

## Physiological and molecular effects of contaminants of emerging concerns of micro and nano-size in aquatic metazoans: overview and current gaps in Antarctic species

Rodolfo Rondon, César A Cárdenas, Céline Cosseau, Elisa Bergami, Teresa Balbi, Ilaria Corsi, Marcelo González-Aravena

### ▶ To cite this version:

Rodolfo Rondon, César A Cárdenas, Céline Cosseau, Elisa Bergami, Teresa Balbi, et al.. Physiological and molecular effects of contaminants of emerging concerns of micro and nano-size in aquatic metazoans: overview and current gaps in Antarctic species. Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 2024, 31 (36), pp.48888-48907. 10.1007/s11356-024-34457-6. hal-04690627

## HAL Id: hal-04690627 https://hal.science/hal-04690627

Submitted on 6 Sep 2024

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Physiological and molecular effects of contaminants of emerging concerns of micro and nano-size in aquatic metazoans: overview and current gaps in Antarctic species

Rodolfo Rondon<sup>1</sup> · César A. Cárdenas<sup>1,2</sup> · Céline Cosseau<sup>3</sup> · Elisa Bergami<sup>4</sup> · Teresa Balbi<sup>5</sup> · Ilaria Corsi <sup>6</sup> · Marcelo González-Aravena<sup>1</sup>

1 Departamento Científico, Instituto Antártico Chileno, Punta Arenas, Chile

2 Millenium Institute Biodiversity of Antarctic and Subantarctic Ecosystems (BASE), Santiago, Chile

3 IHPE, Université de Montpellier, CNRS, Ifremer, Université de Perpignan "Via Domitia", Perpignan, France

4 Department of Life Sciences, University of Modena and Reggio Emilia, Via Giuseppe Campi 213/D, Modena, Italy

5 Department of Earth Environment & Life Sciences, University of Genoa, Genoa, Italy

6 Department of Physical, Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of Siena, Siena, Italy

#### Abstract

Although Antarctica is the most isolated continent on Earth, its remote location does not protect it from the impacts of human activities. Antarctic metazoans such as filter-feeding invertebrates are a crucial component of the Antarctic benthos. They play a key role in the benthic-pelagic carbon flux in coastal areas by filtering particles and planktonic organisms from the sediment-water interface. Due to their peculiar ecological niche, these organisms can be considered a wasp-waist in the eco-system, making them highly sensitive to marine pollution. Recently, anthropogenic particles such as micronanoplastics and manufactured nanoparticles (MNP) have been classified as contaminants of emerging concern (CEC) due to their small size range, which also overlaps with the preferred particle size ingested by aquatic metazoans. Indeed, it has been demonstrated that some species such as Antarctic krill can ingest, transform, and release MNPs, making them newly bioavailable for other Antarctic filterfeeding organisms. Similarly, the production and use of anthropogenic MNP are rapidly increasing, leading to a growing presence of materials, such as nano-sized metal-oxides, in the environment. For these reasons, it is important to provide evidence of the adverse effects of such emerging contaminants at sub-lethal concentrations in environmental risk assessments. These contaminants may cause cascade effects with consequences not only on individuals but also at the com-munity and ecosystem levels. In this review, we discuss the state-of-the-art knowledge on the physiological and molecular effects of anthropogenic MNP in Antarctic aquatic metazoans. We further highlight the importance of identifying early biomarkers using sessile metazoans as sentinels of environmental health.

#### Keywords

Microplastic · Nanoplastic · Manufactured nanoparticles · Antarctica · Aquatic organisms · Transcriptomic · Biomarkers

#### Introduction

No region in the world escapes the negative effects of anthropogenic pollution. Although Antarctica is the most isolated continent, its remote location does not protect this region from the adverse impacts of human activities (Aronson et al. 2011; Chu et al. 2019; da Silva et al. 2023). Scientific research stations occupy > 390,000 m<sup>2</sup>, with a disturbance footprint of 5,200,000 m<sup>2</sup> of ice-free land impacting over half of all large coastal ice-free areas (Brooks et al. 2019) thus posing a threat to marine coastal ecosystems. The Antarctic region has unique benthic ecosystems in coastal areas hosting diverse and rich communities that thrive in such an extreme and fragile environment (Griffiths 2010). Chown et al. (2017) suggested that the prospect for biodiversity health of the Antarctic region for 2020, and beyond to 2050, is similar to that for the rest of the planet in terms of global habitat degradation, biodiversity loss, and pollution from either global and local sources. The impact of anthropogenic pollutants of emerging concern on Antarctic marine ecosystems is still poorly understood; however, various studies suggest that Antarctic marine species may be more sensitive to these chemicals compared to their temperate counterparts (King and Riddle 2001; Aronson et al. 2011; Bergami et al. 2019). Indeed, these communities are well adapted to stable harsh conditions and thus they are highly sensitive to any anthropogenic effects.

Fraser et al. (2018) have demonstrated that storm-forced surface waves and ocean eddies can dramatically enhance oceanographic connectivity for drifting particles in surface layers, allowing some non-Antarctic species to reach the Southern Ocean crossing the Antarctic Circumpolar Current (ACC), suggesting that this region is not as isolated as previously thought. Furthermore, Lacerda et al. (2019) in a backtracking dispersal model study suggest that plastic pollutants found in the Southern Ocean did not originate from latitudes lower than 58°S, but indicating that these could enter the ACC. Moreover, Waller et al. (2017) demonstrated that deployed surface drifters at the north of the mean position of the polar front were able to disperse southwards across the Polar Front. Considering these factors, it is crucial to evaluate the impacts of pollutants coming from global circulations and local sources on marine fauna, obtaining valuable biomarkers at sub-lethal concentrations and at the first line of response. Legacy pollutants have been already reported in environmental matrices, such as metals (Chu et al. 2019; Palmer et al. 2021), polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH), and organochlorines such as polychlorinated biphenyls and dichloro-diphenyltrichloroethane and metabolites. Regarding emerging pollutants, salicylic acid (drug), and bisphenol A (BPA; plasticizer) were detected in ocean waters around King George Island (Marcotti-Murua et al. 2020) along with many other such as drugs/medicines, endocrine disruptors, pyrethroids, perfluorinated compounds, and sunscreens (Olalla et al. 2020; Perfetti-Bolaño et al. 2022). Legacy and emerging persistent organic pollutants (POPs) were also found in tissue samples of benthic invertebrates near Rothera Point at Western Antarctic Peninsula, being the most abundant hexachlorobenzene (HCB), and BDE-209, as well as, heptachlor, oxychlordane, and mirex (Krasnobaev et al. 2020).

Nowadays, plastic pollution in Antarctica begins to cause serious concern, since plastic litter in the Southern Ocean can derive from a variety of sources, including waste from research stations and fishing operations within the Treaty Area and, through transport by ocean currents and windgenerated water movements, from outside the Treaty Area (Zhang et al. 2020a; da Silva et al. 2023).

Recently, particles that could enter the Southern Ocean or be introduced from Antarctic coasts, such as anthropogenic micro (MP) and nanoplastics (NP) and manufactured nanoparticles, including those used in various applications like personal care products (e.g., titanium dioxide, nanoTiO2), have been classified as contaminants of emerging concern (CEC) (Blair et al. 2017). Regarding MP they can be defined as any synthetic solid particle or polymeric matrix, with regular or irregular shape and with size ranging from 1  $\mu$ m to 5 mm, of either primary or secondary manufacturing

origin, which are insoluble in water (Frias and Nash 2019; Bermúdez and Swarzenski 2021) and those with size < 1000 nm are named nanoplastics (Allen et al. 2022). Both MP and nanoplastics as well as manufactured nanoparticles (< 100 nm) are a source of high concern due to their small size range overlapping with the preferred particle size ingested by aquatic metazoans (Galloway and Lewis 2016). The ingestion of MNP may lead to choking, blocked digestive tracts, damage to organs, debilitation, and ultimately death (Derraik 2002). Indeed, the negative effects can be attributed to two main pathways: (1) physical stress after ingestion (blockage, energy expenditure for egestion) which can reduce the energy available from nutrients and alter metabolic processes, and (2) leakage of additives from plastic (plasticizers as BPA and phthalates) and ions released from metal/metal-oxide NP (Ag, ZnO, CeO) which can potentially affect aquatic organisms impacting mobility, reproduction, development and survival (Andrady 2011; Cole et al. 2011; Ross and Morales-Caselles 2015; Anderson et al. 2016). Studies on the implications of MNP on organisms are a very recent area of environmental sciences (Koelmans et al. 2015), and it is important to cover the current gaps in Antarctic aquatic metazoans. Nanoplastics may be directly released in the aquatic environment or formed by the degradation of larger plastic debris (Koelmans et al. 2015; Lambert and Wagner 2016). MNP are not only accumulated near cities and areas of high human activity but they have also been detected in remote locations such as a mountain lake in Mongolia (Free et al. 2014) and at the Poles (Obbard et al. 2014; da Silva et al. 2023). In the Southern Ocean and Antarctic coasts, large plastic debris (macro- and mesoplastic) has been extensively recorded in Antarctic Sea Waters (ASW) (e.g., Lacerda et al. 2019; Suaria et al. 2020). Isobe et al. (2017) found high concentrations of MP in Southern Ocean waters, especially in areas close to Antarctica compared to offshore stations. These authors suggest that MP can be transported from a considerable distance spreading between oceans, due to the absence of larger plastic debris at the studied stations. MP in Antarctica constitutes an alarming concern since, as reviewed by Waller et al. (2017), their concentration in Antarctica marine ecosystems can reach levels comparable to those found in highly populated regions. Recently, plastic debris has been found in all of 12 stations sampled around the Antarctic Peninsula, with a total average concentration estimated at 1794 items/km<sup>2</sup>, with more than 50% of them represented by MP (Lacerda et al. 2019). In a spatiotemporal assessment, Garza et al (2023) showed an increasing number of microplastic in superficial and benthic sea water from three points at Western Antarctic Peninsula fjords (Marian Cove of King George Island, Borgen Cove of Anvers Island, and Sheldon Cove of Adelaide Island). The study considered 2017 to 2020 and recorded high concentrations in the localities studied, reaching until 516 MP/L. In Potter Cove (King George Island) MP has also been registered with concentrations until 55.67 MP/L (Antacli et al. 2024). A comparison of a pristine area with another with human presence in Livingston Island (Maritime Antarctica), it was found that both zones have been equally impacted by this type of pollutant, suggesting that they are prone to the accumulation and retention of microdebris (Monràs-Riera et al. 2023).

