

# **Maternal exposure to pesticides induces perturbations in the gut microbiota and blood–brain barrier of dams and the progeny, prevented by a prebiotic**

Maria Abou Diwan, Narimane Djekkoun, Marie-Christine Boucau, Aurélie Corona, Lucie Dehouck, Maurice Biendo, Fabien Gosselet, Véronique Bach, Pietra Candela, Hafida Khorsi-Cauet

## **To cite this version:**

Maria Abou Diwan, Narimane Djekkoun, Marie-Christine Boucau, Aurélie Corona, Lucie Dehouck, et al.. Maternal exposure to pesticides induces perturbations in the gut microbiota and blood–brain barrier of dams and the progeny, prevented by a prebiotic. Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 2024, 10.1007/s11356-024-34969-1. hal-04711499

# **HAL Id: hal-04711499 <https://hal.science/hal-04711499v1>**

Submitted on 27 Sep 2024

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



[Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - NoDerivatives 4.0](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/) [International License](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)

#### **RESEARCH ARTICLE**



## **Maternal exposure to pesticides induces perturbations in the gut microbiota and blood–brain barrier of dams and the progeny, prevented by a prebiotic**

Maria Abou Diwan<sup>1,2</sup> · Narimane Djekkoun<sup>1</sup> · Marie-Christine Boucau<sup>2</sup> · Aurélie Corona<sup>1</sup> · Lucie Dehouck<sup>2</sup> · Maurice Biendo<sup>1</sup> • Fabien Gosselet<sup>2</sup> • Véronique Bach<sup>1</sup> • Pietra Candela<sup>2</sup> • Hafida Khorsi-Cauet<sup>1</sup>

Received: 9 July 2024 / Accepted: 9 September 2024 © The Author(s) 2024

## **Abstract**

Exposure to pesticide residues during the frst 1000 days of life can disrupt body homeostasis and contribute to chronic metabolic diseases. Perinatal chlorpyrifos (CPF) exposure alters gut microbiota (GM) balance, potentially afecting ofspring's health. Given the GM infuence on brain function, the primary aim is to determine if pesticide-induced dysbiosis (microbial imbalance) afects indirectly other organs, such as the blood–brain barrier (BBB). The secondary objective is to evaluate the prebiotics protective efects, particularly inulin in promoting microbial balance (symbiosis), in both mothers and ofspring. A total of 15 or more female rats were divided in 4 groups: control, oral CPF-exposed (1 mg/kg/day), exposed to inulin (10 g/L), and co-exposed to CPF and inulin from pre-gestation until weaning of pups. Samples from intestines, spleen, liver, and brain microvessels underwent microbiological and biomolecular analyses. Bacterial culture assessed GM composition of living bacteria and their translocation to non-intestinal organs. RT qPCR and Western blotting detected gene expression and protein levels of tight junction markers in brain microvessels. CPF exposure caused gut dysbiosis in ofspring, with decreased *Lactobacillus* and *Bifdobacterium* and increased *Escherichia coli* (*p* < 0.01) leading to bacterial translocation to the spleen and liver. CPF also decreased tight junction's gene expression levels (50 to 60% decrease of CLDN3,  $p < 0.05$ ). In contrast, inulin partially mitigated these adverse efects and restored gene expression to control levels. Our fndings demonstrate a causal link between GM alterations and BBB integrity disruptions. The protective efects of inulin suggest potential therapeutic strategies to counteract pesticide-induced dysbiosis.

Keywords Gut-microbiota-BBB axis · Pesticides · Chlorpyrifos · Prebiotics · Perinatal period · Rat model · Dysbiosis · Bacterial translocation

#### **Highlights**

- First in vivo study examining Chlorpyrifos (CPF) and inulin's combined efects on the blood–brain barrier (BBB)
- Use of a rat model to mimic perinatal exposure efects on gut microbiota (GM) and BBB
- Analysis of sex-specifc responses to indirect CPF-exposure in offspring
- Inulin mitigates CPF-induced gut dysbiosis and bacterial translocation to sterile organs
- CPF reduces tight junction gene expression in brain microvessels, partially restored by inulin
- Findings suggest inulin as a potential preventive strategy against pesticide-induced GM dysbiosis

Responsible Editor: Lotf Aleya

Pietra Candela and Hafda Khorsi-Cauet contributed equally to this work.

Extended author information available on the last page of the article

## **Introduction**

The rising global population has increased food demand, leading to widespread pesticide use, which poses signifcant health concerns, particularly through chronic oral exposure (Mostafalou and Abdollahi 2016). This has led to restrictions of pesticide use and the prohibition of some substances, like dichloro-diphenyl-trichloroethane (DDT) and the shift to lower-risk alternatives: organophosphates and carbamates (Abubakar et al. 2019). Organophosphates, acetylcholinesterase (AChE) inhibitors, have been used in large quantities for many years which led to accumulation in soils and water despite having a half-life of less than 200 days (Supreeth and Raju 2017). Chlorpyrifos (CPF), a widely used organophosphate insecticide, is known to exert its toxic efects primarily by inhibiting AChE, a pivotal enzyme in nerve impulse transmission (Darwiche et al. 2018; El Khayat El Sabbouri et al. 2020). The irreversible inhibition of AChE is due to metabolic activation of CPF to CPF-oxon. This leads to the accumulation of acetylcholine (ACh) at synapses and neuromuscular junctions, resulting in overstimulation of the nervous system, causing neurotoxicity, oxidative stress, and infammation through the PI3K/AKT/JNK pathway (Zhang et al. 2023).

The nervous system is not the only target of CPF toxicity. Recent studies have shown that CPF exposure may disrupt body homeostasis by afecting the gut microbiota (GM) and the blood–brain barrier (BBB), although the exact mechanisms remain unclear (Li and Ehrich 2013; Joly Condette et al. 2015; Balaguer-Trias et al. 2022; Abou Diwan et al. 2023). Bidirectional communication exists between GM and BBB and is part of the endocrine network in the gut-microbiota-brain axis. However, the causal relationship between CPF exposure, changes in GM, and alteration of the BBB has not been elucidated.

GM is a major gut component and a critical regulator of food contaminants and body homeostasis. This complex community of microorganisms, primarily bacteria of two major phyla *Bacillota* and *Bacteroidota*, is implicated in many physiological functions including absorption, metabolism, the endocrine system, and immunity (Valdes Ana et al. 2018; Matsuzaki et al. 2023).

In pregnant dams, CPF exposure has been associated with an imbalance in the intestinal fora known as intestinal dysbiosis characterized by a decrease in the potentially benefcial fora and an increase in the potentially pathogenic fora (Jin et al. 2016; Djekkoun et al. 2022a, b). This imbalance can be countered by inulin, a prebiotic that promotes the growth of benefcial bacteria like *Bifdobacterium* and *Lactobacillus* and prevents intestinal dysbiosis and bacterial translocation (the passage of bacteria across the intestinal barrier (IB) (Reygner et al. 2016; Réquilé et al. 2018). In fact, prebiotics are emerging as one of the most frequently used substances to maintain a healthy microbiome or restore its balance when bacterial equilibrium is disrupted (Uerlings et al. 2020). As a soluble dietary fber found in many plant species such as chicory and artichoke, inulin is fermented by the benefcial bacteria (*Bifdobacterium* and *Lactobacillus*) of the GM to benefcial metabolites including short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs) (Wang et al. 2023). It is now a well-known prebiotic with an outstanding benefcial regulation of intestinal microbiota and is well-tolerated up to 10 g/day in healthy young adults (Bonnema et al. 2010; Holscher et al. 2014). However, the consequences of intestinal microbiota modulations by dietary fibers on BBB and the alleviation of pesticide effects by prebiotics have not been well documented.

In numerous investigations, the GM has been scrutinized as an autonomous entity, largely neglecting the implications of pesticide-triggered intestinal dysbiosis on the BBB.

Given the interconnectedness of the gut-brain axis, investigating inulin's efects on the GM, and BBB is crucial. CPFinduced gut dysbiosis may compromise the BBB, a vital barrier that protects the brain, potentially leading to neurological disorders. The integrity of the BBB relies on tight junctions, and their disruption could permit harmful substances to enter the brain, causing central nervous system (CNS) damage. The long-term effects of such disruptions, especially in offspring, are not well understood (Li and Ehrich 2013; Lee et al. 2018; Réquilé et al. 2018; Cresto et al. 2023).

While most research has focused on the efects of CPF alone, our study uniquely explores the combined impact of CPF and inulin on GM and BBB during pregnancy. Notably, this is the frst in vivo study to address the efect of CPF and inulin on the BBB, highlighting the novelty of our work. By examining inulin's protective role against CPF-induced dysbiosis and its infuence on BBB integrity, this research makes a signifcant contribution to the feld. Using a rat model to mimic the perinatal period in vivo, we assessed how pesticide exposure during gestation and lactation afects GM and BBB integrity in both mothers and offspring. Our analyses had a specifc focus on sex-specifc responses, as diferences in CPF exposure efects between males and females have been documented (Shaffo et al. 2018; Guardia-Escote et al. 2018).

## **Materials and methods**

## **Animals and study design**

#### **Animal housing**

Wistar rats (Janvier laboratory—Saint Berthevin, France) were housed in pairs under stable environmental conditions, with an ambient temperature  $23 \pm 1$  °C, a relative humidity of 26% and a 12-h light/dark cycle).

