

# **The hidden base of the iceberg: gut peptidoglycome dynamics is foundational to its influence on the host**

Richard Wheeler, Ivo Gomperts Boneca

# **To cite this version:**

Richard Wheeler, Ivo Gomperts Boneca. The hidden base of the iceberg: gut peptidoglycome dynamics is foundational to its influence on the host. Gut microbes, 2024, 16 (1), pp.2395099.  $10.1080/19490976.2024.2395099$ . hal-04694662

# **HAL Id: hal-04694662 <https://hal.science/hal-04694662v1>**

Submitted on 11 Sep 2024

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



[Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)



**Gut Microbes**



**ISSN: (Print) (Online) Journal homepage: [www.tandfonline.com/journals/kgmi20](https://www.tandfonline.com/journals/kgmi20?src=pdf)**

# **The hidden base of the iceberg: gut peptidoglycome dynamics is foundational to its influence on the host**

# **Richard Wheeler & Ivo Gomperts Boneca**

**To cite this article:** Richard Wheeler & Ivo Gomperts Boneca (2024) The hidden base of the iceberg: gut peptidoglycome dynamics is foundational to its influence on the host, Gut Microbes, 16:1, 2395099, DOI: [10.1080/19490976.2024.2395099](https://www.tandfonline.com/action/showCitFormats?doi=10.1080/19490976.2024.2395099)

**To link to this article:** <https://doi.org/10.1080/19490976.2024.2395099>

© 2024 The Author(s). Published with license by Taylor & Francis Group, LLC.



6

Published online: 06 Sep 2024.



[Submit your article to this journal](https://www.tandfonline.com/action/authorSubmission?journalCode=kgmi20&show=instructions&src=pdf)  $\mathbb{Z}$ 

**III** Article views: 54



View related articles

[View Crossmark data](http://crossmark.crossref.org/dialog/?doi=10.1080/19490976.2024.2395099&domain=pdf&date_stamp=06 Sep 2024) $\sigma$ 

#### REVIEW

**a** OPEN ACCESS **C** Check for updates

Tavlor & Francis Taylor & Francis Group

# **The hidden base of the iceberg: gut peptidoglycome dynamics is foundational to its influence on the host**

Richa[r](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-9249-2377)d Wheeler **D**<sup>[a](#page-2-0),b</sup> and Ivo Gomperts Boneca<sup>a</sup>

<span id="page-2-0"></span>ªInstitut Pasteur, Université Paris Cité, Paris, France; <sup>b</sup>Hauts-de-Seine, Arthritis Research and Development, Neuilly-sur-Seine, France

#### **ABSTRACT**

The intestinal microbiota of humans includes a highly diverse range of bacterial species. All these bacteria possess a cell wall, composed primarily of the macromolecule peptidoglycan. As such, the gut also harbors an abundant and varied peptidoglycome. A remarkable range of host physiological pathways are regulated by peptidoglycan fragments that originate from the gut microbiota and enter the host system. Interactions between the host system and peptidoglycan can influence physiological development and homeostasis, promote health, or contribute to inflammatory disease. Underlying these effects is the interplay between microbiota composition and enzymatic processes that shape the intestinal peptidoglycome, dictating the types of peptidoglycan generated, that subsequently cross the gut barrier. In this review, we highlight and discuss the hidden and emerging functional aspects of the microbiome, i.e. the hidden base of the iceberg, that modulate the composition of gut peptidoglycan, and how these fundamental processes are drivers of physiological outcomes for the host.

#### **ARTICLE HISTORY**

Received 21 December 2023 Revised 1 July 2024 Accepted 16 August 2024

#### **KEYWORDS**

Microbiota; peptidoglycan; prophage; host signaling; chronic inflammation; Gut; peptidoglycan processing; PG trafficking

#### **Introduction**

<span id="page-2-1"></span>In 1982, James Kruger, John Pappenheimer and Manfred Karnovsky identified a sleep-promoting small molecule in the cerebral spinal fluid and urine of humans and animals<sup>[1](#page-19-0)</sup>. This sleeping factor turned out to be a soluble muramyl peptide originating from the peptidoglycan network that forms the major part of the cell wall of bacteria. Kruger, Pappenheimer and Karnovsky suggested that muramyl peptides present in host body fluids could originate from the bacteria that inhabit the intestinal tract. Over forty years later, the peptidoglycan generated in our gut by the bacterial flora, is finally beginning to be recognized as a major player in the communication axis between the intestinal microbiota and host. The commensal microbiota of the intestinal tract comprises in the order of  $10^{13}$  individual bacteria, encompassing many hundreds of  $b$ acterial species<sup>2</sup> that collectively produce different varieties of peptidoglycan, and thus, the human intestinal tract harbors a potentially enormous diversity of peptidoglycan molecules. We can think of the peptidoglycan collectively produced by all gut-resident bacteria combined as

our "intestinal peptidoglycome". Peptidoglycan belongs to the group of Microbe Associated Molecular Patterns (MAMPs), which includes several components of the bacterial cell envelope that are shed naturally into the surrounding environment, or due to attack, death and lysis of bacteria by host immune effectors or competition with other members of the microbiota. As with all MAMPs, peptidoglycan moieties are sensed directly by the host innate immune system through dedicated pattern recognition receptors. The best studied and characterized sensors of peptidoglycan are the cytosolic receptors NOD1 and NOD2, for which the molecular requirements for recognition of specific muropeptides (soluble peptidoglycan fragments) are well defined, the minimal structural motifs being D-isoglutamine-*meso*diaminopimelic acid (iE-DAP) for NOD1 and *N*-acetylmuramic acid-L-Alanine-D-isoglutamine (MDP) for NOD2. $3-9$  $3-9$  However, the relative simplicity of this sensor system belies the diversity and complexity of host responses to peptidoglycan.

<span id="page-2-3"></span>In recent years, there has been a rise in studies detailing the effects that microbiota peptidoglycan

<span id="page-2-2"></span>CONTACT Richard Wheeler @ rwheeler@pasteur.fr **Institut Pasteur, Université Paris Cité, CNRS Unité Mixe de Recherche 6047, INSERM U1306, Unité de** Biologie et génétique de la paroi bactérienne, Paris F-75015, France

© 2024 The Author(s). Published with license by Taylor & Francis Group, LLC.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. The terms on which this article has been published allow the posting of the Accepted Manuscript in a repository by the author(s) or with their consent.

exerts over the host. These effects can be considered the tip of a gut peptidoglycome iceberg – observable due to the impact they have on the host, such as changes to host behaviors, physiological development and function, and effect on immune activity ([Figure 1](#page-3-0)). Most visible at the very tip of the peptidoglycome iceberg, is when the host suffers pathological consequences from breakdown of homeostasis between microbiota peptidoglycan and its sensing by the host, leading to disease. Effects of peptidoglycan on the host have typically been observed and probed using a few commercially available NOD ligands with well-defined spectra of activity, administered experimentally as surrogates of the gut peptidoglycan. Our understanding of the natural cycle of the gut microbiota peptidoglycan and its interactions with the host are lacking. Thus, if the tip of the iceberg represents the observable effects of gut peptidoglycan on the host, then hidden from sight beneath the surface lies the enormous base of the peptidoglycome iceberg ([Figure 1](#page-3-0)). At the very foundation of the iceberg is the chemical nature of peptidoglycan generated by the gut bacteria themselves ([Figures 1 and](#page-3-0) [2\)](#page-4-0). This is followed by

<span id="page-3-0"></span>

**Figure 1.** The "iceberg" of gut peptidoglycome dynamics in the host.

the dynamics of peptidoglycan liberation within the gut lumen, and the structural modulation of peptidoglycan fragments by neighboring commensal organisms and by host enzymes that determine the peptidoglycan structural moieties that reach the interface with the gut barrier [\(Figures 1 and](#page-3-0) [2\)](#page-4-0). Here, there is the potential for selection of specific structural moieties via different mechanisms of uptake at the epithelial interface, and trafficking into channels of systemic biodistribution [\(Figures](#page-3-0) [1 and](#page-3-0) [2](#page-4-0)). Within the host system, very little is understood about the catabolism or metabolism of different peptidoglycan chemical moieties, and how these might be sensed, modified and eventually eliminated from the host. Finally, from the moment peptidoglycan fragments come into contact with the gut epithelial cells, there is an opportunity for host sensing of classical and noncanonical peptidoglycan fragments by immune and nonimmune cells in different organs and tissue compartments, where peptidoglycan effects on the host are initiated ([Figures 1 and](#page-3-0) [2](#page-4-0)). Thus, within the hidden base of the peptidoglycome iceberg, there is a rich and complex world remaining to be explored and studied, to understand the full extent to which microbiota peptidoglycan can influence the host.

In this review we aim to highlight recent studies that have begun to scratch beneath the surface of the peptidoglycome iceberg, focusing on the nature of the peptidoglycan molecules naturally generated by the microbiota within the gut niche, and the different mechanisms shaping their influence over the host.

### *The base of the iceberg: the composition and diversity of gut microbiota peptidoglycan*

<span id="page-3-1"></span>The bacterial cell is surrounded by a macromolecular peptidoglycan network, referred to as a sacculus. It has two distinct components – chains of glycan and peptide cross-links (Figure  $3(a)$ ). The glycan comprises a repeating disaccharide of *N*-acetyl-D-glucosamine (GlcNAc) and *N*-acetylmuramic acid (MurNAc) linked by beta-1,4 glycosidic bonds. Within the sacculus, glycan chains can be very short to several hundred nanometers in length.<sup>11,12</sup> The glycan chains are cross-linked by short peptides, *N*-linked to the lactyl moiety of MurNAc, and

<span id="page-4-0"></span>

**Figure 2.** Interaction and feedback between major parameters of the gut ecosystem that shape the intestinal peptidoglycome.

comprising L- and D-amino acid enantiomers. By far the most common peptide sequence is L-Alanine, D-glutamine/glutamate, a diamino acid (most commonly *meso*-diaminopimelate or L-lysine), and D-alanyl-D-alanine at the C-terminus. The networklike structure of peptidoglycan is bestowed by crosslinking between the peptide-stems attached to adjacent glycan strands. The presence of the diamino acid is crucial to peptide cross-linking, which most commonly occurs via a diamino acid at position three of the peptide stem, and the fourth amino acid, D-alanine, present at the C-terminus of the adjacent peptide stem (following cleavage of the terminal D-Alanine at position 5), although 3–1, 3– 3 and 2-4 cross-linking can also occur.<sup>[10,](#page-19-6)[13](#page-20-0),14</sup> Indirect cross-linking of adjacent peptide stems is common in monoderm bacteria, mediated by peptide bridges up to 6 amino acids in length $10$ ([Figure 3\(b\)\)](#page-5-0).

<span id="page-4-2"></span>Although the general composition of peptidoglycan is relatively simple, a great diversity of structures is found throughout the bacterial

<span id="page-4-4"></span><span id="page-4-3"></span><span id="page-4-1"></span>kingdom. In the 1970s, Karl Schleifer and Otto Kandler compiled a comprehensive cataloging of peptidoglycans, and proposed a classification system placing peptidoglycan into two major groups (A and B), six subgroups (A1-A4, B1-B2) and a multitude of subtypes within most of these group<sup>[10](#page-19-6)</sup> ([Figure 3\(b,c\)\)](#page-5-0). Additional peptidoglycan types have been identified subsequently.<sup>[15,](#page-20-2)16</sup> Based on studies of the typical diversity of the human bacterial flora, and decades of peptidoglycan composition analysis of culturable bacteria, we can infer that peptidoglycan types belonging to subgroups A1, A3, A4, and B2 are represented in the human gut peptidoglycome [\(Table 1](#page-6-0)). Over ninety percent of human gut bacteria belong to two phyla, the Bacteroidota (formerly Bacteroidetes) and Bacillota (formerly Firmicutes).<sup>56-59</sup> These phyla represent two extremes in terms of their peptidoglycan diversity. Peptidoglycan composition is highly diverse among Bacillota, and the gut-resident members of this phylum are expected to include A1γ, A3α,

<span id="page-5-0"></span>

**Figure 3.** Summary diagram of the peptidoglycan network and the peptidoglycan types and variants according to the scheme of Schleifer and Kandler.<sup>10</sup> A; simple schematic of the peptidoglycan polymer and its soluble fractions, "muropeptides", generated by glycosidase activity. Image shows a typical diderm peptidoglycan sacculus (atomic force microscopy height profile image of purified *Helicobacter pylori* peptidoglycan). B; schematic of type A peptidoglycan, represented based on a GM4 dimer. The most typical variants are indicated. C; schematic of type B peptidoglycan, represented based on a GM4 dimer. The most typical variants are indicated. Ala, alanine; dab, diaminobutyric acid; glu, isoglutamate; glx, isoglutamate or isoglutamine; gly, glycine; Hsr, homoserine; Hyg, threo-3-hydroxyglutamate; lys, lysine; *meso*DAP, *meso*-diaminopimelic acid; *meso*DAPNH2, amidated *meso*-diaminopimelic acid; orn, ornithine.

<span id="page-5-1"></span>A4α, A4β and B2α peptidoglycan types ([Table 1\)](#page-6-0). Experimentally, peptidoglycan of types A1γ, A3α, A4α and A4β have been reported by LC-MS analysis of cultured species of common human gut resident bacteria, or from peptidoglycan sacculi extracted from mouse fecal microbiota.<sup>[60,](#page-22-2)61</sup> The A3 and A4 peptidoglycan types are particularly diverse due to the presence of peptide crossbridges from one to six amino acids in length, whose amino acid sequence can vary even between closely related species.<sup>10</sup> In contrast, peptidoglycan diversity is comparatively low among members of the Bacteroidota, since their cells walls are expected to almost exclusively comprise

the directly cross-linked A1γ peptidoglycan, which predominates among the diderm bacteria ([Table 1](#page-6-0)). Exceptions include several species of spirochete, reported to comprise Type A peptidoglycan with ornithine (A3β) instead of *meso*DAP as the dibasic amino acid, and glycine crossbridge.[62–](#page-22-4)[64](#page-22-5) However, spirochetes are not abundant in the gut and may therefore represent, at most, a very minor portion of the gut microbiota peptidoglycome.

<span id="page-5-2"></span>One could imagine that the intestinal lumen is dominated by A1γ peptidoglycan of the Bacteroidota, and diderm bacteria in general, due to the collective generation of A1γ peptidoglycan

<span id="page-6-0"></span>**Table 1.** Expected PG diversity of a "baseline" human gut microbiota, based on their characterized peptidoglycan types. The table presents peptidoglycan types, using the classification system of Schleifer and Kandler, identified from *in vitro* peptidoglycan characterization studies of bacteria belonging to genera present in the human microbiota.<sup>10</sup> Gut microbiota genera are from the baseline healthy human gut microbiota described by King *et al*. [66](#page-22-6) Where the precise PG type has not been defined, the diamino acid (DAA) is indicated where possible.

<span id="page-6-29"></span><span id="page-6-28"></span><span id="page-6-27"></span><span id="page-6-26"></span><span id="page-6-25"></span><span id="page-6-24"></span><span id="page-6-23"></span><span id="page-6-22"></span><span id="page-6-21"></span><span id="page-6-20"></span><span id="page-6-19"></span><span id="page-6-18"></span><span id="page-6-17"></span><span id="page-6-16"></span><span id="page-6-15"></span><span id="page-6-14"></span><span id="page-6-13"></span><span id="page-6-12"></span><span id="page-6-11"></span><span id="page-6-10"></span><span id="page-6-9"></span><span id="page-6-8"></span><span id="page-6-7"></span><span id="page-6-6"></span><span id="page-6-5"></span><span id="page-6-4"></span><span id="page-6-3"></span><span id="page-6-2"></span><span id="page-6-1"></span>

Phylum	Class	Order	Family	Genus	<b>Type</b>	<b>Reported Variants</b>	Refs
Actinobacteria/	Actinobacteria/	Actinomycetales	Corynebacteriaceae	Corynebacterium	A, B	$(A)1y$ 4a $(B)2\beta$	10,17
Actinomycetota	Actinomycetia	<b>Bifidobacteriales</b>	Bifidobacteriaceae	Bifidobacterium	А	3α 3β 4γ	10,18,19 20
				Gardnerella		DAA: Lysine	21
		Propionibacteriales	Propionibacteriaceae	Cutibacterium	A	1γ (LL-DAP) 3γ	22
	Coriobacteriia	Streptomycetales Coriobacteriales	Streptomycetaceae Coriobacteriaceae	Streptomyces Atopobium	Α Α	3γ ( <sub>LL</sub> -DAP) 4α	23
		Eggerthellales	Eggerthellaceae	Adlercreutzia	$\overline{a}$		
				Gordonibacter			
Firmicutes/	Clostridia	Clostridiales	Clostridiaceae	Clostridium	Α	1y	24,25
Bacillota						DAA:Lys	
			Eubacteriaceae	Eubacterium	Α	$1γ$ , 4α, 4β	$26 - 29$
					В	2α	
			Lachnospiraceae	Roseburia	$\overline{\phantom{a}}$		30
				Blautia		DAA: mesoDAP	
				Coprococcus Lachnoclostridium			
			Ruminococcaceae	Ruminococcus			
				Ruminiclostridium			
				Ethanoligenens			
				Mageeibacillus			
			Peptostreptococcaceae	Clostridioides			
				Paeniclostridium			
		Eubacteriales	Oscillospiraceae	Faecalibacterium		DAA: mesoDAP	31
				Oscillibacter			
	Negativicutes	Veillonellales	Veillonaceae	Dialister Veillonella		$\overline{a}$	32
		Selenmonadales	Selenomonadaceae	Megamonas	Α	1γ	
		Acidaminococcales	Acidaminococcaceae	Acidaminococcus			
	Tissierellia	<b>Tissierellales</b>	Peptoiphilaceae	Anaerococcus	Α	4α	15
				Parvimonas		$\sim$	
	Bacilli	<b>Bacillales</b>	Bacillaceae	<b>Bacillus</b>	Α	1γ	33
			Paenibacillaceae	Paenibacillus	Α	$1\gamma$	34
			Staphylococcaceae	Staphylococcus	Α	3α	$35 - 37$
		Lactobacillales	Lactobacillaceae	Lactobacillus	Α	1γ, 4α, 4β	$38 - 40$ 41,42
			Streptococcaceae	<b>Streptococcus</b>	Α	3α, 4α	43,44
				Lactococcus	Α	4α	45
			Enterococcaceae Leuconostocaceae	Enterococcus Leuconostoc	Α $\overline{\phantom{a}}$	3α 4α	
	Sphingobacteriia	Sphinobacteriales	Sphingobacteriaceae	Sphingobacterium			
Bacteroidetes/	Bacteroidia	<b>Bacteroidales</b>	Bacteroidaceae	<b>Bacteroides</b>	Α	1γ, 4γ	46
Bacteroidota			Barnesiellaceae	Barnesiella			
			Porphyromonadaceae	Fermentimonas			
			Odoribacteraceae	Odoribacter			
			Tannerellaceae	Tannerella	A	1y	47
				Parabacteroides			
			Porphyromonadaceae Rikenellaceae	Porphyromonas			
			Prevotellaceae	<b>Alistipes</b> Prevotella		DAA: mesoDAP	46
	Flavobacteriia	Flavobacteriales	Flavobaceriaceae	Ornithobacterium			
	Sphingobacteriia	Sphingobacteriales	Sphingobacteriaceae	Sphingobacterium			
Pseudomonadota	Betaproteobacteria	<b>Burkholderiales</b>	Comamonadaceae	Acidovorax		$\overline{a}$	
Proteobacteria/	Gammaproteobacteria	Enterobacterales	Enterobacteriaceae	Escherichia	Α	1γ	32,48
				Shigella	Α	1y	49
				Citrobacter	Α	1y	50 51
				Klebsiella	Α	1γ	
				Raoultella	$\overline{a}$		52
	Deltaproteobacteria	Pasteurellales Desulfovibionales	Pasteurellaceae Desulfovibionaceae	Haemophilus Desulfovibrio	Α $\overline{a}$	1y	
Myxococcota				Bilophila		$\overline{\phantom{a}}$	
Campylobacterota	Epsilonproteobacteria	Campylobacterales	Helicobacteraceae	Helicobacter	Α	1γ	53
			Campylobacteraceae	Campylobacter	Α	1γ	54
Fusobacteriota	Fusobacteriia	Fusobacterialies	Fusobacteriaceae	Fusobacterium	Α	1γ, 4γ (Lan)	46
Verrucomicrobiota	Verrucomicrobiae	Verrucomicrobales	Akkermansiaceae	Akkermansia	A	1γ	55
Spirochaetota	Spirochaetia	Spirochaetales	Spirochaetaceae	Treponema	Α	Зβ	67
Planctomycetota	Planctomycetia	Planctomycetales	Planctomycetaceae	Rubinsphaera	$\qquad \qquad \blacksquare$		$\qquad \qquad \blacksquare$

<span id="page-7-1"></span>fragments by almost all these bacteria. However, members of the Bacteroidetes and most other diderm bacteria have a very thin peptidoglycan sacculus, only one or a few layers thick, whereas monoderm bacteria, including the vast majority of the Bacillota, have a much thicker, multilayered peptidoglycan. Therefore, peptidoglycan of monoderm bacteria represents a greater mass per cell than peptidoglycan of diderm bacteria of the same size and shape (reviewed in,  $13$  see also<sup>65</sup>). In support of this, LC-MS analysis of purified peptidoglycan sacculi from mouse gut microbiota suggests that major A1γ and A4α (with glutamate or glutamine peptide bridges) muropeptides, are similar in their relative abundance.<sup>61</sup> Another point of note is that in monoderm bacteria with A1γ peptidoglycan, the *meso*DAP moiety is typically amidated, which abrogates its recognition by NOD1.<sup>5</sup> Therefore, the potential contribution of biologically active peptidoglycan moieties likely differs between diderm and monoderm bacteria with A1γ peptidoglycan.