Wastewaters released from sewage treatment plants of scientific Antarctic stations are suspected to carry anthropogenic MNP to pristine marine ecosystems. On the other hand, it is hypothesized that MP fragments and fibers could also be transported by seawater and wind from South America, revealing multiple transport ways (Cunningham et al. 2022). Recently, MP have been found in the excreta of many Antarctic and Sub-Antarctic species as penguins (Bessa et al. 2019; Le Guen et al. 2020) and as reviewed by Taurozzi and Scalici (2024) 3526 MPs were retrieved from the stomachs (3013), pellets (398), guano (75), and pouch contents (40) of Antarctic seabirds. This suggests potential input of these contaminants through the diet. Indeed, MP have been recorded in Antarctic sponges (Corti et al. 2023), krill, and salps (Wilkie Johnston et al. 2023; Zhu et al. 2023a), as well as in benthic invertebrates including mollusks (Bergami et al. 2023; Gonzalez-Pineda et al. 2024; Sfriso et al. 2020) and vertebrates as fishes (Botari et al. 2022; Ergas et al. 2023; Geng et al. 2023; Mancuso et al. 2023; Zhu et al. 2023b). As such these anthropogenic particles are transported along the food web up

to higher predators, such as the mentioned penguins and possibly marine mammals. In some Antarctic areas, even the most remote and pristine such as the Ross Sea, MP have been recorded in sediment samples, with a total of 1661 items ranging from 0.3 to 22 mm in length, with fibers as the most frequent (Munari et al. 2017). Also in Ross Sea MP has been detected in fresh snow samples with a mean of 29 particles/L (Aves et al. 2022). Besides, in water samples from the Weddell Sea, Leistenschneider et al. (2021), MP were found in 65% of surface water samples and 11.4% of subsurface samples with n = 34 and 79, respectively, with an estimate of 45% coming from vesselinduced contamination. MPs have been found also in twelve Antarctic benthic invertebrates collected in the Ross Sea, with the filter-feeding and grazers organisms being the ones having the highest amount (Sfriso et al. 2020). More recently, plastic traces have been recorded from Antarctic sea ice cores, with polyethylene (PE), polypropylene (PP), and polyamide (PA) being the most common, and the availability of these pollutants in the trophic web was discussed (Kelly et al. 2020). For instance, it has been demonstrated that some species, such as the Antarctic krill, can actively ingest MP and transform them into n ones, after digestive fragmentation, making them more available for Antarctic bottom filter-feeders (Dawson et al. 2018). Nanoplastics (polyethylene terephthalate, PET) have been found in sea ice, at a concentration of 52.3 ng/ml (Materić et al. 2022). Although MP contamination in Antarctic benthic species and marine coastal environments is indeed increasing, very limited information on their impact on such delicate communities has been provided so far.

Among CEC, manufactured nanoparticles such as nano metal-oxides, (Clemente et al. 2012) originating from sewages and wastewaters including also industrial wastes are increasing worldwide and can pose a threat to marine species (Haynes et al. 2017). For example, 100–900 and 20–50 µg/L of nano-TiO2 have been measured in surface water and more in the depth of the Mediterranean Sea mainly attributed to the wide use of sunscreen products by tourists at sea (Labille et al. 2020). Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub>, like other metal-oxide NP, have the potential to be toxic to cells and tissues, likely attributed to their nanometric size, high surface area, and reactivity (Blaise et al. 2008; Johnson et al. 2009; Scown et al. 2010; Schultz et al. 2014). The nano-size facilitates their movement across cellular membranes, interfering with their structural integrity (Moore 2006), and allowing them to enter the organelles where they can generate ROS that can damage DNA and proteins (Stadtman and Levine 2003; Dizdaroglu and Jaruga 2012). The main route of NP exposure on aquatic metazoans is likely through diet. Filter-feeder species that feed by straining suspended matter and food particles from water, such as many forage fishes, crustaceans, and aquatic molluscs, may be particularly exposed to these anthropogenic NP present in the water column (Schirmer et al. 2013).

Regarding Antarctic species, few studies have assessed the effects of anthropogenic NPs as polystyrene nanoparticles (PS NP) used as proxy for nanoplastics (Bergami et al. 2019; 2020; Manno et al. 2022) and nano-TiO2 alone and in combination with PS NP (Rondon et al. 2024a).

Although some scientific research stations have greywater treatment plants, evidence indicates that microfibres from clothing or microbeads from personal care products such as soaps, toothpaste, or laundry detergents may be still released into the environment. Unfortunately, the development of efficient MP removal technologies applied to wastewater treatment plants (WWTP) is still in progress, and there is no reliable method yet established on a commercial scale (Ali et al. 2021; Dey et al. 2021; Ramirez Arenas et al. 2022). For this reason, we discuss the effects of anthropogenic NP in marine metazoans, focussing o, physiological and molecular levels (Fig. 1). These NP are not commonly monitored in the environment but have the potential to enter the environment and cause adverse ecological effects, particularly regarding their transfer across aquatic food webs to top predators. An example of microplastic foodweb transfer, Jiang et al. (2023) found a significant positive correlation between microplastic abundance and trophic level with a correlation coefficient = 0.717 and p < 0.05 in China waters. This kind of analysis has not been performed with Antarctic organisms, representing an important gap in knowledge. Filter-feeder bivalves play an important role in food

webs, and while these species have been assessed in ecotoxicology studies, Antarctic species have not yet been evaluated.

We emphasize the necessity of assessing the potential synergic effects of these micro- and nano-pollutants to create more realistic scenarios. Until now, combined effects of MNP-nanometal have only been assessed in marine and freshwater algae (Thiagarajan et al. 2019; Das et al. 2022), and not in aquatic metazoans. Due to fewer publications in nanoplastics and nanomaterials, in comparison to microplastics, we performed a bibliometric analysis on the evolution in time of research studies on this topic, including transcriptomics studies. The main aim of the present review is to compile information on the physiological and molecular effects of micro- and nano-plastic metazoans, with especial emphasis on current gaps. Here we also present the knowledge gaps on Antarctic filter-feeding species and discuss the importance of having sentinel species for detection of emerging pollutants in the Southern Ocean focussing on the development of relevant early molecular biomarkers. In this review, we also propose to extend these studies to Antarctic filter-feeders metazoans to obtain valuable biomarkers that can measure the biological response that reproducibly changes upon exposure to environmental pollutants related to nano-pollution.

#### Metrics of anthropogenic nanoparticles studies in aquatic metazoans

In order to have a picture of the effort studying micro- and nanoparticles in aquatic metazoans, a metric comparison has been performed with a number of publications about anthropogenic nanoparticles published from the year of first publication until the current year. For this purpose, we used PubMed webpage (https:// pubmed. ncbi. nlm. nih. gov/) at 05 June 2024, with advanced search, introducing the following keywords for all fields: (1) microplastic AND aquatic AND metazoa; (2) nanoplastic AND aquatic AND metazoa; (3) nano metal AND aquatic AND metazoa; and (4) transcriptomic AND nanoparticle AND aquatic AND metazoa. The publications found in PubMed that did not involve metazoan or nanoparticles were excluded from the analysis. Publications of the year 2024 were discarded to avoid a misunderstanding, as the search was conducted mid-year.

The articles about microplastic in aquatic metazoans increased constantly during the years (Fig. 2) and were scarce from 2011 to 2014, being less to 10 publications for each of these years, beginning at augmentation in 2015 with 16 articles and reaching 100 for 2018 and the peak in 2023 with 368 publications. Considering publications on nanoplastic, a in recent years, a clear concern about nanometals and particuconstant increase over time was found (Fig. 3), with a single larly nanoplastic interacting with aquatic metazoans was estabpublication in 2014 and 2015, reaching 10 in 2018 and then up lished in ecotoxicological research. However, transcriptomic to 42 in 2020 and 97 in 2022. In 2023, 126 studies regarding studies assessing ecophysiological impacts and searching for nanoplastics and aquatic metazoans were found on PubMed. In regard to nano-metals studies with aquatic metazoans, the numbers of publications fluctuated over time (Fig. 4), beginning early in 2003 with a single study and reaching peaks in 2015, 2018, and 2022 with 22, 32, and 44 publications, respectively. The year 2023 reaches 26 publications in this thematic. Finally, the number of publications about manmade nanoparticles with transcriptomic approaches in aquatic metazoans resulted low from 2007 to 2016, with 2 publications maximum per year (Fig. 5). Afterward, some peaks were found in 2017, 2021, and 2022 with 7, 13, and 9 publications, respectively and reaching 7 for 2023 (Fig. 5). These metrics suggest that, in recent years, a clear concern about nano-metals and particularly nanoplastic interacting with aquatic metazoans was established in ecotoxicological research. However, transcriptomic studies assessing ecophysiological impacts and searching for suitable molecular biomarkers have not yet reached more than 10 publications per year so far. These observations underline the need for linking gene expression results with other cellular, biochemical, or macrophysiological approaches. On the other hand, these observations highlight the alarming knowledge gap regarding the effects of nanoparticle mixtures in aquatic metazoans. Using the PubMed database, such studies could not be found, differently from the extensive research done for example with human macrophages, assessing the toxicity of a mixture of silver and polystyrene (PS) nanoparticles (Ilić et al. 2022). For this reason, realistic studies using the combination of two or more types of man-made nanoparticles are needed to investigate potential synergistic exposures and assess what might be occurring in the environment, including remote polar regions. Considering the strong gap in ecotoxicological studies of these nanoparticles in Anctarctic metazoans, we follow with a review of the most relevant studies of the effects in aquatic metazoans in the world, from this biobliometric analysis.

