

Silicon mobilisation by root-released carboxylates

Félix de Tombeur, Jean-Thomas Cornelis, Hans Lambers

To cite this version:

Félix de Tombeur, Jean-Thomas Cornelis, Hans Lambers. Silicon mobilisation by root-released carboxylates. Trends in Plant Science, 2021, 26 (11), pp.1116-1125. $10.1016/j.tplants.2021.07.003$. hal-04691907

HAL Id: hal-04691907 <https://hal.science/hal-04691907v1>

Submitted on 9 Sep 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Silicon mobilisation by root-released carboxylates

Félix de Tombeur^{1*†}, Jean-Thomas Cornelis² and Hans Lambers^{3*}

¹TERRA Teaching and Research Centre, Gembloux Agro-Bio Tech, University of Liege, Gembloux, Belgium

 $\frac{2}{4}$ Faculty of Land and Food Systems, The University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, V6T 1Z4 Canada

³School of Biological Sciences, The University of Western Australia, Crawley (Perth), WA 6009, Australia

^{*}Correspondence: <u>felix.detombeur@uliege.be</u> (F. de Tombeur)[; hans.lambers@uwa.edu.au](mailto:hans.lambers@uwa.edu.au) (H. Lambers)

†Twitter account: @felixdetombeur

ORCID numbers: Felix de Tombeur (0000-0002-6012-8458), Jean-Thomas Cornelis (0000-0003-0205-7345), Hans Lambers

- (0000-0002-4118-2272)
- $\frac{11}{12}$

Keywords: manganese; root exudates; phosphorus limitation; mycorrhiza; nutrient-

- acquisition strategies; rhizosphere processes
- **Glossary**
- **Capillaroid roots:** roots that are covered with closely crowded persistent root hairs, which attract moisture and dissolved nutrients through capillary action.
- **Carboxylate:** the conjugate base of carboxylic acid. For example, the carboxylate citrate is the conjugate base of the organic acid, citric acid.
- **Chronosequences**: sequences of soils derived from the same parent material that differ in properties primarily because of time as a soil-forming factor; can represent strong soil
- nutrient availability gradients.
- **Cluster roots:** bottle-brush-like or Christmas-tree-like structures in roots with a dense
- packing of root hairs; these structures release carboxylates into the rhizosphere, thus solubilising poorly available nutrients (*e.g.*, P, Mn) in the soil.
- **Dauciform roots:** carrot-shaped roots with long root-hairs that release carboxylates into the rhizosphere.
- **Facilitation:** positive interaction that can occur between plants and results in an increase in performance of at least one of the interacting species.
- **Ligand-promoted dissolution:** release of metal cations (*e.g.*, Al, Fe, Mn) from minerals promoted by chelating organic anions (*e.g.*, citrate, oxalate, EDTA)
- **Mycorrhizal species:** plant species able to establish a symbiotic association with any type of 32 mycorrhizal fungus (from the Greek; μ UK $\eta \sigma$, fungus + $\rho \iota \zeta \alpha$, root).
- **Non-mycorrhizal species:** plant species unable to establish a symbiotic association with any
- type of mycorrhizal fungus; examples include most species belonging to Brassicaceae (cabbage family), Cyperaceae (sedge family) and Proteaceae.
- **Phenols/phenolics:** plant secondary metabolites consisting of aromatic compounds with one
- or more hydroxyl groups. They are broadly distributed in the plant kingdom, and protect plants against stresses.
- **Phytoliths:** hydrated amorphous silica structures precipitated in and between plant cells. Useful tools in archaeology and palaeobiology, they are also thought to be responsible for the
- 41 Si-related stress alleviation (from the Greek; Φύτον, plant + λ ίθος, stone).
- **Phytosiderophores:** root-released organic substances (*e.g.*, avenic, mugineic, deoxymugineic
- acids) produced by Poaceae species which strongly chelate metals and increase their subsequent uptake (Fe, Zn, Cu, Mn, Cd).
- **Quantitative defence compounds:** secondary plant compounds that reduce the digestibility
- and/or palatability of the food source and invariably make up a major fraction of the biomass.
- They are mostly phenolic compounds (phenolic acids, tannins, lignin).
- **Sand-binding roots:** roots with a prominent dense sheath of sand particles bound together by
- a mesh of root hairs and/or mucilaginous exudates.
- 50 **Silica**: silicon oxide with the chemical formula $SiO₂$.
- **Silicon transporters:** specific influx/efflux transporters that allow movement of Si from the
- rhizosphere into roots.
- **Sorption/sorbed ion**: chemical processes by which a dissolved ion becomes bound to the
- surface or inside a mineral.
- **Primary minerals:** minerals of lithogenic origin (*e.g.*, quartz, feldspars).
- **Secondary minerals:** minerals of pedogenic origin that have been formed in soils as products of weathering (*e.g.*, kaolinite, Fe/Al oxides).
- **Trade-off:** increase of a functional trait that is inevitably associated with the decrease of another.
- **1:1 clay minerals:** phyllosilicates consisting of one tetrahedral silicate sheet bound to an octahedral hydroxide sheet (*e.g.*, kaolinite).
- **2:1 clay minerals:** phyllosilicates consisting of an octahedral hydroxide sheet bound between
- two tetrahedral silicate sheets (*e.g.*, chlorite).
-