### <span id="page-7-0"></span>*Liberation of peptidoglycan muropeptides in the gut lumen*

<span id="page-7-3"></span><span id="page-7-2"></span>The insoluble peptidoglycan sacculus within the bacterial cell wall represents the majority of peptidoglycan biomass in the gut, with peptidoglycan components estimated to represent from 1.6% (*Escherichia coli*) to 14% (*Streptococcus salivarius*  subsp. thermophilus) of the bacterium dry weight.<sup>68</sup> Generally speaking, the host system should not be directly exposed to the insoluble peptidoglycan sacculi of gut bacteria, as the innate barrier defenses in the lumen such as the mucus, antimicrobial peptides, mucosal antibodies and lysozyme, restrict contact between the bacterial flora and the intestinal epithelial cells.<sup>69</sup> Therefore, when considering the intestinal peptidoglycome, it can be useful to think of it as having two distinct parts: the "insoluble peptidoglycome", comprising the cell wall sacculi that surround each bacterium, and the "soluble peptidoglycome", comprising small soluble "muropeptide" peptidoglycan fragments that are naturally shed from the insoluble peptidoglycan sacculi of bacteria as a result of enzymatic cleavage as they grow and divide, or due to cell lysis. Soluble

<span id="page-7-4"></span>muropeptides are the classical signaling effector units recognized by host pattern recognition receptors NOD1 and  $NOD2^{3-5,70}$  $NOD2^{3-5,70}$  $NOD2^{3-5,70}$  [\(Figure 4](#page-8-0)). In this section we discuss the factors influencing how soluble peptidoglycome muropeptides are liberated by microbiota or host enzymes active in the gut.

# *Liberation of soluble muropeptides by the microbiota: synthesis, turnover and recycling of peptidoglycan*

<span id="page-7-6"></span><span id="page-7-5"></span>Soluble muropeptides are released from the peptidoglycan sacculus, through the action of endogenous peptidoglycan hydrolases and lyases that cleave the cell wall ([Figure 4](#page-8-0)) during bacterial growth and division, in a process called peptidoglycan turnover. In diderm bacteria, the major soluble muropeptide products of peptidoglycan turnover are generated through the activity of lytic transglycosylase enzymes. These enzymes catalyze a nonhydrolytic lyase reaction, cutting the beta-1,4 glycosidic bond between MurNAc (C1) and GlcNAc (C4), with concomitant cyclization of MurNAc between C1 and C6, forming 1,6-anhydro MurNAc. $^{71}$  Lytic transglycosylase activity is com-paratively minor among the monoderm bacteria.<sup>[72](#page-22-13)</sup> The major glycan cleaving activities associated with monoderm peptidoglycan turnover are muramidases, which cut the beta-1,4 glycosidic bond between MurNAc (C1) and GlcNAc (C4) without modification of MurNAc. *N*-acetyl-β-D-glucosaminidases (glucosaminidases) complement the muramidases by cutting the beta-1,4 glycosidic bond specifically between GlcNAc (C1) and MurNAc (C4). Therefore, it would be expected that the presence of 1,6-anhydro-muropeptides liberated into the gut should be a hallmark of muropeptides released by diderm gut commensal bacteria. However, a recent comprehensive study of the peptidoglycan composition of monoderm bacteria from the genus *Bifidobacterium* showed that members display a high proportion of 1,6-anhydro muropeptides, suggesting that there may be exceptions among important members of the gut microbiota[.73](#page-22-14)

<span id="page-7-7"></span>1,6-anhydromuropeptides are the specific targets of efficient peptidoglycan recycling in diderm bacteria, which has mainly been studied in the Pseudomonadota (Proteobacteria), particularly in members the *Enterobacteriaceae*. Transport of 1,6-anhydromuropeptide turnover products from

<span id="page-8-0"></span>

**Figure 4.** The specificity of microbiota and host enzymes and receptors for peptidoglycan. 1) the major peptidoglycan-degrading enzymatic activities of the microbiota or host discussed in this review. 2) lysozyme and PGLYRP-2 are the major host secreted enzymes capable of binding and cleaving polymeric peptidoglycan. PGLYRP-1, −3 and − 4 bind polymeric peptidoglycan but have no hydrolase activity. Green triangle, *O*-acetylation; red triangle, De-*N*-acetylation. 3) muropeptides released from bacteria must reach the eukaryotic cell cytosol to be detected. SCL15A-family and SLC46A-family membrane transporters are implicated in this function. Bulk transport of peptidoglycan or bacteria may occur via endocytosis pathways, but the muropeptides must be transported across the endosomal membrane to be sensed by PRRs. 4) the major host peptidoglycan receptors, NOD1 and NOD2, sense distinct muropeptide fractions. The minimal and classical ligands are indicated. NOD1 also senses 1,6-anhydro MurNAc-containing muropeptides whilst the presence of UDP expands the range of muropeptides recognized by NOD2. Phosphorylation of MurNAc by NAGK augments recognition by NOD2. 5) muropeptide binding triggers NOD1/2 oligomerization and recruitment of RIP2, initiating NF-κB or MAPK signaling pathways resulting in cellular response outputs. Alternatively, NOD1/2 can recruit ATG16L1 to initiate autophagy. 6) *N*-acetyl-D-glucosamine can be bound by hexokinase leading to its dissociation from the mitochondrial membrane, which triggers a pathway leading to NLRP3 inflammasome activation. Amidase, *N*-acetylmuramyl-L-alanine amidase; glucosaminidase, *N*-acetyl-β-D-glucosaminidase; Lys, lysine; *meso*DAP, *meso*-diaminopimelic acid; *meso*DAPNH2, amidated *meso*-diaminopimelic acid; *meso*Lan, *meso-*lanthionine; orn, ornithine.

<span id="page-9-3"></span><span id="page-9-1"></span>the periplasm to the cytosol is performed by the permease AmpG, which is widespread among the betaproteobacteria and gammaproteobacteria, and found more sporadically among the alpha-, deltaand epsilonproteobacteria.[74](#page-22-15) Alphaproteobacteria appear to transport peptidoglycan turnover products mainly via the YejBEF-YepA ABC transporter.<sup>[74,](#page-22-15)[75](#page-22-16)</sup> Factors aiding efficient peptidoglycan recycling in diderms include the fact that the peptidoglycan is at most a few layers thick, and that turnover occurs in the periplasmic space, both of which facilitate muropeptide uptake at the cytoplasmic membrane[.76](#page-22-17) In *E. coli*, which is the predominant facultative anaerobe inhabiting the human colon, around 90% of turned-over 1,6-anhydromuropeptides are recycled per generation, and approximately 6–8% shed into the environment in liquid culture conditions.<sup>77</sup> Peptidoglycan recycling in monoderms is less studied, but is thought to be far less efficient than recycling in diderms, with estimates ranging from 25–50% of turned-over peptidoglycan liberated into the environment per generation.<sup>[76](#page-22-17),78</sup> Therefore, if experiments in model organisms are representative of the intestinal niche, one might predict that monoderm gut bacteria naturally shed a greater proportion of peptidoglycan into the gut lumen per generation, compared to diderm bacteria. Nevertheless, peptidoglycan recycling has not been explored among representatives of the vast majority of intestinal commensal bacteria. Furthermore, the relevance of 1,6-anhydromuropeptides in terms of gut peptidoglycan uptake and signaling is not clear. In terms of host signaling, 1,6-anhydromuropeptides are biologically significant, since they include Tracheal Cytotoxin (TCT) a 1,6-anhydromuramyl tetrapeptide responsible for destruction of respiratory tract cilia during *Bordetella pertusis* infection, although the 1,6-anhydroMurNAc moiety itself is not required for toxicity.[79](#page-23-0) 1,6-anhydromuropeptides are recognized by human NOD1, but not by NOD2, possibly because the MurNAc C6 is not available for phosphorylation by *N*-acetylhexosamine kinase (NAGK), reported to be critical for NOD2 stimulation<sup>[4](#page-19-8)[,7](#page-19-9),80</sup> [\(Figure 4](#page-8-0)). Recently, the cytosolic delivery of *meso*DAP-containing muropeptides, including 1,6-anhydromuropeptides, into skin keratinocytes was linked to the presence of the Slc46a2

<span id="page-9-7"></span><span id="page-9-6"></span>transporter, and contributed to psoriatic inflammation. $81$  Slc46a2 expression appears to be low in the gut, but the related MDP transporter Slc46a3 is expressed (Human Protein Atlas proteinatlas.org).[81](#page-23-2)[,82](#page-23-3) In *in vitro* studies, mouse Slc46a3 enhanced responsiveness to both MDP and TCT in the human colorectal cancer cell line HCT-116, but not in human embryonic kidney HEK293T cells.<sup>[82,](#page-23-3)[83](#page-23-4)</sup> Overall, 1,6-anhydromuropeptides have great potential to act as functionally important muropeptides for signaling between host and gut, that would be particular to diderm bacteria. Specific gut bacteria that inefficiently recycle their peptidoglycan could therefore have a disproportionate influence over signaling via  $NOD1.<sup>80</sup>$  $NOD1.<sup>80</sup>$  $NOD1.<sup>80</sup>$ 

<span id="page-9-8"></span><span id="page-9-5"></span><span id="page-9-2"></span>NOD1 signaling can be mediated by the peptide component of peptidoglycan alone, with γ-D-Glu*mesoDAP* as the minimal recognized motif.<sup>5</sup> *N*-acetylmuramoyl-L-alanine amidases (amidases) are an important class of peptidoglycan hydrolase, associated with bacterial functions such as splitting of the septal peptidoglycan during cell division. Amidases cleave between the L-alanine and the MurNAc lactyl moiety to generate free peptides[.84](#page-23-5)[,85](#page-23-6) Thus, they can generate NOD1 ligands in bacteria with *meso*DAP or L-lanthionine in their peptidoglycan [\(Figure 4](#page-8-0)), such as in the case of *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* that releases mainly free tripeptide and muramyl tripeptides into the extracel-lular environment.<sup>[86](#page-23-7)</sup> The shedding of immunostimulatory peptidoglycan peptides is not strictly associated with pathogenesis, as even nonpathogenic Neisseria species were found to shed peptidoglycan-derived peptides and muropeptides, the extent of which varied between closely related strains.[87](#page-23-8) Amidase products are recycled via the oligopeptide permease (Opp) system, $88,89$  $88,89$  and so again we find an example where generation of NOD ligands could be regulated by the intrinsic recycling efficiency of the bacterium.

<span id="page-9-11"></span><span id="page-9-10"></span><span id="page-9-9"></span><span id="page-9-4"></span><span id="page-9-0"></span>Another potential source of soluble muropeptides comes from the synthesis of peptidoglycan precursors which takes place in the cytoplasm of the bacterium. The first steps involve the addition of uridine diphosphate at the C1 position of MurNAc (forming UDP-MurNAc), followed by the sequential addition of the L- and D-amino acids that form the peptide stem (with the addition of the terminal

<span id="page-10-0"></span>D-Ala-D-Alanyl dipeptide as a single step to gener-ate UDP-MurNAc-pentapeptide).<sup>[90](#page-23-11)</sup> Intriguingly, the presence of the UDP carrier group expands the range of peptidoglycan motifs recognized by human NOD2 to include UDP-MDP, and UDPmuramyltripeptides containing *meso*DAP or amidated *meso*DAP, as well as lysine ([Figure 4\)](#page-8-0).<sup>5</sup> Normally the host should not come into contact with UDP-peptidoglycan precursors since they are compartmentalized in the cytoplasm or inner membrane of bacteria and should only be exposed upon lysis. However, an ABC exporter of UDPpeptidoglycan precursors was recently identified in a metagenomic screen of healthy human fecal microbiota, and by ectopic expression of the recombinant transporter in *E. coli.*<sup>91</sup> Protein homology analysis suggested that the transporter belonged to members of the family *Acutalibacteraceae*. Secretion of UDP-precursors by *E. coli* expressing the ABC transporter was protective in a human intestinal explant infection model and improved inflammatory parameters in a mouse DSS colitis model.<sup>91</sup> Although it is not yet known whether UDPpeptidoglycan precursors are naturally secreted in the gut by the endogenous host of the ABC transporter system, this system is of interest for its therapeutic potential.

### <span id="page-10-1"></span>*Liberation of soluble muropeptides by the host: intestinal lysozyme*

<span id="page-10-4"></span><span id="page-10-3"></span><span id="page-10-2"></span>Lysozyme is currently the major and only known host peptidoglycan glycosidase secreted into the gut lumen, a process carried out by Paneth cells located in the intestinal crypts. $92-94$  In humans, lysozyme is expressed from a single gene (*lyz*), whilst mice have two lysozyme genes that different in their tissue compartment expression. The Paneth cells secrete a gut specific variant called lysozyme P (LysP) which is expressed from the lyz1 gene.<sup>[94](#page-23-14)[,95](#page-23-15)</sup> Lysozyme encoded by a second gene (*lyz2*) produces the variant lysozyme M (LysM), which has a broader expression profile including myeloblasts, immature and mature macrophages, neutrophils, myeloid cells and neurons.<sup>94</sup> LysP is most likely the major enzymatic activity responsible for solubilizing polymeric peptidoglycan into muropeptides that cross the gut barrier, as sera from intestinal lysozyme knockout mice (*Lyz1-/-*) possess significantly less NOD1 and

<span id="page-10-5"></span>NOD2 stimulating activity than sera from wild type mice.<sup>96</sup> This effect could be rescued by oral administration of NOD1 or NOD2 ligands, indicating that diminished production of soluble muropeptides, and not their transport across the intestinal barrier, was responsible for the loss of activity in *Lyz1* knockout mice. There is also a feedback loop between NOD2 pathway activation and lysozyme trafficking in Paneth cells, suggesting that lysozyme production could be tuned to modulate the abundance of soluble muropeptides generated in the gut, although other microbiota signals also impact on LysP secretion.<sup>[97](#page-23-17)</sup> Overall, it seems possible that the host could regulate the extent to which soluble muropeptides are generated in the gut lumen via lysozyme-mediated cleavage of the glycan chains, whilst the microbiota is responsible for fine tuning the biological activity of the resulting muropeptides by modulating the peptide stem composition via endogenous or secreted peptidoglycan carboxyand endopeptidase activities.

<span id="page-10-10"></span><span id="page-10-9"></span><span id="page-10-8"></span><span id="page-10-7"></span><span id="page-10-6"></span>Dendritic cells and M-cells can transport whole bacteria across the intestinal barrier through antigen sampling mechanisms.<sup>[98,](#page-23-18)99</sup> However, these bacteria eventually end up in the phagolysosome compartment. Human monocytes can efficiently take up particulate, polymeric peptidoglycan via actin-dependent phagocytosis, or via a dynamin-dependent, clathrin-independent pathway, $100$  but the insoluble peptidoglycan sacculus must be degraded, through glycosidase activity, to release soluble muropeptides that can be sensed by the host.<sup>101</sup> Modification of the glycan chains of peptidoglycan can alter their sensitivity to degradation by muramidases such as lysozyme, protecting bacteria from lysis in the gut ([Figure 4\)](#page-8-0). Reinforcing this point, peptidoglycan modifications that protect against the action of lysozyme on the sacculus after phagocytosis, limit the release of soluble muropeptides, allowing the bacterium to evade innate immune activation<sup>[102](#page-24-1),103</sup> ([Figure 4](#page-8-0)). If lysozyme activity is critical to generate soluble peptidoglycan fragments that enter the host system, then glycan chain modifications that resist lysozyme may represent an innate bacterial mechanism that impacts on systemic peptidoglycan levels. *O-*acetylation of MurNAc (on the C6 hydroxyl group) and de-*N*-acetylation of GlcNAc

<span id="page-11-1"></span><span id="page-11-0"></span>(i.e. conversion to glucosamine), confer resistance to muramidases. $38,102$  $38,102$  Both types of modification are common in members of major phyla present in the gut microbiota. In monoderm bacteria, *O*-acetylation is performed by the membrane-bound *O*-acetyltransferase (MBOAT) enzyme family (OatA or OatB) and is found mainly within members of the Bacillota (*Bacillales, Lactobacillales, Eubacteriales*), as well as members of the Bacteroidota and Actinomycetota (Eggerthellales, Microccales, Jatrophihabitantales).<sup>104[,105](#page-24-4)</sup> Diderm bacteria typically use Pat family enzymes for *O*-acetylation of their peptidoglycan, which are found in members of the Pseudomonadota (*Neisseriales*, *Enterobacterales*, *Pseudomonadales*) and Campylobacterota (*Campylobacterales*).[105](#page-24-4)  *O*-acetylation of GlcNAc can protected against *N*-acetylglucosaminidase mediated autolysis.<sup>38</sup> Host enzymes with *N*-acetylglucosaminidase activity, (which cleaves the glycosidic bond between GlcNAc C1 and MurNAc C4) have not been reported in the gut, but have been reported in human spleen and kidney, and in bone-marrow derived macrophages.<sup>106–108</sup> Therefore, if GlcNAc *O*-acetylation plays any particular role against peptidoglycan hydrolases in the gut, it is likely to provide protection against *N*-acetylglucosaminidase activity of enzymes secreted from other members of the flora, such as competing bacteria or phage lysins, and this remains to be explored.<sup>[109](#page-24-7)</sup>

<span id="page-11-6"></span><span id="page-11-5"></span><span id="page-11-4"></span><span id="page-11-3"></span><span id="page-11-2"></span>Substantial glycan chain modifications that confer protection against lysozyme have been reported amongst common gut commensal bacteria. For example; *Bacteroides fragilis*, *B. ovatus*, and *B. thetaiotaomicron* have A1γ-type peptidoglycan with 56–66% MurNAc *O*-acetylation, whilst the A1γtype peptidoglycan of *Akkermansia muciniphila* displays both GlcNAc de-*N*-acetylation and MurNAc *O*-acetylation, the former representing approximately 87% of glucosamine residues[.55](#page-21-18)[,60](#page-22-2) De-*N*-acetylated muropeptides were also detected directly from peptidoglycan isolated from mouse intestinal microbiota, suggesting that these modifications are relatively common.[61](#page-22-3) Future studies should investigate the prevalence of peptidoglycan modifications throughout the microbiota, their importance for survival in the gut environment, and their impact on systemic peptidoglycan levels in the host.

