

Relationships between ankle blood pressure indices and major adverse cardiovascular events in people with and without type 2 diabetes.

Kamel Mohammedi, Marie Pigeyre, Jackie Bosch, Salim Yusuf, Hertzel C

Gerstein

▶ To cite this version:

Kamel Mohammedi, Marie Pigeyre, Jackie Bosch, Salim Yusuf, Hertzel C Gerstein. Relationships between ankle blood pressure indices and major adverse cardiovascular events in people with and without type 2 diabetes.. Cardiovascular Diabetology, 2024, 23 (1), pp.325. 10.1186/s12933-024-02383-z . hal-04714077

HAL Id: hal-04714077 https://hal.science/hal-04714077v1

Submitted on 30 Sep 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - NoDerivatives 4.0 International License

RESEARCH

Open Access

Relationships between ankle blood pressure indices and major adverse cardiovascular events in people with and without type 2 diabetes



Kamel Mohammedi^{1,2*}, Marie Pigeyre¹, Jackie Bosch^{1,3}, Salim Yusuf¹ and Hertzel C. Gerstein¹

Abstract

Background The relationship between ankle blood pressure (BP) and cardiovascular disease remains unclear. We examined the relationships between known and new ankle BP indices and major cardiovascular outcomes in people with and without type 2 diabetes.

Methods We used data from 3 large trials with measurements of ankle systolic BP (SBP), ankle-brachial index (ABI, ankle SBP divided by arm SBP), and ankle-pulse pressure difference (APPD, ankle SBP minus arm pulse pressure). The primary outcome was a composite of cardiovascular mortality, myocardial infarction, hospitalization for heart failure, or stroke. Secondary outcomes included death from cardiovascular causes, total (fatal and non-fatal) myocardial infarction, hospitalization for heart failure, and total stroke.

Results Among 42,929 participants (age 65.6 years, females 31.3%, type 2 diabetes 50.1%, 53 countries), the primary outcome occurred in 7230 (16.8%) participants during 5 years of follow-up (19.4% in people with diabetes, 14.3% in those without diabetes). The incidence of the outcome increased with lower ankle BP indices. Compared with people whose ankle BP indices were in the highest fourth, multivariable-adjusted hazard ratios (HRs, 95% CI) of the outcome for each lower fourth were 1.05 (0.98–1.12), 1.17 (1.08–1.25), and 1.54 (1.54–1.65) for ankle SBP; HR 1.06 (0.99–1.14), 1.26 (1.17–1.35), and 1.48 (1.38–1.58) for ABI; and HR 1.02 (0.95–1.10), 1.15 (1.07–1.23), and 1.48 (1.38–1.58) for APPD. The largest effect size was noted for ankle SBP (HRs 1.05 [0.90–1.21], 1.21 [1.05–1.40], and 1.93 [1.68–2.22]), and APPD (HRs 1.08 [0.93–1.26], 1.30 [1.12–1.50], and 1.97 [1.72–2.25]) with respect to hospitalization for heart failure, while only a marginal association was observed for stroke. The relationships were similar in people with and without diabetes (all *p* for interaction > 0.05).

Conclusions Inverse and independent associations were observed between ankle BP and cardiovascular events, similarly in people with and without type 2 diabetes. The largest associations were observed for heart failure and the smallest for stroke. Including ankle BP indices in routine clinical assessments may help to identify people at highest risk of cardiovascular outcomes.

*Correspondence: Kamel Mohammedi kamel.mohammedi@phri.ca; km.mmohammedi@gmail.com

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License, which permits any non-commercial use, sharing, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if you modified the licensed material. You do not have permission under this licence to share adapted material derived from this article are provide in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/.

Graphical abstract Ankle blood pressure indices and incidence of major cardiovascular outcomes. Expanded MACE, a composite of death from cardiovascular causes, myocardial infarction,hospitalization for heart failure, or stroke. BP, blood pressure; CV, cardiovascular; HHF, hospitalization for heart failure; HR, Hazard ratio (for the lowest fourth of blood pressure indice compared to the highest); MACE, major adverse cardiovascular events; MI, myocardial infarction; Total, fatal and non-fatal MI or stroke.



Keywords Ankle, Blood pressure, Cardiovascular disease, Cardiovascular mortality, Diabetes, Heart failure, Myocardial infarction, Stroke

Background

High blood pressure is a major modifiable risk factor for incident cardiovascular disease (CVD) and mortality [1–6]. The vast majority of blood pressure research has focused on arm measurements of systolic blood pressure (SBP), diastolic blood pressure (DBP) and pulse pressure (i.e., the difference between SBP and DBP), which have been reported to predict CVD [2, 7, 8] Pulse pressure may provide additional prognostic information beyond SBP [9], it reflects arterial compliance, cardiac output and peripheral vascular resistance [10]. The ankle BP is not commonly measured, and the optimal ankle BP measurement as well as the link of ankle BP to cardiovascular outcomes remains poorly understood. Moreover, most of research related to ankle BP has focused on the ankle brachial index (ABI, the ratio of ankle SBP to arm SBP). Although this index is recommended as a screening test for peripheral artery disease (PAD) [11–14], it has also been associated with CVD and mortality [12, 15, 16].