Daily animals' welfare monitoring and experiment protocol approval were previously detailed in our studies (Guibourdenche et al. 2021; Djekkoun et al. 2022a; Lahimer et al. 2023). The experiment protocol was approved by the local ethic committee (C2EA-96), the Regional Directorate for Health, Animal Protection, and the Environment in Amiens, France, as well as the Ministry of Research (reference number APAFIS #8207–2016121322563594 v2, approved on September 5, 2017). All animals were treated in compliance with EU Directive 2010/63.

#### **Experimental design**

Following a 1-week acclimation period, 16 mothers (7-weekold, body weight (BW)  $225 \pm 4.9$  g) were randomly assigned to diferent experimental conditions (*n*=4/group). For the reproduction protocol, each group was confgured to include four females. This setup was designed to ensure a minimum of 6 to 10 female/male ofspring for the analysis of passive impacts. Females were divided into 4 groups: C (control, standard chow (SC) diet, Serlab, Montataire, France), CPF (Chlorpyrifos), CPFI (CPF  $+$  inulin) and I (inulin). CPF females received 1 mg/kg of BW/day of CPF (O. O-diethyl-O-(3.5.6-trichloro-2-pyridinyl) phosphorothioate solution (purity  $99.8\% \pm 0.1\%$ , supplied by LGC Standards Laboratory, Molsheim, France). Dissolved in organic rapeseed oil, CPF was orally administered to the animals on a daily basis for 5 consecutive days, followed by a 2-day break, using gavage. 1 mg/kg BW represents the "no observed adverse efect level" (NOAEL) for the inhibition of cerebral cholinesterase activity in rats within the CPF groups. The remaining two groups were subjected to the mentioned diet and supplemented with prebiotics: 10 g/L inulin in water. The mean intake of inulin was 3.73 mg  $\pm$  0.04 mg/g of BW/day (Djekkoun et al. 2022a) (estimated based on the volume of water consumed daily, as dams were housed individually from pregnancy until lactation).

The 16 female dams were mated and upon pregnancy confrmation, housed individually, and exposed until the end of lactation. They were divided into 4 groups based on maternal exposure: CTRL group (standard chow), CPF group (standard chow + CPF), inulin (standard chow + inulin), CPF-inulin (standard chow+CPF and inulin). The day of birth was marked as post-natal day 1 (PND1). Each litter averaged 10 pups, with 54% males. Ofspring were monitored from birth until their early adult stage (60 days). They were not given any treatment and had unrestricted access to standard food and water. Animals were sacrifced at PND60 (young adults). Animals were fasted, the night before sacrifice and euthanized using intraperitoneal sodium pentobarbital (EXAGON 140 mg/kg–0.35 mL/kg) (Axience SAS, Pantin, France). Brain samples, intestinal segments, and other organs (liver, spleen, AND adipose tissue) were collected under sterile conditions and stored at−80 °C (Fig. 1).

## **Microbiological analysis**

## **Bacterial composition of intestinal microbiota and bacterial translocation**

As described in Djekkoun et al. (2022b, a), the organs were measured in weight, placed within a sterile stomacher bag, and then blended using Ringer's saline solution (Bio-Rad, Marne la Coquette, France). Subsequently, serial dilutions were prepared by a factor of ten. Following this, 1 mL of the homogenized intestinal segments and sterile organs was



**Fig. 1** Experimental study design

evenly distributed onto various selective and non-selective media to determine the presence of aerobic and anaerobic microbiota qualitatively and quantitatively (Bactron Anaerobic, Sheldon Manufacturing, Cornelius, OR, USA). The cultures were incubated at 37  $\mathrm{^{\circ}C}$  for a period ranging between 48 h and 4 days, and bacterial identifcation was performed using established microbiological methods. The isolated colonies on the Petri dishes were enumerated using an automated system (Scan® 500, Inter-science, St Nom la Bretèche, France) and expressed as log (colony forming unit CFU)/g of tissue.

## **Bacterial fermentation metabolites**

SCFAs (acetate, butyrate and propionate) were analyzed by gas chromatography in diluted gut microbiota samples and quantifed by comparison to a standard curve (Djekkoun et al. 2022a).

## **Microvessel isolation from rats' brain (BBB)**

#### **Cortices**

The protocol for isolating microvessels from rat's brain protocol was adapted from a previously detailed study of our laboratory colleagues (Coisne et al. 2005). Cortices were isolated, excluding the striatum, brain white matter, cerebellum, and optic nerves. The outer vessels and meninges were carefully removed. The tissue was homogenized in HBSS  $1 \times$  with 10 mM HEPES and 0.1% BSA. The homogenate was combined with 30% dextran in HBSS  $1 \times$ , with 10 mM HEPES and 0.1% BSA and centrifuged at 3000 g for 25 min (min) at 4 °C. The resulting pellet, containing microvessels, was further purifed by repeated centrifugation. The vascular fraction was dissociated and fltered through a 59-µm nylon mesh to separate large vessels from capillaries. The enriched capillaries fraction was fltered through a 5-µm nylon mesh and suspended in ice-cold HBSS  $1 \times$  with 10 mM HEPES and 0.1% BSA. The fltrate was divided into equal volumes and centrifuged at 1000 g for 7 min at 4 °C. The resulting pellets were used for RNA and protein extractions, with NucleoZOL (lysis bufer of Macherey–Nagel NucleoSpin RNA extraction set for NucleoZOL) and RIPA 1×lysis bufer (Merck Millipore, USA) added, respectively. The lysates were homogenized and frozen at−80 °C until further extractions.

#### **mRNA extraction and RT‑PCR**

Microvessel mRNA extraction was then performed using the commercially available Macherey–Nagel NucleoSpin® RNA extraction set for NucleoZOL. The RNA quantity and purity were assessed using a spectrophotometer, measuring absorbance at A260 and A280 nm. Reverse transcription (RT) was conducted in a total volume of 20 µL, comprising 250 ng of RNA, RT-MIX (BioRad iScriptTM Reverse Transcription Supermix), and RNase-free water. RT and qPCR amplifcations method we adopted were previously detailed (Versele et al. 2022). GAPDH and ubiquitin were used as housekeeping genes to normalize mRNA. Primers of housekeeping genes and targets are listed in Table 1 (Life Technologies, Thermo Fisher Scientifc, Courtaboeuf, France).

**Table 1** q-PCR primer pair nucleotide sequences (*Rattus norvegicus*), forward (F) and reverse (R) 5′ to 3′ primer sequence (accession numbers of cDNA from NCBI database)



Endothelial cells, neuronal, and pericyte markers were used to verify the purity of our endothelial cells microvesselenriched fraction.

#### **Protein assay and western blot**

Protein lysates were thawed on ice and centrifuged at 10,000 rpm at 4 °C for 10 min to eliminate cellular debris. The protein assay of supernatant was performed using Bradford method (BioRad, France), at 595 nm (spectrophotometer Synergy H1, BioTek, France).

Samples with 20 µg of protein were prepared in laemmli buffer (BioRad, Munich, Germany) with beta-mercaptoethanol (Sigma-Aldrich, Germany) and then heated at 95 °C for 5 min and electrophoresed using precast Criterion™ TGX™ gels (Bio-rad). Proteins were transferred to nitrocellulose membranes (GE Healthcare, Germany), blocked with Tris-buffered saline containing  $0.1\%$  Tween (TBST) 20 and 5% skimmed milk and incubated with primary antibodies (Table 2) overnight at 4 °C, except for β-actin (20 min at room temperature). After washing with TBST, membranes were incubated with a secondary antibody conjugated with horseradish peroxidase (Table 3). Protein bands were visualized using enhanced chemiluminescence reagent (GE Healthcare) and imaged with the Azure c600 Western blot Imaging system (Azure Biosystems, Dublin, Ireland). Band densities were quantifed using AzureSpot 2.0 Gel Analysis software (Azure Biosystems, Dublin, Ireland), normalized to β-actin.

#### **Statistical analysis**

**Table 2** Primary antibodies used for the western blot

experiment

The bacterial composition data were expressed as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation, unless otherwise specified. SPSS (version 25.0, IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA) and Stat View software (version 5.0, Abacus Concepts Inc., Berkeley, CA, USA) were used to conduct statistical analyses. Both one-way and two-way analyses of variance (ANOVA), as well as a chi-squared test, were employed (Djekkoun et al. 2022a). The statistical signifcance threshold was set at *p*<0.05 for all analyses.

Although for female dams we were limited to 4 groups of 4 dams, we had 7 to 10 pups in each ofspring group. The gut microbiota results we showed were those of male ofspring **Table 3** Secondary antibodies used for the western blot experiment



that were analyzed statistically with  $n > 6$ . In parallel, for the results illustrating the efects on BBB in ofspring, we also had 7 to 10 brains per group and for each microvessel extraction (4 to 5 extractions/group), we needed to pool two brains coming from the same groups to: (1) have enough material for RT-q PCR and western blot analysis, (2) ensure the minimum number allowing statistical analysis, and (3) respect the number of rats allowed in the study based on ethical and animal protection.