#### Physiological and molecular effects of MNPs in aquatic metazoans

The negative physiological consequences of MNPs exposure can highly vary. In studies conducted with aquatic invertebrates (from planktonic crustaceans to annelids, mollusks, and macrocrustaceans) and fishes, the following eco-physiological effects have been reported: (1) Altered feeding behavior, with a downward shift in the preference for prey, reducing feed consumption (Cole et al. 2015); (2) gut blockage and increased gut transit (Wright et al. 2013; Watts et al. 2015); (3) reduced lipid accumulation and energy allocation (Wright et al. 2013; Cole et al. 2015); (4) reduced growth (Lee et al. 2013; Bergami et al. 2017; Jeong et al. 2017; Bringer et al. 2021); (5) altered reproduction (Lee et al. 2013; Cole et al. 2015; Sussarellu et al. 2016; Jeong et al. 2017); (6) increased oxidative stress (Paul-Pont et al. 2016; Jeong et al. 2017; Capolupo et al. 2021; Wang et al. 2021; Hoyo-Alvarez et al. 2022); (7) increased rate of cell apoptosis (Li et al. 2022b); (8) altered ion transport (Li et al. 2022b); (9) dysregulation of the immune system (PaulPont et al. 2016; Bergami et al. 2019; Sendra et al. 2020; Capolupo et al. 2021; Wang et al. 2021); (10) decrease in offspring quality, with reduction of oocyte quality and sperm mobility and reduced of larval growth (Lee et al. 2013; Besseling et al. 2014; Sussarellu et al. 2016); (11) neurotoxicity (Oliveira et al. 2013; Chen et al. 2017; Capolupo et al. 2021; Santos et al. 2021; Hoyo-Alvarez et al. 2022); (12) behavioral alterations in mobile organisms, such as swimming ability, speed, distance traveled and avoidance (Chen et al. 2020; Santos et al. 2021; Kim et al. 2022), and altered valve movement in bivalves (Bringer et al. 2021); (13) histopathological damages in organs as liver and digestive tract (Kim et al. 2022; Li et al. 2022a); (14) embryotoxicity also coexposed to acidification (Rowlands et al. 2021); and finally (15) mortality (Lee et al. 2013; Cole and Galloway 2015; Manno et al. 2022).

These different types of reported effects clearly show how MNPs can damage not only individuals but also whole populations or species that would impact other species of the trophic network, thus potentially affecting the entire community structure. Since few studies have been carried out to determine relevant physiological parameters in marine invertebrates following nanoplastic exposure, such as oxygen consumption (Cole et al. 2015), cellular ROS production (Jeong et al. 2017), and apoptosis induction, it is crucial to take these physiological, biochemical and cellular measures for a better physiological understanding of the effect of nanoplastic exposure.

Physiological data can be used to describe the health status of cells as well as whole organisms following manmade nanoparticle exposure in marine Antarctic metazoans; furthermore, these data could be related to molecular data as transcriptomics or enzymatic activities approaches. The assessment of molecular effects in response to MNPs is key to obtain early biomarkers of stress and also to disclose the first responses which could produce severe eco-physiological changes. Few studies have been conducted to evaluate the molecular effects, such as transcriptomic, in responses of MNPs. In a microarray study on oysters from French Atlantic coasts exposed to polystyrene (PS) microplastics, Sussarellu et al. (2016) found differentially expressed genes potentially involved in pathways of

reproductive parameters. Such gene expression alteration was in accordance with eco-physiological effects reported in the same study, notably a decrease in oyster reproduction parameters. Interestingly, hormone receptors and transcripts involved in different hormone pathways were differentially expressed, suggesting an endocrine disregulation, probably because of stress or chemicals present in microplastics such phthalates, which are recognized as endocrine disruptors. Other studies reported the following relevant findings on aquatic model organisms based on target gene expression analyses: Detoxification transcripts as phase 3 xenobiotic metabolization ABC transport has been found as modulated by MNPs in single exposures and in combination with arsenic in the marine rotifer Brachionus plicatilis (Kang et al. 2021). In another rotifer species, Brachionus koreanus, a synergies effect of accommodated fractions of crude oil and nanoplastics produced an transcriptional alteration with enrichment of molecular pathways with differentially expressed genes as "gene expression," "Cellular transport," and "Cellular response to stress" (Jeong et al. 2021). In the water flea Daphnia magna an alteration of stress-response gene expression was observed after microplastic exposure (Imhof et al. 2017). In other water flea species (Diaphasoma celebensis), different sizes of polystyrene (PS) beads altered several anti-oxidant gene expression with a significant effect reported for some enzymatic activities (Yoo et al. 2021). Molting-related genes (*clap* and *cstb*) were up-regulated in larvae and juveniles of the shrimp Artemia franciscana exposed to polystyrene (PS) nanoplastics, explaining the alteration in molting frequency observed (Bergami et al. 2017; Varó et al. 2019). Likewise, a set of transcripts potentially implicated in the growth of the juvenile shrimp Macrobrachium nipponense was affected by different concentrations of nanoplastics (from 5 to 40 mg/L) (Li et al. 2022a). In this shrimp species, molting-related genes were down-regulated by nanoplastic exposure and associated with a decrease in molting rate (Fan et al. 2022). This study also shows an enzymatic activity dysregulation for antioxidant enzymes. Ion regulation-related transcripts have been altered in gills of M. nipponense after nanoplastic exposure (Li et al. 2022b). Considering filter-feeding bivalves, in gills of the mussel Mytilus spp. exposed to polystyrene (PS) microplastics, an up-regulation of genes lysozyme, Pyruvate Kinase, Super Oxide Dismutase, and Glutathione Peroxidase was observed, as well as the down-regulation of Catalase gene, suggesting a potential oxidative stress being concordant with the increase in ROS (Paul-Pont et al. 2016).

In the mussel *Mytilus galloprovincialis* exposed to nanoplastics alone and combined with carbamazepine, different transcripts of biotransformation and detoxification resulted modulated in gills, as well as others transcripts as GST, p53, HSP70, and Lys (Brandts et al. 2018). In the same study, the authors observed an increase in HSP70 transcript in the digestive gland after exposure to nanoplastics. In oyster species *Crassostrea virginica* and *Isognomon alatus*, the genes coding for bax and mitochondrial 12S increased after combined exposure to nanoplastics and arsenic in gills, suggesting a mitochondrial effect and augmentation of programmed cell death (Lebordais et al. 2021). Interestingly, evaluating immune transcript effects of two pulse nanoplastic exposures in haemocytes of *Mytilus spp.* showed both a decrease of proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA) and tumor suppression protein p53 in the first exposure (Auguste et al. 2020). Other immune-related genes were affected as extrapallial protein precursors with up-regulation, meanwhile, Lyso and the antimicrobial peptide MytB were downregulated. In the same study, after the second nanoplastic exposure, five immune genes were upregulated suggesting an overall shift in immune parameters acting as a compensatory mechanism to maintain immune homeostasis.

Furthermore, in a study applying enzymatic activity biomarkers Jeong et al. (2017) found higher enzymatic activities for oxidative stress-related enzymes on the marine copepod *Paracyclopina nana* exposed to polystyrene (PS) MNPs, in line with the significant increase of intracellular ROS levels in exposed copepods. Other two studies on fishes show a significant increase of Acetylcholinesterase (AChE) activity in fishes exposed to MNPs (Oliveira et al. 2013; Chen et al. 2017), demonstrating neurotoxic effects as well as the validity of these enzymatic biomarkers for these emerging pollutants.

Moreover, enzymatic activity profiles could validate or correlate transcriptomics analyses, after direct or parental pollutant exposures, as shown in Rondon et al. (2016).

Regarding Antarctic metazoans, a pioneer study on the Antarctic sea urchin *Sterechinus neumayeri* coelomocytes, exposed to polystyrene (PS) nanoplastics (at 1 and 5  $\mu$ g/ ml), a significant modulation of antioxidant genes has been reported (Bergami et al. 2019). This study is an example of how molecular research, through the selection of valuable molecular biomarkers, can enhance the knowledge about the effects of MNPs on aquatic metazoans, being concordant with physiological results. Considering the valuable information provided by these studies, evaluating the negative effects at the molecular level of MNPs on Antarctic filter feeders is a first step to outline the consequences of the presence of these emerging pollutants in remote polar environments.

#### Physiological and molecular impacts of nanometals in aquatic metazoans

Regarding now the effects of other classes of nanoparticles, increasing concentrations of metal nanomaterial, such as nano-TiO<sub>2</sub> and nano-Aluminium Oxide (nano-AlO), has been found to increase mortality in model aquatic metazoans such as *D. magna* (Lovern and Klaper 2006) and *Artemia* spp. (Rajasree et al. 2011; Ates et al. 2013b, 2015; Bhuvaneshwari et al. 2018). Other negative effects observed in these species include alteration in swimming behavior, with animals unable to move for prolonged periods (Rajasree et al. 2011), oxidative stress (Ates et al. 2013a, 2015; Bhuvaneshwari et al. 2018) gut completely filled with nanoparticles aggregates inducing tissue degradation (Arulvasu et al. 2014), morphological and internal damage (Bhuvaneshwari et al. 2018), reduced male gametes motility (Kowalska-Góralska et al. 2019), fatty acids landscape alterations (Roma et al. 2022), apoptosis increase, and DNA damage (Arulvasu et al. 2014). In other species such as the marine mussel Mytilus galloprovincialis exposed to nano-TiO<sub>2</sub>, a significant increase in DNA damage detected with comet assay has been reported (D'Agata et al. 2014). For other molluscs such as the bivalve Tegillarca granosa, the exposure to n TiO<sub>2</sub> produced a significant increase of three tested neurotransmitters (DA, GABA, and AChE), with a significantly lower AChE activity (Guan et al. 2018) as well as the downregulation of genes encoding modulatory enzymes (AChE, GABAT, and MAO) and receptors (mAChR3, GABAD, and DRD3) for the neurotransmitters tested, showing a neurotoxic effect.

Acute exposure of nTiO2 in a neotropical fish, *Prochilodus lineatus*, decreased plasma osmolality and Ca<sup>2+</sup> levels, with an inhibition of Na<sup>+</sup>/K<sup>+</sup>-ATPase, H<sup>+</sup>-ATPase and carbonic anhydrase activities in gills. Meanwhile, during a sub-chronic exposure, although no change was detected in plasma osmolality, ionic balance, and enzyme activities, an increase in total mitochondria-rich cells density was found in this species (Carmo et al. 2018). In the case of common carp *Cyprinus carpio*, exposure to the same anthropogenic nanoparticle induced a reduction in the enzymatic activities of Glutathione S-tranferases and Catalase, in some tissues (Lee et al. 2012). In other studies on the same species exposed to Aluminium nanoparticles, an increase in the enzymatic activity of SOD and GPX and a decrease in CAT were found (García-Medina et al. 2022).

Similarly to MNPs physiological effects, few studies on man-made nanoparticles have evaluated other eco-physiological parameters, such as apoptosis (Arulvasu et al. 2014) and oxygen consumption alterations (Hu et al. 2017), and no data are available on cellular ROS production. Recently, Jovanović et al (2016) studied the effect of n TiO<sub>2</sub> at the community level, reporting changes in the structure of the macroinvertebrate community of Vlasi county (Republic of Serbia) after treatment and increasing the concern about the negative effect on the whole ecosystem. The physiological perturbations of nano metal-oxides, such as n TiO<sub>2</sub>, should be assessed for filter feeders from Antarctica to deepen the potential environmental impacts of this emerging pollutant and potentially prevent perturbations at population and higher ecology levels.

