

Silicon dynamics through the lens of soil-plant-animal interactions: perspectives for agricultural practices

Félix de Tombeur, Philippe Roux, Jean-Thomas Cornelis

To cite this version:

Félix de Tombeur, Philippe Roux, Jean-Thomas Cornelis. Silicon dynamics through the lens of soilplant-animal interactions: perspectives for agricultural practices. Plant and Soil, 2021, 467 (1-2), pp.1-28. 10.1007/s11104-021-05076-8. hal-04693933

HAL Id: hal-04693933 <https://hal.science/hal-04693933>

Submitted on 11 Sep 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Marschner Review

Silicon dynamics through the lens of soil-plant-animal interactions: perspectives for agricultural practices

Félix de Tombeur^{1*}, Philippe Roux¹, Jean-Thomas Cornelis²

¹TERRA Teaching and Research Centre, Gembloux Agro-Bio Tech, University of Liege, Gembloux, Belgium

- ² Faculty of Land and Food Systems, The University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada
- *Corresponding author

 ORCID numbers of the authors: Félix de Tombeur (0000-0002-6012-8458), Philippe Roux (0000-0002-0146-0928), Jean-Thomas Cornélis (0000-0003-0205-7345)

 $\begin{array}{c} 11 \\ 12 \end{array}$

Acknowledgements

- 13 We sincerely thank Hans Lambers for inviting us to write this review, and for providing helpful and constructive comments before the initial submission. J-T.C and F. dT were supported by 'Fonds National de la Recherche comments before the initial submission. J-T.C and F. dT were supported by 'Fonds National de la Recherche
- Scientifique' of Belgium (FNRS; Research Credit Grant for the project SiCliNG CDR J.0117.18).
- $\frac{16}{17}$

Declarations

 $Funding$

 J-T.C and F.dT were supported by ''Fonds National de la Recherche Scientifique'' of Belgium (FNRS; Research 20 Credit Grant for the project SiCliNG CDR J.0117.18)

- *Conflicts of interest/Competing interests*
- The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.
- *Availability of data and material*

24 All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article and its supplementary
25 information files. information files.

- *Code availability*
- Not applicable
- *Ethics approval*
- Not applicable
- *Consent to participate*
- Not applicable
- *Consent for publication*
- Not applicable
-
-
-
-
-
-

Abstract

Background

 Silicon (Si) is increasingly recognized as a pivotal beneficial element for plants in ecology and agricultural sciences, but soil-plant Si cycling has been considered mostly through the prism of abiotic mineral weathering, whilst numerous biological processes have been overlooked. Leveraging ecological processes that impact soil-plant Si cycling in cropping systems might improve crop Si status, but this remains hypothetical to date.

Scope

 We aim to comprehensively compile information about biotic and abiotic processes driving soil- plant Si cycling, and translate their potential beneficial effects in agricultural practices. We emphasize the fundamental need to consider the effects of agricultural practices on Si mobility in soil-plant systems when striving towards sustainable agroecosystems.

Conclusions

 Regarding soil abiotic factors, degree of soil weathering, mineralogy, texture and pH are key predictors of soil Si dynamics, while soil aggregation processes deserve further investigation. The biological processes associated with mycorrhizal associations, silicate-solubilizing bacteria, and soil macrofauna enhance Si mobility in soil-plant systems, while the effect of root exudates is likely, but deserves further studies. Large herbivores strongly affect soil-plant Si mobility by increasing plant-derived Si turnover rates and redistribution, thereby making integrated crop- livestock systems a promising perspective to improve crop Si status. Recycling crop residues and implementing suitable cover crops promotes Si mobility in soil-plant systems by leveraging the relatively high solubility of plant-derived Si-bearing minerals. The soil-root-microorganism interactions facilitated by cereal-legume intercropping systems also contributes to the mobility of Si in the soil-plant continuum. The capacity of certain agricultural practices to increase Si mobility in soil-plant systems stresses the need to understand complex soil-plant-animal interactions when aiming to enhance Si-based plant stress resistance in agroecosystems.

 Keywords: large herbivores; cover crops; intercropping; integrated crop-livestock systems; nutrient-acquisition strategies; sustainable agroecosystems; recycling crop residues; facilitation; biochar

Introduction

 Silicon (Si) is taken up by all vascular plants and contributes to a wide range of functions 71 (Epstein 1994). It is deposited as hydrated amorphous silica in plant tissues $(SiO₂.nH₂O;$ phytoliths) and helps mitigate several plant biotic and abiotic stresses (Ma 2004; Liang et al. 2007; Zhu and Gong 2014; Cooke and Leishman 2016; Hartley and DeGabriel 2016; Debona et al. 2017; Coskun et al. 2019), can be used as a cheap plant structural component (Raven 1983), and, eventually, increase plant primary productivity and crop yield (Savant et al. 1999; Liang et al. 2015b; Tubana et al. 2016; Xu et al. 2020). The essentiality of Si for plants remains debated and challenging to assess (Epstein 1994; Coskun et al. 2019), but overwhelming evidence show its importance for plant functioning. It is therefore increasingly considered a key element in plant ecology (Cooke and Leishman 2011; Katz 2019) and agriculture, especially considering the importance of Si-accumulating species in global food production (e.g., wheat, rice, sugarcane) (Fig. 1) (Meyer and Keeping 2000; Datnoff et al. 2001; Haynes 2014; Liang et al. 2015b; Tubana et al. 2016).

 Over the last 30 years, soil scientists and biogeochemists studied Si in a wide range of environments to explore the role of soil properties and vegetation on soil-plant Si cycling (Bartoli 1983; Alexandre et al. 1997; Lucas 2001; Derry et al. 2005; Sommer et al. 2006; Henriet et al. 2008b, a; Cornelis et al. 2010; Haynes 2014; Cornelis and Delvaux 2016; Meunier et al. 2018; Vander Linden and Delvaux 2019; de Tombeur et al. 2020c, a, b; Schaller et al. 2021). The processes and factors governing Si release rates from minerals are well documented (Sommer et al. 2006; Churchman and Lowe 2012; Haynes 2014; Cornelis and Delvaux 2016; Schaller et al. 2021), as are the influence of plant-induced mechanisms (bioweathering and Si uptake) on terrestrial Si cycling (Lucas et al. 1993; Alexandre et al. 1997; Street-Perrott and Barker 2008; Haynes 2017; de Tombeur et al. 2020a). However, how certain aspects of the soil-plant-animal continuum influence Si mobility in both natural systems and agroecosystems have been overlooked, especially the contribution of biotic factors. Yet, a detailed understanding of soil- plant-animal interactions influencing Si dynamics is paramount if we seek to benefit from Si-related plant functioning in agriculture (Acevedo et al. 2021).

97 Despite its ubiquity in soils $(2^{nd} \text{ most abundant element of the Earth's crust}$; Wedepohl 1995), long-term mineral weathering and subsequent desilication (i.e. Si loss by leaching) result in soils with low plant-available Si concentrations in many areas of the world (approximately 3500 million hectares, as estimated by the land area supporting desilicated soils: Ferralsol, Podzol, Arenosol, Lixisol, Plinthosol, Acrisol and Alisol; WRB 2015), particularly in tropical and subtropical regions where soils tend to be older and leaching intensity greater (Savant et al. 1999). In addition, agriculture further enhances desilication by harvesting and exporting large amount of Si (Desplanques et al. 2006; Struyf et al. 2010; Clymans et al. 2011; Guntzer et al.

 2012; Keller et al. 2012; Vandevenne et al. 2015; Carey and Fulweiler 2016; Tubana et al. 2016; Vander Linden and Delvaux 2019). These days, rock-derived Si fertilizers are routinely applied in some agroecosystems to counterbalance these detrimental effects (Savant et al. 1999; Datnoff et al. 2001; Haynes 2014; Liang et al. 2015b), and intensifying this practice might even be beneficial in less-weathered and desilicated environments (Tubana et al. 2016). However, such a practice relies on non-renewable resources, and low accessibility to common, rock-derived Si fertilizers in some tropical regions can jeopardize the Si benefits in these sensitive agroecosystems. Harnessing ecological processes that increase soil-plant Si mobility by promoting specific agricultural practices may improve the Si status of crops worldwide, while decreasing the need for non-renewable mineral fertilizers (Lambers et al. 2011; Richardson et al. 2011; Mariotte et al. 2018). Indeed, recent evidence demonstrates the positive impact of certain agricultural practices such as intercropping, cover crops or integrated crop-livestock systems, on nutrient management, especially for phosphorus (P) (Hallama et al. 2019; Tang et al. 2020; Carlos et al. 2020).

 In this review, we aim to compile knowledge about biotic and abiotic factors that govern Si mobility in soil-plant systems and translate their potential benefits in agricultural practices. We specifically emphasize how overlooked ecological/biological processes are pivotal when favoring Si biocycling in agroecosystems, and advocate the permanent need to nurture our understanding of complex interactions between physico-chemical and biological soil processes to develop sustainable agroecosystems.

Biotic and abiotic factors affecting soil-plant Si cycling

Physico-chemical processes controlling soil Si dynamics

 Over the past decade, many studies reported an increase in plant-available Si concentrations with increasing soil pH when multiple soils were considered together (Phonde et al. 2014; Miles et al. 2014; Puppe et al. 2015; Klotzbücher et al. 2018b; Meunier et al. 2018; Schaller et al. 2018, 2021; Haynes 2019; Caubet et al. 2020; de Tombeur et al. 2020b). Prolonged soil acidification during pedogenesis is associated with the loss of reactive Si-bearing minerals (through mineral dissolution and subsequent lixiviation of dissolved Si) and increased desilication (Savant et al. 1999; Chadwick and Chorover 2001; Sommer et al. 2006; Henriet et al. 2008a; Liang et al. 2015a; de Tombeur et al. 2020b). Consequently, plant-available Si concentrations inevitably decrease with the relative enrichment of poorly weatherable minerals and the decrease in soil pH during pedogenesis (Savant et al. 1999; Liang et al. 2015a; Haynes 2019; de Tombeur et al. 2020b). However, increasing soil pH is also associated to a decrease in plant-available Si concentrations, because Si adsorption onto the surface of oxides and silicates increases gradually 139 to about pH 9.5, reflecting the H_4SiO_4/H_3SiO_4 pKa of 9.47 (Jones and Handreck 1963; McKeague and Cline 1963; Beckwith and Reeve 1964; Hingston and Raupach 1967; Hingston et al. 1972; Obihara and Russell 1972; Philippini et al. 2006; Nguyen et al. 2017; Haynes and Zhou 2018). This adsorption process is also dependent on condensation processes (Schaller et al. 2021) as adsorption of polysilicic acid is less reversible than monosilicic acid (Dietzel 2002). On the other hand, a high pH could also increase plant-available Si concentrations via increasing dissolution rates of aluminosilicates from soil pH 7.5/8 (Drever 1994; Kelly et al. 1998), together with increased phytolith dissolution rates with increasing soil pH from 3 to 10 (Fraysse et al. 2006b, 2009). Overall, although the controls of soil weathering, mineralogy and texture on plant-available Si are now well understood, especially for the silicate weathering domain (Cornelis and Delvaux 2016; de Tombeur et al. 2020b), how high pH and carbonate minerals influence Si plant-availability remains unclear, because they are driven by antagonistic processes (Haynes 2019).

 Beyond that, the complex physical organization of soil particles and accessibility to roots teach us how challenging it is to make the assessment of nutrient and Si availability accurate and reproducible. For instance, capturing the effect of condensation state of silicic acid on the measurements of plant-available Si by specific extractions (Schaller et al. 2021) is challenging. In particular, the relation between soil pH and mineralogy should be visited through the lens of polymerization and depolymerization processes influencing the equilibrium from monosilicic acid and polysilicic acid to amorphous silica precipitates over very short time scales (hours to days) (Dietzel 2000). Indeed, polymerization rates of monosilicic acid and its precipitation as amorphous silica increase strongly with increasing pH and ionic strength (Icopini et al. 2005). As suggested by Schaller et al. (2021), the activity of monosilicic acid in soil solution, which is directly related to plant-available Si concentrations, is largely dependent on solid-liquid equilibrium that vary on very short time scales (hours to days), because of daily and seasonal variations of water dynamics in soil porosity (Zabowski and Ugolini 1990). The short-term variations of water content are certainly a key driver of polymerization, complexation and adsorption reactions, which govern the availability of monosilicic acid for plants. The polymers of polysilicic acid and submicrometric colloids of amorphous silica precipitating in soil porosity could in turn influence the water holding capacity and aggregation, by clogging the porosity and cementing particles together (Schaller et al. 2021).

 Despite a potential role of amorphous silica on soil aggregation (Schaller et al. 2021), how the interactions between soil mineral and organic constituents (Six et al. 2004) impact the extent to which minerals can be dissolved in aggregates is not understood. In this regard, Li et al. (2020b) showed that soil microaggregates contribute over 60% of the total phytolith stock in a Retisol. The authors proposed that entrapment of phytoliths in aggregates might slow down their dissolution, and increase their persistence in soils and sediments. In addition, soil aggregates host *microbial hotspots* in pores or at mineral surfaces creating specific soil micro-environments where microbial processes are accelerated as compared to the average rates in the bulk soil, i.e. the *hot moments* (Kuzyakov and Blagodatskaya 2015). The bio-induced weathering processes in these hotspots most likely also impact soil Si release rates into the soil solution (Uroz et al. 2009), supporting the need to further investigate the role of soil aggregation on soil Si dynamics. This is of special interest since anthropogenic land transformations (e.g., tillage) substantially impact soil aggregation processes, affecting soil structure (Mikha and Rice 2004; Wright and Hons 2005; Fonte et al. 2014; Or et al. 2021).

 Besides the role of soil solution chemistry on Si availability in the vicinity of roots, it is also recognized that Si compete with other ions via sorption/desorption mechanisms on soil exchangeable sites (Matychenkov and Ammosova 1996; Klotzbücher et al. 2020). In particular, research has long suggested competition between Si and phosphate ions (Smyth and Sanchez 1980; Kundu et al. 1988; Matychenkov and Ammosova 1996; Owino-Gerroh and Gascho 2004; Konhauser et al. 2007; Reithmaier et al. 2017; Hilbrandt et al. 2019; Hömberg et al. 2020; Klotzbücher et al. 2020; Schaller et al. 2020). Such mechanism could explain the benefits of Si addition on plant P nutrition (Hall and Morison 1906; Fisher 1929; Singh and Sarkar 1992; Owino-Gerroh and Gascho 2004; Eneji et al. 2008; Neu et al. 2017), even though other mechanisms were postulated (Ma and Takahashi 1990a, b, 1991a; Kostic et al. 2017; Pavlovic et al. 2021). In addition to P, Si may also compete with dissolved organic matter (Reithmaier et al. 2017; Klotzbücher et al. 2020), selenium (Jordan et al. 2009), arsenic (Christl et al. 2012) and iron (Hömberg et al. 2020). Such sorption/desorption competitive mechanisms are pH-dependent, with rates decreasing with pH given the lower chemical reactivity of monosilicic acid at acidic conditions (Owino-Gerroh and Gascho 2004; Konhauser et al. 2007; Jordan et al. 2009; Christl et al. 2012). It is therefore key to buffer the pH of the sorption/desorption isotherms because it is certainly influenced by the use of alkaline Si fertilizers (e.g., calcium silicates).