Abstract

 Plants have evolved numerous strategies to acquire poorly-available nutrients from soil, including the release of carboxylates from their roots. Silicon (Si) release from mineral dissolution increases in the presence of chelating substances, and recent evidence shows that leaf [Si] increases markedly in old phosphorus (P)-depleted soils, where many species exhibit carboxylate-releasing strategies, compared with younger P-richer soils. Here, we propose that root-released carboxylates, and more generally rhizosphere processes, play an overlooked role in plant Si accumulation by increasing soil Si mobilisation from minerals. We suggest that Si mobilisation is costly in terms of carbon, but becomes cheaper if those costs are already met to acquire poorly-available P. Uptake of the mobilised Si by roots will then depend on whether they express Si transporters.

Manganese as a proxy for rhizosphere carboxylates

 In 2015, Lambers *et al.* proposed that leaf manganese concentrations ([Mn]) can be used as a proxy for rhizosphere **carboxylate** (see Glossary) concentrations [1]. This tool has now been used to screen native plant species growing in natural habitats [2–4] as well as genotypes of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*) under glasshouse conditions [5,6]. The tool is also valuable to investigate to what extent neighbours depend on **facilitation** of their phosphorus (P) uptake by carboxylate-releasing species [7,8]. Results on leaf [Mn], therefore, offer tremendous potential to obtain information on rhizosphere processes that is very hard to get, especially under field conditions. Not only does it allow us to find out if a particular species uses a carboxylate-releasing P-mobilising strategy, but it can also provide evidence for facilitation of P acquisition of neighbours of species that release carboxylates [4,9]. Carboxylate- releasing strategies are particularly important on severely P-impoverished ancient soils [10] and on young volcanic soils with low P availability due to strong P **sorption** onto Fe oxides [11,12].

 Recently, de Tombeur *et al.* [13,14] showed that on ancient soils along the Jurien Bay **chronosequence** (south-western Australia) where many species exhibit carboxylate-releasing P-mobilising strategies, leaf silicon concentrations ([Si]) are markedly higher than on younger P-richer soils, despite very low plant-available [Si] in those ancient soils. This pattern was found both at the community-level via species replacement across the chronosequence, and also within some families and species that occur at different stages along the chronosequence [13,14]. Conversely, all leaf macronutrient concentrations decrease with increasing soil age [2,13,14]. In addition to a contribution of recycled soil **phytoliths**

 (*i.e.* phytogenic **silica**) as a source of plant-available Si in these old soils [13], this observation led us to explore if there is a causal relationship, with carboxylates not only mobilising P and Mn, but also Si, which is abundant in soil, but not invariably readily available for plants (Box 1). We propose a conceptual model (Figure 1) that explains why leaf [Si] increases in some species on ancient soils. We also propose ways to test our model and suggest future research directions.