The data of RT-qPCR analysis were presented as the average  $\pm$  standard error of the mean (SEM). Statistical analysis was conducted using GraphPad Prism 8.0.1 statistical software (GraphPad Software, Inc., San Diego, CA, USA). Data from the RT-q PCR experiments were assessed employing a two-way ANOVA method when *n*=7 to 10 (Guibourdenche et al.  $2021$ ). However, for dams' results, when having  $n < 6$ , we used a non-parametric test (Kruskal–Wallis test) (Deepika et al. 2022).  $p < 0.05$  was set as the criterion for determining statistical signifcance for all analyses.

## **Results**

#### **Bacterial composition of intestinal microbiota**

Pesticide exposure can elicit gender-specific responses (Comfort and Re 2017; Gao et al. 2017). In our previous published work, we illustrated results regarding the efect of CPF exposure on dams and female ofspring bacterial composition of intestinal microbiota. Here, our attention is directed towards the microbial composition of male offspring. Starting with the analysis of total flora, no significant diference was observed between the male ofspring groups (aerobic flora  $p = 0.174$ , anaerobic flora  $p = 0.978$ CPF vs. control). Afterwards, our assessment of the microbial composition at the phylum level revealed modifcation of concentrations of selected gut bacteria in male ofspring.



We observed a signifcant decrease of *Lactobacillus* spp. concentrations in male ofspring treated with CPF compared to the control group (*p*<0.001) (Fig. 2A). *Bifdobacterium* spp. results revealed a significant decrease  $(p < 0.001$  vs. control group). On the contrary, we observed a signifcant increase, compared to the control group, in the potentially pathogenic fora within the CPF-treated males: *Escherichia coli* (*p*<0.01), *Enterococcus* (*p*<0.001) and *Staphylococcus* (*p*<0.001) (Fig. 2B). Interestingly, prebiotic increased the concentration of *Lactobacillus* spp. (*p*<0.01 vs. CPF) and *Bifdobacterium* spp. (*p*<0.001 vs. CPF) respectively (Fig. 2A). However, we did not fnd a signifcant efect of inulin treatment on the potentially pathogenic fora compared to CPF group. These results altogether showed that CPF treatment signifcantly modulated both potentially benefcial and pathogenic GM populations leading to a bacterial dysbiosis in male offspring, whereas inulin beneficial effects targeted the potentially benefc bacteria only.

In parallel to bacterial composition, we analyzed the SCFAs profle which varied based on the treatment. CPF increased the acetic acid content by 2% in the dams, though this increase was not signifcant. In the ofspring, the acetate ratio signifcantly increased by CPF exposure but inulin prevented it  $(p=0.012;$  CPF=71.3%, CPF-inulin=53.7%). Regarding propionate and butyrate levels in both dams and ofspring, there were increases but these variations were not significant in dams for either metabolite. In the offspring, CPF decreased propionic acid concentrations that were partially restored by inulin supplementation (CPF-inulin=15.3% vs.  $C = 15.4\%$  vs. CPF = 12.6%). Notably, in the offspring, the inhibition of butyrate by CPF and its recovery by inulin was significant ( $p = 0.008$ ; CPF-inulin=27.4% vs. CPF=16.0%).

#### **BT**

To check if bacterial dysbiosis led to bacterial translocation (BT) of intestinal bacteria to sterile organs across the IB, we used bacterial culture methods to detect the translocation of bacteria that crossed the barrier towards spleen, liver, mesenteric adipose tissue, and gonadic adipose tissue (only data of spleen and liver are shown). To understand the factors affecting the translocation risk, we assessed the potential relationship



**Fig. 2** Efects of chronic exposure to CPF and inulin on gut microbiota benefcial bacteria (**A**) and potentially pathogenic bacteria (**B**) in male offspring. Data is illustrated as mean $\pm$  standard deviation

(*n*=7–10) using analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Tukey's test. Signifcance \* *p*<0.05; \*\* *p*<0.01, \*\*\* *p*<0.001. CTRL, control; CPF, chlorpyrifos; inulin; CPF-inulin, chlorpyrifos+inulin

between organ type, sex, treatment group, and translocation risk in the F0 (rat dams) and F1 (offspring) generations using logistic regression models performed with R® software version R-4.3.3 and Excel® software version 2010. A logistic regression model was initially used to assess the impact of organ type, gender, generation, and treatment group assignment on translocation risk. Then, the model was extended by including all variables together to explore potential confounding efects and to identify independent associations with translocation risk.

#### **Infuence of exposure on BT**

In our analysis, we observed varying efects of exposure to CPF, inulin, and CPF-inulin on translocation risk with the reference group as the control category. Our results showed a large aerobic bacterial translocation to the spleen in CPF groups (25% of dams, 25% of female ofspring, and 29.4% of male offspring) compared to control groups  $(0\%)$  (Table 4). Overall, the CPF group had a signifcantly higher risk of translocation  $(p<0.001)$  compared to the control group. No translocation to spleen is observed in dams and female offspring inulin groups (0%), while 14.28% of translocation is shown in male ofspring inulin group compared to control (Table 4). The inulin group did not show a significant effect on translocation risk (*p*=0.6946, inulin vs. control group). This statistical result confrms that inulin does not induce bacterial translocation contrarily to CPF pesticide. Similarly, in CPF + inulin groups, no translocation occurred except for the 20% observed in the male ofspring group vs. control. In fact, the CPF-inulin group showed a less significant positive effect on translocation risk than CPF  $(p=0.0509)$ . Interestingly, when we compared our results to the reference category CPF, the logistic regression model showed that the CPF-inulin and inulin groups had a negative impact on translocation risk (*p*<0.001). This suggests that being part of these groups is associated with a reduced risk of translocation compared to the CPF group. These fndings

**Table 4** Percentage of animals  $(n=3-10)$  with bacterial translocation to sterile organs, for dams, female, and male ofspring. The data are expressed as a percentage (*n*=3–10)

Percentage of bacterial translocation $(\%)$				
Treatment	Organ	Female dams	Female offspring	Male offspring
Control (Ctrl)	Spleen	0	0	0
<b>CPF</b>		25	25	29.4
Inulin		0	0	14.28
$CPF + \text{inulin}$		0	0	20
Control (Ctrl)	Liver	0	$\theta$	0
<b>CPF</b>		75	62.5	17.6
Inulin		0	0	0
$CPF + \text{inulin}$		25	16.6	10

show that CPF-inulin and inulin provide signifcant protection against translocation compared to the CPF group.

## **Infuence of organ on BT**

Compared to results in the spleen, higher levels of bacterial translocation were detected in liver of CPF groups of dams  $(75%)$  and female offspring  $(62.5%)$  but only 17.6% in the male ofspring group, compared to all control and inulin groups (0%) (Table 4). Nevertheless, the logistic regression model provided no signifcant association between organ type and the occurrence of translocation  $(p=0.139)$ .

## **Infuence of gender on BT**

When analyzing results by gender, we noticed that dams and their female ofspring shared similar translocation profle in the diferent exposure groups (the infuence of gender is signifcant for CPF groups) in spleen, liver, and gonadic adipose tissue but not in mesenteric adipose tissue where female ofspring shared similar results with male ofspring. It seems that inulin reduced bacterial translocation in females more than in males. This led us to the hypothesis that CPF and inulin effects on translocation risk difer between female and male. Based on the provided logistic regression model, we did not fnd signifcant diference in translocation risk between male and female progeny  $(p=0.568)$ , but we did find that the interaction between sex and organ had a signifcant efect on translocation in individuals of the progeny  $(p=0.0160)$ . These findings highlight the importance of taking sex into account when assessing the efect of organs on translocation. They also indicate that gender modifes the relationship between organs and translocation, which could have significant implications for understanding the mechanisms underlying translocation.

## **Infuence of generation on BT**

Our overall descriptive comparison of results in dams to those of ofspring showed diferences only in the mesenteric adipose tissue where we observed similar translocation percentage in the different exposed groups between female and male offspring, but they were diferent from their mother translocation profle (data not shown for mesenteric adipose tissue). The logistic regression model provided no signifcant association between generations and the occurrence of translocation  $(p=0.8507)$ .

## **Gene expression analysis of tight junctions of microvessels (BBB)**

#### **Dams**

Considering the crucial importance of maintaining the integrity of the BBB for proper brain functionality, predominantly

attributed to the tight junctional complex, we proceeded to analyze the tight junctions within microvessels following pesticide treatment. This analysis aimed to assess the integrity of the BBB in dams. In pursuit of this objective, real-time qPCR experiment was employed to assess the impact of CPF and inulin on the expression of the key tight junctions' proteins constituting the BBB. As shown in Fig. 3, our results demonstrate a significant reduction in mRNA levels following CPF exposure. Specifcally, CLDN3 exhibited a 50% decrease; CLDN5 decreased by 70%, occludin by 60%, and ZO-1 by 40% (*p*<0.05). Compared to CPF alone, inulin supplementation (CPF+inulin) tended to increase the expression of CLDN5 and OCLN but not for CLDN3 and ZO-1. These fndings underscore the detrimental impact of CPF exposure on the integrity of BBB tight junctions, highlighting potential mechanisms underlying neurotoxicity associated with pesticide exposure.