 Overall, although the controls of soil weathering degree and mineralogy on soil Si dynamics are now well understood (Cornelis and Delvaux 2016), more attention should be given to the process of polymerizing silicic acid from monosilicic and polysilicic acid to amorphous silica precipitates (Schaller et al. 2021). Soil porosity, as well pore tortuosity and connectivity, should also be considered for their influence on monosilicic acid activity in soil solution, as should soil aggregation. Finally, while the size and type of minerals as well as the ionic composition of pore water together control dissolution kinetics, furthering how temporal variations of water content (hourly to seasonally changes) affect plant-available Si is certainly worthwhile goal. Getting closer to the actual plant-availability of Si will therefore require to reconcile microscale soil processes with the extractable solutions used to assess Si concentrations in soil solution.

Biological processes controlling soil Si dynamics

Silicon biocycling: the high reactivity of phytoliths

 In addition to the weathering of rock-derived minerals, the dissolution of soil phytogenic silicates (phytoliths) also strongly impact Si dynamics (Bartoli 1983; Alexandre et al. 1997; Meunier et al. 1999; Derry et al. 2005; Farmer et al. 2005; Sommer et al. 2013; de Tombeur et al. 2020a). Biogeochemical mass-balance calculations have long reported that a significant fraction of Si in the soil solution is derived from the dissolution of the phytogenic Si pool (Bartoli 1983; Alexandre et al. 1997, 2011; Gérard et al. 2008), because of its high solubility compared with that of crystalline Si-bearing minerals (Fraysse et al. 2006b, 2009; Cornelis and Delvaux 2016). This challenged the common view that plant-available Si concentrations were mainly driven by soil parent material, weathering degree, and subsequent soil mineralogy/texture (Savant et al. 1999; Chadwick and Chorover 2001; Henriet et al. 2008a, b; de Tombeur et al. 2020b). To reconcile the control of geochemical and biological processes on Si release in the soil solution, Cornelis and Delvaux (2016) suggested that the biological Si feedback loop (phytolith formation in plants and dissolution in soils) takes over soil litho/pedogenic pools in advanced soil weathering stages. This contention was recently supported by the use of long-term soil chronosequences where plant- available Si concentrations are mainly governed by soil-derived Si-bearing minerals (clay minerals) in early and intermediate stages of weathering (de Tombeur et al. 2020b), but increasingly by the recycling of phytoliths in old and highly-weathered soils dominated by poorly-soluble quartz minerals (de Tombeur et al. 2020a). The significant effect of vegetation on the soil-plant Si cycle explains why land-use changes and management affect the global Si cycle (Struyf et al. 2010; Clymans et al. 2011; Vandevenne et al. 2015; Carey and Fulweiler 2016).

 Some soil organisms also accumulate Si to form various siliceous structures (Ehrlich et al. 2010; Puppe 2020). They are classified as zoogenic Si pool (e.g., sponge spicules that get into the soils mostly from freshwaters through aeolian transport or *in situ* production; Łukowiak 2020), bacterial Si (e.g., *Proteus mirabilis*, Lauwers and Heinen 1974), fungal Si, protozoic Si (e.g., testate amoeba shells) or protophytic Si (e.g., diatom frustules) (Sommer et al. 2006; Ehrlich et al. 2010; Puppe et al. 2015; Puppe 2020). Recent evidence suggest that these pools are of a similar magnitude as the phytogenic pool, and in turn influence the terrestrial Si cycle (Sommer et al. 2013; Puppe et al. 2014, 2015, 2016). In particular, annual biosilicification from testate 340 amoebae ranges from 17 to 80 kg ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ depending on soil and ecosystem properties which is similar to or even exceeds annual Si uptake by terrestrial vegetation (Sommer et al. 2013; Puppe et al. 2015; Vander Linden and Delvaux 2019). This pioneering work opened new perspectives on the role of Si-based life forms on soil-plant Si cycling (Puppe 2020).

Biological weathering of Si-bearing minerals

 In addition to the production of an easily weatherable Si pool, plants are extremely active when it comes to enhancing weathering ability of different soil constituents, either directly or indirectly. Nevertheless, plants are not stand-alone entities in their ability to affect Si cycling, but should be considered as "holobionts", which includes the microbiome associated with their development (Vandenkoornhuyse et al. 2015). There are numerous well-known mechanisms by which the actors of the soil-plant continuum contribute to the dissolution of Si-bearing minerals and extensive reviews can be found elsewhere (Finlay et al. 2020; Dontsova et al. 2020). Briefly, these mechanisms can be divided into two categories: biochemical and biophysical weathering. These processes are not mutually independent; rather, they often play cumulative or synergistic roles.

 The main biochemical effect on Si dissolution is the modification of the chemical conditions in the rhizosphere. This occurs through the release of organic acids, either as a by-product of 357 cellular metabolism or as root exudates, plant excretion of H^+ in exchange for cationic nutrients, 358 formation of carbonic acid (Golubev et al. 2005; Brantley 2008) through the release of $CO₂$ via root respiration or organic matter mineralization, and the release of inorganic acids from redox reactions (e.g. sulfuric acid via pyrite oxidation). Proton-promoted dissolution is supplemented by ligand-promoted dissolution where organic acids, in addition to their pH altering ability, can act synergistically with strong chelators such as phytosiderophores or carboxylates to further enhance weathering of Si-bearing minerals by destabilizing mineral lattices through the binding of metal cations (Bennett et al. 2001; Buss et al. 2007; Pastore et al. 2020). Biophysical mechanisms include hyphal tunneling, or boring and other mechanisms of penetration by plant roots or fungi along mineral weakness points (Smits et al. 2005; van Schöll et al. 2008; Teodoro et al. 2019). This, in turn, increases substrate porosity and, therefore, increases the mineral surface exposed to chemical weathering agents (Pawlik et al. 2016; Gadd 2017). Finally, plants and associated microorganisms can also affect water movement and retention capacity through uptake and biofilms and therefore strongly influence water residence time and weathering patterns (Lucas 2001; Flemming and Wingender 2010).

 While the biological impact on weathering is recognized, its contribution to the mobility of nutrients in natural and agricultural systems, including Si, remains poorly understood. In the following, we therefore aim to assess the effects of each biological agent on Si plant-availability.

 Root exudates Plant roots secrete a wide range of exudates that mobilize poorly-available nutrients in the rhizosphere (Dakora and Phillips 2002; Lambers et al. 2006; Finlay et al. 2020). A long history of experimental studies has shown the increase of silicate dissolution by root exudates, through organic acids (Stillings et al. 1996; Drever and Stillings 1997; Cama and Ganor 2006; Bray et al. 2015) or forest floor extracts (van Hees et al. 2002). Increasing dissolution of silicates or pedogenic oxides in the presence of siderophores was also demonstrated, whether

 they are microbial (Liermann et al. 2000; Buss et al. 2007) or root-derived (Reichard et al. 2005). However, despite their importance for plant nutrient acquisition, the role of root exudates in mobilizing Si for plant uptake has been overlooked. Yet root-released carboxylates do increase the mobility of P, K, Fe, Al, Ca, P and numerous micronutrients (Gerke et al. 1994; Ström et al. 2005; Wang et al. 2011; Houben and Sonnet 2012; Abrahão et al. 2014; Colombo et al. 2014; Kabas et al. 2017; Teodoro et al. 2019), and there could be similar effects on soil-plant Si mobility.

 Early work by Hinsinger et al. (2001) has shown the impact of banana (*Musa paradisiaca*), maize (*Zea mays*), canola (*Brassica napus*), and white lupin (*Lupinus albus*) on the weathering of a basaltic rock. After 36 days of growth, the amount of Si released from basalt in the presence of hydroponically grown plants was increased two-fold compared with the abiotic control. More recently, Burghelea et al. (2015) and Zaharescu et al. (2019) showed that buffalo grass (*Bouteloua dactyloides*) grown on schist and rhyolite for 124 to 603 days, respectively, increased the mobility of Si compared with that of an abiotic control. Furthermore, Gattullo et al. (2016) showed that Fe-deprived barley (*Hordeum vulgare*) plants rapidly released more exudates into the rhizosphere to mobilize Fe from amorphous Fe oxides. Then, when the soil-plant contact was extended to 12 days, plants overcame Fe nutritional stress and the exudation of organic ligands mobilized Si from smectite (Gattullo et al. 2016). These results demonstrate that root exudates are primarily influenced by macro- or micronutrients limitation, but that co-solubilization of Si is very likely (de Tombeur et al. 2021b). In support of this claim, recent studies showed an increase in leaf Si concentrations with decreasing soil P concentrations and Si plant-availability along a long-term soil chronosequence (de Tombeur et al. 2020a, 2021c), particularly in old and highly weathered environments where carboxylate-releasing strategies are common (Lambers et al. 2008; Zemunik et al. 2015). This result suggests a role for carboxylates in mobilizing soil Si from poorly-soluble forms for plant uptake, as it is the case for manganese (Mn) along the Jurien Bay chronosequence (Hayes et al. 2014; Lambers et al. 2015; de Tombeur et al. 2021b). Future research is required to elucidate to which extent Si is co-mobilized by different nutrient- acquisition strategies. In addition, future studies should test if root exudation patterns are directly influenced by low Si availability.

 Mycorrhizal associations With nearly 90% of plants harbouring either arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) or ectomycorrhizal fungi (EM) symbionts (Brundrett 2002; Smith and Read 2008), mycorrhizas exhibit strong control over major ecosystem processes including plant nutrient acquisition (Marschner and Dell 1994; Clark and Zeto 2000; Richardson et al. 2009), biogeochemical cycles (Högberg et al. 2001; van Hees et al. 2006), plant diversity and productivity (Van Der Heijden et al. 1998, 2008) and weathering potential (Leake and Read 2017; Smits and Wallander 2017). Plants enable mycorrhizal fungal growth and activity by translocating various organic compounds (sugars, lipids) into the roots (Jiang et al. 2017; Rich et al. 2017). In exchange of plant photosynthates, fungi develop hyphal networks into the soil and enhance weathering processes for lithogenic nutrient acquisition (Van Breemen et al. 2000; van Schöll et al. 2006, 2008). In 1990, Kothari et al. provided the first evidence of Si mobilization by mycorrhizal fungi by showing an increase in maize (*Zea mays*) root Si concentrations after inoculation with an arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (Kothari et al. 1990). Since then, other studies have shown that the presence of mycorrhizal fungi may significantly increase the Si concentrations of different species (maize, sugarcane, banana, chickpea, pigeon pea, soybean),

 and in different plant organs (roots, leaves, stems) (Table 1) (Yost and Fox 1982; Clark and Zeto 1996; Garg and Bhandari 2016; Oye Anda et al. 2016; Frew et al. 2017b, a, 2020; Garg and Singh 2018; Gbongue et al. 2019). Root Si concentrations are positively correlated with the degree of arbuscular mycorrhizal colonization, which reduces root herbivory (Frew et al. 2017a). Moreover, mycorrhizal colonization increases root Si concentrations, but uniquely for plants growing on soils with low concentrations of plant-available Si (Frew et al. 2017a). This pattern was confirmed by other studies where the effects of mycorrhizal fungi on plant Si concentrations were less important, or even absent, when Si was supplied to plants (Oye Anda et al. 2016; Frew et al. 2017b).

 Even if the effects of mycorrhizas on plant Si concentration depend on initial Si availability in soil, these results reveal that root mycorrhizal colonization can be a significant driver of plant Si uptake and concentrations in plants, with a direct impact on herbivory. In some cases, plant Si concentrations have indeed more than doubled after inoculation with mycorrhizal fungi (Oye Anda et al. 2016). More broadly, although phylogenetic variation and the presence or absence of Si transporters remain the main explanations for variation in plant Si accumulation (Hodson et al. 2005; Ma et al. 2006, 2007; Deshmukh and Bélanger 2016; Deshmukh et al. 2020), nutrient- acquisition strategies like mycorrhizal associations and root-released carboxylates could play a significant, but so far overlooked role. This is of special interest since both strategies increase with decreasing P availability (Abbott et al. 1984; Tang et al. 2001; Covacevich et al. 2007; He et al. 2020), and P-depleted soils are often also Si-depleted, due to high weathering degree.

446

447

- 448
- 449

450

451 **Table 1** – Effect of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi on plant silicon (Si) concentrations in a range of plant species. We 452 used WebPlotDigitizer to extract data published as figures (Rohatgi 2012).

454

455 *Bacteria* Bacteria may colonize mineral surfaces, initiate or accelerate weathering, and stimulate 456 plant growth (Jackson 1971; Bosecker 1997; Banfield et al. 1999; Bennett et al. 2001; Vessey 457 2003; Calvaruso et al. 2006; Uroz et al. 2009; Burghelea et al. 2015; Zaharescu et al. 2019;

 Finlay et al. 2020; Pastore et al. 2020). Bacteria often associate with fungi in soil to form biofilms on substrate surfaces via excretion of extracellular polymeric substances (EPS) which causes very localized weathering "hotspots" (Flemming and Wingender 2010; Deveau et al. 2018; Guennoc et al. 2018; Finlay et al. 2020). The subsequent dissolution of lithogenic nutrients can therefore be used by all organisms of these hotspots including plants, making the soil-plant continuum a very effective biogeochemical engineer and enhancing overall plant nutrition. Increased rates of weathering and Si release in the presence of certain bacteria have been demonstrated for different mineralogical contents such as feldspar (Barker et al. 1998; Welch and Ullman 1999; Wang et al. 2015), hornblende (Liermann et al. 2000), mica (Barker et al. 1998; Liu et al. 2006; Wang et al. 2015), smectite (Dong et al. 2003; Kim et al. 2004), amorphous silica (diatoms and sponge) (Bidle and Azam 1999; Schröer et al. 2003), granite (Song et al. 2007; Wu et al. 2008), basalt (Pastore et al. 2020), gneiss (Pastore et al. 2020) and saprolite (Brucker et al. 2020). These days, a large number of silicate-solubilizing bacteria (SSB) have been identified, belonging to different genera: *Aeromonas*, *Aminobacter, Azotobacter, Bacillus*, *Burkholderia, Cellvibrio, Collimonas*, *Dyella*, *Ensifer, Enterobacter*, *Flavobacterium, Frateuria*, *Janthinobacterium*, *Kosakonia, Labrys*, *Microbacterium, Paracoccus, Proteus*, *Pseudomonas*, *Rhizobium* and *Sphingomonas* (Uroz et al. 2009; Meena et al. 2014; Hu et al. 2018). Recently, Raturi et al. (2021) reviewed the role of SSB on the Si biogeochemical cycle and their role as potential biofertilizers.

 In a pioneering work, Zahra et al. (1984) showed that soil inoculation with *Bacillus circulans* significantly increases Si release from different minerals and subsequent Si uptake by different crop species (barley, maize and clover), demonstrating a key role of bacteria in increasing plant-available Si. More recently, an increasing number of studies demonstrated the impact of SSB on Si dissolution rates, plant Si uptake, and a potential positive effect on plant growth (Table 2 and references therein). In these studies, soluble Si concentrations increased by up to 60%, while plant Si content increased by up to 78% (Table 2). Besides the role of SSB in increasing silicates dissolution, bacteria could also increase phytoliths dissolution and soluble Si through the breaking down and mineralization of phytolith-occluded carbon (Hodson 2019). Future research is needed to identify the abundance, diversity and functions of SSB in different geopedoclimatic contexts, as well as their ability to stimulate soil-plant Si mobility.

487 **Table 2** – Effect of silicate-solubilizing bacteria on soluble/plant-available Si and leaf Si concentrations from the 488 literature. We used WebPlotDigitizer to extract data published as figures (Rohatgi 2012).

489 'ns' stands for "not significant"

490

491 *Soil macrofauna*

 Soil macrofauna like earthworms, beetles and termites contribute to nutrient cycling, soil formation or primary production (Jouquet et al. 2011; Blouin et al. 2013), but little is known about their effect on soil Si dynamics. Yet, soil macrofauna enhances the mineralization of organic matter (Ingham et al. 1985; Schulmann and Tiunov, Alexei 1999), which might in turn accelerate Si release in soil solution through enhanced phytoliths dissolution (Fraysse et al. 2006a, 2010; Vandevenne et al. 2013). Macrofauna also increase the chemical (Jouquet et al.