At the gene expression level, the exposure to man-made metal nanoparticles has been found to affect the following transcriptional pathways in aquatic organisms (Revel et al. 2017): (1) defense mechanisms with the induction of stress-related and detoxified gene expression; (2) immune system with the alteration of genes related to immunity, inflammation, and apoptosis. These could lead to increased vulnerability of organisms against pathogens and infectious diseases; (3) cell membrane transporters, with the alteration of vesicular transport and membrane-related genes expression, modifying membrane permeability and cell transport; (4) metabolism pathways as the oxidative phosphorylation which have a key role in ATP generation in aerobic organisms; (5) DNA repair pathways, indicating an induction of DNA damage after nano-metal exposure; (6) nervous system, suggesting the neurotoxic effect of metals nanoparticles; (7) growth and development, with the induction of genes involved in developmental and hormonal regulation, and exoskeleton genes for some organisms; and finally (8) reproduction, with strong gene expression alteration in reproductive tissue (such as fish ovaries). In filter-feeding bivalves, M. galloprovincialis individuals co-exposed to nano-TiO<sub>2</sub> and cadmium displayed a down-regulation of abcd1 transcript (Della Torre et al. 2015). In the same species, a modulation of ROS stress response was registered in gills and digestive glands after silver nanoparticles exposure (Gomes et al. 2014). In a transcriptome-wide analysis of n-TiO<sub>2</sub> single and combine exposure with 2,3,7,8-TCDD in M. galloprovincialis a GO-terms overrepresentation of upregulated transcript involved in cytoskeletal in digestive gland was observed (Banni et al. 2016). These transcriptomic data, which are the first level of response in the organisms, provide useful information about the impact of nano-metal pollution.

In freshwater environments, transcriptomic analysis of the Antarctic crustacean Branchinecta gaini, revealed that xenobiotic metabolism gene P450 was upregulated at 100 and 200 µg ml-1 n-TiO2, while the expression levels of cathepsin L and of antioxidant genes such as superoxide dismutase and glutathione peroxidase were significantly reduced with increasing concentrations of n-TiO2 (González-Aravena et al 2022). The latter study suggests that Antarctic metazoans could be used as bioindicators of nanoparticles stress.

#### Toward the perspective of biomarkers in Antarctic benthic filter-feeding organisms

Regarding the impact of MNPs and nano-pollutants, multiapproach studies will allow us to understand the key physiological mechanisms affected by their exposure and the adaptive or detrimental responses displayed by individuals in polluted environments (Fig. 6). Since physiological phenotypes often manifest late in an organism's life cycle, it is crucial to develop new strategies that allow for early detection of responses to MNPs and nano-pollutants exposure. The most promising method for early assessment of contaminant exposure relies on molecular biomarkers which can be applied just after a few hours or days of exposure, representing the earliest functional response detectable in an organism (Table 1).

Despite the efforts to evaluate MNPs and man-made nanoparticles toxicity at different concentrations and exposure times, and using different particle sizes, shapes, and compositions (Kögel et al. 2020), few studies have addressed the use of biomarkers in assessing MNP and nanoparticle exposures. Molecular biomarkers (gene expression and enzymatic activity) in response to pollution have been adopted in ecologically important species, such as the blue mussel and common frog (Carlsson and Tydén 2018; Revel et al. 2019), yielding successful results.

Given the growing amount of multi-omics data at the whole genome scale on diverse environmentally relevant species, genome-wide transcriptomic approaches could be adopted in overlooked Antarctic metazoans exposed to emerging stressors to find relevant biomarkers, which can then be validated through targeted gene expression. This strategy allows for the detection of potentially toxic contaminants well before real adverse effects occur (Clemente et al. 2012). We propose here to apply this biomarker strategy to suspension-feeding bivalves which use their gills to capture natural particulate matter, filtering water for eating and taking up high quantities of anthropogenic nanoparticles (Corsi et al. 2023). Hence, Antarctic benthic filter feeders should be used as a sentinel for nano-pollutants, as discussed in Corsi et al. (2023), evaluating the ecotoxic effects of MNPs and nano metals-oxides through the use of biomarkers of the exposure to these emergent pollutants.

Another relevant molecular analysis when considering biomarker perspectives is the characterization of epigenetic-based events. Nanoplastics/metals have been clearly shown to affect DNA methylation patterns at the intra- and transgenerational level in several model species (Bicho et al. 2020; Zhang et al. 2020b; Yu et al. 2021). Major metabolic processes, such as oxidative phosphorylation in *Danio rerio* (Van Aerle et al. 2013), oxidative stress (Lee et al. 2012; Ates et al. 2013a, b, 2015; Bhuvaneshwari et al. 2018), and mitochondrial energy metabolism (Trevisan et al. 2019) are affected in several aquatic metazoans by MNPs and manmade nanoparticles.

The cellular metabolism is a key player in epigenome modifications (Reid et al. 2017). Recent studies have highlighted that metabolites derived from multiple metabolic pathways linked to mitochondrial metabolism and oxidative stress can affect the activity of enzymes involved in histone post-translational modifications and DNA methylation and demethylation (Narne et al. 2017; Reid et al. 2017). Histone and DNA methylation modifying enzymes are also sensitive to oxidative stress (Niu et al. 2015). Therefore, it could be expected that nanoplastics/metals may lead to changes in the epigenome through their effect on the metabolism of aquatic species. The effect of the environment on epigenetic carriers of information has been studied across a wide range of marine species, particularly in the field of ecotoxicology (Hutton and Brander 2023). While it is now generally accepted that pollution profoundly impacts the epigenome of various aquatic species (Bhandari 2023), few studies have focused on Antarctic metazoans. One such study assessed epigenetic modifications in the Antarctic limpet Nacella concinna, which was exposed to different environments. The authors found changes in DNA methylation patterns in different environments (subtidal and intertidal) and after acclimatization in a common garden setting. This suggested that epigenetic factors play an important role in physiological flexibility associated with environmental niches (Clark et al. 2018).

Further efforts are necessary to address the role of epigenetic modifications in phenotypic plasticity in Antarctic species. Generating reference genomes for key models is a crucial step to enable the application of advanced epigenetic techniques. Developing epimarkers as early indicators of pollution is also a promising approach for monitoring ecosystem health (Rondon et al. 2017; Anastasiadi and Beemelmanns 2023). L. elliptica has recently been shown to be highly sensitive to MNP and has been suggested as a good sentinel species for which epimarkers would be an interesting tool to assess (Rondon et al. 2024a).

#### Conclusion

Having a global vision of the molecular and eco-physiological effects of emerging pollutants such as MNPs and nano-metals is crucial to understand the consequences they will bring to ecosystems. It is important to provide clear evidence of the adverse effects of these pollutants at sublethal concentrations in environmental risk assessments, since they may generate a cascade effect with consequences not only at the level of individuals but also at community and ecosystem levels (Clemente et al. 2012). In addition, it is critical to understand the combined effect of these two types of emerging pollutants, which could be complementary and/ or have synergistic effects. Finally, MNPs could release toxic additives such as BPA and phthalates, which are known to be endocrine disruptors

for aquatic metazoans (MathieuDenoncourt et al. 2015), enhancing the toxic capacity of these pollutants. For this reason, an integrative approach should be applied to evaluate the toxicity of nano-pollutants, for example, polystyrene nanoplastics and nano-TiO<sub>2</sub>, on key species of Antarctic benthos and reveal early functional biomarkers for these stressors.

#### Perspectives

Future studies assessing eco-physiological responses to anthropogenic nanoparticles at the genome-wide molecular level, as well as cellular and whole organism levels, will produce valuable information on the relevant effects on biota, including Antarctic metazoans, and how individuals cope with multiple stressors. The critical aspect is obtaining biomarkers validated through target gene expression approaches. If positive molecular markers are found, cellular validation could be achieved through the analysis of ROS production and apoptotic cell counts. At the organism level, altered oxygen consumption could be the ultimate parameter indicating a critical state of stress. Another important point is the limited knowledge of the potential effects of co-exposure to different anthropogenic nanoparticles, such as combined nanoplastics and nanometals, which could lead to synergies. This point is highly relevant because, in the natural environment, organisms are exposed to mixtures of (nano) pollutants, including anthropogenic nanoparticles. The Southern Ocean biota is well represented by filter-feeding invertebrates which have the highest diversity and abundance among benthic fauna (Griffiths 2010). Among them, the Antarctic soft-shelled clam Laternula elliptica is one of the main components of the Antarctic benthos, especially in the shallower zone (Cattaneo-Vietti et al. 1999), reaching up to 300 individuals/m2 in regions of King George Island (e.g., Potter Cove; Urban and Mercuri 1998). L. Elliptica is also a key species in the benthos-pelagic carbon flux on coastal areas, filtering sedimentary particles and planktonic organisms from the sediment-water interface (Ahn 1993; Momo et al. 2002). For its feeding features and position in the trophic web, L. elliptica has been considered a wasp-waist species within the ecosystem (Rice 1995). Previous research on its ecophysiology suggests that these filter-feeding molluscs are one of the most sensitive species to environmental stress in Antarctica (Peck et al. 2002, 2004, 2007, 2009; Peck 2018). Also, experimental evidence (increase in oxidative lipid and protein damage) has highlighted that individuals L. elliptica are under exposure to anthropogenic perturbation in some Antarctic areas, such as sites of McMurdo Sound (especially near McMurdo Station) (Lister et al. 2015). This species is the largest bivalve in Antarctica (> 100 mm shell length), can be easily manipulated for analyzing different organs in response to stress, and can survive under experimental conditions (Passos et al 2022) making it a potential model species for studying stress factors and biomonitoring in Antarctica. Additionally, general biological information has been recorded for this species, such as the detection of microplastic and microcellulose (González-Aravena et al. 2024), associated prokaryotic microbiota in different tissues and in different stressful conditions (González-Aravena et al. 2023), and experimentation about effects of exposures with nanoparticules in gill associated prokaryotic community (Rondon et al. 2024b). These insights highlight the need to evaluate the health status in Antarctic marine ecosystems, and filter-feeder marine species could be used as valuable bioindicators as in other regions of the world (Li et al. 2019). In view of this, we propose to assess the eco-physiological responses through biological markers in ecologically relevant Antarctic bioindicators, such as bivalve filter feeders. For example, L. elliptica is commonly found along Antarctic coasts and at high abundances, potentially being a good sentinel species of nano-pollution. These molluscs are the first macro-metazoans to process MNP and anthropogenic nanoparticles in the water column through filtration, accumulating it, and by their position in the trophic nest, they are going to transfer it to other metazoans in the Antarctic benthospelagic ecosystem.

