

Application of Machine Learning to MICADO Passive and Active Neutron Measurement System for the Characterization of Radioactive Waste Drums

Quentin Ducasse, Cyrille Eleon, Bertrand Perot, Nadia Perot, Pierre-Guy

Allinei

► To cite this version:

Quentin Ducasse, Cyrille Eleon, Bertrand Perot, Nadia Perot, Pierre-Guy Allinei. Application of Machine Learning to MICADO Passive and Active Neutron Measurement System for the Characterization of Radioactive Waste Drums. IEEE Transactions on Nuclear Science, 2024, 71 (5), pp.1084 - 1090. 10.1109/tns.2024.3351275. hal-04694745

HAL Id: hal-04694745 https://hal.science/hal-04694745v1

Submitted on 11 Sep 2024 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - NoDerivatives 4.0 International License

AUTHOR QUERIES

AUTHOR PLEASE ANSWER ALL QUERIES

PLEASE NOTE: We cannot accept new source files as corrections for your article. If possible, please annotate the PDF proof we have sent you with your corrections and upload it via the Author Gateway. Alternatively, you may send us your corrections in list format. You may also upload revised graphics via the Author Gateway.

Carefully check the page proofs (and coordinate with all authors); additional changes or updates WILL NOT be accepted after the article is published online/print in its final form. Please check author names and affiliations, funding, as well as the overall article for any errors prior to sending in your author proof corrections.

- AQ:1 = Please confirm or add details for any funding or financial support for the research of this article.
- AQ:2 = Please confirm whether the edits made in the current affiliation of all the authors are correct.
- AQ:3 = Please provide the title and accessed date for Refs. [1], [6], and [9].
- AQ:4 = Please provide the organization name and organization location for Refs. [3], [4], and [5].
- AQ:5 = Please provide the publisher name and publisher location for Ref. [8].
- AQ:6 = Please provide the volume no. for Ref. [11].
- AQ:7 = Please provide the page range for Ref. [14].

Application of Machine Learning to MICADO Passive and Active Neutron Measurement System for the Characterization of **Radioactive Waste Drums**

Quentin Ducasse^(D), Cyrille Eleon^(D), Bertrand Perot^(D), Nadia Perot, and Pierre-Guy Allinei^(D)

44

71

35

Abstract—A passive and active neutron measurement system has been developed within the Measurement and Instrumentation 2 for Cleaning and Decommissioning Operation (MICADO) H2020 3 project to estimate the nuclear material mass inside legacy waste 4 drums of low and intermediate radioactivity levels. Monte-Carlo 5 simulations were performed to design a transportable neutron 6 system allowing both passive neutron coincidence counting and active interrogation with the differential die-away technique 8 (DDT). However, the calibration coefficients (CCs) representing the signal of interest (due to nuclear material) in these two 10 measurement modes may vary by a large amount depending on 11 the properties of the matrix of the nuclear waste drum. Therefore, 12 this article investigates matrix effects based on 104 Monte-13 Carlo calculations with different waste drums, based on Taguchi 14 experimental design with a range of densities, material com-15 positions, filling levels, and nuclear material masses. A matrix 16 correction method is studied using machine learning algorithms. 17 18 The matrix effect on the neutron signal is deduced from the signal of internal neutron monitors located inside the measurement 19 cavity and from a transmission measurement with an AmBe 20 neutron source. Those quantities can be assessed experimentally 21 and are used as explanatory variables for the definition of a 22 predictive model of the simulated CC, either in passive or in 23 active mode. A multilinear regression model of the CC based on 24 ordinary least square (OLS) is built and compared to the random 25 forest (RF) machine-learning algorithm and to the multilayer 26 perceptron (MLP) artificial neural network. In passive neutron 27 coincidence counting, the residual error of the regression is lower 28 for the MLP and RF than for OLS. The agreement between the 29 predicted CCs of four mockup drums used as test is better than 30 17% and 3%, respectively, with the MLP and RF methods, while 31 three predictions are out of the 95% confidence level range with 32 OLS. In active neutron interrogation, similar conclusions are 33 drawn. The prediction of the CC for the four mockup drums is

AQ:1

AQ:2

34

Manuscript received 28 September 2023; revised 14 December 2023; accepted 27 December 2023. This work was supported by the European Union's Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation Program under Grant 847641. (Corresponding author: Quentin Ducasse.)

Quentin Ducasse was with CEA, DES, IRESNE, Nuclear Measurement Laboratory, Cadarache, F-13108 Saint-Paul-lez-Durance, France. He is now with the Institut de Radioprotection et de Sûreté Nucléaire (IRSN), PSE-SANTE/SDOS/LMDN, Cadarache, F-13108 Saint-Paul-lez-Durance, France (e-mail: quentin.ducasse@irsn.fr).

Cyrille Eleon, Bertrand Perot, and Pierre-Guy Allinei are with CEA, DES, IRESNE, Nuclear Measurement Laboratory, Cadarache, F-13108 Saint-Paullez-Durance. France.

Nadia Perot is with CEA, DES, IRESNE, DER, SESI, LEMS, Cadarache, F-13108 Saint-Paul-lez-Durance, France.

Color versions of one or more figures in this article are available at https://doi.org/10.1109/TNS.2024.3351275.

Digital Object Identifier 10.1109/TNS.2024.3351275

better than 12%, 35%, and 72% for the respective MLP, RF, and OLS methods. In conclusion, the MLP and RF regression model demonstrates more accurate results of the quantities of interest than the traditional OLS method. The future steps will focus on matrix heterogeneities, experimental validation, improving our models and testing new regression approaches.

Index Terms—Active neutron interrogation, calibration coefficient (CC), experimental design, linear regression, multilayer perceptron (MLP), passive neutron coincidence counting.

I. INTRODUCTION

THE Measurement and Instrumentation for Cleaning and 45 Decommissioning Operations (MICADOs) project [1] of 46 H2020 Research and Innovation Program aims to propose a 47 cost-effective and comprehensive solution for nondestructive 48 characterization of nuclear waste. The project is develop-49 ing a platform composed of different measurements (gamma 50 camera, gamma-ray spectroscopy, passive and active neutron 51 measurements, and photofission interrogation) and of modern 52 analysis technologies, such as AI and Bayesian methods, 53 to combine experimental results in view of reducing the 54 uncertainty in the determination of the nuclear material content 55 inside the nuclear waste package. The neutron system aims 56 at quantifying nuclear material (plutonium and uranium) in 57 legacy technological wastes resulting from the exploitation 58 of nuclear plants. A neutron system prototype was recently 59 designed and optimized by Monte–Carlo simulations [2] using 60 the MCNP code [3] for the nuclear material mass deter-61 mination of a wide range of nuclear waste by combining 62 both passive neutron coincidence counting [4], [5] and active 63 neutron interrogation [5]. This work also showed that the 64 neutron signal of interest coming from the nuclear material 65 is strongly impacted by the properties of the nuclear waste 66 drum matrix. Therefore, we investigate the matrix effects with 67 Monte-Carlo calculations based on an experimental design to 68 figure out possible corrections to determine more accurately 69 the nuclear material mass. 70

II. MICADO NEUTRON SYSTEM MEASUREMENT

The design of a neutron measurement system is strongly 72 influenced by the characteristics of the nuclear waste drums to 73



Fig. 1. MCNP model of the MICADO neutron system design. (a) Side view. (b) Front view. (c) Upper view.



Fig. 2. Experimental setup of the MICADO neutron system. Measurement in active neutron interrogation using (a) neutron generator and (b) transmission.

be measured. Hundreds of thousands of nuclear waste pack-74 ages (produced by EDF, ORANO, CEA, etc.) are currently 75 stored in several areas in France [6]. We focus our study 76 on 118 L technological legacy waste drums from nuclear fuel 77 fabrication or spent fuel reprocessing plants, which contains 78 plutonium and uranium. The MCNP numerical model of 79 MICADO neutron system is based on the prototype described 80 in [2], with only a few modifications to consider the measure-81 ment cell as built. Figs. 1 and 2 show the MCNP model and 82 the experimental setup (not used in this article), respectively. 83