# *Modulation of the soluble peptidoglycome composition by the intestinal microbiota*

#### *Generation of muramyldipeptide*

Secreted DL-endopeptidases have received significant attention as a group of peptidoglycan hydrolyzing enzymes active in the gut. Their significance stems from the fact that they cleave the peptide stem between the second and third amino acid position, generating muramyldipeptide moieties, which are the agonists of NOD2 [\(Figure 4\)](#page-8-0). As NOD2 activation by gut peptidoglycan is frequently linked to homeostatic, protective and beneficial effects for the host, the generation of muramyldipeptide can be considered one of the most important peptidoglycan-related intestinal functions of the gut microbiota. Metagenomic analysis of predicted secreted peptidoglycan hydrolase sequences from human gut bacteria found that members of the Bacillota encoded the majority (approximately 90%) of intestinal secreted DL-endopeptidases.<sup>110</sup> Accordingly, beneficial secreted DL-endopeptidases have been characterized from the Bacillota, order Lactobacillale, including several species of *Enterococcus* and *Lactobacillus*. Secreted antigen A (SagA) is an NlpC/p60 domain-containing secreted DL-endopeptidase found in all sequenced strains of *E. faecium*, as well as strains of *E. durans*, *E. hirae* and *E. mundtii*, but not in *E. faecalis* or *E. gallinarum*. [111–](#page-24-9)[113](#page-24-10) Among the lactobacilli, *L. salivarius* is reported to secrete the DLendopeptidase UC118, which has less than 15% identity to other known DL-endopeptidases, $^{110}$  and several species of lactobacilli are reported to secrete a bifunctional peptidoglycan hydrolase, named LPH, which has both *N*-acetyl-β-D-muramidase and DL-endopeptidase activities.<sup>[114](#page-24-11)</sup> In principle, this dual activity means that LPH could directly generate soluble muramyldipeptides from peptidoglycan, whereas SagA and UC118 are dependent on the activity of a second enzyme with glycosidase activity to cleave the glycan backbone, a function that could be performed by host lysozyme. A pertinent question is whether secreted DL-

endopeptidases principally hydrolyze the endogenous peptidoglycan of the enzyme-producing bacterium, or by hydrolyzing the exogenous peptidoglycan from other species of bacteria occupying the intestinal niche. If the latter case is true, then the level of muramyldipeptide in the gut would depend both on the abundance DL-endopeptidase producing bacteria, and the abundance of bacteria that present the preferred substrate peptidoglycan. *In vitro* studies of SagA activity toward different peptidoglycan types have provided somewhat inconsistent results between native and ectopic expression strains.<sup>110[,112](#page-24-12)[,115](#page-24-13)</sup> Nevertheless, recent advances such as the generation of *E. faecium* and *Lactobacillus*  secreted DL-endopeptidase deletion and active site mutants $112,114,116$  $112,114,116$  $112,114,116$  and studies of structural and functional requirements of recombinant enzymes *in vitro* <sup>112</sup> are steps that should help to clarify the substrate specificity of these enzymes in the future.

<span id="page-12-3"></span><span id="page-12-2"></span><span id="page-12-1"></span><span id="page-12-0"></span>Consistent with their role as enzymes that generate NOD2 agonist, SagA, UC118 and LPH have been shown to protect against inflammation and promote healthy gut barrier function in a variety of disease models, using different expression vectors and animal models. SagA was shown to prime the host immune system and enhance gut barrier function against infection with *Salmonella*  Typhimurium in *Caenorhabditis elegans* nema-todes and in mice.<sup>[111,](#page-24-9)115</sup> SagA, UC118 and LPH were found to protect against DSS- and/or TNBSinduced colitis in mice. $110,114$  Jang and coauthors further showed that, not only do enterococci that secrete SagA protect against DSS colitis, but that their absence promotes intestinal dysbiosis and inflammation via a negative feedback loop.<sup>117</sup> They showed that enterococci are particularly sensitive to killing by the peptidoglycan-binding REG3 lectins, and upon DSS-induced intestinal inflammation, increased REG3 expression led to the loss of DL-endopeptidase secreting *Enterococcus* species from the gut. The loss of MDP-generating bacteria dampened NOD2 stimulation, which in turn caused a decrease of IL-22 mediated epithelial tissue repair, increased inflammation and further promoted harmful overproduction of REG3.<sup>117</sup> Such inflammation due to loss of NOD2-agonist sensing is reminiscent of inflammation in Crohn's <span id="page-12-4"></span>Disease (CD) context, as polymorphisms linked with loss of NOD2 function are the most important genetic risk factor for CD (although not required for CD).<sup>118,119</sup> Decreased abundance of Bacillota has been reported in CD cohorts, and Gao *et al*. highlighted that members of the Clostridiales linked to this decrease (*Ruminococcaceae* family and *Roseburia* and *Faecalibacterium* genera) included those predicted to encode DLendopeptidases. They made the intriguing hypothesis that low DL-endopeptidase abundance in the gut microbiota of some Crohn's Disease (CD) patients might phenocopy the effect of CDassociated NOD2 polymorphism, due to diminished NOD2 agonist production by the gut microbiota.<sup>110</sup>

<span id="page-12-6"></span><span id="page-12-5"></span>Beneficial effects of intestinal DL-endopeptidase activity have also been demonstrated in the contexts of tumor development and cancer therapy. Oral administration of LPH reduced inflammation and tumor development in an azoxymethane/dextran sulfate induced mouse model of colitisassociated colorectal cancer.<sup>114</sup> Meanwhile, secretion of SagA by intestinal enterococci was demonstrated to have an adjuvant effect on immunotherapy targeting immune checkpoint inhibitors, adding to growing evidence that *Enterococcus* populations in the gut are important mediators of the host response to cancer therapy.<sup>120–124</sup> Taken together, these studies indicate that future research needs to carefully assess the correlation between DL-endopeptidase expression by gut microbiota, and resilience against pathology or responsiveness to therapies in cancer context, and in the contexts of IBD and chronic systemic inflammatory diseases. We can also speculate that within the gut, the balance between the generation of soluble peptidoglycan fragments that signal via NOD1 or NOD2, is tipped in favor of NOD2 stimulation thanks to the presence of secreted DL-endopeptidases. In this regard, it is worth noting that muramyl dipeptides escape cleavage by the serum amidase PGLYRP-2, and thus the host system seems permissive to signaling via DL-endopeptidase products.<sup>[125](#page-25-1)</sup> It may be the case that co-evolution of microbiota and host has led the healthy gut to anticipate the presence of DL-

endopeptidase secreting bacteria and, as a consequence, their absence has repercussions on host physiological responses and health.

#### *Generation of muramyltripeptides*

<span id="page-13-0"></span>The major characterized ligands for human NOD1 require *meso*DAP (or *meso*lanthionine) as the diamino acid in the C-terminal position of the peptide, which necessitates the involvement of LDcarboxypeptidase activity that cleaves between the third and fourth amino acids on the peptide stem. NOD2 agonists also include muramyltripeptides (e.g. MTriLys, MTriOrn)<sup>5</sup> ([Figure 4](#page-8-0)). Thus, an obvious question is whether LD-carboxypeptidases are secreted by gut bacteria to modulate host homeostasis or disease effects by generating NOD1 and NOD2 ligands. There is also a potentially significant role for DD-endopeptidase enzymes that hydrolyze the crosslink between peptide stems or remove bridge peptides from the muramyl tripeptide stem. Metagenomic analysis has predicted LDcarboxypeptidase genes in members of all gut bacteria phyla except the Actinomycetota (Actinobacteria) where detection was rare. $110$  High copy numbers of DD-endopeptidase were predicted in gut Pseudomonadota (Proteobacteria), lower copy numbers in Bacteroidota (Bacteroides), and a sparse presence among the Bacillota (Firmicutes).[110](#page-24-8) Whether any of these enzymes are secreted to act on exogenous peptidoglycan and significantly influence the abundance of gut muramyltripeptides remains to be determined. However, an important role for muramyltripeptide generation through autologous peptidoglycan hydrolysis in the gut has been demonstrated, since lysine-containing muramyltripeptide of *Lactobacillus salivarius* peptidoglycan was shown to protect against TNBSinduced colitis in mice, via NOD2-mediated immunosuppression.<sup>126</sup> Microbiota peptidoglycan was also shown to mediate homeostasis of human pancreatic beta cells via NOD1.<sup>96</sup> Therefore, future studies into peptidoglycan LD-carboxypeptidase enzymes in the gut should shed additional light on the relationship between microbiota peptidoglycan and NOD1/NOD2 mediated homeostasis. An added complication to consider, is that mouse NOD1 is activated by muramyl tetrapeptide containing *meso*DAP, and this is why transgenic mice

<span id="page-13-1"></span>expressing human NOD1 have been used to probe microbiota peptidoglycan hydrolase activities that are relevant to human health in murine models.<sup>127,[128](#page-25-4)</sup>

#### *Selection at the gut barrier*

<span id="page-13-4"></span><span id="page-13-3"></span><span id="page-13-2"></span>In the steady state, soluble muropeptides must be trafficked across the gut epithelial barrier to reach the host system.<sup>[61,](#page-22-3)[129–](#page-25-5)[131](#page-25-6)</sup> Precisely how peptidoglycan crosses the gut epithelial barrier under homeostatic conditions, and whether selectivity toward specific muropeptides takes place at the epithelial barrier is poorly understood. One possible uptake mechanism is the passive diffusion of muropeptides across the gut barrier via paracellular transport. In the absence of epithelial damage, paracellular transport occurs through two possible pathways. The first is the pore pathway, mediated by pore-forming claudin proteins within the epithelial tight junctions. In the gut, the pore pathway permits passive translocation of small cations less than 0.6 nm in diameter and is unlikely to accommodate even the smallest muropeptides (reviewed in $^{132}$ ). A second pathway, the leak pathway, involves active remodeling of the epithelial tight junctions and accommodates the paracytosis of molecules with a diameter of up to 12.5 nm, with no charge selectivity. This mechanism is regulated by Na<sup>+</sup> -nutrient cotransport, which activates long myosin light chain kinase splice variant 1 (MLCK1), triggering remodeling of the epithelial tight junction by endocytosis of occludin. The increased paracellular permeability allows greater water absorption, with simultaneous uptake of epithelium-adjacent solutes via solvent drag. $132$ The leak pathway thus represents a potential nonselective pathway for trafficking muropeptides across the epithelial barrier. In germ free mice, gut epithelial tight junction claudins and occludin are more highly expressed than in conventional microbiota mice, meaning that paracellular perme-ability is lower in the absence of microbiota.<sup>[133](#page-25-8)</sup> Our recent data show that peptidoglycan absorption across the gut barrier is suppressed in germ free mice, which would fit a leak pathway model.<sup>61</sup> Another possibility is active transcytosis by gut epithelial cells, with uptake mediated by membrane

<span id="page-14-0"></span>transporters [\(Figure 4](#page-8-0)). Members of the SLC15A, SLC46A and pannexin families, are candidates to bring gut muropeptides across the luminal surface of the intestinal epithelial barrier.<sup>[81](#page-23-2),[83](#page-23-4),134-140</sup> If membrane transporters represent the major muropeptide uptake system, then their selectivity toward specific muropeptide substrates would essentially determine the diversity of the "systemic peptidoglycome" at homeostasis. For example, SLC15A-family oligopeptide transporter PepT1 transports di- and tripeptides, but not amino acids or longer peptides. Consistent with this, muramyl di- and tripeptides have been characterized as substrates of PepT1. If PepT1 is the major muropeptide transporter active in the gut, then it would essentially restrict the diversity of muropeptides reaching the host system to muramyl di- and tripeptides, that are recognized by the NOD1 and NOD2 receptors. The substrate range of the SCL46A family transporters may be a little broader, as it seems to also accommodate muramyl tetrapeptides. $81$  Of course, nonselective and selective uptake systems could be active simultaneously. For example, the leak pathway could permit a basal level of nonspecific paracytosis, with enrichment of specific muropeptides via membrane transporter mediated transcytosis. Careful studies comparing the diversity of soluble muropeptides in the intestinal lumen, versus the diversity of muropeptides in serum, would shed light on the relative contribution of paracellular transport versus membrane transporter mediated transcytosis as mechanisms of peptidoglycan transfer across the intestinal barrier. Furthermore, whether epithelial damage results in the unrestricted diffusion of MAMPs into the host system, including muropeptides that would not normally be abundant systemically, remains to be carefully explored and could be a factor linking intestinal dysbiosis and gut peptidoglycan-mediated effects of systemic chronic inflammatory disease.

# *Modulation of the systemic peptidoglycan composition*

Little is known about the metabolism of peptidoglycan within the host system, mainly due to the difficulty of identifying and directly studying

<span id="page-14-3"></span><span id="page-14-2"></span><span id="page-14-1"></span>muropeptides from host biomaterials. The major enzyme known to modulate muropeptide structures systemically is peptidoglycan recognition protein 2 (PGLYRP-2), an *N*-acetylmuramoyl-L-alanine amidase secreted abundantly from the liver into the blood stream[.141](#page-25-11) For this reason, it is referred to as the "serum amidase". Amidases cleave the bond between MurNAc and L-alanine in muropeptides with the minimal recognition motif being muramyltripeptide, and it should therefore be noted that MDP escapes PGLYRP-2 amidase activity.<sup>[125,](#page-25-1)142</sup> Although MurNAc is dispensable for activation of NOD1 by muropeptide ligands, the presence of the MurNAc moiety is essential to the bioactivity of NOD2 ligands.<sup>5</sup> Along with secretion into the blood, PGLYRP-2 expression is reported in CD3+ CD11c+ intraepithelial T lymphocytes in the gut, and amongst populations of splenic T cells. Expression in different tissues may be broader but context dependent, as PGLYRP-2 was found to be expressed in keratinocytes only upon exposure to bacteria or appropriate immune signals.<sup>[143,](#page-26-1)144</sup> PGLYRP-2 is proposed to cleave and inactivate systemic NOD2-agonistic muramyltripeptides derived from gut bacteria. In other words, it is poised to specifically dampen the activity of muropeptides containing the diamino acids L-lysine or L-ornithine. A further hypothesis is that PGLYRP-2 restricts the sphere of influence of these NOD2 agonistic muramyltripeptides locally to the mucosal tissues at the interface with the microbiota. The secretion of amidases in the gut, and the potential consequences for the host, has not received much attention. Metagenomic analysis indicated that amidases are widely dispersed among the members of the gut microbiota. $110$  If members of the gut microbiota secrete amidases, this activity could dampen NOD2-signaling at the level of in intestine. Furthermore, since the substrates may not be restricted to muramyltripeptides and larger peptidoglycan fragments, but could potentially include MDP, then such an activity would act to dampen NOD2 signaling locally in the gut and systemically. As such, amidase activities of gut microbiota deserve further study.

There are few other clues regarding the metabolism or catabolism of peptidoglycan fragments within the host system. A study by Valinger *et al*. found that after oral administration of  $^{14}$ C-labeled

<span id="page-15-2"></span>peptidoglycan to mice, radioactivity was detected in exhaled  $CO<sup>2</sup>$  48 h later, suggesting complete breakdown of the peptidoglycan molecule *in vivo*, and potential direction into host metabolic pathways.<sup>[145](#page-26-3)</sup> Although the bulk of orally administered peptidoglycan is cleared within 7–8 h of gavage, radiolabeled peptidoglycan can be detected in the host circulation and intestinal tract at basal levels for up to 72 h post gavage.<sup>[129](#page-25-5)</sup> Thus, it is also likely that a proportion of orally administered peptidoglycan is taken up by the gut microbiota itself and is potentially recycled or processed into nonpeptidoglycan substrates. Therefore, care must be taken when using labeling approaches to ensure that processing of the peptidoglycan by the host can be separated from its processing by the microbiota into new non-peptidoglycan metabolites that could also be absorbed by the host.

# *The tip of the iceberg: impacts on the host reveal physiological roles for gut microbiota peptidoglycan*

# *PRR mutations highlight potential roles of peptidoglycan in the host*

<span id="page-15-5"></span><span id="page-15-4"></span><span id="page-15-3"></span>Frequently, physiological roles of peptidoglycan are revealed when steady-state sensing of peptidoglycan breaks down and manifests as disease. The classical example is Crohn's Disease, where mutations in the leucine-rich repeat domain of *CARD15*(*NOD2*), involved in ligand binding, represent the greatest genetic risk factor for development of the disease (although *CARD15* mutation is neither necessary nor sufficient for the occurrence of Crohn's Disease).<sup>118[,119](#page-24-17)[,146](#page-26-4)</sup> With the identification of NOD2 mutations (*CARD15* gene) as a major risk factor, came the implication that the steady-state sensing of gut peptidoglycan by NOD2 is part of healthy gut barrier homeostasis. Subsequent studies showed that NOD2 stimulation can suppress inflammation and promote gut health, often demonstrated using the 2,4,6-trinitrobenzene sulfonic acid (TNBS) model of experimental colitis, which mimics the protective requirement of functional NOD2 associated with Crohn's Disease.<sup>[110,](#page-24-8)[126](#page-25-2),147-[149](#page-26-6)</sup> Since this time, NOD2 activation has been associated with promoting epithelial repair, antimicrobial peptide secretion, immune tissue development, immune priming, and modulation of NF-κB activation by other PRRs,<sup>150</sup>

all of which promote a healthy gut barrier, and dysbiosis of which would contribute to harmful inflammation.

