

# Silicon dynamics through the lens of soil-plant-animal interactions: perspectives for agricultural practices

Félix de Tombeur, Philippe Roux, Jean-Thomas Cornelis

### ▶ To cite this version:

Félix de Tombeur, Philippe Roux, Jean-Thomas Cornelis. Silicon dynamics through the lens of soilplant-animal interactions: perspectives for agricultural practices. Plant and Soil, 2021, 467 (1-2), pp.1-28. 10.1007/s11104-021-05076-8. hal-04693933

## HAL Id: hal-04693933 https://hal.science/hal-04693933v1

Submitted on 11 Sep 2024

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

#### 1 Marschner Review

# Silicon dynamics through the lens of soil-plant-animal interactions: perspectives for agricultural practices

- 4 Félix de Tombeur<sup>1\*</sup>, Philippe Roux<sup>1</sup>, Jean-Thomas Cornelis<sup>2</sup>
- <sup>1</sup>TERRA Teaching and Research Centre, Gembloux Agro-Bio Tech, University of Liege, Gembloux, Belgium
- <sup>6</sup> <sup>2</sup>Faculty of Land and Food Systems, The University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada
- 7 \*Corresponding author
- 8 9 **ORCID numbers of the authors:** Félix de Tombeur (0000-0002-6012-8458), Philippe Roux (0000-0002-0146-0928), Jean-Thomas 10 Cornélis (0000-0003-0205-7345)

#### 11 12 Acknowledgements

- We sincerely thank Hans Lambers for inviting us to write this review, and for providing helpful and constructive comments before the initial submission. J-T.C and F. dT were supported by 'Fonds National de la Recherche
- 15 Scientifique' of Belgium (FNRS; Research Credit Grant for the project SiCliNG CDR J.0117.18).
- 16

#### 17 Declarations

- 18 Funding
- J-T.C and F.dT were supported by "Fonds National de la Recherche Scientifique" of Belgium (FNRS; Research
   Credit Grant for the project SiCliNG CDR J.0117.18)
- 21 Conflicts of interest/Competing interests
- 22 The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.
- 23 Availability of data and material
- All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article and its supplementary information files.
- 26 *Code availability*
- 27 Not applicable
- 28 *Ethics approval*
- 29 Not applicable
- 30 *Consent to participate*
- 31 Not applicable
- 32 Consent for publication
- 33 Not applicable
- 34
- 35
- 36
- 37
- 38
- 39

#### 40 Abstract

#### 41 Background

42 Silicon (Si) is increasingly recognized as a pivotal beneficial element for plants in ecology and 43 agricultural sciences, but soil-plant Si cycling has been considered mostly through the prism of 44 abiotic mineral weathering, whilst numerous biological processes have been overlooked. 45 Leveraging ecological processes that impact soil-plant Si cycling in cropping systems might 46 improve crop Si status, but this remains hypothetical to date.

47 *Scope* 

We aim to comprehensively compile information about biotic and abiotic processes driving soilplant Si cycling, and translate their potential beneficial effects in agricultural practices. We emphasize the fundamental need to consider the effects of agricultural practices on Si mobility in soil-plant systems when striving towards sustainable agroecosystems.

#### 52 *Conclusions*

Regarding soil abiotic factors, degree of soil weathering, mineralogy, texture and pH are key 53 predictors of soil Si dynamics, while soil aggregation processes deserve further investigation. The 54 biological processes associated with mycorrhizal associations, silicate-solubilizing bacteria, and 55 soil macrofauna enhance Si mobility in soil-plant systems, while the effect of root exudates is 56 likely, but deserves further studies. Large herbivores strongly affect soil-plant Si mobility by 57 increasing plant-derived Si turnover rates and redistribution, thereby making integrated crop-58 livestock systems a promising perspective to improve crop Si status. Recycling crop residues and 59 60 implementing suitable cover crops promotes Si mobility in soil-plant systems by leveraging the relatively high solubility of plant-derived Si-bearing minerals. The soil-root-microorganism 61

62 interactions facilitated by cereal-legume intercropping systems also contributes to the mobility of 63 Si in the soil-plant continuum. The capacity of certain agricultural practices to increase Si 64 mobility in soil-plant systems stresses the need to understand complex soil-plant-animal 65 interactions when aiming to enhance Si-based plant stress resistance in agroecosystems.

Keywords: large herbivores; cover crops; intercropping; integrated crop-livestock systems;
 nutrient-acquisition strategies; sustainable agroecosystems; recycling crop residues; facilitation;
 biochar

#### 69 Introduction

Silicon (Si) is taken up by all vascular plants and contributes to a wide range of functions 70 71 (Epstein 1994). It is deposited as hydrated amorphous silica in plant tissues (SiO<sub>2</sub>.nH<sub>2</sub>O; phytoliths) and helps mitigate several plant biotic and abiotic stresses (Ma 2004; Liang et al. 72 2007; Zhu and Gong 2014; Cooke and Leishman 2016; Hartley and DeGabriel 2016; Debona et 73 al. 2017; Coskun et al. 2019), can be used as a cheap plant structural component (Raven 1983), 74 and, eventually, increase plant primary productivity and crop yield (Savant et al. 1999; Liang et 75 76 al. 2015b; Tubana et al. 2016; Xu et al. 2020). The essentiality of Si for plants remains debated and challenging to assess (Epstein 1994; Coskun et al. 2019), but overwhelming evidence show 77 its importance for plant functioning. It is therefore increasingly considered a key element in plant 78 ecology (Cooke and Leishman 2011; Katz 2019) and agriculture, especially considering the 79 importance of Si-accumulating species in global food production (e.g., wheat, rice, sugarcane) 80 (Fig. 1) (Meyer and Keeping 2000; Datnoff et al. 2001; Haynes 2014; Liang et al. 2015b; Tubana 81 82 et al. 2016).

3

Over the last 30 years, soil scientists and biogeochemists studied Si in a wide range of 83 84 environments to explore the role of soil properties and vegetation on soil-plant Si cycling (Bartoli 1983; Alexandre et al. 1997; Lucas 2001; Derry et al. 2005; Sommer et al. 2006; Henriet et al. 85 2008b, a; Cornelis et al. 2010; Haynes 2014; Cornelis and Delvaux 2016; Meunier et al. 2018; 86 Vander Linden and Delvaux 2019; de Tombeur et al. 2020c, a, b; Schaller et al. 2021). The 87 processes and factors governing Si release rates from minerals are well documented (Sommer et 88 al. 2006; Churchman and Lowe 2012; Haynes 2014; Cornelis and Delvaux 2016; Schaller et al. 89 2021), as are the influence of plant-induced mechanisms (bioweathering and Si uptake) on 90 terrestrial Si cycling (Lucas et al. 1993; Alexandre et al. 1997; Street-Perrott and Barker 2008; 91 Haynes 2017; de Tombeur et al. 2020a). However, how certain aspects of the soil-plant-animal 92 continuum influence Si mobility in both natural systems and agroecosystems have been 93 overlooked, especially the contribution of biotic factors. Yet, a detailed understanding of soil-94 95 plant-animal interactions influencing Si dynamics is paramount if we seek to benefit from Sirelated plant functioning in agriculture (Acevedo et al. 2021). 96

Despite its ubiquity in soils (2<sup>nd</sup> most abundant element of the Earth's crust ; Wedepohl 1995), 97 long-term mineral weathering and subsequent desilication (i.e. Si loss by leaching) result in soils 98 with low plant-available Si concentrations in many areas of the world (approximately 3500 99 million hectares, as estimated by the land area supporting desilicated soils: Ferralsol, Podzol, 100 Arenosol, Lixisol, Plinthosol, Acrisol and Alisol; WRB 2015), particularly in tropical and 101 subtropical regions where soils tend to be older and leaching intensity greater (Savant et al. 102 1999). In addition, agriculture further enhances desilication by harvesting and exporting large 103 amount of Si (Desplanques et al. 2006; Struyf et al. 2010; Clymans et al. 2011; Guntzer et al. 104

2012; Keller et al. 2012; Vandevenne et al. 2015; Carey and Fulweiler 2016; Tubana et al. 2016; 105 106 Vander Linden and Delvaux 2019). These days, rock-derived Si fertilizers are routinely applied in some agroecosystems to counterbalance these detrimental effects (Savant et al. 1999; Datnoff 107 et al. 2001; Haynes 2014; Liang et al. 2015b), and intensifying this practice might even be 108 109 beneficial in less-weathered and desilicated environments (Tubana et al. 2016). However, such a practice relies on non-renewable resources, and low accessibility to common, rock-derived Si 110 fertilizers in some tropical regions can jeopardize the Si benefits in these sensitive 111 agroecosystems. Harnessing ecological processes that increase soil-plant Si mobility by 112 promoting specific agricultural practices may improve the Si status of crops worldwide, while 113 decreasing the need for non-renewable mineral fertilizers (Lambers et al. 2011; Richardson et al. 114 2011; Mariotte et al. 2018). Indeed, recent evidence demonstrates the positive impact of certain 115 agricultural practices such as intercropping, cover crops or integrated crop-livestock systems, on 116 117 nutrient management, especially for phosphorus (P) (Hallama et al. 2019; Tang et al. 2020; Carlos et al. 2020). 118

In this review, we aim to compile knowledge about biotic and abiotic factors that govern Si mobility in soil-plant systems and translate their potential benefits in agricultural practices. We specifically emphasize how overlooked ecological/biological processes are pivotal when favoring Si biocycling in agroecosystems, and advocate the permanent need to nurture our understanding of complex interactions between physico-chemical and biological soil processes to develop sustainable agroecosystems.

#### 125 Biotic and abiotic factors affecting soil-plant Si cycling

#### 126 Physico-chemical processes controlling soil Si dynamics

Over the past decade, many studies reported an increase in plant-available Si concentrations with 127 128 increasing soil pH when multiple soils were considered together (Phonde et al. 2014; Miles et al. 2014; Puppe et al. 2015; Klotzbücher et al. 2018b; Meunier et al. 2018; Schaller et al. 2018, 129 2021; Haynes 2019; Caubet et al. 2020; de Tombeur et al. 2020b). Prolonged soil acidification 130 131 during pedogenesis is associated with the loss of reactive Si-bearing minerals (through mineral dissolution and subsequent lixiviation of dissolved Si) and increased desilication (Savant et al. 132 1999; Chadwick and Chorover 2001; Sommer et al. 2006; Henriet et al. 2008a; Liang et al. 133 2015a; de Tombeur et al. 2020b). Consequently, plant-available Si concentrations inevitably 134 decrease with the relative enrichment of poorly weatherable minerals and the decrease in soil pH 135 during pedogenesis (Savant et al. 1999; Liang et al. 2015a; Haynes 2019; de Tombeur et al. 136 2020b). However, increasing soil pH is also associated to a decrease in plant-available Si 137 concentrations, because Si adsorption onto the surface of oxides and silicates increases gradually 138 139 to about pH 9.5, reflecting the H<sub>4</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub>/H<sub>3</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup> pKa of 9.47 (Jones and Handreck 1963; McKeague and Cline 1963; Beckwith and Reeve 1964; Hingston and Raupach 1967; Hingston et 140 al. 1972; Obihara and Russell 1972; Philippini et al. 2006; Nguyen et al. 2017; Haynes and Zhou 141 142 2018). This adsorption process is also dependent on condensation processes (Schaller et al. 2021) as adsorption of polysilicic acid is less reversible than monosilicic acid (Dietzel 2002). On the 143 other hand, a high pH could also increase plant-available Si concentrations via increasing 144 dissolution rates of aluminosilicates from soil pH 7.5/8 (Drever 1994; Kelly et al. 1998), together 145 with increased phytolith dissolution rates with increasing soil pH from 3 to 10 (Fraysse et al. 146 147 2006b, 2009). Overall, although the controls of soil weathering, mineralogy and texture on plantavailable Si are now well understood, especially for the silicate weathering domain (Cornelis and 148

Delvaux 2016; de Tombeur et al. 2020b), how high pH and carbonate minerals influence Si plantavailability remains unclear, because they are driven by antagonistic processes (Haynes 2019).

Beyond that, the complex physical organization of soil particles and accessibility to roots teach us 151 152 how challenging it is to make the assessment of nutrient and Si availability accurate and 153 reproducible. For instance, capturing the effect of condensation state of silicic acid on the measurements of plant-available Si by specific extractions (Schaller et al. 2021) is challenging. In 154 155 particular, the relation between soil pH and mineralogy should be visited through the lens of polymerization and depolymerization processes influencing the equilibrium from monosilicic 156 acid and polysilicic acid to amorphous silica precipitates over very short time scales (hours to 157 days) (Dietzel 2000). Indeed, polymerization rates of monosilicic acid and its precipitation as 158 159 amorphous silica increase strongly with increasing pH and ionic strength (Icopini et al. 2005). As suggested by Schaller et al. (2021), the activity of monosilicic acid in soil solution, which is 160 directly related to plant-available Si concentrations, is largely dependent on solid-liquid 161 162 equilibrium that vary on very short time scales (hours to days), because of daily and seasonal 163 variations of water dynamics in soil porosity (Zabowski and Ugolini 1990). The short-term 164 variations of water content are certainly a key driver of polymerization, complexation and adsorption reactions, which govern the availability of monosilicic acid for plants. The polymers 165 166 of polysilicic acid and submicrometric colloids of amorphous silica precipitating in soil porosity 167 could in turn influence the water holding capacity and aggregation, by clogging the porosity and 168 cementing particles together (Schaller et al. 2021).

169 Despite a potential role of amorphous silica on soil aggregation (Schaller et al. 2021), how the 170 interactions between soil mineral and organic constituents (Six et al. 2004) impact the extent to

which minerals can be dissolved in aggregates is not understood. In this regard, Li et al. (2020b) 171 172 showed that soil microaggregates contribute over 60% of the total phytolith stock in a Retisol. The authors proposed that entrapment of phytoliths in aggregates might slow down their 173 dissolution, and increase their persistence in soils and sediments. In addition, soil aggregates host 174 175 microbial hotspots in pores or at mineral surfaces creating specific soil micro-environments 176 where microbial processes are accelerated as compared to the average rates in the bulk soil, i.e. the hot moments (Kuzyakov and Blagodatskaya 2015). The bio-induced weathering processes in 177 these hotspots most likely also impact soil Si release rates into the soil solution (Uroz et al. 2009), 178 supporting the need to further investigate the role of soil aggregation on soil Si dynamics. This is 179 of special interest since anthropogenic land transformations (e.g., tillage) substantially impact soil 180 aggregation processes, affecting soil structure (Mikha and Rice 2004; Wright and Hons 2005; 181 Fonte et al. 2014; Or et al. 2021). 182

183 Besides the role of soil solution chemistry on Si availability in the vicinity of roots, it is also 184 recognized that Si compete with other ions via sorption/desorption mechanisms on soil 185 exchangeable sites (Matychenkov and Ammosova 1996; Klotzbücher et al. 2020). In particular, 186 research has long suggested competition between Si and phosphate ions (Smyth and Sanchez 1980; Kundu et al. 1988; Matychenkov and Ammosova 1996; Owino-Gerroh and Gascho 2004; 187 188 Konhauser et al. 2007; Reithmaier et al. 2017; Hilbrandt et al. 2019; Hömberg et al. 2020; 189 Klotzbücher et al. 2020; Schaller et al. 2020). Such mechanism could explain the benefits of Si addition on plant P nutrition (Hall and Morison 1906; Fisher 1929; Singh and Sarkar 1992; 190 191 Owino-Gerroh and Gascho 2004; Eneji et al. 2008; Neu et al. 2017), even though other mechanisms were postulated (Ma and Takahashi 1990a, b, 1991a; Kostic et al. 2017; Pavlovic et 192

al. 2021). In addition to P, Si may also compete with dissolved organic matter (Reithmaier et al.
2017; Klotzbücher et al. 2020), selenium (Jordan et al. 2009), arsenic (Christl et al. 2012) and
iron (Hömberg et al. 2020). Such sorption/desorption competitive mechanisms are pH-dependent,
with rates decreasing with pH given the lower chemical reactivity of monosilicic acid at acidic
conditions (Owino-Gerroh and Gascho 2004; Konhauser et al. 2007; Jordan et al. 2009; Christl et
al. 2012). It is therefore key to buffer the pH of the sorption/desorption isotherms because it is
certainly influenced by the use of alkaline Si fertilizers (e.g., calcium silicates).

Overall, although the controls of soil weathering degree and mineralogy on soil Si dynamics are 200 now well understood (Cornelis and Delvaux 2016), more attention should be given to the process 201 of polymerizing silicic acid from monosilicic and polysilicic acid to amorphous silica precipitates 202 203 (Schaller et al. 2021). Soil porosity, as well pore tortuosity and connectivity, should also be 204 considered for their influence on monosilicic acid activity in soil solution, as should soil aggregation. Finally, while the size and type of minerals as well as the ionic composition of pore 205 206 water together control dissolution kinetics, furthering how temporal variations of water content 207 (hourly to seasonally changes) affect plant-available Si is certainly worthwhile goal. Getting closer to the actual plant-availability of Si will therefore require to reconcile microscale soil 208 processes with the extractable solutions used to assess Si concentrations in soil solution. 209

#### 310 Biological processes controlling soil Si dynamics

#### 311 Silicon biocycling: the high reactivity of phytoliths

In addition to the weathering of rock-derived minerals, the dissolution of soil phytogenic silicates (phytoliths) also strongly impact Si dynamics (Bartoli 1983; Alexandre et al. 1997; Meunier et al. 1999; Derry et al. 2005; Farmer et al. 2005; Sommer et al. 2013; de Tombeur et al. 2020a).