Silicon uptake in plants

 Silicon uptake in plants is predominantly an active process, and Si enters the plant from the soil solution in the form of H4SiO⁴ through specific influx channels (**Si transporters**) encoded by a specific gene, *OsLSi1* in rice (*Oryza sativa*), in which it is constitutively expressed in the roots [15]. The gene *Lsi1* is expressed in a range of other plant species including maize (*Zea mays*), barley (*Hordeum vulgare*), wheat (*Triticum aestivum*), soybean (*Glycine max*), and tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*) [16–20]. Plant species differ greatly in Si 111 accumulation, ranging from 1 to 100 g kg^{-1} shoot dry weight [21]. The difference depends on whether a species expresses transport proteins allowing Si permeability [22]. In addition to actively taking up Si, plants acquire it passively [23]. Active transport is the major mechanism in rice and maize (*Zea mays*), whereas passive uptake prevails in sunflower (*Helianthus annuus*) and wax gourd (*Benincase hispida*) at higher external Si concentrations [23]. Even in these species, active transport contributes to the total Si uptake, especially at lower external Si concentrations. Silicon occurs as hydrated amorphous silica (phytoliths) in specific cells of roots, stems and leaves.

Silicon in plants: phytoliths

 Phytoliths in plants are thought to function as structural support [24] and in herbivore defence, by abrading herbivore mouthparts [25]. Plant silicification also increases plant resistance to water stress [26], reduces the soil-to-plant translocation of mineral toxicants [22], and probably interferes with the recognition process upon pathogen infection [22]. Phytoliths vary widely in chemical composition. In addition to Si, carbon (C) and oxygen (O) [27], some contain aluminium (Al), and this is considered a mechanism to detoxify Al [28,29]. Phosphorus may also become trapped in phytoliths [30] as do a wide range of other elements, both nutrients and toxic elements [29,31].

 Silica-based defences are considered cheap alternatives for carbon-based **quantitative defence compounds**. Raven [32] indeed calculated that, on a weight basis, the energetic cost 130 of incorporating 1 g of lignin is about 27 times greater than that of incorporating 1 g of $SiO₂$. There also appears to be a **trade-off** between investments in silica- and C-based defence/structural compounds, because numerous studies reported negative correlations between leaf [Si] and concentrations of phenols or C-based structural components [33–36]. In support of this, de Tombeur *et al.* [14] found a trade-off between **phenol**- and silica-based defences along the Jurien Bay chronosequence that exhibits a very strong gradient of nutrient availability [14,37], with a stronger expression of silica-based defences in old P-depleted soils. Investing in silica-based defences, rather than phenol-based defences in nutrient- depleted habitats, when resources are severely limiting, would make sense from an energetic perspective [32]. Nevertheless, if silica-based defence is effective and less costly, why do not all plants invest greatly in this putatively cheap defence strategy, rather than one based on more costly carbon-based quantitative defence compounds? The higher density of silica compared with C-based compounds might be a disadvantage to plants [32], but, as we explore below, this might also be because Si-based defence involves less metabolic costs only if there is plenty of plant-available Si in the rhizosphere, and involves substantial costs if Si needs to be mobilised to become available for plant uptake.