<span id="page-15-6"></span>Subsequently, specific alleles of *CARD15* have been identified as genetic risk markers for a diverse range of diseases. The strongest links to disease involve chronic autoinflammatory disorders. Specific mutations in the *CARD15* gene result in the monogenic disease Blau syndrome and its sporadic form Early Onset Sarcoidosis, character-ized by dermatitis, arthritis and uveitis.<sup>[151](#page-26-8)</sup> Blau syndrome is thought to result from a gain-offunction mutation of NOD2, as HEK293 cells expressing *CARD15* Blau risk alleles demonstrated increased basal NF-κB activation in the absence of MDP stimulation.<sup>[152](#page-26-9)</sup> Blau-associated NOD2 variants are also less responsiveness to MDP, demonstrated in transgenic mice expressing Blau *CARD15*  alleles, and using primary macrophages from patients.[153](#page-26-10) Similarly, Yao syndrome is an autoimmune disease manifesting in bouts of fever, dermatitis, arthritis and gastrointestinal inflammation, associated with NOD2 variants.  $^{154,155}$  $^{154,155}$  $^{154,155}$ 

<span id="page-15-12"></span><span id="page-15-11"></span><span id="page-15-10"></span><span id="page-15-9"></span><span id="page-15-8"></span><span id="page-15-7"></span><span id="page-15-1"></span><span id="page-15-0"></span>Although risk alleles in *CARD4*(*NOD1*) and *CARD15* receptors have not been reported in rheumatoid arthritis context, there is a strong association between elevated levels of systemic peptidoglycan and arthritis. Higher levels of peptidoglycan have been detected in the blood or synovial tissues of rheumatoid arthritis and systemic lupus erythematosus patients relative to controls, $130,156,157$  $130,156,157$  $130,156,157$  and systemic administration of a neutralizing antibody targeting MDP was protective in a murine collagen-induced arthritis model.<sup>130</sup> Further evidence that systemic peptidoglycan can mediate arthritis inflammation comes from the observation that injection of MDP systemically or into the joints of BALB/c mice is sufficient to induce acute transient arthritis.<sup>[158](#page-26-15)[,159](#page-26-16)</sup> NOD2 and PGLYRP-2 are required for peptidoglycan-induced arthritis, whilst PGLYRP-1 is protective.[160](#page-26-17) In the case of *Borrelia burgdorferi*  acute infectious arthritis, the unusual peptidoglycan (A3β) of the bacterium appears to resist clearance and persist in tissues to induce arthritis in the absence of live bacteria.<sup>64</sup> This study raises the question as to whether the pharmacokinetics of specific muropeptide structures entering the host system from the gut could be a factor in chronic inflammatory diseases such as arthritis. Together these studies would suggest that, in the healthy host, gut peptidoglycan uptake and elimination mechanisms must be tightly regulated to maintain basal levels of systemic peptidoglycan that facilitate steady-state functions without triggering pathological inflammation. Precisely how gut peptidoglycan is selected, absorbed and cleared from the host, and how these processes are regulated is perhaps the least understood aspect of the peptidoglycome iceberg.

<span id="page-16-2"></span><span id="page-16-1"></span><span id="page-16-0"></span>Neurodegenerative and psychiatric disorders have also been linked to peptidoglycan-sensing risk alleles. Variants of PGLYRP-3 and PGYLRP-4 have been associated with risk of Parkinson's Disease,<sup>[161](#page-26-18)</sup> as have NOD2 alleles,<sup>[162](#page-27-0),163</sup> although this has been disputed.<sup>[164](#page-27-2)</sup> However, studies in a neurotoxin-induced model of Parkinson's Disease found that stimulation of NOD2 in microglia led to the degeneration of dopaminergic neurons.[165](#page-27-3) Conversely, in the case of an Alzheimer's Disease mouse model, treatment with MDP induced therapeutic immunomodulation of monocyte populations and delayed onset of memory impairment.[166](#page-27-4) Risk alleles of *CARD15* have also been associated with mental illness including bipolar disorder<sup>167</sup> and schizophrenia.<sup>168</sup> It is interesting to note that sleep disorders are associated with mental illness, and some the earliest studies that identified physiological effects of peptidoglycan were related to sleep alterations.<sup>169</sup>

<span id="page-16-11"></span><span id="page-16-6"></span><span id="page-16-5"></span><span id="page-16-4"></span><span id="page-16-3"></span>Whereas *PGLYRP3* and *PGLYRP4* risk alleles are linked to disease, *PGLYRP2* knock-out mice exhibit age and sex dependent behavioral alterations, centered around anxiety behaviors and motor function, associated with altered neurochemistry.<sup>170</sup> However, whether any of the observed behavioral changes were dependent on altered sensing or hydrolysis of peptidoglycan remains to be established. An interesting study by Humann *et al*. raises the possibility that bacterial cell wall fragments including peptidoglycan could affect the architecture of the brain. Mimicking the pathological context of streptococcal meningitis, Humann *et al*. found that bacterial cell wall (peptidoglycan retaining phosphorylcholine and teichoic acids) was capable of crossing the mouse placental barrier and <span id="page-16-8"></span><span id="page-16-7"></span>reaching the fetal brain through a mechanism that involved binding to platelet activating factor (PAFr).[171](#page-27-9) This resulted in abnormal, TLR2 dependent proliferation of neurons, leading to impaired memory and cognitive function in pups. Although the observed effects were likely not specific to peptidoglycan, which acted rather as a polymeric carrier scaffold, both NOD1 and muropeptide transporter PepT2 appear to be expressed in the placenta.<sup>172</sup> Thus, we can ask whether muropeptides from the mother's gut microbiota could translocate the placental barrier and influence development of the fetal brain or other physiological aspects, and what role microbiota peptidoglycan might play in development during early life when expression of peptidoglycan PRRs in the brain appears to be particularly malleable.<sup>[173](#page-27-11)</sup>

# <span id="page-16-9"></span>*Peptidoglycan homeostasis – a matter of life and death*

<span id="page-16-10"></span>In drosophila, muropeptides shed by gut bacteria translocate into the hemolymph (the insect analogue of blood), from where they can reach organs and interact with the immune system, and are recognized by peptidoglycan recognition proteins to trigger Toll- or Imd-mediated inflammation. Homeostasis is maintained by nephrocytes that remove excess peptidoglycan from the hemolymph. By studying nephrocyte deficient flies, Troha *et al*. revealed a trade-off between Imd-dependent resistance to infection and the lifespan of flies. $174$  Failure to clear elevated systemic peptidoglycan led to chronic over-priming of the toll-inflammatory pathway, that rendered the flies highly resistant to infection. However, the cost to flies was a reduced lifespan in the absence of infection. Onuma *et al*. also observed a trade-off between lifespan and resistance to infection via Imd pathway activation, that was differentially regulated by peptidoglycan from diderm (potent PGRP-LC-mediated Imd activation) versus monoderm (mild and spatially restricted PGRP-LE-mediated Imd activation) bacteria, despite all peptidoglycan types containing *meso*DAP[.175](#page-27-13) The likely explanation is the prominence of 1,6-anhydromuropeptides derived from the peptidoglycan of diderm bacteria, as peptidoglycan receptors PGRP-LC and PGRP-LE are capable

<span id="page-17-1"></span>of sensing TCT (specifically, GlcNAc-1,6-anhydro-muramyl tetrapeptide).<sup>[176,](#page-27-14)177</sup> Recently, Fioriti *et al* demonstrated that TCT induced chronic Imdactivation in the brain, that led to early death of flies due to progressive neurological impairment that was exacerbated by infection in older flies. The authors showed that TCT translocated from the gut to the brain, potentially activating the Imd pathway directly in glial cells and neurons to induce immune defenses. $178$  Intriguingly, TCT could not be detected in the brain when injected directly into the hemolymph, reminiscent of our observation that radioactively labeled peptidoglycan was detected in the brain of mice when administered by gavage, but not intravenous injection, which suggests that a gutdependent mechanism may facilitate microbiota peptidoglycan trafficking to the brain.<sup>[61](#page-22-3),178</sup> Together, these studies show that in drosophila, gut peptidoglycan sensing has evolved as part of a delicate balance between immune defenses that protect against infection, and inflammatory stress that is harmful to the host.

<span id="page-17-6"></span><span id="page-17-5"></span><span id="page-17-4"></span><span id="page-17-3"></span><span id="page-17-2"></span><span id="page-17-0"></span>Whilst gut peptidoglycan homeostasis is a matter of life and death in flies, in more complex vertebrate organisms, steady-state gut peptidoglycan signaling can influence the lifespan of specific cellular compartments through NOD1 and NOD2. Hergott *et al*. showed that steady-state NOD1 stimulation regulated the rate of apoptosis and turnover of peripheral circulating neutrophils and Ly6C+ inflammatory monocytes, but not other cell populations such as lymphocytes, fibro-blasts or endothelial cells.<sup>[179](#page-27-17)</sup> In this case, peripheral phagocytes did not sense systemic peptidoglycan fragments directly. Instead, intestinal lymphocytes secreted IL-17A as a survival signal in response to NOD1 stimulation. Microbiota peptidoglycan is also a signal for cell proliferation and tissue development within the intestinal tract, as NOD1 stimulation by microbiota peptidoglycan was the major developmental trigger for formation of B-cell rich lymphoid follicles. $180$  Meanwhile, also in the gut niche, microbiota peptidoglycan is a survival signal for Lgr5+ stems cells that express NOD2 in the intestinal crypts, stimulating cell proliferation, tissue repair and resistance to oxidative stress.<sup>[181](#page-27-19)</sup> Direct peptidoglycan effects on immune cells in

the steady state are not restricted to peptidoglycan interactions with the gut epithelia. Gut peptidoglycan was demonstrated to translocate to the bone marrow in mice, where activation of NOD1 primed neutrophils against bacterial infection. Intriguingly, NOD1-primed neutrophils became more bactericidal toward monoderm bacteria with peptidoglycan that lacked NOD1 ligands.<sup>[129](#page-25-5)</sup>

# *Gut microbiota peptidoglycan as a diet and energy homeostasis regulatory signal*

Recent studies have connected gut peptidoglycan to host behaviors related to food consumption, with behavioral alterations observed across invertebrate and vertebrate models. *Caenorhabditis elegans* is a soil nematode that feeds on bacteria and fungi. Under sterile laboratory conditions, the consumption of peptidoglycan acts as a feeding signal that influences attractiveness of food sources, and stimulates digestive processes, allowing the nematodes to thrive on previously inedible bacteria.[182](#page-27-20),[183](#page-27-21) None of these effects seem to involve traditional invertebrate peptidoglycan PRRs. However, they depended on the structure of the consumed peptidoglycan, as the effects were lost upon treatment of peptidoglycan with amidase or *N*-acetyl-D-glucosaminidase, when peptidoglycan was purified from mutants affected in their peptidoglycan metabolism, or when *E. faecalis* (A3α) peptidoglycan was used as a feeding supplement (conversely *E. coli* and *B. subtilis* (A1γ) peptidoglycan and lysozyme digested peptidoglycan were effective). Together these data provide tantalizing clues on the core structural motifs that may be required for peptidoglycan-mediated digestive signaling nematodes.[182,](#page-27-20)[183](#page-27-21) In mice, rather than stimulating feeding, peptidoglycan acts as a feedback signal to suppress appetite. In older female mice, orally administered MDP was found to translocate to the brain, and directly stimulate NOD2 in inhibitory (Vgat<sup>+</sup>) neurons of the mouse hypothalamus. The resulting behavioral alterations included reduced appetite, reduced body temperature and, consequently, increased nest-building activity.<sup>131</sup> Why might peptidoglycan be used by the host as an appetite feedback signal? When a host consumes a meal, they are also providing nutrients for the

<span id="page-18-0"></span>growth of the gut microbiota. As such, the overall abundance and composition of the microbiota undergoes diurnal oscillations that are determined by the feeding patterns of the host.<sup>184</sup> We speculate that oscillations in microbiota growth and clearance are also likely to provide circadian oscillations in peptidoglycan fragment generation by the gut microbiota, due to changes in the metabolic activity of the gut flora in the presence and absence of nutrients. All four mammalian PGLYRP peptidoglycan sensors, as well as NOD1 and NOD2, have been detected as PRRs with specific spatial and temporal expression profiles in the mouse brain, and peptidoglycan has been detected in brain tissue in numerous studies,  $61,131,173,185$  $61,131,173,185$  $61,131,173,185$  $61,131,173,185$  indicating that there is ample opportunity for gut peptidoglycan signaling within different brain tissue compartments, with unknown consequences for the host. This raises the question of how gut peptidoglycan reaches and crosses the blood brain barrier. To this end, PepT1 has been highlighted as a muropeptide transporter that could potentially perform this function in the brain, and MDP transporter SLC46a3 is reported to be well expressed (Human Protein Atlas.org).<sup>[81](#page-23-2)[,173](#page-27-11)</sup>

<span id="page-18-5"></span><span id="page-18-4"></span><span id="page-18-2"></span><span id="page-18-1"></span>Circulating peptidoglycan from the gut microbiota plays a direct role in energy metabolism. In a malnourished postnatal mouse model, NOD2 stimulation in intestinal epithelial cells lead to improved body size, bone growth, and levels of circulating insulin and insulin-like growth factor-1.[186,](#page-28-2)[187](#page-28-3) Both NOD1 and NOD2 were previously suggested to regulate bone density<sup>188</sup> and have an important role in insulin homeostasis. Systemic peptidoglycan regulates homeostasis of insulin trafficking via NOD1 signaling in pancreatic islet beta cells, which triggers the recruitment of Rab1a to direct the trafficking of insulin vesicles. $96$  High fat diet has been demonstrated to induce increased systemic circulation of NOD1 and NOD2 ligands, and this can promote chronic overstimulation of NOD1, contributing to the development of insulin resistance and inflammation, whilst NOD2 stimulation can suppress these effects via a pathway mediated by the effector molecule IRF4.<sup>189,190</sup> In the malnourished mouse model, specific strains of *Lactobacillus plantarum* alleviated the effects of malnourishment, and the peptidoglycan of protective *L. plantarum* strains was sufficient to induce

<span id="page-18-3"></span>protection. Intriguingly, only subtle differences in the extent of *meso*DAP amidation and *O*-acetylation were observed in the peptidoglycan of protective versus non-protective *L. plantarum*  strains.<sup>187</sup> Since lysozyme (but not mutanolysin) is inhibited by *O*-acetylation, this study raises the possibility that subtle differences in *O*-acetylation might lead to a physiologically significant impact on the liberation of NOD2 ligands by lysozyme, an aspect that will require further study.<sup>96</sup>

# **Conclusion**

Peptidoglycan has an enormous influence on human health, and at its foundation is the intestinal peptidoglycome. This review highlights the ongoing need for fundamental studies toward a mechanistic understanding of the interactions that shape the intestinal peptidoglycome, and the pathways through which peptidoglycan interacts with the host immune and other physiological systems. If we were to highlight one question as being the major unknown, and research priority within the hidden base of the peptidoglycome iceberg, it is "precisely how do peptidoglycan fragments cross the gut barrier?" Why is this the most critical question? The gut microbiota may release hundreds of different peptidoglycan fragments, or we may find that very few are released in sufficient proportion to be of physiological relevance to the host. But if peptidoglycan fragments cannot reach host peptidoglycan sensing receptors, then their zone of influence is restricted to the gut lumen. The degree of selectivity inherent to peptidoglycan uptake mechanisms at the gut epithelial barrier is likely to be an important border-check through which the host permits or prohibits specific muropeptides to enter the host system in the steady-state. Of course, disruption of the epithelial barrier integrity would override regulated uptake of peptidoglycan fragments, leading to dysregulated responses to peptidoglycan with harmful consequences for the host. Therapeutic interventions would benefit immensely from understanding which peptidoglycan fragments are permitted, and which are excluded to cross the gut barrier in a healthy host. Our recent work indicated that peptidoglycan uptake is modulated by the

composition of the microbiota, $61$  hinting at plasticity in the uptake systems that can be probed to uncover both the precise uptake pathways and their specific regulatory mechanisms. One of the major limitations for study of peptidoglycan uptake systems *in vivo*, is the lack of tools dedicated to identification and quantification of peptidoglycan fragments from host biomaterials. Currently, this technological shortfall appears to be the subject of intense development.<sup>[60,](#page-22-2)[73](#page-22-14)[,191,](#page-28-7)[192](#page-28-8)</sup> Thus, it seems that the field is primed for major advances that will provide new insights into the dynamic relationship between host and gut microbiota in health and disease, and how the gut peptidoglycome can be manipulated toward therapeutic needs.

#### <span id="page-19-10"></span>**Acknowledgments**

Figures created with [www.biorender.com](http://www.biorender.com) using images from the icon library (2023). The Boneca laboratory was supported by the following programmes: Investissement d'Avenir program, Laboratoire d'Excellence "Integrative Biology of Emerging Infectious Diseases" (ANR-10-LABX-62-IBEID); the Investissement d'Avenir program (RHU Torino Lumière ANR-16-RHUS-0008); the French National Research Agency (ANR-16-CE15-0021) and by R&D grants from Danone and MEIJI. Richard Wheeler was supported by the Fondation Arthritis, Neuilly-sur-Seine, France. For imaging of peptidoglycan sacculi ([Figure 3\)](#page-5-0), we would like to thank Prof. Simon J Foster (School of Biosciences) and Prof. Jamie K Hobbs (School of Mathematical and Physical Sciences) for the use of atomic force microscopy imaging facilities at the University of Sheffield, UK.

#### **Disclosure statement**

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the author(s).

#### **Funding**

The work was supported by the Agence Nationale de la Recherche [ANR-16-CE15-0021]; Agence Nationale de la Recherche [RHU Torino Lumière ANR-16-RHUS-0008]; Agence Nationale de la Recherche [Labex IBEID ANR-10- Labex-62-IBEID]; "Danone; Fondation Arthritis" [2022- 068\_GOMPERTS-BONECA\_Peptidoglycome JIA]; Meiji.

