

Some LMIs for the design of dynamic output feedback contractive controllers for a class of nonlinear systems

Mattia Giaccagli, Marc Jungers, Sophie Tarbouriech

To cite this version:

Mattia Giaccagli, Marc Jungers, Sophie Tarbouriech. Some LMIs for the design of dynamic output feedback contractive controllers for a class of nonlinear systems. 4th IFAC Conference of Modelling, Identification and Control of Nonlinear Systems, MICNON 2024, Sep 2024, Lyon, France. hal-04692897

HAL Id: hal-04692897 <https://hal.science/hal-04692897>

Submitted on 10 Sep 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Some LMIs for the design of dynamic output feedback contractive controllers for a class of nonlinear systems

Mattia Giaccagli [∗] Marc Jungers [∗] Sophie Tarbouriech ∗∗

[∗] Universit´e de Lorraine, CNRS, CRAN F-54000 Nancy, France. $($ email: [mattia.giaccagli;marc.jungers]@univ-lorraine.fr $)$ ∗∗ LAAS-CNRS, Universit´e de Toulouse, CNRS, Toulouse, France

 $(e$ -mail: tarbour@laas.fr)

Abstract: This paper deals with the problem of designing an output feedback controller for the class of linear systems interconnected with a nonlinearity satisfying a monotonicity assumption. The control design objective is to ensure the incremental exponential stability of the closed loop. First, a set of sufficient conditions by relying on a design based on Linear Matrix Inequalities (LMIs) are proposed. The results are then expanded to include in the design a filter to cancel additional (unwanted) harmonics of the steady-state solution. The proposed results are validated via a simplified example of application.

Keywords: Nonlinear systems, contraction, incremental stability, LMI, monotonic, harmonic filtering

1. INTRODUCTION

Contraction theory (Lohmiller and Slotine [1998], Angeli [2002], Forni and Sepulchre [2013], Andrieu et al. [2016], Bullo [2023]) is a valuable tool to provide solutions to several control problems, such as, for example, output regulation (Pavlov et al. [2006], Giaccagli et al. [2022b], Pavlov and Marconi [2008]), multiagent synchronization (Jafarpour et al. [2021], Giaccagli et al. [2024b], Pavlov et al. [2022], DeLellis et al. [2010]), and observer design (Sanfelice and Praly [2011], Yi et al. [2021]). Roughly speaking, a dynamical system is said to be contractive (also called incrementally exponentially stable) if the distance between any two trajectories of the system exponentially shrinks to zero, i.e. trajectories converge to each other.

As such, great interest has been directed into answering the question of how to make a system contractive via feedback. Existing tools can be divided into three main classes. i) Feedback designs for particular classes of systems, such as (incremental) backstepping (Zamani and Tabuada [2011]) and forwarding (Giaccagli et al. [2024a]). These designs provide tractable conditions with the drawback that they apply only to specific classes of systems. ii) Designs achieving similar but subtly different notions than contraction, such as that of convergent systems Pavlov et al. [2006], Rüffer et al. [2013]. In this case, existing tools can be applied, but each case has to be carefully addressed. iii) Data-driven and optimization-based tools, such as Control Contraction Metrics (Manchester and Slotine [2017]) and neural networks-based designs (Tsukamoto et al. [2021]). These tools usually apply to a wide range of systems, with the drawback of requiring the solution of a (possibly online) optimization problem and, commonly, the loss of analytical stability guarantees.

In this work, we consider the problem of designing a contractive feedback controller for linear systems interconnected with a nonlinearity satisfying a monotonicity assumption. As such, our design takes place in the first of the former classes. The interest in such a class of systems is that this description commonly appears in many applications, such that, for example, robotic link manipulators (Wu et al. [2015]), and medical equipment (Reinders et al. [2023]).

In particular, with the objective of deriving a set of practically tractable conditions that do not require a significant case-by-case mathematical burden, we focus on a design whose controller structure can be obtained via a single offline quadratic optimization problem, represented via a set of Linear Matrix Inequalities (LMIs).

Combining LMIs with this class of systems in the contraction framework is not new, and it has been already considered for instance in Waitman et al. [2017] with piecewise affine approximations, in Zhang et al. [2015] in the context of multiagent synchronization, and in [Scherer and Weiland, 2000, Chapter 7] in connection to dissipativity. Our result is grounded on the seminal work of Andrieu and Tarbouriech [2019] and sequentially developed in Giaccagli et al. [2022a, 2023a]. The main difference with respect to the literature is that our design considers purely dynamic output feedback controllers, while the former works mainly focus on analysis tools and state-feedback design.