Biogeochemical mass-balance calculations have long reported that a significant fraction of Si in 315 316 the soil solution is derived from the dissolution of the phytogenic Si pool (Bartoli 1983; Alexandre et al. 1997, 2011; Gérard et al. 2008), because of its high solubility compared with that 317 of crystalline Si-bearing minerals (Fraysse et al. 2006b, 2009; Cornelis and Delvaux 2016). This 318 319 challenged the common view that plant-available Si concentrations were mainly driven by soil 320 parent material, weathering degree, and subsequent soil mineralogy/texture (Savant et al. 1999; Chadwick and Chorover 2001; Henriet et al. 2008a, b; de Tombeur et al. 2020b). To reconcile the 321 control of geochemical and biological processes on Si release in the soil solution, Cornelis and 322 Delvaux (2016) suggested that the biological Si feedback loop (phytolith formation in plants and 323 324 dissolution in soils) takes over soil litho/pedogenic pools in advanced soil weathering stages. This contention was recently supported by the use of long-term soil chronosequences where plant-325 available Si concentrations are mainly governed by soil-derived Si-bearing minerals (clay 326 327 minerals) in early and intermediate stages of weathering (de Tombeur et al. 2020b), but increasingly by the recycling of phytoliths in old and highly-weathered soils dominated by 328 poorly-soluble quartz minerals (de Tombeur et al. 2020a). The significant effect of vegetation on 329 the soil-plant Si cycle explains why land-use changes and management affect the global Si cycle 330 (Struyf et al. 2010; Clymans et al. 2011; Vandevenne et al. 2015; Carey and Fulweiler 2016). 331

Some soil organisms also accumulate Si to form various siliceous structures (Ehrlich et al. 2010;
Puppe 2020). They are classified as zoogenic Si pool (e.g., sponge spicules that get into the soils
mostly from freshwaters through aeolian transport or *in situ* production; Łukowiak 2020),
bacterial Si (e.g., *Proteus mirabilis*, Lauwers and Heinen 1974), fungal Si, protozoic Si (e.g.,
testate amoeba shells) or protophytic Si (e.g., diatom frustules) (Sommer et al. 2006; Ehrlich et

al. 2010; Puppe et al. 2015; Puppe 2020). Recent evidence suggest that these pools are of a
similar magnitude as the phytogenic pool, and in turn influence the terrestrial Si cycle (Sommer
et al. 2013; Puppe et al. 2014, 2015, 2016). In particular, annual biosilicification from testate
amoebae ranges from 17 to 80 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> depending on soil and ecosystem properties which is
similar to or even exceeds annual Si uptake by terrestrial vegetation (Sommer et al. 2013; Puppe
et al. 2015; Vander Linden and Delvaux 2019). This pioneering work opened new perspectives
on the role of Si-based life forms on soil-plant Si cycling (Puppe 2020).

#### 344 Biological weathering of Si-bearing minerals

345 In addition to the production of an easily weatherable Si pool, plants are extremely active when it 346 comes to enhancing weathering ability of different soil constituents, either directly or indirectly. Nevertheless, plants are not stand-alone entities in their ability to affect Si cycling, but should be 347 considered as "holobionts", which includes the microbiome associated with their development 348 (Vandenkoornhuyse et al. 2015). There are numerous well-known mechanisms by which the 349 actors of the soil-plant continuum contribute to the dissolution of Si-bearing minerals and 350 extensive reviews can be found elsewhere (Finlay et al. 2020; Dontsova et al. 2020). Briefly, 351 these mechanisms can be divided into two categories: biochemical and biophysical weathering. 352 353 These processes are not mutually independent; rather, they often play cumulative or synergistic roles. 354

The main biochemical effect on Si dissolution is the modification of the chemical conditions in the rhizosphere. This occurs through the release of organic acids, either as a by-product of cellular metabolism or as root exudates, plant excretion of  $H^+$  in exchange for cationic nutrients, formation of carbonic acid (Golubev et al. 2005; Brantley 2008) through the release of CO<sub>2</sub> via

root respiration or organic matter mineralization, and the release of inorganic acids from redox 359 360 reactions (e.g. sulfuric acid via pyrite oxidation). Proton-promoted dissolution is supplemented by ligand-promoted dissolution where organic acids, in addition to their pH altering ability, can 361 act synergistically with strong chelators such as phytosiderophores or carboxylates to further 362 363 enhance weathering of Si-bearing minerals by destabilizing mineral lattices through the binding of metal cations (Bennett et al. 2001; Buss et al. 2007; Pastore et al. 2020). Biophysical 364 mechanisms include hyphal tunneling, or boring and other mechanisms of penetration by plant 365 roots or fungi along mineral weakness points (Smits et al. 2005; van Schöll et al. 2008; Teodoro 366 et al. 2019). This, in turn, increases substrate porosity and, therefore, increases the mineral 367 368 surface exposed to chemical weathering agents (Pawlik et al. 2016; Gadd 2017). Finally, plants and associated microorganisms can also affect water movement and retention capacity through 369 uptake and biofilms and therefore strongly influence water residence time and weathering 370 patterns (Lucas 2001; Flemming and Wingender 2010). 371

While the biological impact on weathering is recognized, its contribution to the mobility of nutrients in natural and agricultural systems, including Si, remains poorly understood. In the following, we therefore aim to assess the effects of each biological agent on Si plant-availability.

**Root exudates** Plant roots secrete a wide range of exudates that mobilize poorly-available
nutrients in the rhizosphere (Dakora and Phillips 2002; Lambers et al. 2006; Finlay et al. 2020).
A long history of experimental studies has shown the increase of silicate dissolution by root
exudates, through organic acids (Stillings et al. 1996; Drever and Stillings 1997; Cama and Ganor
2006; Bray et al. 2015) or forest floor extracts (van Hees et al. 2002). Increasing dissolution of
silicates or pedogenic oxides in the presence of siderophores was also demonstrated, whether

they are microbial (Liermann et al. 2000; Buss et al. 2007) or root-derived (Reichard et al. 2005). However, despite their importance for plant nutrient acquisition, the role of root exudates in mobilizing Si for plant uptake has been overlooked. Yet root-released carboxylates do increase the mobility of P, K, Fe, Al, Ca, P and numerous micronutrients (Gerke et al. 1994; Ström et al. 2005; Wang et al. 2011; Houben and Sonnet 2012; Abrahão et al. 2014; Colombo et al. 2014; Kabas et al. 2017; Teodoro et al. 2019), and there could be similar effects on soil-plant Si mobility.

Early work by Hinsinger et al. (2001) has shown the impact of banana (Musa paradisiaca), maize 388 (Zea mays), canola (Brassica napus), and white lupin (Lupinus albus) on the weathering of a 389 basaltic rock. After 36 days of growth, the amount of Si released from basalt in the presence of 390 391 hydroponically grown plants was increased two-fold compared with the abiotic control. More recently, Burghelea et al. (2015) and Zaharescu et al. (2019) showed that buffalo grass 392 393 (Bouteloua dactyloides) grown on schist and rhyolite for 124 to 603 days, respectively, increased 394 the mobility of Si compared with that of an abiotic control. Furthermore, Gattullo et al. (2016) 395 showed that Fe-deprived barley (Hordeum vulgare) plants rapidly released more exudates into 396 the rhizosphere to mobilize Fe from amorphous Fe oxides. Then, when the soil-plant contact was extended to 12 days, plants overcame Fe nutritional stress and the exudation of organic ligands 397 398 mobilized Si from smectite (Gattullo et al. 2016). These results demonstrate that root exudates 399 are primarily influenced by macro- or micronutrients limitation, but that co-solubilization of Si is very likely (de Tombeur et al. 2021b). In support of this claim, recent studies showed an increase 400 401 in leaf Si concentrations with decreasing soil P concentrations and Si plant-availability along a long-term soil chronosequence (de Tombeur et al. 2020a, 2021c), particularly in old and highly-402

403 weathered environments where carboxylate-releasing strategies are common (Lambers et al. 404 2008; Zemunik et al. 2015). This result suggests a role for carboxylates in mobilizing soil Si from 405 poorly-soluble forms for plant uptake, as it is the case for manganese (Mn) along the Jurien Bay 406 chronosequence (Hayes et al. 2014; Lambers et al. 2015; de Tombeur et al. 2021b). Future 407 research is required to elucidate to which extent Si is co-mobilized by different nutrient-408 acquisition strategies. In addition, future studies should test if root exudation patterns are directly 409 influenced by low Si availability.

Mycorrhizal associations With nearly 90% of plants harbouring either arbuscular mycorrhizal 410 (AM) or ectomycorrhizal fungi (EM) symbionts (Brundrett 2002; Smith and Read 2008), 411 mycorrhizas exhibit strong control over major ecosystem processes including plant nutrient 412 413 acquisition (Marschner and Dell 1994; Clark and Zeto 2000; Richardson et al. 2009), biogeochemical cycles (Högberg et al. 2001; van Hees et al. 2006), plant diversity and 414 productivity (Van Der Heijden et al. 1998, 2008) and weathering potential (Leake and Read 415 416 2017; Smits and Wallander 2017). Plants enable mycorrhizal fungal growth and activity by 417 translocating various organic compounds (sugars, lipids) into the roots (Jiang et al. 2017; Rich et 418 al. 2017). In exchange of plant photosynthates, fungi develop hyphal networks into the soil and enhance weathering processes for lithogenic nutrient acquisition (Van Breemen et al. 2000; van 419 420 Schöll et al. 2006, 2008). In 1990, Kothari et al. provided the first evidence of Si mobilization by 421 mycorrhizal fungi by showing an increase in maize (Zea mays) root Si concentrations after inoculation with an arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (Kothari et al. 1990). Since then, other studies 422 423 have shown that the presence of mycorrhizal fungi may significantly increase the Si concentrations of different species (maize, sugarcane, banana, chickpea, pigeon pea, soybean), 424

425 and in different plant organs (roots, leaves, stems) (Table 1) (Yost and Fox 1982; Clark and Zeto 426 1996; Garg and Bhandari 2016; Ove Anda et al. 2016; Frew et al. 2017b, a. 2020; Garg and Singh 2018; Gbongue et al. 2019). Root Si concentrations are positively correlated with the 427 degree of arbuscular mycorrhizal colonization, which reduces root herbivory (Frew et al. 2017a). 428 429 Moreover, mycorrhizal colonization increases root Si concentrations, but uniquely for plants growing on soils with low concentrations of plant-available Si (Frew et al. 2017a). This pattern 430 was confirmed by other studies where the effects of mycorrhizal fungi on plant Si concentrations 431 were less important, or even absent, when Si was supplied to plants (Oye Anda et al. 2016; Frew 432 et al. 2017b). 433

Even if the effects of mycorrhizas on plant Si concentration depend on initial Si availability in 434 435 soil, these results reveal that root mycorrhizal colonization can be a significant driver of plant Si 436 uptake and concentrations in plants, with a direct impact on herbivory. In some cases, plant Si 437 concentrations have indeed more than doubled after inoculation with mycorrhizal fungi (Oye 438 Anda et al. 2016). More broadly, although phylogenetic variation and the presence or absence of 439 Si transporters remain the main explanations for variation in plant Si accumulation (Hodson et al. 440 2005; Ma et al. 2006, 2007; Deshmukh and Bélanger 2016; Deshmukh et al. 2020), nutrientacquisition strategies like mycorrhizal associations and root-released carboxylates could play a 441 442 significant, but so far overlooked role. This is of special interest since both strategies increase with decreasing P availability (Abbott et al. 1984; Tang et al. 2001; Covacevich et al. 2007; He et 443 444 al. 2020), and P-depleted soils are often also Si-depleted, due to high weathering degree.

445

# 451 Table 1 – Effect of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi on plant silicon (Si) concentrations in a range of plant species. We 452 used WebPlotDigitizer to extract data published as figures (Rohatgi 2012).

Plant	Organ	AM fungi	Increase in plant [Si] (%)	Reference
Maize (Zea mays)	Shoot	Glomus sp.	+3% to $+66%$ for acid soils	Clark and Zeto
		-	-28% to -6% for alkaline soils	(1996)
			+78% to +252% of Si content (weight by plant)	
			for acid soils	
			-9% to +25% of Si content (weight by plant) for	
			alkaline soils	
Chickpea (Cicer arietinum)	Shoot	Funneliformis	+17-20%	Garg and Bhandari
		mosseae		(2016)
Banana (Musa acuminata)	Pseudostem	Rhizophagus	+149% without Si	Oye Anda et al.
		irregularis	+88% with Si	(2016)
Banana (Musa acuminata)	Leaves	Rhizophagus	+84% without Si	Oye Anda et al.
		irregularis	+70% with Si	(2016)
Banana (Musa acuminata)	Roots	Rhizophagus	+109% without Si	Oye Anda et al.
		irregularis	+30% with Si	(2016)
Sugarcane (Saccharum spp.	Roots	Glomus sp.	+50% to +102% without Si	Frew et al. (2017b)
hybrid)			-4% to +22% with Si	
Sugarcane (Saccharum spp.	Roots	Glomus sp.	+42% to $+71%$ for the low Si soil	Frew et al. (2017a)
hybrid)			+0% to $+18%$ for the high Si soil (ns)	
Sugarcane (Saccharum spp.	Leaves	Glomus sp.	-29% to -21% for the low Si soil (ns)	Frew et al. (2017a)
hybrid)			-20% to -4% for the low Si soil (ns)	
Pigeon pea (Cajanus cajan)	Leaves	Rhizophagus	+10%	Garg and Singh
		irregularis		(2018)
Banana (Musa acuminata)	Roots	Rhizophagus	+30% (Si content; weight by plant)	Gbongue et al. (2019)
		irregularis		0
Banana (Musa acuminata)	Leaves	Rhizophagus	+14% (Si content; weight by plant)	Gbongue et al. (2019)
		irregularis		2
Soybean (Glycine max)	Leaves	Unknown	+28% to +208% (depending on P supply)	Yost and Fox (1982)

455 <u>Bacteria</u> Bacteria may colonize mineral surfaces, initiate or accelerate weathering, and stimulate
456 plant growth (Jackson 1971; Bosecker 1997; Banfield et al. 1999; Bennett et al. 2001; Vessey
457 2003; Calvaruso et al. 2006; Uroz et al. 2009; Burghelea et al. 2015; Zaharescu et al. 2019;

Finlay et al. 2020; Pastore et al. 2020). Bacteria often associate with fungi in soil to form biofilms 458 459 on substrate surfaces via excretion of extracellular polymeric substances (EPS) which causes very 460 localized weathering "hotspots" (Flemming and Wingender 2010; Deveau et al. 2018; Guennoc et al. 2018; Finlay et al. 2020). The subsequent dissolution of lithogenic nutrients can therefore 461 462 be used by all organisms of these hotspots including plants, making the soil-plant continuum a 463 very effective biogeochemical engineer and enhancing overall plant nutrition. Increased rates of weathering and Si release in the presence of certain bacteria have been demonstrated for different 464 mineralogical contents such as feldspar (Barker et al. 1998; Welch and Ullman 1999; Wang et al. 465 2015), hornblende (Liermann et al. 2000), mica (Barker et al. 1998; Liu et al. 2006; Wang et al. 466 2015), smectite (Dong et al. 2003; Kim et al. 2004), amorphous silica (diatoms and sponge) 467 (Bidle and Azam 1999; Schröer et al. 2003), granite (Song et al. 2007; Wu et al. 2008), basalt 468 (Pastore et al. 2020), gneiss (Pastore et al. 2020) and saprolite (Brucker et al. 2020). These days, 469 a large number of silicate-solubilizing bacteria (SSB) have been identified, belonging to different 470 genera: Aeromonas, Aminobacter, Azotobacter, Bacillus, Burkholderia, Cellvibrio, Collimonas, 471 Dyella, Ensifer, Enterobacter, Flavobacterium, Frateuria, Janthinobacterium, Kosakonia, 472 Labrys, Microbacterium, Paracoccus, Proteus, Pseudomonas, Rhizobium and Sphingomonas 473 (Uroz et al. 2009; Meena et al. 2014; Hu et al. 2018). Recently, Raturi et al. (2021) reviewed the 474 role of SSB on the Si biogeochemical cycle and their role as potential biofertilizers. 475

In a pioneering work, Zahra et al. (1984) showed that soil inoculation with *Bacillus circulans* significantly increases Si release from different minerals and subsequent Si uptake by different crop species (barley, maize and clover), demonstrating a key role of bacteria in increasing plantavailable Si. More recently, an increasing number of studies demonstrated the impact of SSB on Si dissolution rates, plant Si uptake, and a potential positive effect on plant growth (Table 2 and references therein). In these studies, soluble Si concentrations increased by up to 60%, while plant Si content increased by up to 78% (Table 2). Besides the role of SSB in increasing silicates dissolution, bacteria could also increase phytoliths dissolution and soluble Si through the breaking down and mineralization of phytolith-occluded carbon (Hodson 2019). Future research is needed to identify the abundance, diversity and functions of SSB in different geopedoclimatic contexts, as well as their ability to stimulate soil-plant Si mobility.

#### 487 488

Table 2 – Effect of silicate-solubilizing bacteria on soluble/plant-available Si and leaf Si concentrations from theliterature. We used WebPlotDigitizer to extract data published as figures (Rohatgi 2012).

Plant	Organ	Bacteria	Increase in soluble [Si] (%)	Increase in plant [Si] (%)	Reference
Rice (Oryza sativa)	Leaf	Enterobacter ludwigii GAK2	Not determined	+24%	Lee et al. (2019)
Rice (Oryza sativa)	Leaf	<i>Rhizobium</i> sp. (IIRR-1)	from +12.4 to +60.2%, depending on silicates	from +9.0% to +78.5% of Si content (weight by plant), depending on silicates	Chandrakala et al. (2019)
Maize (Zea mays)	Leaf and root	<i>Kosakonia</i> sp.	+10%	+23% for both leaf and root	Hu et al. (2019)
Rice (Oryza sativa)	Leaf	Bacillus amyloliquefaciens	Not determined	+29%	Bist et al. (2020)
Rice (Oryza sativa)	Whole plant	Burkholderia eburnea CS4-2	Not determined	+24%	Kang et al. (2017)
Maize (Zea mays)	Leaf and root	Flavobacterium sp.	+16%	ns for leaves; +20% for roots	Hu et al. (2018)

489 'ns' stands for "not significant"

490

491 *Soil macrofauna* 

Soil macrofauna like earthworms, beetles and termites contribute to nutrient cycling, soil formation or primary production (Jouquet et al. 2011; Blouin et al. 2013), but little is known about their effect on soil Si dynamics. Yet, soil macrofauna enhances the mineralization of organic matter (Ingham et al. 1985; Schulmann and Tiunov, Alexei 1999), which might in turn accelerate Si release in soil solution through enhanced phytoliths dissolution (Fraysse et al. 2006a, 2010; Vandevenne et al. 2013). Macrofauna also increase the chemical (Jouquet et al.