We have recently used data from three large studies to assess the relationship between various ankle BP indices and PAD (non-traumatic lower-limb amputation due to vascular disease, or lower-extremity arterial revascularization), and identified that three indices, ankle SBP, ABI, and the ankle-pulse pressure difference (APPD, calculated as the difference between ankle SBP and arm pulse pressure), were the best predictors of PAD, total mortality, or the composite of either PAD or death, with lower values predicting a higher incidence [17]. In the present study, we sought to examine the relationships between those ankle BP indices and the incidence of major cardiovascular outcomes, a composite of death from cardiovascular causes, myocardial infarction, stroke, or hospitalization for heart failure, using the same pooled population study including people with and without type 2 diabetes.

Methods

Participants

This is a cohort analysis of participants from three trials: the ONgoing Telmisartan Alone and in Combination with Ramipril Global Endpoint Trial (ONTARGET), the Telmisartan Randomised AssessmeNt Study in ACE intolerant subjects with cardiovascular disease (TRANSCEND) trial (ClinicalTrials.gov, number NCT00153101), and the Outcome Reduction with an Initial Glargine Intervention (ORIGIN) trial (ClinicalTrials.gov number, NCT00069784). The details of participants in the three studies have been previously published [18–21]. Briefly, ONTARGET and TRANSCEND trials

enrolled participants with a history of CVD, PAD, or type 2 diabetes with evidence of end organ damage (e.g., kidney or retinal complication). In ONTARGET trial, 25,620 participants tolerant to angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE)-inhibitors were randomly assigned to ramipril 10 mg daily, telmisartan 80 mg daily, or the combination of both. Whereas, 5926 patients who could not tolerate ACE-inhibitors were assigned to either telmisartan 80 mg daily or matching placebo in the parallel TRAN-SCEND trial. ORIGIN was a 2-by-2 factorial randomized controlled trial, which tested the effect of titrated basal insulin glargine versus standard care, and of n-3 fatty-acid supplements versus placebo on cardiovascular outcomes in 12,537 participants with dysglycemia. ORI-GIN involved people aged 50 years or older with either prediabetes (impaired glucose tolerance or impaired fasting glucose) or early type 2 diabetes, with use of no more than one oral glucose-lowering drug, in addition to other CVD or cardiovascular risk factors.

The 3 study protocols were approved by the ethics committee at each study site, and all participants provided written informed consent. The protocols and results of the 3 trials have been published previously [18–21]. The present study was conducted according to the STROBE guidelines.

Among a total of 44,083 participants, 1154 participants without data regarding ankle BP at baseline (or other missing values) were excluded, with 42,929 participants included in the present analysis (ORIGIN, 12,306; ONTARGET, 24853; and TRANSCEND, 5770 participants). Characteristics of participants with missing and available data are shown in Additional file Table S1.

Measurements of arm and ankle blood pressures

Both right and left arm SBP and DBP were measured in the same way in all three trials after 5 min of rest in a sitting position, using a validated automated BP monitors (OMRON HEM-711DLXCAN, OMRONHEALTHCARE Inc., Lake Forrest, Illinois, USA in ORIGIN; and OMRON model HEM 757, OMRON Kyoto, Japan in ONTARGET and TRANSCEND) [22, 23]. Arm BP was measured once in ONTARGET and TRANSCEND, and twice (at least 5 min apart) in ORIGIN, and the average of the 2 values were used. Then, the highest measures of the 2 arms were used for statistical analyses, and for computation of pulse pressure and ankle-brachial indices. The pulse pressure was computed as arm SBP minus arm DBP. Right and left ankle SBP were measured once using the same device (within each study), with the appropriate cuff size, in a supine position for more than 5 min, after removing shoes and stockings so that the ankles were bared to midcalf. We used the average value of the BP measures in the two ankles for statistical analyses, and to calculate anklebrachial indices. The ABI was calculated as ankle SBP divided by arm SBP, and the APPD as ankle SBP minus arm pulse pressure.

Outcomes

The primary outcome was expanded major adverse cardiovascular events (MACE), a composite of death from cardiovascular causes, myocardial infarction, stroke, or hospitalization for heart failure. The secondary outcomes included death from cardiovascular causes, total (fatal and non-fatal) myocardial infarction, hospitalization for heart failure, and total stroke. All outcomes were adjudicated by independent endpoint committees for each trial using standard definitions.

Statistical analysis

We used pooled individual patient-level data from the 3 studies for all analyses, with systematic adjustment for study. Continuous variables were expressed as means \pm standard deviations (SD), or as medians with the 25th and 75th percentiles for those with a skewed distribution. Categorical variables were expressed as the number of patients with the corresponding percentage. Characteristics of participants at baseline were compared using the chi-square test, ANOVA, or Wilcoxon tests. Correlations between BP indices were assessed using Pearson test.

The association between ankle BP indices and outcomes were assessed using Cox proportional hazards survival regression models. The proportional hazards assumption was checked by visual inspection of log-log survival plots.

Hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% confidence intervals (CI), were computed for outcomes according to splines of ankle BP indices using the following knots as previously reported [17]: 100, 140, 180 and 200 mmHg for ankle SBP (with 150 mmHg as the reference value); 0.7, 0.9, 1.2, and 1.5 for ABI (with 1.0 as the reference value); and 40, 60, 100 and 140 mmHg for APPD (with 80 mmHg as the reference value). Nonlinearity was tested by comparing the spline models with the linear models, using likelihood ratio tests.

HRs (95% CI) were also computed for outcomes by ankle BP indices categorized into four groups (i.e., fourths) using quartiles: ankle SBP (<140, 140–156, 156–172, and \geq 172 mmHg), ABI (<1, 1–1.1, 1.1–1.2, and \geq 1.2), and APPD (<80, 80–95, 95–110, and \geq 110 mmHg). HRs (95% CI) were computed for the lowest groups compared to the highest fourth. Associations between ankle BP indices and outcomes were performed in the whole cohort (3 pooled studies), and in people with and without type 2 diabetes. We tested the interaction between ankle BP indices and the baseline history of type 2 diabetes in their associations with the outcomes, by including the multiplicative interaction terms into the multivariable Cox models.

We also compute HRs (95% CI) of outcomes by the highest fourths compared to the lowest of arm SBP (<130, 130–142, 142–155, and \geq 155 mmHg), DBP (<75, 75–82, 82–90, and \geq 90 mmHg), and pulse pressure (<50, 50–60, 60–70, and \geq 70 mmHg).

HRs were adjusted for sex, age, study membership, ethnic group, history of type 2 diabetes (except for analyses in people with and without diabetes), hypertension, CVD, and PAD, current and former smoking, LDL cholesterol, HDL cholesterol, estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR, computed using the Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration equation), the number of used antihypertensive drugs, the use of statin, acetylsalicylic acid or antiplatelet treatments, as well as arm SBP (for ankle SBP analyses) or ankle SBP (for arm BP analyses).

Statistics were calculated by using SAS software version 9.4 (SAS Institute; www.sas.com), and Stata software version 15 (StataCorp; www.stata.com). Two-sided p values < 0.05 were considered significant.

Results

Characteristics of participants at baseline

Among 42,929 participants of mean age 65.6 ± 7.5 years, 31.3% were female, and 67.2% were white (Additional file Table S1). A history of hypertension, CVD, and PAD was present at baseline in 72.8%, 61.2%, and 5.1% of participants, respectively.

A history of type 2 diabetes was noted at baseline in 21,484 (50.1%) participants. The Additional file Table S2 shows baseline characteristics of participants with and without type 2 diabetes. Arm and ankle BP indices (except ABI) were statistically higher in people with type 2 diabetes compared with those without diabetes. The mean (\pm SD) arm SBP, DBP, pulse pressure, ankle SBP, APPD, and ABI were 141 \pm 18 mmHg, 82 \pm 11 mmHg, 58 \pm 14 mmHg, 152 \pm 25 mmHg, 94 \pm 25 mmHg, and 1.09 \pm 0.17, respectively in people without diabetes. They were 145 \pm 19 mmHg, 83 \pm 11 mmHg, 62 \pm 15 mmHg, 156 \pm 27 mmHg, 95 \pm 28 mmHg, and 1.09 \pm 0.19, respectively in people with diabetes.

Correlations between arm and ankle blood pressure indices

The correlations between different arm and ankle BP indices are shown in the Additional file Table S3. The correlations between arm and ankle BP indices were weak. The highest correlations were observed among the ankle BP indices. APPD shows the highest correlation with ankle SBP (r^2 0.72, 95% CI 0.71–0.73), and with ABI (r^2 0.78, 95% CI 0.77–0.79).

Incidence of expanded MACE

During a median (25th, 75th percentiles) follow-up of 5.0 (4.5, 5.7) years (i.e., 201,107 person-years), expanded MACE occurred in 7230 (16.8%) participants, corresponding to an incidence rate of 3.60 (95% CI 3.51-3.68) per 100 person-years (Additional file Table S1). The incidence rate of expanded MACE was 3.17 (95% CI 3.06–3.28) per 100 person-years in people without type 2 diabetes, and 4.0 (95% CI 3.87-4.11) per 100 personyears in those with type 2 diabetes (Additional file Table S2). Characteristics of participants at baseline according to the incidence of expanded MACE during follow-up are summarized in Table 1 for people with and without type 2 diabetes. Participants who experienced expanded MACE during follow-up had a higher arm SBP and pulse pressure, and a lower arm DBP, ankle SBP, ABI and APPD than those who remained free of this outcome.

Arm blood pressure indices and the incidence of primary and secondary outcomes

The relationships between arm BP indices and outcomes are shown for the whole cohort in the Additional file Fig. S1 and S2. High arm SBP and pulse pressure were associated with a higher incidence of expanded MACE. Thus, compared to people whose arm BP indices were in the lowest fourth, multivariable adjusted HRs (95% CI) for each higher fourth were 1.07 (95% CI 0.99–1.14), HR 1.16 (95% CI 1.08–1.24), and HR 1.39 (95% CI 1.29–1.49) for SBP, and HR 1.03 (95% CI 0.96–1.10), HR 1.14 (95% CI 1.06–1.22), and HR 1.29 (95% CI 1.20–1.38) for pulse pressure, respectively. The strongest associations were noted for stroke (Additional file Fig. S2, Panel D).