**Authors contribution** All authors contributed to the study conception and design. Meta-analyses, figures, and tables were performed by Rodolfo Rondon, Céline Cosseau, and Marcelo González-Aravena. The first draft of the manuscript was written by Rodolfo Rondon and all authors commented on the previous version of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

**Funding** This work was supported by "Proyecto Fondecyt Iniciación 11190802" grant. Author Rodolfo Rondon has received research support from Fondo Nacional de Desarrollo Cientíofico y Tecnológico (FONDECYT) – Agencia Nacional de Investigación y Desarrollo (ANID). This work was also supported by "RIESCOS" grant. Author Marcelo González-Aravena has received research support from Programa Iberoamericano de Ciencia y Tecnología para el Desarrollo (CYTED).

Data availability The PubMed bibliometric data are available under request to authors.

Ethical approval Not applicable.

Consent to participate Not applicable.

Consent for publications Not applicable.

**Competing interests** The authors declare no competing interests.

#### References

- Ahn IY (1993) Enhanced particle flux through the biodeposition by the Antarctic suspension-feeding bivalve *Laternula elliptica* in Marian Cove, King George Island. J Exp Mar Biol Ecol 171:75–90. https:// doi. org/ 10. 1016/ 0022- 0981(93) 90141-A
- Ali I, Ding T, Peng C et al (2021) Micro- and nanoplastics in wastewater treatment plants: occurrence, removal, fate, impacts and remediation technologies a critical review. Chem Eng J 423:130205. https:// doi. org/ 10. 1016/j. cej. 2021. 130205
- Allen S, Allen D et al (2022) Micro(nano)plastics sources, fate, and effects: what we know after ten years of research. J Hazard Mater Adv 6:100057. https:// doi. org/ 10. 1016/j. hazadv. 2022. 100057
- Anastasiadi D, Beemelmanns A (2023) Development of epigenetic biomarkers in aquatic organisms. In: Piferrer F, Wang H-P (eds) Epigenetics in Aquaculture. <u>https://doi.org/10</u>.1002/9781119821946. ch18
- Anderson JC, Park BJ, Palace VP (2016) Microplastics in aquatic environments: implications for Canadian ecosystems. Environ Pollut 218:269–280. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol. 2016.06. 074
- Andrady AL (2011) Microplastics in the marine environment. Mar Pollut Bull 62:1596–1605. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpo.lbul.</u>2011.05.030
- Antacli JC, Di Mauro R, Rimondino GN et al (2024) Microplastic pollution in waters of the Antarctic coastal environment of Potter Cove (25 de Mayo Island/King George Island, South Shetlands). Sci Total Environ 915:170155. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. scito tenv. 2024. 170155
- Aronson RB, Thatje S, Mcclintock JB, Hughes KA (2011) Anthropogenic impacts on marine ecosystems in Antarctica. Ann N Y Acad Sci 1223:82–107. https:// doi. org/ 10. 1111/j. 1749- 6632. 2010. 05926.x
- Arulvasu C, Jennifer SM, Prabhu D, Chandhirasekar D (2014) Toxicity effect of silver nanoparticles in brine shrimp *Artemia*. Sci World J 2014:256919. https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/256919
- Ates M, Daniels J, Arslan Z et al (2013a) Comparative evaluation of impact of Zn and ZnO nanoparticles on brine shrimp (*Artemia salina*) larvae: effects of particle size and solubility on toxicity.
  Environmental Sciences: Processes and Impacts 15:225–233. https:// doi. org/ 10. 1039/ c2em3 0540b
- Ates M, Daniels J, Arslan Z, Farah IO (2013b) Effects of aqueous suspensions of titanium dioxide nanoparticles on *Artemia salina*: assessment of nanoparticle aggregation, accumulation, and toxicity. Environ Monit Assess 185:3339–3348. https://d oi. org/ 10. 1007/ s10661- 012- 2794-7
- Ates M, Demir V, Arslan Z et al (2015) Evaluation of alpha and gamma aluminum oxide nanoparticle accumulation, toxicity, and depuration in *Artemia salina* larvae. Environ Toxicol 30:109–118. https:// doi. org/ 10. 1002/ tox. 21917
- Auguste M, Balbi T, Ciacci C et al (2020) Shift in immune parameters after repeated exposure to nanoplastics in the marine bivalve *Mytilus*. Front Immunol 11:426. <u>https:// doi. org/10.</u>3389/ fimmu. 2020. 00426
- Aves AR, Revell LE, Gaw S et al (2022) First evidence of microplastics in Antarctic snow. Cryosphere 16:2127–2145. https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-16-2127-2022

- Banni M, Sforzini S, Balbi T et al (2016) Combined effects of n-TiO2 and 2,3,7,8-TCDD in *Mytilus galloprovincialis* digestive gland: a transcriptomic and immunohistochemical study. Environ Res 145:135–144. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2015.12.003
- Bergami E, Pugnalini S, Vannuccini ML et al (2017) Long-term toxicity of surface-charged polystyrene nanoplastics to marine planktonic species *Dunaliella tertiolecta* and *Artemia franciscana*. Aquat Toxicol 189:159–169. https:// doi. org/ 10. 1016/j. aquat ox. 2017. 06. 008
- Bergami E, Krupinski A, González-Aravena M et al (2019) Polystyrene nanoparticles affect the innate immune system of the Antarctic sea urchin *Sterechinus neumayeri*. Polar Biol 42:43–757. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00300-019-02468-6
- Bergami E, Manno C, Capello S et al (2020) Nanoplastics affect moulting and faecal pellet sinking in Antarctic krill (Euphausia superba) juveniles. Environ Int 143:105999. https://d oi.org/10.1016/j. envint. 2020. 105999
- Bergami E, Ferrari E, Löder MGJ et al (2023) Textile microfibers in wild Antarctic whelk *Neobuccinum eatoni* (Smith, 1875) from Terra Nova Bay (Ross Sea, Antarctica). Environ Res 216:114487. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2022.114487
- Bermúdez JR, Swarzenski PW (2021) A microplastic size classification scheme aligned with universal plankton survey methods. MethodsX 8:101516. https://d oi.org/10.1016/j.m ex.2021. 101516
- Bessa F, Ratcliffe N, Otero V et al (2019) Microplastics in gentoo penguins from the Antarctic region. Sci Rep 9:1–7. https://d oi. org/ 10. 1038/ s41598- 019- 50621-2
- Besseling E, Wang B, Lürling M, Koelmans AA (2014) Nanoplastic affects growth of *S. obliquus* and reproduction of *D. magna*. Environ Sci Technol 48:12336–12343. https://d oi.org/1 0 .1021 / es503 001d
- Bhandari RK (2023) Epigenetic Inheritance in Aquatic Organisms. In: Piferrer F, Wang H-P (eds) epigenetics in aquaculture. https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119821946.ch4
- Bhuvaneshwari M, Thiagarajan V, Nemade P et al (2018) Toxicity and trophic transfer of P25 TiO2 NPs from *Dunaliella salina* to *Artemia salina*: effect of dietary and waterborne exposure. Environ Res 160:39–46. https://d oi.org/10.1016 /j.e nvres.2017. 09.022
- Bicho RC, Roelofs D, Mariën J et al (2020) Epigenetic effects of (nano)materials in environmental species Cu case study in *Enchytraeus crypticus*. Environ Int 136:105447. https:// doi. org/ 10. 1016/j. envint. 2019. 105447
- Blair RM, Waldron S, Phoenix V, Gauchotte-Lindsay C (2017) Micro- and nanoplastic pollution of freshwater and wastewater treatment systems. Springer Sci Rev 5:19–30. https://d oi.org/1 0 .1007 / s40362- 017- 0044-7
- Blaise C, Gagné F, Férard JF, Eullaffroy P (2008) Ecotoxicity of selected nano-materials to aquatic organisms. Environ Toxicol 23:591–598. https://doi.org/10.1002/tox.20402
- Botari T, Conti Nibali V, Branca C et al (2022) Anthropogenic microparticles in the emerald rockcod Trematomus bernacchii (Nototheniidae) from theAntarctic. Scientifc Reports 12:17214. https:// doi. org/ 10. 1038/ s41598- 022- 21670-x
- Brandts I, Teles M, Gonçalves AP et al (2018) Effects of nanoplastics on *Mytilus galloprovincialis* after individual and combined exposure with carbamazepine. Sci Total Environ 643:775–784. https:// doi. org/ 10. 1016/j. scito tenv. 2018. 06.2 57

- Bringer A, Thomas H, Dubillot E et al (2021) Subchronic exposure to high-density polyethylene microplastics alone or in combination with chlortoluron significantly affected valve activity and daily growth of the Pacific oyster. Crassostrea Gigas Aquat Toxicol 237:105880. https://d oi.org/1 0.1016 /j.a quatox. 2021. 105880
- Brooks S, Jabour J, Van Den HJ, Bergstrom D (2019) Our footprint on Antarctica competes with nature for rare ice-free land. Nat Sustain 2:185–190. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41893-019-0237-y
- Capolupo M, Valbonesi P, Fabbri E (2021) A comparative assessment of the chronic effects of microand nano-plastics on the physiology of the Mediterranean mussel *mytilus galloprovincialis*. Nanomaterials 11:649. https:// doi. org/ 10. 3390/ nano1 10306 49
- Carlsson G, Tydén E (2018) Development and evaluation of gene expression biomarkers for chemical pollution in common frog (*Rana temporaria*) tadpoles. Environ Sci Pollut Res 25:33131–33139. https:// doi. org/ 10. 1007/ s11356-018- 3260-z
- Carmo TLL, Azevedo VC, Siqueira PR et al (2018) Mitochondriarich cells adjustments and ionic balance in the Neotropical fish *Prochilodus lineatus* exposed to titanium dioxide nanoparticles. Aquat Toxicol 200:168–177. https:// doi. org/ 10. 1016/j. aquat ox. 2018. 05. 006
- Cattaneo-Vietti R, Chiantore M, Gambi M et al (1999) Spatial and vertical distribution of benthic littoral communities in Terra Nova Bay. In: Faranda FM, Guglielmo L, Ianora A (eds) Ross Sea Ecology. Italiantartide Expeditions (1987–1995), Springer, New York (1999), pp 503–514
- Chen Q, Yin D, Jia Y et al (2017) Enhanced uptake of BPA in the presence of nanoplastics can lead to neurotoxic effects in adult zebrafish. Sci Total Environ 609:1312–1321. https:// doi. org/ 10. 1016/j. scito tenv. 2017. 07. 144
- Chen Q, Lackmann C, Wang W et al (2020) microplastics lead to hyperactive swimming behaviour in adult zebrafish. Aquat Toxicol 224:105521. https:// doi. org/ 10. 1016/j. aquat ox. 2020. 105521
- Chown SL, Brooks CM, Terauds A et al (2017) Antarctica and the strategic plan for biodiversity. PLoS Biol 15:e2001656. https://doi.org/10.1371/journ al. pbio. 20016 56
- Chu WL, Dang NL, Kok YY et al (2019) Heavy metal pollution in Antarctica and its potential impacts on algae. Polar Sci 20:75–83. https:// doi. org/ 10. 1016/j. polar. 2018. 10. 004
- Clark MS, Thorne MA, King M et al (2018) Life in the intertidal: cellular responses, methylation and epigenetics. Funct Ecol 32:1982–1994. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.13077
- Clemente Z, Castro VL, Jonsson CM, Fraceto LF (2012) Ecotoxicology of nano-TiO2 an evaluation of its toxicity to organisms of aquatic ecosystems. Int J Environ Res 6:33–50. https:// doi. org/ 10. 22059/ ijer. 2011. 470
- Cole M, Galloway TS (2015) Ingestion of nanoplastics and microplastics by pacific oyster larvae. Environ Sci Technol 49:14625–14632. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est. 5b040 99
- Cole M, Lindeque P, Halsband C, Galloway TS (2011) Microplastics as contaminants in the marine environment: a review. Mar Pollut Bull 62:2588–2597. https:// doi. org/ 10. 1016/j. marpo lbul. 2011. 09. 025
- Cole M, Lindeque P, Fileman E et al (2015) The impact of polystyrene microplastics on feeding, function and fecundity in the marine copepod *Calanus helgolandicus*. Environ Sci Technol 49:1130–1137. https:// doi. org/ 10. 1021/ es504 525u