 2002; Carpenter et al. 2007) and physical (Suzuki et al. 2003) weathering of silicate minerals. Recently, Bityutskii et al. (2016) showed that earthworm casts in a sandy and sandy loam soils had a significantly higher soluble Si concentration than the non-bioturbed soil (up to 12 times for *Lumbricus terrestris* casts in the sandy soil). Moreover, the concentrations and translocation rates of Si in the xylem sap of maize and cucumber plants significantly increased when plants grew on a soil previously bioturbed by earthworms. Following this research, Hu et al. (2018) isolated SSB from the gut of *Pheretima guillelmi*, and showed that they markedly increased the release of Si from feldspar and quartz powder, enhanced the uptake and accumulation of Si by maize, and promoted seedling growth. In addition, significantly more SSB were found in the earthworm gut than in the surrounding soil. The authors thus demonstrated that the increased soil-to-plant translocation of Si following earthworm activity was at least in part explained by the presence of SSB in earthworm guts (Hu et al. 2018). In accordance with this, Georgiadis et al. (2019) showed that the release of dissolved Si from quartz, which is highly resistant to weathering (Goldich 1938), was much greater after passage through the gut of *Eisenia andrei*. They discussed this as resulting from a combination of mechanical alteration (Suzuki et al. 2003), and the presence of SSB in the earthworm gut (Hu et al. 2018). In addition, soil macrofauna strongly impact the redistribution of material in the soil profile (Jouquet et al. 2011; Blouin et al. 2013). For instance, Jouquet et al. (2020) showed that termite activity impacted the distribution of phytoliths and clay minerals type (1:1 versus 2:1) in south Indian forest soils which could, in turn, impact the concentration of plant-available Si in soil profiles. Overall, soil macrofauna have a significant but overlooked effect on soil Si dynamics, whose magnitude still needs to be determined.

Large herbivores

 Large herbivores can cause important changes in ecosystem-scale nutrient cycling (Bardgett and Wardle 2003; Veldhuis et al. 2018; Forbes et al. 2019; Hwang and Metcalfe 2021). They can either accelerate nutrient cycling through the conversion of aboveground biomass into labile waste products, or decrease it through selective foraging and subsequent shifts towards species that decompose more slowly (Bardgett and Wardle 2003; Forbes et al. 2019). Compared with N, P and C (e.g., Veldhuis et al. 2018; le Roux et al. 2020; Sitters et al. 2020), the impact of large herbivores on Si dynamics is poorly quantified. Yet faeces of large herbivores exhibit high silica 527 concentrations (from 17 to 163 g silica kg^{-1} for large African herbivores; Hummel et al. 2011), as 528 does sheep urine (up to 259 mg silica L^{-1} ; Nottle and Armstrong 1966). As a consequence, large herbivores strongly impact the land-to-ocean Si transfer by foraging grasses and transporting phytoliths from land ecosystems directly to rivers (Schoelynck et al. 2019). For instance, hippos of south-western Kenya contribute to 32% to the biogenic Si flux and more than 76% to the total Si flux to watercourses (Schoelynck et al. 2019). Given the abundance of Si-accumulating species in grassland ecosystems, the impact of large herbivores on phytolith redistribution within the same ecosystem (not land-to-river transfer; Schoelynck et al. 2019) is probably also significant. 535 We estimate that large herbivores in a savanna ecosystem ingest and displace from 0.005 ± 0.002 536 kg Si ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ (grey duiker) to 23.2 \pm 3.8 kg Si ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ (buffalo) (Table 3). The higher value corresponds to yearly litterfall of a short grass ecosystem of the Central Great Plains, USA (Blecker et al. 2006). This redistribution of phytoliths could, in turn, modify the spatial variability of plant-available Si given their high reactivity in soil environments (Alexandre et al. 1997; Blecker et al. 2006; Sommer et al. 2013; de Tombeur et al. 2020a).

542

543

544	Table 3 – Estimation of yearly silicon (Si) inputs into soil by large herbivores in a savanna ecosystem, and their	

545 potential effect on Si cycling.

Species	Scientific name	Dung produced ^a g ha ⁻¹ day ⁻¹	Dung $[BSi]^b$ g kg	Dung Si input ^c $kg Si ha^{-1} yr^{-1}$	$\mathbf{MRT}_{\text{particle}}^{\text{d}}$ hours	Effect on Si cycling ^e
Buffalo (ruminant)	Syncerus caffer	1018	$134 + 22$	23.2 ± 3.8	49	$+++$
Elephant	Loxodonta Africana	432	53 ± 11	3.9 ± 0.8	30	$^{++}$
Grey duiker (ruminant)	Giraffa camelopardalis	1.3	$22 + 9$	$0.0 + 0.0$	45	$+/-$
Impala (ruminant)	Aepyceros melampus	200	$123 + 49$	$4.2 + 1.7$	٠	$^{++}$
Nyala (ruminant)	Tragelaphus angasii	8.6	38 ± 13	$0.1 + 0.0$	۰	$+/-$
White rhino	Ceratotherium simum	124	75 ± 13	$1.6 + 0.3$	44	$^{+}$
Wildebeest (ruminant)	Connochaetes taurinus	53	135 ± 15	$1.2 + 0.1$	۰.	$^{+}$
Zebra	Equus burchellii	77	126 ± 18	1.6 ± 0.2	28	$^{+}$

546 ^aData from Veldhuis et al. (2018)

 b Data from Hummel et al. (2011). Means of wet and dry season data were considered.

 c^{c} BSi was converted to Si by dividing by 2.14.

^d Food particles' mean retention time (MRT) comes from Steuer et al. (2011) for Buffalo, Elephant, and Zebra, and from Müller et al. (2011) for Grey duiker and White rhino

21 ^e Estimated through the combination of yearly dung Si input and MRT_{particle} control on phytolith turnover rates (Vandevenne et al. 2013). 54789015478 553 Although silica ingestion can reduce the apparent digestibility of herbage (Shewmaker et al. 1989; Hartley and DeGabriel 2016; Johnson et al. 2021), how phytoliths are processed during digestion remains poorly known. Some herbivores have a neutral to slightly alkaline stomach (e.g., pH 7.3 for *Lama guanicoe* ; Beasley et al. 2015) and phytolith dissolution rates increase significantly from pH 5 to pH 8 (Fraysse et al. 2009). In 1971, Blackman & Bailey showed that up to 39% of silica was dissolved after 24 h of ingestion in a cow rumen (Blackman and Bailey 1971). More recently, Vandevenne et al. (2013) showed that phytolith concentrations in cow, sheep, horse and donkey faeces were two to four times higher than those in the plants prior to ingestion and from which the faeces were derived. They also showed that readily-soluble Si concentrations increased in faeces compared with pasture forage (except for horse faeces). Moreover, relative to the initial phytolith content in dungs, 60%, 16% and 8% of Si was mobilized in rain water after 24 h for cow, horse and sheep faeces, respectively, but only 4% for

 the corresponding pasture forage. These results demonstrate that herbivores have a strong potential to increase Si mobility in soil-plant systems by releasing dissolved Si in urine and increasing phytolith turnover rates, probably through the degradation of plant tissues and an onset of phytolith dissolution in the digestive tract (Vandevenne et al. 2013).

 Vandevenne et al. (2013) suggested that ruminants (sheep and cows), which achieve greater particle size reduction through higher food particles' mean retention time (MRT) (Johnson et al. 2021), have a greater potential to quickly mobilize the highly-soluble fraction of phytoliths, partly via urine, compared to non-ruminants (horse and donkey). Following this idea, ruminants (that have longer food particles' MRT), such as buffalo or duiker, would increase the phytoliths turnover more strongly than non-ruminants such as elephant or zebra do (Table 3). Therefore, ruminants that produce large amounts of dung – which largely depends on body mass (Veldhuis et al. 2018) – will have a greater impact on soil-plant Si dynamics, and eventually on land-to- ocean Si transfer (Vandevenne et al. 2013), compared with non-ruminants that produce moderate amounts of dung (Table 3). Overall, large herbivores play a significant but overlooked role in Si biogeochemistry (but see Hwang and Metcalfe 2021) by affecting phytolith turnover rates and distribution in terrestrial ecosystems.

Silicon and agricultural practices

 Knowledge acquired from complex natural systems can be used to increase the resource-use efficiency and productivity of modern agroecosystems (Lambers et al. 2011; Mariotte et al. 2018). After highlighting biotic and abiotic factors influencing soil-plant Si mobility (Fig. 2), next we discuss the potential of certain agricultural practices to impact soil Si dynamics and stimulate soil-plant Si cycling.

Leveraging the high reactivity of phytoliths: recycling crop residues

 Recycling agricultural residues such as leaves, stems or grain husks is key to improve the crop Si status and limit long-term desilication (Guntzer et al. 2012; Meharg and Meharg 2015; Haynes 2017; Puppe et al. 2021). Annual Si uptake by crop species may be an order of magnitude higher than that in natural ecosystems (Vander Linden and Delvaux 2019) and removing crop residues at harvest is common in some parts of the world (Klotzbücher et al. 2015) which lowers the soil phytolith pool (Desplanques et al. 2006; Guntzer et al. 2012; Keller et al. 2012).

596 The application of different crop residues to soil increases Na_2CO_3 -extractable Si (up to 37% in Yang et al. 2020), water-soluble Si concentrations (up to 15% in Ma and Takahashi 1991b; up to 50% in Watanabe et al. 2017; up to 44% in Yang et al. 2020), plant Si concentration (up to 17% in Ma and Takahashi 1991b; up to 136% in Sistani et al. 1997; up to 168% in Hossain et al. 2001; up to 57% in Yang et al. 2020), and plant Si uptake (up to 25% in Ma and Takahashi 1991b; up to 212% in Marxen et al. 2016). The same pattern occurs for manure, which increases acetic acid- extractable Si and NaOH-extractable Si concentrations by 101% and 32%, respectively, after 10 years of application to a Gleysol (Song et al. 2014). Klotzbücher et al. (2018b) also found that manure application tends to increase acetate-extractable Si concentrations, especially together with liming. These results highlight the benefit of returning phytoliths to topsoil because of their fast dissolution rates to replenish the soil solution in dissolved Si (Wickramasinghe and Rowell 2006; Seyfferth et al. 2013; Marxen et al. 2016; Klotzbücher et al. 2018a; Puppe et al. 2021), with subsequent positive impacts on plant Si uptake. On the other hand, returning carbon-rich

 phytoliths to topsoil could also possibly contribute to C sequestration, even though many questions about the phytoliths ability to sequester C on the long-term remain (Hodson 2019). Recently, the use of pyrolyzed Si-rich crop residues (i.e. Si-rich biochar) as a potential alternative to common Si fertilizers has attracted a lot of attention (Xiao et al. 2014; Li and Delvaux 2019; Wang et al. 2019b for reviews). According to Li and Delvaux (2019), the pyrolysis has the advantage of (1) concentrating Si in biochar compared with unpyrolyzed crop residues; (2) providing the same effect as liming and (3) enhancing the reactive surface area; both (2) and (3) contribute to increasing phytolith dissolution. Numerous recent studies show that the application of different biochars increases soil soluble Si and/or plant Si concentrations (Houben et al. 2014; Liu et al. 2014; Ibrahim et al. 2016; Qian et al. 2016; Koyama and Hayashi 2017; Abbas et al. 2017; Alvarez-Campos et al. 2018; Li et al. 2018; Limmer et al. 2018; Leksungnoen et al. 2019; Li et al. 2019; Seleiman et al. 2019; Wang et al. 2019a; Huang et al. 2020; Wang et al. 2020; de Tombeur et al. 2021a), confirming its potential as a suitable Si fertilizer (Li and Delvaux 2019). The types of biochar used, application rates (on a Si basis), and increase in percentages of soil soluble Si and/or plant Si concentrations are reported in Table S1.

 Recycling crop residues via direct incorporation, burning or biochar/manure/compost production and subsequent application has therefore a strong potential to increase crop Si uptake. However, the application rates used in most studies largely exceed coherent annual crop yields. For instance, considering biochar, the application of pyrolyzed material to concentrations of 1% 628 (w/w) or more (bulk density of 1.3 g cm^{-3} ; depth incorporation of 10 cm), which corresponds to 629 yearly crop yields of approximately t ha⁻¹ (taking into account a pyrolysis yield of 30%) is 630 common. This generally exceeds mean cereal yields worldwide (up to about 13 t ha⁻¹; Ritchie and

631 Roser 2013), except for sugarcane (up to about 120 t ha⁻¹; Ritchie and Roser 2013), and the fraction not available for pyrolysis has to be considered. Li and Delvaux (2019) calculated that a 633 realistic application rate of biochar in the tropics would be around 1.7 t ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹, which is about an order of magnitude lower than what was applied in some studies. We, therefore, stress the importance of performing long-term studies in agroecosystems with minimal inputs from external sources and outputs of crop residues (Hughes et al. 2020), to better assess the long-term sustainability of such recycling practices. In these systems, detailed analyses of soil and plant Si pools must be conducted, as well as mass-balance calculations, to study the magnitude of desilication as a function of crop residue management. For example, Hughes et al. (2020) recently showed that enhanced Si accumulation in rice grain (including husks) in highly- weathered soil environments could further contribute to long-term desilication. Furthermore, the effect of crop residue quality on organic matter decomposition rates in contrasting soil, climatic and agricultural contexts should be considered because of its key role in dissolved Si release rates (Fraysse et al. 2006a, 2010; Marxen et al. 2016; Nakamura et al. 2020).

Harnessing Si biocycling and recycling using cover crops

 Cover crops are grown specifically for covering the soil during the off-season to reduce soil erosion, increase soil organic matter content and microbial diversity, and improve nutrient cycling (Reeves 1994; Adetunji et al. 2020). The positive impact of cover crops on N, P and C cycles has been extensively demonstrated (Abdalla et al. 2019; Hallama et al. 2019), while their effects on soil Si dynamics for different cover crops species are unknown. In the short term, cover crops could have detrimental effects by consuming the pool of soil readily-soluble Si during the winter, lowering Si plant availability for main crops in summer. However, in the long

 term, the yearly transfer of Si stored in the cover crop via plant residues will significantly increase the soil phytogenic Si pool, and the cover crop will reduce phytolith losses through erosion (Fig. 3a). This conversion of litho/pedogenic Si-bearing minerals to phytoliths could significantly stimulate Si mobility in agroecosystems since the dissolution rates of phytoliths are an order of magnitude greater than those of typical soil clay minerals (Fraysse et al. 2009). Such positive effect would be particularly significant in highly-desilicated soils, where phytolith dissolution has a major effect on the soil-plant Si cycle (Alexandre et al. 1997; Sommer et al. 2013; de Tombeur et al. 2020a).

 Based on shoot biomass data of common cover crop species (Hallama et al. 2019), we estimated Si stocks in aboveground biomass of cover crops (Table 4). For legumes and *Brassica* sp. cover 663 crops, from 2 to 56 kg Si ha⁻¹ could annually be brought to soil via crop residues. This range, despite being very large, approximately corresponds with the annual Si uptake in major forest ecosystems worldwide, expect bamboo forests (Vander Linden and Delvaux 2019). The use of Poaceae sp. as cover crops would allow an extreme degree of annual Si inputs, up to 360 kg Si 667 ha⁻¹ for *Lolium* sp., which approximately corresponds to Si uptake in sugarcane agroecosystems (Vander Linden and Delvaux 2019). In addition, cover crops may also impact Si dynamics by providing a legacy of increased mycorrhizal abundance, modifying rhizosphere physico-chemical properties (e.g., pH, soil aggregation, root exudates) or changing soil microbial communities and earthworms abundance (Roarty et al. 2017; Hallama et al. 2019; Adetunji et al. 2020; Euteneuer et al. 2020). Finally, Si-rich cover crops could diminish herbivore populations, with beneficial legacy effects on the main crop (Vernavá et al. 2004).