Ankle blood pressure indices and the incidence of primary outcome

The relationships between splines of ankle BP indices and the incidence of expanded MACE are illustrated in the whole cohort (Additional file Fig. S3) and in people with and without type 2 diabetes (Fig. 1). Each ankle BP indice had a nonlinear inverse relationship with incident expanded MACE (all *p* for nonlinearity ≤ 0.0001). Significant relationships were also observed for categories of ankle BP indices, with lower levels associated with higher HRs (Additional file Fig. S4). Thus, compared to people whose ankle BP indices were in the highest fourth, multivariable adjusted HR (95% CI) for each lower fourth were 1.05 (95% CI 0.98–1.12), HR 1.17 (95% CI 1.08–1.25), and HR 1.54 (95% CI 1.43-1.65) for ankle SBP; HR 1.06 (95% CI 0.99-1.14), HR 1.26 (95% CI 1.17-1.35), and HR 1.48 (95% CI 1.38-1.58) for ABI; and HR 1.02 (95% CI, 0.95-1.10), HR 1.15 (95% CI, 1.07-1.23), and HR 1.48 (95% CI, 1.38–1.58) for APPD (Additional file Fig. S4). As shown in Figs. 1 and 2, the shape and magnitude of the relationships between ankle BP indices and expanded MACE

Table 1 Characteristics of participants at baseline by incidence of expanded major adverse Cardiovascular events during follow-up in people with and without type 2 diabetes

	Participants without type 2 diabetes			Participants with type 2 diabetes		
	Expanded MACE		p	Expanded MACE		р
	No	Yes		No	Yes	_
N (%)	18,374 (85.7)	3071 (14.3)		17,325 (80.6)	4159 (19.4)	
Female	4961 (27.0)	713 (23.2)	< 0.0001	6445 (37.2)	1314 (31.6)	< 0.0001
Age (years)	66.1 ± 7.3	68.5 ± 7.9	< 0.0001	64.3 ± 7.3	66.9 ± 7.7	< 0.0001
White European	13,640 (74.2)	2267 (73.8)	0.62	10,402 (60.0)	2554 (61.4)	0.11
History of hypertension	11,827 (64.4)	2159 (70.3)	< 0.0001	13,807 (79.7)	3447 (82.9)	< 0.0001
Prior cardiovascular disease	12,735 (69.3)	2386 (77.7)	< 0.0001	8556 (49.4)	2606 (62.7)	< 0.0001
Prior peripheral artery disease	945 (5.1)	211 (6.9)	< 0.0001	758 (4.4)	267 (6.4)	< 0.0001
Current smoking	2276 (12.4)	475 (15.5)	< 0.0001	1862 (10.8)	558 (13.4)	< 0.0001
History of former smoking	9646 (52.5)	1628 (53.0)		7795 (45.0)	1943 (46.7)	
Body mass index (kg/m²)	27.7 ± 4.3	27.6 ± 4.6	0.38	29.5 ± 5.1	29.3 ± 5.2	0.04
Arm SBP (mmHg)	140 ± 18	142 ± 19	< 0.0001	144 ± 19	146 ± 21	< 0.0001
Arm DBP (mmHg)	82 ± 10	81 ± 11	0.01	83 ± 11	82 ± 12	0.01
Pulse pressure (mmHg)	58 ± 14	60 ± 15	< 0.0001	61 ± 15	64 ± 16	< 0.0001
Ankle SBP (mmHg)	153 ± 25	149 ± 28	< 0.0001	157 ± 26	153 ± 31	< 0.0001
Ankle-brachial index	1.10 ± 0.17	1.06 ± 0.19	< 0.0001	1.10 ± 0.18	1.05 ± 0.21	< 0.0001
APPD (mmHg)	95 ± 25	89 ± 28	< 0.0001	96 ± 27	89 ± 31	< 0.0001
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l)	2.92 ± 0.97	3.02 ± 1.01	< 0.0001	2.91 ± 1.02	3.01 ± 1.06	< 0.0001
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l)	1.28 ± 0.41	1.26 ± 0.41	0.03	1.21 ± 0.36	1.18 ± 0.35	< 0.0001
eGFR (ml/min/1.73 m ²)	72 ± 16	68 ± 18	< 0.0001	74 ± 18	67 ± 19	< 0.0001
Use of antihypertensive drugs	18,121 (98.6)	3020 (98.3)	0.22	16,208 (93.6)	3968 (95.4)	< 0.0001
Number of antihypertensive drugs	2.8 ± 1.0	2.7 ± 1.0	< 0.0001	2.2 ± 1.1	2.3 ± 1.1	0.0003
Use of statins	12,089 (65.8)	1805 (58.8)	< 0.0001	9080 (52.4)	2111 (50.8)	0.06
Use of ASA or antiplatelet drugs	18,009 (98.0)	3001 (97.7)	0.29	14,526 (83.8)	3584 (86.2)	0.0002

Categorical and continuous variables are expressed as n (%) and as mean±SD, respectively. Comparisons were performed using x² test and ANOVA tests. MACE, major adverse cardiovascular events; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; PAD, peripheral artery disease; APPD, ankle-pulse pressure difference; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; ASA, acetylsalicylic acid

were similar in people with and without type 2 diabetes (all p for interaction > 0.05).