- Corsi I, Bellingeri A, Bergami E (2023) Progress in selecting marine bioindicators for nanoplastics ecological risk assessment. Ecol Indic 154:110836. https:// doi. org/ 10. 1016/j. ecoli nd. 2023. 110836
- Corti A, Pagano G, Lo Giudice A et al (2023) Marine sponges as bioindicators of pollution by synthetic microfibers in Antarctica. Sci Total Environ 902:166043. https:// doi. org/ 10. 1016/j. scito tenv. 2023. 166043
- Cunningham EM, Rico Seijo N, Altieri KE et al (2022) The transport and fate of microplastic fibres in the Antarctic: the role of multiple global processes. Front Mar Sci 9:1056081. https:// doi. org/ 10. 3389/ fmars. 2022. 10560 81
- D'Agata A, Fasulo S, Dallas LJ et al (2014) Enhanced toxicity of "bulk" titanium dioxide compared to "fresh" and "aged" nano-TiO2in marine mussels (*Mytilus galloprovincialis*). Nanotoxicology 8:549–558. https:// doi. org/ 10. 3109/ 17435 390. 2013. 807446
- da Silva J, Bergami E, Gomes V, Corsi I (2023) Occurrence and distribution of legacy and emerging pollutants including plastic debris in Antarctica: Sources, distribution and impact on marine biodiversity. Mar Pollut Bull 186:114353. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2022.114353
- Das S, Thiagarajan V, Chandrasekaran N et al (2022) Nanoplastics enhance the toxic effects of titanium dioxide nanoparticle in freshwater algae *Scenedesmus obliquus*. Comp Biochem Physiol c: Toxicol Pharmacol 256:109305. https:// doi. org/ 10. 1016/j. cbpc. 2022. 109305
- Dawson AL, Kawaguchi S, King CK et al (2018) Turning microplastics into nanoplastics through digestive fragmentation by Antarctic krill. Nat Commun 9:1001. https:// doi. org/ 10. 1038/ s41467- 018-03465-9
- Della Torre C, Balbi T, Grassi G et al (2015) Titanium dioxide nanoparticles modulate the toxicological response to cadmium in the gills of *Mytilus galloprovincialis*. J Hazard Mater 297:92–100. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazm at. 2015.04.072
- Derraik JGB (2002) The pollution of the marine environment by plastic debris: a review. Mar Pollut Bull 44:842–852. https://d oi.org/10.1016/S0025-326X(02)00220-5
- Dey TK, Uddin ME, Jamal M (2021) Detection and removal of microplastics in wastewater: evolution and impact. Environ Sci Pollut Res 28:16925–16947. https:// doi. org/ 10. 1007/ s11356- 021-12943-5
- Dizdaroglu M, Jaruga P (2012) Mechanisms of free radical-induced damage to DNA. Free Radic Res 46:382–419. https://doi.org/10.3109/10715762.2011.653969
- Ergas M, Figueroa D, Paschke K et al (2023) Cellulosic and microplastic fibers in the Antarctic fish Harpagifer antarcticus and Sub-Antarctic Harpagifer bispinis. Mar Pollut Bull 194:115380. https:// doi. org/ 10. 1016/j. marpo Ibul. 2023. 115380
- Fan W, Yang P, Qiao Y et al (2022) Polystyrene nanoplastics decrease molting and induce oxidative stress in adult *Macrobrachium nipponense*. Fish Shellfish Immunol 122:419–425. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j. fsi. 2022. 02. 028
- Fraser CI, Morrison AK, Hogg AMC et al (2018) Antarctica's ecological isolation will be broken by stormdriven dispersal and warming. Nat Clim Chang 8:704–708. https:// doi. org/ 10. 1038/ s41558-018- 0209-7

- Free CM, Jensen OP, Mason SA et al (2014) High-levels of microplastic pollution in a large, remote, mountain lake. Mar Pollut Bull 85:156–163. https:// doi. org/ 10. 1016/j. marpo lbul. 2014. 06. 001
- Frías JP, Nash R (2019) Microplastics: finding a consensus on the definition. Mar Pollut Bull 138:145– 147. https:// doi. org/ 10. 1016/j. marpo lbul. 2018. 11. 022
- Galloway TS, Lewis CN (2016) Marine microplastics spell big problems for future generations. Proc Natl Acad Sci 113:2331–2333. https:// doi. org/ 10. 1073/ pnas. 16007 15113
- García-Medina S, Galar-Martínez M, Cano-Viveros S et al (2022) Bioaccumulation and oxidative stress caused by aluminium nanoparticles and the integrated biomarker responses in the common carp (*Cyprinus carpio*). Chemosphere 288:132462. https:// doi. org/ 10. 1016/j. chemo sphere. 2021. 132462
- Garza TN, Barnes DK, Scourse JD et al (2023) Quantifying microplastics in fjords along the Western Antarctic Peninsula. Mar Pollut Bull 193:115144. https:// doi. org/ 10. 1016/j. marpo lbul. 2023. 115144
- Geng Q, Wang C, Zhu G (2023) A novel report on the distribution of microplastics in ocellated icefish (Chionodraco rastrospinosus) in the northern Antarctic Peninsula. Mar Pollut Bull 193:115188. https:// doi. org/ 10. 1016/j. marpo lbul. 2023. 115188
- Gomes T, Pereira CG, Cardoso Ć et al (2014) Effects of silver nanoparticles exposure in the mussel *Mytilus galloprovincialis*. Mar Environ Res 101:208–214. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.maren.vres. 2014. 07.004
- González-Aravena M, Iturra G, Font A et al (2022) Unravelling the suitability of Branchinecta gaini as a potential biomonitor of contaminants of emerging concern in the Antarctic Peninsula region. Antarct Sci 34:281–288. https:// doi. org/ 10. 1017/ S0954 10202 20000 86
- González-Aravena M, Perrois G, Font A, et al (2023) Microbiome profile of the antarctic clam laternula elliptica. Braz J Microbiol. https:// doi. org/ 10. 1007/ s42770- 023- 01200-1
- Gonzalez-Pineda M, Salvadó H, Avila C (2024) Do Antarctic bivalves present microdebris? The case of Livingston Island. Environ Pollut 351:124086
- González-Aravena M, Rotunno C, Cárdenas CA, et al (2024) Detection of plastic, cellulosic microfragments and microfibers in laternula elliptica from King George Island (Maritime Antarctica). Mar Pollut Bull 201:116257. https:// doi. org/ 10. 1016/j. marpo lbul. 2024. 116257
- Griffiths HJ (2010) Antarctic marine biodiversity what do we know about the distribution of life in the Southern Ocean? PLoS ONE 5:e11683. https:// doi. org/ 10. 1371/ journ al. pone. 00116 83
- Guan X, Shi W, Zha S et al (2018) Neurotoxic impact of acute TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticle exposure on a benthic marine bivalve mollusk, *Tegillarca granosa*. Aquat Toxicol 200:241–246. https://d oi.org/ 10. 1016/j. aquat ox. 2018. 05. 011
- Haynes VN, Ward JE, Russell BJ, Agrios AG (2017) Photocatalytic effects of titanium dioxide nanoparticles on aquatic organisms current knowledge and suggestions for future research. Aquat Toxicol 185:138–148. https:// doi. org/ 10. 1016/j. aquat ox. 2017. 02. 012
- Hoyo-Alvarez E, Arechavala-Lopez P, Jiménez-García M et al (2022) Effects of pollutants and microplastics ingestion on oxidative stress and monoaminergic activity of seabream brains. Aquat Toxicol 242:106048. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquat ox. 2021.106048

- Hu M, Lin D, Shang Y et al (2017) CO2-induced pH reduction increases physiological toxicity of nano-TiO2 in the mussel *Mytilus coruscus*. Sci Rep 7:40015. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep40015
- Hutton SJ, Brander SM, (2023) Epigenetics in aquatic toxicology. In: Piferrer F, Wang H-P (eds) Epigenetics in Aquaculture. https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119821946.ch14
- Ilić K, Kalčec N, Krce L et al (2022) Toxicity of nanomixtures to human macrophages: joint action of silver and polystyrene nanoparticles. Chem Biol Interact 368:110225. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. cbi. 2022. 110225
- Imhof HK, Rusek J, Thiel M et al (2017) Do microplastic particles affect *Daphnia magna* at the morphological, life history and molecular level? PLoS ONE 12:e0187590. <u>https://doi.org/10.</u>