The neutron system consists of a $150 \times 170 \times 230$ cm 84 cell that can contain drums up to 400 L. The 10-cm-thick 85 walls of the cell are made of polyethylene to thermalize 86 neutrons and thus increase the fission rate for the differential 87 die-away technique (DDT) [5]. The neutron system prototype 88 includes a total of 84 gas proportional counters filled with ³He, 89 embedded by groups of seven detectors in 12 polyethylene 90 blocks, in order to thermalize the neutrons to be detected. 91 These neutrons are fission prompt neutrons induced in fissile 92 nuclei like ²³⁹Pu and ²³⁵U, in active neutron interrogation, 93 and spontaneous fission neutrons from odd nuclei like ²⁴⁰Pu, 94 in passive neutron coincidence counting. The detection blocks 95 are embedded in cadmium for the DDT technique. They are 96 disposed horizontally on the two sidewalls of the system, 97 to give an indication of the vertical location of neutron sources 98 in the drum, in view to reduce uncertainties on the nuclear 99 material mass determination. The drum is placed on a rotating 100 plate made of aluminum that is relatively transparent to neu-101 trons. The motor that commands the rotating plate is composed 102

of neutron absorbing materials (mainly stainless steel) and 103 is covered by a layer of 10 cm of polyethylene to mitigate 104 this effect. A 14-MeV DT neutron generator (GENIE16 from 105 SODERN, [7]) used in the active mode is fixed on the wall on a 106 polyethylene support. An additional boron-coated proportional 107 counter called "external monitor," located outside the neutron 108 cell, is used to normalize all measurements and thus correct for 109 potential fluctuations of the neutron generator emission rate. 110

The determination of the nuclear material mass in a drum 111 derives from the value of a calibration coefficient (CC) 112 representing the useful neutron signal obtained for 1 g of 113 nuclear material in the drum, which is calculated by Monte-114 Carlo simulation [2]. This signal is highly affected by the 115 properties of the matrix of the drum, namely, the presence of 116 neutron thermalizing and/or absorbing materials that impact 117 the useful signal in both passive neutron coincidence counting 118 and active neutron interrogation. For instance, a matrix with 119 rich-in-hydrogen materials, such as polyethylene, thermalizes 120 generator fast neutrons and thus increases the fission rate, but 121 neutrons absorbers, such as boron, cadmium, iron, or hydrogen 122 itself, have the opposite effect. On the other hand, as hydrogen 123 slows down the neutrons to be detected, coming from sponta-124 neous or induced fissions, it reduces their detection efficiency. 125 Indeed, thermalized neutrons are absorbed by the cadmium 126 layer surrounding the detection blocks (see Fig. 1). To monitor 127 thermal neutron absorbers, two boron-coated detectors (called 128 "internal monitors," see Fig. 1) are fixed at two different 129 heights on the opening door of the neutron cell. They are 130 sensitive to the thermal neutron flux inside the measurement 131 cavity, which depends on the waste materials, and can be 132 used to correct matrix effects in active neutron interrogation 133 mode, in view to reduce the uncertainty on the nuclear mass 134 estimation [10]. We also use the signal of an AmBe neutron 135 source transmitted across the waste drum, with the opposite 136 ³He detection blocks (see Fig. 2), which is sensitive to the 137 thermalization properties of the matrix. Both internal monitors 138 and transmission signals are used to monitor matrix effects in 139 passive neutron coincidence counting and in active neutron 140 interrogation. 141

III. EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN

The investigation of matrix effects on the CC requires 143 a large number of Monte-Carlo simulations based from 144 the numerical model presented in Fig. 1 for a variety of 145 nuclear waste drums. For this purpose, a Taguchi experimental 146 design [8] is used to investigate how different matrix features 147 affect the mean and variance of the CC variable of interest. 148 The aim is to build a predictive model of CC for waste 149 matrices with properties in the scope of the experimental 150 design. The experimental design proposed by Taguchi involves 151 orthogonal arrays to organize the parameters affecting the 152 variable of interest and their levels of variation. Compared 153 to a factorial design, the Taguchi method only tests pairs of 154 combinations of parameters, allowing determining which ones 155 most affect the variable of interest with a limited number 156 of simulations. In this study, we perform the simulation for 157 104 waste drums of different matrix compositions, densities, 158 filling heights, and nuclear material masses (see Table I). 159

TABLE I PARAMETERS AND THEIR VARIATION LEVELS OF THE L32 AND THE L72 TAGUCHI EXPERIMENTAL DESIGNS FOR 118 L DRUMS

Danamatana	L104 experimental design				
rarameters	L32 Taguchi	L72 Taguchi			
Matrix composition (mass fractions)	Polyethylene (100 %) ; Stainless steel (100 %) ; Mixed 33% PE - 67 % steel ; Mixed 67 % PE - 33 % steel	$\begin{array}{c} \mbox{Metallic ; Zircaloy (Zr alloy) ; MELOX (organic waste) ; C_6H_{10}O_6 (50 \%) - CH_2 (50 \%) ; PVC ; borated silicon carbide SiC (99 \%) - B (1 \%) \end{array}$			
Density (g/cm ³)	0.1;0.3;0.5;0.7	0.1;0.2;0.35;0.45;0.6 ;0.7			
Filling level (%)	50;65;80;100	50;65;80;100			
Nuclear material mass (g)	0.1 ; 1 ; 10 ; 50	0.1 ; 10 ; 100			

Note that the nuclear material mass is not strictly speaking 160 a matrix effect, but it is introduced in the study to take 161 into account the combined self-absorption and multiplication 162 effects in nuclear material. In the end, this combined effect 163 was found to be negligible in this study because nuclear 164 materials are distributed homogenously in the whole matrix. 165 The 104 simulations are split into an orthogonal array of 166 32 and 72 configurations, namely, L32 and L72 [9]. These 167 two experimental designs have then been merged into a unique 168 L104 experimental design to obtain a more robust database for 169 the regression techniques described later in this article. The 170 target value is the CC both in passive neutron coincidence 171 counting and in active neutron interrogation of 118 L drums. 172 The L32 and the L72 propose four and up to six levels of 173 variation of their parameters, respectively. The parameters and 174 their levels of variation fully cover the scope of characteristics 175 defining the nuclear waste drums likely to be measured in the 176 MICADO project. They are presented in Table I. 177

The experimental designs involve a large variety of matrices, 178 mainly composed of metallic or organic elements present as 179 primary components in technological wastes of nuclear plants 180 (pipes, rods, gloves, etc.). In this study, the matrix and the dis-181 tribution of nuclear materials inside the drum are assumed to 182 be homogeneous. In practice, partial information of the drum 183 characteristics might be collected from the drum provider 184 before performing a neutron measurement. Information can 185 also be assessed with complementary measurements [11] per-186 formed within the MICADO project (gamma spectroscopy and 187 tomography). In the following, we will assume that no a priori 188 information is known, so as not to introduce any bias in the 189 analysis of the experimental design simulations. 190

IV. COMPARISON OF REGRESSION MODELS

¹⁹² A. Definition of the Explanatory Variables

191

The measured transmitted and internal monitor signals are 193 used as explanatory variables to assess the properties of the 194 waste matrix. The neutron signal transmitted through the drum 195 is measured by the six ³He detection blocks (Str₁, Str₂, Str₃, 196 Str₄, Str₅, and Str₆) located at the opposite side with respect 197 to the AmBe source (Fig. 2). It reflects the thermalizing power 198 of the waste matrix inside the drum. In passive neutron coin-199 cidence counting, only this neutron transmission information 200



Fig. 3. Correlation matrix between the CC (CC 239 Pu and CC 240 Pu) and the explanatory variables (signal of the internal monitors Smih and Smil and neutron signal in the 12 3 He detection blocks Str_i measured with AmBe source).

is implemented in the regression models, as thermal neutron 201 absorption in the waste matrix has a minor effect when using 202 detection blocks wrapped in cadmium for the purpose of the 203 active interrogation. For this last measurement, however, the 204 signal measured by the two internal monitors inside the cell 205 is used as additional explanatory variables in the regression 206 models. Indeed, these internal monitors give information on 207 the absorbing properties of the matrix for thermal interrogating 208 neutrons, respectively, in the lower part (Smil) and in the 209 higher part (Smih) of the drum. Fig. 3 shows the correlation 210 matrix between the CC (in passive and active modes) and 21 the explanatory variables. The CC in passive mode (CC_{passive}) 212 is little correlated to the signal of the internal monitors, 213 while it shows the highest correlation with the transmitted 214 signal (Str_1-Str_3) located at the opposite side of the AmBe 215 source. Finally, we can also observe the strong correlation 216 (factor > 0.7) between CC in the active mode (CC_{active}) and 217 internal monitors. 218

B. Regression Techniques

Regression techniques for the prediction of the CC can be 220 applied using the explanatory variables showing the highest 221 correlations with CC. In this work, we illustrate the benefit 222 of new regression approaches with respect to multilinear 223 regression with ordinary least squares (OLSs), which is tra-224 ditionally used in our laboratory. We compare its results with 225 the multilayer perceptron (MLP) [11] and random forest (RF) 226 [12] algorithms from the Scikit-Learn Python library [13]. 227

Linear regression with the OLS provides a straightforward and interpretable framework for estimating relationships between dependent and multiple independent variables. OLS provides an estimation of the linear model coefficients by 231



Fig. 4. Mockup drums modeled in MCNP. From left to right: wood-PVC-polyethylene-stainless steel.

minimizing the sum of squared differences between observed and predicted values of the CC (here, CC_{MCNP} and CC_{pred}). CC_{pred} is defined as an analytical function of quadratic and polynomial value (up to the second order) of the most relevant explanatory variables (see Section IV-A).