677 678		Family	Shoot biomass ^a (t ha ⁻¹)	Shoot Si concentration ^b (g kg ⁻¹)	Shoot Si stocks (kg ha ⁻¹)
679	Legume cover crops				
680	Lupinus sp.	Fabaceae	$0.7-12.4(n=6)$	$2.8 - 4.5$	$2 - 56$
681	Pisum sativum	Fabaceae	$3.7 - 3.9$ $(n=3)$	$2.8 - 5.6$	$10-22$
682	Vicia sp.	Fabaceae	4.3-8.0 $(n=7)$	$2.4 - 4.8$	$10-38$
683 684	Non-legume cover crops				
	Avena sp.	Poaceae	7.9-13.2 $(n=6)$	$11.5 - 15.1$	91-200
	Lolium sp.	Poaceae	1.4-10.0 $(n=3)$	9.7-36.4	14-364
	Secale cereale	Poaceae	$1.5 - 12.7$ $(n=11)$	12.6	19-160
685 686 687 688	<i>Brassica</i> sp.	Brassicaceae	$2.2 - 4.1(n=4)$	$2.3 - 11.2$	$5 - 46$

Table 4 – Estimation of shoot Si stocks in common cover crop species.

689 ^aData from Hallama et al. (2019)
690 ^bData from Hodson et al. (2005) ^b Data from Hodson et al. (2005) for all species. Additional data from Xiao et al. (2016) for *Pisum sativum*, Hasan et al. (2020) for *Vicia* sp., Soratto et al. (2012) for *Avena* sp. and Song et al. (2009) for *Brassica* sp.

Facilitative interactions: cereal-legume intercropping systems

 Intercropping (Smith and McSorley 2000) has the potential to globally increase yields, reduce fertilizer inputs and save land (Martin-Guay et al. 2018; Li et al. 2020a), while increasing soil C and N content, improving mineral nutrition and reducing effects of pests (Hinsinger et al. 2011; Brooker et al. 2015; Cong et al. 2015; Xue et al. 2016; Tang et al. 2020). To our knowledge, the impact of intercropping systems on Si dynamics has been considered only once, through the study of rice (*Oryza sativa*) intercropped with water spinach (*Ipomoea aquatic*) (Ning et al. 2017). Plant-available Si concentrations in soil were not markedly impacted by the intercropped system compared with rice monoculture, yet they significantly increased when water spinach was cultivated alone, likely because spinach accumulates less Si than rice (Ning et al. 2017). 703 However, leaf Si concentrations and stocks (i.e. Si concentration \times leaf dry weight) of rice plants significantly increased in the intercropping system compared with the rice monoculture (up to ~25% for Si concentrations and ~75% for Si stocks) (Ning et al. 2017). Moreover, the disease index of rice sheath and the incidence of leaf folders significantly decreased in the intercropped

 system, which could be explained by (1) a role of physical barrier played by the strip distribution in intercropping system, (2) a more favorable field microclimate in the intercropping system, (3) the release of allelochemicals by water spinach, and/or (4) higher concentrations of silica-based defenses (Ning et al. 2017).

 The pioneering work of Ning et al. has opened up new compelling directions in intercropping-Si research. Here, we propose different processes that could affect soil-plant Si dynamics in cereal- legume intercropping agroecosystems (Fig. 3b). First, more Si would be available for cereal crop uptake since grasses accumulate more Si than legumes. In the long term, cereal-legume intercropping might even slow down soil desilication, even though mass-balance calculations should be performed to estimate Si stocks in biomass and export from harvests. However, the opposite effect might occur for legume crops, for which less Si would be available than in a monoculture system (Ning et al. 2017). This is important to consider since Si has beneficial effects also for legumes, and may promote the symbiotic relationship with nitrogen-fixing bacteria in root nodules (Putra et al. 2020). Second, cereal-legume intercropping might induce a wide range of facilitative interactions (Li et al. 2014). Under conditions of Fe and Zn deficiencies, cereals such as wheat, barley, maize or rice secrete phytosiderophores in the soil solution that mobilize Fe and Zn (Ahmed and Holmström 2014), which can then be transferred to both crops (Zuo et al. 2000; Xue et al. 2016). The same mechanism applies to legumes that may secrete carboxylates to mobilize P, especially under P deficiency (Lambers et al. 2006, 2015; Pang et al. 2018), which could in turn benefit both crops (Xue et al. 2016; Lambers et al. 2018). As discussed above, Si co-solubilization or desorption by root exudates such as phytosiderophores and carboxylates is likely, but this needs to be further assessed to determine if

 such facilitative interactions also occur for this element. Similarly, facilitation via common mycorrhizal networks and nutrient transfer can occur for N and P (Walder et al. 2012), but has not been addressed for Si. Third, earthworm abundance and biomass can greatly increase in cereal-legume intercropping systems compared with monocultures (Schmidt et al. 2001, 2003) which could increase plant-available Si concentrations and Si soil-plant mobility (Fig. 2). Finally, intercropping might also impact Si dynamics by changing soil microbial diversity and modifying the physico-chemical properties of the rhizosphere (Brooker et al. 2015), but the direction of these processes needs to be elucidated.

Grazers as biocatalysts of Si cycling: crop-livestock systems

 Despite recent simplification and specialization of agricultural systems worldwide, integrated crop-livestock systems have been employed for millennia and remain the main agriculture model for over two thirds of global farmers, and represent about half of the world's food (Russelle et al. 2007; Herrero et al. 2010; Lemaire et al. 2014). Integrated crop-livestock systems have the potential to improve carbon and nutrient cycling/use efficiency (Alves et al. 2019; Brewer and Gaudin 2020; Carlos et al. 2020). Based on the evidence of Si mobilization by large herbivores (Fig. 2), we suggest several benefits of integrated crop-livestock systems on soil-plant Si dynamics, based on a simple pasture/crop rotation (Fig. 3c). First, large ruminants strongly increase biogenic silica turnover rates (Blackman and Bailey 1971; Vandevenne et al. 2013). For instance, Vandevenne et al. (2013) estimated that a cow-based pasture mobilizes between 18 and 748 28 kg Si ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹, against 1.3-1.8 kg Si ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ in ungrazed pastures. In the long term, greater Si mobilization potentially accelerates soil desilication through Si leaching (Vandevenne et al. 2013). However, in the short term, it will most likely increase plant-available Si concentrations

 for the subsequent crop, especially if soil texture-related leaching potential is low. Second, pasture/crop rotations will reduce long-term soil desilication because Si exports through crop harvest will occur only once every two years. Finally, integrating crops and livestock impacts numerous aspects of soil-plant systems such as soil aggregation, microbial community structure/biomass and annual net primary production (Brewer and Gaudin 2020), which could ultimately also affect the soil-plant Si cycle. Crop-livestock systems are promising to enhance Si mobility in soil-plant systems, particularly through the effects of ruminants on phytolith turnover, and therefore deserve further investigations, including the time scales of different processes.

Liming and soil-plant Si dynamics: a gap between theory and practice

 The effect of liming on soil pH in agroecosystems may affect Si dynamics through antagonistic processes (Fig. 3d), as discussed above. Therefore, the liming effect is still unclear because it strongly depends on the initial pedological context in terms of soil pH, mineralogy, buffering capacity and phytolith content (Haynes 2019; Vander Linden and Delvaux 2019). Previous studies showed either an increase (Castro and Crusciol 2013; Klotzbücher et al. 2018b) or a decrease (Kostic et al. 2017; Keeping et al. 2017; Haynes and Zhou 2018) in soil Si availability with liming, while others found no significant effect (Mathews et al. 2009; Bhat et al. 2010). However, different extractants were used to estimate plant-available Si concentrations in these 768 studies (e.g., CaCl₂, acetic acid). In fact, liming is expected to increase the pool of adsorbed Si, often associated with acetate and acetic acid extractants, while decreasing the soluble Si pool, 770 often associated with $CaCl₂$ or water extractants (Sauer et al. 2006; Georgiadis et al. 2013). Haynes and Zhou (2018) confirmed this pattern by showing an increase of Si-acetic acid by about 772 75-110% and a decrease of Si-CaCl₂ by about 25-35% for the pH range 5.0-6.5 in limed Podzol

 and Gleysol. The authors also found a decrease of alkali-extractable Si, suggesting a loss of phytoliths following increasing dissolution rates (Haynes and Zhou 2018). More recently, Caubet 775 et al. (2020) showed that French agricultural soils had higher $Si-CaCl₂$ concentrations than non- cultivated soils. The authors interpreted this difference as resulting from the pH increase after liming that could modify clay mineralogy (Cornu et al. 2012) and increase phytolith dissolution for soils with clay size mineral contents ranging between 5 and 32%. In their study, soils were classified by parent material types, where soils developed on sediments were separated into two groups: carbonated soils (>1% carbonates) and non-carbonated soils (<1% carbonates). In the 781 non-carbonated group, $Si-CaCl₂$ also correlates with the 2-µm fraction cation exchange capacity, used as a proxy of the nature of clay-size minerals. This supports a possible effect of clay mineralogy on Si availability that can be superimposed on the liming effect in cultivated land compared with non-cultivated land (forests, wetlands, pastures, parks). Indeed, soils with higher weatherable mineral reserves and subsequently higher Si availability are preferred for agriculture.

 As soil extractants are only proxies for plant-available Si concentrations (e.g., Wu et al. 2020), the liming effect on soil Si dynamics should be addressed by quantifying plant Si concentrations. Although some studies showed that liming had no significant effect on plant Si uptake (Bhat et al. 2010; Castro and Crusciol 2013; Keeping et al. 2017), others found a marked decrease (Mathews et al. 2009; Tavakkoli et al. 2011). In particular, Mathews et al. (2009) noted a decrease in Si concentrations of *Pennisetum clandestinum* of about 30% with increasing calcium carbonate application, and in the pH range of 5.2-6.2. This study could highlight that raising soil pH above 6.0 may reduce plant Si uptake, possibly because of increased Si adsorption and subsequent decline of Si plant-availability. In addition, raising pH will increase polymerization rates of

 monosilicic acid and its precipitation as amorphous silica, which could also decrease Si plant- availability (Icopini et al. 2005; Schaller et al. 2021). Besides, phytolith dissolution rates strongly increase in this pH range (Fraysse et al. 2009) which possibly enhances plant Si uptake (Guntzer et al. 2012), but the size of the phytogenic Si pool is low compared with the litho/pedogenic-Si pool (Alexandre et al. 1997, 2011; Sommer et al. 2013). Phytoliths can, therefore, not be the main factor of Si plant-availability and subsequent plant uptake in certain pedological contexts (Keller et al. 2021). Overall, the effect of liming on soil-plant Si dynamics is still unclear because numerous antagonistic processes occur on different time scales (Fig. 3d) (Haynes 2019; Vander Linden and Delvaux 2019).

Conclusions and perspectives

 Soil-plant Si cycling is mainly studied through the prism of abiotic mineral weathering or plant Si uptake followed by soil phytoliths dissolution (e.g., Bartoli 1983; Lucas et al. 1993; Alexandre et al. 1997; de Tombeur et al. 2020a), while biotic factors tend to be overlooked. Besides, numerous studies on biological weathering have been conducted, on different scales (Barker et al. 1998; Banfield et al. 1999; Lucas 2001; Uroz et al. 2009; Finlay et al. 2020), but rarely in the framework of plant Si nutrition and subsequent positive influence on plant performance or crop yield. We have stressed the importance of biotic factors such as mycorrhizal associations, SSB, soil macrofauna, large herbivores and root exudates on soil-plant Si mobility, and suggest different mechanisms by which these processes may affect Si dynamics and stimulate soil-plant Si cycling in agroecosystems. The time has come to seriously consider biotic factors, both in natural and agricultural systems, because Si is involved in numerous functions that contribute to

 plant performance and stress regulation, which may impact plant productivity and crop yield. We suggest the following aspects to be considered in the near future:

818 1. What is the abundance and diversity of SSB in soils? In which soil types/mineralogy are they found most? To what extent do SSB contribute to the plant-available Si pool and subsequent plant Si uptake compared with abiotic mineral weathering, and by what mechanisms? How do agricultural practices and cropping systems impact SSB abundance, diversity, and functions?

 2. By which mechanisms do mycorrhizal fungi increase plant Si concentrations? Can plant Si nutrition be improved through common mycorrhizal networks? Does an increase in plant Si concentrations as affected by mycorrhizal fungi mostly occur in nutrient-depleted soils?

3. To what extent do non-mycorrhizal nutrient-acquisition strategies influence soil Si mobilization and plant Si uptake? By which mechanisms? If root exudates mobilize Si from soil minerals, does this occur only in P-depleted soils and without P fertilization? Can we exploit these strategies to improve crop Si nutrition in cropping systems such as cereal-legume intercropping?

 4. Is the earthworms-related increase in soil-plant Si mobility significant at soil profile scales? Do cover crops, intercropping or integrated crop-livestock systems influence this process by modifying earthworm abundance and diversity?

 5. What is the impact of large herbivores on global Si biogeochemistry? To what extent do 836 they stimulate soil-plant Si mobility in natural ecosystems and integrated crop-livestock systems? Do integrated crop-livestock systems influence Si dynamics though changes in microbial community and biomass, soil aggregation or organic matter dynamics?

 6. Does the long-term recycling of crop residues significantly increase plant-available and plant Si concentrations, and slow down long-term soil desilication? What are the long- term advantages and disadvantages of different residue management practices (i.e. direct incorporation, burning, or biochar/manure/compost production and subsequent application)? How does decomposition dynamics influence Si release from phytolith dissolution in different geopedoclimatic contexts, and for different plant species?

 7. What are the short- and long-term effects of cover crops on soil-plant Si mobility? Can legume cover crops significantly stimulate Si mobility compared with grass cover crops? Does the absence of cover crops significantly increase soil phytolith losses through soil erosion?

 8. How do soil aggregation and soil structure impact soil-plant Si dynamics? Do *microbial hotspots* in soil aggregate fractions and at surfaces of minerals affect soil Si dynamics and release into the soil solution? Is it significant at the soil profile scale? How do modifications of soil structure and aggregation by anthropogenic land transformations (ploughing, soil pH-induced modification by liming, clay dispersion associated with high sodium content in saline-sodic soils) influence these processes?