To do so, we blend the tools introduced in Andrieu and Tarbouriech [2019] to handle the nonlinearity, together with the congruence transformation presented in Scherer et al. [1997]. As a consequence, we derive a set of sufficient conditions for the design of a pure dynamic output feedback controller achieving contraction for the closed loop. Then, we consider the case in which the plant is excited

by a periodic exogenous signal representing disturbances and/or references. As a consequence, if the closed loop is incremental Input-to-State Stable (ISS), then its trajectories will converge to a periodic steady-state solution with the same period, but possibly with higher harmonic content. We provide an extension of our main result, in which we present a set of LMIs for the design of a dynamic controller composed of a filter and a feedback stabilizer such that the closed-loop system is incrementally stable. In particular, the filter is designed to filter out a selected harmonic content of the (periodic) steady-state solution.

The rest of the paper is structured as follows. In Section 2.1 we review the main tools of contraction theory. Then, in Section 2.2, we present the problem statement. The main result is given in Section 3.1. The extension to harmonic filtering is provided in Section 3.2. An illustrative example is given in Section 3.3. Concluding remarks are then drawn in Section 4.

Notation: The identity matrix of dimension n is indicated as I_n . For any matrix A, we denote its transpose matrix as A^{\top} and, for square matrices, He $\{A\}$ is the Hermitian operator He $\{A\}$:= $A + A^{\top}$. Given two square matrices A_1, A_2 , we indicate with blkdiag $\{A_1, A_2\}$ the blockdiagonal matrix which has A_1 and A_2 in the main diagonal and 0 everywhere else. We write that $P \in \mathbb{S}^n$ if it is a $n \times n$ symmetric matrix $P = P^{\top}$. We write $P > 0$ (> 0) if P is symmetric and positive definite (semipositive definite), that is, $x^{\top}Px > 0 \geq 0$ for all $x \neq 0$. We write $P < 0 \leq 0$) if $-P$ is positive definite (semi-positive definite). For symmetric matrices, the symbol \star indicates the symmetric component. We indicate with |·| the vector Euclidean norm. Given two column vectors x_1, x_2 , we write $x = (x_1, x_2)$ to indicate $x = [x_1^\top \ x_2^\top]^\top$.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1 Contraction theory

Consider a system of the form

$$
\dot{\chi} = f(\chi, t) \tag{1}
$$

with $\chi \in \mathbb{R}^{\eta}$ and the vector field $f : \mathbb{R}^{\eta} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^{\eta}$ to be sufficiently smooth. For the sake of simplicity, let trajectories be defined for all positive times and let $\mathcal{X}(\chi_0, t, t_0)$ be the solution to (1) with initial condition (χ_0, t_0) evaluated at time $t \geq t_0$.

Definition 1. (Incremental stability). We say that system (1) is (globally¹) incrementally (exponentially) stable if there exist $k, \lambda > 0$ such that

$$
|\mathcal{X}(\chi_1, t, t_0) - \mathcal{X}(\chi_2, t, t_0)| \le k |\chi_1 - \chi_2| \exp(-\lambda (t - t_0))
$$
\n(2)

for all $t \ge t_0$ and all $\chi_1, \chi_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{\eta}$.

A sufficient condition² for system (1) to be incrementally stable, is the existence of a norm such that the flow generates trajectories for which the distance associated with the norm is monotonically decreasing forward in time. Such a condition, corresponding to the Lie derivative of a 2-tensor to be uniformly decreasing, can be expressed via a matrix inequality according to the following theorem (see e.g. Lohmiller and Slotine [1998], Andrieu et al. [2016] and many others for a proof).

Theorem 1. Consider system (1). If there exist a C^1 matrix function $\mathcal{P}: \mathbb{R}^{\eta} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{S}^{\eta'}$ taking symmetric values and three positive real numbers $p, \bar{p}, \lambda > 0$ such that ³

$$
\underline{p}I_{\eta} \le \mathcal{P}(\chi, t) \le \overline{p}I_{\eta}
$$
\n(3a)

$$
L_f \mathcal{P}(\chi, t) \le -2\lambda \mathcal{P}(\chi, t), \tag{3b}
$$

for all $(\chi, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{\eta} \times \mathbb{R}$, then the system is (globally) incrementally exponentially stable, that is, contractive.

2.2 Problem statement

In this work, we consider systems of the form

$$
\dot{x} = Ax + Bu + G\varphi(z) + Rw(t) \tag{4a}
$$

$$
y = Cx + D\varphi(z) + Qw(t)
$$
 (4b)

$$
z = Hx \tag{4c}
$$

with $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ being the state, $u \in \mathbb{R}^m$ being a control input, $y \in \mathbb{R}^p$ being a (measurable) output, $z \in \mathbb{R}^q$ being a (possibly unmeasurable) linear combination of the state, φ : $\mathbb{R}^q \to \mathbb{R}^q$, w being a (state-independent) piece-wise continuous and locally Lipschitz exogenous signal representing disturbances and/or references, and A, B, G, R, C, D, Q, H being constant matrices of suitable dimension. In particular, we assume that the nonlinearity φ satisfies the following monotonicity assumption.