2002; Carpenter et al. 2007) and physical (Suzuki et al. 2003) weathering of silicate minerals. 498 499 Recently, Bityutskii et al. (2016) showed that earthworm casts in a sandy and sandy loam soils 500 had a significantly higher soluble Si concentration than the non-bioturbed soil (up to 12 times for 501 Lumbricus terrestris casts in the sandy soil). Moreover, the concentrations and translocation rates 502 of Si in the xylem sap of maize and cucumber plants significantly increased when plants grew on a soil previously bioturbed by earthworms. Following this research, Hu et al. (2018) isolated SSB 503 from the gut of *Pheretima guillelmi*, and showed that they markedly increased the release of Si 504 from feldspar and quartz powder, enhanced the uptake and accumulation of Si by maize, and 505 promoted seedling growth. In addition, significantly more SSB were found in the earthworm gut 506 than in the surrounding soil. The authors thus demonstrated that the increased soil-to-plant 507 translocation of Si following earthworm activity was at least in part explained by the presence of 508 SSB in earthworm guts (Hu et al. 2018). In accordance with this, Georgiadis et al. (2019) showed 509 510 that the release of dissolved Si from quartz, which is highly resistant to weathering (Goldich 1938), was much greater after passage through the gut of *Eisenia andrei*. They discussed this as 511 resulting from a combination of mechanical alteration (Suzuki et al. 2003), and the presence of 512 513 SSB in the earthworm gut (Hu et al. 2018). In addition, soil macrofauna strongly impact the redistribution of material in the soil profile (Jouquet et al. 2011; Blouin et al. 2013). For instance, 514 Jouquet et al. (2020) showed that termite activity impacted the distribution of phytoliths and clay 515 minerals type (1:1 versus 2:1) in south Indian forest soils which could, in turn, impact the 516 concentration of plant-available Si in soil profiles. Overall, soil macrofauna have a significant but 517 518 overlooked effect on soil Si dynamics, whose magnitude still needs to be determined.

519 Large herbivores

Large herbivores can cause important changes in ecosystem-scale nutrient cycling (Bardgett and 520 521 Wardle 2003; Veldhuis et al. 2018; Forbes et al. 2019; Hwang and Metcalfe 2021). They can 522 either accelerate nutrient cycling through the conversion of aboveground biomass into labile 523 waste products, or decrease it through selective foraging and subsequent shifts towards species 524 that decompose more slowly (Bardgett and Wardle 2003; Forbes et al. 2019). Compared with N, P and C (e.g., Veldhuis et al. 2018; le Roux et al. 2020; Sitters et al. 2020), the impact of large 525 herbivores on Si dynamics is poorly quantified. Yet faeces of large herbivores exhibit high silica 526 concentrations (from 17 to 163 g silica kg<sup>-1</sup> for large African herbivores ; Hummel et al. 2011), as 527 does sheep urine (up to 259 mg silica  $L^{-1}$ ; Nottle and Armstrong 1966). As a consequence, large 528 529 herbivores strongly impact the land-to-ocean Si transfer by foraging grasses and transporting phytoliths from land ecosystems directly to rivers (Schoelynck et al. 2019). For instance, hippos 530 of south-western Kenya contribute to 32% to the biogenic Si flux and more than 76% to the total 531 532 Si flux to watercourses (Schoelynck et al. 2019). Given the abundance of Si-accumulating species in grassland ecosystems, the impact of large herbivores on phytolith redistribution within the 533 same ecosystem (not land-to-river transfer; Schoelynck et al. 2019) is probably also significant. 534 We estimate that large herbivores in a savanna ecosystem ingest and displace from  $0.005 \pm 0.002$ 535 kg Si ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> (grey duiker) to  $23.2 \pm 3.8$  kg Si ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> (buffalo) (Table 3). The higher value 536 corresponds to yearly litterfall of a short grass ecosystem of the Central Great Plains, USA 537 538 (Blecker et al. 2006). This redistribution of phytoliths could, in turn, modify the spatial variability 539 of plant-available Si given their high reactivity in soil environments (Alexandre et al. 1997; 540 Blecker et al. 2006; Sommer et al. 2013; de Tombeur et al. 2020a).

541

542

543

5	4	ĻΖ	

545

Table 3 – Estimation of yearly silicon (Si) inputs into soil by large herbivores in a savanna ecosystem, and their potential effect on Si cycling.

Species	Scientific name	<b>Dung produced</b> <sup>a</sup> g ha <sup>-1</sup> day <sup>-1</sup>	Dung [BSi] <sup>b</sup> g kg <sup>-1</sup>	<b>Dung Si input<sup>c</sup></b> kg Si ha <sup>-1</sup> yr <sup>-1</sup>	<b>MRT</b> <sub>particle</sub> <sup>d</sup> hours	Effect on Si cycling <sup>e</sup>
Buffalo (ruminant)	Syncerus caffer	1018	$134 \pm 22$	$23.2\pm3.8$	49	+++
Elephant	Loxodonta Africana	432	$53\pm11$	$3.9\pm0.8$	30	++
Grey duiker (ruminant)	Giraffa camelopardalis	1.3	$22\pm9$	$0.0 \pm 0.0$	45	+/-
Impala (ruminant)	Aepyceros melampus	200	$123\pm49$	$4.2 \pm 1.7$	-	++
Nyala (ruminant)	Tragelaphus angasii	8.6	$38\pm13$	$0.1 \pm 0.0$	-	+/-
White rhino	Ceratotherium simum	124	$75\pm13$	$1.6 \pm 0.3$	44	+
Wildebeest (ruminant)	Connochaetes taurinus	53	$135 \pm 15$	$1.2 \pm 0.1$	-	+
Zebra	Equus burchellii	77	$126\pm18$	$1.6\pm0.2$	28	+

<sup>a</sup>Data from Veldhuis et al. (2018)

<sup>b</sup>Data from Hummel et al. (2011). Means of wet and dry season data were considered.

<sup>c</sup>BSi was converted to Si by dividing by 2.14.

546 547 548 549 550 551 552 <sup>d</sup>Food particles' mean retention time (MRT) comes from Steuer et al. (2011) for Buffalo, Elephant, and Zebra, and from Müller et al. (2011) for Grey duiker and White rhino

<sup>e</sup>Estimated through the combination of yearly dung Si input and MRT<sub>particle</sub> control on phytolith turnover rates (Vandevenne et al. 2013).

553 Although silica ingestion can reduce the apparent digestibility of herbage (Shewmaker et al. 554 1989; Hartley and DeGabriel 2016; Johnson et al. 2021), how phytoliths are processed during 555 digestion remains poorly known. Some herbivores have a neutral to slightly alkaline stomach 556 557 (e.g., pH 7.3 for *Lama guanicoe*; Beasley et al. 2015) and phytolith dissolution rates increase significantly from pH 5 to pH 8 (Fraysse et al. 2009). In 1971, Blackman & Bailey showed that 558 up to 39% of silica was dissolved after 24 h of ingestion in a cow rumen (Blackman and Bailey 559 560 1971). More recently, Vandevenne et al. (2013) showed that phytolith concentrations in cow, sheep, horse and donkey faeces were two to four times higher than those in the plants prior to 561 ingestion and from which the faeces were derived. They also showed that readily-soluble Si 562 concentrations increased in faeces compared with pasture forage (except for horse faeces). 563 564 Moreover, relative to the initial phytolith content in dungs, 60%, 16% and 8% of Si was mobilized in rain water after 24 h for cow, horse and sheep faeces, respectively, but only 4% for 565

the corresponding pasture forage. These results demonstrate that herbivores have a strong potential to increase Si mobility in soil-plant systems by releasing dissolved Si in urine and increasing phytolith turnover rates, probably through the degradation of plant tissues and an onset of phytolith dissolution in the digestive tract (Vandevenne et al. 2013).

570 Vandevenne et al. (2013) suggested that ruminants (sheep and cows), which achieve greater particle size reduction through higher food particles' mean retention time (MRT) (Johnson et al. 571 572 2021), have a greater potential to quickly mobilize the highly-soluble fraction of phytoliths, partly via urine, compared to non-ruminants (horse and donkey). Following this idea, ruminants 573 (that have longer food particles' MRT), such as buffalo or duiker, would increase the phytoliths 574 turnover more strongly than non-ruminants such as elephant or zebra do (Table 3). Therefore, 575 576 ruminants that produce large amounts of dung – which largely depends on body mass (Veldhuis et al. 2018) - will have a greater impact on soil-plant Si dynamics, and eventually on land-to-577 578 ocean Si transfer (Vandevenne et al. 2013), compared with non-ruminants that produce moderate 579 amounts of dung (Table 3). Overall, large herbivores play a significant but overlooked role in Si 580 biogeochemistry (but see Hwang and Metcalfe 2021) by affecting phytolith turnover rates and 581 distribution in terrestrial ecosystems.

#### 582 Silicon and agricultural practices

583 Knowledge acquired from complex natural systems can be used to increase the resource-use 584 efficiency and productivity of modern agroecosystems (Lambers et al. 2011; Mariotte et al. 585 2018). After highlighting biotic and abiotic factors influencing soil-plant Si mobility (Fig. 2), 586 next we discuss the potential of certain agricultural practices to impact soil Si dynamics and 587 stimulate soil-plant Si cycling.

#### 589 Leveraging the high reactivity of phytoliths: recycling crop residues

Recycling agricultural residues such as leaves, stems or grain husks is key to improve the crop Si status and limit long-term desilication (Guntzer et al. 2012; Meharg and Meharg 2015; Haynes 2017; Puppe et al. 2021). Annual Si uptake by crop species may be an order of magnitude higher than that in natural ecosystems (Vander Linden and Delvaux 2019) and removing crop residues at harvest is common in some parts of the world (Klotzbücher et al. 2015) which lowers the soil phytolith pool (Desplanques et al. 2006; Guntzer et al. 2012; Keller et al. 2012).

596 The application of different crop residues to soil increases Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>-extractable Si (up to 37% in Yang et al. 2020), water-soluble Si concentrations (up to 15% in Ma and Takahashi 1991b; up to 597 50% in Watanabe et al. 2017; up to 44% in Yang et al. 2020), plant Si concentration (up to 17% 598 599 in Ma and Takahashi 1991b; up to 136% in Sistani et al. 1997; up to 168% in Hossain et al. 2001; up to 57% in Yang et al. 2020), and plant Si uptake (up to 25% in Ma and Takahashi 1991b; up to 600 601 212% in Marxen et al. 2016). The same pattern occurs for manure, which increases acetic acid-602 extractable Si and NaOH-extractable Si concentrations by 101% and 32%, respectively, after 10 years of application to a Gleysol (Song et al. 2014). Klotzbücher et al. (2018b) also found that 603 604 manure application tends to increase acetate-extractable Si concentrations, especially together 605 with liming. These results highlight the benefit of returning phytoliths to topsoil because of their 606 fast dissolution rates to replenish the soil solution in dissolved Si (Wickramasinghe and Rowell 607 2006; Seyfferth et al. 2013; Marxen et al. 2016; Klotzbücher et al. 2018a; Puppe et al. 2021), 608 with subsequent positive impacts on plant Si uptake. On the other hand, returning carbon-rich

phytoliths to topsoil could also possibly contribute to C sequestration, even though many 609 610 questions about the phytoliths ability to sequester C on the long-term remain (Hodson 2019). Recently, the use of pyrolyzed Si-rich crop residues (i.e. Si-rich biochar) as a potential alternative 611 612 to common Si fertilizers has attracted a lot of attention (Xiao et al. 2014; Li and Delvaux 2019; 613 Wang et al. 2019b for reviews). According to Li and Delvaux (2019), the pyrolysis has the advantage of (1) concentrating Si in biochar compared with unpyrolyzed crop residues; (2) 614 providing the same effect as liming and (3) enhancing the reactive surface area; both (2) and (3) 615 contribute to increasing phytolith dissolution. Numerous recent studies show that the application 616 of different biochars increases soil soluble Si and/or plant Si concentrations (Houben et al. 2014; 617 Liu et al. 2014; Ibrahim et al. 2016; Qian et al. 2016; Koyama and Hayashi 2017; Abbas et al. 618 619 2017; Alvarez-Campos et al. 2018; Li et al. 2018; Limmer et al. 2018; Leksungnoen et al. 2019; Li et al. 2019; Seleiman et al. 2019; Wang et al. 2019a; Huang et al. 2020; Wang et al. 2020; de 620 621 Tombeur et al. 2021a), confirming its potential as a suitable Si fertilizer (Li and Delvaux 2019). The types of biochar used, application rates (on a Si basis), and increase in percentages of soil 622 soluble Si and/or plant Si concentrations are reported in Table S1. 623

Recycling crop residues via direct incorporation, burning or biochar/manure/compost production and subsequent application has therefore a strong potential to increase crop Si uptake. However, the application rates used in most studies largely exceed coherent annual crop yields. For instance, considering biochar, the application of pyrolyzed material to concentrations of 1% (w/w) or more (bulk density of 1.3 g cm<sup>-3</sup>; depth incorporation of 10 cm), which corresponds to yearly crop yields of approximately 43 t ha<sup>-1</sup> (taking into account a pyrolysis yield of 30%) is common. This generally exceeds mean cereal yields worldwide (up to about 13 t ha<sup>-1</sup>; Ritchie and

Roser 2013), except for sugarcane (up to about 120 t ha<sup>-1</sup>; Ritchie and Roser 2013), and the 631 fraction not available for pyrolysis has to be considered. Li and Delvaux (2019) calculated that a 632 realistic application rate of biochar in the tropics would be around 1.7 t  $ha^{-1} yr^{-1}$ , which is about 633 an order of magnitude lower than what was applied in some studies. We, therefore, stress the 634 635 importance of performing long-term studies in agroecosystems with minimal inputs from external sources and outputs of crop residues (Hughes et al. 2020), to better assess the long-term 636 sustainability of such recycling practices. In these systems, detailed analyses of soil and plant Si 637 pools must be conducted, as well as mass-balance calculations, to study the magnitude of 638 desilication as a function of crop residue management. For example, Hughes et al. (2020) 639 recently showed that enhanced Si accumulation in rice grain (including husks) in highly-640 weathered soil environments could further contribute to long-term desilication. Furthermore, the 641 effect of crop residue quality on organic matter decomposition rates in contrasting soil, climatic 642 643 and agricultural contexts should be considered because of its key role in dissolved Si release rates (Fraysse et al. 2006a, 2010; Marxen et al. 2016; Nakamura et al. 2020). 644

#### 645 Harnessing Si biocycling and recycling using cover crops

Cover crops are grown specifically for covering the soil during the off-season to reduce soil erosion, increase soil organic matter content and microbial diversity, and improve nutrient cycling (Reeves 1994; Adetunji et al. 2020). The positive impact of cover crops on N, P and C cycles has been extensively demonstrated (Abdalla et al. 2019; Hallama et al. 2019), while their effects on soil Si dynamics for different cover crops species are unknown. In the short term, cover crops could have detrimental effects by consuming the pool of soil readily-soluble Si during the winter, lowering Si plant availability for main crops in summer. However, in the long

term, the yearly transfer of Si stored in the cover crop via plant residues will significantly 653 654 increase the soil phytogenic Si pool, and the cover crop will reduce phytolith losses through erosion (Fig. 3a). This conversion of litho/pedogenic Si-bearing minerals to phytoliths could 655 significantly stimulate Si mobility in agroecosystems since the dissolution rates of phytoliths are 656 an order of magnitude greater than those of typical soil clay minerals (Fraysse et al. 2009). Such 657 positive effect would be particularly significant in highly-desilicated soils, where phytolith 658 dissolution has a major effect on the soil-plant Si cycle (Alexandre et al. 1997; Sommer et al. 659 2013; de Tombeur et al. 2020a). 660

Based on shoot biomass data of common cover crop species (Hallama et al. 2019), we estimated 661 Si stocks in aboveground biomass of cover crops (Table 4). For legumes and Brassica sp. cover 662 crops, from 2 to 56 kg Si ha<sup>-1</sup> could annually be brought to soil via crop residues. This range, 663 664 despite being very large, approximately corresponds with the annual Si uptake in major forest 665 ecosystems worldwide, expect bamboo forests (Vander Linden and Delvaux 2019). The use of 666 Poaceae sp. as cover crops would allow an extreme degree of annual Si inputs, up to 360 kg Si ha<sup>-1</sup> for *Lolium* sp., which approximately corresponds to Si uptake in sugarcane agroecosystems 667 668 (Vander Linden and Delvaux 2019). In addition, cover crops may also impact Si dynamics by providing a legacy of increased mycorrhizal abundance, modifying rhizosphere physico-chemical 669 670 properties (e.g., pH, soil aggregation, root exudates) or changing soil microbial communities and 671 earthworms abundance (Roarty et al. 2017; Hallama et al. 2019; Adetunji et al. 2020; Euteneuer 672 et al. 2020). Finally, Si-rich cover crops could diminish herbivore populations, with beneficial 673 legacy effects on the main crop (Vernavá et al. 2004).

674

678		Family	Shoot biomass <sup>a</sup> (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Shoot Si concentration <sup>b</sup> (g kg <sup>-1</sup> )	Shoot Si stocks (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )
679	Legume cover crops				
680	Lupinus sp.	Fabaceae	0.7-12.4 ( <i>n</i> =6)	2.8-4.5	2-56
681	Pisum sativum	Fabaceae	3.7-3.9 ( <i>n</i> =3)	2.8-5.6	10-22
682	Vicia sp.	Fabaceae	4.3-8.0 ( <i>n</i> =7)	2.4-4.8	10-38
683	Non-legume cover crops				
684	Avena sp.	Poaceae	7.9-13.2 ( <i>n</i> =6)	11.5-15.1	91-200
685	Lolium sp.	Poaceae	1.4-10.0 ( <i>n</i> =3)	9.7-36.4	14-364
686	Secale cereale	Poaceae	1.5-12.7 ( <i>n</i> =11)	12.6	19-160
687	Brassica sp.	Brassicaceae	2.2-4.1(n=4)	2.3-11.2	5-46

Table 4 – Estimation of shoot Si stocks in common cover crop species.