#### **ORCID**

Richard Wheeler **http://orcid.org/0000-0002-9249-2377** 

#### **References**

- <span id="page-19-0"></span>1. Krueger JM, Pappenheimer JR, Karnovsky ML. The composition of sleep-promoting factor isolated from human urine. J Biol Chem. [1982](#page-2-1);257(4):1664–1669. doi:[10.1016/S0021-9258\(19\)68088-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-9258(19)68088-9) .
- <span id="page-19-1"></span>2. Sender R, Fuchs S, Milo R. Revised estimates for the number of human and bacteria cells in the body. PLOS Biol. [2016;](#page-2-2)14(8):e1002533. doi:[10.1371/journal.pbio.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1002533)  [1002533](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1002533) .
- <span id="page-19-2"></span>3. Girardin SE, Boneca IG, Viala J, Chamaillard M, Labigne A, Thomas G, Philpott DJ, Sansonetti PJ. Nod2 is a general sensor of peptidoglycan through muramyl dipeptide (MDP) detection. J Biol Chem. [2003](#page-2-3);278(11):8869–8872. doi:[10.1074/jbc.C200651200](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.C200651200) .
- <span id="page-19-8"></span>4. Girardin SE, Boneca IG, Carneiro LAM, Antignac A, Jéhanno M, Viala J, Tedin K, Taha M-K, Labigne A, Zäthringer U, et al. Nod1 detects a unique muropeptide from gram-negative bacterial peptidoglycan. Science. [2003;](#page-9-0)300(5625):1584–1587. doi:[10.1126/science.](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1084677)  [1084677](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1084677) .
- <span id="page-19-7"></span>5. Girardin SE, Travassos LH, Hervé M, Blanot D, Boneca IG, Philpott DJ, Sansonetti PJ, Mengin-Lecreulx D. Peptidoglycan molecular requirements allowing detection by Nod1 and Nod2. J Biol Chem. [2003;](#page-7-0)278 (43):41702–41708. doi:[10.1074/jbc.M307198200](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M307198200) .
- 6. Grimes CL, Ariyananda LDZ, Melnyk JE, O'Shea EK. The innate immune protein Nod2 binds directly to MDP, a bacterial cell wall fragment. J Am Chem Soc. 2012;134(33):13535–13537. doi:[10.1021/ja303883c .](https://doi.org/10.1021/ja303883c)
- <span id="page-19-9"></span>7. Stafford CA, Gassauer A-M, de Oliveira Mann CC, Tanzer MC, Fessler E, Wefers B, Nagl D, Kuut G, Sulek K, Vasilopoulou C, et al. Phosphorylation of muramyl peptides by NAGK is required for NOD2 activation. Nature. [2022;](#page-9-0)609(7927):590–596. doi:[10.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-022-05125-x)  [1038/s41586-022-05125-x](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-022-05125-x) .
- 8. Mo J, Boyle JP, Howard CB, Monie TP, Davis BK, Duncan JA. Pathogen sensing by nucleotide-binding oligomerization domain-containing protein 2 (NOD2) is mediated by direct binding to muramyl dipeptide and ATP. J Biol Chem. 2012;287(27):23057–23067. doi:[10.](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M112.344283) [1074/jbc.M112.344283 .](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M112.344283)
- <span id="page-19-3"></span>9. Lauro ML, D'Ambrosio EA, Bahnson BJ, Grimes CL. The molecular recognition of muramyl dipeptide occurs in the leucine-rich repeat domain of Nod2. ACS Infect Dis. 2017;3(4):264–270. doi:[10.1021/acsin](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsinfecdis.6b00154) [fecdis.6b00154](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsinfecdis.6b00154) .
- <span id="page-19-6"></span>10. Schleifer KH, Kandler O. Peptidoglycan types of bacterial cell walls and their taxonomic implications. Bacteriol Rev. [1972;](#page-4-1)36(4):407–477. doi:[10.1128/br.36.](https://doi.org/10.1128/br.36.4.407-477.1972) [4.407-477.1972](https://doi.org/10.1128/br.36.4.407-477.1972) .
- <span id="page-19-4"></span>11. Hayhurst EJ, Kailas L, Hobbs JK, Foster SJ. Cell wall peptidoglycan architecture in bacillus subtilis. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. [2008](#page-3-1);105(38):14603–14608. doi:[10.1073/pnas.0804138105](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0804138105) .
- <span id="page-19-5"></span>12. Turner RD, Mesnage S, Hobbs JK, Foster SJ. Molecular imaging of glycan chains couples cell-wall

polysaccharide architecture to bacterial cell morphology. Nat Commun. [2018](#page-3-1);9(1):1263. doi:[10.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-03551-y)  [1038/s41467-018-03551-y](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-03551-y) .

- <span id="page-20-0"></span>13. Vollmer W, Blanot D, De Pedro MA. Peptidoglycan structure and architecture. FEMS Microbiol Rev. [2008](#page-4-2);32(2):149–167. doi:[10.1111/j.1574-6976.2007.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6976.2007.00094.x)  00094.x.
- <span id="page-20-1"></span>14. Alamán-Zárate MG, Rady BJ, Evans CA, Pian B, Greetham D, Marecos-Ortiz S, Dickman MJ, Lidbury IDEA, Lovering AL, Barstow BM, et al. Unusual 1-3 peptidoglycan cross-links in acetobacteraceae are made by l,d-transpeptidases with a catalytic domain distantly related to YkuD domains. J Biol Chem. [2024;](#page-4-2)300(1):105494. doi:[10.1016/j.jbc.2023.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbc.2023.105494)  105494.
- <span id="page-20-2"></span>15. Schleifer KH, Nimmermann E. Peptidoglycan types of strains of the genus peptococcus. Arch Mikrobiol. [1973;](#page-4-3)93(3):245–258. doi:[10.1007/BF00412024 .](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00412024)
- <span id="page-20-3"></span>16. Yokota A, Takeuchi M, Sakane T, Weiss N. Proposal of six new species in the genus aureobacterium and transfer of flavobacterium esteraromaticum omelianski to the genus aureobacterium as aureobacterium esteraromaticum comb. nov. Int J Syst Bacteriol. [1993](#page-4-3);43 (3):555–564. doi:[10.1099/00207713-43-3-555](https://doi.org/10.1099/00207713-43-3-555) .
- <span id="page-20-4"></span>17. Perkins HR. The use of photolysis of dinitrophenyl-peptides in structural studies on the cell-wall mucopeptide of corynebacterium poinsettiae. Biochem J. [1967](#page-6-1);102(2):29C–32C. doi:[10.1042/](https://doi.org/10.1042/bj1020029C)  bj1020029C.
- <span id="page-20-5"></span>18. Kandler O, Koch D, Schleifer KH. The amino acid sequence of the glycine containing murein of some strains of lactobacillus bifidus. Arch für Mikrobiol. [1968;](#page-6-2)61(2):181–186. doi:[10.1007/BF00412154 .](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00412154)
- <span id="page-20-6"></span>19. Veerkamp JH. The structure of the cell wall peptidoglycan of bifidobacterium bifidum var. pennsylvanicus. Arch Biochem Biophys. [1971;](#page-6-2)143(1):204–211. doi:[10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0003-9861(71)90200-1)  [1016/0003-9861\(71\)90200-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/0003-9861(71)90200-1) .
- <span id="page-20-7"></span>20. Harper JJ, Davis GHG. Cell wall analysis of grdnerella vaginalis (Haemophilus vaginalis). Int J Systematic Evol Microbiol. [1982](#page-6-3);32(1):48–50. doi:[10.1099/00207713-](https://doi.org/10.1099/00207713-32-1-48)  [32-1-48](https://doi.org/10.1099/00207713-32-1-48) .
- <span id="page-20-8"></span>21. Kamisango K, Saiki I, Tanio Y, Okumura H, Araki Y, Sekikawa I, Azuma I, Yamamura Y. Structures and biological activities of peptidoglycans of listeria monocytogenes and propionibacterium acnes. J Biochem. [1982;](#page-6-4)92(1):23–33. doi:[10.1093/oxfordjournals.jbchem.](https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.jbchem.a133918)  a133918.
- <span id="page-20-9"></span>22. van der Aart LT, Spijksma GK, Harms A, Vollmer W, Hankemeier T, van Wezel GP. High-resolution analysis of the peptidoglycan composition in Streptomyces coelicolor. J Bacteriol. [2018](#page-6-5);200(20):e00290–18. doi:[10.](https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.00290-18)  [1128/JB.00290-18](https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.00290-18) .
- <span id="page-20-10"></span>23. Rainey FA, Weiss N, Stackebrandt E. Coriobacterium and atopobium are phylogenetic neighbors within the actinomycetes line of descent. Systemat Appl Microbiol. [1994;](#page-6-6)17(2):202–205. doi:[10.1016/S0723-](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0723-2020(11)80008-1)  [2020\(11\)80008-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0723-2020(11)80008-1) .
- <span id="page-20-11"></span>24. Peltier J, Courtin P, El Meouche I, Lemée L, Chapot-Chartier M-P, Pons J-L. Clostridium difficile has an original peptidoglycan structure with a high level of N-Acetylglucosamine deacetylation and mainly 3-3 cross-links. J Biol Chem. [2011;](#page-6-7)286(33):29053–29062. doi:[10.1074/jbc.M111.259150](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M111.259150).
- <span id="page-20-12"></span>25. Cummins CS, Johnson JL. Taxonomy of the clostridia: wall composition and DNA homologies in clostridium butyricum and other butyric acid-producing clostridia. J Gener Microbiol. [1971](#page-6-7);67(1):33–46. doi:[10.1099/](https://doi.org/10.1099/00221287-67-1-33)  [00221287-67-1-33 .](https://doi.org/10.1099/00221287-67-1-33)
- <span id="page-20-13"></span>26. Kageyama A, Benno Y, Nakase T. Phylogenetic and phenotypic evidence for the transfer of eubacterium aerofaciens to the genus collinsella as collinsella aerofaciens gen. nov. comb. nov. Int J Syst Bacteriol. [1999](#page-6-8);49(2):557–565. doi:[10.1099/00207713-49-2-557](https://doi.org/10.1099/00207713-49-2-557) .
- 27. Willems A, Collins MD. Phylogenetic relationships of the genera acetobacterium and eubacterium sensu stricto and reclassification of eubacterium alactolyticum as pseudoramibacter alactolyticus gen. nov. comb. nov. Int J Syst Bacteriol. 1996;46(4):1083–1087. doi:[10.1099/00207713-46-4-1083](https://doi.org/10.1099/00207713-46-4-1083) .
- 28. Zhang X, Rimpiläinen M, Simelyte E, Toivanen P. What determines arthritogenicity of bacterial cell wall? A study on eubacterium cell wall-induced arthritis. Rheumatol (Oxford). 2000;39(3):274–282. doi:[10.1093/](https://doi.org/10.1093/rheumatology/39.3.274) [rheumatology/39.3.274](https://doi.org/10.1093/rheumatology/39.3.274) .
- <span id="page-20-14"></span>29. Tanner R, Stackebrandt E, Fox G, Woese C. A phylogenetic analysis of acetobacterium woodii, clostridium barkeri, clostridium butyricum, clostridium lituseburense, eubacterium limosum, and eubacterium tenue. Curr Microbiol. 1981;5(1):35–38. doi:[10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01566595) [BF01566595](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01566595) .
- <span id="page-20-15"></span>30. Kim J-S, Park J-E, Lee KC, Choi S-H, Oh BS, Yu SY, Eom MK, Kang SW, Han K-I, Suh MK, et al. Blautia faecicola sp. nov. isolated from faeces from a healthy human. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol. [2020;](#page-6-9)70 (3):2059–2065. doi:[10.1099/ijsem.0.004015](https://doi.org/10.1099/ijsem.0.004015) .
- <span id="page-20-16"></span>31. Zou Y, Lin X, Xue W, Tuo L, Chen M-S, Chen X-H, Sun C-H, Li F, Liu S-W, Dai Y, et al. Characterization and description of faecalibacterium butyricigenerans sp. nov. and F. longum sp. nov. isolated from human faeces. Sci Rep. [2021;](#page-6-10)11(1):11340. doi:[10.1038/s41598-](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-90786-3) [021-90786-3](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-90786-3) .
- <span id="page-20-17"></span>32. Graham RK, May JW. Composition of cell walls of some gram-negative cocci. J Gener Microbiol. [1965](#page-6-11);41 (2):243–249. doi:[10.1099/00221287-41-2-243 .](https://doi.org/10.1099/00221287-41-2-243)
- <span id="page-20-18"></span>33. Hughes RC. Autolysis of isolated cell walls of bacillus licheniformis N.C.T.C. 6346 and bacillus subtilis marburg strain 168. Separation of the products and characterization of the mucopeptide fragments. Biochem J. [1970](#page-6-12);119(5):849–860. doi:[10.1042/bj1190849](https://doi.org/10.1042/bj1190849) .
- <span id="page-20-19"></span>34. Clermont D, Gomard M, Hamon S, Bonne I, Fernandez J-C, Wheeler R, Malosse C, Chamot-Rooke J, Gribaldo S, Boneca IG, et al. Paenibacillus faecis sp. nov. isolated from human faeces.

Int J Systematic Evol Microbiol. [2015](#page-6-13);65 (Pt\_12):4621–4626. doi:[10.1099/ijsem.0.000622](https://doi.org/10.1099/ijsem.0.000622) .