References

- Ahmed E, Holmström SJM (2014) Siderophores in environmental research: Roles and
- applications. Microb Biotechnol 7:196–208. https://doi.org/10.1111/1751-7915.12117
- Alexandre A, Bouvet M, Abbadie L (2011) The role of savannas in the terrestrial Si cycle: A
- case-study from Lamto, Ivory Coast. Glob Planet Change 78:162–169.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloplacha.2011.06.007
- Alexandre A, Meunier J-D, Colin F, Koud J-M (1997) Plant impact on the biogeochemical cycle
- of silicon and related weathering processes. Geochim Cosmochim Acta 61:677–682.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/S0016-7037(97)00001-X
- Alvarez-Campos O, Lang TA, Bhadha JH, et al (2018) Biochar and mill ash improve yields of
- sugarcane on a sand soil in Florida. Agric Ecosyst Environ 253:122–130.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2017.11.006
- Alves LA, Denardin LG de O, Martins AP, et al (2019) Soil acidification and P, K, Ca and Mg
- budget as affected by sheep grazing and crop rotation in a long-term integrated crop-
- livestock system in southern Brazil. Geoderma 351:197–208.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2019.04.036
- Banfield JF, Barker WW, Welch SA, Taunton A (1999) Biological impact on mineral
- dissolution: Application of the lichen model to understanding mineral weathering in the
- rhizosphere. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 96:3404–3411.
- https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.96.7.3404
- Bardgett RD, Wardle DA (2003) Herbivore-mediated linkages between aboveground and
- belowground communities. Ecology 84:2258–2268

bacteria on aluminosilicate weathering. Am Mineral 83:1551–1563.

https://doi.org/10.2138/am-1998-11-1243

- Bartoli F (1983) The biogeochemical cycle of silicon in two temperate forest ecosystems. Ecol Bull 35:469–476
- Beasley DE, Koltz AM, Lambert JE, et al (2015) The evolution of stomach acidity and its relevance to the human microbiome. PLoS One 10:1–12.
- https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0134116
- Beckwith RS, Reeve R (1964) Studies on soluble silica in soils. II. The release of monosilicic acid from soils. Aust J Soil Res 2:33–45
- Bennett PC, Rogers JR, Choi WJ, Hiebert FK (2001) Silicates, silicate weathering, and microbial ecology. Geomicrobiol J 18:3–19. https://doi.org/10.1080/01490450151079734
- Bhat JA, Kundu MC, Hazra GC, et al (2010) Rehabilitating acid soils for increasing crop
- productivity through low-cost liming material. Sci Total Environ 408:4346–4353.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2010.07.011
- Bidle KD, Azam F (1999) Accelerated dissolution of diatom silica by marine bacterial
- assemblages. Nature 397:508–512. https://doi.org/10.1038/17351
- Bist V, Niranjan A, Ranjan M, et al (2020) Silicon-solubilizing media and its implication for
- characterization of bacteria to mitigate biotic stress. Front Plant Sci 11:28.
- https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2020.00028

- bioavailability of silicon in soil. Soil Biol Biochem 99:47–53.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2016.04.022
- Blackman E, Bailey CB (1971) Dissolution of silica from dried grass in nylon bags placed in the
- rumen of a cow. Can J Anim Sci 51:327–332
- Blecker SW, McCulley RL, Chadwick OA, Kelly EF (2006) Biologic cycling of silica across a grassland bioclimosequence. Global Biogeochem Cycles 20:1–11.
- https://doi.org/10.1029/2006GB002690
- Blouin M, Hodson ME, Delgado EA, et al (2013) A review of earthworm impact on soil function and ecosystem services. Eur J Soil Sci 64:161–182. https://doi.org/10.1111/ejss.12025
- Bosecker K (1997) Bioleaching: metal solubilization by microorganisms. FEMS Microbiol Lett 20:591–604
- Brantley SL (2008) Kinetics of mineral dissolution. In: Brantley SL, Kubicki JD, White AF (eds) Kinetics of water–rock interaction. New York: Springer Science & Business Media., pp 151–210
- Bray AW, Oelkers EH, Bonneville S, et al (2015) The effect of pH, grain size, and organic
- ligands on biotite weathering rates. Geochim Cosmochim Acta 164:127–145.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2015.04.048
- Brewer KM, Gaudin ACM (2020) Potential of crop-livestock integration to enhance carbon
- sequestration and agroecosystem functioning in semi-arid croplands. Soil Biol Biochem

149:107936. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2020.107936

- Brooker RW, Bennett AE, Cong WF, et al (2015) Improving intercropping: A synthesis of
- research in agronomy, plant physiology and ecology. New Phytol 206:107–117.
- https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.13132
- Brucker E, Kernchen S, Spohn M (2020) Release of phosphorus and silicon from minerals by soil
- microorganisms depends on the availability of organic carbon. Soil Biol Biochem
- 143:107737. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2020.107737
- Brundrett MC (2002) Coevolution of roots and mycorrhizas of land plants. New Phytol 154:275–
- 304. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1469-8137.2002.00397.x
- Burghelea C, Zaharescu DG, Dontsova K, et al (2015) Mineral nutrient mobilization by plants
- from rock: influence of rock type and arbuscular mycorrhiza. Biogeochemistry 124:187–

203. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10533-015-0092-5

- Buss HL, Lüttge A, Brantley SL (2007) Etch pit formation on iron silicate surfaces during
- siderophore-promoted dissolution. Chem Geol 240:326–342.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2007.03.003
- Calvaruso C, Turpault MP, Frey-Klett P (2006) Root-associated bacteria contribute to mineral
- weathering and to mineral nutrition in trees: A budgeting analysis. Appl Environ Microbiol
- 72:1258–1266. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.72.2.1258-1266.2006
- Cama J, Ganor J (2006) The effects of organic acids on the dissolution of silicate minerals: A
- case study of oxalate catalysis of kaolinite dissolution. Geochim Cosmochim Acta 70:2191–

2209. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2006.01.028

- Carey JC, Fulweiler RW (2016) Human appropriation of biogenic silicon the increasing role of agriculture. Funct Ecol 30:1331–1339. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.12544
- Carlos FS, Oliveira Denardin LG, Martins AP, et al (2020) Integrated crop–livestock systems in
- lowlands increase the availability of nutrients to irrigated rice. L Degrad Dev 31:2962–2972.
- https://doi.org/10.1002/ldr.3653
- Carpenter D, Hodson ME, Eggleton P, Kirk C (2007) Earthworm induced mineral weathering:
- Preliminary results. Eur J Soil Biol 43:176–183. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejsobi.2007.08.053
- Castro GSA, Crusciol CAC (2013) Yield and mineral nutrition of soybean, maize, and congo
- signal grass as affected by limestone and slag. Pesqui Agropecu Bras 48:673–681.

https://doi.org/10.1590/S0100-204X2013000600013

- Caubet M, Cornu S, Saby NPA, Meunier J-D (2020) Agriculture increases the bioavailability of
- silicon, a beneficial element for crop, in temperate soils. Sci Rep 10:19999.
- https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-77059-1
- Chadwick OA, Chorover J (2001) The chemistry of pedogenic thresholds. Geoderma 100:321– 353. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0016-7061(01)00027-1
- Chandrakala C, Voleti SR, Bandeppa S, et al (2019) Silicate solubilization and plant growth
- promoting potential of *Rhizobium* Sp. isolated from rice rhizosphere. Silicon 11:2895–2906.
- https://doi.org/10.1007/s12633-019-0079-2
- Christl I, Brechbühl Y, Graf M, Kretzschmar R (2012) Polymerization of silicate on hematite
- surfaces and its influence on arsenic sorption. Environ Sci Technol 46:13235–13243.
- https://doi.org/10.1021/es303297m
- 981 Churchman GJ, Lowe D. (2012) Alteration, formation, and occurrence of minerals in soils.
- Handb Soil Sci Prop Process 1:20–72. https://doi.org/doi:10.1201/b11267-24
- Clark RB, Zeto SK (2000) Mineral acquisition by arbuscular mycorrhizal plants. J Plant Nutr
- 23:867–902. https://doi.org/10.1080/01904160009382068
- Clark RB, Zeto SK (1996) Mineral acquisition by mycorrhizal maize grown on acid and alkaline
- soil. Soil Biol Biochem 28:1495–1503. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0038-0717(96)00163-0
- Clymans W, Struyf E, Govers G, et al (2011) Anthropogenic impact on amorphous silica pools in temperate soils. Biogeosciences 8:2281–2293. https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-8-2281-2011
-
- Colombo C, Palumbo G, He JZ, et al (2014) Review on iron availability in soil: Interaction of Fe minerals, plants, and microbes. J Soils Sediments 14:538–548.
- https://doi.org/10.1007/s11368-013-0814-z
- Cong WF, Hoffland E, Li L, et al (2015) Intercropping enhances soil carbon and nitrogen. Glob
- Chang Biol 21:1715–1726. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12738
- Cooke J, Leishman MR (2016) Consistent alleviation of abiotic stress with silicon addition: a
- meta-analysis. Funct Ecol 30:1340–1357. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.12713
- Cooke J, Leishman MR (2011) Is plant ecology more siliceous than we realise? Trends Plant Sci
- 16:61–68. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2010.10.003
- Cornelis J-T, Delvaux B (2016) Soil processes drive the biological silicon feedback loop. Funct
- Ecol 30:1298–1310. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.12704
- Cornelis J-T, Ranger J, Iserentant A, Delvaux B (2010) Tree species impact the terrestrial cycle
- of silicon through various uptakes. Biogeochemistry 97:231–245.
- https://doi.org/10.1007/s10533-009-9369-x
- Cornu S, Montagne D, Hubert F, et al (2012) Evidence of short-term clay evolution in soils under
- human impact. Comptes Rendus Geosci 344:747–757.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crte.2012.09.005
- Coskun D, Deshmukh R, Sonah H, et al (2019) The controversies of silicon's role in plant
- biology. New Phytol 221:67–85. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.15343
- Covacevich F, Echeverría HE, Aguirrezabal LAN (2007) Soil available phosphorus status
- determines indigenous mycorrhizal colonization of field and glasshouse-grown spring wheat
- from Argentina. Appl Soil Ecol 35:1–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2006.06.001
- Dakora FD, Phillips DA (2002) Root exudates as mediators of mineral acquisition in low-nutrient
- environments. Plant Soil 245:35–47. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1020809400075
- Datnoff LE, Snyder GH, Korndörfer GH (2001) Silicon in Agriculture, Volume 8
- de Tombeur F, Cooke J, Collard L, et al (2021a) Biochar affects silicification patterns and
- physical traits of rice leaves cultivated in a desilicated soil (Ferric Lixisol). Plant Soil
- 460:375–390. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-020-04816-6
- de Tombeur F, Cornélis J-T, Lambers H (2021b) Silicon mobilisation by root-released
- carboxylates. Trends Plant Sci accepted pending revisions

- de Tombeur F, Turner BL, Laliberté E, et al (2020b) Silicon dynamics during 2 million years of
- soil development in a coastal dune chronosequence under a Mediterranean climate.
- Ecosystems 23:1614–1630. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10021-020-00493-9
- de Tombeur F, Vander Linden C, Cornélis J-T, et al (2020c) Soil and climate affect foliar
- silicification patterns and silica-cellulose balance in sugarcane (*Saccharum officinarum*).

Plant Soil 452:529–546. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-020-04588-z

- Debona D, Rodrigues FA, Datnoff LE (2017) Silicon's role in abiotic and biotic plant stresses.
- Annu Rev Phytopathol 55:85–107. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-phyto-080516-035312
- Derry LA, Kurtz AC, Ziegler K, Chadwick OA (2005) Biological control of terrestrial silica

cycling and export fluxes to watersheds. Nature 433:728–731.

- https://doi.org/10.1038/nature03299
- Deshmukh R, Bélanger RR (2016) Molecular evolution of aquaporins and silicon influx in plants.

Funct Ecol 30:1277–1285. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.12570

Deshmukh R, Sonah H, Belanger RR (2020) New evidence defining the evolutionary path of

- aquaporins regulating silicon uptake in land plants. J Exp Bot 71:6775–6788.
- https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/eraa342
- Desplanques V, Cary L, Mouret JC, et al (2006) Silicon transfers in a rice field in Camargue
- (France). J Geochemical Explor 88:190–193. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gexplo.2005.08.036
- Deveau A, Bonito G, Uehling J, et al (2018) Bacterial-fungal interactions: Ecology, mechanisms
- and challenges. FEMS Microbiol Rev 42:335–352. https://doi.org/10.1093/femsre/fuy008
- Dietzel M (2002) Interaction of polysilicic and monosilicic acid with mineral surfaces. In: Stober
- I, Bucher K (eds) Water-Rock Interaction. Springer, Dordrecht, pp 207–235
- Dietzel M (2000) Dissolution of silicates and the stability of polysilicic acid. Geochim
- Cosmochim Acta 64:3275–3281
- Dong H, Kostka JE, Kim J (2003) Microscopic evidence for microbial dissolution of smectite.

Clays Clay Miner 51:502–512. https://doi.org/10.1346/CCMN.2003.0510504

- Dontsova K, Balogh-Brunstad Z, Le Roux G (2020) Biogeochemical Cycles: Ecological Drivers and Environmental Impact. Wiley
- Drever JI (1994) The effect of land plants on weathering rates of silicate minerals. Geochim Cosmochim Acta 58:2325–2332. https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-7037(94)90013-2
- Drever JI, Stillings LL (1997) The role of organic acids in mineral weathering. Colloids Surfaces
- A Physicochem Eng Asp 120:167–181. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0927-7757(96)03720-X
- Ehrlich H, Demadis KD, Pokrovsky OS, Koutsoukos PG (2010) Modern views on
- desilicification: Biosilica and abiotic silica dissolution in natural and artificial environments.
- Chem Rev 110:4656–4689. https://doi.org/10.1021/cr900334y
- Eneji AE, Inanaga S, Muranaka S, et al (2008) Growth and nutrient use in four grasses under
- drought stress as mediated by silicon fertilizers. J Plant Nutr 31:355–365.
- https://doi.org/10.1080/01904160801894913
- Epstein E (1994) The anomaly of silicon in plant biology. Proc Natl Acad Sci 91:11–17.
- https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.91.1.11
- Euteneuer P, Wagentristl H, Steinkellner S, et al (2020) Contrasting effects of cover crops on
- earthworms: Results from field monitoring and laboratory experiments on growth,
- reproduction and food choice. Eur J Soil Biol 100:103225.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejsobi.2020.103225
- Farmer VC, Delbos E, Miller JD (2005) The role of phytolith formation and dissolution in
- controlling concentrations of silica in soil solutions and streams. Geoderma 127:71–79.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2004.11.014
- Finlay RD, Mahmood S, Rosenstock N, et al (2020) Reviews and syntheses: Biological
- weathering and its consequences at different spatial levels From nanoscale to global scale.

Biogeosciences 17:1507–1533. https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-17-1507-2020

- Fisher RA (1929) A preliminary note on the effect of sodium silicate in increasing the yield of
- barley. J Agric Sci 19:132–139. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0021859600011217
- Flemming HC, Wingender J (2010) The biofilm matrix. Nat Rev Microbiol 8:623–633.
- https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro2415

Fraysse F, Pokrovsky OS, Schott J, Meunier JD (2009) Surface chemistry and reactivity of plant

phytoliths in aqueous solutions. Chem Geol 258:197–206.

- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2008.10.003
- Fraysse F, Pokrovsky OS, Schott J, Meunier JD (2006b) Surface properties, solubility and
- dissolution kinetics of bamboo phytoliths. Geochim Cosmochim Acta 70:1939–1951.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2005.12.025
- Frew A, Powell JR, Allsopp PG, et al (2017a) Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi promote silicon
- accumulation in plant roots, reducing the impacts of root herbivory. Plant Soil 419:423–433.
- https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-017-3357-z

- fungi stimulates immune function whereas high root silicon concentrations diminish growth
- in a soil-dwelling herbivore. Soil Biol Biochem 112:117–126
- Frew A, Powell JR, Johnson SN (2020) Aboveground resource allocation in response to root
- herbivory as affected by the arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis. Plant Soil 447:463–473.
- https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-019-04399-x
- Gadd GM (2017) Fungi, rocks, and minerals. Elements 13:171–176.
- https://doi.org/10.2113/gselements.13.3.171
- Garg N, Bhandari P (2016) Silicon nutrition and mycorrhizal inoculations improve growth,
- nutrient status, K+/Na+ ratio and yield of *Cicer arietinum* L. genotypes under salinity stress.
- Plant Growth Regul 78:371–387. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10725-015-0099-x
- Garg N, Singh S (2018) Mycorrhizal inoculations and silicon fortifications improve rhizobial
- symbiosis, antioxidant defense, trehalose turnover in pigeon pea genotypes under cadmium
- and zinc stress. Plant Growth Regul 86:105–119. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10725-018-0414-
- 4
- Gattullo CE, Allegretta I, Medici L, et al (2016) Silicon dynamics in the rhizosphere:
- Connections with iron mobilization. J Plant Nutr Soil Sci 179:409–417.
- https://doi.org/10.1002/jpln.201500535
- Gbongue LR, Lalaymia I, Zeze A, et al (2019) Increased silicon acquisition in bananas colonized
- by *Rhizophagus irregularis* MUCL 41833 reduces the incidence of *Pseudocercospora*
- *fijiensis*. Front Plant Sci 9:1977. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2018.01977
- Georgiadis A, Marhan S, Lattacher A, et al (2019) Do earthworms affect the fractionation of
- silicon in soil? Pedobiologia (Jena) 75:1–7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pedobi.2019.05.001
- Georgiadis A, Sauer D, Herrmann L, et al (2013) Development of a method for sequential Si
- extraction from soils. Geoderma 209–210:251–261.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2013.06.023
- Gérard F, Mayer KU, Hodson MJ, Ranger J (2008) Modelling the biogeochemical cycle of
- silicon in soils: Application to a temperate forest ecosystem. Geochim Cosmochim Acta
- 72:741–758. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2007.11.010
- Gerke J, Römer W, Jungk A (1994) The excretion of citric and malic acid by proteoid roots of
- *Lupinus albus* L.; effects on soil solution concentrations of phosphate, iron, and aluminum
- in the proteoid rhizosphere in samples of an oxisol and a luvisol. J Plant Nutr Soil Sci

157:289–294. https://doi.org/10.1002/jpln.19941570408

- Goldich SS (1938) A study in rock-weathering. J Geol 46:17–58
- Golubev S V., Pokrovsky OS, Schott J (2005) Experimental determination of the effect of
- dissolved CO2 on the dissolution kinetics of Mg and Ca silicates at 25 °C. Chem Geol
- 217:227–238. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2004.12.011
- Guennoc CM, Rose C, Labbé J, Deveau A (2018) Bacterial biofilm formation on the hyphae of
- ectomycorrhizal fungi: A widespread ability under controls? FEMS Microbiol Ecol 94:1–14.
- https://doi.org/10.1093/femsec/fiy093
- Guntzer F, Keller C, Poulton PR, et al (2012) Long-term removal of wheat straw decreases soil
- amorphous silica at Broadbalk, Rothamsted. Plant Soil 352:173–184.
- https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-011-0987-4
- Hall AD, Morison CGT (1906) On the function of silica in the nutrition of cereals.―Part I. Proc
- R Soc London Ser B 77:455–477. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.1906.0035
- Hallama M, Pekrun C, Lambers H, Kandeler E (2019) Hidden miners the roles of cover crops
- and soil microorganisms in phosphorus cycling through agroecosystems. Plant Soil 434:7–
- 45. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-018-3810-7
- Hartley SE, DeGabriel JL (2016) The ecology of herbivore-induced silicon defences in grasses.

Funct Ecol 30:1311–1322. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.12706

- Hasan KA, Soliman H, Baka Z, Shabana YM (2020) Efficacy of nano-silicon in the control of
- chocolate spot disease of *Vicia faba* L. caused by *Botrytis fabae*. Egypt J Basic Appl Sci 7:53–66. https://doi.org/10.1080/2314808x.2020.1727627

- Hayes P, Turner BL, Lambers H, Laliberté E (2014) Foliar nutrient concentrations and resorption
- efficiency in plants of contrasting nutrient-acquisition strategies along a 2-million-year dune
- chronosequence. J Ecol 102:396–410. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.12196
- Haynes RJ (2014) A contemporary overview of silicon availability in agricultural soils. J Plant
- Nutr Soil Sci 177:831–844. https://doi.org/10.1002/jpln.201400202
- Haynes RJ (2017) The nature of biogenic Si and its potential role in Si supply in agricultural
- soils. Agric Ecosyst Environ 245:100–111. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2017.04.021
- Haynes RJ (2019) What effect does liming have on silicon availability in agricultural soils?
- Geoderma 337:375–383. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2018.09.026
- Haynes RJ, Zhou YF (2018) Effect of pH and added slag on the extractability of Si in two Si-
- deficient sugarcane soils. Chemosphere 193:431–437.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2017.10.175
- He H, Wu M, Guo L, et al (2020) Release of tartrate as a major carboxylate by alfalfa (*Medicago*
- *sativa* L.) under phosphorus deficiency and the effect of soil nitrogen supply. Plant Soil
- 449:169–178. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-020-04481-9
- Henriet C, Bodarwé L, Dorel M, et al (2008a) Leaf silicon content in banana (*Musa* spp.) reveals
- the weathering stage of volcanic ash soils in Guadeloupe. Plant Soil 313:71–82.
- https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-008-9680-7
- Henriet C, De Jaeger N, Dorel M, et al (2008b) The reserve of weatherable primary silicates
- impacts the accumulation of biogenic silicon in volcanic ash soils. Biogeochemistry 90:209–
- 223. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10533-008-9245-0
- Herrero M, Thronton PK, Notenbaert AM, et al (2010) Smart investments in sustainable
- production: Revisiting mixed crop-livestock systems. Science (80-) 327:822–825
- Hilbrandt I, Lehmann V, Zietzschmann F, et al (2019) Quantification and isotherm modelling of
- competitive phosphate and silicate adsorption onto micro-sized granular ferric hydroxide.
- RSC Adv 9:23642–23651. https://doi.org/10.1039/c9ra04865k
- Hingston FJ, Posner AM, Quirk JP (1972) Anion adsoprtion by goethite and gibbsite. I. The role
- of the proton in determining adsorption envelopes. J Soil Sci 23:177–192
- Hingston FJ, Raupach M (1967) The reaction between monosilicic acid and aluminium hydroxide. Aust J Soil Res 5:295–309
- Hinsinger P, Betencourt E, Bernard L, et al (2011) P for two, sharing a scarce resource: Soil
- phosphorus acquisition in the rhizosphere of intercropped species. Plant Physiol 156:1078–
- 1086. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.111.175331
- Hinsinger P, Fernandes Barros ON, Benedetti MF, et al (2001) Plant-induced weathering of a
- basaltic rock: Experimental evidence. Geochim Cosmochim Acta 65:137–152.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/S0016-7037(00)00524-X
- Hodson MJ (2019) The relative importance of cell wall and lumen phytoliths in carbon
- sequestration in soil: A hypothesis. Front Earth Sci 7:167.
- https://doi.org/10.3389/feart.2019.00167
- Hodson MJ, White PJ, Mead A, Broadley MR (2005) Phylogenetic variation in the silicon
- composition of plants. Ann Bot 96:1027–1046. https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mci255
- Högberg P, Nordgren A, Buchmann N, et al (2001) Large-scale forest girdling shows that current
- photosynthesis drives soil respiration. Nature 411:789–792.
- https://doi.org/10.1038/35081058
- Hömberg A, Obst M, Knorr KH, et al (2020) Increased silicon concentration in fen peat leads to a
- release of iron and phosphate and changes in the composition of dissolved organic matter.
- Geoderma 374:114422. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2020.114422
- Hossain KA, Horiuchi T, Miyagawa S (2001) Effects of silicate materials on growth and grain
- yield of rice plants grown in clay loam and sandy loam soils. J Plant Nutr 24:1–13.
- https://doi.org/10.1081/PLN-100000308
- Houben D, Sonnet P (2012) Zinc mineral weathering as affected by plant roots. Appl
- Geochemistry 27:1587–1592. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeochem.2012.05.004
- Houben D, Sonnet P, Cornelis JT (2014) Biochar from *Miscanthus*: A potential silicon fertilizer.
- Plant Soil 374:871–882. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-013-1885-8
- Hu L, Xia M, Lin X, et al (2018) Earthworm gut bacteria increase silicon bioavailability and
- acquisition by maize. Soil Biol Biochem 125:215–221.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2018.07.015
- Hu L, Xu CC, Wang J, et al (2019) Application of bryophyte rhizoid-associated bacteria
- increases silicon accumulation and growth in maize (*Zea mays* L.) seedlings. Appl Ecol

Environ Res 17:13423–13433. https://doi.org/10.15666/aeer/1706_1342313433

- Huang F, Gao LY, Wu RR, et al (2020) Qualitative and quantitative characterization of
- adsorption mechanisms for Cd2+ by silicon-rich biochar. Sci Total Environ 731:139163.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.139163
- Hughes HJ, Hung DT, Sauer D (2020) Silicon recycling through rice residue management does
- not prevent silicon depletion in paddy rice cultivation. Nutr Cycl Agroecosystems 118:75–
- 89. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10705-020-10084-8
- Hummel J, Findeisen E, Südekum KH, et al (2011) Another one bites the dust: Faecal silica
- levels in large herbivores correlate with high-crowned teeth. Proc R Soc B Biol Sci

278:1742–1747. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2010.1939

- Hwang BC, Metcalfe DB (2021) Reviews and syntheses: Impacts of plant-silica–herbivore
- interactions on terrestrial biogeochemical cycling. Biogeosciences 18:1259–1268.
- https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-18-1259-2021
- Ibrahim M, Khan S, Hao X, Li G (2016) Biochar effects on metal bioaccumulation and arsenic
- speciation in alfalfa (*Medicago sativa* L.) grown in contaminated soil. Int J Environ Sci

Technol 13:2467–2474. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13762-016-1081-5

- Icopini GA, Brantley SL, Heaney PJ (2005) Kinetics of silica oligomerization and nanocolloid
- formation as a function of pH and ionic strength at 25°C. Geochim Cosmochim Acta

69:293–303. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2004.06.038

- Ingham RE, Trofymow JA, Ingham ER, Coleman DC (1985) Interactions of bacteria, fungi, and
- their nematode grazers: Effects on nutrient cycling and plant growth. Ecol Monogr 55:119–
- 140. https://doi.org/10.2307/1942528
- Jackson TA (1971) Biochemical weathering of calcium-bearing minerals by rhizosphere micro-
- organisms, and its influence on calcium accumulation in trees. Plant Soil 35:655–658
- Jiang Y, Wang W, Xie Q, et al (2017) Plants transfer lipids to sustain colonization by mutualistic
- mycorrhizal and parasitic fungi. Science (80-) 356:1172–1173.
- https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aam9970
- Johnson SN, Hartley SE, Moore BD (2021) Silicon defence in plants: Does herbivore identity
- matter? Trends Plant Sci 26:99–101. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2020.10.005
- Jones LHP, Handreck KA (1963) Effects of iron and aluminium oxides on silica in solution in soils. Nature 198:852–853
- Jordan N, Marmier N, Lomenech C, et al (2009) Competition between selenium (IV) and silicic
- acid on the hematite surface. Chemosphere 75:129–134.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2008.11.018
- Jouquet P, Jamoteau F, Majumdar S, et al (2020) The distribution of Silicon in soil is influenced
- by termite bioturbation in South Indian forest soils. Geoderma 372:114362.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2020.114362
- Jouquet P, Mamou L, Lepage M, Velde B (2002) Effect of termites on clay minerals in tropical
- soils: Fungus-growing termites as weathering agents. Eur J Soil Sci 53:521–528.
- https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2389.2002.00492.x
- Jouquet P, Traoré S, Choosai C, et al (2011) Influence of termites on ecosystem functioning.
- Ecosystem services provided by termites. Eur J Soil Biol 47:215–222.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejsobi.2011.05.005
- Kabas S, Saavedra-Mella F, Huynh T, et al (2017) Metal uptake and organic acid exudation of
- native *Acacia* species in mine tailings. Aust J Bot 65:357–367.
- https://doi.org/10.1071/BT16189
- Kang SM, Waqas M, Shahzad R, et al (2017) Isolation and characterization of a novel silicate-
- solubilizing bacterial strain *Burkholderia eburnea* CS4-2 that promotes growth of japonica
- rice (*Oryza sativa* L. cv. Dongjin). Soil Sci Plant Nutr 63:233–241.
- https://doi.org/10.1080/00380768.2017.1314829
- Katz O (2019) Silicon content is a plant functional trait: implications in a changing world. Flora
- 254:88–94. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.flora.2018.08.007
- Keeping MG, Miles N, Rutherford RS (2017) Liming an acid soil treated with diverse silicon
- sources: Effects on silicon uptake by sugarcane (*Saccharum* spp. hybrids). J Plant Nutr
- 40:1417–1436. https://doi.org/10.1080/01904167.2016.1267751
- Keller C, Guntzer F, Barboni D, et al (2012) Impact of agriculture on the Si biogeochemical
- cycle: Input from phytolith studies. Comptes Rendus Geosci 344:739–746.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crte.2012.10.004
- Keller C, Rizwan M, Meunier J-D (2021) Are clay minerals a significant source of Si for crops?
- A comparison of amorphous silica and the roles of the mineral type and pH. Silicon In press: https://doi.org/10.1007/s12633-020-00877-5
- Kelly EF, Chadwick OA, Hilinski TE (1998) The effect of plants on mineral weathering.
- Biogeochemistry 42:21–53
- Kim J, Dong H, Seabaugh J, et al (2004) Role of microbes in the smectite-to-illite reaction.
- Science (80-) 303:830–832. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1093245
- Klotzbücher A, Klotzbücher T, Jahn R, et al (2018a) Effects of Si fertilization on Si in soil
- solution, Si uptake by rice, and resistance of rice to biotic stresses in Southern Vietnam.
- Paddy Water Environ 16:243–252. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10333-017-0610-2
- Klotzbücher T, Klotzbücher A, Kaiser K, et al (2018b) Impact of agricultural practices on plant-
- available silicon. Geoderma 331:15–17. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2018.06.011

- matter as mechanism controlling silicon mobility in soil. Sci Rep 1–11.
- https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-68042-x
- Konhauser KO, Lalonde S V., Amskold L, Holland HD (2007) Was there really an archean

phosphate crisis? Science (80-) 315:1234. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1136328

- Kostic L, Nikolic N, Bosnic D, et al (2017) Silicon increases phosphorus (P) uptake by wheat
- under low P acid soil conditions. Plant Soil 419:447–455. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104- 017-3364-0
- Kothari SK, Marschner H, Römheld V (1990) Direct and indirect effects of VA mycorrhizal
- fungi and rhizosphere microorganisms on acquisition of mineral nutrients by maize (*Zea*
- *mays* L.) in a calcareous soil. New Phytol 116:637–645. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-
- 8137.1990.tb00549.x
- Koyama S, Hayashi H (2017) Rice yield and soil carbon dynamics over three years of applying
- rice husk charcoal to an Andosol paddy field. Plant Prod Sci 20:176–182.
- https://doi.org/10.1080/1343943X.2017.1290506
- Kundu S, Kamath MB, Goswami NN (1988) Effect of sulphate, silicate and fluoride anions I.
- Phosphate fixation in soils. J Indian Soc Soil Sci 36:43–47

- Lambers H, Albornoz F, Kotula L, et al (2018) How belowground interactions contribute to the
- coexistence of mycorrhizal and non-mycorrhizal species in severely phosphorus-
- impoverished hyperdiverse ecosystems. Plant Soil 424:11–33.
- https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-017-3427-2
- Lambers H, Finnegan PM, Laliberté E, et al (2011) Phosphorus nutrition of proteaceae in
- severely phosphorus-impoverished soils: Are there lessons to be learned for future crops?
- Plant Physiol 156:1058–1066. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.111.174318
- Lambers H, Hayes PE, Laliberté E, et al (2015) Leaf manganese accumulation and phosphorus-
- acquisition efficiency. Trends Plant Sci 20:83–90.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2014.10.007
- Lambers H, Raven JA, Shaver GR, Smith SE (2008) Plant nutrient-acquisition strategies change
- with soil age. Trends Ecol Evol 23:95–103. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2007.10.008
- Lambers H, Shane MW, Cramer MD, et al (2006) Root structure and functioning for efficient
- acquisition of phosphorus: Matching morphological and physiological traits. Ann Bot
- 98:693–713. https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcl114
- Lauwers AM, Heinen W (1974) Bio-degradation and utilization of silica and quartz. Arch
- Microbiol 95:67–78. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02451749
- le Roux E, van Veenhuisen LS, Kerley GIH, Cromsigt JPGM (2020) Animal body size
- distribution influences the ratios of nutrients supplied to plants. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A
- 117:22256–22263. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2003269117

Leake JR, Read DJ (2017) Mycorrhizal Symbioses and Pedogenesis Throughout Earth's History.