#### **Progeny**

#### **RT qPCR results**

Previous studies have suggested that exposure to CPF may exert effects on offspring. These potential effects encompass microbiota abnormalities, alterations in endocrine disruptions, and reproductive issues. This study examines the impact of CPF exposure on tight junction proteins in brain microvessels of progeny rats. Following rat sacrifce, brain microvessels were extracted and the mRNA levels of tight junction proteins were analyzed. Our fndings illustrated in Fig. 4(A and B) demonstrate signifcant alterations in female progeny rats exposed to CPF. Specifcally, CPF exposure led to a substantial increase in CLDN5 mRNA levels  $(210\%, p < 0.001)$  and OCLN mRNA levels  $(195\%, p < 0.05)$  compared to control groups (Fig. 4A). No signifcant change was observed for ZO-1. Similarly to the female progeny, our study revealed signifcant alterations in tight junction mRNA levels in male ofspring (Fig. 4C and D). Specifcally, CPF exposure led to a notable decrease in CLDN3 mRNA levels to  $39\%$  ( $p < 0.05$ ) (Fig. 4C). Supplementation with inulin alone resulted in an 80% decrease  $(p < 0.01)$  in CLDN3 mRNA levels, while the combination of CPF and inulin led to a  $64\%$  decrease ( $p < 0.05$ ). No significant variations were observed in the mRNA levels of CLDN5 and ZO-1. Furthermore, analysis of OCLN gene expression demonstrated a significant increase of  $65\%$  ( $p < 0.05$ ) following exposure to CPF in combination with inulin compared to inulin alone. However, this increase was not signifcant compared to control or exposure to CPF alone. In addition, for female progeny, we explored the impact of CPF exposure on efflux pumps (glycoprotein P and breast cancer resistant protein BCRP) and pro-infammatory markers (intercellular adhesion molecule ICAM-1, vascular cell adhesion molecule VCAM-1, Toll-like receptor TLR4, and NLR family pyrin domain containing NLRP3). Here, we detailed our results concerning pro-infammatory markers (Fig. 4B). Our fndings revealed a signifcant increase of 120% in ICAM-1 gene expression following CPF exposure compared to control groups. Interestingly, inulin supplementation led to a notable decrease in ICAM-1 expression to 35% compared to CPF alone  $(p < 0.05)$ , suggesting a mitigating effect on infammation by inulin. Additionally, we observed a signifcant increase in NLRP3 mRNA levels by CPF alone (241%) compared to control, which was attenuated to 170% by inulin supplementation (CPF+inulin)  $(p < 0.01)$ . However, no signifcant variations were detected in VCAM-1 and TLR4 gene expression.



**Fig. 3** Efects of chronic oral exposure to CPF and inulin on BBB tight junctions in dams. Values are expressed as mean $\pm$ SEM using the non-parametric Kruskal–Wallis test. Significance  $* p < 0.05; **$ 

*p*<0.01, \*\*\* *p*<0.001. CTRL, control; CPF, chlorpyrifos; inulin; CPF-inulin, chlorpyrifos+inulin



**Fig. 4** Efects of chronic exposure to CPF and inulin on BBB tight junction's mRNA levels in female and male progenies. Values are expressed as mean $\pm$ SEM ( $n=7-10$ ) using analysis of variance

In parallel, our analysis of male progeny revealed signifcant fndings regarding the expression of TLR4 and NLRP3 in response to diferent experimental conditions (Fig. 4D). Specifcally, we observed a signifcant decrease in TLR4 expression in the inulin and CPF+inulin groups compared to the CPF alone exposed groups  $(p < 0.01)$ . Additionally, our study demonstrated an increase in NLRP3 mRNA levels induced by CPF alone (182%) compared to control ( $p < 0.01$ ). However, this increase was mitigated to 144% by inulin supplementation (CPF+inulin) ( $p < 0.01$ ). These findings underscore the potential of inulin supplementation in modulating the expression of infammatory markers in male progeny exposed to CPF.

#### **Protein assay and western blot**

After the analysis of the impact of CPF exposure on mRNA levels of tight junction proteins and pro-infammatory markers in brain microvessels of rat progeny, we quantifed the corresponding protein levels using western blot analysis. Our fndings illustrated in Fig. 5 reveal alterations of cldn5, ocln, and ICAM-1 protein levels in both female and male progeny rats. Specifcally, CPF exposure resulted in a signifcant increase in cldn5 protein levels (588.99%, *p*<0.05) and ocln protein levels (206.93%, ns) compared to control groups in female rats. Conversely, inulin supplementation decreased cldn5 protein expression to levels similar to those of control group (133.36%,  $p < 0.05$  CPF-inulin vs. CPF) in female ofspring. Similarly, both inulin alone and inulin supplementation (CPF-inulin) restored occludin protein levels to those observed in the control group (CPF 120.62%, CPF-inulin 126.27% vs. control, non-signifcant).

(two-way ANOVA–Tukey multiple comparisons test). Signifcance \* *p*<0.05, \*\* *p*<0.01, \*\*\* *p*<0.001. CTRL, control; CPF, chlorpyrifos; inulin; CPF-inulin, chlorpyrifos+inulin

In contrast to the observations in female ofspring, we did not detect signifcant variations in the protein levels of cldn5 and occludin in male ofspring. As a result, our statistical analysis indicated a discernible diference in the expression variation pattern of cldn5 between male and female rats  $(p=0.088<0.1$  (indicative) male vs. female). In addition, we explored the impact of CPF exposure on adhesion molecules (ICAM-1 and VCAM-1). In Fig. 5, we illustrate results of ICAM-1 protein levels. We found no signifcant variations in ICAM-1 protein levels. However, the comparison of expression variation pattern of ICAM-1 between male and female revealed a significant difference  $(p < 0.05)$ .

These results showed that CPF altered tight junctions' protein levels especially, while inulin restored their expression to control levels in female progeny and maintained stable protein levels relatively to control in male progeny. The treatment-related variation patterns of claudin 5 and occludin protein expression closely paralleled the variation observed in mRNA levels in both male and female progeny. These fndings suggest a congruence between our western blot results and those obtained from RT-qPCR analysis.

## **Discussion**

Involved in many physiological functions, the gut microbiota stability is considered the key to the health of distant organs (Vernocchi et al. 2016; Appleton 2018; Valdes Ana et al. 2018; Morais et al. 2021). In this work, we focused on gut dysbiosis and the consequences of these disruptions on



**Fig. 5** Efects of chronic exposure to CPF and inulin on BBB tight junctions' protein levels in female and male progenies. Values are expressed as mean $\pm$ SEM using analysis of variance (two-way

bacterial translocation to sterile organs and BBB tight junctions' expression in dams and progeny. Chronic exposure of dams only to 1 mg/kg/day of the organophosphate pesticide CPF during gestation and lactation had direct efects on GM and BBB tight junctions and adhesion molecule expression of dams and indirect efects on female and male progenies. Our animal model closely replicates the physiological events that occur during gestation. Our results revealed a signifcant impact on the bacterial composition of the intestinal microbiota, with marked changes in abundance and diversity of specifc bacterial phyla. This highlights the complex interaction between pesticide exposure and the GM. CPF specifcally disrupted gut bacteria balance, leading to intestinal dysbiosis in both dams and ofspring. This dysbiosis led to bacterial translocation to sterile organs, refecting a compromise in the IB integrity (Sarkar and Banerjee 2019; Di Vincenzo et al. 2023). Furthermore, our fndings establish a causal link between alterations in the GM and disruptions in BBB integrity. The observed protective efects of inulin suggest potential therapeutic strategies for mitigating pesticide-induced dysbiosis. These fndings were corroborated through multiple techniques, including bacterial isolation and identifcation, as well as the assessment of BBB gene and protein expression using RT-qPCR and Western blotting in brain microvessels-enriched fractions.



ANOVA*–*Tukey multiple comparisons test). Signifcance \* *p*<0.05; \*\* *p*<0.01, \*\*\* *p*<0.001. CTRL, control (100%); CPF, chlorpyrifos; inulin; CPF-inulin, chlorpyrifos + inulin

## **Impact of CPF on gut microbiota dysbiosis and bacterial translocation**

In our previous published work, we demonstrated that CPF exposure induced body weight changes, disruption of lipid and glucose metabolisms in addition to dysbacteriosis in dams and progeny (Guibourdenche et al. 2021; Djekkoun et al. 2022a). Our present work confrmed these results regarding GM in male progeny where we can see a signifcant disruption of bacterial populations. We then compared male-related fndings to our published results of female progeny and noticed that benefcial fora of male progeny showed the same signifcant decrease as for females, but potentially pathogenic fora had a more signifcant increase in male progeny compared to female exposed to CPF alone. Analysis of bacterial metabolites might explain this result. In fact, bacterial dysbiosis had an impact on the SCFAs (Joly Condette et al. 2022). As an example, we observed a signifcant increase of the acetic acid ratio in progeny and a signifcant decrease in butyric acid by CPF exposure, which was interestingly prevented by inulin. This shift in bacterial metabolites pattern is a result of the perturbation of intestinal homeostasis. As the microbial community is in continuous contact with the IB, microbial perturbations after CPF exposure have been shown to threaten the intestinal integrity (Réquilé et al. 2018).These results confrm the decrease of benefcial bacterial fora and help us understand the subsequent mechanisms altering the IB permeability and leading to bacterial and metabolites translocation. Our results showed a large aerobic bacterial translocation to the spleen and liver in CPF groups. However, inulin prevented bacterial translocation.