- <span id="page-21-0"></span>35. Ghuysen JM, Tipper DJ, Birge CH, Strominger JL. Structure of the cell wall of staphylococcus aureus strain Copenhagen. VI. The soluble glycopeptide and its sequential degradation by peptidases. Biochem. [1965](#page-6-14);4 (10):2245–2254. doi:[10.1021/bi00886a043 .](https://doi.org/10.1021/bi00886a043)
- 36. Loza-Correa M, Ayala JA, Perelman I, Hubbard K, Kalab M, Yi Q-L, Taha M, de Pedro MA, Ramirez-Arcos S, et al. The peptidoglycan and biofilm matrix of Staphylococcus epidermidis undergo structural changes when exposed to human platelets. PLOS ONE. 2019;14(1):e0211132. doi:[10.1371/journal.pone.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0211132)  [0211132](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0211132).
- <span id="page-21-1"></span>37. Schleifer KH, Fischer U. Description of a new species of the genus staphylococcus: staphylococcus carnosus. Int J Systematic Evol Microbiol. 1982;32(2):153–156. doi:[10.1099/00207713-32-2-153 .](https://doi.org/10.1099/00207713-32-2-153)
- <span id="page-21-2"></span>38. Bernard E, Rolain T, Courtin P, Guillot A, Langella P, Hols P, Chapot-Chartier M-P. Characterization of O-Acetylation of N-Acetylglucosamine: a novel structural variation of bacterial peptidoglycan\*. J Biol Chem. [2011;](#page-6-15)286(27):23950–23958. doi:[10.1074/jbc.M111.241414 .](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M111.241414)
- 39. Billot-Klein D, Legrand R, Schoot B, van Heijenoort J, Gutmann L. Peptidoglycan structure of lactobacillus casei, a species highly resistant to glycopeptide antibiotics. J Bacteriol. 1997;179(19):6208–6212. doi:[10.1128/jb.179.19.6208-6212.1997](https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.179.19.6208-6212.1997) .
- <span id="page-21-3"></span>40. Plapp R, Kandler O. Identification of L-Ornithine and δ-aminosuccinyl ornithine in cell wall hydrolysates of lactobacillus cellobiosus. Nature. 1967;213 (5078):803–804. doi:[10.1038/213803a0 .](https://doi.org/10.1038/213803a0)
- <span id="page-21-4"></span>41. Filipe SR, Severina E, Tomasz A. Functional analysis of streptococcus pneumoniae MurM reveals the region responsible for its specificity in the synthesis of branched cell wall peptides\*. J Biol Chem. [2001;](#page-6-16)276 (43):39618–39628. doi:[10.1074/jbc.M106425200 .](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M106425200)
- <span id="page-21-5"></span>42. Hladny J, Schleifer KH, Kandler O. The amino acid sequence of the threonine containing peptidoglycans of some species of streptococcus. Arch Mikrobiol. [1972;](#page-6-16)85(1):23–38. doi:[10.1007/BF00425141 .](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00425141)
- <span id="page-21-6"></span>43. Schleifer K-H, Kandler O. The chemical composition of the cell wall of streptococci. Arch Mikrobiol. [1967](#page-6-17);57 (4):365–381. doi:[10.1007/BF00416935](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00416935) .
- <span id="page-21-7"></span>44. Courtin P, Miranda G, Guillot A, Wessner F, Mézange C, Domakova E, Kulakauskas S, Chapot-Chartier M-P. Peptidoglycan structure analysis of lactococcus lactis reveals the presence of an l,d-carboxypeptidase involved in peptidoglycan maturation. J Bacteriol. [2006](#page-6-17);188 (14):5293. doi:[10.1128/JB.00285-06](https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.00285-06) .
- <span id="page-21-8"></span>45. Kandler O, Schleifer KH, Dandl R. Differentiation of streptococcus faecalis andrewes and horder and streptococcus faecium orla-jensen based on the amino acid composition of their murein. J Bacteriol. [1968](#page-6-18);96 (6):1935–1939. doi:[10.1128/jb.96.6.1935-1939.1968](https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.96.6.1935-1939.1968) .
- <span id="page-21-9"></span>46. Miyagawa E, Azuma R, Suto T. Peptidoglycan composition of gram negative obligately anaerobic rods. J Gen Appl Microbiol. [1981](#page-6-19);27(3):199-208. doi:10.2323/jgam.27.199.
- <span id="page-21-10"></span>47. Mayer VMT, Hottmann I, Figl R, Altmann F, Mayer C, Schäffer C. Peptidoglycan-type analysis of the N-acetylmuramic acid auxotrophic oral pathogen tannerella forsythia and reclassification of the peptidoglycan-type of porphyromonas gingivalis. BMC Microbiol. [2019](#page-6-20);19(1):200. doi:[10.1186/s12866-](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12866-019-1575-7)  [019-1575-7](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12866-019-1575-7) .
- <span id="page-21-11"></span>48. Van Heijenoort J, Elbaz L, Dezelee P, Petit JF, Bricas E, Ghuysen JM. Structure of the meso-diaminopimelic acid containing peptidoglycans in escherichia coli B and bacillus megaterium KM. Biochem. [1969;](#page-6-11)8 (1):207–213. doi:[10.1021/bi00829a030 .](https://doi.org/10.1021/bi00829a030)
- <span id="page-21-12"></span>49. Nigro G, Fazio LL, Martino MC, Rossi G, Tattoli I, Liparoti V, De Castro C, Molinaro A, Philpott DJ, Bernardini ML, et al. Muramylpeptide shedding modulates cell sensing of shigella flexneri. Cell Microbiol. [2008;](#page-6-21)10 (3):682–695. doi:[10.1111/j.1462-5822.2007.01075.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-5822.2007.01075.x) .
- <span id="page-21-13"></span>50. Jastrzemski KB, Kwiatkowski B. The murein of citrobacter O-Serogroup Ci23 Vi+. Zentralbl für Bakteriologie, Mikrobiol und Hyg 1 Abt Originale A, Medizinische Mikrobiol, Infektionskrankh und Parasitologie. [1981;](#page-6-22)249(1):39–42. doi:[10.1016/S0174-](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0174-3031(81)80040-6)  [3031\(81\)80040-6 .](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0174-3031(81)80040-6)
- <span id="page-21-14"></span>51. Fontana R, Canepari P, Satta G. Alterations in peptidoglycan chemical composition associated with rod-tosphere transition in a conditional mutant of Klebsiella pneumoniae. J Bacteriol. [1979](#page-6-23);139(3):1028–1038. doi:[10.1128/jb.139.3.1028-1038.1979 .](https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.139.3.1028-1038.1979)
- <span id="page-21-15"></span>52. Burroughs MH, Chang YS, Gage DA, Tuomanen EI. Composition of the peptidoglycan of haemophilus influenzae. J Biol Chem. [1993](#page-6-24);268(16):11594–11598. doi:[10.1016/S0021-9258\(19\)50242-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-9258(19)50242-3) .
- <span id="page-21-16"></span>53. Costa K, Bacher G, Allmaier G, Dominguez-Bello MG, Engstrand L, Falk P, de Pedro MA, García-Del Portillo F. The morphological transition of helicobacter pylori cells from spiral to coccoid is preceded by a substantial modification of the cell wall. J Bacteriol. [1999](#page-6-25);181 (12):3710–3715. doi:[10.1128/JB.181.12.3710-3715.1999](https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.181.12.3710-3715.1999) .
- <span id="page-21-17"></span>54. Frirdich E, Vermeulen J, Biboy J, Soares F, Taveirne ME, Johnson JG, DiRita VJ, Girardin SE, Vollmer W, Gaynor EC, et al. Peptidoglycan lD-carboxypeptidase Pgp2 influences campylobacter jejuni helical cell shape and pathogenic properties and provides the substrate for the DL-carboxypeptidase Pgp1. J Biol Chem. [2014](#page-6-26);289(12):8007–8018. doi:[10.](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M113.491829)  [1074/jbc.M113.491829 .](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M113.491829)
- <span id="page-21-18"></span>55. Garcia-Vello P, Tytgat HLP, Gray J, Elzinga J, Di Lorenzo F, Biboy J, Vollmer D, De Castro C, Vollmer W, de Vos WM, et al. Peptidoglycan from akkermansia muciniphila MucT: chemical structure and immunostimulatory properties of muropeptides. Glycobiol. [2022](#page-6-27);32(8):712–719. doi:[10.1093/glycob/cwac027](https://doi.org/10.1093/glycob/cwac027) .
- <span id="page-22-0"></span>56. Eckburg PB, Bik EM, Bernstein CN, Purdom E, Dethlefsen L, Sargent M, Gill SR, Nelson KE, Relman DA. Diversity of the human intestinal microbial flora. Science. [2005](#page-4-4);308(5728):1635–1638. doi:[10.](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1110591)  [1126/science.1110591](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1110591) .
- 57. Curtis H, Blaser MJ, Dirk G, Kota KC, Rob K, Liu B, Wang L, Sahar A, White JR, Badger JH, et al. Structure, function and diversity of the healthy human microbiome. Nature. 2012;486:207–214.
- 58. Qin J, Li R, Raes J, Arumugam M, Burgdorf KS, Manichanh C, Nielsen T, Pons N, Levenez F, Yamada T, et al. A human gut microbial gene catalogue established by metagenomic sequencing. Nature. 2010;464(7285):59–65. doi:[10.1038/nature08821](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature08821) .
- <span id="page-22-1"></span>59. Faith JJ, Guruge JL, Charbonneau M, Subramanian S, Seedorf H, Goodman AL, Clemente JC, Knight R, Heath AC, Leibel RL, et al. The long-term stability of the human gut microbiota. Science. 2013;341 (6141):1237439. doi:[10.1126/science.1237439 .](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1237439)
- <span id="page-22-2"></span>60. Hsu Y-C, Hsu YC, Su PR, Huang LJ, Cheng KY, Chen CH, Hsu CC. High-throughput automated muropeptide analysis (HAMA) reveals peptidoglycan composition of gut microbial cell walls. eLife. [2023;](#page-5-1)12: RP8849. doi:[10.7554/eLife.88491.2](https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.88491.2) .
- <span id="page-22-3"></span>61. Wheeler R, Bastos PAD, Disson O, Rifflet A, Gabanyi I, Spielbauer J, Bérard M, Lecuit M, Boneca IG. Microbiota-induced active translocation of peptidoglycan across the intestinal barrier dictates its within-host dissemination. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. [2023;](#page-5-1)120(4): e2209936120. doi:[10.1073/pnas.2209936120 .](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2209936120)
- <span id="page-22-4"></span>62. Umemoto T, Ota T, Sagawa H, Kato K, Takada H, Tsujimoto M, Kawasaki A, Ogawa T, Harada K, Kotani S, et al. Chemical and biological properties of a peptidoglycan isolated from treponema pallidum kazan. Infect Immun. [1981;](#page-5-2)31(2):767–774. doi:[10.](https://doi.org/10.1128/iai.31.2.767-774.1981)  [1128/iai.31.2.767-774.1981](https://doi.org/10.1128/iai.31.2.767-774.1981) .
- 63. Beck G, Benach JL, Habicht GS. Isolation, preliminary chemical characterization, and biological activity of borrelia burgdorferi peptidoglycan. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 1990;167(1):89–95. doi:[10.1016/0006-](https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-291X(90)91734-A)  [291X\(90\)91734-A .](https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-291X(90)91734-A)
- <span id="page-22-5"></span>64. Jutras BL, Lochhead RB, Kloos ZA, Biboy J, Strle K, Booth CJ, Govers SK, Gray J, Schumann P, Vollmer W, et al. Borrelia burgdorferi peptidoglycan is a persistent antigen in patients with Lyme arthritis. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. [2019](#page-15-0);116(27):13498–13507. doi:[10.1073/pnas.](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1904170116)  [1904170116](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1904170116).
- <span id="page-22-8"></span>65. Turner RD, Hobbs JK, Foster SJ. Atomic force microscopy analysis of bacterial cell wall peptidoglycan architecture. Methods Mol Biol. [2016](#page-7-1);1440:3–9 doi:[10.](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4939-3676-2_1)  [1007/978-1-4939-3676-2\\_1](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4939-3676-2_1) .
- <span id="page-22-6"></span>66. King CH, Desai H, Sylvetsky AC, LoTempio J, Ayanyan S, Carrie J, Crandall KA, Fochtman BC, Gasparyan L, Gulzar N, et al. Baseline human gut microbiota profile in healthy people and standard reporting template. PLOS ONE. [2019;](#page-6-28)14(9):e0206484. doi:[10.1371/journal.pone.0206484 .](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0206484)
- <span id="page-22-7"></span>67. Yanagihara Y, Kamisango K, Yasuda S, Kobayashi S, Mifuchi I, Azuma I, Yamamura Y, Johnson RC. Chemical compositions of cell walls and polysaccharide fractions of spirochetes. Microbiol Immunol. [1984](#page-6-29);28 (5):535–544. doi:[10.1111/j.1348-0421.1984.tb00706.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1348-0421.1984.tb00706.x) .
- <span id="page-22-9"></span>68. Pismennõi D, Kattel A, Belouah I, Nahku R, Vilu R, Kobrin E-G. The quantitative measurement of peptidoglycan components obtained from acidic hydrolysis in gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria via hydrophilic interaction liquid chromatography coupled with mass spectrometry. Microorgan. [2023;](#page-7-2)11(9):2134. doi:[10.3390/microorganisms11092134](https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms11092134) .
- <span id="page-22-10"></span>69. Dupont A, Heinbockel L, Brandenburg K, Hornef MW. Antimicrobial peptides and the enteric mucus layer act in concert to protect the intestinal mucosa. Gut Microbes. [2014](#page-7-3);5(6):761–765. doi:[10.4161/19490976.](https://doi.org/10.4161/19490976.2014.972238)  [2014.972238](https://doi.org/10.4161/19490976.2014.972238) .
- <span id="page-22-11"></span>70. Bersch KL, DeMeester KE, Zagani R, Chen S, Wodzanowski KA, Liu S, Mashayekh S, Reinecker H-C, Grimes CL. Bacterial peptidoglycan fragments differentially regulate innate immune signaling. ACS Cent Sci. [2021](#page-7-4);7(4):688–696. doi:[10.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acscentsci.1c00200)  [1021/acscentsci.1c00200](https://doi.org/10.1021/acscentsci.1c00200) .
- <span id="page-22-12"></span>71. Höltje JV, Mirelman D, Sharon N, Schwarz U. Novel type of murein transglycosylase in escherichia coli. J Bacteriol. [1975](#page-7-5);124(3):1067–1076. doi:[10.1128/jb.124.](https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.124.3.1067-1076.1975) [3.1067-1076.1975](https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.124.3.1067-1076.1975) .
- <span id="page-22-13"></span>72. Dik DA, Marous DR, Fisher JF, Mobashery S. Lytic transglycosylases: concinnity in concision of the bacterial cell wall. Crit Rev Biochem Mol Biol. [2017;](#page-7-6)52 (5):503–542. doi:[10.1080/10409238.2017.1337705 .](https://doi.org/10.1080/10409238.2017.1337705)
- <span id="page-22-14"></span>73. Kwan JMC, Liang Y, Ng EWL, Sviriaeva E, Li C, Zhao Y, Zhang X-L, Liu X-W, Wong SH, Qiao Y, et al. In silico MS/MS prediction for peptidoglycan profiling uncovers novel anti-inflammatory peptidoglycan fragments of the gut microbiota. Chem Sci. [2024](#page-7-7);15 (5):1846–1859. doi:[10.1039/D3SC05819K](https://doi.org/10.1039/D3SC05819K) .
- <span id="page-22-15"></span>74. Gilmore MC, Cava F. Peptidoglycan recycling mediated by an ABC transporter in the plant pathogen agrobacterium tumefaciens. Nat Commun. [2022](#page-9-1);13(1):7927. doi:[10.1038/s41467-022-35607-5](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-35607-5) .
- <span id="page-22-16"></span>75. Cheng Q, Park JT. Substrate specificity of the AmpG permease required for recycling of cell wall anhydro-muropeptides. J Bacteriol. [2002](#page-9-1);184 (23):6434–6436. doi:[10.1128/JB.184.23.6434-6436.2002 .](https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.184.23.6434-6436.2002)
- <span id="page-22-17"></span>76. Borisova M, Gaupp R, Duckworth A, Schneider A, Dalügge D, Mühleck M, Deubel D, Unsleber S, Yu W, Muth G, et al. Peptidoglycan recycling in gram-positive bacteria is crucial for survival in stationary phase. mBio. [2016](#page-9-2);7(5). doi:[10.1128/mbio.00923-16 .](https://doi.org/10.1128/mbio.00923-16)
- <span id="page-22-18"></span>77. Goodell EW, Schwarz U. Release of cell wall peptides into culture medium by exponentially growing escherichia coli. J Bacteriol. [1985](#page-9-3);162(1):391–397. doi:[10.](https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.162.1.391-397.1985)  [1128/jb.162.1.391-397.1985 .](https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.162.1.391-397.1985)
- <span id="page-22-19"></span>78. Park JT, Uehara T. How bacteria consume their own exoskeletons (turnover and recycling of cell wall

peptidogly can). Microbiol Mol Biol Rev. [2008](#page-9-2);72 (2):211–227. doi:[10.1128/MMBR.00027-07](https://doi.org/10.1128/MMBR.00027-07) .

- <span id="page-23-0"></span>79. Luker KE, Collier JL, Kolodziej EW, Marshall GR, Goldman WE. Bordetella pertussis tracheal cytotoxin and other muramyl peptides: distinct structure-activity relationships for respiratory epithelial cytopathology. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. [1993](#page-9-4);90(6):2365–2369. doi:[10.1073/pnas.90.6.2365](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.90.6.2365) .
- <span id="page-23-1"></span>80. Knilans KJ, Hackett KT, Anderson JE, Weng C, Dillard JP, Duncan JA. Neisseria gonorrhoeae lytic transglycosylases LtgA and LtgD reduce host innate immune signaling through TLR2 and NOD2. ACS Infect Dis. [2017;](#page-9-5)3(9):624–633. doi:[10.1021/acsinfecdis.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsinfecdis.6b00088)  6b00088.
- <span id="page-23-2"></span>81. Bharadwaj R, Lusi CF, Mashayekh S, Nagar A, Subbarao M, Kane GI, Wodzanowski KA, Brown AR, Okuda K, Monahan A, et al. Methotrexate suppresses psoriatic skin inflammation by inhibiting muropeptide transporter SLC46A2 activity. Immun. [2023;](#page-9-6)56(5):998– 1012.e8. doi:[10.1016/j.immuni.2023.04.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2023.04.001) .
- <span id="page-23-3"></span>82. Uhlén M, Fagerberg L, Hallström BM, Lindskog C, Oksvold P, Mardinoglu A, Sivertsson Å, Kampf C, Sjöstedt E, Asplund A, et al. Tissue-based map of the human proteome. Science. [2015](#page-9-7);347(6220):1260419. doi:[10.1126/science.1260419](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1260419) .
- <span id="page-23-4"></span>83. Paik D, Monahan A, Caffrey DR, Elling R, Goldman WE, Silverman N. SLC46 family transporters facilitate cytosolic innate immune recognition of monomeric peptidoglycans. J Immunol. [2017](#page-9-7);199 (1):263–270. doi:[10.4049/jimmunol.1600409](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1600409) .
- <span id="page-23-5"></span>84. Lenz JD, Stohl EA, Robertson RM, Hackett KT, Fisher K, Xiong K, Lee M, Hesek D, Mobashery S, Seifert HS, et al. Amidase activity of AmiC controls cell separation and stem peptide release and is enhanced by NlpD in neisseria gonorrhoeae. J Biol Chem. [2016](#page-9-8);291(20):10916–10933. doi:[10.1074/jbc.](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M116.715573)  [M116.715573](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M116.715573).
- <span id="page-23-6"></span>85. Heidrich C, Templin MF, Ursinus A, Merdanovic M, Berger J, Schwarz H, De Pedro MA, Höltje J-V. Involvement of N-acetylmuramyl-L-alanine amidases in cell separation and antibiotic-induced autolysis of Escherichia coli. Mol Microbiol. [2001](#page-9-8);41(1):167–178. doi:[10.1046/j.1365-2958.2001.02499.x](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2958.2001.02499.x) .
- <span id="page-23-7"></span>86. Sinha RK, Rosenthal RS. Release of soluble peptidoglycan from growing conococci: demonstration of anhydro-muramyl-containing fragments. Infect Immun. [1980](#page-9-9);29(3):914–925. doi:[10.1128/iai.29.3.914-](https://doi.org/10.1128/iai.29.3.914-925.1980)  [925.1980](https://doi.org/10.1128/iai.29.3.914-925.1980).
- <span id="page-23-8"></span>87. Harris-Jones TN, Chan JM, Hackett KT, Weyand NJ, Schaub RE, Dillard JP. Peptidoglycan fragment release and NOD activation by commensal neisseria species from humans and other animals. Infect Immun. [2024;](#page-9-10)92(5):e00004–24. doi:[10.1128/iai.00004-24](https://doi.org/10.1128/iai.00004-24) .
- <span id="page-23-9"></span>88. Park JT. Turnover and recycling of the murein sacculus in oligopeptide permease-negative strains of escherichia coli: indirect evidence for an alternative permease

system and for a monolayered sacculus. J Bacteriol. [1993](#page-9-11);175(1):7–11. doi:[10.1128/jb.175.1.7-11.1993 .](https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.175.1.7-11.1993)

- <span id="page-23-10"></span>89. Jacobs C, Huang LJ, Bartowsky E, Normark S, Park JT. Bacterial cell wall recycling provides cytosolic muropeptides as effectors for beta-lactamase induction. Embo J. [1994](#page-9-11);13(19):4684–4694. doi:[10.1002/j.1460-](https://doi.org/10.1002/j.1460-2075.1994.tb06792.x)  [2075.1994.tb06792.x](https://doi.org/10.1002/j.1460-2075.1994.tb06792.x) .
- <span id="page-23-11"></span>90. Egan AJF, Errington J, Vollmer W. Regulation of peptidoglycan synthesis and remodelling. Nat Rev Microbiol. [2020;](#page-10-0)18(8):446–460. doi:[10.1038/s41579-](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41579-020-0366-3)  [020-0366-3](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41579-020-0366-3).
- <span id="page-23-12"></span>91. Liuu S, Nepelska M, Pfister H, Gamelas Magalhaes J, Chevalier G, Strozzi F, Billerey C, Maresca M, Nicoletti C, Di Pasquale E, et al. Identification of a muropeptide precursor transporter from gut microbiota and its role in preventing intestinal inflammation. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. [2023](#page-10-1);120(52):e2306863120. doi:[10.1073/pnas.2306863120](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2306863120) .
- <span id="page-23-13"></span>92. Speece AJ. Histochemical distribution of lysozyme activity in organs of normal mice and radiation chimeras. J Histochem Cytochem. [1964](#page-10-2);12(5):384–391. doi:[10.1177/12.5.384](https://doi.org/10.1177/12.5.384) .
- 93. Peeters T, Vantrappen G. The paneth cell: a source of intestinal lysozyme. Gut. 1975;16(7):553–558. doi:[10.](https://doi.org/10.1136/gut.16.7.553) [1136/gut.16.7.553 .](https://doi.org/10.1136/gut.16.7.553)
- <span id="page-23-14"></span>94. Cross M, Mangelsdorf I, Wedel A, Renkawitz R. Mouse lysozyme M gene: isolation, characterization, and expression studies. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. [1988](#page-10-3);85 (17):6232–6236. doi:[10.1073/pnas.85.17.6232](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.85.17.6232) .
- <span id="page-23-15"></span>95. Cortopaasi GA, Wilson AC. Recent origin of the P lysozyme gene in mice. Nucl Acids Res. [1990;](#page-10-4)18 (7):1911. doi:[10.1093/nar/18.7.1911](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/18.7.1911) .
- <span id="page-23-16"></span>96. Zhang Q, Pan Y, Zeng B, Zheng X, Wang H, Shen X, Li H, Jiang Q, Zhao J, Meng Z-X, et al. Intestinal lysozyme liberates Nod1 ligands from microbes to direct insulin trafficking in pancreatic beta cells. Cell Res. [2019](#page-10-5);29(7):516–532. doi:[10.1038/s41422-019-](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41422-019-0190-3)  [0190-3](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41422-019-0190-3).
- <span id="page-23-17"></span>97. Wang H, Zhang X, Zuo Z, Zhang Q, Pan Y, Zeng B, Li W, Wei H, Liu Z. Rip2 is required for Nod2-mediated lysozyme sorting in paneth cells. The J Immunol. [2017;](#page-10-6)198(9):3729–3736. doi:[10.4049/jimmu](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1601583) [nol.1601583 .](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1601583)
- <span id="page-23-18"></span>98. Rescigno M, Urbano M, Valzasina B, Francolini M, Rotta G, Bonasio R, Granucci F, Kraehenbuhl J-P, Ricciardi-Castagnoli P. Dendritic cells express tight junction proteins and penetrate gut epithelial monolayers to sample bacteria. Nat Immunol. [2001;](#page-10-7)2 (4):361–367. doi:[10.1038/86373 .](https://doi.org/10.1038/86373)
- <span id="page-23-19"></span>99. Rios D, Wood MB, Li J, Chassaing B, Gewirtz AT, Williams IR. Antigen sampling by intestinal M cells is the principal pathway initiating mucosal IgA production to commensal enteric bacteria. Mucosal Immunol. [2016](#page-10-7);9(4):907–916. doi:[10.1038/mi.2015.121](https://doi.org/10.1038/mi.2015.121) .
- <span id="page-23-20"></span>100. Popescu NI, Cochran J, Duggan E, Kluza J, Silasi R, Coggeshall KM. Internalization of polymeric bacterial peptidoglycan occurs through either actin or dynamin

dependent pathways. Microorgan. [2022;](#page-10-8)10(3):552. doi:[10.3390/microorganisms10030552](https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms10030552) .