Silicon mobilisation by root-released carboxylates

Carboxylate effects on soil Si mobilisation

 Carboxylate-releasing strategies are crucial for plants growing in severely P-impoverished soils [10,38], but their role for plant Si uptake in mobilising soil Si from soil minerals has never been considered seriously. Yet, root-released carboxylates do not only increase soil P and Mn mobilisation, but also that of Al, iron (Fe), calcium (Ca), potassium (K) and several other metals [39–42]. Carboxylates mobilise P from **sorbed** forms by complexing metal ions constituting the minerals that bind phosphate (*e.g.*, Fe and Al oxides), and displace P from the soil solid phases as ligand exchange reactions are precursor to the dissolution reaction (Figure 1) [43]. This accounts for the strong increase in dissolved [Al] and [Fe] in the rhizosphere of cluster roots compared with that in bulk soil [39]. Fe and Al oxides show a strong potential to sorb Si [44–46], and Si can be associated with those pedogenic oxides. Therefore, release of monosilicic acid after **ligand-promoted dissolution** of Al/Fe oxides is very likely (Figure 1). In support of this, the Si and Fe co-released from a quartz-rich soil containing Fe oxides is significantly increased by three carboxylates abundantly exuded by specialised roots, and the releases of both elements are positively correlated (Figure 2A, B). Similarly, the dissolution of **primary minerals** like feldspars, **2:1 clay minerals** like chlorite and **1:1 clay minerals** like kaolinite are also ligand-promoted, and release monosilicic acid in solution (Figure 2C) [47,48].

 Mobilising Si from crystalline silicates via root-released carboxylates involves metal complexation. In old highly-weathered soils, Si-bearing minerals are eventually dominated by quartz and phytoliths, because most primary minerals and Al/Fe-containing **secondary minerals** have been lost by dissolution (Box 1). Here, carboxylates may also play a role in mobilising Si, because low-molecular-weight organic acids increase Si release from quartz [49], and we may expect the same for phytoliths, especially given their order-of-magnitude greater dissolution rate compared with that of most silicate minerals [50]. In support of this, the release of Si from pure quartz is slightly increased by oxalate (Figure 2C), yet not by two others carboxylates (malate, citrate). Nevertheless, adding carboxylates in a phytoliths and 174 pure quartz mixture with phytolith concentration commonly encountered in soils $(4 g kg^{-1})$; *e.g.*, [13,51]) significantly increases the effect of carboxylates on Si release (Figure 2C). This demonstrates the prominent influence of carboxylates on phytolith dissolution relative to quartz dissolution. This process combined with the relatively high reactivity of phytoliths compared with that of soil-derived minerals (Box 1) might explain why Si biocycling remains intense in old soils having extremely low plant-available [Si] [13]. Many questions remain, however, in particular whether the dissolution of phytoliths can be accelerated by complexation of the metals they contain. Moreover, the dissolution rates of silicates exhibit a 182 typical V-shape dependent on pH, with lowest rates around $pH = 5.5/6.5$ [52]. Rates increase with decreasing pH under acidic conditions, and also increase with increasing pH under alkaline conditions [52,53]. Localised rhizosphere acidification by root activity [54] might therefore also increase Si release through silicate dissolution in addition to the effect of carboxylates.

Evidence based on relationships between leaf [Si] and [Mn]

 Because leaf [Mn] can be used as a proxy for carboxylate-releasing strategies under the same pedological conditions [1,3,5], similar patterns for the two elements are expected if Si is also mobilised during the process (Figure 1). Interestingly, foliar [Si] and [Mn] increase along the Jurien Bay chronosequence, as opposed to a decrease in concentrations of all macronutrients [2,14]. For leaf [Si], such an increase is not only observed at the community-level, but also within some species that occur at different stages along the chronosequence [13,14]. This pattern is associated with a strong decline in soil P concentration [14], and a subsequent increase in the relative cover of species with **cluster roots** or their functional equivalent [55] that release carboxylates to acquire poorly-available P [10].