An MLP is a class of artificial neural networks characterized 237 by multiple layers of interconnected nodes, or "neurons," 238 which process input data to produce desired outputs [13]. 239 The efficacy of MLPs in regression modeling stems from 240 their capacity to approximate highly nonlinear mappings 241 between input and output variables. Unlike traditional multi-242 linear regression models, MLPs can capture intricate patterns, 243 interactions, and nonlinearity present in the data. 244

On the practical side, the optimization process involves 245 defining hyperparameters, such as the number of hidden 246 layers, the number of neurons for each hidden layer, the 247 activation function, and the learning rate [13]. These are deter-248 mined through a cross-validation process, which is exclusively 249 applied to the experimental design L72 that presents a larger 250 number of density and matrix levels in comparison to L32 251 (see Table I). 252

The RF algorithm is a powerful asset in predictive model-253 ing, particularly for complex and high-dimensional datasets. 254 By building a large set of decision trees and aggregating their 255 predictions, RF enhances prediction accuracy, reduces overfit-256 ting, and provides valuable insights into variable importance. 257 The hyperparameters include the number of trees, the criterion, 258 the minimum number of samples required to split a node, 259 and among others [13]. These are also determined through 260 a cross-validation process, which is exclusively applied to the 261 experimental design L72. 262

C. Tests of the Regression Models With Homogeneous Mockup Drums

In this work, the predictions of CC calculated by the regression models will be checked using calculations with the MCNP models of realistic homogenous waste drums. For this purpose, we use the MCNP models of four mockup drums filled with different matrices (see Fig. 4), which will be used in the experimental tests of MICADO cell at the Nuclear Measurement Laboratory of CEA Cadarache.

These four matrices are representatives, in terms of neutron moderation and absorption, of the materials constituting radioactive technological wastes. In addition, their characteristics are included in the range of the parameters of the experimental design regarding matrix composition, density, filling level, and nuclear mass content (see Table II).

In the following, the unique L104 experimental design (as described in Section III) is directly used for the training

TABLE II 118 L Mockup Drums Description

Designation Matrix material		Composition	Apparent density (g.cm ⁻³)	Filling level	
Mockup 1	Stainless steel	Fe (70%) – Ni (18%) – Cr (8%)	0.63	82%	
Mockup 2	Wood	$C_6H_{10}O_5$	0.35	95%	
Mockup 3	Polyethylene	CH ₂	0.5	92%	
Mockup 4	PVC	C_2H_3Cl	0.27	91%	



Fig. 5. Predicted CC-240Pu of the mockup cases (labeled points) in passive neutron coincidence counting obtained with (a) OLS, (b) MLP, and (c) RF algorithms. The two red lines are the interval $\pm 2 \sigma_{res}$.

database, and the prediction performance of the regression 280 techniques is assessed using simulation results performed for 281 the four mockup drums. The result of the regression models 282 (called predicted CC or "CC_{pred}") is compared to the MCNP 283 simulated CCs (called "CC_{true}") for the 104 configurations in 284 Figs. 5 and 6 for passive neutron coincidence counting and for 285 active neutron interrogation, respectively. The predicted CC 286 and the true CC of the four mockup drums are also indicated. 287



Fig. 6. Predicted CC-239Pu of the mockup cases (labeled points) in active neutron interrogation obtained with (a) OLS, (b) MLP, and (c) RF. The two red lines are the interval $\pm 2 \sigma_{res}$.

The predicted CC (CC_{pred}) by the three regression methods 288 is represented against the simulated (CC_{true}). The residual error 289 of the multilinear regression with the interval is $\pm 2 \sigma_{res}$. The 290 absolute value of σ_{res} is deduced from the square root of the 291 mean square error (mse). Values covered by the CC are largely 292 distributed and are not organized in clusters, demonstrating 293 the relevance of the description of the experimental design. 294 The symmetric mean absolute percentage error (SMAPE) 295 demonstrates a better quality of the regression using MLP and 296 RF methods compared to OLS, in both passive coincidence 297 counting (SMAPE_{OLS} = 5.23%, $\sigma_{res,OLS} = 0.0446 \text{ c.s}^{-1}.\text{g}^{-1}$; 298 $c.s^{-1}.g^{-1};$ 0.0185 $\text{SMAPE}_{\text{MLP}} = 1.94\%, \sigma_{\text{res.MLP}}$ = 299 SMAPE_{RF} = 1.38%, $\sigma_{res,RF}$ = 0.0111 c.s⁻¹.g⁻¹) and 300 active interrogation (SMAPE_{OLS} = 20.39%, $\sigma_{res,OLS}$ 301 37 c.s⁻¹.g⁻¹; SMAPE_{MLP} = 5.94%, $\sigma_{\text{res,MLP}} = 21$ c.s⁻¹.g⁻¹; 302 SMAPE_{RF} = 10.60%, $\sigma_{res,RF} = 26 \text{ c.s}^{-1} \cdot \text{g}^{-1}$). Additionally, 303 a few negative, nonphysical values of CC_{pred} arise from the 304 linear regression ["Mockup 3" on Fig. 5 and a few others 305 (blue points) on Fig. 6], disqualifying the validity of the 306 OLS method in this region. Table III compares the metrics of 307

TABLE III

COMPARISON METRICS OF THE DIFFERENT MULTILINEAR REGRESSION MODELS IN PASSIVE NEUTRON COINCIDENCE COUNTING AND ACTIVE NEUTRON INTERROGATION FOR THE FOUR MOCKUP DRUMS

	Mock-up number	CC _{true} (MCN P) [c.s ¹ .g ⁻¹]	CC _{pred,OLS} (c.s ⁻¹ .g ⁻¹ and relative difference in %)	CC _{pred,MLP} (c.s ⁻¹ .g ⁻¹ and relative difference in %)	CCpred,RF (c.s ⁻¹ .g ⁻¹ and relative difference in %)
Passive	Mock-up 1	0.972	0.964 (-1%)	0.995 (2%)	0.986 (1%)
neutron	Mock-up 2	0.851	0.411 (-52%)	0.842 (-1%)	0.854 (0%)
coinciden	Mock-up 3	0.261	0.110 (-58%)	0.306 (17%)	0.270 (3%)
ce counting	Mock-up 4	1.017	0.545 (-46%)	1.017 (0%)	1.011 (- 1%)
Active	Mock-up 1	262	250 (-5%)	294 (12%)	259 (-1%)
neutron	Mock-up 2	621	600 (-3%)	579 (-7%)	553 (-11%)
coinciden	Mock-up 3	231	319 (38%)	245 (6%)	248 (7%)
ce counting	Mock-up 4	79	22 (-72%)	80 (1%)	51 (-35%)

accuracy for CC_{pred} using the OLS, MLP, and RF methods in passive coincidence counting and active interrogation.

In the passive mode, results indicate that the agreement 310 in the predicted CC in the four mockup drums lies in 311 the [1%-58%], [0%-17%], and [0%-3%] ranges in passive 312 coincidence counting and in the [3%–72%], [1%–12%], and 313 [1%–35%] ranges in active interrogation for the OLS, MLP, 314 and RF methods, respectively. The model based on the OLS 315 method provides poor prediction results in passive coincidence 316 counting, where three of the four drum cases are outside the 317 interval $\pm 2 \sigma_{res}$. This indicates an overfitting of the OLS model 318 and reducing the amount of input parameters, as well as the 319 polynomial order, enables to mitigate this disagreement (while 320 nonetheless degrading the residual error of the fit). On the 321 other hand, the MLP and RF methods forecast all the results 322 inside the interval $\pm 2 \sigma_{res}$. In active neutron interrogation, 323 a better accuracy and consistency of the predictions is also 324 observed for the MLP and RF methods. 325

D. Model Prediction Extension to Heterogeneous Waste Cases

To assess the validity and limitations of the prediction 328 regression models established with homogenous waste matri-329 ces, ORANO La Hague provided two realistic heterogeneous 330 drums case studies, together with their detailed Monte-Carlo 331 simulation model, in the frame of MICADO project [14]. The 332 first realistic case (RC1) consists of a 118 L alpha waste drum 333 divided into five bags containing decontamination wipes. The 334 activity of the plutonium inside the drum is heterogeneous. 335 The matrix is also moderately heterogeneous and exclusively 336 constituted of humid plastic C₃H₆(H₂O) of densities varying 337 from 0.32 to 0.53. The second realistic case (RC2) is a 118 L 338 alpha waste drum containing 50 stainless steel pipes with a 339 low contamination level. The average density is 1.08 g.cm^{-3} 340 and about 87% of the plutonium activity is concentrated in a 34 valve located at the bottom of the drum. Fig. 7 shows a view 342 of the RC1 and RC2 Monte-Carlo models. 343

The result of the regression models trained with the L104 data set is illustrated in Figs. 8 and 9, in passive neutron coincidence counting and in active neutron interrogation, respectively. 347

308

309

326



Fig. 7. MCNP models of (a) RC1 and (b) RC2 waste drums. The different colors correspond to different types of material.