Ankle blood pressure indices and the incidence of secondary outcomes

During the study period, cardiovascular mortality, total myocardial infarction, hospitalization for heart failure, and total stroke occurred in 3210 (7.5%), 2133 (5.0%), 1895 (4.4%), and 1966 (4.6%) participants, corresponding to the incidence rates of 1.51 (95% CI 1.46-1.56), 1.03 (95% CI 0.98-1.07), 0.91 (95% CI 0.87-0.95), and 0.94 (95% CI 0.90-0.98) per 100 person-years, respectively. The incidence rates of secondary outcomes were statistically higher in people with type 2 diabetes compared with those without diabetes, except for myocardial infarction, which was similar between groups (Additional file Table S2). Overall, inverse and nonlinear relationships were observed between each ankle BP indice and cardiovascular mortality, fatal and non-fatal myocardial infarction, and hospitalization for heart failure (all p for nonlinearity<0.05), with lower levels associated with higher HRs (Additional file Fig. S5). The largest effect size was noted for hospitalization for heart failure. Hence, compared to people whose ankle BP indices were in the highest fourth, multivariable adjusted HRs of hospitalization for heart failure for each lower fourth were 1.05 (95% CI 0.90-1.21), HR 1.21 (95% CI 1.05-1.40), and HR 1.93 (95% CI 1.68-2.22) for ankle SBP; HR 0.90 (95% CI 0.77-1.05), HR 1.23 (95% CI 1.07-1.41), and HR 1.64 (95% CI 1.44-1.87) for ABI; and HR 1.08 (95% CI 0.93-1.26), HR 1.30 (95% CI 1.12-1.50), and HR 1.97 (95% CI 1.72–2.25) for APPD (Additional file Fig. S6, Panel C). Whereas, the smallest associations were observed for fatal and non-fatal stroke. Compared to people whose ankle BP indices were in the highest fourth, multivariable adjusted HRs of total stroke for each lower fourth were 1.04 (95% CI 0.92-1.18), HR 1.11 (95% CI 0.97-1.27), and HR 1.21 (95% CI 1.06-1.40) for ankle SBP; HR 1.29 (95% CI 1.12-1.48), HR 1.40 (95% CI 1.22-1.60), and HR 1.50 (95% CI 1.31-1.72) for ABI; and HR 1.07 (95% CI 0.94-1.22), HR 0.99 (95% CI 0.87-1.13), and HR 1.16 (95% CI 1.02-1.32) for APPD (Additional file Fig. S6, Panel D). The relationships between ankle BP indices and secondary outcomes for people with and without diabetes are shown in Fig. 3. The magnitude of these relationships was



Fig. 1 Risk of primary outcome during follow-up by splines of ankle blood pressure indices at baseline in people with and without type 2 diabetes. Multivariable-adjusted hazard ratios (HR, solid line) and 95% confidence intervals (shaded region) for expanded major adverse cardiovascular events (MACE) during follow-up according to splines of ankle BP indices at baseline (as continuous variables) compared to a reference value (diamond) as described in Methods. Y axes are log scaled. Hazard ratios were adjusted for baseline age, sex, study membership, ethnic group, history of hypertension, CVD, and peripheral artery disease, current and former smoking, LDL cholesterol, HDL cholesterol, estimated glomerular filtration rate, the number of used antihypertensive drugs, the use of statin, acetylsalicylic acid or antiplatelet treatments, and arm SBP (for ankle SBP)



Fig. 2 Risk of primary outcome during follow-up by categories of ankle blood pressure indices at baseline in people with and without type 2 diabetes. Data presented as number of participants without and with (%) expanded major adverse cardiovascular events (MACE). Hazard ratio (HR, 95% CI) for expanded MACE during follow-up according to fourths of ankle BP indices (expressed as mmHg, except for ankle-brachial index). HRs were adjusted for baseline age, sex, study membership, ethnic group, history of hypertension, CVD, and peripheral artery disease, current and former smoking, LDL cholesterol, HDL cholesterol, estimated glomerular filtration rate, the number of used antihypertensive drugs, the use of statin, acetylsalicylic acid or antiplatelet treatments, and arm SBP (for ankle SBP). Interaction tested between history of type 2 diabetes and ankle BP indices in their associations with the primary outcome. SBP, systolic blood pressure; ABI, ankle-brachial index; APPD, ankle-pulse pressure difference

similar in people with and without type 2 diabetes (all p for interaction > 0.05).