 Interestingly, both leaf [Si] and leaf [Mn] are significantly greater in **non-mycorrhizal species** than in **mycorrhizal species** across the Jurien Bay chronosequence, with most non- mycorrhizal species known to release carboxylates (Figure 3A) [1,2]. This pattern was found despite increased Si accumulation by mycorrhizal colonisation [56,57], and occurs across all soils of the chronosequence (except for leaf [Si] at stage 1), despite wide variation in pH- values (~5-8) (Figure S1) [2]. This may be because mycorrhizas appear to contribute little to 203 uptake of P on ancient soils [38]. In addition, high leaf [Si] in the mycorrhizal group ($> 5g$ 204 kg⁻¹) only occur in Poaceae, which have Si transporters [15], and in *Hibbertia hypericoides* individuals (Dilleniaceae) that do not release carboxylates but are typically facilitated by cluster roots of neighbouring banksias (Proteaceae) [4,58]. In contrast, non-mycorrhizal 207 species with relatively low leaf [Si] $(< 5g \text{ kg}^{-1})$ are dominated by Proteaceae, for which the presence of Si transporters is unknown. Such similarity between leaf [Si] and [Mn] along this chronosequence is supported by a positive relationship between the concentrations of both elements when species from different families are considered (Figure 3B). This occurs across all soils of the chronosequence, whatever the variations in soil pH (Figure S2). These relationships are significant, but rather weak, as to be expected because species from different families with contrasting capacities to accumulate Si are considered together in the analyses.

 Because leaf [Si] vary greatly among terrestrial plant species [21] due to the presence/absence of Si transporters [59], lower leaf [Si] among mycorrhizal species likely reflects lower expression of Si transporters in this group (*e.g.*, Asparagaceae, Fabaceae, Myrtaceae, Rhamnaceae) compared with non-mycorrhizal species (*e.g.*, Cyperaceae, Haemodoraceae, Proteaceae, Restionaceae). Therefore, we also reanalysed data from de Tombeur *et al.* [14] for family- and species-level variation. Interestingly, both nutrients are positively correlated for both mycorrhizal (Fabaceae, Poaceae) and non-mycorrhizal (Proteaceae, Cyperaceae) families (Figure 3C). The relationships are clearer for Poaceae and Cyperaceae than for Fabaceae and Proteaceae, most likely because the first two strongly express Si transporters [59]. Leaf [Si] and [Mn] are also correlated for *Desmocladus asper* (Restionaceae) (Figure 3C), a non-mycorrhizal species that forms **sand-binding roots** [60] and possibly **capillaroid roots** [61]. Similarly, Kothari et *al.* [62] found a highly significant positive correlation between leaf [Si] and [Mn] in maize shoots. We suggest that these relations between leaf [Si] and [Mn] are partly explained because both elements are mobilised by carboxylates in the rhizosphere (Figure 1), and perhaps also via root-induced acidification of the rhizosphere [54].

 In environments where soil Mn availability is far greater than the very low concentration in Jurien Bay, for example New Caledonia [63], Si mobilisation might be important to ameliorate Mn toxicity in carboxylate-releasing species. In 1978, Horst & Marschner [64] showed that *Phaseolus vulgaris* (common bean) plants treated with Si showed much greater tolerance to Mn toxicity than untreated plants. In particular, 1.8 mM of Mn was toxic for leaves in the absence of Si, but this critical level was 18 mM with Si addition [64]. The authors explained the beneficial effect of Si on Mn toxicity by the prevention of local Mn accumulation within leaf tissues and a more homogeneous distribution. This conclusion is supported by more recent studies on a range of species [65–67].

 The situation for Poaceae differs from that outlined above, because grasses tend not to enhance the release of carboxylates in response to P starvation yet do accumulate both Si and Mn (Figure 3C). Poaceae typically enhance the release of **phytosiderophores**, which are strong chelators of Fe, in response to Fe deficiency [68–70]. Phytosiderophores also mobilise zinc [71], Mn [72], and Si [73]. Gattullo *et al.* [73] showed that Fe-deficient barley (*Hordeum vulgare*) mobilises Si, in addition to Fe, from smectite through the exudation of organic ligands. Interestingly, Fe-deficient maize plants not only enhance expression of transporters 246 for Fe²⁺, but also those involved in transport of P (ZmPHT1;7 and ZmPHO1) [70]. Being strong chelators, phytosiderophores are expected to mobilise P sorbed onto Fe oxides. We are not aware of enhanced phytosiderophore release in response to P-starvation, but that is what we might expect to account for increases in leaf [Mn] in Poaceae with decreasing P availability along the Jurien Bay chronosequence [14].