Assumption 1. (Monotonic). Consider system (4). The nonlinearity φ is a C^1 mapping ⁴. Moreover, there exists a known $\Gamma \in \mathbb{S}^q$ positive definite $\Gamma = \Gamma^{\top} > 0$ such that

$$
0 \le \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial z}(z) + \frac{\partial \varphi^{\top}}{\partial z}(z) \le \Gamma \tag{5}
$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{R}^q$.

Here we will consider the design of a (dynamic) output feedback controller of the form

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\dot{x}_c &= A_c x_c + B_c y\\
u &= C_c x_c + D_c y\n\end{aligned} \tag{6}
$$

with $x_c \in \mathbb{R}^{n_c}$ such that the closed loop with extended state $\chi = (x, x_c)$ associating (4) and (6) is defined by

$$
\dot{\chi} = \mathcal{A}\chi + \mathcal{G}\varphi(\mathcal{H}\chi) + \mathcal{R}w \tag{7a}
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{A} = \begin{pmatrix} A + BD_c C & BC_c \\ B_c C & A_c \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{G} = \begin{pmatrix} G + BD_c D \\ B_c D \end{pmatrix}
$$

$$
\mathcal{H} = (H \quad 0), \quad \mathcal{R} = \begin{pmatrix} (R + BD_c Q)^\top & (B_c Q)^\top \end{pmatrix}^\top. \tag{7b}
$$

³ The symbol $L_f \mathcal{P}(\chi, t)$ indicates the Lie derivative of the tensor $\overline{\mathcal{P}}$ along f defined as $L_f \mathcal{P}(\chi, t)$:= $\mathcal{P}(\chi, t) \frac{\partial f}{\partial \chi}(\chi, t) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial \chi}$ $\overline{\Gamma}(\chi, t) \mathcal{P}(\chi, t) + \mathfrak{d}_f \mathcal{P}(\chi, t)$, where $\mathfrak{d}_f \mathcal{P}(\chi, t) :=$ $\lim_{h\to 0} \frac{\mathcal{P}(X(\chi,t+h,t),t)-\mathcal{P}(\chi,t)}{h}$ + $\frac{\partial \mathcal{P}}{\partial t}(\chi,t)$ with coordinates $(L_f \mathcal{P}(\chi, t))_{i,j} = \sum$ k $\left[2\mathcal{P}_{ik}(\chi,t)\frac{\partial f_k}{\partial \chi_j}(\chi,t)+\frac{\partial \mathcal{P}_{ij}}{\partial \chi_k}(\chi,t)f_k(\chi,t)\right]$ + ∂Pij

 $\frac{\partial^2 u_{ij}}{\partial t}(\chi, t)$.
⁴ Some of the results in this paper (in particular, Proposition 1) can be generalized in case $\varphi = \varphi(z, t)$, with the mapping being piece-wise continuous and locally Lipschitz in its second argument.

¹ In this work we focus on global results, with the awareness that our conditions can be relaxed when considering compact forward invariant sets.

 2 Also necessary in case f has bounded first and second derivative in its first argument, see Andrieu et al. [2016].

The objective of this work is to derive a set of sufficient conditions to design a controller of the form (6) such that the closed-loop dynamics (7) is incrementally exponentially stable.

To do so, we would like to make use of Theorem 1. However, finding a suitable matrix function P satisfying (3) might be practically difficult, as this is a matrix inequality that has to be verified in an infinite set of points (for all (χ, t)). To provide an algorithmic design that is easy to implement, we focus on the case in which the metric $\mathcal P$ in Theorem 1 is a constant positive definite matrix, i.e., $P \in \mathbb{S}^{n+n_c}$, $P = P^{\top} > 0$. In other words, we trade a set of possible solutions with an easy-to-compute design. As a consequence, this will allow us to represent our conditions via a set of LMIs. As a drawback, however, the conditions that we will derive will be, in general, only sufficient and not necessary. Before presenting the main contributions of the paper, let us recall the following theorem, [Giaccagli et al., 2023a, Proposition 2], for which the notations are adapted to the closed-loop dynamics (7). Theorem 2. [Giaccagli et al., 2023a, Proposition 2] Consider system (7) and assume that φ satisfies Assumption 1. If there exist a positive definite matrix $P \in \mathbb{S}^{n+n_c}$ and a positive scalar λ , such that the following inequality holds

$$
\begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{P}\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A}^{\top}\mathcal{P} + 2\mathcal{X}\mathcal{P} & \mathcal{P}\mathcal{G} + \mathcal{H}^{\top} \\ \mathcal{G}^{\top}\mathcal{P} + \mathcal{H} & -4\Gamma^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \leq 0, \qquad (8)
$$

then system (7) is incrementally exponentially stable.