- In: Mycorrhizal Mediation of Soil: Fertility, Structure, and Carbon Storage. Elsevier Inc., pp 9–33
- Lee KE, Adhikari A, Kang SM, et al (2019) Isolation and characterization of the high silicate and
- phosphate solubilizing novel strain enterobacter ludwigii GAK2 that promotes growth in
- rice plants. Agronomy 9:. https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy9030144
- Leksungnoen P, Wisawapipat W, Ketrot D, et al (2019) Biochar and ash derived from silicon-rich
- rice husk decrease inorganic arsenic species in rice grain. Sci Total Environ 684:360–370. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.05.247
- Lemaire G, Franzluebbers A, Carvalho PC de F, Dedieu B (2014) Integrated crop-livestock
- systems: Strategies to achieve synergy between agricultural production and environmental
- quality. Agric Ecosyst Environ 190:4–8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2013.08.009
- Li C, Hoffland E, Kuyper TW, et al (2020a) Syndromes of production in intercropping impact

yield gains. Nat Plants 6:653–660. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41477-020-0680-9

- Li L, Tilman D, Lambers H, Zhang FS (2014) Plant diversity and overyielding: Insights from
- belowground facilitation of intercropping in agriculture. New Phytol 203:63–69.
- https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.12778
- Li Z, de Tombeur F, Linden C Vander, et al (2020b) Soil microaggregates store phytoliths in a
- sandy loam. Geoderma 360:114037. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2019.114037
- Li Z, Delvaux B (2019) Phytolith‐ rich biochar: A potential Si fertilizer in desilicated soils. GCB
- Bioenergy 11:. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcbb.12635
- Li Z, Delvaux B, Yans J, et al (2018) Phytolith-rich biochar increases cotton biomass and silicon-
- mineralomass in a highly weathered soil. J Plant Nutr Soil Sci.
- https://doi.org/10.1002/jpln.201800031
- Li Z, Unzué-Belmonte D, Cornelis J-T, et al (2019) Effects of phytolithic rice-straw biochar, soil
- buffering capacity and pH on silicon bioavailability. Plant Soil.
- https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-019-04013-0
- Liang Y, Nikolic M, Bélanger R, et al (2015a) Silicon biogeochemistry and bioavailability in soil. In: Silicon in Agriculture. pp 45–68
- Liang Y, Nikolic M, Bélanger RR, et al (2015b) Silicon in Agriculture. From theory to practice.
- Liang Y, Sun W, Zhu YG, Christie P (2007) Mechanisms of silicon-mediated alleviation of
- abiotic stresses in higher plants: A review. Environ Pollut 147:422–428.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2006.06.008
- Liermann LJ, Kalinowski BE, Brantley SL, Ferry JG (2000) Role of bacterial siderophores in
- dissolution of hornblende. Geochim Cosmochim Acta 64:587–602.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/S0016-7037(99)00288-4
- Limmer MA, Mann J, Amaral DC, et al (2018) Silicon-rich amendments in rice paddies: Effects
- on arsenic uptake and biogeochemistry. Sci Total Environ 624:1360–1368.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.12.207

- Liu W, Xu X, Wu X, et al (2006) Decomposition of silicate minerals by Bacillus mucilaginosus
- in liquid culture. Environ Geochem Health 28:133–140. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10653-005-
- 9022-0
- Liu X, Li L, Bian R, et al (2014) Effect of biochar amendment on soil-silicon availability and rice uptake. J Plant Nutr Soil Sci 177:91–96. https://doi.org/10.1002/jpln.201200582
- Lucas Y (2001) The role of plants in controlling rates and products of weathering: Importance of
- biological pumping. Annu Rev Earth Planet Sci 29:135–163.
- https://doi.org/10.1002/fut.10088
- Lucas Y, Luizão FJ, Chauvel A, et al (1993) The relation between biological activity of the rain
- forest and mineral composition of soils. Science (80-) 260:521–523.
- https://doi.org/10.1126/science.260.5107.521
- Łukowiak M (2020) Utilizing sponge spicules in taxonomic, ecological and environmental
- reconstructions: a review. Peer J e10601. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.10601
- Ma J, Takahashi E (1991a) Effect of silicate on phosphate availability for rice in a P-deficient
- soil. Plant Soil 133:151–155. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00009187
- Ma J, Takahashi E (1990a) The effect of silicic acid on rice in a P-deficient soil. Plant Soil
- 126:121–125. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00041377
- Ma J, Takahashi E (1991b) Availability of rice straw Si to rice plants. Soil Sci Plant Nutr 37:111–
- 116. https://doi.org/10.1080/00380768.1991.10415016
- Ma JF (2004) Role of silicon in enhancing the resistance of plants to biotic and abiotic stresses.
- Soil Sci Plant Nutr 50:11–18. https://doi.org/10.1080/00380768.2004.10408447
- Ma JF, Takahashi E (1990b) Effect of silicon on the growth and phosphorus uptake of rice. Plant
- Soil 126:115–119. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00041376
- Ma JF, Tamai K, Yamaji N, et al (2006) A silicon transporter in rice. Nature 440:688–691.
- https://doi.org/10.1038/nature04590
- Ma JF, Yamaji N, Mitani N, et al (2007) An efflux transporter of silicon in rice. Nature 448:209–
- 212. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature05964
- Mariotte P, Mehrabi Z, Bezemer TM, et al (2018) Plant–Soil Feedback: Bridging Natural and
- Agricultural Sciences. Trends Ecol Evol 33:129–142.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2017.11.005
- Marschner H, Dell B (1994) Nutrient uptake in mycorrhizal symbiosis. Plant Soil 159:89–102.
- https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00000098
- Martin-Guay MO, Paquette A, Dupras J, Rivest D (2018) The new Green Revolution:
- Sustainable intensification of agriculture by intercropping. Sci Total Environ 615:767–772.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.10.024
- Marxen A, Klotzbücher T, Jahn R, et al (2016) Interaction between silicon cycling and straw
- decomposition in a silicon deficient rice production system. Plant Soil 398:153–163.
- https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-015-2645-8
- Mathews BW, Carpenter JR, Sollenberger LE (2009) In vitro digestibility and chemical
- composition of kikuyugrass as influenced by soil silicon, liming, and genotype. Commun
- Soil Sci Plant Anal 40:2855–2873. https://doi.org/10.1080/00103620903173855
- Matychenkov VV, Ammosova YM (1996) Effect of amorphous silica on some properties of a
- sod-podzolic soil. Eurasian Soil Sci 28:87–99
- McKeague JA, Cline MG (1963) Silica in soil solutions. II. The adsorption of monosilicic acid by soil and by other substances. Can J Soil Sci 43:83–96
- Meena VD, Dotaniya ML, Coumar V, et al (2014) A case for silicon fertilization to improve crop
- yields in tropical soils. Proc Natl Acad Sci India Sect B Biol Sci 84:505–518.
- https://doi.org/10.1007/s40011-013-0270-y
- Meharg C, Meharg AA (2015) Silicon, the silver bullet for mitigating biotic and abiotic stress,
- and improving grain quality, in rice? Environ Exp Bot 120:8–17.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envexpbot.2015.07.001
- Meunier JD, Colin F, Alarcon C (1999) Biogenic silica storage in soils. Geology 27:835–838.
- https://doi.org/10.1130/0091-7613(1999)027<0835:BSSIS>2.3.CO;2
- Meunier JD, Sandhya K, Prakash NB, et al (2018) pH as a proxy for estimating plant-available
- Si? A case study in rice fields in Karnataka (South India). Plant Soil 432:143–155.
- https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-018-3758-7
- Meyer JH, Keeping MG (2000) Review of Research Into the Role of Silicon for Sugarcane
- Production. Proc South African Sugar Technol Assoc 74:29–40
- Mikha MM, Rice CW (2004) Tillage and Manure Effects on Soil and Aggregate-Associated

- Miles N, Manson AD, Rhodes R, et al (2014) Extractable silicon in soils of the South African
- sugar industry and relationships with crop uptake. Commun Soil Sci Plant Anal 45:2949–
- 2958. https://doi.org/10.1080/00103624.2014.956881
- Müller DWH, Caton J, Codron D, et al (2011) Phylogenetic constraints on digesta separation:
- Variation in fluid throughput in the digestive tract in mammalian herbivores. Comp
- Biochem Physiol Part A Mol Integr Physiol 160:207–220
- Nakamura R, Cornelis J, de Tombeur F, et al (2020) Diversity of silicon release rates among
- tropical tree species during leaf-litter decomposition. Geoderma 368:.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2020.114288
- Neu S, Schaller J, Dudel EG (2017) Silicon availability modifies nutrient use efficiency and
- content, C:N:P stoichiometry, and productivity of winter wheat (Triticum aestivum L.). Sci
- Rep 7:1–8. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep40829
- Nguyen MN, Picardal F, Dultz S, et al (2017) Silicic acid as a dispersibility enhancer in a Fe-
- oxide-rich kaolinitic soil clay. Geoderma 286:8–14.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2016.10.029
- Ning C, Qu J, He L, et al (2017) Improvement of yield, pest control and Si nutrition of rice by
- rice-water spinach intercropping. F Crop Res 208:34–43.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2017.04.005
- Nottle MC, Armstrong JM (1966) Urinary excretion of silica by grazing sheep. Aust J Agric Res

17:165–173

- Obihara CH, Russell EW (1972) Specific adsorption of silicate and phosphate by soils. J Soil Sci 23:105–117
- Or D, Keller T, Schlesinger WH (2021) Natural and managed soil structure: On the fragile
- scaffolding for soil functioning. Soil Tillage Res 208:104912.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2020.104912
- Owino-Gerroh C, Gascho GJ (2004) Effect of silicon on low pH soil phosphorus sorption and on
- uptake and growth of maize. Commun Soil Sci Plant Anal 35:2369–2378.
- https://doi.org/10.1081/LCSS-200030686
- Oye Anda CC, Opfergelt S, Declerck S (2016) Silicon acquisition by bananas (c.V. Grande
- Naine) is increased in presence of the arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus Rhizophagus
- irregularis MUCL 41833. Plant Soil 409:77–85. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-016-2954-6
- Pang J, Bansal R, Zhao H, et al (2018) The carboxylate-releasing phosphorus-mobilizing strategy
- can be proxied by foliar manganese concentration in a large set of chickpea germplasm
- under low phosphorus supply. New Phytol 219:518–529. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.15200
- Pastore G, Kernchen S, Spohn M (2020) Microbial solubilization of silicon and phosphorus from
- bedrock in relation to abundance of phosphorus-solubilizing bacteria in temperate forest
- soils. Soil Biol Biochem 151:108050. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2020.108050
- Pavlovic J, Kostic L, Bosnic P, et al (2021) Interactions of silicon with essential and beneficial
- elements in plants. Front Plant Sci 12:697592. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2021.697592
- Pawlik Ł, Phillips JD, Šamonil P (2016) Roots, rock, and regolith: Biomechanical and
- biochemical weathering by trees and its impact on hillslopes—A critical literature review.

Earth-Science Rev 159:142–159. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2016.06.002

- Philippini V, Naveau A, Catalette H, Leclercq S (2006) Sorption of silicon on magnetite and
- other corrosion products of iron. J Nucl Mater 348:60–69.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnucmat.2005.09.002
- Phonde DB, Deshmukh PS, Banerjee K, Adsule PG (2014) Plant available silicon in sugarcane
- soils and its relationship with soil properties, leaf silicon and cane yield. An Asian J Soil Sci
- 9:176–180. https://doi.org/10.15740/has/ajss/9.2/176-180
- Puppe D (2020) Review on protozoic silica and its role in silicon cycling. Geoderma 365:114224. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2020.114224
- Puppe D, Ehrmann O, Kaczorek D, et al (2015) The protozoic Si pool in temperate forest
- ecosystems Quantification, abiotic controls and interactions with earthworms. Geoderma
- 243–244:196–204. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2014.12.018
- Puppe D, Höhn A, Kaczorek D, et al (2016) As time goes by-Spatiotemporal changes of biogenic
- Si pools in initial soils of an artificial catchment in NE Germany. Appl Soil Ecol 105:9–16.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2016.01.020
- Puppe D, Kaczorek D, Schaller J, et al (2021) Crop straw recycling prevents anthropogenic
- desilication of agricultural soil–plant systems in the temperate zone Results from a long-
- 1481 term field experiment in NE Germany. Geoderma 403:115187.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2021.115187

- and butter? Trends Plant Sci 22:652–660. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2017.05.008
- Richardson AE, Barea JM, McNeill AM, Prigent-Combaret C (2009) Acquisition of phosphorus
- and nitrogen in the rhizosphere and plant growth promotion by microorganisms. Plant Soil
- 321:305–339. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-009-9895-2
- Richardson AE, Lynch JP, Ryan PR, et al (2011) Plant and microbial strategies to improve the
- phosphorus efficiency of agriculture. Plant Soil 349:121–156.
- https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-011-0950-4
- Ritchie H, Roser M (2013) "Crop Yields." In: Publ. online OurWorldInData.org. Retrieved from "https//ourworldindata.org/crop-yields"
- Roarty S, Hackett RA, Schmidt O (2017) Earthworm populations in twelve cover crop and weed management combinations. Appl Soil Ecol 114:142–151.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2017.02.001
- Rohatgi A (2012) WebPlotDigitalizer: HTML5 based online tool to extract numerical data from plot images. Version 4.2
- Russelle MP, Entz MH, Franzluebbers AJ (2007) Reconsidering integrated crop-livestock
- systems in North America. Agron J 99:325–334. https://doi.org/10.2134/agronj2006.0139
- Sauer D, Saccone L, Conley DJ, et al (2006) Review of methodologies for extracting plant-
- available and amorphous Si from soils and aquatic sediments. Biogeochemistry 80:89–108.
- https://doi.org/10.1007/s10533-005-5879-3
- Savant NK, Korndörfer GH, Datnoff LE, Snyder GH (1999) Silicon nutrition and sugarcane
- production: A review. J Plant Nutr 22:1853–1903.
- https://doi.org/10.1080/01904169909365761
- Schaller J, Frei S, Rohn L, Gilfedder BS (2020) Amorphous Silica Controls Water Storage
- Capacity and Phosphorus Mobility in Soils. Front Environ Sci 8:94.
- https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2020.00094
- Schaller J, Puppe D, Kaczorek D, et al (2021) Silicon cycling in soils revisited. Plants 10:295. https://doi.org/10.3390/plants10020295
- Schaller J, Turner BL, Weissflog A, et al (2018) Silicon in tropical forests: large variation across
- soils and leaves suggests ecological significance. Biogeochemistry 140:161–174.
- https://doi.org/10.1007/s10533-018-0483-5
- Schmidt O, Clements RO, Donaldson G (2003) Why do cereal-legume intercrops support large
- earthworm populations? Appl Soil Ecol 22:181–190. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0929-
- 1393(02)00131-2
- Schmidt O, Curry JP, Hackett RA, et al (2001) Earthworm communities in conventional wheat
- monocropping and low-input wheat-clover intercropping systems. Ann Appl Biol 138:377–
- 388. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-7348.2001.tb00123.x
- Schoelynck J, Subalusky AL, Struyf E, et al (2019) Hippos (*Hippopotamus amphibius*): The
- animal silicon pump. Sci Adv 5:eaav0395
- Schröer HC, Krasko A, Le Pennec G, et al (2003) Silicase, an Enzyme Which Degrades
- Biogenous Amorphous Silica: Contribution to the Metabolism of Silica Deposition in the
- Demosponge Suberites domuncula. In: Springer (ed) Silicon Biomineralization. Progress in
- Molecular and Subcellular Biology, vol 33. Berlin, pp 249–268
- Schulmann OP, Tiunov, Alexei V (1999) Leaf litter fragmentation by the earthworm *Lumbricus*
- *terrestris* L. Pedobiologia (Jena) 43:453–458
- Seleiman MF, Refay Y, Al-Suhaibani N, et al (2019) Integrative Effects of Rice-Straw Biochar
- and Silicon on Oil and Seed Quality , Yield and Physiological Traits of Helianthus annuus L
- . Grown under Water Deficit Stress. Agronomy 9:1–21
- Seyfferth AL, Kocar BD, Lee JA, Fendorf S (2013) Seasonal dynamics of dissolved silicon in a
- rice cropping system after straw incorporation. Geochim Cosmochim Acta 123:120–133.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2013.09.015
- Shewmaker GE, Mayland HF, Rosenau RC, Asay KH (1989) Silicon in C-3 Grasses: Effects on

Forage Quality and Sheep Preference. J Range Manag 42:122.