Decrease of leaf [Si] with fertilization

 In wheat, an elevated P supply reduces foliar [Si] [74], suggesting a role for chelating compounds in mobilising both P and Si in this species. These might be phytosiderophores, as these do mobilise Si when chelating Fe [73], whereas grasses tend not to release large amounts of carboxylates. There are exceptions, however, for example oats (*Avena sativa*) [75] and sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor*) [76]. Carboxylate release would be suppressed under high P supply, thus reducing Si mobilisation and uptake. Similarly, leaf [Si] of *Holcus lanatus* (Poaceae) increases under P deficiency [77], and Johnson *et al.* [78] showed that leaf [Si] decreased markedly in three grass species (*Cynodon dactylon*, *Eragrostis curvula* and *Microlaena stipoides*), following fertilisation (nitrogen, K, P). More generally, recent studies suggest that grasses may not have evolved silica-based defences in response to extensive herbivory, but in response to dry hot [79] and infertile environments [80]. In summary, fertilisation, and especially P fertilisation, tends to decrease leaf [Si] in a range of Poaceae which we suggest is caused by a decrease in soil Si mobilisation through the suppression of exudation of chelating substances.

Concluding remarks and perspectives

 We propose that plants growing in P-impoverished environments that express Si transporters exhibit relatively higher leaf [Si] because of the mobilisation of Si by root-released carboxylates or other chelators into the rhizosphere. Moreover, protons released in the rhizosphere alongside carboxylates [81] might further increase Mn, P [54] and possibly Si solubilisation for subsequent plant uptake. These processes are expected mainly at the family or species-level, because plants exhibit great variation in their leaf [Si] due to presence/absence of Si transporters [59]. At this level, correlations between leaf [Si] and [Mn] are expected if species/individuals are sampled across a gradient of P availability, and therefore of rhizosphere carboxylate concentrations, particularly if they exhibit Si transporters. Nevertheless, an overall correlation between leaf [Si] and [Mn] when species from different families are considered reveals a possible greater role of carboxylates on plant Si uptake (Figure 3B). Because carboxylate-releasing P-mobilising strategies incur significant C costs [82], the costs of silica-based defences might be higher than commonly realised [32] if carboxylates or other root exudates are needed to mobilise Si in the rhizosphere, especially when plant-available [Si] is low.

 While many questions remain, this Opinion Paper paves the way towards the exploration of a role for root exudates in soil-plant-herbivore Si dynamics, thus providing promising perspectives for ecosystem Si research in both natural systems and agroecosystems (see Outstanding questions) [83]. Indeed, the role of root exudates has been considered for mobilisation of many essential nutrients, but ignored for Si, a beneficial element [22]. It is, however, time to consider these aspects, since the significant role of Si in plant biology [22,84] and ecology [85] is increasingly acknowledged. A detailed understanding of the factors influencing its dynamics in soil-plant systems is therefore urgently required.

Acknowledgements

- This work would not have been possible without the help of Jean-Charles Bergen, Emilie
- Marit and Baptiste Mingers, whom we sincerely thank. We also express our sincere gratitude
- to John A. Raven and Miroslav Nikolic for feedback on earlier versions of this manuscript. J.-
- T.C. and F.dT. were supported by Fonds National de la Recherche Scientifique of Belgium
- (FNRS; Research Credit Grant for the project SiCliNG CDR J.0117.18). H.L. acknowledges
- funds provided by the Deputy Vice Chancellor (Research) of the University of Western
- Australia.
-