Fig. 8. Predicted CC-240Pu of the realistic drum cases (labeled points) in passive neutron coincidence counting obtained by (a) OLS, (b) MLP, and (c) RF algorithms. The two red lines are the interval $\pm 2 \sigma_{res}$.

Table IV compares the accuracy metric results for CC_{pred} using the OLS, MLP, and RF methods in passive coincidence counting and active interrogation.

As previously mentioned, both drums present certain level 351 of heterogeneities (matrix and distribution of the plutonium 352 mass) that was not simulated in the experimental design 353 calculations. Thus, the regression algorithms have not been 354 trained with heterogeneous matrices and nuclear material 355 distributions. This being said, CC_{pred} agrees within $\left[0\%{-}30\%\right]$ 356 in passive neutron coincidence counting, regardless of the 357 regression method, but results are significantly better using 358



Fig. 9. Predicted CC-239Pu of the realistic drum cases (labeled points) in active neutron interrogation obtained by (a) OLS method, (b) MLP, and (c) RF algorithm. The two red lines are the interval $\pm 2 \sigma_{res}$.

TABLE IV

COMPARISON METRIC RESULTS OF THE DIFFERENT REGRESSION MODELS IN PASSIVE NEUTRON COINCIDENCE COUNTING AND ACTIVE NEUTRON INTERROGATION FOR THE REALISTIC DRUM CASES

	Mock-up number	CC _{true} (MCN P) [c.s ⁻ ¹ .g ⁻¹]	CC _{pred,OLS} (c.s ⁻¹ .g ⁻¹ and relative difference in %)	CC _{pred,MLP} (c.s ⁻¹ .g ⁻¹ and relative difference in %)	CC _{pred,RF} (c.s ⁻¹ .g ⁻¹ and relative difference in %)
Passive neutron	RC 1	0.445	0.310 (-30%)	0.477 (7%)	0.539 (21%)
coinciden ce counting	RC 2	1.032	1.039 (1%)	1.027 (0%)	1.033 (0%)
Active neutron	RC 1	416	383 (-8%)	394 (-5%)	345 (17%)
coinciden ce counting	RC 2	207	220 (6%)	321 (55%)	115 (-44%)

MLP and RF than OLS. In active neutron interrogation, predictions are within [5%–55%] and OLS provides better predictions of CC for RC2 (6% against 55% and 44% for the MLP and RF methods, respectively). Beyond the fact that

RC2 shows heterogeneities in matrix composition and nuclear 363 mass distribution, these poor predictions for MLP and RF 364 algorithms are probably due to the average density of RC2, 365 which is out of the boundary limits defined in the experimental 366 design (i.e., 1.08 versus 0.7 g.cm⁻³ maximum in the training 367 set). Overall predicted results of realistic heterogeneous drum 368 cases are thus logically less accurate than the prediction for 369 the previous homogeneous mockup drums. 370

E. Conclusion and Outlooks 371

The MICADO neutron measurement system prototype has 372 been designed by MCNP simulation, with the objective to 373 estimate the nuclear material mass in a wide range of radioac-374 tive waste drums by passive neutron coincidence counting 375 and active neutron interrogation. The useful neutron signal 376 (namely, the CC in count per second and per gram of ²⁴⁰Pu 377 or ²³⁹Pu, respectively) was calculated by Monte-Carlo simu-378 lations for a series of matrix compositions, density, and filling 379 levels defined with an experimental design. The obtained 380 104 simulation results were used to establish regression mod-381 els with three different algorithms to reduce the uncertainty 382 on the nuclear mass estimation. These models were based on 383 internal matrix monitors and a neutron transmission measure-384 ment. Within the context of this issue, MLP and RF regression 385 techniques show a clear advantage over conventional OLS 386 (linear regression with OLSs), both in passive coincidence 387 counting and active interrogation techniques. This behavior 388 may be attributed to the strong correlation between some 389 of the predictors knowing that one of the conditions to use 390 classical linear regression method is the independence of the 391 predictors. The residual error and the SMAPE on the linear 392 regression of the MLP and RF techniques are lower, and the 393 predicted CC never results in nonphysical negative values. 394 Therefore, the uncertainty on the determination of the nuclear 395 mass can significantly be reduced by comparison to standard 396 OLS method usually employed in our laboratory. 397

In the next steps, we will investigate more regression 398 methods and we will enlarge the training database with 399 additional Monte-Carlo simulations, including more waste 400 materials and heterogeneous matrices as well. Currently, the 401 use of experimental designs helps to reduce the number of 402 MCNP simulations while providing maximum information 403 for constructing regression models. However, this approach 404 only works if the range of variation for influential parameters 405 (density, filling level, matrix material, etc.) covers the entire 406 range of waste barrels to be measured. When dealing with 407 homogeneous matrices, this approach is relatively robust. 408 However, accounting for matrix heterogeneities and radioac-409 tive materials becomes very challenging, even when using 410

experimental designs driven by MCNP simulations. At present, 411 the idea is to consider extreme cases in terms of hetero-412 geneities. These cases are unlikely to be measured in practice, 413 but it allows to cover a broad range of variations. Despite this, 414 it is still expected that regression algorithms (based on inter-415 polation between different points of the experimental design) 416 will exhibit poorer performance compared to homogeneous 417 cases. In this specific scenario, simulating all the possible 418 heterogeneous configurations to train the models is very 419 complex, if not impossible. Work is ongoing to evaluate the 420 performance for these heterogeneous waste scenarios. Another 421 important prospect is to perform laboratory measurements with 422 mockup drums in DANAIDES casemate of TOTEM nuclear 423 facility, at CEA Cadarache, both to validate our numerical 424 Monte-Carlo calculation models and to test with experimental 425 data the regression models established with simulation data. 426

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The work presented in this article reflects only the author's 428 views and the Commission is not liable for any use that may 429 be made of the information contained therein. 430

REFERENCES

[1]	[Online]. Available: https://www.micado-project.eu/	432 AQ:3	
[2]	Q. Ducasse et al., "Design of MICADO advanced passive and active neu-	433	
	tron measurement system for radioactive waste drums," Nucl. Instrum.	434	
	Methods Phys. Res. A, Accel. Spectrom. Detect. Assoc. Equip., vol. 1005,	435	
	Jul. 2021, Art. no. 165398.	436	
[3]	MCNP User's Manual Code Version 6.2, Oct. 2017.	437 AQ:4	
[4]	N. Ensslin, "Principles of neutron coincidence counters,"	438	
	Tech. Rep., LA-UR-90-732, 1991.	439	
[5]	J. T. Caldwell, "The Los Alamos second-generation system for passive	440	
	and active neutron assays of drum-size containers," Tech. Rep., LA-	441	
	107/4-MS, 1986.	442	
[6]	[Online]. Available: https://inventaire.andra.fr/	443	
[7]	Sodern Tubes and Generators. Accessed: Sep. 2023. [Online]. Available:	444	
	https://sodern.com/en/tubes-neutroniques/	445	
[8]	R. Sabre, "Taguchi experimental design," in <i>Engineering Techniques</i> ,	446	
	Mar. 2007.	447 AQ:5	
[9]	[Online]. Available: https://support.minitab.com/fr-fr/minitab/18/help-	448	
	and-how-to/modeling-statistics/doe/supportingtopics/taguchi-	449	
F101	designs/catalogue-of-tagueni-designs/#152-21-49	450	
[10]	L. Lepore et al., "The MICADO integrated gamma station for radioactive	451	
	val 288 2023 p. 07015 doi: 10.1051/apiconf/202328807015	452	
F111	C. E. Hinton, "Connectionist looming, procedures," Mach. Learn	453	
[11]	D. E. Hinton, Connectionist learning procedures, <i>Mach. Learn.</i> , pp. 555–610 Jap 1000 doi: 10.1016/B078.0.08.051055.2.50020.8	454	
[10]	pp. 555–610, Jan. 1990, doi: 10.1010/B978-0-08-051055-2.50029-8.	455 AQ.0	
[12]	L. Breiman, Kandom forests, <i>Macn. Learn.</i> , vol. 451, pp. $5-52$, Oct 2001 doi: 10.1022/0.101002240/4224	456	
F121	E. Dadragess et al. "Sailtit learn Mashing learning in Duthon." I. Mash	457	
[13]	r. reuregosa et al., Scikit-learn: Machine learning in Python, J. Mach.	458	
F1 41	E Dravil and A Dialan "WD2: Virtual agons & WD2: Combination	459	
[14]	E. Dicult and A. Dicicil, WP2: virtual cases & WP8: Combination	460	

of measurements and uncertainty assessment," in Proc. Micado Final 461 Demonstration Conf., Jan. 2023. 462 AO:7

427

AUTHOR QUERIES

AUTHOR PLEASE ANSWER ALL QUERIES

PLEASE NOTE: We cannot accept new source files as corrections for your article. If possible, please annotate the PDF proof we have sent you with your corrections and upload it via the Author Gateway. Alternatively, you may send us your corrections in list format. You may also upload revised graphics via the Author Gateway.