- <span id="page-24-0"></span>101. Davis KM, Nakamura S, Weiser JN. Nod2 sensing of lysozyme-digested peptidoglycan promotes macrophage recruitment and clearance of S. pneumoniae colonization in mice. J Clin Invest. [2011](#page-10-9);121 (9):3666–3676. doi:[10.1172/JCI57761 .](https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI57761)
- <span id="page-24-1"></span>102. Boneca IG, Dussurget O, Cabanes D, Nahori M-A, Sousa S, Lecuit M, Psylinakis E, Bouriotis V, Hugot J-P, Giovannini M, et al. A critical role for peptidoglycan N-deacetylation in listeria evasion from the host innate immune system. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. [2007;](#page-10-10)104(3):997–1002. doi:[10.1073/pnas.](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0609672104)  [0609672104](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0609672104).
- <span id="page-24-2"></span>103. Shimada T, Park BG, Wolf AJ, Brikos C, Goodridge HS, Becker CA, Reyes CN, Miao EA, Aderem A, Götz F, et al. Staphylococcus aureus evades lysozyme-based peptidoglycan digestion that links phagocytosis, inflammasome activation, and IL-1β secretion. Cell Host & Microbe. [2010;](#page-10-10)7(1):38. doi:[10.1016/j.chom.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2009.12.008)  [2009.12.008 .](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2009.12.008)
- <span id="page-24-3"></span>104. Laaberki M-H, Pfeffer J, Clarke AJ, Dworkin J. O-Acetylation of peptidoglycan is required for proper cell separation and S-layer anchoring in bacillus anthracis. J Biol Chem. [2011](#page-11-0);286(7):5278–5288. doi:[10.](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M110.183236)  [1074/jbc.M110.183236](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M110.183236) .
- <span id="page-24-4"></span>105. Sychantha D, Brott AS, Jones CS, Clarke AJ. Mechanistic pathways for peptidoglycan O-Acetylation and De-O-Acetylation. Front Microbiol. [2018](#page-11-1);9. doi:[10.3389/fmicb.2018.02332 .](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.02332)
- <span id="page-24-5"></span>106. Robinson D, Stirling JL. N -Acetyl-β-glucosaminidases in human spleen. Biochem J. [1968;](#page-11-2)107(3):321–327. doi:[10.1042/bj1070321 .](https://doi.org/10.1042/bj1070321)
- 107. Dance N, Price RG, Robinson D, Stirling JL. βgalactosidase, β-glucosidase and N-acetyl-βglucosaminidase in human kidney. Clinica (Rome) Acta. 1969;24(2):189–197. doi:[10.1016/0009-8981\(69\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/0009-8981(69)90311-8)  [90311-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0009-8981(69)90311-8).
- <span id="page-24-6"></span>108. Bourbouze R, Raffi F, Dameron G, Hali-Miraftab H, Loko F, Vilde J-L. N-acetyl-β-D-Glucosaminidase (NAG) isoenzymes release from human monocytederived macrophages in response to zymosan and human recombinant interferon-γ. Clinica (Rome) Acta. 1991;199(2):185–194. doi:[10.1016/0009-8981\(91\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/0009-8981(91)90110-X)  90110-X.
- <span id="page-24-7"></span>109. Pritchard DG, Dong S, Kirk MC, Cartee RT, Baker JR. LambdaSa1 and LambdaSa2 prophage lysins of streptococcus agalactiae. Appl Environ Microbiol. [2007](#page-11-3);73 (22):7150–7154. doi:[10.1128/AEM.01783-07 .](https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01783-07)
- <span id="page-24-8"></span>110. Gao J, Zhao X, Hu S, Huang Z, Hu M, Jin S, Lu B, Sun K, Wang Z, Fu J, et al. Gut microbial DLendopeptidase alleviates Crohn's disease via the NOD2 pathway. Cell Host & Microbe. [2022](#page-11-4);30 (10):1435–1449.e9. doi:[10.1016/j.chom.2022.08.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2022.08.002) .
- <span id="page-24-9"></span>111. Pedicord VA, Lockhart AAK, Rangan KJ, Craig JW, Loschko J, Rogoz A, Hang HC, Mucida D. Exploiting a host-commensal interaction to promote intestinal

barrier function and enteric pathogen tolerance. Sci Immunol. [2016](#page-11-5);1(3):eaai7732–eaai7732. doi:[10.1126/](https://doi.org/10.1126/sciimmunol.aai7732)  [sciimmunol.aai7732](https://doi.org/10.1126/sciimmunol.aai7732).

- <span id="page-24-12"></span>112. Kim B, Wang Y-C, Hespen CW, Espinosa J, Salje J, Rangan KJ, Oren DA, Kang JY, Pedicord VA, Hang HC, et al. Enterococcus faecium secreted antigen a generates muropeptides to enhance host immunity and limit bacterial pathogenesis. eLife. [2019;](#page-12-0)8:e45343. doi:[10.7554/eLife.45343](https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.45343) .
- <span id="page-24-10"></span>113. Teng F, Kawalec M, Weinstock GM, Hryniewicz W, Murray BE. An enterococcus faecium secreted antigen, SagA, exhibits broad-spectrum binding to extracellular matrix proteins and appears essential for *E. faecium*  growth. Infect Immun. 2003;71(9):5033–5041. doi:[10.](https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.71.9.5033-5041.2003) [1128/IAI.71.9.5033-5041.2003](https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.71.9.5033-5041.2003) .
- <span id="page-24-11"></span>114. Gao J, Wang L, Jiang J, Xu Q, Zeng N, Lu B, Yuan P, Sun K, Zhou H, He X, et al. A probiotic bi-functional peptidoglycan hydrolase sheds NOD2 ligands to regulate gut homeostasis in female mice. Nat Commun. [2023](#page-11-6);14(1):3338. doi:[10.1038/s41467-023-38950-3 .](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-38950-3)
- <span id="page-24-13"></span>115. Rangan KJ, Pedicord VA, Wang Y-C, Kim B, Lu Y, Shaham S, Mucida D, Hang HC. A secreted bacterial peptidoglycan hydrolase enhances tolerance to enteric pathogens. Science. [2016](#page-12-1);353(6306):1434–1437. doi:[10.](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaf3552) [1126/science.aaf3552](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaf3552) .
- <span id="page-24-14"></span>116. Klupt S, Fam KT, Zhang X, Chodisetti PK, Mehmood A, Boyd T, Grotjahn D, Park D, Hang HC. Secreted antigen a peptidoglycan hydrolase is essential for enterococcus faecium cell separation and priming of immune checkpoint inhibitor therapy. eLife. [2024](#page-12-2);13. doi:[10.7554/eLife.95297](https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.95297) .
- <span id="page-24-15"></span>117. Jang KK, Heaney T, London M, Ding Y, Putzel G, Yeung F, Ercelen D, Chen YH, Axelrad J, Gurunathan S, et al. Antimicrobial overproduction sustains intestinal inflammation by inhibiting enterococcus colonization. bioRxiv. [2023](#page-12-3);2023(1.29.526128). doi:[10.1101/2023.01.29.526128 .](https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.01.29.526128)
- <span id="page-24-16"></span>118. Ogura Y, Bonen DK, Inohara N, Nicolaes DL, Chen FF, Ramos R, Britton H, Moran T, Karaliuskas R, Duerr RH, et al. A frameshift mutation in NOD2 associated with susceptibility to Crohn's disease. Nature. [2001](#page-12-4);411:603–606. doi:[10.1038/35079114](https://doi.org/10.1038/35079114) .
- <span id="page-24-17"></span>119. Hugot JP, Chamaillard M, Zouali H, Lesage S, Cézard JP, Belaiche J, Almer S, Tysk C, O'Morain CA, Gassull M, et al. Association of NOD2 leucine-rich repeat variants with susceptibility to Crohn's disease. Nature. [2001;](#page-12-4)411:599–603. doi:[10.1038/35079107 .](https://doi.org/10.1038/35079107)
- <span id="page-24-18"></span>120. Griffin ME, Espinosa J, Becker JL, Luo J-D, Carroll TS, Jha JK, Fanger GR, Hang HC. Enterococcus peptidoglycan remodeling promotes checkpoint inhibitor cancer immunotherapy. Science. [2021](#page-12-5);373 (6558):1040–1046. doi:[10.1126/science.abc9113](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abc9113) .
- 121. Griffin ME, Klupt S, Espinosa J, Hang HC. Peptidoglycan NlpC/P60 peptidases in bacterial physiology and host interactions. Cell Chem Biol. 2023;30 (5):436–456. doi:[10.1016/j.chembiol.2022.11.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chembiol.2022.11.001) .
- 122. Daillère R, Vétizou M, Waldschmitt N, Yamazaki T, Isnard C, Poirier-Colame V, Duong CM, Flament C, Lepage P, Roberti M, et al. Enterococcus hirae and barnesiella intestinihominis facilitate cyclophosphamide-induced therapeutic immunomodulatory effects. Immun. 2016;45(4):931–943. doi:[10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2016.09.009)  [1016/j.immuni.2016.09.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2016.09.009) .
- 123. Fluckiger A, Daillère R, Sassi M, Sixt BS, Liu P, Loos F, Richard C, Rabu C, Alou MT, Goubet A-G, et al. Crossreactivity between tumor MHC Class I–restricted antigens and an enterococcal bacteriophage. Science. 2020;369(6506):936–942. doi:[10.1126/science.aax0701](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aax0701) .
- <span id="page-25-0"></span>124. Goubet A-G, Wheeler R, Fluckiger A, Qu B, Lemaître F, Iribarren K, Mondragón L, Tidjani Alou M, Pizzato E, Durand S, et al. Multifaceted modes of action of the anticancer probiotic enterococcus hirae. Cell Death Differ. 2021;28(7):2276–2295. doi:[10.1038/s41418-021-](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41418-021-00753-8)  [00753-8](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41418-021-00753-8).
- <span id="page-25-1"></span>125. Wang Z-M, Li X, Cocklin RR, Wang M, Wang M, Fukase K, Inamura S, Kusumoto S, Gupta D, Dziarski R, et al. Human peptidoglycan recognition protein-L is an N-Acetylmuramoyl-L-alanine amidase\*. J Biol Chem. [2003](#page-12-6);278(49):49044–49052. doi:[10.1074/](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M307758200)  [jbc.M307758200](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M307758200).
- <span id="page-25-2"></span>126. Macho Fernandez E, Valenti V, Rockel C, Hermann C, Pot B, Boneca IG, Grangette C. Anti-inflammatory capacity of selected lactobacilli in experimental colitis is driven by NOD2-mediated recognition of a specific peptidoglycan-derived muropeptide. Gut. [2011](#page-13-0);60 (8):1050–1059. doi:[10.1136/gut.2010.232918 .](https://doi.org/10.1136/gut.2010.232918)
- <span id="page-25-3"></span>127. Magalhaes JG, Philpott DJ, Nahori M-A, Jéhanno M, Fritz J, Bourhis L, Viala J, Hugot J-P, Giovannini M, Bertin J, et al. Murine Nod1 but not its human orthologue mediates innate immune detection of tracheal cytotoxin. EMBO Rep. [2005](#page-13-1);6(12):1201–1207. doi:[10.](https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.embor.7400552)  [1038/sj.embor.7400552](https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.embor.7400552) .
- <span id="page-25-4"></span>128. Zarantonelli ML, Skoczynska A, Antignac A, El Ghachi M, Deghmane A-E, Szatanik M, Mulet C, Werts C, Peduto L, d'Andon M, et al. Penicillin resistance compromises Nod1-dependent proinflammatory activity and virulence fitness of neisseria meningitidis. Cell Host & Microbe. [2013](#page-13-1);13(6):735–745. doi:[10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2013.04.016)  [j.chom.2013.04.016](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2013.04.016) .
- <span id="page-25-5"></span>129. Clarke TB, Davis KM, Lysenko ES, Zhou AY, Yu Y, Weiser JN. Recognition of peptidoglycan from the microbiota by Nod1 enhances systemic innate immunity. Nat Med. [2010](#page-13-2);16(2):228–231. doi:[10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/nm.2087)  nm.2087.
- <span id="page-25-12"></span>130. Huang Z, Wang J, Xu X, Wang H, Qiao Y, Chu WC, Xu S, Chai L, Cottier F, Pavelka N, et al. Antibody neutralization of microbiota-derived circulating peptidoglycan dampens inflammation and ameliorates autoimmunity. Nat Microbiol. [2019](#page-15-1);4(5):766–773. doi:[10.1038/s41564-019-0381-1 .](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-019-0381-1)
- <span id="page-25-6"></span>131. Gabanyi I, Lepousez G, Wheeler R, Vieites-Prado A, Nissant A, Chevalier G, Wagner S, Moigneu C, Dulauroy S, Hicham S, et al. Bacterial sensing via

neuronal Nod2 regulates appetite and body temperature. Science. [2022;](#page-17-0)376(6590):eabj3986. doi:[10.](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abj3986) [1126/science.abj3986 .](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abj3986)

- <span id="page-25-7"></span>132. Horowitz A, Chanez-Paredes SD, Haest X, Turner JR. Paracellular permeability and tight junction regulation in gut health and disease. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol. [2023;](#page-13-3)20(7):417–432. doi:[10.1038/s41575-023-](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41575-023-00766-3) [00766-3](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41575-023-00766-3) .
- <span id="page-25-8"></span>133. Hayes CL, Dong J, Galipeau HJ, Jury J, McCarville J, Huang X, Wang X-Y, Naidoo A, Anbazhagan AN, Libertucci J, et al. Commensal microbiota induces colonic barrier structure and functions that contribute to homeostasis. Sci Rep. [2018;](#page-13-4)8(1):14184. doi:[10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-32366-6)  [s41598-018-32366-6 .](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-32366-6)
- <span id="page-25-9"></span>134. Vavricka SR, Musch MW, Chang JE, Nakagawa Y, Phanvijhitsiri K, Waypa TS, Merlin D, Schneewind O, Chang EB. hPept1 transports muramyl dipeptide, activating NF-κB and stimulating IL-8 secretion in human colonic Caco2/bbe cells. Gastroenterol. [2004](#page-14-0);127 (5):1401–1409. doi:[10.1053/j.gastro.2004.07.024](https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2004.07.024) .
- 135. Ismair MG, Vavricka SR, Kullak-Ublick GA, Fried M, Mengin-Lecreulx D, Girardin SE. hPept1 selectively transports muramyl dipeptide but not Nod1-activating muramyl peptides. Can J Physiol Pharmacol. 2006;84 (12):1313–1319. doi:[10.1139/y06-076](https://doi.org/10.1139/y06-076) .
- 136. Swaan PW, Bensman T, Bahadduri PM, Hall MW, Sarkar A, Bao S, Khantwal CM, Ekins S, Knoell DL. Bacterial peptide recognition and immune activation facilitated by human peptide transporter PEPT2. Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol. 2008;39(5):536–542. doi:[10.](https://doi.org/10.1165/rcmb.2008-0059OC)  [1165/rcmb.2008-0059OC](https://doi.org/10.1165/rcmb.2008-0059OC) .
- 137. Marina-García N, Franchi L, Kim Y-G, Miller D, McDonald C, Boons G-J, Núñez G. Pannexin-1-mediated intracellular delivery of muramyl dipeptide induces caspase-1 activation via cryopyrin/NLRP3 independently of Nod2. J Immunol. 2008;180 (6):4050–4057. doi:[10.4049/jimmunol.180.6.4050](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.180.6.4050) .
- 138. Dalmasso G, Nguyen HTT, Charrier-Hisamuddin L, Yan Y, Laroui H, Demoulin B, Sitaraman SV, Merlin D. PepT1 mediates transport of the proinflammatory bacterial tripeptide l-ala-γ-d-glu-meso-dap in intestinal epithelial cells. Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol. 2010;299(3):G687–G696. doi:[10.1152/](https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpgi.00527.2009)  [ajpgi.00527.2009](https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpgi.00527.2009).
- 139. Smith DE, Clémençon B, Hediger MA. Proton-coupled oligopeptide transporter family SLC15: physiological, pharmacological and pathological implications. Mol Aspects Med. 2013;34(2–3):323–336. doi:[10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mam.2012.11.003)  [mam.2012.11.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mam.2012.11.003).
- <span id="page-25-10"></span>140. Nakamura N, Lill JR, Phung Q, Jiang Z, Bakalarski C, de Mazière A, Klumperman J, Schlatter M, Delamarre L, Mellman I, et al. Endosomes are specialized platforms for bacterial sensing and NOD2 signalling. Nature. 2014;509(7499):240–244. doi:[10.1038/nature13133](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature13133) .
- <span id="page-25-11"></span>141. Depauw P, Neyt C, Vanderwinkel E, Wattiez R, Falmagne P. Characterization of human serum N-Acetylmuramyl-L-alanine amidase purified by

affinity chromatography. Protein Expres Purif. [1995](#page-14-1);6 (3):371–378. doi:[10.1006/prep.1995.1049](https://doi.org/10.1006/prep.1995.1049) .