References

- 1 Lambers, H. *et al.* (2015) Leaf manganese accumulation and phosphorus-acquisition efficiency. *Trends Plant Sci.* 20, 83–90
- 2 Hayes, P. *et al.* (2014) Foliar nutrient concentrations and resorption efficiency in plants of contrasting nutrient-acquisition strategies along a 2-million-year dune chronosequence. *J. Ecol.* 102, 396–410
- 3 Lambers, H. *et al.* (2021) Leaf manganese concentrations as a tool to assess belowground plant functioning in phosphorus-impoverished environments. *Plant Soil* 461, 43–61
- 4 Zhong, H. *et al.* (2021) *Xylomelum occidentale* (Proteaceae) accesses relatively mobile soil organic phosphorus without releasing carboxylates. *J. Ecol.* 109, 246–259
- 5 Pang, J. *et al.* (2018) The carboxylate-releasing phosphorus-mobilizing strategy can be proxied by foliar manganese concentration in a large set of chickpea germplasm under low phosphorus supply. *New Phytol.* 219, 518–529
- 6 Wen, Z. *et al.* (2020) Contrasting patterns in biomass allocation, root morphology and mycorrhizal symbiosis for phosphorus acquisition among 20 chickpea genotypes with different amounts of rhizosheath carboxylates. *Funct. Ecol.* 34, 1311–1324
- 7 Yu, R.P. *et al.* (2020) Phosphorus facilitation and covariation of root traits in steppe species. *New Phytol.* 226, 1285–1298
- 8 Yu, R.P. *et al.* (2020) Linking shifts in species composition induced by grazing with root traits for phosphorus acquisition in a typical steppe in Inner Mongolia. *Sci. Total Environ.* 712, 136495
- 9 Abrahão, A. *et al.* (2018) Phosphorus- and nitrogen-acquisition strategies in two *Bossiaea* species (Fabaceae) along retrogressive soil chronosequences in south-western Australia. *Physiol. Plant.* 163, 323–343
- 10 Lambers, H. *et al.* (2008) Plant nutrient-acquisition strategies change with soil age. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 23, 95–103
- 11 Ávila-Valdés, A. *et al.* (2019) Cluster root formation and function vary in two species with contrasting geographic ranges. *Plant Soil* 440, 25–38
- 12 Lambers, H. *et al.* (2012) Phosphorus-mobilization ecosystem engineering: the roles of

526 channel. Inorganic P (P_i) is taken up by P transporters against an electrochemical potential

527 gradient, driven by a proton gradient resulting from active H^+ transport by a H^+ -pumping ATPase [86]. Carboxylates chelate metal cations (*e.g.*, Fe, Al and Mn) of pedogenic oxides (*e.g.*, Fe, Al and Mn oxides) and metal-containing silicates, thereby increasing their dissolution. Carboxylates also increase quartz and phytolith dissolution [49] (Figure 2C), although the underlying mechanisms are less clear. In Strategy 1 species, chelated Fe moves 532 to the root surface, where it is reduced, followed by uptake via a Fe^{2+} transporter [iron- regulated transporter (IRT)]; this transporter is not specific and transports other 534 micronutrients, including Mn^{2+} . In Strategy 2 species, ligand exchange converts Fe to Fe³⁺-535 phytosiderophore (PS) complexes for root uptake via the $Fe³⁺$ -PS transporter [yellow stripe 1 (YS1) and yellow stripe-like (YSL) transporter] [87,88]. Silicon occluded in metal-containing silicates as well as Si sorbed onto or co-precipitated in pedogenic oxides is subsequently released into the soil solution in the form of monosilicic acid (*i.e.* H4SiO4) by this ligand- promoted dissolution process [48,89]. Monosilicic acid can then be taken up passively or actively, the latter involving Si transporters, *e.g.*, LSi1, which is highly expressed in some species, *e.g.*, rice (*Oryza sativa*) [15], but not in some other species. In soils with low plant- available [Si], we expect accumulation of Si in plants with high rhizosphere carboxylate concentrations that highly express Si transporters. High concentrations of carboxylates in the rhizosphere may be the result of release of the Si-accumulating plants themselves, as shown here, or by their neighbours [7]. This figure was created using BioRender. Modified from [1].