- https://doi.org/10.2307/3899308
- Singh KP, Sarkar MC (1992) Phosphorus availability in soils as affected by fertilizer phosphorus,
- sodium silicate and farmyeard manure. J Indian Soc Soil Sci 40:762–767
- Sistani KR, Savant NK, Reddy KC (1997) Effect of rice hull ash silicon on rice seedling growth.
- J Plant Nutr 20:195–201. https://doi.org/10.1080/01904169709365242
- Sitters J, Kimuyu DM, Young TP, et al (2020) Negative effects of cattle on soil carbon and
- nutrient pools reversed by megaherbivores. Nat Sustain 3:360–366.
- https://doi.org/10.1038/s41893-020-0490-0
- Six J, Bossuyt H, Degryze S, Denef K (2004) A history of research on the link between
- (micro)aggregates, soil biota, and soil organic matter dynamics. Soil Tillage Res 79:7–31.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2004.03.008
- Smith HA, McSorley R (2000) Intercropping and pest management: A Review of major concepts.
- Am Entomol 46:154–161. https://doi.org/10.1093/ae/46.3.154
- Smith S, Read D (2008) Mycorrhizal Symbiosis. Elsevier
- Smits MM, Hoffland E, Jongmans AG, van Breemen N (2005) Contribution of mineral tunneling
- to total feldspar weathering. Geoderma 125:59–69.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2004.06.005
- Smits MM, Wallander H (2017) Role of Mycorrhizal Symbiosis in Mineral Weathering and
- Nutrient Mining from Soil Parent Material. In: Mycorrhizal Mediation of Soil: Fertility,
- Structure, and Carbon Storage. Elsevier Inc., pp 35–46
- Smyth TJ, Sanchez PA (1980) Effect of lime, silicate, and phosphorus applications to an Oxisol
- on phosphorus sorption and ion retention. Soil Sci Soc Am J 44:500–505
- Sommer M, Jochheim H, Höhn A, et al (2013) Si cycling in a forest biogeosystem-the
- importance of transient state biogenic Si pools. Biogeosciences 10:4991–5007.
- https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-10-4991-2013
- Sommer M, Kaczorek D, Kuzyakov Y, Breuer J (2006) Silicon pools and fluxes in soils and
- landscapes—a review. J Plant Nutr Soil Sci 169:310–329.
- https://doi.org/10.1002/jpln.200521981
- Song A, Li Z, Zhang J, et al (2009) Silicon-enhanced resistance to cadmium toxicity in Brassica
- chinensis L. is attributed to Si-suppressed cadmium uptake and transport and Si-enhanced
- antioxidant defense capacity. J Hazard Mater 172:74–83.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2009.06.143
- Song W, Ogawa N, Oguchi CT, et al (2007) Effect of *Bacillus subtilis* on granite weathering: A
- laboratory experiment. Catena 70:275–281. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catena.2006.09.003
- Song Z, Wang H, Strong PJ, Shan S (2014) Increase of available soil silicon by Si-rich manure
- for sustainable rice production. Agron Sustain Dev 34:813–819.
- https://doi.org/10.1007/s13593-013-0202-5
- Soratto RP, Crusciol CAC, Castro GSA, et al (2012) Leaf application of silicic acid to white oat and wheat. Rev Bras Ciência do Solo 36:1538–1544. https://doi.org/10.1590/s0100-
- 06832012000500018
- Steuer P, Südekum K-H, Müller DWH, et al (2011) Is there an influence of body mass on digesta
- mean retention time in herbivores? A comparative study on ungulates. Comp Biochem
- Physiol Part A Mol Integr Physiol 160:355–364
- Stillings LL, Drever JI, Brantley SL, et al (1996) Rates of feldspar dissolution at pH 3–7 with 0–8
- mM oxalic acid. Chem Geol 132:79–89. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0009-2541(96)00043-5
- Street-Perrott FA, Barker PA (2008) Biogenic silica: a neglected component of the coupled
- global continental biogeochemical cycles of carbon and silicon. Earth Surf Process
- Landforms 33:1436–1457. https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.1712

Uroz S, Calvaruso C, Turpault MP, Frey-Klett P (2009) Mineral weathering by bacteria: ecology,

- actors and mechanisms. Trends Microbiol 17:378–387.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tim.2009.05.004
- Van Breemen N, Finlay R, Lundström U, et al (2000) Mycorrhizal weathering: A true case of
- mineral plant nutrition? Biogeochemistry 49:53–67.
- https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1006256231670
- Van Der Heijden MGA, Bardgett RD, Van Straalen NM (2008) The unseen majority: Soil
- microbes as drivers of plant diversity and productivity in terrestrial ecosystems. Ecol Lett
- 11:296–310. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2007.01139.x
- Van Der Heijden MGA, Klironomos JN, Ursic M, et al (1998) Mycorrhizal fungal diversity
- determines plant biodiversity, ecosystem variability and productivity. Nature 396:69–72
- van Hees PAW, Lundström US, Mörth CM (2002) Dissolution of microcline and labradorite in a
- forest O horizon extract: The effect of naturally occurring organic acids. Chem Geol
- 189:199–211. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0009-2541(02)00141-9
- van Hees PAW, Rosling A, Lundström US, Finlay RD (2006) The biogeochemical impact of
- ectomycorrhizal conifers on major soil elements (Al, Fe, K and Si). Geoderma 136:364–377.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2006.04.001
- van Schöll L, Hoffland E, Van Breemen N (2006) Organic anion exudation by ectomycorrhizal
- fungi and Pinus sylvestris in response to nutrient deficiencies. New Phytol 170:153–163.
- https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2006.01649.x
- van Schöll L, Kuyper TW, Smits MM, et al (2008) Rock-eating mycorrhizas: their role in plant
- nutrition and biogeochemical cycles. Plant Soil 303:35–47. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104- 007-9513-0
- Vandenkoornhuyse P, Quaiser A, Duhamel M, et al (2015) The importance of the microbiome of
- the plant holobiont. New Phytol 206:1196–1206. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.13312
- Vander Linden C, Delvaux B (2019) The weathering stage of tropical soils affects the soil-plant
- cycle of silicon, but depending on land use. Geoderma 351:209–220.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2019.05.033
- Vandevenne FI, Barão AL, Schoelynck J, et al (2013) Grazers: Biocatalysts of terrestrial silica
- cycling. Proc R Soc B Biol Sci 280:1–9. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2013.2083
- Vandevenne FI, Barão L, Ronchi B, et al (2015) Silicon pools in human impacted soils of
- temperate zones. Global Biogeochem Cycles 29:1439–1450.
- https://doi.org/10.1002/2014GB005049.Received
- Veldhuis MP, Gommers MI, Olff H, Berg MP (2018) Spatial redistribution of nutrients by large
- herbivores and dung beetles in a savanna ecosystem. J Ecol 106:422–433.
- https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.12874
- Vernavá MN, Phillips-Aalten PM, Hughes LA, et al (2004) Influences of preceding cover crops
- on slug damage and biological control using *Phasmarhabditis hermaphrodita*. Ann Appl
- Biol 145:279–284. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-7348.2004.tb00384.x
- Vessey JK (2003) Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria as biofertilizers. Plant Soil 255:571–586. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1026037216893

- plants shared under unequal terms of trade. Plant Physiol 159:789–797.
- https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.112.195727
- Wang HY, Shen QH, Zhou JM, et al (2011) Plants use alternative strategies to utilize
- nonexchangeable potassium in minerals. Plant Soil 343:209–220.
- https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-011-0726-x
- Wang M, Wang JJ, Tafti ND, et al (2019a) Effect of alkali-enhanced biochar on silicon uptake
- and suppression of gray leaf spot development in perennial ryegrass. Crop Prot 119:9–16.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cropro.2019.01.013
- Wang RR, Wang Q, He LY, et al (2015) Isolation and the interaction between a mineral-
- weathering Rhizobium tropici Q34 and silicate minerals. World J Microbiol Biotechnol
- 31:747–753. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11274-015-1827-0
- Wang Y, Xiao X, Xu Y, Chen B (2019b) Environmental Effects of Silicon within Biochar
- (Sichar) and Carbon-Silicon Coupling Mechanisms: A Critical Review. Environ Sci Technol
- 53:13570–13582. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.9b03607
- Wang Y, Zhang K, Lu L, et al (2020) Novel insights into effects of silicon-rich biochar (Sichar)
- amendment on cadmium uptake, translocation and accumulation in rice plants. Environ
- Pollut 265:114772. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2020.114772
- Watanabe T, Luu HM, Inubushi K (2017) Effects of the continuous application of rice straw
- compost and chemical fertilizer on soil carbon and available silicon under a double rice
- cropping system in the Mekong Delta, Vietnam. Japan Agric Res Q 51:233–239.

https://doi.org/10.6090/jarq.51.233

- Wedepohl KH (1995) The composition of the continental crust. Geochim Cosmochim Acta 59:1217–1232. https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-7037(95)00038-2
- Welch SA, Ullman WJ (1999) The effect of microbial glucose metabolism on bytownite feldspar
- dissolution rates between 5°and 35°C. Geochim Cosmochim Acta 63:3247–3259.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/S0016-7037(99)00248-3
- Wickramasinghe DB, Rowell DL (2006) The release of silicon from amorphous silica and rice
- straw in Sri Lankan soils. Biol Fertil Soils 42:231–240. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00374-005-
- 0020-2
- WRB (2015) World reference base for soil resources 2014 International soil classification system for naming soils and creating legends for soil maps. FAO, Rome, Italy
- Wright AL, Hons FM (2005) Soil Carbon and Nitrogen Storage in Aggregates from Different
- Tillage and Crop Regimes. Soil Sci Soc Am J 69:141–147.
- https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj2005.0141a
- Wu L, Jacobson AD, Hausner M (2008) Characterization of elemental release during microbe-
- 1699 granite interactions at $T = 28$ °C. Geochim Cosmochim Acta 72:1076–1095.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2007.11.025
- Wu W, Limmer MA, Seyfferth AL (2020) Quantitative assessment of plant‐ available silicon
- extraction methods in rice paddy soils under different management. Soil Sci Soc Am J
- 84:618–626. https://doi.org/10.1002/saj2.20013

- between methods. Soil Sci Soc Am J 54:1130–1135
- Zaharescu DG, Burghelea CI, Dontsova K, et al (2019) Ecosystem-bedrock interaction changes
- nutrient compartmentalization during early oxidative weathering. Sci Rep 9:15006.
- https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-51274-x
- Zahra MK, Monib M, Abdel-Al SI, Heggo A (1984) Significance of Soil Inoculation with
- Silicate Bacteria. Zentralbl Mikrobiol 139:349–357. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0232-
- 4393(84)80013-x
- Zemunik G, Turner BL, Lambers H, Laliberté E (2015) Diversity of plant nutrient-acquisition
- strategies increases during long-term ecosystem development. Nat Plants 1:1–4.
- https://doi.org/10.1038/nplants.2015.50
- Zhu Y, Gong H (2014) Beneficial effects of silicon on salt and drought tolerance in plants. Agron
- Sustain Dev 34:455–472. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13593-013-0194-1
- Zuo Y, Zhang F, Li X, Cao Y (2000) Studies on the improvement in iron nutrition of peanut by

intercropping with maize on a calcareous soil. Plant Soil 220:13–25.

- https://doi.org/10.1023/a:1004724219988
-
-
-
-
-

 Fig. 1 – Global production of the eight most important crops in 2018, from 1961 to 2018 (source 1759 $=$ FAOSTAT).

-
- **Fig. 2** Biotic and abiotic factors influencing soil-plant Si dynamics. This figure was created
- using BioRender.

 Fig. 3 – Effects of agricultural practices on soil-plant silicon (Si) dynamics. **(a)** The transfer of Si stored in the cover crop to soil via plant residues may substantially increase the soil phytogenic Si pool (PhSi), thus contributing to increase plant-available Si concentrations (available Si) (# 1).

 Cover crops could also lower phytolith losses though reduced erosion (Adetunji et al. 2020) (# 2), modify microbial diversity and earthworm abundance (Roarty et al. 2017; Euteneuer et al. 2020) (# 3), and provide a legacy of increased mycorrhizal abundance/root exudates (Hallama et al. 2019) (# 4), thereby impacting soil-plant Si dynamics. **(b)** Cereal-legume intercropping may increase plant-available Si concentrations for the cereal crops, but decrease it for the legume crops (Ning et al. 2017) (# 1). Numerous facilitation processes with beneficial effects on soil- plant Si mobility may also occur (# 2): taking advantage of different nutrient-acquisition strategies (Li et al. 2014; Xue et al. 2016), sharing nutrients via common mycorrhizal network (Walder et al. 2012), or modifying microbial diversity (Brooker et al. 2015), including silicate- solubilizing bacteria (SSB). Cereal-legume intercropping may also increase plant-available Si concentrations and plant Si uptake through enhanced earthworm abundance and biomass (Schmidt et al. 2001, 2003) (# 3). **(c)** Integrating crops and livestock will increase Si release from phytoliths in animal dung (Vandevenne et al. 2013), which will provide Si for the main crop and pasture grass (# 1). Pasture/crop rotation could also reduce long-term soil desilication by reducing Si export from harvest (once every two year) (# 2). Crop-livestock systems modify physical, chemical and biological properties of the rhizosphere (Brewer and Gaudin 2020), that could, in turn, influence soil-plant Si dynamics (# 3). **(d)** Increase in soil pH after liming increases Si adsorption on soil colloids, which, in turn, reduces Si concentrations in the soil solution. Raising pH above 4.5-5.0 decreases aluminosilicate dissolution rates, while raising pH above 7.5-8.0 increases those rates (Drever 1994; Kelly et al. 1998; Haynes 2019). Raising pH in the range 3.0-9.0 increases phytolith dissolution rates (Fraysse et al. 2009). The pH/liming effects

- on Si absorption by soil living organisms and plants is unknown. This figure was created using
- BioRender.