Discussion

In this analysis of data from 42,929 people with and without diabetes, low ankle BP indices were progressively associated with a high risk of expanded MACE, a composite of death from cardiovascular causes, myocardial infarction, stroke, or hospitalization for heart failure. The incidence of expanded MACE rose with lower ankle BPs. These relationships were similar in people with and without type 2 diabetes, and were independent of prior CVD, history of PAD, and traditional risk factors, including arm SBP. The shape of the relationship between all three ankle BP indices and outcomes was similar. The associations were more pronounced for cardiovascular death, total myocardial infarction, and hospitalization for heart failure. Notably, the largest associations were observed for ankle SBP and APPD with the incidence of hospitalization for heart failure. However, only modest associations were observed between ankle BP indices and the incidence of total stroke. Arm BP indices, especially SBP and pulse pressure, were mainly associated with the incidence of total stroke.

Our findings confirm and extend previous reports focusing on the relationship between ABI and CVD [15]. In addition, we report here original data on the relationship between both ankle SBP and APPD, a new indice, and the incidence of expanded MACE and its individual components in people with and without type 2 diabetes. A previous small cross-sectional study has reported an inverse association between ankle SBP and the prevalence of CVD in 1087 participants [24]. We have also recently reported strong, and independent associations between low ankle BP indices and an excess risk of clinical PAD (a composite of lower-limb amputation for arterial causes or requirement for endovascular or surgical revascularization), total mortality, and the composite of either PAD or death, in ONTAGREGT, TRANSCEND and ORIGIN participants without a baseline history of PAD [17]. These associations were also comparable in people with and without diabetes. In a similar vein, we have previously reported independent associations between the absence of ankle pulses and major vascular outcomes in patients with type 2 diabetes [25].

Ankle and arm BP indices were weakly correlated, suggesting that they may provide different prognostic information. In particular, different relationships were observed for hospitalization for heart failure and stroke. The lowest ankle SBP and APPD provided the highest HRs (1.97 and 1.93, respectively) for heart failure hospitalization, whereas the highest arm SBP and pulse pressure provided only low hazard of this outcome (1.14 and 1.26, respectively). In contrast, the HRs for stroke were weaker for the lowest ankle SBP and APPD (1.21 and 1.16, respectively) than for the highest arm SBP and pulse pressure (1.94 and 1.44, respectively). While high arm BP is an established risk factor for stroke and lowering arm BP reduces the risk of stroke [26], it remains unclear whether low ankle BP is a risk factor or only a surrogate for CVD and heart failure. Low ankle SBP and APPD (a gradient between ankle systolic BP and pulse pressure) may reflect arterial stiffness and peripheral vascular resistance, common features observed in people with CVD [10]. Further studies are needed to understand the





Multivariable Adjusted HR (95% CI)

iable Adjusted HR (95% CI) M diver



Multivariable Adjusted HR (95% CI)







underlying mechanisms linking low ankle BP indices and CVD.

A limitation of the present study is the use of an automated oscillometric device rather than a more sensitive ultrasound-based Doppler device to measure ankle BPs [27]. In addition, we conducted a secondary analysis of earlier trials completed more than ten years ago involving people at moderate to very high risk of cardiovascular events, who may not be representative of unselected contemporary people at lower risk. Of the 44,083 participants in the pooled cohort, only 1154 (2.6%) were excluded due to missing data. Although there was a higher incidence of outcomes in the excluded participants with missing data than in those with available data, it seems unlikely that the exclusion of 2.6% of our pooled cohort could introduce a selection bias. Strengths of our study include the large sample size, the diverse patient population with and without type 2 diabetes from 53 countries, and the follow-up for more than 5 years. In addition, the methods used to measure arm and ankle BP in these studies were standardized worldwide, including the patient's position during measurement, the size of the arm and leg cuffs, the position of the cuff on the extremity, and the technique of pulse detection over the brachial artery and at the ankles.

Conclusions

In summary, inverse and independent associations were observed between low ankle BP indices and high 5-year incidence of cardiovascular adverse events. The associations were similar in people with and without type 2 diabetes. The largest effect size was noted for ankle SBP and APPD with respect to hospitalization for heart failure, but only a marginal association was observed for stroke. Arm SBP and pulse pressure seem to be more relevant for stroke. Our findings suggest that the use of ankle BP indices in routine clinical assessment may help identify individuals at highest risk of cardiovascular outcomes. Further studies are needed to understand the underlying mechanisms linking low ankle BP and CVD, and its potential use as a therapeutic target in clinical trials.

Abbreviations

ABI	Ankle-Brachial Index
ACE	Angiotensin-converting enzyme
APPD	Ankle-pulse pressure difference
CVD	Cardiovascular disease
DBP	Diastolic blood pressure
eGFR	Estimated glomerular filtration rate
HR	Hazard Ratio
IC	Confidence interval
IQR	Interquartile range
MACE	Major adverse cardiovascular events
ONTARGET	ONgoing Telmisartan Alone and in Combination with
	Ramipril Global Endpoint Trial
ORIGIN	Outcome reduction with an initial glargine intervention
PAD	Peripheral artery disease
SBP	Systolic blood pressure

SD Standard deviation

TRANSCEND Telmisartan Randomised AssessmeNt Study in ACE intolerant subjects with cardiovascular disease

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1186/s12933-024-02383-z.