Carefully check the page proofs (and coordinate with all authors); additional changes or updates WILL NOT be accepted after the article is published online/print in its final form. Please check author names and affiliations, funding, as well as the overall article for any errors prior to sending in your author proof corrections.

- AQ:1 = Please confirm or add details for any funding or financial support for the research of this article.
- AQ:2 = Please confirm whether the edits made in the current affiliation of all the authors are correct.
- AQ:3 = Please provide the title and accessed date for Refs. [1], [6], and [9].
- AQ:4 = Please provide the organization name and organization location for Refs. [3], [4], and [5].
- AQ:5 = Please provide the publisher name and publisher location for Ref. [8].
- AQ:6 = Please provide the volume no. for Ref. [11].
- AQ:7 = Please provide the page range for Ref. [14].

Application of Machine Learning to MICADO Passive and Active Neutron Measurement System for the Characterization of Radioactive Waste Drums

Quentin Ducasse[®], Cyrille Eleon[®], Bertrand Perot[®], Nadia Perot, and Pierre-Guy Allinei[®]

37 38 39 40 41 42 43

44

71

35

36

Abstract—A passive and active neutron measurement system has been developed within the Measurement and Instrumentation 2 for Cleaning and Decommissioning Operation (MICADO) H2020 3 project to estimate the nuclear material mass inside legacy waste 4 drums of low and intermediate radioactivity levels. Monte-Carlo 5 simulations were performed to design a transportable neutron 6 system allowing both passive neutron coincidence counting and active interrogation with the differential die-away technique 8 (DDT). However, the calibration coefficients (CCs) representing the signal of interest (due to nuclear material) in these two 10 measurement modes may vary by a large amount depending on 11 the properties of the matrix of the nuclear waste drum. Therefore, 12 this article investigates matrix effects based on 104 Monte-13 Carlo calculations with different waste drums, based on Taguchi 14 experimental design with a range of densities, material com-15 positions, filling levels, and nuclear material masses. A matrix 16 correction method is studied using machine learning algorithms. 17 18 The matrix effect on the neutron signal is deduced from the signal of internal neutron monitors located inside the measurement 19 cavity and from a transmission measurement with an AmBe 20 neutron source. Those quantities can be assessed experimentally 21 and are used as explanatory variables for the definition of a 22 predictive model of the simulated CC, either in passive or in 23 active mode. A multilinear regression model of the CC based on 24 ordinary least square (OLS) is built and compared to the random 25 forest (RF) machine-learning algorithm and to the multilayer 26 perceptron (MLP) artificial neural network. In passive neutron 27 coincidence counting, the residual error of the regression is lower 28 for the MLP and RF than for OLS. The agreement between the 29 predicted CCs of four mockup drums used as test is better than 30 17% and 3%, respectively, with the MLP and RF methods, while 31 three predictions are out of the 95% confidence level range with 32 OLS. In active neutron interrogation, similar conclusions are 33 drawn. The prediction of the CC for the four mockup drums is 34

AQ:1

AQ:2

Manuscript received 28 September 2023; revised 14 December 2023; accepted 27 December 2023. This work was supported by the European Union's Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation Program under Grant 847641. (*Corresponding author: Quentin Ducasse.*)

Quentin Ducasse was with CEA, DES, IRESNE, Nuclear Measurement Laboratory, Cadarache, F-13108 Saint-Paul-lez-Durance, France. He is now with the Institut de Radioprotection et de Sûreté Nucléaire (IRSN), PSE-SANTE/SDOS/LMDN, Cadarache, F-13108 Saint-Paul-lez-Durance, France (e-mail: quentin.ducasse@irsn.fr).

Cyrille Eleon, Bertrand Perot, and Pierre-Guy Allinei are with CEA, DES, IRESNE, Nuclear Measurement Laboratory, Cadarache, F-13108 Saint-Paullez-Durance, France.

Nadia Perot is with CEA, DES, IRESNE, DER, SESI, LEMS, Cadarache, F-13108 Saint-Paul-lez-Durance, France.

Color versions of one or more figures in this article are available at https://doi.org/10.1109/TNS.2024.3351275.

Digital Object Identifier 10.1109/TNS.2024.3351275

better than 12%, 35%, and 72% for the respective MLP, RF, and OLS methods. In conclusion, the MLP and RF regression model demonstrates more accurate results of the quantities of interest than the traditional OLS method. The future steps will focus on matrix heterogeneities, experimental validation, improving our models and testing new regression approaches.

Index Terms— Active neutron interrogation, calibration coefficient (CC), experimental design, linear regression, multilayer perceptron (MLP), passive neutron coincidence counting.

I. INTRODUCTION

THE Measurement and Instrumentation for Cleaning and 45 Decommissioning Operations (MICADOs) project [1] of 46 H2020 Research and Innovation Program aims to propose a 47 cost-effective and comprehensive solution for nondestructive 48 characterization of nuclear waste. The project is develop-49 ing a platform composed of different measurements (gamma 50 camera, gamma-ray spectroscopy, passive and active neutron 51 measurements, and photofission interrogation) and of modern 52 analysis technologies, such as AI and Bayesian methods, 53 to combine experimental results in view of reducing the 54 uncertainty in the determination of the nuclear material content 55 inside the nuclear waste package. The neutron system aims 56 at quantifying nuclear material (plutonium and uranium) in 57 legacy technological wastes resulting from the exploitation 58 of nuclear plants. A neutron system prototype was recently 59 designed and optimized by Monte-Carlo simulations [2] using 60 the MCNP code [3] for the nuclear material mass deter-61 mination of a wide range of nuclear waste by combining 62 both passive neutron coincidence counting [4], [5] and active 63 neutron interrogation [5]. This work also showed that the 64 neutron signal of interest coming from the nuclear material 65 is strongly impacted by the properties of the nuclear waste 66 drum matrix. Therefore, we investigate the matrix effects with 67 Monte-Carlo calculations based on an experimental design to 68 figure out possible corrections to determine more accurately 69 the nuclear material mass. 70

II. MICADO NEUTRON SYSTEM MEASUREMENT

The design of a neutron measurement system is strongly ⁷² influenced by the characteristics of the nuclear waste drums to ⁷³



Fig. 1. MCNP model of the MICADO neutron system design. (a) Side view. (b) Front view. (c) Upper view.



Fig. 2. Experimental setup of the MICADO neutron system. Measurement in active neutron interrogation using (a) neutron generator and (b) transmission.

be measured. Hundreds of thousands of nuclear waste pack-74 ages (produced by EDF, ORANO, CEA, etc.) are currently 75 stored in several areas in France [6]. We focus our study 76 on 118 L technological legacy waste drums from nuclear fuel 77 fabrication or spent fuel reprocessing plants, which contains 78 plutonium and uranium. The MCNP numerical model of 79 MICADO neutron system is based on the prototype described 80 in [2], with only a few modifications to consider the measure-81 ment cell as built. Figs. 1 and 2 show the MCNP model and 82 the experimental setup (not used in this article), respectively. 83

The neutron system consists of a $150 \times 170 \times 230$ cm 84 cell that can contain drums up to 400 L. The 10-cm-thick 85 walls of the cell are made of polyethylene to thermalize 86 neutrons and thus increase the fission rate for the differential 87 die-away technique (DDT) [5]. The neutron system prototype 88 includes a total of 84 gas proportional counters filled with ³He, 89 embedded by groups of seven detectors in 12 polyethylene 90 blocks, in order to thermalize the neutrons to be detected. 91 These neutrons are fission prompt neutrons induced in fissile 92 nuclei like ²³⁹Pu and ²³⁵U, in active neutron interrogation, 93 and spontaneous fission neutrons from odd nuclei like ²⁴⁰Pu, 94 in passive neutron coincidence counting. The detection blocks 95 are embedded in cadmium for the DDT technique. They are 96 disposed horizontally on the two sidewalls of the system, 97 to give an indication of the vertical location of neutron sources 98 in the drum, in view to reduce uncertainties on the nuclear 99 material mass determination. The drum is placed on a rotating 100 plate made of aluminum that is relatively transparent to neu-101 trons. The motor that commands the rotating plate is composed 102

of neutron absorbing materials (mainly stainless steel) and 103 is covered by a layer of 10 cm of polyethylene to mitigate 104 this effect. A 14-MeV DT neutron generator (GENIE16 from 105 SODERN, [7]) used in the active mode is fixed on the wall on a 106 polyethylene support. An additional boron-coated proportional 107 counter called "external monitor," located outside the neutron 108 cell, is used to normalize all measurements and thus correct for 109 potential fluctuations of the neutron generator emission rate. 110