1371/ journal.pone.01875 90

- Isobe A, Uchiyama-Matsumoto K, Uchida K, Tokai T (2017) Microplastics in the Southern Ocean. Mar Pollut Bull 114:623–626. https:// doi. org/ 10. 1016/j. marpo Ibul. 2016. 09. 037
- Jeong CB, Kang HM, Lee MC et al (2017) Adverse effects of microplastics and oxidative stress-induced MAPK/Nrf2 pathwaymediated defense mechanisms in the marine copepod *Paracyclopina nana*. Sci Rep 7:1–11. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep41323
- Jeong CB, Kang HM, Byeon E et al (2021) Phenotypic and transcriptomic responses of the rotifer *Brachionus koreanus* by single and combined exposures to nano-sized microplastics and wateraccommodated fractions of crude oil. J Hazard Mater 416:125703. https:// doi. org/ 10. 1016/j. jhazm at. 2021. 125703
- Jiang R, Deng Z, Li J et al (2023) The "journey" of microplastics across the marine food web in China's largest fishing ground. Water 15:445. https:// doi. org/ 10. 3390/ w1503 0445
- Johnson RL, Johnson GOB, Nurmi JT, Tratnyek PG (2009) Natural organic matter enhanced mobility of nano zerovalent iron. Environ Sci Technol 43:5455–5460. https://doi.org/10.1021/es900474f
- Jovanović B, Milosević D, Piperac MS, Savić A (2016) In situ effects of titanium dioxide nanoparticles on community structure of freshwater benthic macroinvertebrates. Environ Pollut 213:278–282. https:// doi. org/ 10. 1016/j. envpol. 2016. 02. 024
- Kang HM, Byeon E, Jeong H et al (2021) Arsenic exposure combined with nano- or microplastic induces different effects in the marine rotifer *Brachionus plicatilis*. Aquat Toxicol 233:105772. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. aquat ox. 2021. 105772
- Kelly A, Lannuzel D, Rodemann T et al (2020) Microplastic contamination in east Antarctic sea ice. Mar Pollut Bull 154:111130. https:// doi. org/ 10. 1016/j. marpo lbul. 2020. 111130
- Kim L, Cui R, Il KJ, An YJ (2022) Sub-acute exposure to nanoplastics via two-chain trophic transfer: from brine shrimp Artemia franciscana to small yellow croaker Larimichthys polyactis. Mar Pollut Bull 175:113314. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. marpo lbul. 2021. 113314
- King CK, Riddle MJ (2001) Effects of metal contaminants on the development of the common Antarctic sea urchin *Sterechinus neumayeri* and comparisons of sensitivity with tropical and temperate echinoids. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 215:143–154. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps215143
- Koelmans AA, Besseling E, Shim WJ (2015) Nanoplastics in the aquatic environment. Critical review.
   In: Bergmann M, Gutow L, Klages M (eds) Marine Anthropogenic Litter. Springer, Cham, pp 325–340

- Kögel T, Bjorøy Ø, Toto B et al (2020) Micro- and nanoplastic toxicity on aquatic life: determining factors. Sci Total Environ 709:136050. https:// doi. org/ 10. 1016/j. scito tenv. 2019. 136050
- Kowalska-Góralska M, Dziewulska K, Kulasza M (2019) Effect of copper nanoparticles and ions on spermatozoa motility of sea trout (*Salmo trutta m. Trutta L.*). Aquat Toxicol 211:11–17. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquat ox. 2019. 03. 013
- Krasnobaev A, Ten Dam G, Boerrigter-Eenling R et al (2020) Legacy and emerging persistent organic pollutants in Antarctic benthic invertebrates near Rothera Point, Western Antarctic Peninsula. Environ Sci Technol 54:2763–2771. https://d oi.org/ 10. 1021/acs. est. 9b066 22
- Labille J, Slomberg D, Catalano R et al (2020) Assessing UV filter inputs into beach waters during recreational activity: a field study of three French Mediterranean beaches from consumer survey to water analysis. Sci Total Environ 706:136010. https:// doi. org/ 10. 1016/j. scito tenv. 2019. 136010
- Lacerda A, Rodrigues L, van Sebille E et al (2019) Plastics in sea surface waters around the Antarctic Peninsula. Sci Rep 9:3977. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-40311-4
- Lambert S, Wagner M (2016) Characterisation of nanoplastics during the degradation of polystyrene. Chemosphere 145:265–268. https:// doi. org/ 10. 1016/j. chemo sphere. 2015. 11. 078
- Le Guen C, Suaria G, Sherley RB et al (2020) Microplastic study reveals the presence of natural and synthetic fibres in the diet of King Penguins (*Aptenodytes patagonicus*) foraging from South Georgia. Environ Int 134:105303. https:// doi. org/ 10. 1016/j. envint. 2019. 105303
- Lebordais M, Gutierrez-Villagomez JM, Gigault J et al (2021) Molecular impacts of dietary exposure to nanoplastics combined with arsenic in Canadian oysters (*Crassostrea virginica*) and bioaccumulation comparison with Caribbean oysters (*Isognomon alatus*). Chemosphere 277:130331. https://d oi.org/1 0 .1016 /j.c hemo sphere. 2021. 130331
- Lee BC, Kim KT, Cho JG et al (2012) Oxidative stress in juvenile common carp (*Cyprinus carpio*) exposed to TiO2 nanoparticles. Mol Cell Toxicol 8:357–366. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s13273-012-0044-2</u>
- Lee KW, Shim WJ, Kwon OY, Kang JH (2013) Size-dependent effects of micro polystyrene particles in the marine copepod *tigriopus japonicus*. Environ Sci Technol 47:11278–11283. https://d oi.org/ 10. 1021/ es401 932b
- Leistenschneider C, Burkhardt-Holm P, Mani T et al (2021) Microplastics in the Weddell Sea (Antarctica): a forensic approach for discrimination between environmental and vessel-induced microplastics. Environ Sci Technol 55:15900–15911. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.1c05207</u>
- Li J, Lusher AL, Rotchell JM et al (2019) Using mussel as a global bioindicator of coastal microplastic pollution. Environ Pollut 244:522–533. https:// doi. org/ 10. 1016/j. envpol. 2018. 10. 032
- Li Y, Du X, Jiang Q et al (2022a) Effects of nanoplastic exposure on the growth performance and molecular characterization of growth-associated genes in juvenile *Macrobrachium nipponense*. Comp Biochem Physiol Part - c: Toxicol Pharmacol 254:109278. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpc. 2022.109278
- Li Y, Liu Z, Jiang Q et al (2022b) Effects of nanoplastic on cell apoptosis and ion regulation in the gills of *Macrobrachium nipponense*. Environ Pollut 300:118989. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol. 2022.118989

- Lister KN, Lamare MD, Burritt DJ (2015) Oxidative damage and antioxidant defence parameters in the Antarctic bivalve *Laternula elliptica* as biomarkers for pollution impacts. Polar Biol 38:1741–1752. https:// doi. org/ 10. 1007/ s00300- 015- 1739-3
- Lovern SB, Klaper R (2006) *Daphnia magna* mortality when exposed to titanium dioxide and fullerene (C60) nanoparticles. Environ Toxicol Chem 25:1132. https://doi.org/10.1897/05-278R.1
- Mancuso M, Conti Nibali V, Porcino N et al (2023) Monitoring of anthropogenic microplastic pollution in Antarctic fish (emerald rockcod) from the Terranova Bay after a quarter of century. Sci Total Environ 904:167244. https:// doi. org/ 10. 1016/j. scito tenv. 2023. 167244
- Manno C, Peck LV, Corsi I, Bergami E (2022) Under pressure: nanoplastics as a further stressor for sub-Antarctic pteropods already tackling ocean acidification. Mar Pollut Bull 174:113176. https://doi. org/ 10. 1016/j. marpo lbul. 2021. 113176
- Marcotti-Murua M, Sotomayor-Stephens F, Amarante Junior OP (2020) Detection of emerging pollutants in ocean waters around king George Island, Antarctica. Int J Hydrol 4:191–197. https://doi.org/10.15406/ijh.2020.04.00245
- Materić D, Kjær HA, Vallelonga P et al (2022) Nanoplastics measurements in Northern and Southern polar ice. Environ Res 208:112741. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres. 2022.112741
- Mathieu-Denoncourt J, Wallace SJ, de Solla SR, Langlois VS (2015) Plasticizer endocrine disruption: highlighting developmental and reproductive effects in mammals and non-mammalian aquatic species. Gen Comp Endocrinol 219:74–88. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygcen. 2014. 11. 003
- Momo F, Kowalke J, Schloss I et al (2002) The role of *Laternula elliptica* in the energy budget of Potter Cove (King George Island, Antarctica). Ecol Modell 155:43–51. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-3800(02) 00081-9
- Monràs-Riera P, Angulo-Preckler C, Avila C (2023) Quantification and distribution of marine microdebris in the surface waters of Livingston Island (South Shetland Islands, Antarctica). Mar Pollut Bull 195:115516. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2023.115516
- Moore MN (2006) Do nanoparticles present ecotoxicological risks for the health of the aquatic environment? Environ Int 32:967–976. https:// doi. org/ 10. 1016/j. envint. 2006. 06. 014
- Munari C, Infantini V, Scoponi M et al (2017) Microplastics in the sediments of Terra Nova Bay (Ross Sea, Antarctica). Mar Pollut Bull 122:161–165. https:// doi. org/ 10. 1016/j. marpo lbul. 2017. 06. 039
- Narne P, Pandey V, Phanithi PB (2017) Interplay between mitochondrial metabolism and oxidative stress in ischemic stroke: an epigenetic connection. Mol Cell Neurosci 82:176–194. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mcn.2017.05.008
- Niu Y, Desmarais TL, Tong Z et al (2015) Oxidative stress alters global histone modification and DNA methylation. Free Radic Biol Med 82:22–28. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. freer adbio med. 2015. 01.028
- Obbard RW, Sadri S, Wong YQ et al (2014) Global warming releases microplastic legacy frozen in Arctic Sea ice. Earths Future 2:315–320. https:// doi. org/ 10. 1002/ 2014E F0002 40
- Olalla A, Moreno L, Valcárcel Y (2020) Prioritisation of emerging contaminants in the northern Antarctic Peninsula based on their environmental risk. Sci Total Environ 742:140417. https://doi. org/ 10. 1016/j. scito tenv. 2020. 140417