٢.	,
	Additional file 1.
	Additional file 2.
	Additional file 3.
	Additional file 4.
	Additional file 5.
	Additional file 6.
	Additional file 7.
	Additional file 8.
	Additional file 9.
¢.	

Acknowledgements

KM has received a sabbatical funding from Population Health Research Institute Hamilton, Ontario, Canada. MP is supported by the E.J. Moran Campbell Internal Career Research Award from McMaster University, and an Early Career award from Hamilton Health Sciences.

Author contributions

K.M. and H.G. designed the study, research data, and drafted the manuscript. M.P., J.B., and S.Y. contributed to the discussion and reviewed and edited the manuscript. K.M. and H.G. are the guarantor of this work and, as such, had full access to all the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis. All authors approved the final version of the manuscript.

Funding

The ORIGIN trial was funded by Sanofi, and ONTARGET and TRANSCEND were funded by Boehringer Ingelheim. There was no separate funding source for these analyses.

Data availability

The data sharing policy is described in the Additional file 2.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The protocols of ORIGIN, ONTARGET and TRANSCEND trials were approved by the ethics committee at each study site, and all participants provided written informed consent.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

K.M. reports consulting fees from Novo Nordisk; honoraria for lectures, presentations, or speaker bureaus from Novo Nordisk, Astra Zeneca, Boehringer-Ingelheim, Eli Lilly, Sanofi, Lifescan, Abbott, and Bayer; and participation to Advisory Board from Novo Nordisk, Sanofi and Amarin. M.P. declares no conflicts of interest. J.B. reports personal fees from Bayer AG for event adjudication. S.Y. declares no conflicts of interest. HCG holds the McMaster-Sanofi Population Health Institute Chair in Diabetes Research and Care. He reports research grants from Eli Lilly, Novo Nordisk, Sanofi, Zuellig, and Jiangsu-Hansen; and consulting fees from Abbott, Eli Lilly, Novo Nordisk, Sanofi, Pfizer, Boehringer Ingelheim, Kowa and Hanmi.

¹Population Health Research Institute, McMaster University, 237 Barton St E, Hamilton, ON L8L 2X2, Canada

²Université de Bordeaux, INSERM, BMC, U1034, Avenue de Magellan, 33604 Pessac, France

³School of Rehabilitation Science, McMaster University, Hamilton, ON, Canada

Received: 21 June 2024 / Accepted: 30 July 2024 Published online: 03 September 2024

References

- Ettehad D, Emdin CA, Kiran A, Anderson SG, Callender T, Emberson J, Chalmers J, Rodgers A, Rahimi K. Blood pressure lowering for prevention of cardiovascular disease and death: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Lancet. 2016;387(100221):957–67.
- Flint AC, Conell C, Bhatt DL. Systolic and diastolic blood pressure and Cardiovascular outcomes. Reply. N Engl J Med. 2019;381(171):1692–3.
- Yusuf S, Joseph P, Rangarajan S, Islam S, Mente A, Hystad P, Brauer M, Kutty VR, Gupta R, Wielgosz A, et al. Modifiable risk factors, cardiovascular disease, and mortality in 155 722 individuals from 21 high-income, middle-income, and low-income countries (PURE): a prospective cohort study. Lancet. 2020;395(10226I):795–808.
- Lewis CE, Fine LJ, Beddhu S, Cheung AK, Cushman WC, Cutler JA, Evans GW, Johnson KC, Kitzman DW, Oparil S, et al. Final report of a trial of intensive versus standard blood-pressure control. N Engl J Med. 2021;384(201):1921–30.
- Magnussen C, Ojeda FM, Leong DP, Alegre-Diaz J, Amouyel P, Aviles-Santa L, De Bacquer D, Ballantyne CM, Bernabe-Ortiz A, Bobak M, et al. Global effect of modifiable risk factors on Cardiovascular Disease and Mortality. N Engl J Med. 2023;389(141):1273–85.
- Mensah GA, Fuster V, Murray CJL, Roth GA. Global Burden of Cardiovascular diseases and risks, 1990–2022. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2023;82(25)):2350–473.
- Selvaraj S, Steg PG, Elbez Y, Sorbets E, Feldman LJ, Eagle KA, Ohman EM, Blacher J, Bhatt DL. Pulse pressure and risk for Cardiovascular events in patients with atherothrombosis: from the REACH Registry. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2016;67(41):392–403.
- Itoga NK, Tawfik DS, Montez-Rath ME, Chang TI. Contributions of systolic and diastolic blood pressures to Cardiovascular outcomes in the ALLHAT Study. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2021;78(171):1671–8.
- 9. Domanski M, Norman J, Wolz M, Mitchell G, Pfeffer M. Cardiovascular risk assessment using pulse pressure in the first national health and nutrition examination survey (NHANES I). Hypertension. 2001;38(4):793–7.
- 10. Homan TD, Bordes SJ, Cichowski E. Physiology, Pulse Pressure. 2024.
- Gerhard-Herman MD, Gornik HL, Barrett C, Barshes NR, Corriere MA, Drachman DE, Fleisher LA, Fowkes FGR, Hamburg NM, Kinlay S, et al. 2016 AHA/ ACC Guideline on the management of patients with Lower Extremity Peripheral Artery Disease: executive summary: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice guidelines. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2017;69(111):1465–508.
- 12. Aboyans V, Ricco JB, Bartelink MEL, Bjorck M, Brodmann M, Cohnert T, Collet JP, Czerny M, De Carlo M, Debus S, et al. 2017 ESC guidelines on the diagnosis and treatment of peripheral arterial diseases, in collaboration with the European Society for Vascular Surgery (ESVS): document covering atherosclerotic disease of extracranial carotid and vertebral, mesenteric, renal, upper and lower extremity arteriesEndorsed by: the European Stroke Organization (ESO) the Task Force for the diagnosis and treatment of peripheral arterial diseases