The determination of the nuclear material mass in a drum 111 derives from the value of a calibration coefficient (CC) 112 representing the useful neutron signal obtained for 1 g of 113 nuclear material in the drum, which is calculated by Monte-114 Carlo simulation [2]. This signal is highly affected by the 115 properties of the matrix of the drum, namely, the presence of 116 neutron thermalizing and/or absorbing materials that impact 117 the useful signal in both passive neutron coincidence counting 118 and active neutron interrogation. For instance, a matrix with 119 rich-in-hydrogen materials, such as polyethylene, thermalizes 120 generator fast neutrons and thus increases the fission rate, but 121 neutrons absorbers, such as boron, cadmium, iron, or hydrogen 122 itself, have the opposite effect. On the other hand, as hydrogen 123 slows down the neutrons to be detected, coming from sponta-124 neous or induced fissions, it reduces their detection efficiency. 125 Indeed, thermalized neutrons are absorbed by the cadmium 126 layer surrounding the detection blocks (see Fig. 1). To monitor 127 thermal neutron absorbers, two boron-coated detectors (called 128 "internal monitors," see Fig. 1) are fixed at two different 129 heights on the opening door of the neutron cell. They are 130 sensitive to the thermal neutron flux inside the measurement 131 cavity, which depends on the waste materials, and can be 132 used to correct matrix effects in active neutron interrogation 133 mode, in view to reduce the uncertainty on the nuclear mass 134 estimation [10]. We also use the signal of an AmBe neutron 135 source transmitted across the waste drum, with the opposite 136 ³He detection blocks (see Fig. 2), which is sensitive to the 137 thermalization properties of the matrix. Both internal monitors 138 and transmission signals are used to monitor matrix effects in 139 passive neutron coincidence counting and in active neutron 140 interrogation. 141

III. EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN

The investigation of matrix effects on the CC requires 143 a large number of Monte-Carlo simulations based from 144 the numerical model presented in Fig. 1 for a variety of 145 nuclear waste drums. For this purpose, a Taguchi experimental 146 design [8] is used to investigate how different matrix features 147 affect the mean and variance of the CC variable of interest. 148 The aim is to build a predictive model of CC for waste 149 matrices with properties in the scope of the experimental 150 design. The experimental design proposed by Taguchi involves 151 orthogonal arrays to organize the parameters affecting the 152 variable of interest and their levels of variation. Compared 153 to a factorial design, the Taguchi method only tests pairs of 154 combinations of parameters, allowing determining which ones 155 most affect the variable of interest with a limited number 156 of simulations. In this study, we perform the simulation for 157 104 waste drums of different matrix compositions, densities, 158 filling heights, and nuclear material masses (see Table I). 159

TABLE I Parameters and Their Variation Levels of the L32 and the L72 Taguchi Experimental Designs for 118 L Drums

Danamatana	L104 experimental design				
rarameters	L32 Taguchi	L72 Taguchi			
Matrix composition (mass fractions)	Polyethylene (100 %) ; Stainless steel (100 %) ; Mixed 33% PE - 67 % steel ; Mixed 67 % PE - 33 % steel	Metallic ; Zircaloy (Zr alloy) ; MELOX (organic waste) ; $C_6H_{10}O_6$ (50 %) – CH ₂ (50 %) ; PVC ; borated silicon carbide SiC (99 %) - B (1 %)			
Density (g/cm ³)	0.1;0.3;0.5;0.7	0.1; 0.2; 0.35; 0.45; 0.6 ; 0.7			
Filling level (%)	50;65;80;100	50;65;80;100			
Nuclear material mass (g)	0.1 ; 1 ; 10 ; 50	0.1 ; 10 ; 100			

Note that the nuclear material mass is not strictly speaking 160 a matrix effect, but it is introduced in the study to take 161 into account the combined self-absorption and multiplication 162 effects in nuclear material. In the end, this combined effect 163 was found to be negligible in this study because nuclear 164 materials are distributed homogenously in the whole matrix. 165 The 104 simulations are split into an orthogonal array of 166 32 and 72 configurations, namely, L32 and L72 [9]. These 167 two experimental designs have then been merged into a unique 168 L104 experimental design to obtain a more robust database for 169 the regression techniques described later in this article. The 170 target value is the CC both in passive neutron coincidence 171 counting and in active neutron interrogation of 118 L drums. 172 The L32 and the L72 propose four and up to six levels of 173 variation of their parameters, respectively. The parameters and 174 their levels of variation fully cover the scope of characteristics 175 defining the nuclear waste drums likely to be measured in the 176 MICADO project. They are presented in Table I. 177

The experimental designs involve a large variety of matrices, 178 mainly composed of metallic or organic elements present as 179 primary components in technological wastes of nuclear plants 180 (pipes, rods, gloves, etc.). In this study, the matrix and the dis-181 tribution of nuclear materials inside the drum are assumed to 182 be homogeneous. In practice, partial information of the drum 183 characteristics might be collected from the drum provider 184 before performing a neutron measurement. Information can 185 also be assessed with complementary measurements [11] per-186 formed within the MICADO project (gamma spectroscopy and 187 tomography). In the following, we will assume that no a priori 188 information is known, so as not to introduce any bias in the 189 analysis of the experimental design simulations. 190

IV. COMPARISON OF REGRESSION MODELS

¹⁹² A. Definition of the Explanatory Variables

191

The measured transmitted and internal monitor signals are 193 used as explanatory variables to assess the properties of the 194 waste matrix. The neutron signal transmitted through the drum 195 is measured by the six ³He detection blocks (Str₁, Str₂, Str₃, 196 Str₄, Str₅, and Str₆) located at the opposite side with respect 197 to the AmBe source (Fig. 2). It reflects the thermalizing power 198 of the waste matrix inside the drum. In passive neutron coin-199 cidence counting, only this neutron transmission information 200



Fig. 3. Correlation matrix between the CC (CC 239 Pu and CC 240 Pu) and the explanatory variables (signal of the internal monitors Smih and Smil and neutron signal in the 12 3 He detection blocks Str_i measured with AmBe source).

is implemented in the regression models, as thermal neutron 201 absorption in the waste matrix has a minor effect when using 202 detection blocks wrapped in cadmium for the purpose of the 203 active interrogation. For this last measurement, however, the 204 signal measured by the two internal monitors inside the cell 205 is used as additional explanatory variables in the regression 206 models. Indeed, these internal monitors give information on 207 the absorbing properties of the matrix for thermal interrogating 208 neutrons, respectively, in the lower part (Smil) and in the 209 higher part (Smih) of the drum. Fig. 3 shows the correlation 210 matrix between the CC (in passive and active modes) and 21 the explanatory variables. The CC in passive mode (CC_{passive}) 212 is little correlated to the signal of the internal monitors, 213 while it shows the highest correlation with the transmitted 214 signal (Str_1-Str_3) located at the opposite side of the AmBe 215 source. Finally, we can also observe the strong correlation 216 (factor > 0.7) between CC in the active mode (CC_{active}) and 217 internal monitors. 218

B. Regression Techniques

Regression techniques for the prediction of the CC can be 220 applied using the explanatory variables showing the highest 221 correlations with CC. In this work, we illustrate the benefit 222 of new regression approaches with respect to multilinear 223 regression with ordinary least squares (OLSs), which is tra-224 ditionally used in our laboratory. We compare its results with 225 the multilayer perceptron (MLP) [11] and random forest (RF) 226 [12] algorithms from the Scikit-Learn Python library [13]. 227

Linear regression with the OLS provides a straightforward and interpretable framework for estimating relationships between dependent and multiple independent variables. OLS provides an estimation of the linear model coefficients by 231



Fig. 4. Mockup drums modeled in MCNP. From left to right: wood–PVC–polyethylene–stainless steel.

minimizing the sum of squared differences between observed and predicted values of the CC (here, CC_{MCNP} and CC_{pred}). CC_{pred} is defined as an analytical function of quadratic and polynomial value (up to the second order) of the most relevant explanatory variables (see Section IV-A).