3. MAIN RESULTS

3.1 Dynamic output feedback contractive design

In this section, we deal with the first result of the paper. The controller design can be obtained by the solution of a Bilinear Matrix Inequality (BMI), or more specifically, a hyperparameterized LMI, which is an LMI by fixing a scalar hyperparameter $5 \lambda > 0$ representing the contraction rate in (1), i.e. $\lambda = \lambda$. From now on, to help the reader, we indicate with bold letters the LMI optimization variables. For the time being, we focus on the case in which the dimension of the controller is the same as the plant, i.e. $n_c = n$. This framework allows us to consider and adapt the congruence transformation presented in Scherer et al. [1997]. We have the following result.

Proposition 1. Consider system (4) and let Assumption 1 hold. Assume there exist matrices $\boldsymbol{X} \in \mathbb{S}^n, \boldsymbol{Y} \in \mathbb{S}^n, \boldsymbol{A} \in$ \mathbb{R}^n , $\mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$, $\mathbf{C} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, $\mathbf{D} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times p}$ and a positive real number $\lambda > 0$ such that (18) holds. Then, for all nonsingular matrices M, N satisfying

$$
MN^{\top} = I_n - \boldsymbol{XY}, \qquad (9)
$$

the system (4) in closed loop with (6) where

$$
\begin{pmatrix}\nA_c & B_c \\
C_c & D_c\n\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}\nN & YB \\
0 & I_n\n\end{pmatrix}^{-1}\n\times \begin{pmatrix}\nA - YAX & B \\
C & D\n\end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix}\nM^\top & 0 \\
CX & I_n\n\end{pmatrix}^{-1} (10)
$$

is globally incrementally exponentially stable.

Proof. Let inequalities (18) hold. Inequality (18b) implies that X and Y are positive definite and thus nonsingular. By taking the Schur's complement of (18b), it yields that $X - Y^{-1} > 0$, which is nonsingular. The product of two nonsingular matrices being nonsingular, $I_n - XY =$ $-(X - Y^{-1})Y$ is nonsingular. Therefore, there always exist square nonsingular matrices M, N such that (9) holds. Let

$$
\Pi_1 := \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{X} & I_n \\ M^\top & 0 \end{pmatrix},\tag{11}
$$

and note that Π_1 is nonsingular since M is so. Therefore, we define the metric P as

$$
\mathcal{P} := \Pi_1^{-\top} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{X} & I_n \\ I_n & \mathbf{Y} \end{pmatrix} \Pi_1^{-1} . \tag{12}
$$

In light of (18b), we have that P is symmetric and positive definite: $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}^{\top} > 0$. We would like to show that if (18a) holds, then this is also the case of inequality (8) . For this purpose, we use a nonlinear change of variable depending on X and Y , and the choice for M and N , that is:

$$
\begin{pmatrix}\nA & B \\
C & D\n\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}\nYAX & 0 \\
0 & 0\n\end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix}\nN & YB \\
0 & I_n\n\end{pmatrix} \times \begin{pmatrix}\nA_c & B_c \\
C_c & D_c\n\end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix}\nM^\top & 0 \\
CX & I_n\n\end{pmatrix}.
$$
\n(13)

Note that the latter relation can be inverted when X, Y , M and N are known, to result in relation (10) . Thanks to simple calculus and the relation (12), we obtain the following relations:

$$
\Pi_1^\top \lambda \mathcal{P} \Pi_1 = \lambda \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{X} & I_n \\ I_n & \mathbf{Y} \end{pmatrix},\tag{14}
$$

$$
\Pi_1^\top \mathcal{P} \mathcal{A} \Pi_1 = \begin{pmatrix} A\mathbf{X} + B\mathbf{C} & A + B\mathbf{D}C \\ \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{Y}A + \mathbf{B}C \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (15)
$$

$$
\Pi_1^\top \mathcal{PG} = \begin{pmatrix} G + BDD \\ \mathbf{Y}G + BD \end{pmatrix},\tag{16}
$$

$$
\Pi_1^\top \mathcal{H} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{X} H^\top \\ H^\top \end{pmatrix} . \tag{17}
$$

By identifying these terms in the blocks in (18a), multiplying inequality (18a) at right by blkdiag $\{\Pi_1^{-1}, I_q\}$ and at left by its transpose leads to inequality (8) in Theorem 2. By invoking Theorem 2, the closed-loop system (7) is then contractive. And this concludes the proof. \Box

Remark 1. In the formulation of Proposition 1, we kept the bilinear formulation with the hyperparameter λ instead of having a pure LMI (see footnote 5). This because λ represents the contraction rate in (3), i.e. $\lambda = \lambda$. As such, the control engineer can fix a priori the λ representing the speed of convergence of trajectories depending on the desired performances (related to the requirements of the control task), and then check if the LMIs have a solution. Alternatively, in order to maximize the value λ , one can rely on quasi-convex Generalized Eigenvalue Minimization LMI tools available in common software, such as Matlab.