<sup>a</sup>Data from Hallama et al. (2019)

689 690 <sup>b</sup>Data from Hodson et al. (2005) for all species. Additional data from Xiao et al. (2016) for Pisum sativum, Hasan et al. (2020) for Vicia sp., 691 Soratto et al. (2012) for Avena sp. and Song et al. (2009) for Brassica sp. 692

#### Facilitative interactions: cereal-legume intercropping systems 693

Intercropping (Smith and McSorley 2000) has the potential to globally increase yields, reduce 694 695 fertilizer inputs and save land (Martin-Guay et al. 2018; Li et al. 2020a), while increasing soil C 696 and N content, improving mineral nutrition and reducing effects of pests (Hinsinger et al. 2011; 697 Brooker et al. 2015; Cong et al. 2015; Xue et al. 2016; Tang et al. 2020). To our knowledge, the impact of intercropping systems on Si dynamics has been considered only once, through the 698 study of rice (Oryza sativa) intercropped with water spinach (Ipomoea aquatic) (Ning et al. 699 700 2017). Plant-available Si concentrations in soil were not markedly impacted by the intercropped 701 system compared with rice monoculture, yet they significantly increased when water spinach was cultivated alone, likely because spinach accumulates less Si than rice (Ning et al. 2017). 702 703 However, leaf Si concentrations and stocks (i.e. Si concentration  $\times$  leaf dry weight) of rice plants significantly increased in the intercropping system compared with the rice monoculture (up to 704 ~25% for Si concentrations and ~75% for Si stocks) (Ning et al. 2017). Moreover, the disease 705 706 index of rice sheath and the incidence of leaf folders significantly decreased in the intercropped

675

676

687 688 system, which could be explained by (1) a role of physical barrier played by the strip distribution
in intercropping system, (2) a more favorable field microclimate in the intercropping system, (3)
the release of allelochemicals by water spinach, and/or (4) higher concentrations of silica-based
defenses (Ning et al. 2017).

711 The pioneering work of Ning et al. has opened up new compelling directions in intercropping-Si 712 research. Here, we propose different processes that could affect soil-plant Si dynamics in cereal-713 legume intercropping agroecosystems (Fig. 3b). First, more Si would be available for cereal crop uptake since grasses accumulate more Si than legumes. In the long term, cereal-legume 714 intercropping might even slow down soil desilication, even though mass-balance calculations 715 should be performed to estimate Si stocks in biomass and export from harvests. However, the 716 717 opposite effect might occur for legume crops, for which less Si would be available than in a 718 monoculture system (Ning et al. 2017). This is important to consider since Si has beneficial 719 effects also for legumes, and may promote the symbiotic relationship with nitrogen-fixing 720 bacteria in root nodules (Putra et al. 2020). Second, cereal-legume intercropping might induce a 721 wide range of facilitative interactions (Li et al. 2014). Under conditions of Fe and Zn 722 deficiencies, cereals such as wheat, barley, maize or rice secrete phytosiderophores in the soil 723 solution that mobilize Fe and Zn (Ahmed and Holmström 2014), which can then be transferred to 724 both crops (Zuo et al. 2000; Xue et al. 2016). The same mechanism applies to legumes that may 725 secrete carboxylates to mobilize P, especially under P deficiency (Lambers et al. 2006, 2015; Pang et al. 2018), which could in turn benefit both crops (Xue et al. 2016; Lambers et al. 2018). 726 727 As discussed above, Si co-solubilization or desorption by root exudates such as phytosiderophores and carboxylates is likely, but this needs to be further assessed to determine if 728

such facilitative interactions also occur for this element. Similarly, facilitation via common 729 730 mycorrhizal networks and nutrient transfer can occur for N and P (Walder et al. 2012), but has not been addressed for Si. Third, earthworm abundance and biomass can greatly increase in 731 cereal-legume intercropping systems compared with monocultures (Schmidt et al. 2001, 2003) 732 733 which could increase plant-available Si concentrations and Si soil-plant mobility (Fig. 2). Finally, intercropping might also impact Si dynamics by changing soil microbial diversity and modifying 734 the physico-chemical properties of the rhizosphere (Brooker et al. 2015), but the direction of 735 these processes needs to be elucidated. 736

#### 737 Grazers as biocatalysts of Si cycling: crop-livestock systems

Despite recent simplification and specialization of agricultural systems worldwide, integrated 738 crop-livestock systems have been employed for millennia and remain the main agriculture model 739 for over two thirds of global farmers, and represent about half of the world's food (Russelle et al. 740 2007; Herrero et al. 2010; Lemaire et al. 2014). Integrated crop-livestock systems have the 741 potential to improve carbon and nutrient cycling/use efficiency (Alves et al. 2019; Brewer and 742 743 Gaudin 2020; Carlos et al. 2020). Based on the evidence of Si mobilization by large herbivores 744 (Fig. 2), we suggest several benefits of integrated crop-livestock systems on soil-plant Si dynamics, based on a simple pasture/crop rotation (Fig. 3c). First, large ruminants strongly 745 746 increase biogenic silica turnover rates (Blackman and Bailey 1971; Vandevenne et al. 2013). For instance, Vandevenne et al. (2013) estimated that a cow-based pasture mobilizes between 18 and 747 28 kg Si ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>, against 1.3-1.8 kg Si ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> in ungrazed pastures. In the long term, greater Si 748 mobilization potentially accelerates soil desilication through Si leaching (Vandevenne et al. 749 2013). However, in the short term, it will most likely increase plant-available Si concentrations 750

for the subsequent crop, especially if soil texture-related leaching potential is low. Second, 751 752 pasture/crop rotations will reduce long-term soil desilication because Si exports through crop harvest will occur only once every two years. Finally, integrating crops and livestock impacts 753 numerous aspects of soil-plant systems such as soil aggregation, microbial community 754 755 structure/biomass and annual net primary production (Brewer and Gaudin 2020), which could ultimately also affect the soil-plant Si cycle. Crop-livestock systems are promising to enhance Si 756 mobility in soil-plant systems, particularly through the effects of ruminants on phytolith turnover, 757 and therefore deserve further investigations, including the time scales of different processes. 758

#### 759 Liming and soil-plant Si dynamics: a gap between theory and practice

The effect of liming on soil pH in agroecosystems may affect Si dynamics through antagonistic 760 processes (Fig. 3d), as discussed above. Therefore, the liming effect is still unclear because it 761 762 strongly depends on the initial pedological context in terms of soil pH, mineralogy, buffering capacity and phytolith content (Haynes 2019; Vander Linden and Delvaux 2019). Previous 763 studies showed either an increase (Castro and Crusciol 2013; Klotzbücher et al. 2018b) or a 764 765 decrease (Kostic et al. 2017; Keeping et al. 2017; Haynes and Zhou 2018) in soil Si availability 766 with liming, while others found no significant effect (Mathews et al. 2009; Bhat et al. 2010). However, different extractants were used to estimate plant-available Si concentrations in these 767 768 studies (e.g., CaCl<sub>2</sub>, acetic acid). In fact, liming is expected to increase the pool of adsorbed Si, often associated with acetate and acetic acid extractants, while decreasing the soluble Si pool, 769 often associated with CaCl<sub>2</sub> or water extractants (Sauer et al. 2006; Georgiadis et al. 2013). 770 Haynes and Zhou (2018) confirmed this pattern by showing an increase of Si-acetic acid by about 771 75-110% and a decrease of Si-CaCl<sub>2</sub> by about 25-35% for the pH range 5.0-6.5 in limed Podzol 772

and Gleysol. The authors also found a decrease of alkali-extractable Si, suggesting a loss of 773 774 phytoliths following increasing dissolution rates (Havnes and Zhou 2018). More recently, Caubet 775 et al. (2020) showed that French agricultural soils had higher Si-CaCl<sub>2</sub> concentrations than non-776 cultivated soils. The authors interpreted this difference as resulting from the pH increase after 777 liming that could modify clay mineralogy (Cornu et al. 2012) and increase phytolith dissolution for soils with clay size mineral contents ranging between 5 and 32%. In their study, soils were 778 classified by parent material types, where soils developed on sediments were separated into two 779 780 groups: carbonated soils (>1% carbonates) and non-carbonated soils (<1% carbonates). In the non-carbonated group, Si-CaCl<sub>2</sub> also correlates with the 2-um fraction cation exchange capacity, 781 used as a proxy of the nature of clay-size minerals. This supports a possible effect of clay 782 mineralogy on Si availability that can be superimposed on the liming effect in cultivated land 783 compared with non-cultivated land (forests, wetlands, pastures, parks). Indeed, soils with higher 784 785 weatherable mineral reserves and subsequently higher Si availability are preferred for agriculture. 786 As soil extractants are only proxies for plant-available Si concentrations (e.g., Wu et al. 2020), 787 the liming effect on soil Si dynamics should be addressed by quantifying plant Si concentrations. 788 Although some studies showed that liming had no significant effect on plant Si uptake (Bhat et al. 2010; Castro and Crusciol 2013; Keeping et al. 2017), others found a marked decrease (Mathews 789 790 et al. 2009; Tavakkoli et al. 2011). In particular, Mathews et al. (2009) noted a decrease in Si 791 concentrations of Pennisetum clandestinum of about 30% with increasing calcium carbonate

application, and in the pH range of 5.2-6.2. This study could highlight that raising soil pH above
6.0 may reduce plant Si uptake, possibly because of increased Si adsorption and subsequent
decline of Si plant-availability. In addition, raising pH will increase polymerization rates of

monosilicic acid and its precipitation as amorphous silica, which could also decrease Si plant-795 796 availability (Icopini et al. 2005; Schaller et al. 2021). Besides, phytolith dissolution rates strongly increase in this pH range (Fraysse et al. 2009) which possibly enhances plant Si uptake (Guntzer 797 798 et al. 2012), but the size of the phytogenic Si pool is low compared with the litho/pedogenic-Si 799 pool (Alexandre et al. 1997, 2011; Sommer et al. 2013). Phytoliths can, therefore, not be the main 800 factor of Si plant-availability and subsequent plant uptake in certain pedological contexts (Keller et al. 2021). Overall, the effect of liming on soil-plant Si dynamics is still unclear because 801 numerous antagonistic processes occur on different time scales (Fig. 3d) (Haynes 2019; Vander 802 Linden and Delvaux 2019). 803

#### 804 **Conclusions and perspectives**

Soil-plant Si cycling is mainly studied through the prism of abiotic mineral weathering or plant Si 805 806 uptake followed by soil phytoliths dissolution (e.g., Bartoli 1983; Lucas et al. 1993; Alexandre et al. 1997; de Tombeur et al. 2020a), while biotic factors tend to be overlooked. Besides, numerous 807 studies on biological weathering have been conducted, on different scales (Barker et al. 1998; 808 Banfield et al. 1999; Lucas 2001; Uroz et al. 2009; Finlay et al. 2020), but rarely in the 809 810 framework of plant Si nutrition and subsequent positive influence on plant performance or crop 811 yield. We have stressed the importance of biotic factors such as mycorrhizal associations, SSB, soil macrofauna, large herbivores and root exudates on soil-plant Si mobility, and suggest 812 different mechanisms by which these processes may affect Si dynamics and stimulate soil-plant 813 814 Si cycling in agroecosystems. The time has come to seriously consider biotic factors, both in 815 natural and agricultural systems, because Si is involved in numerous functions that contribute to plant performance and stress regulation, which may impact plant productivity and crop yield. Wesuggest the following aspects to be considered in the near future:

What is the abundance and diversity of SSB in soils? In which soil types/mineralogy are
they found most? To what extent do SSB contribute to the plant-available Si pool and
subsequent plant Si uptake compared with abiotic mineral weathering, and by what
mechanisms? How do agricultural practices and cropping systems impact SSB abundance,
diversity, and functions?

823
2. By which mechanisms do mycorrhizal fungi increase plant Si concentrations? Can plant
824
825 Si nutrition be improved through common mycorrhizal networks? Does an increase in
825 plant Si concentrations as affected by mycorrhizal fungi mostly occur in nutrient-depleted
826 soils?

# 3. To what extent do non-mycorrhizal nutrient-acquisition strategies influence soil Si mobilization and plant Si uptake? By which mechanisms? If root exudates mobilize Si from soil minerals, does this occur only in P-depleted soils and without P fertilization? Can we exploit these strategies to improve crop Si nutrition in cropping systems such as cereal-legume intercropping?

4. Is the earthworms-related increase in soil-plant Si mobility significant at soil profile
scales? Do cover crops, intercropping or integrated crop-livestock systems influence this
process by modifying earthworm abundance and diversity?

835 5. What is the impact of large herbivores on global Si biogeochemistry? To what extent do
836 they stimulate soil-plant Si mobility in natural ecosystems and integrated crop-livestock

837 systems? Do integrated crop-livestock systems influence Si dynamics though changes in838 microbial community and biomass, soil aggregation or organic matter dynamics?

839 6. Does the long-term recycling of crop residues significantly increase plant-available and 840 plant Si concentrations, and slow down long-term soil desilication? What are the long-841 term advantages and disadvantages of different residue management practices (i.e. direct incorporation. burning. or biochar/manure/compost production and subsequent 842 843 application)? How does decomposition dynamics influence Si release from phytolith dissolution in different geopedoclimatic contexts, and for different plant species? 844

7. What are the short- and long-term effects of cover crops on soil-plant Si mobility? Can
legume cover crops significantly stimulate Si mobility compared with grass cover crops?
Does the absence of cover crops significantly increase soil phytolith losses through soil
erosion?

849
8. How do soil aggregation and soil structure impact soil-plant Si dynamics? Do *microbial hotspots* in soil aggregate fractions and at surfaces of minerals affect soil Si dynamics and
release into the soil solution? Is it significant at the soil profile scale? How do
modifications of soil structure and aggregation by anthropogenic land transformations
(ploughing, soil pH-induced modification by liming, clay dispersion associated with high
sodium content in saline-sodic soils) influence these processes?

855

856

857

## **References**

860	Abbas T, Rizwan M, Ali S, et al (2017) Effect of biochar on cadmium bioavailability and uptake
861	in wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) grown in a soil with aged contamination. Ecotoxicol
862	Environ Saf 140:37-47. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2017.02.028
863	Abbott LK, Robson AD, De Boer G (1984) The effect of phosphorus on the formation of hyphae
864	in soil by the vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus, Glomus Fasciculatum. New Phytol
865	97:437–446
866	Abdalla M, Hastings A, Cheng K, et al (2019) A critical review of the impacts of cover crops on
867	nitrogen leaching, net greenhouse gas balance and crop productivity. Glob Chang Biol
868	25:2530–2543. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14644
869	Abrahão A, Lambers H, Sawaya ACHF, et al (2014) Convergence of a specialized root trait in
870	plants from nutrient-impoverished soils: phosphorus-acquisition strategy in a
871	nonmycorrhizal cactus. Oecologia 176:345-355. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-014-3033-4
872	Acevedo FE, Peiffer M, Ray S, et al (2021) Silicon-mediated enhancement of herbivore
873	resistance in agricultural crops. Front Plant Sci 12:631824.
874	https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2021.631824
875	Adetunji AT, Ncube B, Mulidzi R, Lewu FB (2020) Management impact and benefit of cover
876	crops on soil quality: A review. Soil Tillage Res 204:104717.
877	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2020.104717
- 878 Ahmed E, Holmström SJM (2014) Siderophores in environmental research: Roles and
- applications. Microb Biotechnol 7:196–208. https://doi.org/10.1111/1751-7915.12117
- Alexandre A, Bouvet M, Abbadie L (2011) The role of savannas in the terrestrial Si cycle: A
- case-study from Lamto, Ivory Coast. Glob Planet Change 78:162–169.
- 882 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloplacha.2011.06.007
- Alexandre A, Meunier J-D, Colin F, Koud J-M (1997) Plant impact on the biogeochemical cycle
- of silicon and related weathering processes. Geochim Cosmochim Acta 61:677–682.
- 885 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0016-7037(97)00001-X
- Alvarez-Campos O, Lang TA, Bhadha JH, et al (2018) Biochar and mill ash improve yields of
- sugarcane on a sand soil in Florida. Agric Ecosyst Environ 253:122–130.
- 888 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2017.11.006
- Alves LA, Denardin LG de O, Martins AP, et al (2019) Soil acidification and P, K, Ca and Mg
- budget as affected by sheep grazing and crop rotation in a long-term integrated crop-
- livestock system in southern Brazil. Geoderma 351:197–208.
- 892 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2019.04.036
- 893 Banfield JF, Barker WW, Welch SA, Taunton A (1999) Biological impact on mineral
- dissolution: Application of the lichen model to understanding mineral weathering in the
- rhizosphere. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 96:3404–3411.
- 896 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.96.7.3404
- Bardgett RD, Wardle DA (2003) Herbivore-mediated linkages between aboveground and
- belowground communities. Ecology 84:2258–2268

899	Barker WW, Welch SA, Chu S, Banfield JF (1998) Experimental observations of the effects of
900	bacteria on aluminosilicate weathering. Am Mineral 83:1551–1563.