An MLP is a class of artificial neural networks characterized 237 by multiple layers of interconnected nodes, or "neurons," 238 which process input data to produce desired outputs [13]. 239 The efficacy of MLPs in regression modeling stems from 240 their capacity to approximate highly nonlinear mappings 241 between input and output variables. Unlike traditional multi-242 linear regression models, MLPs can capture intricate patterns, 243 interactions, and nonlinearity present in the data. 244

On the practical side, the optimization process involves 245 defining hyperparameters, such as the number of hidden 246 layers, the number of neurons for each hidden layer, the 247 activation function, and the learning rate [13]. These are deter-248 mined through a cross-validation process, which is exclusively 249 applied to the experimental design L72 that presents a larger 250 number of density and matrix levels in comparison to L32 251 (see Table I). 252

The RF algorithm is a powerful asset in predictive model-253 ing, particularly for complex and high-dimensional datasets. 254 By building a large set of decision trees and aggregating their 255 predictions, RF enhances prediction accuracy, reduces overfit-256 ting, and provides valuable insights into variable importance. 257 The hyperparameters include the number of trees, the criterion, 258 the minimum number of samples required to split a node, 259 and among others [13]. These are also determined through 260 a cross-validation process, which is exclusively applied to the 261 experimental design L72. 262

C. Tests of the Regression Models With Homogeneous Mockup Drums

In this work, the predictions of CC calculated by the regression models will be checked using calculations with the MCNP models of realistic homogenous waste drums. For this purpose, we use the MCNP models of four mockup drums filled with different matrices (see Fig. 4), which will be used in the experimental tests of MICADO cell at the Nuclear Measurement Laboratory of CEA Cadarache.

These four matrices are representatives, in terms of neutron moderation and absorption, of the materials constituting radioactive technological wastes. In addition, their characteristics are included in the range of the parameters of the experimental design regarding matrix composition, density, filling level, and nuclear mass content (see Table II).

In the following, the unique L104 experimental design (as described in Section III) is directly used for the training

TABLE II 118 L Mockup Drums Description

Designation Matrix material		Composition	Apparent density (g.cm ⁻³)	Filling level	
Mockup 1	Stainless steel	Fe (70%) – Ni (18%) – Cr (8%)	0.63	82%	
Mockup 2	Wood	C ₆ H ₁₀ O ₅	0.35	95%	
Mockup 3	Polyethylene	CH ₂	0.5	92%	
Mockup 4	PVC	C_2H_3Cl	0.27	91%	



Fig. 5. Predicted CC-240Pu of the mockup cases (labeled points) in passive neutron coincidence counting obtained with (a) OLS, (b) MLP, and (c) RF algorithms. The two red lines are the interval $\pm 2 \sigma_{res}$.

database, and the prediction performance of the regression 280 techniques is assessed using simulation results performed for 281 the four mockup drums. The result of the regression models 282 (called predicted CC or "CC_{pred}") is compared to the MCNP 283 simulated CCs (called "CC_{true}") for the 104 configurations in 284 Figs. 5 and 6 for passive neutron coincidence counting and for 285 active neutron interrogation, respectively. The predicted CC 286 and the true CC of the four mockup drums are also indicated. 287



Fig. 6. Predicted CC-239Pu of the mockup cases (labeled points) in active neutron interrogation obtained with (a) OLS, (b) MLP, and (c) RF. The two red lines are the interval $\pm 2 \sigma_{res}$.

The predicted CC (CC_{pred}) by the three regression methods 288 is represented against the simulated (CC_{true}). The residual error 289 of the multilinear regression with the interval is $\pm 2 \sigma_{res}$. The 290 absolute value of σ_{res} is deduced from the square root of the 291 mean square error (mse). Values covered by the CC are largely 292 distributed and are not organized in clusters, demonstrating 293 the relevance of the description of the experimental design. 294 The symmetric mean absolute percentage error (SMAPE) 295 demonstrates a better quality of the regression using MLP and 296 RF methods compared to OLS, in both passive coincidence 297 counting (SMAPE_{OLS} = 5.23%, $\sigma_{res,OLS} = 0.0446 \text{ c.s}^{-1}.\text{g}^{-1}$; 298 $c.s^{-1}.g^{-1};$ 0.0185 $\text{SMAPE}_{\text{MLP}} = 1.94\%, \sigma_{\text{res.MLP}}$ = 299 SMAPE_{RF} = 1.38%, $\sigma_{res,RF}$ = 0.0111 c.s⁻¹.g⁻¹) and 300 active interrogation (SMAPE_{OLS} = 20.39%, $\sigma_{res,OLS}$ 301 37 c.s⁻¹.g⁻¹; SMAPE_{MLP} = 5.94%, $\sigma_{\text{res,MLP}} = 21$ c.s⁻¹.g⁻¹; 302 SMAPE_{RF} = 10.60%, $\sigma_{res,RF} = 26 \text{ c.s}^{-1} \cdot \text{g}^{-1}$). Additionally, 303 a few negative, nonphysical values of CC_{pred} arise from the 304 linear regression ["Mockup 3" on Fig. 5 and a few others 305 (blue points) on Fig. 6], disqualifying the validity of the 306 OLS method in this region. Table III compares the metrics of 307

TABLE III

COMPARISON METRICS OF THE DIFFERENT MULTILINEAR REGRESSION MODELS IN PASSIVE NEUTRON COINCIDENCE COUNTING AND ACTIVE NEUTRON INTERROGATION FOR THE FOUR MOCKUP DRUMS

	Mock-up number	CC _{true} (MCN P) [c.s ⁻ ¹ .g ⁻¹]	CC _{pred,OLS} (c.s ⁻¹ .g ⁻¹ and relative difference in %)	CC _{pred,MLP} (c.s ⁻¹ .g ⁻¹ and relative difference in %)	CC _{pred,RF} (c.s ⁻¹ .g ⁻¹ and relative difference in %)
Passive	Mock-up 1	0.972	0.964 (-1%)	0.995 (2%)	0.986 (1%)
neutron	Mock-up 2	0.851	0.411 (-52%)	0.842 (-1%)	0.854 (0%)
coinciden	Mock-up 3	0.261	0.110 (-58%)	0.306 (17%)	0.270 (3%)
ce counting	Mock-up 4	1.017	0.545 (-46%)	1.017 (0%)	1.011 (- 1%)
Active	Mock-up 1	262	250 (-5%)	294 (12%)	259 (-1%)
neutron	Mock-up 2	621	600 (-3%)	579 (-7%)	553 (-11%)
coinciden	Mock-up 3	231	319 (38%)	245 (6%)	248 (7%)
ce counting	Mock-up 4	79	22 (-72%)	80 (1%)	51 (-35%)

accuracy for CC_{pred} using the OLS, MLP, and RF methods in passive coincidence counting and active interrogation.

In the passive mode, results indicate that the agreement 310 in the predicted CC in the four mockup drums lies in 311 the [1%-58%], [0%-17%], and [0%-3%] ranges in passive 312 coincidence counting and in the [3%–72%], [1%–12%], and 313 [1%–35%] ranges in active interrogation for the OLS, MLP, 314 and RF methods, respectively. The model based on the OLS 315 method provides poor prediction results in passive coincidence 316 counting, where three of the four drum cases are outside the 317 interval $\pm 2 \sigma_{res}$. This indicates an overfitting of the OLS model 318 and reducing the amount of input parameters, as well as the 319 polynomial order, enables to mitigate this disagreement (while 320 nonetheless degrading the residual error of the fit). On the 321 other hand, the MLP and RF methods forecast all the results 322 inside the interval $\pm 2 \sigma_{res}$. In active neutron interrogation, 323 a better accuracy and consistency of the predictions is also 324 observed for the MLP and RF methods. 325

D. Model Prediction Extension to Heterogeneous Waste Cases

To assess the validity and limitations of the prediction 328 regression models established with homogenous waste matri-329 ces, ORANO La Hague provided two realistic heterogeneous 330 drums case studies, together with their detailed Monte-Carlo 331 simulation model, in the frame of MICADO project [14]. The 332 first realistic case (RC1) consists of a 118 L alpha waste drum 333 divided into five bags containing decontamination wipes. The 334 activity of the plutonium inside the drum is heterogeneous. 335 The matrix is also moderately heterogeneous and exclusively 336 constituted of humid plastic C₃H₆(H₂O) of densities varying 337 from 0.32 to 0.53. The second realistic case (RC2) is a 118 L 338 alpha waste drum containing 50 stainless steel pipes with a 339 low contamination level. The average density is 1.08 g.cm^{-3} 340 and about 87% of the plutonium activity is concentrated in a 34 valve located at the bottom of the drum. Fig. 7 shows a view 342 of the RC1 and RC2 Monte-Carlo models. 343

The result of the regression models trained with the L104 data set is illustrated in Figs. 8 and 9, in passive neutron coincidence counting and in active neutron interrogation, respectively. 347

308

309

326



Fig. 7. MCNP models of (a) RC1 and (b) RC2 waste drums. The different colors correspond to different types of material.