Remark 2. Inequality (18b) may lead to an issue of illconditionning of $I_n - XY$ appearing in Equation (9). To avoid ill-conditionning, it may be possible to modify Inequality (18b) by following the discussion in [Scherer et al., 1997, Section IV.B].

⁵ The bilinearity follows from the fact that the right-hand-side of (3b) contains the product of two unknowns: the contraction rate λ and the metric P . In case one aims not to have such a bilinearity, the BMI can be rewritten as a pure LMI by noticing that (3b) can be substituted with $L_f \mathcal{P}(\chi, t) \leq -2\sigma I_\eta$ for $\sigma = \lambda p/\overline{p}$ thanks to (3a).

$$
\text{He}\left\{\left(\begin{array}{c} AX + BC + \lambda X \ A + BDC + \lambda I_n \ G + BDD + XH^\top\\ A + \lambda I_n & YA + BC + \lambda Y \ YG + BD + H^\top\\ 0 & 0 & -2\Gamma^{-1}\end{array}\right)\right\} \leq 0\tag{18a}
$$

$$
\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{X} & I_n \\ I_n & \mathbf{Y} \end{pmatrix} > 0. \tag{18b}
$$

To summarize the main result, we highlight the main Proposition in an algorithmic way in Algorithm 1.

- 1: **Input:** System (4) and matrix Γ satisfying Assumption 1
- 2: Data: Select any $\lambda > 0$.
- 3: while (LMIs (18) are not solvable) do Decrease λ keeping the sign constraint.
- 4: Select any nonsingular matrices M, N satisfying (9);
- 5: Select A_c, B_c, C_c, D_c according to (10);
- 6: **Output:** Implement the controller (6) .

3.2 Adding harmonic regulation

In this section, we present the second result of the paper. It is known that the trajectories of an incremental Inputto-State Stable (ISS) system excited by a T-periodic exogenous input w, i.e. there exists $T > 0$ such that $w(t) =$ $w(t+T)$ for all t asymptotically converge to a steady-state trajectory which is T-periodic as well (see e.g. [Angeli, 2002, Proposition 4.4]), but possibly containing higherorder harmonics due to the presence of nonlinear terms in the vector field. In many applications (e.g. mechanical vibration systems and power electronics), it is desirable to filter out a certain harmonic content when it appears in the output y. In the following, we show a straightforward extension to Proposition 1 which allows canceling a desired harmonic content for systems of the form (4) in case the exogenous signal w is defined as a T-periodic signal

$$
w(t) = w(t + T) \qquad \text{for some } T > 0. \tag{19}
$$

Following classical repetitive control schemes (see, e.g. Ghosh and Paden [2000], Astolfi et al. [2022], Blin et al. [2021], Giaccagli et al. [2024a] and references therein), we first extend the plant (4) with a filter of the form

$$
\dot{x}_f = \Phi x_f + \Psi y \tag{20a}
$$

where

$$
\Phi := \text{blkdiag}\{\Phi_1, \dots, \Phi_L\}, \ \Phi_k := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{2\pi k}{T} \\ -\frac{2\pi k}{T} & 0 \end{pmatrix},\tag{20b}
$$

where $k = 1, \ldots, L$ are all the harmonics to be filtered out. and Ψ is chosen such that (Φ, Ψ) is controllable. Thus, we can define an "extended" plant $x_e = (x, x_f)$ composed of the real plant and the filter state with x_f being fully measurable of the form

$$
\begin{aligned} \dot{x}_e &= A_e x_e + B_e u + G_e \varphi (H_e x_e) + R_e w(t) \\ y_e &= C_e x_e + D_e \varphi (H_e x_e) + Q_e w(t) \end{aligned} \tag{21}
$$

where $y_e = (y, x_f)$, and

$$
A_e = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ \Psi C & \Phi \end{pmatrix}, B_e = \begin{pmatrix} B \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, G_e = \begin{pmatrix} G \\ \Psi D \end{pmatrix}, R_e = \begin{pmatrix} R \\ \Psi Q \end{pmatrix},
$$

$$
C_e = \begin{pmatrix} C & 0 \\ 0 & I_{2L} \end{pmatrix}, D_e = \begin{pmatrix} D \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, H_e = (H \ 0), Q_e = \begin{pmatrix} Q \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.
$$

As the extended plant (21) is, again, composed of a linear part interconnected with a monotonic nonlinearity, we can take advantage of Proposition 1. In this case, however, the feedback controller design has to keep in consideration both the dynamics of the plant and of the filter, i.e. $n_c = n + 2L$. We have the following Corollary.