Similar results in previous studies showed that CPF (0.3 and 1 mg/kg/day) exposure can disrupt the delicate balance of gut bacteria, specifcally by afecting the diversity and abundance of benefcial bacteria contributing to gut dysbiosis (Joly Condette et al. 2015; Zhao et al. 2016; Perez-Fernandez et al. 2020). Since the GM is involved in the immune and endocrine systems, the consequences of CPF-associated dysbacteriosis on intestinal permeability and infammation have been studied by our collaborators (Yang et al. 2020; Guibourdenche et al. 2021). In fact, a "leaky" IB showing a gene expression disruption of IB tight junctions was observed in male progeny of dams exposed to 1 mg/kg/day CPF during gestation and lactation. A signifcant decrease of occludin gene expression was detected in diferent intestinal segments in addition to alterations of zonula occludens and claudin 4 expression (Condette et al. 2014; Guibourdenche et al. 2021). A disruption of the mucus layer of the IB was also observed in the male progeny. These fndings might explain the bacterial translocation that we observed in our microbiological study. No signifcant increased levels of proinfammatory cytokines and lipopolysaccharides (LPS) were observed although other published work found increased serum levels of monocyte chemoattractant protein 1 (MCP-1) and tumor necrosis factor (TNF- $\alpha$ ) (Li et al. 2019).

#### **Impact of CPF on brain microvessels (BBB)**

Although existing literature demonstrates that pesticides can infuence the microbiota and afect the BBB, our study represents the first demonstration of CPF's effects on brain microvessels following metabolism by the GM in an in vivo model.

CPF has been extensively documented to possess neurotoxic properties by altering the GM composition (Darwiche et al. 2018; El Khayat El Sabbouri et al. 2020; Cresto et al. 2023; Van Melis et al. 2023). Moreover, it has been shown that the GM plays an essential role in BBB permeability and can modulate tight junctions expression (Braniste et al. 2014). The BBB is an essential barrier in the brain and can be altered by in vitro CPF exposure. In vitro studies have shown that CPF can alter BBB integrity, with evidence of CPF and its metabolite such as CPF-oxon, crossing this barrier (Parran et al. 2005). CPF was detected on both the luminal and abluminal sides of the BBB, whereas the metabolites trichloro-2-pyridinol (TCP) and CPF-oxon were on the abluminal side only. These fndings suggest that CPF can cross the BBB and that the barrier's enzymatic activity can metabolize this pesticide (Parran et al. 2005). Additionally, Li and Ehrich demonstrated decreased BBB electrical resistance and altered gene expression of claudin-5 and ZO-1 in vitro, indicating disruption and loss of BBB integrity (Li and Ehrich 2013).

Our study builds on these fndings by showing that CPFinduced dysbiosis afects BBB integrity through microbiotamediated mechanisms. Previous studies added CPF directly to a BBB model, excluding the biotransformation of CPF by GM. In fact, CPF is 70% absorbed by the oral route, that is why studies on its efects on GM have been multiplied (Rathod and Garg 2017; Djekkoun et al. 2021, 2022a, b;) and have shown that *Lactobacillus fermentum* degrades CPF to TCP while *Lactobacillus lactis* and *Escherichia coli* to CPF-oxon.

We focused on microvessels as they are deemed a reliable representation of the BBB owing to their anatomical and functional resemblances to the barrier in vivo. This selection allowed us to explore the impacts of our experimental interventions on a system closely mirroring the complexities of the BBB, thus offering valuable insights into the mechanisms governing its regulation and function.

The comprehensive analysis of the RT-q PCR and western blot results revealed CPF capacity to modulate the gene expression of tight junctions both in dams and offspring, albeit with distinct effects observed between the two groups. The observed downregulation of CLDN 3, CLDN 5, OCLN, and ZO-1 mRNA levels following CPF exposure highlights the potential disruptive efects of CPF on BBB tight junctions in dams. Previous research has shown that the primary tight junction proteins intimately involved in maintaining the integrity of the BBB consist of CLDN5, CLDN3, and OCLN and a modulation of these genes can serve as an important biomarker for BBB permeability (Yuan et al. 2020). These alterations in tight junction protein expression may lead to increased permeability of the BBB, allowing for the unrestricted passage of potentially harmful substances into the CNS (Gosselet et al. 2021).

Our study aligns with previous research showing that pesticide exposure can impair BBB integrity by afecting tight junction proteins (Rempe et al. 2018). However, the effects varied between sexes: female progeny exhibited increased expression of CLDN5 and occludin, while male progeny showed decreased CLDN3 expression. These diferential efects highlight the complex nature of pesticide-induced neurotoxicity and suggest a sex-dependent manner response to CPF with more pronounced efects in females.

This observation is consistent with the known role of CPF as an endocrine disruptor (Shafo et al. 2018). The divergent efects observed in our study could be attributed to the interplay of biological factors infuenced by sex, highlighting the intricate and potentially sex-specifc consequences of CPF exposure on the expression of tight junction genes across diferent biological contexts.

Additionally, we observed a signifcant increase in proinfammatory markers ICAM-1 and NLRP3 gene expression in female progeny and TLR4 and NLRP3 in male progeny BBB, indicating CPF-induced neuroinflammation. This aligns with previous studies linking NLRP3 infammasome activation in microglial cells by CPF exposure to neuroinfammation and its role in neurodegenerative diseases such as Parkinson and Alzheimer diseases (Weis et al. 2021). Thus, activation of neuroinfammation might explain CPF infuence on BBB tight junctions.

Our exposure strategy confrmed CPF's direct efects on mothers during perinatal period and its indirect effects on the progeny. The emphasis on perinatal exposure arises from the acknowledgment that vulnerability to CPF is heightened during in utero development and early life due to the lower levels of the detoxifying enzyme paraoxonesterase in children compared to adults (Rathod and Garg 2017; Van der Zande et al. 2017). Consequently, CPF can elicit effects on neonates even at doses below those that inhibit AChE. Therefore, our study focuses on the impact of low chronic CPF exposure during the critical early days of life, referred to "windows of vulnerability" (Developmental Origins of Health and Disease DOHaD concept).

### **Prevention of CPF efects by inulin supplementation**

Our nutritional prevention based on inulin supplementation showed potential benefts on both GM and BBB. Prebiotics are defned as ingredients that undergo selective fermentation, inducing specifc modifcations in the composition and/ or activity of the gastrointestinal microbiota, thereby providing health benefts to the host (Gibson et al. 2017). Previous in vivo and in vitro studies highlighted the beneficial effects of inulin supplementation that prevented gut dysbiosis and reduced bacterial translocation to sterile organs (to 0% in most cases) (Djekkoun et al. 2022a). Our results confrmed the beneficial effect of inulin supplementation on bacterial composition in male progeny. In fact, inulin male-exposed groups showed higher *Lactobabillus* and *Bifdobacterium* (benefcial bacteria) counts than non-exposed to inulin groups and a signifcant decrease of *Escherichia coli* (potentially pathogenic bacteria) in groups exposed to inulin alone compared to  $CPF +$  inulin. Thus, our results of male progeny are consistent with our previous study on dams and their female progeny (Djekkoun et al. 2022a). We were able to confrm that inulin can restore gut "eubiosis". Our prevention strategy results corroborate with the fndings of a study on zebrafsh where the prebiotic countered pesticides effects (Yousefi et al. 2018). Furthermore, many in vivo studies have analyzed the bifdogenic efect of inulin on human GM. Carlett Ramirez-Farias and colleagues demonstrated that this dietary fber increased specifcally *Bifdobacterium* species: *Bifdobacterium adolescentis*, *B. longum*, and *B. Bifdum.* When analyzing fecal fermentation products, they found that the most signifcant change was the increase of lactate after 16 days of 10 g/day inulin intake (Ramirez-Farias et al. 2008). On the contrary CPF increased acetate and D-lactate but decreased L-lactate (Reygner et al. 2016). In fact, while inulin increased lactate by stimulating *Lactobacillus* and *Bifdobacterium*, CPF-related decrease in the release of lactate might favor the growth of the potentially pathogenic bacteria *Enterococcus* spp*.* (Joly et al. 2013). In addition, a recent key study found that pesticide exposure can lead to decreased lactate concentrations in men, indicating potential disruptions in glycolysis-related metabolism and energy homeostasis (Palaniswamy et al. 2023).

The effects of the prebiotic inulin on the BBB are still an area of ongoing research and exploration. Inulin, as a prebiotic, has primarily been studied for its efects on gut health and modulation of the GM. However, emerging evidence suggests that GM can infuence various aspects of brain function, including BBB integrity. This prebiotic seems to reinforce the morphometry of the IB by enhancing tight junctions' activity. This was confrmed by reduced bacterial translocation by inulin, as our results showed. Some studies have investigated the potential neuroprotective effects of inulin through its modulation of the GM and subsequent impact on systemic infammation and neuroinfammation (Hofman et al. 2019). Since infammation can infuence BBB permeability, there is interest in understanding whether inulin supplementation could indirectly afect BBB function.