 Figure 2. Effects of a range of oxalate, citrate and malate concentrations on silicon (Si) and iron (Fe) release from a quartz-rich soil containing Fe oxides (stage 4 of the Jurien Bay 548 chronosequence; [13]) (means \pm SE; n = 3) (A), and relation between the release of both elements for the same soil and same carboxylates at similar concentrations (B). Effects of same carboxylates at same concentrations on Si release from pure chlorite (purchased from the Source Clays Repository, Purdue University), pure kaolinite (same manufacturer), pure quartz (Supelco®), and pure quartz mixed with sugarcane phytoliths from [35] at a 0.4% 553 concentration (means \pm SE; n = 3) (C). Three grams of soil/reference material was shaken for 16 h with 30 mL of a 0.01 M KCl solution with different carboxylate concentrations (0, 125, 555 250 and 500 μ M) [90], and Si and Fe were analysed by ICP-OES after filtration. In (A) and (C), bar colours indicate carboxylate concentrations, and different letters indicate significant 557 differences ($p \le 0.05$) among carboxylate concentrations (ANOVA followed by Tukey HSD tests). In (B), the black line indicates the regression line between both variables.

 Figure 3. Leaf silicon (Si) and manganese (Mn) concentrations along the Jurien Bay chronosequence as dependent on putative nutrient-acquisition strategy (A). Relationship between leaf Si and Mn concentrations for the same individuals (B), and for Cyperaceae, Poaceae, Fabaceae, Proteaceae and *Desmocladus asper* (Restionaceae) (C). All data (281 individuals belonging to 86 species) are from [14]. Plots in (A) show boxplots with medians, $25th$ and $75th$ percentiles and whiskers extended to 1.5 times the interquartile range. Data presented beyond whiskers represent outliers. Both axes were log-transformed, and the p- values derived from linear mixed-effects models testing the effect of nutrient-acquisition strategy on leaf nutrient concentration (with species and plot as random factors). Legend details: NM, non-mycorrhizal; CR, cluster roots; DR, **dauciform roots**; SB, sand-binding roots. In (B) and (C), black lines indicate the regression lines between both variables, shaded areas represent 95% confidence interval of the regression and circle colours (B) or symbols (C) indicate nutrient-acquisition strategy or different species, respectively. In (B), both axes were log-transformed. In (C), x-axes were log-transformed expect for Cyperaceae, while y- axes were log-transformed for Fabaceae. In (B), the p-value was derived from linear mixed- effects models (with species and plot as random factors). In (C), p-values were derived from Pearson tests of correlation. See also Online Supplemental Information Figures S1 & S2.

Box 1: Silicon in soils

Silicon is the second most abundant element in the Earth's crust (28.8%), after oxygen (47.2%) [91]. It is the basis for a wide range of silicate minerals which makes it ubiquitous in most soil types, but only a small fraction of soil Si is available for plant uptake [92]. During the weathering of silicate minerals, monosilicic acid is released in the soil solution and can then be taken up by plants, mobilised in the formation of secondary minerals, sorbed onto reactive soil particles (*e.g.*, Fe and Al oxides), or transferred towards the hydrosphere, where it serves as a nutrient for marine phytoplankton known as diatoms [92,93]. The concentration of dissolved Si primarily depends on the dissolution rates of primary and secondary Si-bearing minerals in soils [92,93]. During prolonged soil weathering, plant-available Si is first driven by the dissolution of primary minerals (*e.g.*, feldspars), followed by secondary 2:1 (*e.g.*, smectite), and then 1:1 (*e.g.*, kaolinite) clay minerals [93]. Plant-available [Si] decreases following this sequence, as the degree of desilication (*i.e.* Si loss by leaching) increases over time [92–94]. The lowest plantavailable [Si] are typically found in old, highly-weathered soils dominated by residual sand-sized poorly-soluble [50] quartz minerals, most of primary and secondary minerals having been lost by dissolution [94]. Because the solubility of phytoliths (*i.e.* phytogenic silica) is greater than that of many primary and secondary Si-bearing minerals [50], their dissolution also contributes to plant-available Si [13,95]. Such contribution is thought to increase with increasing soil age and desilication [13,93].

576 **Text Boxes**

- 577
- 578
- 579