Corollary 1. Consider system (4) with the exogenous signal w satisfying (19) and let Assumption 1 hold. Extend the system with a dynamical filter of the form (20). Assume there exist matrices X, Y, A, B, C, D and a positive real number $\lambda > 0$ such that (18) holds, where A, B, C, D, G, H are replaced by $A_e, B_e, C_e, D_e, G_e, H_e$. Then, for all nonsingular matrices M, N satisfying (9), the system (4) extended with (20) in closed loop with (6) where A_c, B_c, C_c, D_c are defined as in (10) where A, B, C, D are replaced with A_e, B_e, C_e, D_e , is (globally) incrementally (exponentially) stable. Moreover, the trajectories of the closed loop are periodic with no harmonic content at the frequencies $\omega_k = \frac{2\pi k}{T}$ for all $k = 1, \ldots, L$, namely, the first L Fourier coefficients are zero, i.e.

$$
c_k := \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T y(t) \exp\left(2ik\pi \frac{t}{T}\right) dt = 0, \qquad \forall \ k = 1, \dots, L.
$$
\n(22)

Proof. By Proposition 1, the closed loop (x, x_f, x_c) is incrementally globally exponentially stable, with respect to a certain constant metric P. Since R_e, Q_e are constant as well, then their columns are Killing vector fields 6 for such a P , i.e. the Lie derivative of P along the vector field defined by the columns of R_e, Q_e is zero, namely 7 , $L_{R_e} P = 0$ and $L_{Q_e} P = 0$. Hence, by [Giaccagli et al., 2023b, Theorem 2] the closed-loop system is incrementally input-to-state stable 8 with respect to the input w. Since w is T−periodic, by [Angeli, 2002, Proposition 4.4] it implies that its output asymptotically converges to a T-periodic trajectory as well. By construction of the matrices Φ , Ψ as in (20b) and by [Astolfi et al., 2022, Proposition 1], the first L-Fourier coefficients of the output y are zero, i.e. (22) holds. And this concludes the proof. $□$

3.3 Application example

We show in the following a simple application for which previous results apply. We consider in particular a manipulator constituted by a single flexible link connected to a DC-motor considered in Spong [1987], Wu et al. [2015]. The state is defined by $x = (\theta_m, \omega_m, \theta_\ell, \omega_\ell)$ and it is composed by, respectively, the angle and angular velocity of the motor and the angle and angular velocity of the link.

 $\overline{6}$ We refer to Giaccagli et al. [2023b] for details about the Killing vector field notion and related properties.

⁷ We refer to footnote 3 for more details on the notation of Lie derivative. With abuse of notation, we write $L_{R_e} P = 0$ to indicate that each column R_e^i of R_e satisfy $L_{R_e^i} P = 0$ (similarly for $L_{Q_e} P$) ⁸ See [Giaccagli et al., 2023b, Definition 2] for a formal definition.

Fig. 1. Block scheme of the closed loop. The link's Figure is taken from [Spong, 1987, Fig. 1]

We let $y = \theta_{\ell}$ be the measured output. This system can be represented in the form (4) where

$$
A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{k}{I_m} & -\frac{C_f}{I_m} & \frac{k}{I_m} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ \frac{k}{I_\ell} & 0 & -\frac{k}{I_\ell} + \frac{mgh}{I_m} \rho \ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{\kappa_\tau}{I_m} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},
$$

\n
$$
G^{\top} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{mgh}{I_m} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{mgh}{I_m} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad H = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}
$$

\n
$$
C = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad D = 0, \qquad \varphi(z) = \sin(z) + \rho z
$$

where k is the torsional spring constant, I_m is the motor inertia, C_f is the viscous friction coefficient, I_ℓ is the link inertia, m is the pointer mass, h is the link's length, q is the gravitational acceleration constant, κ_{τ} is the amplifier gain and ρ is a free-to-chose parameter. In particular, for any $\rho > 1$, the nonlinearity φ satisfies Assumption 1 with $0 \leq 2(\rho - 1) \leq \text{He}\left\{\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial z}(z)\right\} \leq \Gamma = 2(\rho + 1).$ We let the system be affected by a sinusoidal exosignal $w(t) = \sin(t)$ where we select $R^{\top} = (0.01 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0), Q = 1$. In particular, we first design a filter x_f rejecting the 3^{rd} and 5^{th} harmonic of the exogenous signal, i.e. we define (20) where we choose

$$
\Phi = \text{blkdiag}\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 3 \\ -3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 5 \\ -5 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}, \qquad \Psi^{\top} = (0 \ 1 \ 0 \ 1).
$$