Our RT qPCR results showed that tight junctions' expression in CPF+inulin dams seem to be closer to control levels than CPF alone-exposed dams. Furthermore, especially in female progeny, we observed a restoration of CLDN5 and OCLN mRNA levels (expression values in inulin and CPF+inulin groups are similar to those of the control group, contrary to the signifcant increase by CPF alone (vs. control)). This gene expression restoration was also found in the case of pro-infammatory factors such as NLRP3 in female (CPF+inulin group) and male progeny (inulin and CPF+inulin groups), ICAM-1 in female progeny, and TLR4 in male progeny. While CPF exposure increased the expression of these molecules, inulin was able to decrease it to control levels for some, except for the case of CLDN3 where inulin did not seem to hinder CPF effects in progeny.

These frst results on BBB give promising insights about the direct (dams) and indirect (progeny) benefcial efects of inulin in organs outside of GM. This indicates that GM beneficial modulation by inulin enhances brain protection by restoring BBB tight junctions, highlighting its role in gut-brain axis communication and neuroprotection (Sheng et al. 2023). The outcomes obtained from our experiments have yielded signifcant information regarding the impact of pesticide exposure and inulin supplementation on the BBB.

Nonetheless, we could not compare results of BBB to those in literature since our study is the frst in vivo experiment to analyze the impact of CPF and inulin on BBB. Consequently,

we compared these fndings to research about inulin supplementation but in a diferent scenario (with no pesticide exposure) of mice with chronic unpredictable mild stress (CUMS mice) that have higher BBB permeability allowing pro-infammatory cytokines difusion to brain. In this study, CUMS mice supplemented with inulin showed a restoration of CLDN5 and ZO-1 levels and reversed the brain infammatory response to CUMS by inhibition of TLR4-MyD88- NF-κB pathway to decrease TLR4 and LPS in brain (Wang et al. 2023). These results highlight the neuroprotective role of inulin fermentation metabolites (SCFAs) that can cross the BBB (Dalile et al. 2019). Another study sought to counter the CPF neurotoxicity by supplementation with another molecule: Hesperidin, a favonoid which has been shown to exert antioxidant and anti-infammatory efects. Supplementation with Hesperidin (50 and/or 100 mg/kg) of CPF-exposed rats (6.75 mg/kg) increased antioxidant enzymes, decreased reactive oxygen species, and reduced infammation by inhibiting NF-κB pathway in brain (Küçükler et al. 2024).

There remains a scarcity of in vivo studies, and none have specifcally examined the impact on microvessels (Cresto et al. 2023). Further analysis should be done to understand how inulin fermentation products modulate BBB tight junctions. Conducting additional analyses using immunohistology to examine the levels of tight junction proteins at various locations can enhance our comprehension of how the expression of these proteins is afected by external factors. In perspective, our discoveries concerning CPF alone prompt consideration of the impact of exposure to a mixture of pesticides on our well-being, especially among vulnerable groups such as pregnant women and newborns (Zhang et al. 2022, 2023). Given our research focus on pesticide exposure during prenatal development, it would be valuable to explore the epigenetic consequences of CPF to understand the transgenerational effect observed in offspring (Chiu et al. 2021).

## **Conclusion**

In conclusion, our study confrmed that long-term maternal exposure to deleterious environmental factors disrupts gut microbiota homeostasis, composition, and functions. Given the persistence of CPF residues in the environment and their potential link to various diseases, understanding the consequences of bacterial dysbiosis on the BBB integrity is crucial (Chin-Chan et al. 2015; Van Melis et al. 2023). We demonstrated that GM dysbiosis and subsequent bacterial translocation signifcantly impact the BBB, ofering insights into the mechanisms that may underlie neurological disorders.

Our findings suggest that prebiotic supplementation offers potential preventive measures against the adverse efects of everyday pesticide exposure. However, further research is necessary to determine the most efective prebiotic treatment approaches, including optimal dosage and duration. Additionally, raising public awareness about the health risks associated with pesticide exposure and advocating for stricter pesticide regulations are essential to minimize environmental contamination and protect human health.

#### **Abbreviations**

*ACh*: Acetylcholine; *AChE*: Acetylcholinesterase; *AKT*: Alpha-serine/threonine kinase; *BBB*: Blood–brain barrier; *BCRP*: Breast cancer-resistant protein; *BSA*: Bovine serum albumin; *BW*: Body weight; *CFU*: Colony forming unit; *CLDN*: Claudin; *CNS*: Central nervous system; *CPF*: Chlorpyrifos; *CPFI*: Chlorpyrifos+inulin; *CPFoxon*: Chlorpyrifos-oxon; *CUMS*: Chronic unpredictable mild stress; *DDT*: Dichloro-diphenyl-trichloroethane; *DOHaD*: Developmental origins of health and disease; *g*: Gram; *GAPDH*: Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase; *GM*: Gut microbiota; *HBSS*: Hank's balanced salt solution; *HEPES*: 4-(2-Hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid; *HRP*: Horse radish peroxidase; *I*: Inulin; *IB*: Intestinal barrier; *ICAM-1*: Intercellular adhesion molecule 1; *IL*: Interleukin; *JNK*: C-Jun NH2 terminal protein kinase; *kg*: Kilogram; *L*: Liter; *LPS*: Lipopolysaccharides; *µg*: Microgram; *µM*: Micromolar; *mg*: Milligram; *mL*: Milliliter; *mRNA*: Messenger ribonucleic acid; *MyD88*:Myeloid diferentiation primary response protein 88; *NF-κB*: Nuclear factor-kappa B; *ng*: Nanogram; *NLRP3*: NLR family pyrin domain containing 3; *nm* : nanometer; *NOAEL*: No-observed-adverse-efect level; *OCLN*: Occludin; *PI3K*: Phosphoinositide 3-kinase; *PND*: Post natal day; *RNA*: Ribonucleic acid; *RNase* : ribonuclease; *RT-q PCR*: Reverse transcription quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction; *rpm*: Revolutions per minute**;** *RIPA*: Radioimmunoprecipitation assay bufer; *SC*: Diet standard chow diet; *SCFAs*: Short-chain fatty acids; SEM: Standard error of the mean; *TBST*: Tris-bufered saline containing 0.1% Tween; *TCP*: 3,5,6-Trichloro-2-pyridinol; *TJ*: Tight junctions; *TLR4*:Toll-like receptor 4; *TNF-α*:Tumor necrosis factor; *VCAM-1*:Vascular cell adhesion molecule 1; *ZO*: Zonula occludens

**Author contribution** Conceptualization, P. C. and H. K-C; methodology, P. C., H. K-C., M-C. B., L. D., A. C, and M. B.; software, M. AD, N. D. and M-C. B.; validation, P. C., H. K-C, F. G, and V. B.; formal analysis, M. AD, N. D., M-C. B., L. D., P. C., and H. K-C; investigation, M. AD, N. D., P. C., and H. K-C; resources, F. G and V. B.; data curation, M. AD, N. D., and M-C. B.; writing—original draft preparation, M. AD, N. D., MC. B, L. D., P. C., H. K-C, F. G, and V. B.; writing—review and editing, M. AD, N. D., MC. B, L. D., M. B., P. C., H. K-C, F. G, and V. B.; visualization, M. AD, N. D., P. C., and H. K-C; supervision, P. C., H. K-C, F. G, and V. B.; project administration, P. C. and H. K-C; funding acquisition, P. C. and H. K-C. All the authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding** This research received funding from the French State and the Hauts-de-France Region as part of CPER MOSOPS 2021–2027 project. Maria Abou Diwan received a doctoral fellowship from the "Conseil régional du Nord-Pas-de-Calais" (50%), Picardy University (25%), and the Artois University (25%). The animal experiment was supported by the Ministry of Higher Education, Research and Innovation and National Institutes of Health and Hauts-de-France region.

**Data availability** Data is available on demand by email to the corresponding author.

## **Declarations**

**Ethics approval** The study protocol was approved by the Regional Directorate for Health, Animal Protection, and the Environment (Amiens, France) and the French Ministry of Research (reference: APAFIS # 8207–2016121322563594 v2, September 5th, 2017). All the animals were treated in accordance with the European Union Directive 2010/63 and monitored daily for well-being.