- <span id="page-26-0"></span>142. Mollner S, Braun V. Murein hydrolase (N-acetylmuramyl-l-alanine amidase) in human serum. Arch Microbiol. [1984](#page-14-2);140(2–3):171–177. doi:[10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00454921)  [BF00454921](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00454921).
- <span id="page-26-1"></span>143. Duerr CU, Salzman NH, Dupont A, Szabo A, Normark BH, Normark S, Locksley RM, Mellroth P, Hornef MW. Control of intestinal Nod2-mediated peptidoglycan recognition by epithelium-associated lymphocytes. Mucosal Immunol. [2011;](#page-14-3)4(3):325–334. doi:[10.1038/mi.2010.71 .](https://doi.org/10.1038/mi.2010.71)
- <span id="page-26-2"></span>144. Wang H, Gupta D, Li X, Dziarski R. Peptidoglycan recognition protein 2 (N-acetylmuramoyl-L-Ala amidase) is induced in keratinocytes by bacteria through the p38 kinase pathway. Infect Immun. [2005](#page-14-3);73 (11):7216–7225. doi:[10.1128/IAI.73.11.7216-7225.2005](https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.73.11.7216-7225.2005) .
- <span id="page-26-3"></span>145. Valinger Z, Ladesić B, Hrsak I, Tomasić J. Relationship of metabolism and immunostimulating activity of peptidoglycan monomer in mice after three different routes of administration. Int J Immunopharmacol. [1987;](#page-15-2)9 (3):325–332. doi:[10.1016/0192-0561\(87\)90057-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0192-0561(87)90057-9) .
- <span id="page-26-4"></span>146. Hampe J, Cuthbert A, Croucher PJ, Mirza MM, Mascheretti S, Fisher S, Frenzel H, King K, Hasselmeyer A, MacPherson AJ, et al. Association between insertion mutation in NOD2 gene and Crohn's disease in German and British populations. Lancet. [2001;](#page-15-3)357:1925–1928. doi:[10.1016/S0140-](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(00)05063-7)  [6736\(00\)05063-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(00)05063-7) .
- <span id="page-26-5"></span>147. Yang Z, Fuss IJ, Watanabe T, Asano N, Davey MP, Rosenbaum JT, Strober W, Kitani A. NOD2 transgenic mice exhibit enhanced MDP-mediated down-regulation of TLR2 responses and resistance to colitis induction. Gastroenterol. [2007](#page-15-4);133 (5):1510–1521. doi:[10.1053/j.gastro.2007.07.025 .](https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2007.07.025)
- 148. Watanabe T, Asano N, Murray PJ, Ozato K, Tailor P, Fuss IJ, Kitani A, Strober W. Muramyl dipeptide activation of nucleotide-binding oligomerization domain 2 protects mice from experimental colitis. J Clin Invest. 2008;118:545–559. doi:[10.1172/JCI33145](https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI33145) .
- <span id="page-26-6"></span>149. Kim H, Zhao Q, Zheng H, Li X, Zhang T, Ma X. A novel crosstalk between TLR4- and NOD2-mediated signaling in the regulation of intestinal inflammation. Sci Rep. 2015;5(1):12018. doi:[10.1038/srep12018](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep12018) .
- <span id="page-26-7"></span>150. Zanello G, Goethel A, Rouquier S, Prescott D, Robertson SJ, Maisonneuve C, Streutker C, Philpott DJ, Croitoru K. The cytosolic microbial receptor Nod2 regulates small intestinal crypt damage and epithelial regeneration following T cell-induced enteropathy. The J Immunol. [2016;](#page-15-5)197(1):345–355. doi:[10.](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1600185)  [4049/jimmunol.1600185](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1600185) .
- <span id="page-26-8"></span>151. Wouters CH, Maes A, Foley KP, Bertin J, Rose CD. Blau syndrome, the prototypic auto-inflammatory granulomatous disease. Pediatr Rheumatol Online J. [2014](#page-15-6);12 (1):33. doi:[10.1186/1546-0096-12-33 .](https://doi.org/10.1186/1546-0096-12-33)
- <span id="page-26-9"></span>152. Chamaillard M, Philpott D, Girardin SE, Zouali H, Lesage S, Chareyre F, Bui TH, Giovannini M,

Zaehringer U, Penard-Lacronique V, et al. Gene-environment interaction modulated by allelic heterogeneity in inflammatory diseases. Proc Natl Acad Sci. [2003;](#page-15-7)100 (6):3455–3460. doi:[10.1073/pnas.0530276100 .](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0530276100)

- <span id="page-26-10"></span>153. Dugan J, Griffiths E, Snow P, Rosenzweig H, Lee E, Brown B, Carr DW, Rose C, Rosenbaum J, Davey MP, et al. Blau syndrome–associated Nod2 mutation alters expression of full-length NOD2 and limits responses to Muramyl Dipeptide in knock-in mice. J Immunol. [2015](#page-15-8);194(1):349–357. doi:[10.4049/jimmunol.1402330](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1402330) .
- <span id="page-26-11"></span>154. Zhang J, Luo Y, Wu B, Huang X, Zhao M, Wu N, Miao J, Li J, Zhu L, Wu D, et al. Identifying functional dysregulation of NOD2 variant Q902K in patients with Yao syndrome. Arthritis Res Ther. [2024](#page-15-9);26(1):58. doi:[10.1186/s13075-024-03286-w .](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13075-024-03286-w)
- <span id="page-26-12"></span>155. Yao Q, Shen M, McDonald C, Lacbawan F, Moran R, Shen B. NOD2-associated autoinflammatory disease: a large cohort study. Rheumatol (Oxford). [2015;](#page-15-9)54 (10):1904–1912. doi:[10.1093/rheumatology/kev207](https://doi.org/10.1093/rheumatology/kev207) .
- <span id="page-26-13"></span>156. van der Heijden IM, Wilbrink B, Tchetverikov I, Schrijver IA, Schouls LM, Hazenberg MP, Breedveld FC, Tak PP. Presence of bacterial DNA and bacterial peptidoglycans in joints of patients with rheumatoid arthritis and other arthritides. Arthritis Rheum. [2000;](#page-15-10)43(3):593–598. doi:[10.1002/1529-0131\(200003\)](https://doi.org/10.1002/1529-0131(200003)43:3%3C593::AID-ANR16%3E3.0.CO;2-1)  [43:3<593::AID-ANR16>3.0.CO;2-1 .](https://doi.org/10.1002/1529-0131(200003)43:3%3C593::AID-ANR16%3E3.0.CO;2-1)
- <span id="page-26-14"></span>157. Schrijver IA, Melief MJ, Tak PP, Hazenberg MP, Laman JD. Antigen-presenting cells containing bacterial peptidoglycan in synovial tissues of rheumatoid arthritis patients coexpress costimulatory molecules and cytokines. Arthritis Rheum. [2000;](#page-15-10)43 (10):2160–2168. doi:[10.1002/1529-0131\(200010\)](https://doi.org/10.1002/1529-0131(200010)43:10%3C2160::AID-ANR3%3E3.0.CO;2-T)  [43:10<2160::AID-ANR3>3.0.CO;2-T .](https://doi.org/10.1002/1529-0131(200010)43:10%3C2160::AID-ANR3%3E3.0.CO;2-T)
- <span id="page-26-15"></span>158. Rosenzweig HL, Jann MM, Glant TT, Martin TM, Planck SR, van Eden W, van Kooten PJS, Flavell RA, Kobayashi KS, Rosenbaum JT, et al. Activation of nucleotide oligomerization domain 2 exacerbates a murine model of proteoglycan-induced arthritis. J Leukoc Biol. [2009](#page-15-11);85(4):711–718. doi:[10.1189/jlb.](https://doi.org/10.1189/jlb.0808478)  [0808478](https://doi.org/10.1189/jlb.0808478).
- <span id="page-26-16"></span>159. Rosenzweig HL, Jann MJ, Vance EE, Planck SR, Rosenbaum JT, Davey MP. Nucleotide-binding oligomerization domain 2 and toll-like receptor 2 function independently in a murine model of arthritis triggered by intraarticular peptidoglycan. Arthritis Rheum. [2010](#page-15-11);62(4):1051–1059. doi:[10.1002/art.27335](https://doi.org/10.1002/art.27335) .
- <span id="page-26-17"></span>160. Saha S, Qi J, Wang S, Wang M, Li X, Kim Y-G, Núñez G, Gupta D, Dziarski R. PGLYRP-2 and Nod2 are both required for peptidoglycan-induced arthritis and local inflammation. Cell Host Microbe. [2009;](#page-15-12)5 (2):137–150. doi:[10.1016/j.chom.2008.12.010 .](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2008.12.010)
- <span id="page-26-18"></span>161. Goldman SM, Kamel F, Ross GW, Jewell SA, Marras C, Hoppin JA, Umbach DM, Bhudhikanok GS, Meng C, Korell M, et al. Peptidoglycan recognition protein genes and risk of Parkinson's disease. Mov Disord. [2014](#page-16-0);29:1171–1180. doi:[10.1002/mds.25895 .](https://doi.org/10.1002/mds.25895)
- <span id="page-27-0"></span>162. Bialecka M, Kurzawski M, Klodowska-Duda G, Opala G, Juzwiak S, Kurzawski G, Tan EK, Drozdzik M. CARD15 variants in patients with sporadic Parkinson's disease. Neurosci Res. [2007;](#page-16-0)57:473–476. doi:[10.1016/j.neures.2006.11.012](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neures.2006.11.012) .
- <span id="page-27-1"></span>163. Ma Q, An X, Li Z, Zhang H, Huang W, Cai L, Hu P, Lin Q, Tzeng C-M. P268S in NOD2 associates with susceptibility to Parkinson's disease in Chinese population. Behav Brain Funct. [2013;](#page-16-0)9(1):19. doi:[10.1186/](https://doi.org/10.1186/1744-9081-9-19)  [1744-9081-9-19 .](https://doi.org/10.1186/1744-9081-9-19)
- <span id="page-27-2"></span>164. Appenzeller S, Thier S, Papengut F, Klein C, Hagenah J, Kasten M, Berg D, Srulijes K, Gasser T, Schreiber S, et al. No association between NOD2 variants and Parkinson's disease. Mov Disord. [2012;](#page-16-1)27:1191–1192. doi:[10.1002/mds.25059](https://doi.org/10.1002/mds.25059) .
- <span id="page-27-3"></span>165. Cheng L, Chen L, Wei X, Wang Y, Ren Z, Zeng S, Zhang X, Wen H, Gao C, Liu H, et al. NOD2 promotes dopaminergic degeneration regulated by NADPH oxidase 2 in 6-hydroxydopamine model of Parkinson's disease. J Neuroinflammat. [2018;](#page-16-2)15(1):243. doi:[10.](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12974-018-1289-z)  [1186/s12974-018-1289-z](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12974-018-1289-z) .
- <span id="page-27-4"></span>166. Fani Maleki A, Cisbani G, Plante M, Préfontaine P, Laflamme N, Gosselin J, Rivest S. Muramyl dipeptidemediated immunomodulation on monocyte subsets exerts therapeutic effects in a mouse model of Alzheimer's disease. J Neuroinflammation, [2020](#page-16-3);17(1). doi:[10.1186/s12974-020-01893-3](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12974-020-01893-3) .
- <span id="page-27-5"></span>167. Oliveira J, Hamdani N, Etain B, Bennabi M, Boukouaci W, Amokrane K, Fortier C, Marzais F, Bengoufa D, Bellivier F, et al. Genetic association between a 'standing' variant of NOD2 and bipolar disorder. Immunobiol. [2014](#page-16-4);219(10):766–771. doi:[10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.imbio.2014.06.003)  [1016/j.imbio.2014.06.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.imbio.2014.06.003) .
- <span id="page-27-6"></span>168. van Schijndel JE, van Loo KMJ, van Zweeden M, Djurovic S, Andreassen OA, Hansen T, Werge T, Kallunki P, Pedersen JT, Martens GJM, et al. Threecohort targeted gene screening reveals non-synonymous TRKA polymorphism associated with schizophrenia. J Psychiatr Res. [2009](#page-16-4);43 (15):1195–1199. doi:[10.1016/j.jpsychires.2009.04.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychires.2009.04.006) .
- <span id="page-27-7"></span>169. Pabst MJ, Beranova-Giorgianni S, Krueger JM. Effects of muramyl peptides on macrophages, monokines, and sleep. Neuroimmunomodulat. [1999](#page-16-5);6(4):261–283. doi:[10.1159/000026384 .](https://doi.org/10.1159/000026384)
- <span id="page-27-8"></span>170. Arentsen T, Khalid R, Qian Y, Diaz Heijtz R. Sexdependent alterations in motor and anxiety-like behavior of aged bacterial peptidoglycan sensing molecule 2 knockout mice. Brain Behav Immun. [2018;](#page-16-6)67:345–354. doi:[10.1016/j.bbi.2017.09.014](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbi.2017.09.014) .
- <span id="page-27-9"></span>171. Humann J, Mann B, Gao G, Moresco P, Ramahi J, Loh L, Farr A, Hu Y, Durick-Eder K, Fillon S, et al. Bacterial peptidoglycan traverses the placenta to induce fetal neuroproliferation and aberrant postnatal behavior. Cell Host & Microbe. [2016;](#page-16-7)19(3):388–399. doi:[10.1016/j.chom.2016.02.009 .](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2016.02.009)
- <span id="page-27-10"></span>172. Tosoni G, Conti M, Diaz Heijtz R. Bacterial peptidoglycans as novel signaling molecules from microbiota to

brain. Curr Opin Pharmacol. [2019;](#page-16-8)48:107–113. doi:[10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coph.2019.08.003) [1016/j.coph.2019.08.003 .](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coph.2019.08.003)

- <span id="page-27-11"></span>173. Arentsen T, Qian Y, Gkotzis S, Femenia T, Wang T, Udekwu K, Forssberg H, Diaz Heijtz R. The bacterial peptidoglycan-sensing molecule Pglyrp2 modulates brain development and behavior. Mol Psychiatry. [2017](#page-16-9);22(2):257–266. doi:[10.1038/mp.2016.182](https://doi.org/10.1038/mp.2016.182) .
- <span id="page-27-12"></span>174. Troha K, Nagy P, Pivovar A, Lazzaro BP, Hartley PS, Buchon N. Nephrocytes remove microbiota-derived peptidoglycan from systemic circulation to maintain immune homeostasis. Immun. [2019;](#page-16-10)51(4):625–637.e3. doi:[10.1016/j.immuni.2019.08.020](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2019.08.020) .
- <span id="page-27-13"></span>175. Onuma T, Yamauchi T, Kosakamoto H, Kadoguchi H, Kuraishi T, Murakami T, Mori H, Miura M, Obata F, et al. Recognition of commensal bacterial peptidoglycans defines drosophila gut homeostasis and lifespan. PlOS Genet. [2023;](#page-16-11)19(4):e1010709. doi:[10.1371/journal.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1010709) [pgen.1010709](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1010709) .
- <span id="page-27-14"></span>176. Chang C-I, Chelliah Y, Borek D, Mengin-Lecreulx D, Deisenhofer J. Structure of tracheal cytotoxin in complex with a heterodimeric pattern-recognition receptor. Science. [2006;](#page-17-1)311(5768):1761–1764. doi:[10.1126/](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1123056)  [science.1123056 .](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1123056)
- <span id="page-27-15"></span>177. Neyen C, Poidevin M, Roussel A, Lemaitre B. Tissueand ligand-specific sensing of gram-negative infection in drosophila by PGRP-LC isoforms and PGRP-LE. J Immunol. [2012;](#page-17-1)189(4):1886–1897. doi:[10.4049/jimmu](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1201022) nol.1201022.
- <span id="page-27-16"></span>178. Fioriti F, Rifflet A, Gomperts Boneca I, Zugasti O, Royet J. Bacterial peptidoglycan serves as a critical modulator of the gut-immune-brain axis in drosophila. Brain, Behav Immun. [2024](#page-17-2);119:878–897. doi:[10.1016/j.bbi.2024.05.009 .](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbi.2024.05.009)
- <span id="page-27-17"></span>179. Hergott CB, Roche AM, Tamashiro E, Clarke TB, Bailey AG, Laughlin A, Bushman FD, Weiser JN. Peptidoglycan from the gut microbiota governs the lifespan of circulating phagocytes at homeostasis. Blood. [2016;](#page-17-3)127(20):2460–2471. doi:[10.1182/blood-2015-10-](https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2015-10-675173)  [675173](https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2015-10-675173).
- <span id="page-27-18"></span>180. Bouskra D, Brézillon C, Bérard M, Werts C, Varona R, Boneca IG, Eberl G. Lymphoid tissue genesis induced by commensals through NOD1 regulates intestinal homeostasis. Nature. [2008](#page-17-4);456(7221):507–510. doi:[10.](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature07450) [1038/nature07450](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature07450) .
- <span id="page-27-19"></span>181. Nigro G, Rossi R, Commere P-H, Jay P, Sansonetti PJ. The cytosolic bacterial peptidoglycan sensor Nod2 affords stem cell protection and links microbes to gut epithelial regeneration. Cell Host & Microbe. [2014](#page-17-5);15 (6):792–798. doi:[10.1016/j.chom.2014.05.003 .](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2014.05.003)
- <span id="page-27-20"></span>182. Tian D, Han M. Bacterial peptidoglycan muropeptides benefit mitochondrial homeostasis and animal physiology by acting as ATP synthase agonists. Dev Cell. [2022;](#page-17-6)57(3):361–372.e5. doi:[10.1016/j.devcel.2021.12.016](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2021.12.016) .
- <span id="page-27-21"></span>183. Hao F, Liu H, Qi B. Bacterial peptidoglycan acts as a digestive signal mediating host adaptation to diverse food resources in C. elegans. Nat Commun. [2024](#page-17-6);15 (1):3286. doi:[10.1038/s41467-024-47530-y](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-024-47530-y) .
- <span id="page-28-0"></span>184. Thaiss CA, Levy M, Korem T, Dohnalová L, Shapiro H, Jaitin DA, David E, Winter DR, Gury-BenAri M, Tatirovsky E, et al. Microbiota diurnal rhythmicity programs host transcriptome oscillations. Cell. [2016;](#page-18-0)167 (6):1495–1510.e12. doi:[10.1016/j.cell.2016.11.003 .](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2016.11.003)
- <span id="page-28-1"></span>185. Schrijver IA, Van Meurs M, Melief MJ, Wim Ang C, Buljevac D, Ravid R, Hazenberg MP, Laman JD, et al. Bacterial peptidoglycan and immune reactivity in the central nervous system in multiple sclerosis. Brain. [2001;](#page-18-1)124(8):1544–1554. doi:[10.1093/brain/124.](https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/124.8.1544)  8.1544.
- <span id="page-28-2"></span>186. Schwarzer M, Makki K, Storelli G, Machuca-Gayet I, Srutkova D, Hermanova P, Martino ME, Balmand S, Hudcovic T, Heddi A, et al. Lactobacillus plantarum strain maintains growth of infant mice during chronic undernutrition. Science. [2016;](#page-18-2)351(6275):854–857. doi:[10.1126/science.aad8588 .](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aad8588)
- <span id="page-28-3"></span>187. Schwarzer M, Gautam UK, Makki K, Lambert A, Brabec T, Joly A, Šrůtková D, Poinsot P, Novotná T, Geoffroy S, et al. Microbe-mediated intestinal NOD2 stimulation improves linear growth of undernourished infant mice. Science. [2023;](#page-18-3)379(6634):826–833. doi:[10.](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.ade9767)  [1126/science.ade9767 .](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.ade9767)
- <span id="page-28-4"></span>188. Ohlsson C, Nigro G, Boneca IG, Bäckhed F, Sansonetti P, Sjögren K. Regulation of bone mass by the gut

microbiota is dependent on NOD1 and NOD2 signaling. Cellular Immunology. [2017;](#page-18-4)317:55–58. doi:[10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cellimm.2017.05.003)  [1016/j.cellimm.2017.05.003 .](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cellimm.2017.05.003)

- <span id="page-28-5"></span>189. Cavallari JF, Fullerton MD, Duggan BM, Foley KP, Denou E, Smith BK, Desjardins EM, Henriksbo BD, Kim KJ, Tuinema BR, et al. Muramyl dipeptide-based postbiotics mitigate obesity-induced insulin resistance via IRF4. Cell Metab. [2017;](#page-18-5)25(5):1063–1074.e3. doi:[10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2017.03.021) [1016/j.cmet.2017.03.021](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2017.03.021) .
- <span id="page-28-6"></span>190. Chan KL, Tam TH, Boroumand P, Prescott D, Costford SR, Escalante NK, Fine N, Tu Y, Robertson SJ, Prabaharan D, et al. Circulating NOD1 activators and hematopoietic NOD1 contribute to metabolic inflammation and insulin resistance. Cell Rep. [2017](#page-18-5);18 (10):2415–2426. doi:[10.1016/j.celrep.2017.02.027](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2017.02.027) .
- <span id="page-28-7"></span>191. Bern M, Beniston R, Mesnage S. Towards an automated analysis of bacterial peptidoglycan structure. Anal Bioanal Chem. [2017](#page-19-10);409(2):551–560. doi:[10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00216-016-9857-5)  [s00216-016-9857-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00216-016-9857-5) .
- <span id="page-28-8"></span>192. Patel AV, Turner RD, Rifflet A, Acosta-Martin AE, Nichols A, Awad MM, Lyras D, Gomperts Boneca I, Bern M, Collins MO, et al. Pgfinder, a novel analysis pipeline for the consistent, reproducible, and high-resolution structural analysis of bacterial peptidoglycans. eLife. [2021](#page-19-10);10:e70597. doi:[10.7554/eLife.70597.](https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.70597)