Note that (Φ, Ψ) is controllable. By selecting the parameters as in [Wu et al., 2015, eq. (35)-(36)] the LMIs (18) admit a solution with e.g. $\rho = 1.5$ and the hyperparameter $\lambda = 0.1$. The block scheme of the closed loop is shown in Fig. 1. Similarly, Figure 2 shows the behavior of the output y of the system with respect to three different initial conditions. The trajectories of the system converge to a single trajectory which is T-periodic which is not a pure sinusoidal but contains higher order harmonics. This is highlighted in Figure 3. To conclude, the spectral analysis of the steady-state response is shown in Fig. 4. As it is possible to see, the harmonics related to the 3rd and 5th-harmonics become negligible with respect to the fundamental one. Due to the numerical implementation and to the fact that the incremental property is an asymptotic one, and therefore the Fourier transform has been computed on a shifted finite time-window, they are not precisely canceled.

4. CONCLUSION

We presented a set of parameterized LMI conditions to design an output feedback controller for a linear system coupled with a monotonic nonlinearity to be incrementally stable in closed loop. Then, we provided an extension to cancel out a desired harmonic content of the output,

Fig. 2. Plot of the link angle θ_{ℓ} for three different random sets of initial conditions.

Fig. 3. Zoom of the link angle θ_{ℓ} for three different random sets of initial conditions. The trajectories of the system converge to a single trajectory which is T-periodic which is not a pure sinusoidal but contains higher order harmonics (highlighted with purple circles).

Fig. 4. Fast Fourier Transform of the steady-state output.

when the plant is excited by a periodic exosignal. We validated our result via simulation on a flexible joint manipulator affected by a sinusoidal exogenous signal. Future perspectives will include: i) the case in which the nonlinearity φ is not differentiable, using, for instance, the tools in Bullo [2023]; ii) the introduction of saturation in the controller and related anti-windup problems (Gomes da Silva Jr and Tarbouriech [2005]), iii) the use of dynamic controllers with state dimension higher than the plant one, $n_c > n$, to generalize the results and (possibly) add feasibility (see e.g. Boyd and Vandenberghe [2004]), iv) the introduction of more robustness guarantees, such as a tunable incremental gain (Manchester and Slotine [2018]).

REFERENCES

- V. Andrieu and S. Tarbouriech. LMI conditions for contraction and synchronization. IFAC-PapersOnLine, 52(16):616–621, 2019.
- V. Andrieu, B. Jayawardhana, and L. Praly. Transverse exponential stability and applications. IEEE Tran. on Automatic Control, 61(11):3396–3411, 2016.
- D. Angeli. A Lyapunov approach to incremental stability properties. IEEE Tran. on Automatic Control, 47(3): 410–421, 2002.
- D. Astolfi, L. Praly, and L. Marconi. Harmonic internal models for structurally robust periodic output regulation. Systems & Control Letters, 161:105154, 2022.
- N. Blin, P. Riedinger, J. Daafouz, L. Grimaud, and P. Feyel. Necessary and sufficient conditions for harmonic control in continuous time. IEEE Tran. on Automatic Control, 67(8):4013–4028, 2021.
- S.P. Boyd and L. Vandenberghe. Convex optimization. Cambridge university press, 2004.
- F. Bullo. Contraction Theory for Dynamical Systems. Kindle Direct Publishing, 1.1 edition, 2023.
- P. DeLellis, M. Di Bernardo, T.E. Gorochowski, and G. Russo. Synchronization and control of complex networks via contraction, adaptation and evolution. IEEE Circuits and Systems Magazine, 10(3):64–82, 2010.
- F. Forni and R. Sepulchre. A differential Lyapunov framework for contraction analysis. IEEE Tran. on Automatic Control, 59(3):614–628, 2013.
- J. Ghosh and B. Paden. Nonlinear repetitive control. IEEE Tran. on Automatic Control, 45(5):949–954, 2000.
- M. Giaccagli, V. Andrieu, S. Tarbouriech, and D. Astolfi. Infinite gain margin, contraction and optimality: an LMI-based design. European Journal of Control, 68: 100685, 2022a.
- M. Giaccagli, D. Astolfi, V. Andrieu, and L. Marconi. Sufficient conditions for global integral action via incremental forwarding for input-affine nonlinear systems. IEEE Tran. on Automatic Control, 67(12):6537–6551, 2022b.
- M. Giaccagli, V. Andrieu, S. Tarbouriech, and D. Astolfi. LMI conditions for contraction, integral action, and output feedback stabilization for a class of nonlinear systems. Automatica, 154:111106, 2023a.
- M. Giaccagli, D. Astolfi, and V. Andrieu. Further results on incremental input-to-state stability based on contraction-metric analysis. In 62nd IEEE Conference on Decision and Control (CDC 2023), 2023b.
- M. Giaccagli, D. Astolfi, V. Andrieu, and L. Marconi. Incremental stabilization of cascade nonlinear systems and harmonic regulation: a forwarding-based design. IEEE Tran. on Automatic Control, 2024a.
- M. Giaccagli, S. Zoboli, D. Astolfi, V. Andrieu, and G Casadei. Synchronization in networks of nonlinear systems: contraction analysis via Riemannian metrics and deep-learning for feedback estimation. IEEE Tran. on Automatic Control, 2024b.
- J.M. Gomes da Silva Jr and S. Tarbouriech. Antiwindup design with guaranteed regions of stability: an LMIbased approach. IEEE Tran. on Automatic Control, 50 (1):106–111, 2005.
- S. Jafarpour, P. Cisneros-Velarde, and F. Bullo. Weak and semi-contraction for network systems and diffusively coupled oscillators. IEEE Tran. on Automatic Control, 67(3):1285–1300, 2021.
- W. Lohmiller and J.J.E. Slotine. On contraction analysis for non-linear systems. Automatica, 34(6):683–696, 1998.
- I.R. Manchester and J.J.E. Slotine. Control contraction metrics: Convex and intrinsic criteria for nonlinear feedback design. IEEE Tran. on Automatic Control, 62(6):