**Consent to participate** Not applicable.

**Consent for publication** Not applicable.

**Competing interests** The authors declare no competing interests.

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License, which permits any non-commercial use, sharing, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if you modifed the licensed material. You do not have permission under this licence to share adapted material derived from this article or parts of it. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit [http://crea](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)[tivecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)

## **References**

- Abou Diwan M, Lahimer M, Bach V, Gosselet F, Khorsi-Cauet H, Candela P (2023) Impact of pesticide residues on the gut-microbiota-blood-brain barrier axis: A Narrative Review. Int J Mol Sci 24(7):6147.<https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms24076147>
- Abubakar Y, Tijjani H, Egbuna C et al (2019) Pesticides, history, and classifcation. Nat Remedies Pest Dis Weed Control 29–42. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-819304-4.00003-8>
- Appleton J (2018) The gut-brain axis: infuence of microbiota on mood and mental health. Integr Med 17(4):28
- Balaguer-Trias J, Deepika D, Schuhmacher M, Kumar V (2022) Impact of contaminants on microbiota: linking the gut–brain axis with neurotoxicity. Int J Environ Res Public Health 19(3):1368. [https://](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph19031368) [doi.org/10.3390/ijerph19031368](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph19031368)
- Bonnema AL, Kolberg LW, Thomas W, Slavin JL (2010) Gastrointestinal tolerance of chicory inulin products. J Am Diet Assoc 110:865–868. [https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JADA.2010.](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JADA.2010.03.025) [03.025](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JADA.2010.03.025)
- Braniste V, Al-Asmakh M, Kowal C, Anuar F, Abbaspour A, Tóth M, Korecka A, Bakocevic N, Ng LG, Kundu P, Gulyás B, Halldin C, Hultenby K, Nilsson H, Hebert H, Volpe BT, Diamond B, Pettersson S (2014) The gut microbiota infuences blood-brain barrier permeability in mice. Sci Transl Med 6(263):263ra158. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.3009759) [doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.3009759](https://doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.3009759)
- Chin-Chan M, Navarro-Yepes J, Quintanilla-Vega B (2015) Environmental pollutants as risk factors for neurodegenerative disorders: Alzheimer and Parkinson diseases. Front Cell Neurosci 9:1–22. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fncel.2015.00124>
- Chiu KC, Sisca F, Ying JH et al (2021) Prenatal chlorpyrifos exposure in association with PPARγ H3K4me3 and DNA methylation levels and child development. Environ Pollut 274:116511. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ENVPOL.2021.116511) [doi.org/10.1016/J.ENVPOL.2021.116511](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ENVPOL.2021.116511)
- Coisne C, Dehouck L, Faveeuw C et al (2005) Mouse syngenic in vitro blood-brain barrier model: a new tool to examine infammatory events in cerebral endothelium. Lab Investig 85:734–746. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1038/labinvest.3700281) [doi.org/10.1038/labinvest.3700281](https://doi.org/10.1038/labinvest.3700281)
- Comfort N, Re DB (2017) Sex-specifc neurotoxic efects of organophosphate pesticides across the life course. Curr Environ Heal Rep 4:392–404.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s40572-017-0171-y>
- Cresto N, Forner-Piquer I, Baig A et al (2023) Pesticides at brain borders: impact on the blood-brain barrier, neuroinfammation, and neurological risk trajectories. Chemosphere 324:138251. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2023.138251) [doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2023.138251](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2023.138251)
- Dalile B, Van Oudenhove L, Vervliet B, Verbeke K (2019) The role of short-chain fatty acids in microbiota–gut–brain communication. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol 16:461–478. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41575-019-0157-3) [1038/s41575-019-0157-3](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41575-019-0157-3)
- Darwiche W, Gay-Quéheillard J, Delanaud S, El Khayat El Sabbouri H, Khachfe H, Joumaa W, Bach V, Ramadan W (2018) Impact of chronic exposure to the pesticide chlorpyrifos on respiratory parameters and sleep apnea in juvenile and adult rats. PLoS One 13(1):e0191237.<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0191237>
- Deepika D, Kumar S, Bravo N et al (2022) Chlorpyrifos, permethrin and cyfluthrin effect on cell survival, permeability, and tight junction in an in-vitro model of the human blood-brain barrier (BBB). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuro.2022.09.010>
- Di Vincenzo F, Del Gaudio A, Petito V et al (2023) Gut microbiota, intestinal permeability, and systemic inflammation: a narrative review. Intern Emerg Med. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11739-023-03374-w) [s11739-023-03374-w](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11739-023-03374-w)
- Djekkoun N, Lalau JD, Bach V et al (2021) Chronic oral exposure to pesticides and their consequences on metabolic regulation: role of the microbiota. Eur J Nutr 60:4131–4149. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00394-021-02548-6) [1007/s00394-021-02548-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00394-021-02548-6)
- Djekkoun N, Depeint F, Guibourdenche M et al (2022) Chronic perigestational exposure to chlorpyrifos induces perturbations in gut bacteria and glucose and lipid markers in female rats and their ofspring. Toxics 10(3):138
- Djekkoun N, Depeint F, Guibourdenche M et al (2022b) Perigestational exposure of a combination of a high-fat diet and pesticide impacts the metabolic and microbiotic status of dams and pups; a preventive strategy based on prebiotics. Eur J Nutr. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00394-022-03063-y) [1007/s00394-022-03063-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00394-022-03063-y)
- El Khayat El Sabbouri HEK, Gay-Quéheillard J, Joumaa WH et al (2020) Does the perigestational exposure to chlorpyrifos and/or high-fat diet affect respiratory parameters and diaphragmatic muscle contractility in young rats? Food Chem Toxicol 140:111322. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2020.111322>
- Gao B, Bian X, Mahbub R, Lu K (2017) Sex-specific effects of organophosphate diazinon on the gut microbiome and its metabolic functions. Environ Health Perspect 125:198–206. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1289/EHP202) [10.1289/EHP202](https://doi.org/10.1289/EHP202)
- Gibson GR, Hutkins R, Sanders ME et al (2017) Expert consensus document: the International Scientifc Association for Probiotics and Prebiotics (ISAPP) consensus statement on the defnition and scope of prebiotics. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol 14:491–502. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrgastro.2017.75>
- Gosselet F, Azevedo R, Roig A et al (2021) Neurochemistry International central nervous system delivery of molecules across the blood-brain barrier. Neurochem Int 144:104952. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuint.2020.104952) [10.1016/j.neuint.2020.104952](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuint.2020.104952)
- Guardia-Escote L, Basaure P, Blanco J et al (2018) Postnatal exposure to chlorpyrifos produces long-term efects on spatial memory and the cholinergic system in mice in a sex- and APOE genotypedependent manner. Food Chem Toxicol 122:1–10. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.FCT.2018.09.069) [10.1016/J.FCT.2018.09.069](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.FCT.2018.09.069)
- Guibourdenche M, El Sabbouri HEK, Djekkoun N et al (2021) Programming of intestinal homeostasis in male rat ofspring after maternal exposure to chlorpyrifos and/or to a high fat diet. Sci Rep 11:1–14.<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-90981-2>
- Hofman JD, Yanckello LM, Chlipala G et al (2019) Dietary inulin alters the gut microbiome, enhances systemic metabolism and reduces neuroinfammation in an APOE4 mouse model. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0221828) [doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0221828](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0221828)
- Holscher HD, Doligale JL, Bauer LL et al (2014) Gastrointestinal tolerance and utilization of agave inulin by healthy adults. Food Funct 5:1142–1149. <https://doi.org/10.1039/C3FO60666J>
- Jin C, Zeng Z, Fu Z, Jin Y (2016) Oral imazalil exposure induces gut microbiota dysbiosis and colonic infammation in mice. Chemosphere 160:349–358. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2016.06.105) [2016.06.105](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2016.06.105)
- Joly C, Gay-Quéheillard J, Léké A et al (2013) Impact of chronic exposure to low doses of chlorpyrifos on the intestinal microbiota in the simulator of the human intestinal microbial ecosystem (SHIME®) and in the rat. Environ Sci Pollut Res 20:2726–2734. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-012-1283-4>
- Joly Condette C, Khorsi-Cauet H, Morlière P et al (2014) Increased gut permeability and bacterial translocation after chronic chlorpyrifos exposure in rats. PLoS One 9(7):e102217. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0102217) [org/10.1371/journal.pone.0102217](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0102217)
- Joly Condette C, Bach V, Mayeur C et al (2015) Chlorpyrifos exposure during perinatal period afects intestinal microbiota associated with delay of maturation of digestive tract in rats. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 61:30–40. [https://doi.org/10.1097/MPG.](https://doi.org/10.1097/MPG.0000000000000734) [0000000000000734](https://doi.org/10.1097/MPG.0000000000000734)
- Joly Condette C, Djekkoun N, Reygner J et al (2022) Effect of daily co-exposure to inulin and chlorpyrifos on selected microbiota endpoints in the SHIME® model. Environ Pollut 302:118961. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ENVPOL.2022.118961>
- Küçükler S, Caglayan C, Özdemir S et al (2024) Hesperidin counteracts chlorpyrifos-induced neurotoxicity by regulating oxidative stress, infammation, and apoptosis in rats. Metab Brain Dis 39:509–522. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11011-023-01339-8>
- Lahimer M, Djekkoun N, Tricotteaux-Zarqaoui S et al (2023) Impact of perinatal coexposure to chlorpyrifos and a high-fat diet on kisspeptin and GnRHR presence and reproductive organs. Toxics 11:789.<https://doi.org/10.3390/TOXICS11090789>
- Lee B, Moon KM, Kim CY (2018) Review article tight junction in the intestinal epithelium: its association with diseases and regulation by phytochemicals. J Immunol Res 2018(1):2645465
- Li W, Ehrich M (2013) Transient alterations of the blood-brain barrier tight junction and receptor potential channel gene expression by chlorpyrifos. J Appl Toxicol 33:1187–1191. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1002/jat.2762) [org/10.1002/jat.2762](https://doi.org/10.1002/jat.2762)
- Li JW, Fang B, Pang GF et al (2019) Age- and diet-specifc efects of chronic exposure to chlorpyrifos on hormones, infammation

and gut microbiota in rats. Pestic Biochem Physiol 159:68–79. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pestbp.2019.05.018>