of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) and of the European Society for Vascular Surgery (ESVS). Eur Heart J. 2018;39(91):763–816.

- McDermott MM, Ho KJ, Alabi O, Criqui MH, Goodney P, Hamburg N, McNeal DM, Pollak A, Smolderen KG, Bonaca M. Disparities in diagnosis, treatment, and outcomes of Peripheral Artery Disease: JACC Scientific Statement. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2023;82(24)):2312–28.
- Sonderman M, Aday AW, Farber-Eger E, Mai Q, Freiberg MS, Liebovitz DM, Greenland P, McDermott MM, Beckman JA, Wells Q. Identifying patients with peripheral artery Disease using the Electronic Health Record: A Pragmatic Approach. JACC Adv 2023; 2(7I).
- Fowkes FG, Murray GD, Butcher I, Heald CL, Lee RJ, Chambless LE, Folsom AR, Hirsch AT, Dramaix M, deBacker G, et al. Ankle brachial index combined with Framingham Risk score to predict cardiovascular events and mortality: a meta-analysis. JAMA. 2008;300(21):197–208.
- Cosentino F, Grant PJ, Aboyans V, Bailey CJ, Ceriello A, Delgado V, Federici M, Filippatos G, Grobbee DE, Hansen TB, et al. 2019 ESC guidelines on diabetes, pre-diabetes, and cardiovascular diseases developed in collaboration with the EASD. Eur Heart J. 2020;41(21):255–323.
- Mohammedi K, Pigeyre M, Bosch J, Yusuf S, Gerstein HC. Arm and ankle blood pressure indices, and peripheral artery disease, and mortality: a cohort study. Eur Heart J. 2024.
- Yusuf S, Teo K, Anderson C, Pogue J, Dyal L, Copland I, Schumacher H, Dagenais G, Sleight P. Effects of the angiotensin-receptor blocker telmisartan on cardiovascular events in high-risk patients intolerant to angiotensinconverting enzyme inhibitors: a randomised controlled trial. Lancet. 2008;372(96441):1174–83.
- Yusuf S, Teo KK, Pogue J, Dyal L, Copland I, Schumacher H, Dagenais G, Sleight P, Anderson C. Telmisartan, Ramipril, or both in patients at high risk for vascular events. N Engl J Med. 2008;358(15I):1547–59.
- Gerstein HC, Bosch J, Dagenais GR, Diaz R, Jung H, Maggioni AP, Pogue J, Probstfield J, Ramachandran A, Riddle MC, et al. Basal insulin and cardiovascular and other outcomes in dysglycemia. N Engl J Med. 2012;367(4I):319–28.
- Bosch J, Gerstein HC, Dagenais GR, Diaz R, Dyal L, Jung H, Maggiono AP, Probstfield J, Ramachandran A, Riddle MC, et al. n-3 fatty acids and cardiovascular outcomes in patients with dysglycemia. N Engl J Med. 2012;367(41):309–18.
- Grim CE, Grim CM. Omron HEM-711 DLX home blood pressure monitor passes the European Society of Hypertension International Validation Protocol. Blood Press Monit. 2008;13(41):225–6.
- El Assaad MA, Topouchian JA, Asmar RG. Evaluation of two devices for selfmeasurement of blood pressure according to the international protocol: the Omron M5-I and the Omron 705IT. Blood Press Monit. 2003;8(3I):127–33.
- 24. Viswambharan H, Cheng CW, Kain K. Differential associations of ankle and brachial blood pressures with diabetes and cardiovascular diseases: cross-sectional study. Sci Rep. 2021;11(1):9406.
- Mohammedi K, Woodward M, Zoungas S, Li Q, Harrap S, Patel A, Marre M, Chalmers J. Absence of Peripheral pulses and Risk of Major Vascular outcomes in patients with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Care. 2016;39(12l):2270–7.
- Turnbull F. Effects of different blood-pressure-lowering regimens on major cardiovascular events: results of prospectively-designed overviews of randomised trials. Lancet. 2003;362(9395)):1527–35.
- Koelemay MJ, den Hartog D, Prins MH, Kromhout JG, Legemate DA, Jacobs MJ. Diagnosis of arterial disease of the lower extremities with duplex ultrasonography. Br J Surg. 1996;83(31):404–9.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.