3046–3053, 2017.

- I.R. Manchester and J.J.E. Slotine. Robust control contraction metrics: A convex approach to nonlinear statefeedback \mathcal{H}_{∞} control. IEEE Control Systems Letters, 2 (3):333–338, 2018.
- A. Pavlov and L. Marconi. Incremental passivity and output regulation. Systems $\mathcal C$ Control Letters, 57(5): 400–409, 2008.
- A. Pavlov, N. Van De Wouw, and H. Nijmeijer. Uniform output regulation of nonlinear systems: a convergent dynamics approach, volume 205. Springer, 2006.
- A. Pavlov, E. Steur, and N. van de Wouw. Nonlinear integral coupling for synchronization in networks of nonlinear systems. Automatica, 140:110202, 2022.
- J. Reinders, M. Giaccagli, B. Hunnekens, D. Astolfi, T. Oomen, and N. van De Wouw. Repetitive control for Lur'e-type systems: application to mechanical ventilation. IEEE Tran. on Control Systems Technology, 2023.
- B.S. Rüffer, N. Van De Wouw, and M. Mueller. Convergent systems vs. incremental stability. Systems & Control Letters, 62(3):277–285, 2013.
- R.G. Sanfelice and L. Praly. Convergence of nonlinear observers on \mathbb{R}^n with a Riemannian metric (Part I). IEEE Tran. on Automatic Control, 57(7):1709–1722, 2011.
- C. Scherer and S. Weiland. Linear matrix inequalities in control. Lecture Notes, Dutch Institute for Systems and Control, Delft, The Netherlands, 3(2), 2000.
- C. Scherer, P. Gahinet, and M. Chilali. Multiobjective output-feedback control via LMI optimization. IEEE Tran. on Automatic Control, 42(7):896–911, 1997.
- M.W. Spong. Modeling and control of elastic joint robots. 1987.
- H. Tsukamoto, S.J. Chung, and J.J.E. Slotine. Contraction theory for nonlinear stability analysis and learningbased control: A tutorial overview. Annual Reviews in Control, 52:135–169, 2021.
- S. Waitman, L. Bako, P. Massioni, G. Scorletti, and V. Fromion. Incremental stability of Lur'e systems through piecewise-affine approximations. IFAC-PapersOnLine, 50(1):1673–1679, 2017.
- R. Wu, W. Zhang, F. Song, Z. Wu, and W. Guo. Observerbased stabilization of one-sided Lipschitz systems with application to flexible link manipulator. Advances in Mechanical Engineering, 7(12):1687814015619555, 2015.
- B. Yi, R. Wang, and I.R. Manchester. Reduced-order nonlinear observers via contraction analysis and convex optimization. IEEE Tran. on Automatic Control, 67(8): 4045–4060, 2021.
- M. Zamani and P. Tabuada. Backstepping design for incremental stability. IEEE Tran. on Automatic Control, 56(9):2184–2189, 2011.
- F. Zhang, H.L. Trentelman, and J.M.A. Scherpen. Dynamic feedback synchronization of Lur'e networks via incremental sector boundedness. IEEE Tran. on Automatic Control, 61(9):2579–2584, 2015.