901 https://doi.org/10.2138/am-1998-11-1243

- Bartoli F (1983) The biogeochemical cycle of silicon in two temperate forest ecosystems. Ecol
  Bull 35:469–476
- Beasley DE, Koltz AM, Lambert JE, et al (2015) The evolution of stomach acidity and its
  relevance to the human microbiome. PLoS One 10:1–12.
- 906 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0134116
- Beckwith RS, Reeve R (1964) Studies on soluble silica in soils. II. The release of monosilicic
  acid from soils. Aust J Soil Res 2:33–45
- Bennett PC, Rogers JR, Choi WJ, Hiebert FK (2001) Silicates, silicate weathering, and microbial
  ecology. Geomicrobiol J 18:3–19. https://doi.org/10.1080/01490450151079734
- 911 Bhat JA, Kundu MC, Hazra GC, et al (2010) Rehabilitating acid soils for increasing crop
- 912 productivity through low-cost liming material. Sci Total Environ 408:4346–4353.
- 913 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2010.07.011
- Bidle KD, Azam F (1999) Accelerated dissolution of diatom silica by marine bacterial
- 915 assemblages. Nature 397:508–512. https://doi.org/10.1038/17351
- Bist V, Niranjan A, Ranjan M, et al (2020) Silicon-solubilizing media and its implication for
- 917 characterization of bacteria to mitigate biotic stress. Front Plant Sci 11:28.
- 918 https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2020.00028

919	Bityutskii N, Kaidun	P, Yakkonen K	(2016) Earthworms	can increase	mobility and
-----	----------------------	---------------	-------------------	--------------	--------------

- bioavailability of silicon in soil. Soil Biol Biochem 99:47–53.
- 921 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2016.04.022
- 922 Blackman E, Bailey CB (1971) Dissolution of silica from dried grass in nylon bags placed in the
- rumen of a cow. Can J Anim Sci 51:327–332
- Blecker SW, McCulley RL, Chadwick OA, Kelly EF (2006) Biologic cycling of silica across a
  grassland bioclimosequence. Global Biogeochem Cycles 20:1–11.
- 926 https://doi.org/10.1029/2006GB002690
- Blouin M, Hodson ME, Delgado EA, et al (2013) A review of earthworm impact on soil function
  and ecosystem services. Eur J Soil Sci 64:161–182. https://doi.org/10.1111/ejss.12025
- Bosecker K (1997) Bioleaching: metal solubilization by microorganisms. FEMS Microbiol Lett
  20:591–604
- Brantley SL (2008) Kinetics of mineral dissolution. In: Brantley SL, Kubicki JD, White AF (eds)
  Kinetics of water–rock interaction. New York: Springer Science & Business Media., pp
  151–210
- Bray AW, Oelkers EH, Bonneville S, et al (2015) The effect of pH, grain size, and organic
- ligands on biotite weathering rates. Geochim Cosmochim Acta 164:127–145.
- 936 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2015.04.048
- 937 Brewer KM, Gaudin ACM (2020) Potential of crop-livestock integration to enhance carbon
- 938 sequestration and agroecosystem functioning in semi-arid croplands. Soil Biol Biochem

939 149:107936. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2020.107936

- 940 Brooker RW, Bennett AE, Cong WF, et al (2015) Improving intercropping: A synthesis of
- research in agronomy, plant physiology and ecology. New Phytol 206:107–117.
- 942 https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.13132
- 943 Brucker E, Kernchen S, Spohn M (2020) Release of phosphorus and silicon from minerals by soil
- 944 microorganisms depends on the availability of organic carbon. Soil Biol Biochem
- 945 143:107737. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2020.107737
- 946 Brundrett MC (2002) Coevolution of roots and mycorrhizas of land plants. New Phytol 154:275–
- 947 304. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1469-8137.2002.00397.x
- 948 Burghelea C, Zaharescu DG, Dontsova K, et al (2015) Mineral nutrient mobilization by plants
- from rock: influence of rock type and arbuscular mycorrhiza. Biogeochemistry 124:187–
- 950 203. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10533-015-0092-5
- 951 Buss HL, Lüttge A, Brantley SL (2007) Etch pit formation on iron silicate surfaces during
- siderophore-promoted dissolution. Chem Geol 240:326–342.
- 953 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2007.03.003
- 954 Calvaruso C, Turpault MP, Frey-Klett P (2006) Root-associated bacteria contribute to mineral
- 955 weathering and to mineral nutrition in trees: A budgeting analysis. Appl Environ Microbiol
- 956 72:1258–1266. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.72.2.1258-1266.2006
- 957 Cama J, Ganor J (2006) The effects of organic acids on the dissolution of silicate minerals: A
- 958 case study of oxalate catalysis of kaolinite dissolution. Geochim Cosmochim Acta 70:2191–

959

2209. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2006.01.028

- Carey JC, Fulweiler RW (2016) Human appropriation of biogenic silicon the increasing role of
  agriculture. Funct Ecol 30:1331–1339. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.12544
- 962 Carlos FS, Oliveira Denardin LG, Martins AP, et al (2020) Integrated crop–livestock systems in
- lowlands increase the availability of nutrients to irrigated rice. L Degrad Dev 31:2962–2972.
- 964 https://doi.org/10.1002/ldr.3653
- 965 Carpenter D, Hodson ME, Eggleton P, Kirk C (2007) Earthworm induced mineral weathering:
- Preliminary results. Eur J Soil Biol 43:176–183. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejsobi.2007.08.053
- 967 Castro GSA, Crusciol CAC (2013) Yield and mineral nutrition of soybean, maize, and congo
- signal grass as affected by limestone and slag. Pesqui Agropecu Bras 48:673–681.

969 https://doi.org/10.1590/S0100-204X2013000600013

- 970 Caubet M, Cornu S, Saby NPA, Meunier J-D (2020) Agriculture increases the bioavailability of
- silicon, a beneficial element for crop, in temperate soils. Sci Rep 10:19999.
- 972 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-77059-1
- 973 Chadwick OA, Chorover J (2001) The chemistry of pedogenic thresholds. Geoderma 100:321–
  974 353. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0016-7061(01)00027-1
- 975 Chandrakala C, Voleti SR, Bandeppa S, et al (2019) Silicate solubilization and plant growth
- promoting potential of *Rhizobium* Sp. isolated from rice rhizosphere. Silicon 11:2895–2906.
- 977 https://doi.org/10.1007/s12633-019-0079-2
- 978 Christl I, Brechbühl Y, Graf M, Kretzschmar R (2012) Polymerization of silicate on hematite

- surfaces and its influence on arsenic sorption. Environ Sci Technol 46:13235–13243.
- 980 https://doi.org/10.1021/es303297m
- 981 Churchman GJ, Lowe D. (2012) Alteration, formation, and occurrence of minerals in soils.
- Handb Soil Sci Prop Process 1:20–72. https://doi.org/doi:10.1201/b11267-24
- 983 Clark RB, Zeto SK (2000) Mineral acquisition by arbuscular mycorrhizal plants. J Plant Nutr
- 984 23:867–902. https://doi.org/10.1080/01904160009382068
- 985 Clark RB, Zeto SK (1996) Mineral acquisition by mycorrhizal maize grown on acid and alkaline
- 986 soil. Soil Biol Biochem 28:1495–1503. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0038-0717(96)00163-0
- 987 Clymans W, Struyf E, Govers G, et al (2011) Anthropogenic impact on amorphous silica pools in
- 988 temperate soils. Biogeosciences 8:2281–2293. https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-8-2281-2011
- Colombo C, Palumbo G, He JZ, et al (2014) Review on iron availability in soil: Interaction of Fe
- 990 minerals, plants, and microbes. J Soils Sediments 14:538–548.
- 991 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11368-013-0814-z
- 992 Cong WF, Hoffland E, Li L, et al (2015) Intercropping enhances soil carbon and nitrogen. Glob
- 993 Chang Biol 21:1715–1726. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12738
- 994 Cooke J, Leishman MR (2016) Consistent alleviation of abiotic stress with silicon addition: a
- 995 meta-analysis. Funct Ecol 30:1340–1357. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.12713
- 996 Cooke J, Leishman MR (2011) Is plant ecology more siliceous than we realise? Trends Plant Sci
- 997 16:61–68. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2010.10.003
- 998 Cornelis J-T, Delvaux B (2016) Soil processes drive the biological silicon feedback loop. Funct

999 Ecol 30:1298–1310. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.12704

- 1000 Cornelis J-T, Ranger J, Iserentant A, Delvaux B (2010) Tree species impact the terrestrial cycle
- 1001 of silicon through various uptakes. Biogeochemistry 97:231–245.
- 1002 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10533-009-9369-x
- 1003 Cornu S, Montagne D, Hubert F, et al (2012) Evidence of short-term clay evolution in soils under
- human impact. Comptes Rendus Geosci 344:747–757.
- 1005 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crte.2012.09.005
- 1006 Coskun D, Deshmukh R, Sonah H, et al (2019) The controversies of silicon's role in plant
- 1007 biology. New Phytol 221:67–85. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.15343
- 1008 Covacevich F, Echeverría HE, Aguirrezabal LAN (2007) Soil available phosphorus status
- 1009 determines indigenous mycorrhizal colonization of field and glasshouse-grown spring wheat
- 1010 from Argentina. Appl Soil Ecol 35:1–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2006.06.001
- 1011 Dakora FD, Phillips DA (2002) Root exudates as mediators of mineral acquisition in low-nutrient
- 1012 environments. Plant Soil 245:35–47. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1020809400075
- 1013 Datnoff LE, Snyder GH, Korndörfer GH (2001) Silicon in Agriculture, Volume 8
- 1014 de Tombeur F, Cooke J, Collard L, et al (2021a) Biochar affects silicification patterns and
- 1015 physical traits of rice leaves cultivated in a desilicated soil (Ferric Lixisol). Plant Soil
- 1016 460:375–390. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-020-04816-6
- 1017 de Tombeur F, Cornélis J-T, Lambers H (2021b) Silicon mobilisation by root-released
- 1018 carboxylates. Trends Plant Sci accepted pending revisions

1019	de Tombeur F, Laliberté E, Lambers H, et al (2021c) A shift from phenol to silica-based leaf
1020	defences during long-term soil and ecosystem development. Ecol Lett 24:984–995.
1021	https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.13713
1022	de Tombeur F, Turner BL, Laliberté E, et al (2020a) Plants sustain the terrestrial silicon cycle
1023	during ecosystem retrogression. Science (80-) 369:1245–1248.
1024	https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abc0393

- 1025 de Tombeur F, Turner BL, Laliberté E, et al (2020b) Silicon dynamics during 2 million years of
- soil development in a coastal dune chronosequence under a Mediterranean climate.
- 1027 Ecosystems 23:1614–1630. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10021-020-00493-9
- 1028 de Tombeur F, Vander Linden C, Cornélis J-T, et al (2020c) Soil and climate affect foliar
- silicification patterns and silica-cellulose balance in sugarcane (*Saccharum officinarum*).

1030 Plant Soil 452:529–546. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-020-04588-z

- 1031 Debona D, Rodrigues FA, Datnoff LE (2017) Silicon's role in abiotic and biotic plant stresses.
- 1032 Annu Rev Phytopathol 55:85–107. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-phyto-080516-035312
- 1033 Derry LA, Kurtz AC, Ziegler K, Chadwick OA (2005) Biological control of terrestrial silica
- 1034 cycling and export fluxes to watersheds. Nature 433:728–731.
- 1035 https://doi.org/10.1038/nature03299
- 1036 Deshmukh R, Bélanger RR (2016) Molecular evolution of aquaporins and silicon influx in plants.

1037 Funct Ecol 30:1277–1285. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.12570

1038 Deshmukh R, Sonah H, Belanger RR (2020) New evidence defining the evolutionary path of

- aquaporins regulating silicon uptake in land plants. J Exp Bot 71:6775–6788.
- 1040 https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/eraa342
- 1041 Desplanques V, Cary L, Mouret JC, et al (2006) Silicon transfers in a rice field in Camargue
- 1042 (France). J Geochemical Explor 88:190–193. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gexplo.2005.08.036
- 1043 Deveau A, Bonito G, Uehling J, et al (2018) Bacterial-fungal interactions: Ecology, mechanisms
- and challenges. FEMS Microbiol Rev 42:335–352. https://doi.org/10.1093/femsre/fuy008
- 1045 Dietzel M (2002) Interaction of polysilicic and monosilicic acid with mineral surfaces. In: Stober
- 1046 I, Bucher K (eds) Water-Rock Interaction. Springer, Dordrecht, pp 207–235
- 1047 Dietzel M (2000) Dissolution of silicates and the stability of polysilicic acid. Geochim
- 1048 Cosmochim Acta 64:3275–3281
- 1049 Dong H, Kostka JE, Kim J (2003) Microscopic evidence for microbial dissolution of smectite.

1050 Clays Clay Miner 51:502–512. https://doi.org/10.1346/CCMN.2003.0510504

- 1051 Dontsova K, Balogh-Brunstad Z, Le Roux G (2020) Biogeochemical Cycles: Ecological Drivers
- 1052and Environmental Impact. Wiley
- Drever JI (1994) The effect of land plants on weathering rates of silicate minerals. Geochim
  Cosmochim Acta 58:2325–2332. https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-7037(94)90013-2
- 1055 Drever JI, Stillings LL (1997) The role of organic acids in mineral weathering. Colloids Surfaces
- 1056 A Physicochem Eng Asp 120:167–181. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0927-7757(96)03720-X
- 1057 Ehrlich H, Demadis KD, Pokrovsky OS, Koutsoukos PG (2010) Modern views on
- desilicification: Biosilica and abiotic silica dissolution in natural and artificial environments.

- 1059 Chem Rev 110:4656–4689. https://doi.org/10.1021/cr900334y
- 1060 Eneji AE, Inanaga S, Muranaka S, et al (2008) Growth and nutrient use in four grasses under
- drought stress as mediated by silicon fertilizers. J Plant Nutr 31:355–365.
- 1062 https://doi.org/10.1080/01904160801894913
- 1063 Epstein E (1994) The anomaly of silicon in plant biology. Proc Natl Acad Sci 91:11–17.
- 1064 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.91.1.11
- 1065 Euteneuer P, Wagentristl H, Steinkellner S, et al (2020) Contrasting effects of cover crops on
- 1066 earthworms: Results from field monitoring and laboratory experiments on growth,
- reproduction and food choice. Eur J Soil Biol 100:103225.
- 1068 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejsobi.2020.103225
- 1069 Farmer VC, Delbos E, Miller JD (2005) The role of phytolith formation and dissolution in
- 1070 controlling concentrations of silica in soil solutions and streams. Geoderma 127:71–79.
- 1071 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2004.11.014
- 1072 Finlay RD, Mahmood S, Rosenstock N, et al (2020) Reviews and syntheses: Biological
- 1073 weathering and its consequences at different spatial levels From nanoscale to global scale.

1074 Biogeosciences 17:1507–1533. https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-17-1507-2020

- 1075 Fisher RA (1929) A preliminary note on the effect of sodium silicate in increasing the yield of
- 1076 barley. J Agric Sci 19:132–139. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0021859600011217
- 1077 Flemming HC, Wingender J (2010) The biofilm matrix. Nat Rev Microbiol 8:623–633.
- 1078 https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro2415

1079	Fonte SJ, Nesper M, Hegglin D, et al (2014) Pasture degradation impacts soil phosphorus storage
1080	via changes to aggregate-associated soil organic matter in highly weathered tropical soils.
1081	Soil Biol Biochem 68:150–157. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2013.09.025
1082	Forbes ES, Cushman JH, Burkepile DE, et al (2019) Synthesizing the effects of large, wild
1083	herbivore exclusion on ecosystem function. Funct Ecol 33:1597–1610.
1084	https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.13376
1085	Fraysse F, Cantais F, Pokrovsky OS, et al (2006a) Aqueous reactivity of phytoliths and plant
1086	litter: Physico-chemical constraints on terrestrial biogeochemical cycle of silicon. J
1087	Geochemical Explor 88:202–205. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gexplo.2005.08.039
1088	Fraysse F, Pokrovsky OS, Meunier JD (2010) Experimental study of terrestrial plant litter
1089	interaction with aqueous solutions. Geochim Cosmochim Acta 74:70-84.
1090	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2009.09.002

1091 Fraysse F, Pokrovsky OS, Schott J, Meunier JD (2009) Surface chemistry and reactivity of plant

1092 phytoliths in aqueous solutions. Chem Geol 258:197–206.

- 1093 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2008.10.003
- 1094 Fraysse F, Pokrovsky OS, Schott J, Meunier JD (2006b) Surface properties, solubility and
- dissolution kinetics of bamboo phytoliths. Geochim Cosmochim Acta 70:1939–1951.
- 1096 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2005.12.025
- 1097 Frew A, Powell JR, Allsopp PG, et al (2017a) Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi promote silicon
- accumulation in plant roots, reducing the impacts of root herbivory. Plant Soil 419:423–433.
- 1099 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-017-3357-z

46

	1100	Frew A, Powell J	R, Hiltpold I, et a	l (2017b) Host	plant colonisation b	y arbuscular mycorrhiza
--	------	------------------	---------------------	----------------	----------------------	-------------------------

- 1101 fungi stimulates immune function whereas high root silicon concentrations diminish growth
- in a soil-dwelling herbivore. Soil Biol Biochem 112:117–126
- 1103 Frew A, Powell JR, Johnson SN (2020) Aboveground resource allocation in response to root
- herbivory as affected by the arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis. Plant Soil 447:463–473.
- 1105 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-019-04399-x
- 1106 Gadd GM (2017) Fungi, rocks, and minerals. Elements 13:171–176.
- 1107 https://doi.org/10.2113/gselements.13.3.171
- 1108 Garg N, Bhandari P (2016) Silicon nutrition and mycorrhizal inoculations improve growth,
- 1109 nutrient status, K+/Na+ ratio and yield of *Cicer arietinum* L. genotypes under salinity stress.
- 1110 Plant Growth Regul 78:371–387. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10725-015-0099-x
- 1111 Garg N, Singh S (2018) Mycorrhizal inoculations and silicon fortifications improve rhizobial
- symbiosis, antioxidant defense, trehalose turnover in pigeon pea genotypes under cadmium
- and zinc stress. Plant Growth Regul 86:105–119. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10725-018-0414-
- 1114 4
- 1115 Gattullo CE, Allegretta I, Medici L, et al (2016) Silicon dynamics in the rhizosphere:
- 1116 Connections with iron mobilization. J Plant Nutr Soil Sci 179:409–417.
- 1117 https://doi.org/10.1002/jpln.201500535
- 1118 Gbongue LR, Lalaymia I, Zeze A, et al (2019) Increased silicon acquisition in bananas colonized
- by *Rhizophagus irregularis* MUCL 41833 reduces the incidence of *Pseudocercospora*
- 1120 *fijiensis*. Front Plant Sci 9:1977. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2018.01977

- 1121 Georgiadis A, Marhan S, Lattacher A, et al (2019) Do earthworms affect the fractionation of
- silicon in soil? Pedobiologia (Jena) 75:1–7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pedobi.2019.05.001
- 1123 Georgiadis A, Sauer D, Herrmann L, et al (2013) Development of a method for sequential Si
- extraction from soils. Geoderma 209–210:251–261.
- 1125 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2013.06.023
- 1126 Gérard F, Mayer KU, Hodson MJ, Ranger J (2008) Modelling the biogeochemical cycle of
- silicon in soils: Application to a temperate forest ecosystem. Geochim Cosmochim Acta
- 1128 72:741–758. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2007.11.010
- 1129 Gerke J, Römer W, Jungk A (1994) The excretion of citric and malic acid by proteoid roots of
- 1130 *Lupinus albus* L.; effects on soil solution concentrations of phosphate, iron, and aluminum
- in the proteoid rhizosphere in samples of an oxisol and a luvisol. J Plant Nutr Soil Sci

1132 157:289–294. https://doi.org/10.1002/jpln.19941570408

- 1133 Goldich SS (1938) A study in rock-weathering. J Geol 46:17–58
- 1134 Golubev S V., Pokrovsky OS, Schott J (2005) Experimental determination of the effect of
- dissolved CO2 on the dissolution kinetics of Mg and Ca silicates at 25 °C. Chem Geol

1136 217:227–238. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2004.12.011

- 1137 Guennoc CM, Rose C, Labbé J, Deveau A (2018) Bacterial biofilm formation on the hyphae of
- ectomycorrhizal fungi: A widespread ability under controls? FEMS Microbiol Ecol 94:1–14.
- 1139 https://doi.org/10.1093/femsec/fiy093
- 1140 Guntzer F, Keller C, Poulton PR, et al (2012) Long-term removal of wheat straw decreases soil

- amorphous silica at Broadbalk, Rothamsted. Plant Soil 352:173–184.
- 1142 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-011-0987-4
- 1143 Hall AD, Morison CGT (1906) On the function of silica in the nutrition of cereals.—Part I. Proc
- 1144 R Soc London Ser B 77:455–477. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.1906.0035
- 1145 Hallama M, Pekrun C, Lambers H, Kandeler E (2019) Hidden miners the roles of cover crops
- and soil microorganisms in phosphorus cycling through agroecosystems. Plant Soil 434:7–
- 1147 45. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-018-3810-7
- 1148 Hartley SE, DeGabriel JL (2016) The ecology of herbivore-induced silicon defences in grasses.
- 1149 Funct Ecol 30:1311–1322. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.12706
- 1150 Hasan KA, Soliman H, Baka Z, Shabana YM (2020) Efficacy of nano-silicon in the control of
- 1151 chocolate spot disease of *Vicia faba* L. caused by *Botrytis fabae*. Egypt J Basic Appl Sci
- 1152 7:53–66. https://doi.org/10.1080/2314808x.2020.1727627
- 1153 Hayes P, Turner BL, Lambers H, Laliberté E (2014) Foliar nutrient concentrations and resorption
- efficiency in plants of contrasting nutrient-acquisition strategies along a 2-million-year dune
- 1155 chronosequence. J Ecol 102:396–410. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.12196
- 1156 Haynes RJ (2014) A contemporary overview of silicon availability in agricultural soils. J Plant
- 1157 Nutr Soil Sci 177:831–844. https://doi.org/10.1002/jpln.201400202
- 1158 Haynes RJ (2017) The nature of biogenic Si and its potential role in Si supply in agricultural
- soils. Agric Ecosyst Environ 245:100–111. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2017.04.021
- 1160 Haynes RJ (2019) What effect does liming have on silicon availability in agricultural soils?