- Matsuzaki R, Gunnigle E, Geissen V et al (2023) Pesticide exposure and the microbiota-gut-brain axis. ISME J 17:1153–1166. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-023-01450-9>
- Morais LH, Schreiber HL, Mazmanian SK (2021) The gut microbiota–brain axis in behaviour and brain disorders. Nat Rev Microbiol 19:241–255. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41579-020-00460-0>
- Mostafalou S, Abdollahi M (2016) Pesticides: an update of human exposure and toxicity. Arch Toxicol. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00204-016-1849-x) [s00204-016-1849-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00204-016-1849-x)
- Palaniswamy S, Abass K, Rysä J et al (2023) Investigating the relationship between non-occupational pesticide exposure and metabolomic biomarkers. Front Public Heal 11:1248609. <https://doi.org/10.3389/FPUBH.2023.1248609/BIBTEX>
- Parran DK, Magnin G, Li W et al (2005) Chlorpyrifos alters functional integrity and structure of an in vitro BBB model: cocultures of bovine endothelial cells and neonatal rat astrocytes. Neurotoxicology 26:77–88. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuro.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuro.2004.07.003) [2004.07.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuro.2004.07.003)
- Perez-Fernandez C, Morales-Navas M, Guardia-Escote L et al (2020) Long-term efects of low doses of chlorpyrifos exposure at the preweaning developmental stage: a locomotor, pharmacological, brain gene expression and gut microbiome analysis. Food Chem Toxicol 135:110865. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2019.110865>
- Ramirez-Farias C, Slezak K, Fuller Z et al (2008) Efect of inulin on the human gut microbiota: stimulation of Bifdobacterium adolescentis and Faecalibacterium prausnitzii. Br J Nutr 101:541–550. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007114508019880>
- Rathod AL, Garg RK (2017) Chlorpyrifos poisoning and its implications in human fatal cases: a forensic perspective with reference to Indian scenario. J Forensic Leg Med 47:29–34. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jflm.2017.02.003) [10.1016/j.jfm.2017.02.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jflm.2017.02.003)
- Réquilé M, Gonzàlez Alvarez DO, Delanaud S et al (2018) Use of a combination of in vitro models to investigate the impact of chlorpyrifos and inulin on the intestinal microbiota and the permeability of the intestinal mucosa. Environ Sci Pollut Res 25:22529–22540. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-018-2332-4>
- Rempe RG, Hartz AMS, Soldner ELB, Sokola BS, Alluri SR, Abner EL, Kryscio RJ, Pekcec A, Schlichtiger J, Bauer B (2018) Matrix metalloproteinase-mediated blood-brain barrier dysfunction in epilepsy. J Neurosci 38(18):4301–4315. [https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUR](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2751-17.2018) [OSCI.2751-17.2018](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2751-17.2018)
- Reygner J, Condette CJ, Bruneau A et al (2016) Changes in composition and function of human intestinal microbiota exposed to chlorpyrifos in oil as assessed by the SHIME® model. Int J Environ Res Public Health 13(11):1088.<https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph13111088>
- Sarkar SR, Banerjee S (2019) Gut microbiota in neurodegenerative disorders. J Neuroimmunol 328:98–104. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jneuroim.2019.01.004) [jneuroim.2019.01.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jneuroim.2019.01.004)
- Shafo FC, Grodzki AC, Schelegle ES, Lein PJ (2018) The organophosphorus pesticide chlorpyrifos induces sex-specifc airway hyperreactivity in adult rats. Toxicol Sci 165:244–253. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1093/TOXSCI/KFY158) [10.1093/TOXSCI/KFY158](https://doi.org/10.1093/TOXSCI/KFY158)
- Sheng W, Ji G, Zhang L (2023) Immunomodulatory effects of inulin and its intestinal metabolites. Front Immunol 14:1224092. <https://doi.org/10.3389/FIMMU.2023.1224092/BIBTEX>
- Supreeth M, Raju N (2017) Biotransformation of chlorpyrifos and endosulfan by bacteria and fungi. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 101:5961–5971.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-017-8401-7>
- Uerlings J, Schroyen M, Willems E et al (2020) Diferential efects of inulin or its fermentation metabolites on gut barrier and immune function of porcine intestinal epithelial cells. J Funct Foods 67:103855. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jf.2020.103855](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jff.2020.103855)
- Valdes AM, Walter J, Segal E, Spector TD (2018) Role of the gut microbiota in nutrition and health. BMJ 361:k2179. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.k2179) [org/10.1136/bmj.k2179](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.k2179)
- Van der Zande ISE, van der Graaf R, Oudijk MA et al (2017) Vulnerability of pregnant women in clinical research. 1–7. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1136/medethics-2016-103955) [org/10.1136/medethics-2016-103955](https://doi.org/10.1136/medethics-2016-103955)
- Van Melis LVJ, Heusinkveld HJ, Langendoen C et al (2023) Organophosphate insecticides disturb neuronal network development and function via non-AChE mediated mechanisms. Neurotoxicology 94:35–45. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuro.2022.11.002>
- Vernocchi P, Del Chierico F, Putignani L (2016) Gut microbiota profling: metabolomics based approach to unravel compounds afecting human health. Front Microbiol 7:1144. [https://doi.org/10.3389/](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2016.01144) [fmicb.2016.01144](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2016.01144)
- Versele R, Sevin E, Gosselet F, Fenart L, Candela P (2022) TNF-α and IL-1β Modulate blood-brain barrier permeability and decrease amyloid-β peptide efflux in a human blood-brain barrier Model. Int J Mol Sci 23(18):10235. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms231810235>
- Wang L, Wang Z, Lan Y et al (2023) Inulin attenuates blood-brain barrier permeability and alleviates behavioral disorders by modulating the TLR4/MyD88/NF-κB pathway in mice with chronic stress. J Agric Food Chem 71:13325–13337. [https://doi.org/10.1021/ACS.JAFC.](https://doi.org/10.1021/ACS.JAFC.3C03568/ASSET/IMAGES/LARGE/JF3C03568_0007.JPEG) [3C03568/ASSET/IMAGES/LARGE/JF3C03568\\_0007.JPEG](https://doi.org/10.1021/ACS.JAFC.3C03568/ASSET/IMAGES/LARGE/JF3C03568_0007.JPEG)
- Weis GCC, Assmann CE, Mostardeiro VB et al (2021) Chlorpyrifos pesticide promotes oxidative stress and increases infammatory states in BV-2 microglial cells: a role in neuroinfammation. Chemosphere 278:130417. [https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CHEMO](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CHEMOSPHERE.2021.130417) [SPHERE.2021.130417](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CHEMOSPHERE.2021.130417)
- Yang X, Yu D, Xue L et al (2020) Probiotics modulate the microbiota–gut–brain axis and improve memory defcits in aged SAMP8 mice. Acta Pharm Sin B 10:475–487. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsb.2019.07.001) [apsb.2019.07.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsb.2019.07.001)
- Yousef S, Hoseinifar SH, Paknejad H, Hajimoradloo A (2018) The efects of dietary supplement of galactooligosaccharide on innate immunity, immune related genes expression and growth performance in zebrafsh (Danio rerio). Fish Shellfsh Immunol 73:192– 196.<https://doi.org/10.1016/J.FSI.2017.12.022>
- Yuan S, Liu K, Qi Z (2020) Occludin regulation of blood–brain barrier and potential therapeutic target in ischemic stroke. Brain Circ 6:152. [https://doi.org/10.4103/BC.BC\\_29\\_20](https://doi.org/10.4103/BC.BC_29_20)
- Zhang W, Fan R, Luo S et al (2022) Combined effects of chlorpyrifos and cyfluthrin on neurobehavior and neurotransmitter levels in larval zebrafish. J Appl Toxicol 42:1662–1670. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1002/JAT.4334) [doi.org/10.1002/JAT.4334](https://doi.org/10.1002/JAT.4334)
- Zhang D, Ding W, Liu W et al (2023) Single and combined efects of chlorpyrifos and glyphosate on the brain of common carp: based on biochemical and molecular perspective. Int J Mol Sci 24(16):12934.<https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms241612934>
- Zhao Y, Zhang Y, Wang G et al (2016) Efects of chlorpyrifos on the gut microbiome and urine metabolome in mouse (Mus musculus). Chemosphere 153:287–293. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemo](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2016.03.055) [sphere.2016.03.055](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2016.03.055)

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

## **Authors and Afliations**

## Maria Abou Diwan<sup>1,2</sup> · Narimane Djekkoun<sup>1</sup> · Marie-Christine Boucau<sup>2</sup> · Aurélie Corona<sup>1</sup> · Lucie Dehouck<sup>2</sup> · Maurice Biendo<sup>1</sup> • Fabien Gosselet<sup>2</sup> • Véronique Bach<sup>1</sup> • Pietra Candela<sup>2</sup> • Hafida Khorsi-Cauet<sup>1</sup>

- $\boxtimes$  Hafida Khorsi-Cauet hafda.khorsi@u-picardie.fr
- <sup>1</sup> PERITOX—Périnatalité et Risques Toxiques—UMR 101 UPJV/INERIS, Centre Universitaire de Recherche en Santé, CURS-UPJV, University of Picardie Jules Verne, CEDEX 1, 80054 Amiens, France
- <sup>2</sup> Laboratoire de La Barrière Hémato-Encéphalique (LBHE), UR 2465, University of Artois, 62300 Lens, France