Fig. 8. Predicted CC-240Pu of the realistic drum cases (labeled points) in passive neutron coincidence counting obtained by (a) OLS, (b) MLP, and (c) RF algorithms. The two red lines are the interval $\pm 2 \sigma_{res}$.

Table IV compares the accuracy metric results for CC_{pred} using the OLS, MLP, and RF methods in passive coincidence counting and active interrogation.

As previously mentioned, both drums present certain level 351 of heterogeneities (matrix and distribution of the plutonium 352 mass) that was not simulated in the experimental design 353 calculations. Thus, the regression algorithms have not been 354 trained with heterogeneous matrices and nuclear material 355 distributions. This being said, CC_{pred} agrees within $\left[0\%{-}30\%\right]$ 356 in passive neutron coincidence counting, regardless of the 357 regression method, but results are significantly better using 358



Fig. 9. Predicted CC-239Pu of the realistic drum cases (labeled points) in active neutron interrogation obtained by (a) OLS method, (b) MLP, and (c) RF algorithm. The two red lines are the interval $\pm 2 \sigma_{res}$.

TABLE IV

COMPARISON METRIC RESULTS OF THE DIFFERENT REGRESSION MODELS IN PASSIVE NEUTRON COINCIDENCE COUNTING AND ACTIVE NEUTRON INTERROGATION FOR THE REALISTIC DRUM CASES

	Mock-up number	CC _{true} (MCN P) [c.s ⁻ ¹ .g ⁻¹]	CC _{pred,OLS} (c.s ⁻¹ .g ⁻¹ and relative difference in %)	CC _{pred,MLP} (c.s ⁻¹ .g ⁻¹ and relative difference in %)	CC _{pred,RF} (c.s ⁻¹ .g ⁻¹ and relative difference in %)
Passive neutron	RC 1	0.445	0.310 (-30%)	0.477 (7%)	0.539 (21%)
coinciden ce counting	RC 2	1.032	1.039 (1%)	1.027 (0%)	1.033 (0%)
Active	RC 1	416	383 (-8%)	394 (-5%)	345 (17%)
coinciden ce counting	RC 2	207	220 (6%)	321 (55%)	115 (-44%)

MLP and RF than OLS. In active neutron interrogation, predictions are within [5%–55%] and OLS provides better predictions of CC for RC2 (6% against 55% and 44% for the MLP and RF methods, respectively). Beyond the fact that

Demonstration Conf., Jan. 2023.

RC2 shows heterogeneities in matrix composition and nuclear 363 mass distribution, these poor predictions for MLP and RF 364 algorithms are probably due to the average density of RC2, 365 which is out of the boundary limits defined in the experimental 366 design (i.e., 1.08 versus 0.7 g.cm⁻³ maximum in the training 367 set). Overall predicted results of realistic heterogeneous drum 368 cases are thus logically less accurate than the prediction for 369 the previous homogeneous mockup drums. 370

E. Conclusion and Outlooks 371

The MICADO neutron measurement system prototype has 372 been designed by MCNP simulation, with the objective to 373 estimate the nuclear material mass in a wide range of radioac-374 tive waste drums by passive neutron coincidence counting 375 and active neutron interrogation. The useful neutron signal 376 (namely, the CC in count per second and per gram of ²⁴⁰Pu 377 or ²³⁹Pu, respectively) was calculated by Monte-Carlo simu-378 lations for a series of matrix compositions, density, and filling 379 levels defined with an experimental design. The obtained 380 104 simulation results were used to establish regression mod-381 els with three different algorithms to reduce the uncertainty 382 on the nuclear mass estimation. These models were based on 383 internal matrix monitors and a neutron transmission measure-384 ment. Within the context of this issue, MLP and RF regression 385 techniques show a clear advantage over conventional OLS 386 (linear regression with OLSs), both in passive coincidence 387 counting and active interrogation techniques. This behavior 388 may be attributed to the strong correlation between some 389 of the predictors knowing that one of the conditions to use 390 classical linear regression method is the independence of the 391 predictors. The residual error and the SMAPE on the linear 392 regression of the MLP and RF techniques are lower, and the 393 predicted CC never results in nonphysical negative values. 394 Therefore, the uncertainty on the determination of the nuclear 395 mass can significantly be reduced by comparison to standard 396 OLS method usually employed in our laboratory. 397

In the next steps, we will investigate more regression 398 methods and we will enlarge the training database with 399 additional Monte-Carlo simulations, including more waste 400 materials and heterogeneous matrices as well. Currently, the 401 use of experimental designs helps to reduce the number of 402 MCNP simulations while providing maximum information 403 for constructing regression models. However, this approach 404 only works if the range of variation for influential parameters 405 (density, filling level, matrix material, etc.) covers the entire 406 range of waste barrels to be measured. When dealing with 407 homogeneous matrices, this approach is relatively robust. 408 However, accounting for matrix heterogeneities and radioac-409 tive materials becomes very challenging, even when using 410

experimental designs driven by MCNP simulations. At present, 411 the idea is to consider extreme cases in terms of hetero-412 geneities. These cases are unlikely to be measured in practice, 413 but it allows to cover a broad range of variations. Despite this, 414 it is still expected that regression algorithms (based on inter-415 polation between different points of the experimental design) 416 will exhibit poorer performance compared to homogeneous 417 cases. In this specific scenario, simulating all the possible 418 heterogeneous configurations to train the models is very 419 complex, if not impossible. Work is ongoing to evaluate the 420 performance for these heterogeneous waste scenarios. Another 421 important prospect is to perform laboratory measurements with 422 mockup drums in DANAIDES casemate of TOTEM nuclear 423 facility, at CEA Cadarache, both to validate our numerical 424 Monte-Carlo calculation models and to test with experimental 425 data the regression models established with simulation data. 426

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The work presented in this article reflects only the author's 428 views and the Commission is not liable for any use that may 429 be made of the information contained therein. 430

REFERENCES

[1]	[Online]. Available: https://www.micado-project.eu/	432 .	AQ:3
[2]	Q. Ducasse et al., "Design of MICADO advanced passive and active neu-	433	
	tron measurement system for radioactive waste drums," Nucl. Instrum.	434	
	Methods Phys. Res. A, Accel. Spectrom. Detect. Assoc. Equip., vol. 1005,	435	
	Jul. 2021, Art. no. 165398.	436	
[3]	MCNP User's Manual Code Version 6.2, Oct. 2017.	437	AQ:4
[4]	N. Ensslin, "Principles of neutron coincidence counters,"	438	
	Tech. Rep., LA-UR-90-732, 1991.	439	
[5]	J. T. Caldwell, "The Los Alamos second-generation system for passive	440	
	and active neutron assays of drum-size containers," Tech. Rep., LA-	441	
	10774-MS, 1986.	442	
[6]	[Online]. Available: https://inventaire.andra.fr/	443	
[7]	Sodern Tubes and Generators. Accessed: Sep. 2023. [Online]. Available:	444	
	https://sodern.com/en/tubes-neutroniques/	445	
[8]	R. Sabre, "Taguchi experimental design," in Engineering Techniques,	446	
	Mar. 2007.	447 .	AQ:5
[9]	[Online]. Available: https://support.minitab.com/fr-fr/minitab/18/help-	448	
	and-how-to/modeling-statistics/doe/supportingtopics/taguchi-	449	
	designs/catalogue-of-taguchi-designs/#132-21-49	450	
[10]	L. Lepore et al., "The MICADO integrated gamma station for radioactive	451	
	waste packages radiological characterization," in <i>Proc. EPJ Web Conf.</i> ,	452	
	vol. 288, 2023, p. 0/015, doi: 10.1051/epjconf/20232880/015.	453	
[11]	G. E. Hinton, "Connectionist learning procedures," Mach. Learn.,	454	
	pp. 555–610, Jan. 1990, doi: 10.1016/B9/8-0-08-051055-2.50029-8.	455 .	AQ:6
[12]	L. Breiman, "Random forests," Mach. Learn., vol. 451, pp. 5–32,	456	
	Oct. 2001, doi: 10.1023/A:1010933404324.	457	
[13]	F. Pedregosa et al., "Scikit-learn: Machine learning in Python," J. Mach.	458	
	Learn. Kes., vol. 12, pp. 2825–2830, Nov. 2011.	459	
[14]	E. Breuil and A. Bielen, "WP2: Virtual cases & WP8: Combination	460	

of measurements and uncertainty assessment," in Proc. Micado Final 461 462 AO:7

427