- 1161 Geoderma 337:375–383. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2018.09.026
- 1162 Haynes RJ, Zhou YF (2018) Effect of pH and added slag on the extractability of Si in two Si-
- deficient sugarcane soils. Chemosphere 193:431–437.
- 1164 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2017.10.175
- 1165 He H, Wu M, Guo L, et al (2020) Release of tartrate as a major carboxylate by alfalfa (Medicago
- sativa L.) under phosphorus deficiency and the effect of soil nitrogen supply. Plant Soil
- 1167 449:169–178. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-020-04481-9
- 1168 Henriet C, Bodarwé L, Dorel M, et al (2008a) Leaf silicon content in banana (*Musa* spp.) reveals
- the weathering stage of volcanic ash soils in Guadeloupe. Plant Soil 313:71–82.
- 1170 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-008-9680-7
- 1171 Henriet C, De Jaeger N, Dorel M, et al (2008b) The reserve of weatherable primary silicates
- 1172 impacts the accumulation of biogenic silicon in volcanic ash soils. Biogeochemistry 90:209–
- 1173 223. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10533-008-9245-0
- 1174 Herrero M, Thronton PK, Notenbaert AM, et al (2010) Smart investments in sustainable
- 1175 production: Revisiting mixed crop-livestock systems. Science (80- ) 327:822–825
- 1176 Hilbrandt I, Lehmann V, Zietzschmann F, et al (2019) Quantification and isotherm modelling of
- 1177 competitive phosphate and silicate adsorption onto micro-sized granular ferric hydroxide.
- 1178 RSC Adv 9:23642–23651. https://doi.org/10.1039/c9ra04865k
- 1179 Hingston FJ, Posner AM, Quirk JP (1972) Anion adsoprtion by goethite and gibbsite. I. The role
- 1180 of the proton in determining adsorption envelopes. J Soil Sci 23:177–192

- Hingston FJ, Raupach M (1967) The reaction between monosilicic acid and aluminium
  hydroxide. Aust J Soil Res 5:295–309
- 1183 Hinsinger P, Betencourt E, Bernard L, et al (2011) P for two, sharing a scarce resource: Soil
- 1184 phosphorus acquisition in the rhizosphere of intercropped species. Plant Physiol 156:1078–
- 1185 1086. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.111.175331
- 1186 Hinsinger P, Fernandes Barros ON, Benedetti MF, et al (2001) Plant-induced weathering of a
- 1187 basaltic rock: Experimental evidence. Geochim Cosmochim Acta 65:137–152.
- 1188 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0016-7037(00)00524-X
- 1189 Hodson MJ (2019) The relative importance of cell wall and lumen phytoliths in carbon
- sequestration in soil: A hypothesis. Front Earth Sci 7:167.
- 1191 https://doi.org/10.3389/feart.2019.00167
- 1192 Hodson MJ, White PJ, Mead A, Broadley MR (2005) Phylogenetic variation in the silicon
- 1193 composition of plants. Ann Bot 96:1027–1046. https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mci255
- Högberg P, Nordgren A, Buchmann N, et al (2001) Large-scale forest girdling shows that current
- 1195 photosynthesis drives soil respiration. Nature 411:789–792.
- 1196 https://doi.org/10.1038/35081058
- 1197 Hömberg A, Obst M, Knorr KH, et al (2020) Increased silicon concentration in fen peat leads to a
- release of iron and phosphate and changes in the composition of dissolved organic matter.
- 1199 Geoderma 374:114422. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2020.114422
- 1200 Hossain KA, Horiuchi T, Miyagawa S (2001) Effects of silicate materials on growth and grain

- 1201 yield of rice plants grown in clay loam and sandy loam soils. J Plant Nutr 24:1–13.
- 1202 https://doi.org/10.1081/PLN-100000308
- 1203 Houben D, Sonnet P (2012) Zinc mineral weathering as affected by plant roots. Appl
- 1204 Geochemistry 27:1587–1592. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeochem.2012.05.004
- 1205 Houben D, Sonnet P, Cornelis JT (2014) Biochar from *Miscanthus*: A potential silicon fertilizer.
- 1206 Plant Soil 374:871–882. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-013-1885-8
- 1207 Hu L, Xia M, Lin X, et al (2018) Earthworm gut bacteria increase silicon bioavailability and
- acquisition by maize. Soil Biol Biochem 125:215–221.
- 1209 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2018.07.015
- 1210 Hu L, Xu CC, Wang J, et al (2019) Application of bryophyte rhizoid-associated bacteria
- increases silicon accumulation and growth in maize (*Zea mays* L.) seedlings. Appl Ecol

1212 Environ Res 17:13423–13433. https://doi.org/10.15666/aeer/1706\_1342313433

- Huang F, Gao LY, Wu RR, et al (2020) Qualitative and quantitative characterization of
- adsorption mechanisms for Cd2+ by silicon-rich biochar. Sci Total Environ 731:139163.
- 1215 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.139163
- 1216 Hughes HJ, Hung DT, Sauer D (2020) Silicon recycling through rice residue management does
- 1217 not prevent silicon depletion in paddy rice cultivation. Nutr Cycl Agroecosystems 118:75–
- 1218 89. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10705-020-10084-8
- 1219 Hummel J, Findeisen E, Südekum KH, et al (2011) Another one bites the dust: Faecal silica
- 1220 levels in large herbivores correlate with high-crowned teeth. Proc R Soc B Biol Sci

1221 278:1742–1747. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2010.1939

- 1222 Hwang BC, Metcalfe DB (2021) Reviews and syntheses: Impacts of plant-silica-herbivore
- interactions on terrestrial biogeochemical cycling. Biogeosciences 18:1259–1268.
- 1224 https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-18-1259-2021
- 1225 Ibrahim M, Khan S, Hao X, Li G (2016) Biochar effects on metal bioaccumulation and arsenic
- speciation in alfalfa (*Medicago sativa* L.) grown in contaminated soil. Int J Environ Sci

1227 Technol 13:2467–2474. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13762-016-1081-5

- 1228 Icopini GA, Brantley SL, Heaney PJ (2005) Kinetics of silica oligomerization and nanocolloid
- formation as a function of pH and ionic strength at 25°C. Geochim Cosmochim Acta

1230 69:293–303. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2004.06.038

- 1231 Ingham RE, Trofymow JA, Ingham ER, Coleman DC (1985) Interactions of bacteria, fungi, and
- their nematode grazers: Effects on nutrient cycling and plant growth. Ecol Monogr 55:119–
- 1233 140. https://doi.org/10.2307/1942528
- 1234 Jackson TA (1971) Biochemical weathering of calcium-bearing minerals by rhizosphere micro-
- 1235 organisms, and its influence on calcium accumulation in trees. Plant Soil 35:655–658
- 1236 Jiang Y, Wang W, Xie Q, et al (2017) Plants transfer lipids to sustain colonization by mutualistic
- 1237 mycorrhizal and parasitic fungi. Science (80-) 356:1172–1173.
- 1238 https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aam9970
- 1239 Johnson SN, Hartley SE, Moore BD (2021) Silicon defence in plants: Does herbivore identity
- 1240 matter? Trends Plant Sci 26:99–101. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2020.10.005

- Jones LHP, Handreck KA (1963) Effects of iron and aluminium oxides on silica in solution in
  soils. Nature 198:852–853
- 1243 Jordan N, Marmier N, Lomenech C, et al (2009) Competition between selenium (IV) and silicic
- acid on the hematite surface. Chemosphere 75:129–134.
- 1245 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2008.11.018
- 1246 Jouquet P, Jamoteau F, Majumdar S, et al (2020) The distribution of Silicon in soil is influenced
- by termite bioturbation in South Indian forest soils. Geoderma 372:114362.
- 1248 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2020.114362
- 1249 Jouquet P, Mamou L, Lepage M, Velde B (2002) Effect of termites on clay minerals in tropical
- soils: Fungus-growing termites as weathering agents. Eur J Soil Sci 53:521–528.
- 1251 https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2389.2002.00492.x
- 1252 Jouquet P, Traoré S, Choosai C, et al (2011) Influence of termites on ecosystem functioning.
- 1253 Ecosystem services provided by termites. Eur J Soil Biol 47:215–222.
- 1254 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejsobi.2011.05.005
- 1255 Kabas S, Saavedra-Mella F, Huynh T, et al (2017) Metal uptake and organic acid exudation of
- 1256 native *Acacia* species in mine tailings. Aust J Bot 65:357–367.
- 1257 https://doi.org/10.1071/BT16189
- 1258 Kang SM, Waqas M, Shahzad R, et al (2017) Isolation and characterization of a novel silicate-
- solubilizing bacterial strain *Burkholderia eburnea* CS4-2 that promotes growth of japonica
- 1260 rice (*Oryza sativa* L. cv. Dongjin). Soil Sci Plant Nutr 63:233–241.
- 1261 https://doi.org/10.1080/00380768.2017.1314829

- 1262 Katz O (2019) Silicon content is a plant functional trait: implications in a changing world. Flora
- 1263 254:88–94. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.flora.2018.08.007
- 1264 Keeping MG, Miles N, Rutherford RS (2017) Liming an acid soil treated with diverse silicon
- sources: Effects on silicon uptake by sugarcane (*Saccharum* spp. hybrids). J Plant Nutr
- 1266 40:1417–1436. https://doi.org/10.1080/01904167.2016.1267751
- 1267 Keller C, Guntzer F, Barboni D, et al (2012) Impact of agriculture on the Si biogeochemical
- 1268 cycle: Input from phytolith studies. Comptes Rendus Geosci 344:739–746.
- 1269 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crte.2012.10.004
- 1270 Keller C, Rizwan M, Meunier J-D (2021) Are clay minerals a significant source of Si for crops?
- A comparison of amorphous silica and the roles of the mineral type and pH. Silicon In press:
  https://doi.org/10.1007/s12633-020-00877-5
- 1273 Kelly EF, Chadwick OA, Hilinski TE (1998) The effect of plants on mineral weathering.
- 1274 Biogeochemistry 42:21–53
- 1275 Kim J, Dong H, Seabaugh J, et al (2004) Role of microbes in the smectite-to-illite reaction.
- 1276 Science (80- ) 303:830–832. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1093245
- 1277 Klotzbücher A, Klotzbücher T, Jahn R, et al (2018a) Effects of Si fertilization on Si in soil
- 1278 solution, Si uptake by rice, and resistance of rice to biotic stresses in Southern Vietnam.
- 1279 Paddy Water Environ 16:243–252. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10333-017-0610-2
- 1280 Klotzbücher T, Klotzbücher A, Kaiser K, et al (2018b) Impact of agricultural practices on plant-
- 1281 available silicon. Geoderma 331:15–17. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2018.06.011

1282	Klotzbücher T, Marxen A, Vetterlein D, et al (2015) Plant-available silicon in paddy soils as a
1283	key factor for sustainable rice production in Southeast Asia. Basic Appl Ecol 16:665–673.
1284	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.baae.2014.08.002

1285 Klotzbücher T, Treptow C, Kaiser K, et al (2020) Sorption competition with natural organic

1286 matter as mechanism controlling silicon mobility in soil. Sci Rep 1–11.

1287 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-68042-x

1288 Konhauser KO, Lalonde S V., Amskold L, Holland HD (2007) Was there really an archean

1289 phosphate crisis? Science (80- ) 315:1234. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1136328

- 1290 Kostic L, Nikolic N, Bosnic D, et al (2017) Silicon increases phosphorus (P) uptake by wheat
- under low P acid soil conditions. Plant Soil 419:447–455. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104017-3364-0
- 1293 Kothari SK, Marschner H, Römheld V (1990) Direct and indirect effects of VA mycorrhizal
- 1294 fungi and rhizosphere microorganisms on acquisition of mineral nutrients by maize (Zea
- 1295 *mays* L.) in a calcareous soil. New Phytol 116:637–645. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-
- 1296 8137.1990.tb00549.x
- 1297 Koyama S, Hayashi H (2017) Rice yield and soil carbon dynamics over three years of applying
- rice husk charcoal to an Andosol paddy field. Plant Prod Sci 20:176–182.
- 1299 https://doi.org/10.1080/1343943X.2017.1290506
- 1300 Kundu S, Kamath MB, Goswami NN (1988) Effect of sulphate, silicate and fluoride anions I.
- 1301 Phosphate fixation in soils. J Indian Soc Soil Sci 36:43–47

1302	Kuzyakov Y, Blagodatskaya E (2015) Microbial hotspots and hot moments in soil: Concept &
1303	review. Soil Biol Biochem 83:184–199. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2015.01.025

- 1304 Lambers H, Albornoz F, Kotula L, et al (2018) How belowground interactions contribute to the
- 1305 coexistence of mycorrhizal and non-mycorrhizal species in severely phosphorus-
- impoverished hyperdiverse ecosystems. Plant Soil 424:11–33.
- 1307 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-017-3427-2
- 1308 Lambers H, Finnegan PM, Laliberté E, et al (2011) Phosphorus nutrition of proteaceae in
- 1309 severely phosphorus-impoverished soils: Are there lessons to be learned for future crops?
- 1310 Plant Physiol 156:1058–1066. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.111.174318
- 1311 Lambers H, Hayes PE, Laliberté E, et al (2015) Leaf manganese accumulation and phosphorus-
- 1312acquisition efficiency. Trends Plant Sci 20:83–90.
- 1313 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2014.10.007
- 1314 Lambers H, Raven JA, Shaver GR, Smith SE (2008) Plant nutrient-acquisition strategies change
- 1315 with soil age. Trends Ecol Evol 23:95–103. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2007.10.008
- 1316 Lambers H, Shane MW, Cramer MD, et al (2006) Root structure and functioning for efficient
- 1317 acquisition of phosphorus: Matching morphological and physiological traits. Ann Bot
- 1318 98:693–713. https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcl114
- 1319 Lauwers AM, Heinen W (1974) Bio-degradation and utilization of silica and quartz. Arch
- 1320 Microbiol 95:67–78. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02451749
- 1321 le Roux E, van Veenhuisen LS, Kerley GIH, Cromsigt JPGM (2020) Animal body size

- distribution influences the ratios of nutrients supplied to plants. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A
- 1323 117:22256–22263. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2003269117

1324 Leake JR, Read DJ (2017) Mycorrhizal Symbioses and Pedogenesis Throughout Earth's History.

- In: Mycorrhizal Mediation of Soil: Fertility, Structure, and Carbon Storage. Elsevier Inc., pp
  9–33
- 1327 Lee KE, Adhikari A, Kang SM, et al (2019) Isolation and characterization of the high silicate and
- phosphate solubilizing novel strain enterobacter ludwigii GAK2 that promotes growth in

rice plants. Agronomy 9:. https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy9030144

- 1330 Leksungnoen P, Wisawapipat W, Ketrot D, et al (2019) Biochar and ash derived from silicon-rich
- rice husk decrease inorganic arsenic species in rice grain. Sci Total Environ 684:360–370.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.05.247
- 1333 Lemaire G, Franzluebbers A, Carvalho PC de F, Dedieu B (2014) Integrated crop-livestock
- 1334 systems: Strategies to achieve synergy between agricultural production and environmental
- 1335 quality. Agric Ecosyst Environ 190:4–8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2013.08.009
- 1336 Li C, Hoffland E, Kuyper TW, et al (2020a) Syndromes of production in intercropping impact

1337 yield gains. Nat Plants 6:653–660. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41477-020-0680-9

- 1338 Li L, Tilman D, Lambers H, Zhang FS (2014) Plant diversity and overyielding: Insights from
- belowground facilitation of intercropping in agriculture. New Phytol 203:63–69.
- 1340 https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.12778
- 1341 Li Z, de Tombeur F, Linden C Vander, et al (2020b) Soil microaggregates store phytoliths in a

- 1342 sandy loam. Geoderma 360:114037. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2019.114037
- 1343 Li Z, Delvaux B (2019) Phytolith- rich biochar: A potential Si fertilizer in desilicated soils. GCB
- 1344 Bioenergy 11:. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcbb.12635
- 1345 Li Z, Delvaux B, Yans J, et al (2018) Phytolith-rich biochar increases cotton biomass and silicon-
- 1346 mineralomass in a highly weathered soil. J Plant Nutr Soil Sci.
- 1347 https://doi.org/10.1002/jpln.201800031
- 1348 Li Z, Unzué-Belmonte D, Cornelis J-T, et al (2019) Effects of phytolithic rice-straw biochar, soil
- buffering capacity and pH on silicon bioavailability. Plant Soil.
- 1350 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-019-04013-0
- Liang Y, Nikolic M, Bélanger R, et al (2015a) Silicon biogeochemistry and bioavailability in
  soil. In: Silicon in Agriculture. pp 45–68
- 1353 Liang Y, Nikolic M, Bélanger RR, et al (2015b) Silicon in Agriculture. From theory to practice.
- 1354 Liang Y, Sun W, Zhu YG, Christie P (2007) Mechanisms of silicon-mediated alleviation of
- abiotic stresses in higher plants: A review. Environ Pollut 147:422–428.
- 1356 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2006.06.008
- 1357 Liermann LJ, Kalinowski BE, Brantley SL, Ferry JG (2000) Role of bacterial siderophores in
- dissolution of hornblende. Geochim Cosmochim Acta 64:587–602.
- 1359 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0016-7037(99)00288-4
- 1360 Limmer MA, Mann J, Amaral DC, et al (2018) Silicon-rich amendments in rice paddies: Effects
- 1361 on arsenic uptake and biogeochemistry. Sci Total Environ 624:1360–1368.

