneous units (Pliocene sediments / Urgonian bedrock)  $V_{\rm S} = 900 / 3000 \, {\rm m/s}$  $V_P = 2200 / 5200 \text{ m/s}$  $\rho = 2100 / 2600 \text{ kg/m}^3$  $Q_{\rm S} = 80 - 330$ 

### Model 2 2 units with gradients of properties (Pliocene sediments / Urgonian bedrock) $V_{\rm S} = 500 - 1100 / 1600 - 3100 \,{\rm m/s}$ $V_P = 2000 - 2400 / 3000 - 5300 \text{ m/s}$ $\rho = 2075 - 2160 / 2280 - 2650 \text{ kg/m}^3$ $Q_{\rm S} = 20 - 110 / 170 - 340$

## Model 3

3 units with gradients of properties (Plio. seds. / Cretaceous / Urgonian)  $V_{\rm S} = 500 - 1000 / 800 - 2300 / 800 - 3150 \,\mathrm{m/s}$  $V_P = 2000 - 2300 / 2200 - 4000 / 2200 - 5500 m/s$  $\rho$  = 2070 - 2150 / 2100 - 2500 / 2100 - 2650 kg/m<sup>3</sup>  $Q_{\rm S} = 30 - 90 / 65 - 260 / 65 - 350$ 

#### Model 4 ANSWT model $V_{\rm S} = 500 - 3100 \, {\rm m/s}$ $V_P = 2000 - 5300 \text{ m/s}$ $\rho = 2075 - 2650 \text{ kg/m}^3$ $Q_{\rm S} = 20 - 340$ $Q_P = 260 - 760$

 $Q_P = 315 - 750$ 

 $Q_P = 260 - 370 / 425 - 760$ 

 $Q_P = 345 - 365 / 360 - 590 / 360 - 790$ 

## Seismic amplification vs. subsurface geology:



### Conclusions

- In *blocky* models (1,2,3), derived from seismic interpretation, waves are trapped in a well-delimited basin, resulting in a broadband amplification due to 3D propagation effects (esp. laterally-propagating surface waves generated at basin edges) that well explains the observations in the center of the basin.
- However, if over-simplified (homogeneous properties or missing units), these blocky models hardly reproduce the observations in other parts. In particular, it is important to distinguish the hard Urgonian bedrock from lower-velocity Cretaceous units within the substratum embedding the sedimentary basin.
- In contrast, Model 4, derived from ANSWT, produces a pseudo-1D amplification within the basin with less pronounced 3D effects, mostly due to a lack of lateral basin boundaries.
- On the other hand, this tomographic model seems effective in characterizing the deep subsurface velocity structure, including in complex ermediate Cretaceous units are present.

### **Future work**

- Design a new ANSWT model specifically for numerical site effect estimation (sharper basin edges: need for prior information in the tomographic process).
- Take shallow Quaternary alluvions into account (< 20 m, expected effect above 2 Hz).
- Systematically study the sensitivity of the site response to model parameters (geometry of units and interfaces, seismic properties), and to seismic sources (location, mechanisms).



#### Acknowledgments:

This work was sponsored by a public grant overseen by the French and German National Research Agencies (Grants # ANR19-CE31-0029 and DFG # 431 This work was performed using HPC resources from GENCI-TGCC (Grant 2022-gen13461) and from the GRICAD infrastructure (https://gricad.univ-grenol the Equip@Meso project (reference ANR-10-EQPX-29-01) of the programme Investissements d'Avenir supervised by the Agence Nationale pour la Recherce We gratefully acknowledge Jean-Loup Rubino and Ludovic Mocochain for their contribution to the interpretation of the active seismic profiles, and for sharin GFZ





sights on the field