1362 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.12.207

- 1363 Liu W, Xu X, Wu X, et al (2006) Decomposition of silicate minerals by Bacillus mucilaginosus
- in liquid culture. Environ Geochem Health 28:133–140. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10653-005-
- 1365 9022-0
- Liu X, Li L, Bian R, et al (2014) Effect of biochar amendment on soil-silicon availability and rice
  uptake. J Plant Nutr Soil Sci 177:91–96. https://doi.org/10.1002/jpln.201200582
- 1368 Lucas Y (2001) The role of plants in controlling rates and products of weathering: Importance of
- biological pumping. Annu Rev Earth Planet Sci 29:135–163.
- 1370 https://doi.org/10.1002/fut.10088
- 1371 Lucas Y, Luizão FJ, Chauvel A, et al (1993) The relation between biological activity of the rain
- 1372 forest and mineral composition of soils. Science (80- ) 260:521–523.
- 1373 https://doi.org/10.1126/science.260.5107.521
- 1374 Łukowiak M (2020) Utilizing sponge spicules in taxonomic, ecological and environmental
- reconstructions: a review. Peer J e10601. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.10601
- 1376 Ma J, Takahashi E (1991a) Effect of silicate on phosphate availability for rice in a P-deficient
- 1377 soil. Plant Soil 133:151–155. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00009187
- 1378 Ma J, Takahashi E (1990a) The effect of silicic acid on rice in a P-deficient soil. Plant Soil
- 1379 126:121–125. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00041377
- 1380 Ma J, Takahashi E (1991b) Availability of rice straw Si to rice plants. Soil Sci Plant Nutr 37:111–
- 1381 116. https://doi.org/10.1080/00380768.1991.10415016

- 1382 Ma JF (2004) Role of silicon in enhancing the resistance of plants to biotic and abiotic stresses.
- 1383 Soil Sci Plant Nutr 50:11–18. https://doi.org/10.1080/00380768.2004.10408447
- 1384 Ma JF, Takahashi E (1990b) Effect of silicon on the growth and phosphorus uptake of rice. Plant
- 1385 Soil 126:115–119. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00041376
- 1386 Ma JF, Tamai K, Yamaji N, et al (2006) A silicon transporter in rice. Nature 440:688–691.
- 1387 https://doi.org/10.1038/nature04590
- 1388 Ma JF, Yamaji N, Mitani N, et al (2007) An efflux transporter of silicon in rice. Nature 448:209–
- 1389 212. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature05964
- 1390 Mariotte P, Mehrabi Z, Bezemer TM, et al (2018) Plant–Soil Feedback: Bridging Natural and
- Agricultural Sciences. Trends Ecol Evol 33:129–142.
- 1392 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2017.11.005
- 1393 Marschner H, Dell B (1994) Nutrient uptake in mycorrhizal symbiosis. Plant Soil 159:89–102.
- 1394 https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00000098
- 1395 Martin-Guay MO, Paquette A, Dupras J, Rivest D (2018) The new Green Revolution:
- 1396 Sustainable intensification of agriculture by intercropping. Sci Total Environ 615:767–772.
- 1397 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.10.024
- 1398 Marxen A, Klotzbücher T, Jahn R, et al (2016) Interaction between silicon cycling and straw
- decomposition in a silicon deficient rice production system. Plant Soil 398:153–163.
- 1400 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-015-2645-8
- 1401 Mathews BW, Carpenter JR, Sollenberger LE (2009) In vitro digestibility and chemical

- 1402 composition of kikuyugrass as influenced by soil silicon, liming, and genotype. Commun
- 1403 Soil Sci Plant Anal 40:2855–2873. https://doi.org/10.1080/00103620903173855
- 1404 Matychenkov VV, Ammosova YM (1996) Effect of amorphous silica on some properties of a
- sod-podzolic soil. Eurasian Soil Sci 28:87–99
- McKeague JA, Cline MG (1963) Silica in soil solutions. II. The adsorption of monosilicic acid by
  soil and by other substances. Can J Soil Sci 43:83–96
- 1408 Meena VD, Dotaniya ML, Coumar V, et al (2014) A case for silicon fertilization to improve crop
- 1409 yields in tropical soils. Proc Natl Acad Sci India Sect B Biol Sci 84:505–518.
- 1410 https://doi.org/10.1007/s40011-013-0270-y
- 1411 Meharg C, Meharg AA (2015) Silicon, the silver bullet for mitigating biotic and abiotic stress,
- and improving grain quality, in rice? Environ Exp Bot 120:8–17.
- 1413 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envexpbot.2015.07.001
- 1414 Meunier JD, Colin F, Alarcon C (1999) Biogenic silica storage in soils. Geology 27:835–838.
- 1415 https://doi.org/10.1130/0091-7613(1999)027<0835:BSSIS>2.3.CO;2
- 1416 Meunier JD, Sandhya K, Prakash NB, et al (2018) pH as a proxy for estimating plant-available
- 1417 Si? A case study in rice fields in Karnataka (South India). Plant Soil 432:143–155.
- 1418 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-018-3758-7
- 1419 Meyer JH, Keeping MG (2000) Review of Research Into the Role of Silicon for Sugarcane
- 1420 Production. Proc South African Sugar Technol Assoc 74:29–40
- 1421 Mikha MM, Rice CW (2004) Tillage and Manure Effects on Soil and Aggregate-Associated

1422	Carbon and Nitrogen.	Soil Sci Soc Am J 68:809	). https://doi.org	g/10.2136/sssa <sup>*</sup>	i2004.0809
	( )				

- 1423 Miles N, Manson AD, Rhodes R, et al (2014) Extractable silicon in soils of the South African
- sugar industry and relationships with crop uptake. Commun Soil Sci Plant Anal 45:2949–
- 1425 2958. https://doi.org/10.1080/00103624.2014.956881
- 1426 Müller DWH, Caton J, Codron D, et al (2011) Phylogenetic constraints on digesta separation:
- 1427 Variation in fluid throughput in the digestive tract in mammalian herbivores. Comp
- 1428 Biochem Physiol Part A Mol Integr Physiol 160:207–220
- 1429 Nakamura R, Cornelis J, de Tombeur F, et al (2020) Diversity of silicon release rates among
- tropical tree species during leaf-litter decomposition. Geoderma 368:.
- 1431 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2020.114288
- 1432 Neu S, Schaller J, Dudel EG (2017) Silicon availability modifies nutrient use efficiency and
- 1433 content, C:N:P stoichiometry, and productivity of winter wheat (Triticum aestivum L.). Sci
- 1434 Rep 7:1–8. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep40829
- 1435 Nguyen MN, Picardal F, Dultz S, et al (2017) Silicic acid as a dispersibility enhancer in a Fe-
- 1436 oxide-rich kaolinitic soil clay. Geoderma 286:8–14.
- 1437 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2016.10.029
- 1438 Ning C, Qu J, He L, et al (2017) Improvement of yield, pest control and Si nutrition of rice by
- rice-water spinach intercropping. F Crop Res 208:34–43.
- 1440 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2017.04.005
- 1441 Nottle MC, Armstrong JM (1966) Urinary excretion of silica by grazing sheep. Aust J Agric Res

1442 17:165–173

- Obihara CH, Russell EW (1972) Specific adsorption of silicate and phosphate by soils. J Soil Sci
  23:105–117
- 1445 Or D, Keller T, Schlesinger WH (2021) Natural and managed soil structure: On the fragile
- scaffolding for soil functioning. Soil Tillage Res 208:104912.
- 1447 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2020.104912
- 1448 Owino-Gerroh C, Gascho GJ (2004) Effect of silicon on low pH soil phosphorus sorption and on
- 1449 uptake and growth of maize. Commun Soil Sci Plant Anal 35:2369–2378.
- 1450 https://doi.org/10.1081/LCSS-200030686
- 1451 Oye Anda CC, Opfergelt S, Declerck S (2016) Silicon acquisition by bananas (c.V. Grande
- 1452 Naine) is increased in presence of the arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus Rhizophagus
- 1453 irregularis MUCL 41833. Plant Soil 409:77–85. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-016-2954-6
- 1454 Pang J, Bansal R, Zhao H, et al (2018) The carboxylate-releasing phosphorus-mobilizing strategy
- 1455 can be proxied by foliar manganese concentration in a large set of chickpea germplasm
- 1456 under low phosphorus supply. New Phytol 219:518–529. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.15200
- 1457 Pastore G, Kernchen S, Spohn M (2020) Microbial solubilization of silicon and phosphorus from
- 1458 bedrock in relation to abundance of phosphorus-solubilizing bacteria in temperate forest
- soils. Soil Biol Biochem 151:108050. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2020.108050
- 1460 Pavlovic J, Kostic L, Bosnic P, et al (2021) Interactions of silicon with essential and beneficial
- elements in plants. Front Plant Sci 12:697592. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2021.697592

- 1462 Pawlik Ł, Phillips JD, Šamonil P (2016) Roots, rock, and regolith: Biomechanical and
- biochemical weathering by trees and its impact on hillslopes—A critical literature review.

1464 Earth-Science Rev 159:142–159. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2016.06.002

- 1465 Philippini V, Naveau A, Catalette H, Leclercq S (2006) Sorption of silicon on magnetite and
- 1466 other corrosion products of iron. J Nucl Mater 348:60–69.
- 1467 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnucmat.2005.09.002
- 1468 Phonde DB, Deshmukh PS, Banerjee K, Adsule PG (2014) Plant available silicon in sugarcane
- soils and its relationship with soil properties, leaf silicon and cane yield. An Asian J Soil Sci
- 1470 9:176–180. https://doi.org/10.15740/has/ajss/9.2/176-180
- Puppe D (2020) Review on protozoic silica and its role in silicon cycling. Geoderma 365:114224.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2020.114224
- 1473 Puppe D, Ehrmann O, Kaczorek D, et al (2015) The protozoic Si pool in temperate forest
- 1474 ecosystems Quantification, abiotic controls and interactions with earthworms. Geoderma
- 1475 243–244:196–204. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2014.12.018
- 1476 Puppe D, Höhn A, Kaczorek D, et al (2016) As time goes by-Spatiotemporal changes of biogenic
- 1477 Si pools in initial soils of an artificial catchment in NE Germany. Appl Soil Ecol 105:9–16.
- 1478 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2016.01.020
- 1479 Puppe D, Kaczorek D, Schaller J, et al (2021) Crop straw recycling prevents anthropogenic
- 1480 desilication of agricultural soil–plant systems in the temperate zone Results from a long-
- term field experiment in NE Germany. Geoderma 403:115187.
- 1482 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2021.115187

1483	Puppe D, Kaczorek D, Wanner M, Sommer M (2014) Dynamics and drivers of the protozoic Si
1484	pool along a 10-year chronosequence of initial ecosystem states. Ecol Eng 70:477-482.
1485	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2014.06.011
1486	Putra R, Powell JR, Hartley SE, Johnson SN (2020) Is it time to include legumes in plant silicon
1487	research? Funct Ecol 1-16. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.13565
1488	Qian L, Chen B, Chen M (2016) Novel Alleviation Mechanisms of Aluminum Phytotoxicity via
1489	Released Biosilicon from Rice Straw-Derived Biochars. Sci Rep 6:29346.
1490	https://doi.org/10.1038/srep29346
1491	Raturi G, Sharma Y, Rana V, et al (2021) Exploration of silicate solubilizing bacteria for
1492	sustainable agriculture and silicon biogeochemical cycle. Plant Physiol Biochem In press:
1493	https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2021.06.039
1494	Raven JA (1983) The transport and function of silicon in plants. Biol Rev 58:179–207
1495	Reeves DW (1994) Cover crops and rotations. In: Crops Residue Management. pp 125-172
1496	Reichard PU, Kraemer SM, Frazier SW, Kretzschmar R (2005) Goethite dissolution in the
1497	presence of phytosiderophores: rates, mechanisms, and the synergistic effect of oxalate.
1498	Plant Soil 276:115-132. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-005-3504-9
1499	Reithmaier G-MS, Knorr K-H, Arnhold S, et al (2017) Enhanced silicon availability leads to
1500	increased methane production, nutrient and toxicant mobility in peatlands. Sci Rep 7:8728.
1501	https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-09130-3
1502	Rich MK, Nouri E, Courty PE, Reinhardt D (2017) Diet of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi: bread

- 1503 and butter? Trends Plant Sci 22:652–660. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2017.05.008
- 1504 Richardson AE, Barea JM, McNeill AM, Prigent-Combaret C (2009) Acquisition of phosphorus
- and nitrogen in the rhizosphere and plant growth promotion by microorganisms. Plant Soil
- 1506 321:305–339. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-009-9895-2
- 1507 Richardson AE, Lynch JP, Ryan PR, et al (2011) Plant and microbial strategies to improve the
- 1508 phosphorus efficiency of agriculture. Plant Soil 349:121–156.
- 1509 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-011-0950-4
- 1510 Ritchie H, Roser M (2013) "Crop Yields." In: Publ. online OurWorldInData.org. Retrieved from
  1511 "https://ourworldindata.org/crop-yields"
- Roarty S, Hackett RA, Schmidt O (2017) Earthworm populations in twelve cover crop and weed
  management combinations. Appl Soil Ecol 114:142–151.
- 1514 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2017.02.001
- Rohatgi A (2012) WebPlotDigitalizer: HTML5 based online tool to extract numerical data from
  plot images. Version 4.2
- 1517 Russelle MP, Entz MH, Franzluebbers AJ (2007) Reconsidering integrated crop-livestock
- 1518 systems in North America. Agron J 99:325–334. https://doi.org/10.2134/agronj2006.0139
- 1519 Sauer D, Saccone L, Conley DJ, et al (2006) Review of methodologies for extracting plant-
- available and amorphous Si from soils and aquatic sediments. Biogeochemistry 80:89–108.
- 1521 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10533-005-5879-3
- 1522 Savant NK, Korndörfer GH, Datnoff LE, Snyder GH (1999) Silicon nutrition and sugarcane

- 1523 production: A review. J Plant Nutr 22:1853–1903.
- 1524 https://doi.org/10.1080/01904169909365761
- 1525 Schaller J, Frei S, Rohn L, Gilfedder BS (2020) Amorphous Silica Controls Water Storage
- 1526 Capacity and Phosphorus Mobility in Soils. Front Environ Sci 8:94.
- 1527 https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2020.00094
- Schaller J, Puppe D, Kaczorek D, et al (2021) Silicon cycling in soils revisited. Plants 10:295.
  https://doi.org/10.3390/plants10020295
- 1530 Schaller J, Turner BL, Weissflog A, et al (2018) Silicon in tropical forests: large variation across
- soils and leaves suggests ecological significance. Biogeochemistry 140:161–174.
- 1532 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10533-018-0483-5
- 1533 Schmidt O, Clements RO, Donaldson G (2003) Why do cereal-legume intercrops support large
- earthworm populations? Appl Soil Ecol 22:181–190. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0929-
- 1535 1393(02)00131-2
- 1536 Schmidt O, Curry JP, Hackett RA, et al (2001) Earthworm communities in conventional wheat
- 1537 monocropping and low-input wheat-clover intercropping systems. Ann Appl Biol 138:377–
- 1538 388. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-7348.2001.tb00123.x
- 1539 Schoelynck J, Subalusky AL, Struyf E, et al (2019) Hippos (*Hippopotamus amphibius*): The
- animal silicon pump. Sci Adv 5:eaav0395
- 1541 Schröer HC, Krasko A, Le Pennec G, et al (2003) Silicase, an Enzyme Which Degrades
- 1542 Biogenous Amorphous Silica: Contribution to the Metabolism of Silica Deposition in the

- Demosponge Suberites domuncula. In: Springer (ed) Silicon Biomineralization. Progress in
  Molecular and Subcellular Biology, vol 33. Berlin, pp 249–268
- Schulmann OP, Tiunov, Alexei V (1999) Leaf litter fragmentation by the earthworm *Lumbricus terrestris* L. Pedobiologia (Jena) 43:453–458
- 1547 Seleiman MF, Refay Y, Al-Suhaibani N, et al (2019) Integrative Effects of Rice-Straw Biochar
- and Silicon on Oil and Seed Quality, Yield and Physiological Traits of Helianthus annuus L
- 1549 . Grown under Water Deficit Stress. Agronomy 9:1–21
- 1550 Seyfferth AL, Kocar BD, Lee JA, Fendorf S (2013) Seasonal dynamics of dissolved silicon in a
- rice cropping system after straw incorporation. Geochim Cosmochim Acta 123:120–133.
- 1552 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2013.09.015
- 1553 Shewmaker GE, Mayland HF, Rosenau RC, Asay KH (1989) Silicon in C-3 Grasses: Effects on
- 1554 Forage Quality and Sheep Preference. J Range Manag 42:122.
- 1555 https://doi.org/10.2307/3899308
- 1556 Singh KP, Sarkar MC (1992) Phosphorus availability in soils as affected by fertilizer phosphorus,
- sodium silicate and farmyeard manure. J Indian Soc Soil Sci 40:762–767
- 1558 Sistani KR, Savant NK, Reddy KC (1997) Effect of rice hull ash silicon on rice seedling growth.
- 1559 J Plant Nutr 20:195–201. https://doi.org/10.1080/01904169709365242
- 1560 Sitters J, Kimuyu DM, Young TP, et al (2020) Negative effects of cattle on soil carbon and
- nutrient pools reversed by megaherbivores. Nat Sustain 3:360–366.
- 1562 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41893-020-0490-0

- 1563 Six J, Bossuyt H, Degryze S, Denef K (2004) A history of research on the link between
- 1564 (micro)aggregates, soil biota, and soil organic matter dynamics. Soil Tillage Res 79:7–31.
- 1565 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2004.03.008
- 1566 Smith HA, McSorley R (2000) Intercropping and pest management: A Review of major concepts.
- 1567 Am Entomol 46:154–161. https://doi.org/10.1093/ae/46.3.154
- 1568 Smith S, Read D (2008) Mycorrhizal Symbiosis. Elsevier
- 1569 Smits MM, Hoffland E, Jongmans AG, van Breemen N (2005) Contribution of mineral tunneling
- to total feldspar weathering. Geoderma 125:59–69.
- 1571 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2004.06.005
- 1572 Smits MM, Wallander H (2017) Role of Mycorrhizal Symbiosis in Mineral Weathering and
- 1573 Nutrient Mining from Soil Parent Material. In: Mycorrhizal Mediation of Soil: Fertility,
- 1574 Structure, and Carbon Storage. Elsevier Inc., pp 35–46
- 1575 Smyth TJ, Sanchez PA (1980) Effect of lime, silicate, and phosphorus applications to an Oxisol
- 1576 on phosphorus sorption and ion retention. Soil Sci Soc Am J 44:500–505
- 1577 Sommer M, Jochheim H, Höhn A, et al (2013) Si cycling in a forest biogeosystem-the
- 1578 importance of transient state biogenic Si pools. Biogeosciences 10:4991–5007.
- 1579 https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-10-4991-2013
- 1580 Sommer M, Kaczorek D, Kuzyakov Y, Breuer J (2006) Silicon pools and fluxes in soils and
- 1581 landscapes—a review. J Plant Nutr Soil Sci 169:310–329.
- 1582 https://doi.org/10.1002/jpln.200521981

- 1583 Song A, Li Z, Zhang J, et al (2009) Silicon-enhanced resistance to cadmium toxicity in Brassica
- 1584 chinensis L. is attributed to Si-suppressed cadmium uptake and transport and Si-enhanced
- antioxidant defense capacity. J Hazard Mater 172:74–83.
- 1586 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2009.06.143
- 1587 Song W, Ogawa N, Oguchi CT, et al (2007) Effect of *Bacillus subtilis* on granite weathering: A
- 1588 laboratory experiment. Catena 70:275–281. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catena.2006.09.003
- 1589 Song Z, Wang H, Strong PJ, Shan S (2014) Increase of available soil silicon by Si-rich manure
- 1590 for sustainable rice production. Agron Sustain Dev 34:813–819.
- 1591 https://doi.org/10.1007/s13593-013-0202-5
- Soratto RP, Crusciol CAC, Castro GSA, et al (2012) Leaf application of silicic acid to white oat
  and wheat. Rev Bras Ciência do Solo 36:1538–1544. https://doi.org/10.1590/s010006832012000500018
- 1595 Steuer P, Südekum K-H, Müller DWH, et al (2011) Is there an influence of body mass on digesta
- 1596 mean retention time in herbivores? A comparative study on ungulates. Comp Biochem
- 1597 Physiol Part A Mol Integr Physiol 160:355–364
- 1598 Stillings LL, Drever JI, Brantley SL, et al (1996) Rates of feldspar dissolution at pH 3–7 with 0–8
- 1599 mM oxalic acid. Chem Geol 132:79–89. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0009-2541(96)00043-5
- 1600 Street-Perrott FA, Barker PA (2008) Biogenic silica: a neglected component of the coupled
- 1601 global continental biogeochemical cycles of carbon and silicon. Earth Surf Process
- 1602 Landforms 33:1436–1457. https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.1712
| 1603 | Ström L, Owen AG, Godbold DL, Jones DL (2005) Organic acid behaviour in a calcareous soil      |
|------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1604 | implications for rhizosphere nutrient cycling. Soil Biol Biochem 37:2046–2054.                 |
| 1605 | https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2005.03.009                                                  |
| 1606 | Struyf E, Smis A, Van Damme S, et al (2010) Historical land use change has lowered terrestrial |
| 1607 | silica mobilization. Nat Commun 1:129. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms1128                      |
| 1608 | Suzuki Y, Matsubara T, Hoshino M (2003) Breakdown of mineral grains by earthworms and          |
| 1609 | beetle larvae. Geoderma 112:131-142. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0016-7061(02)00300-2             |
| 1610 | Tang F, White JA, Charvat I (2001) The effect of phosphorus availability on arbuscular         |
| 1611 | mycorrhizal colonization of Typha angustifolia. Mycologia 93:1042–1047                         |
| 1612 | Tang X, Zhang C, Yu Y (2020) Intercropping legumes and cereals increases phosphorus use        |
| 1613 | efficiency; a meta-analysis. Plant Soil In press:                                              |
| 1614 | Tavakkoli E, Lyons G, English P, Guppy CN (2011) Silicon nutrition of rice is affected by soil |
| 1615 | pH, weathering and silicon fertilisation. J Plant Nutr Soil Sci 174:437–446.                   |
| 1616 | https://doi.org/10.1002/jpln.201000023                                                         |
| 1617 | Teodoro GS, Lambers H, Nascimento DL, et al (2019) Specialized roots of Velloziaceae weather   |
| 1618 | quartzite rock while mobilizing phosphorus using carboxylates. Funct Ecol 33:762–773.          |
| 1619 | https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.13324                                                        |
| 1620 | Tubana BS, Babu T, Datnoff LE (2016) A Review of Silicon in Soils and Plants and Its Role in   |
| 1621 | US Agriculture. Soil Sci 181:1. https://doi.org/10.1097/SS.0000000000000179                    |

1622 Uroz S, Calvaruso C, Turpault MP, Frey-Klett P (2009) Mineral weathering by bacteria: ecology,

- actors and mechanisms. Trends Microbiol 17:378–387.
- 1624 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tim.2009.05.004
- 1625 Van Breemen N, Finlay R, Lundström U, et al (2000) Mycorrhizal weathering: A true case of
- 1626 mineral plant nutrition? Biogeochemistry 49:53–67.
- 1627 https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1006256231670
- 1628 Van Der Heijden MGA, Bardgett RD, Van Straalen NM (2008) The unseen majority: Soil
- 1629 microbes as drivers of plant diversity and productivity in terrestrial ecosystems. Ecol Lett
- 1630 11:296–310. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2007.01139.x
- 1631 Van Der Heijden MGA, Klironomos JN, Ursic M, et al (1998) Mycorrhizal fungal diversity
- determines plant biodiversity, ecosystem variability and productivity. Nature 396:69–72
- 1633 van Hees PAW, Lundström US, Mörth CM (2002) Dissolution of microcline and labradorite in a
- 1634 forest O horizon extract: The effect of naturally occurring organic acids. Chem Geol
- 1635 189:199–211. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0009-2541(02)00141-9
- 1636 van Hees PAW, Rosling A, Lundström US, Finlay RD (2006) The biogeochemical impact of
- 1637 ectomycorrhizal conifers on major soil elements (Al, Fe, K and Si). Geoderma 136:364–377.
- 1638 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2006.04.001
- 1639 van Schöll L, Hoffland E, Van Breemen N (2006) Organic anion exudation by ectomycorrhizal
- 1640 fungi and Pinus sylvestris in response to nutrient deficiencies. New Phytol 170:153–163.
- 1641 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2006.01649.x
- 1642 van Schöll L, Kuyper TW, Smits MM, et al (2008) Rock-eating mycorrhizas: their role in plant

- 1643
   nutrition and biogeochemical cycles. Plant Soil 303:35–47. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104 

   1644
   007-9513-0
- 1645 Vandenkoornhuyse P, Quaiser A, Duhamel M, et al (2015) The importance of the microbiome of
- 1646 the plant holobiont. New Phytol 206:1196–1206. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.13312
- 1647 Vander Linden C, Delvaux B (2019) The weathering stage of tropical soils affects the soil-plant
- 1648 cycle of silicon, but depending on land use. Geoderma 351:209–220.
- 1649 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2019.05.033
- 1650 Vandevenne FI, Barão AL, Schoelynck J, et al (2013) Grazers: Biocatalysts of terrestrial silica
- 1651 cycling. Proc R Soc B Biol Sci 280:1–9. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2013.2083
- 1652 Vandevenne FI, Barão L, Ronchi B, et al (2015) Silicon pools in human impacted soils of
- temperate zones. Global Biogeochem Cycles 29:1439–1450.
- 1654 https://doi.org/10.1002/2014GB005049.Received
- 1655 Veldhuis MP, Gommers MI, Olff H, Berg MP (2018) Spatial redistribution of nutrients by large
- herbivores and dung beetles in a savanna ecosystem. J Ecol 106:422–433.
- 1657 https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.12874
- 1658 Vernavá MN, Phillips-Aalten PM, Hughes LA, et al (2004) Influences of preceding cover crops
- 1659 on slug damage and biological control using *Phasmarhabditis hermaphrodita*. Ann Appl
- 1660 Biol 145:279–284. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-7348.2004.tb00384.x
- Vessey JK (2003) Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria as biofertilizers. Plant Soil 255:571–586.
  https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1026037216893

1663	Walder F, Niem	ann H, Natarajan	M, et al (2012	2) Mycorrhiza	l networks: Comm	on goods of
------	----------------	------------------	----------------	---------------	------------------	-------------

- 1664 plants shared under unequal terms of trade. Plant Physiol 159:789–797.
- 1665 https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.112.195727
- 1666 Wang HY, Shen QH, Zhou JM, et al (2011) Plants use alternative strategies to utilize
- 1667 nonexchangeable potassium in minerals. Plant Soil 343:209–220.
- 1668 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-011-0726-x
- 1669 Wang M, Wang JJ, Tafti ND, et al (2019a) Effect of alkali-enhanced biochar on silicon uptake
- and suppression of gray leaf spot development in perennial ryegrass. Crop Prot 119:9–16.
- 1671 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cropro.2019.01.013
- 1672 Wang RR, Wang Q, He LY, et al (2015) Isolation and the interaction between a mineral-
- 1673 weathering Rhizobium tropici Q34 and silicate minerals. World J Microbiol Biotechnol
- 1674 31:747–753. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11274-015-1827-0
- 1675 Wang Y, Xiao X, Xu Y, Chen B (2019b) Environmental Effects of Silicon within Biochar
- 1676 (Sichar) and Carbon-Silicon Coupling Mechanisms: A Critical Review. Environ Sci Technol
- 1677 53:13570–13582. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.9b03607
- 1678 Wang Y, Zhang K, Lu L, et al (2020) Novel insights into effects of silicon-rich biochar (Sichar)
- amendment on cadmium uptake, translocation and accumulation in rice plants. Environ
- 1680 Pollut 265:114772. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2020.114772
- 1681 Watanabe T, Luu HM, Inubushi K (2017) Effects of the continuous application of rice straw
- 1682 compost and chemical fertilizer on soil carbon and available silicon under a double rice
- 1683 cropping system in the Mekong Delta, Vietnam. Japan Agric Res Q 51:233–239.

1684 https://doi.org/10.6090/jarq.51.233

- Wedepohl KH (1995) The composition of the continental crust. Geochim Cosmochim Acta
  59:1217–1232. https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-7037(95)00038-2
- 1687 Welch SA, Ullman WJ (1999) The effect of microbial glucose metabolism on bytownite feldspar
- dissolution rates between 5° and 35°C. Geochim Cosmochim Acta 63:3247–3259.
- 1689 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0016-7037(99)00248-3
- 1690 Wickramasinghe DB, Rowell DL (2006) The release of silicon from amorphous silica and rice
- straw in Sri Lankan soils. Biol Fertil Soils 42:231–240. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00374-005-
- 1692 0020-2
- 1693 WRB (2015) World reference base for soil resources 2014 International soil classification system
   1694 for naming soils and creating legends for soil maps. FAO, Rome, Italy
- 1695 Wright AL, Hons FM (2005) Soil Carbon and Nitrogen Storage in Aggregates from Different
- 1696 Tillage and Crop Regimes. Soil Sci Soc Am J 69:141–147.
- 1697 https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj2005.0141a
- 1698 Wu L, Jacobson AD, Hausner M (2008) Characterization of elemental release during microbe-
- 1699 granite interactions at T = 28 °C. Geochim Cosmochim Acta 72:1076–1095.
- 1700 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2007.11.025
- 1701 Wu W, Limmer MA, Seyfferth AL (2020) Quantitative assessment of plant- available silicon
- 1702 extraction methods in rice paddy soils under different management. Soil Sci Soc Am J
- 1703 84:618–626. https://doi.org/10.1002/saj2.20013

1704	Xiao W, Yuqiao L, Qiang Z, et al (2016) Efficacy of Si fertilization to modulate the heavy metals
1705	absorption by barley (Hordeum vulgare L.) and pea (Pisum sativum L.). Environ Sci Pollut
1706	Res 23:20402–20407. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-016-7182-3
1707	Xiao X, Chen B, Zhu L (2014) Transformation, morphology, and dissolution of silicon and
1708	carbon in rice straw-derived biochars under different pyrolytic temperatures. Environ Sci
1709	Technol 48:3411–3419. https://doi.org/10.1021/es405676h
1710	Xu D, Gao T, Fang X, et al (2020) Silicon addition improves plant productivity and soil nutrient
1711	availability without changing the grass:legume ratio response to N fertilization. Sci Rep
1712	10:1-9. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-67333-7
1713	Xue Y, Xia H, Christie P, et al (2016) Crop acquisition of phosphorus, iron and zinc from soil in
1714	cereal/legume intercropping systems: a critical review. Ann Bot 117:363-377.
1715	https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcv182
1716	Yang X, Song Z, Qin Z, et al (2020) Phytolith-rich straw application and groundwater table
1717	management over 36 years affect the soil-plant silicon cycle of a paddy field. Plant Soil
1718	454:343–358. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-020-04656-4

- 1719 Yost RS, Fox RL (1982) Influence of mycorrhizae on the mineral contents of cowpea and
- soybean grown in an Oxisol. Agron J 74:475–481
- 1721 Zabowski D, Ugolini FC (1990) Lysimeter and centrifuge soil solutions: Seasonal differences
- between methods. Soil Sci Soc Am J 54:1130–1135
- 1723 Zaharescu DG, Burghelea CI, Dontsova K, et al (2019) Ecosystem-bedrock interaction changes

- nutrient compartmentalization during early oxidative weathering. Sci Rep 9:15006.
- 1725 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-51274-x
- 1726 Zahra MK, Monib M, Abdel-Al SI, Heggo A (1984) Significance of Soil Inoculation with
- 1727 Silicate Bacteria. Zentralbl Mikrobiol 139:349–357. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0232-
- 1728 4393(84)80013-x
- 1729 Zemunik G, Turner BL, Lambers H, Laliberté E (2015) Diversity of plant nutrient-acquisition
- strategies increases during long-term ecosystem development. Nat Plants 1:1–4.
- 1731 https://doi.org/10.1038/nplants.2015.50
- 1732 Zhu Y, Gong H (2014) Beneficial effects of silicon on salt and drought tolerance in plants. Agron
- 1733 Sustain Dev 34:455–472. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13593-013-0194-1
- 1734 Zuo Y, Zhang F, Li X, Cao Y (2000) Studies on the improvement in iron nutrition of peanut by

intercropping with maize on a calcareous soil. Plant Soil 220:13–25.

- 1736 https://doi.org/10.1023/a:1004724219988
- 1737
- 1738
- 1739
- 1740
- 1741

1742

1756	Figures			
1755				
1754				
1753				
1752				
1751				
1750				
1749				
1748				
1747				
1746				
1745				
1744				
1743				



Fig. 1 – Global production of the eight most important crops in 2018, from 1961 to 2018 (source
= FAOSTAT).



- 1762
- 1763 Fig. 2 Biotic and abiotic factors influencing soil-plant Si dynamics. This figure was created
- 1764 using BioRender.



Fig. 3 – Effects of agricultural practices on soil-plant silicon (Si) dynamics. (a) The transfer of Si
stored in the cover crop to soil via plant residues may substantially increase the soil phytogenic Si
pool (PhSi), thus contributing to increase plant-available Si concentrations (available Si) (# 1).

Cover crops could also lower phytolith losses though reduced erosion (Adetunji et al. 2020) (# 2), 1769 1770 modify microbial diversity and earthworm abundance (Roarty et al. 2017; Euteneuer et al. 2020) (# 3), and provide a legacy of increased mycorrhizal abundance/root exudates (Hallama et al. 1771 2019) (# 4), thereby impacting soil-plant Si dynamics. (b) Cereal-legume intercropping may 1772 1773 increase plant-available Si concentrations for the cereal crops, but decrease it for the legume crops (Ning et al. 2017) (# 1). Numerous facilitation processes with beneficial effects on soil-1774 plant Si mobility may also occur (# 2): taking advantage of different nutrient-acquisition 1775 strategies (Li et al. 2014; Xue et al. 2016), sharing nutrients via common mycorrhizal network 1776 (Walder et al. 2012), or modifying microbial diversity (Brooker et al. 2015), including silicate-1777 solubilizing bacteria (SSB). Cereal-legume intercropping may also increase plant-available Si 1778 concentrations and plant Si uptake through enhanced earthworm abundance and biomass 1779 (Schmidt et al. 2001, 2003) (# 3). (c) Integrating crops and livestock will increase Si release from 1780 1781 phytoliths in animal dung (Vandevenne et al. 2013), which will provide Si for the main crop and pasture grass (# 1). Pasture/crop rotation could also reduce long-term soil desilication by 1782 reducing Si export from harvest (once every two year) (# 2). Crop-livestock systems modify 1783 physical, chemical and biological properties of the rhizosphere (Brewer and Gaudin 2020), that 1784 could, in turn, influence soil-plant Si dynamics (# 3). (d) Increase in soil pH after liming 1785 increases Si adsorption on soil colloids, which, in turn, reduces Si concentrations in the soil 1786 solution. Raising pH above 4.5-5.0 decreases aluminosilicate dissolution rates, while raising pH 1787 above 7.5-8.0 increases those rates (Drever 1994; Kelly et al. 1998; Haynes 2019). Raising pH in 1788 1789 the range 3.0-9.0 increases phytolith dissolution rates (Fraysse et al. 2009). The pH/liming effects

- 1790 on Si absorption by soil living organisms and plants is unknown. This figure was created using
- 1791 BioRender.