- Oliveira M, Ribeiro A, Hylland K, Guilhermino L (2013) Single and combined effects of microplastics and pyrene on juveniles (0+ group) of the common goby *Pomatoschistus microps* (Teleostei, Gobiidae). Ecol Indic 34:641–647. https://d oi.org/10.1016 /j.e coli nd. 2013. 06. 019
- Palmer TA, Klein AG, Sweet ST, et al (2021) Anthropogenic effects on the marine environment adjacent to Palmer Station, Antarctica. Antarct Sci 1–18. <u>https://doi.org/10.1017/ S0954 10202 10005 35</u>
- Passos FD, Sartori AF, Domaneschi O, Bieler R (2022) Anatomy and behavior of Laternula elliptica, a keystone species of the Antarctic benthos (Bivalvia: Anomalodesmata: Laternulidae). PeerJ 10:e14380. https:// doi. org/ 10. 7717/ peerj. 14380
- Paul-Pont I, Lacroix C, González Fernández C et al (2016) Exposure of marine mussels *Mytilus spp*. to polystyrene microplastics: toxicity and influence on fluoranthene bioaccumulation. Environ Pollut 216:724–737. https:// doi. org/ 10. 1016/j. envpol. 2016. 06. 039
- Peck LS, Pörtner HO, Hardewig I (2002) Metabolic demand, oxygen supply, and critical temperatures in the Antarctic Bivalve *Laternula elliptica*. Physiol Biochem Zool 75:123–133. https://d oi. org/ 10. 1086/ 340990
- Peck LS, Webb KE, Bailey DM (2004) Extreme sensitivity of biological function to temperature in Antarctic marine species. Funct Ecol 18:625–630. https:// doi. org/ 10. 1111/j. 0269- 8463. 2004. 00903.x
- Peck LS, Morley SA, Pörtner HO, Clark MS (2007) Thermal limits of burrowing capacity are linked to oxygen availability and size in the Antarctic clam *Laternula elliptica*. Oecologia 154:479–484. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-007-0858-0
- Peck LS, Clark MS, Morley SA et al (2009) Animal temperature limits and ecological relevance: effects of size, activity and rates of change. Funct Ecol 23:248–256. https://d oi.org/1 0 .1111 /j.1 365-

#### 2435. 2008. 01537.x

- Peck LS (2018) Antarctic marine biodiversity: adaptations, environments and responses to change. In: Hawkins SJ, Evans AJ, Dale AC, Firth LB, Smith IP (eds) Oceanography and Marine Biology: An Annual Review. Taylor & Francis, pp 105-236
- Perfetti-Bolaño A, Muñoz K, Kolok AS, Araneda A, Barra RO (2022) Analysis of the contribution of locally derived wastewater to the occurrence of Pharmaceuticals and Personal Care Products in Antarctic coastal waters. Sci Total Environ 851:158116. https:// doi. org/ 10. 1016/j. scito tenv. 2022. 158116
- Rajasree SRR, Kumar VG, Abraham LS, Manoharan N (2011) Assessment on the toxicity of engineered nanoparticles on the lifestages of marine aquatic invertebrate *Artemia salina*. Int J Nanosci 10:1153–1159. https://doi.org/10.1142/S0219581X11009428
- Ramirez Arenas L, Ramseier Gentile S, Zimmermann S, Stoll S (2022) Fate and removal efficiency of polystyrene nanoplastics in a pilot drinking water treatment plant. Sci Total Environ 813:152623. https:// doi. org/ 10. 1016/j. scito tenv. 2021. 152623
- Reid MA, Dai Z, Locasale JW (2017) The impact of cellular metabolism on chromatin dynamics and epigenetics. Nat Cell Biol 19:1298–1306. https:// doi. org/ 10. 1038/ ncb36 29
- Revel M, Châtel A, Mouneyrac C (2017) Omics tools: new challenges in aquatic nanotoxicology? Aquat Toxicol 193:72–85. https://d oi. org/ 10. 1016/j. aquat ox. 2017. 10. 005

- Revel M, Lagarde F, Perrein-Ettajani H et al (2019) Tissue-specific biomarker responses in the blue mussel *Mytilus spp.* exposed to a mixture of microplastics at environmentally relevant concentrations. Front Environ Sci 7:33. https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2019.00033
- Rice J (1995) Food web theory, marine food webs, and what climate change may do to northern marine fish populations. Can J Fish Aquat Sci 121:561–568
- Roma J, Feijão E, Vinagre C et al (2022) Impacts of dissolved Zn and nanoparticle forms in the fatty acid landscape of *Mytilus galloprovincialis*. Sci Total Environ 817:152807. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. scito tenv. 2021. 152807
- Rondon R, Akcha F, Alonso P et al (2016) Transcriptional changes in *Crassostrea gigas* oyster spat following a parental exposure to the herbicide diuron. Aquat Toxicol 175:47–55. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j. aquat ox. 2016. 03. 007
- Rondon R, Grunau C, Fallet M et al (2017) Effects of a parental exposure to diuron on Pacific oyster spat methylome. Environ Epigenet 3:1–13. https://doi.org/10.1093/eep/dvx004
- Rondon R, Valdés C, Cosseau C et al (2024a) Transcriptomic responses of Antarctic clam Laternula elliptica to nanoparticles, at single and combined exposures reveal ecologically relevant biomarkers. Ecotoxicol Environ Saf 280:116523. https:// doi. org/ 10. 1016/j. ecoenv. 2024. 116523
- Rondon R, Cosseau C, Bergami E et al (2024b) Exposure to nanoplastics and nanomaterials either single and combined affects the gill-associated microbiome of the Antarctic soft-shelled clam Laternula elliptica. Mar Environ Res 198:106539. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.maren vres. 2024. 106539
- Ross PS, Morales-Caselles C (2015) Out of sight, but no longer out of mind: microplastics as a global pollutant. Integr Environ Assess Manag 11:719–728. https:// doi. org/ 10. 1002/ ieam. 1681
- Rowlands E, Galloway T, Cole M et al (2021) The effects of combined ocean acidification and nanoplastic exposures on the embryonic development of Antarctic krill. Front Mar Sci 8:709763. https:// doi. org/ 10. 3389/ fmars. 2021. 709763
- Santos D, Luzio A, Matos C et al (2021) Microplastics alone or co-exposed with copper induce neurotoxicity and behavioral alterations on zebrafish larvae after a subchronic exposure. Aquat Toxicol 235:105814. https:// doi. org/ 10. 1016/j. aquat ox. 2021. 105814
- Schirmer K, Behra R, Sigg L, Suter M (2013) Ecotoxicological aspects of nanomaterials in the aquatic environment. In: Luthe W, Zweck A (eds) Safety Aspects of Engineered Nanomaterials. Pan Stanford Publishing Pte Ltd, Singapore, pp 141–162
- Schultz AG, Boyle D, Chamot D et al (2014) Aquatic toxicity of manufactured nanomaterials: challenges and recommendations for future toxicity testing. Environ Chem 11:207–226. https://doi.org/10. 1071/ EN132 21
- Scown TM, Van Aerle R, Tyler CR (2010) Review: do engineered nanoparticles pose a significant threat to the aquatic environment. Crit Rev Toxicol 40:653–670. https://d oi.org/10.3109/10408444.2010. 494174
- Sendra M, Carrasco-Braganza MI, Yeste PM et al (2020) Immunotoxicity of polystyrene nanoplastics in different hemocyte subpopulations of *Mytilus galloprovincialis*. Sci Rep 10:8637. https://doi.org/ 10.1038/s41598-020-65596-8

- Sfriso AA, Tomio Y, Rosso B et al (2020) Microplastic accumulation in benthic invertebrates in Terra Nova Bay (Ross Sea, Antarctica). Environ Int 137:105587. https:// doi. org/ 10. 1016/j. envint. 2020. 105587
- Stadtman ER, Levine RL (2003) Free radical-mediated oxidation of free amino acids and amino acid residues in proteins. Amino Acids 25:207–218. https:// doi. org/ 10. 1007/ s00726- 003- 0011-2
- Suaria G, Perold V, Lee JR et al (2020) Floating macro- and microplastics around the Southern Ocean: results from the Antarctic Circumnavigation Expedition. Environ Int 136:105494. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j. envint. 2020. 105494
- Sussarellu R, Suquet M, Thomas Y et al (2016) Oyster reproduction is affected by exposure to polystyrene microplastics. Proc Natl Acad Sci 113:2430–2435. https:// doi. org/ 10. 1073/ pnas. 15190 19113
- Taurozzi D, Scalici M (2024) Seabirds from the poles: microplastics pollution sentinels. Front Mar Sci 11:1343617. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2024.1343617
- Thiagarajan V, Iswarya VPAJ et al (2019) Influence of differently functionalized polystyrene microplastics on the toxic effects of P25 TiO2 NPs towards marine algae *Chlorella sp.* Aquat Toxicol 207:208–216. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquat ox. 2018. 12.014
- Trevisan R, Voy C, Chen S, Di Giulio RT (2019) Nanoplastics decrease the toxicity of a complex PAH mixture but impair mitochondrial energy production in developing Zebrafish. Environ Sci Technol 53:8405–8415. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.9b02003
- Urban H, Mercuri H (1998) Population dynamics of the bivalve Laternula elliptica from Potter Cove, King George Island, South Shetland Islands. Antarct Sci 10:153–160. <u>https://doi.org/10.</u> 1017/S0954102098000200
- Van Aerle R, Lange A, Moorhouse A et al (2013) Molecular mechanisms of toxicity of silver nanoparticles in zebrafish embryos. Environ Sci Technol 47:8005–8014. https:// doi. org/ 10. 1021/ es401 758d
- Varó I, Perini A, Torreblanca A et al (2019) Time-dependent effects of polystyrene nanoparticles in brine shrimp Artemia franciscana at physiological, biochemical and molecular levels. Sci Total Environ 675:570–580. <u>https://doi.org/10</u>.1016/j.s citotenv.2019.04.157
- Waller CL, Griffiths HJ, Waluda CM et al (2017) Microplastics in the Antarctic marine system: an emerging area of research. Sci Total Environ 598:220–227. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv. 2017.03.283
- Wang X, Zhang T, Zhang Q et al (2021) Different patterns of hypoxia aggravate the toxicity of polystyrene nanoplastics in the mussels *Mytilus galloprovincialis*: environmental risk assessment of plastics under global climate change. Sci Total Environ 818:151818. https:// doi. org/ 10. 1016/j. scito tenv. 2021. 151818
- Watts AJR, Urbina MA, Corr S et al (2015) Ingestion of plastic microfibers by the crab *Carcinus maenas* and its effect on food consumption and energy balance. Environ Sci Technol 49:14597–14604. https:// doi. org/ 10. 1021/ acs. est. 5b040 26
- Wilkie Johnston W, Bergami E, Rowlands E, Manno C (2023) Organic or junk food? Microplastic contamination in Antarctic krill and salps. R Soc Open Sci 10:221421. https:// doi. org/ 10. 1098/ rsos. 221421

- Wright SL, Rowe D, Thompson RC, Galloway TS (2013) Microplastic ingestion decreases energy reserves in marine worms. Curr Biol 23:R1031–R1033. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2013.10.068
- Yoo JW, Cho H, Jeon MJ et al (2021) Effects of polystyrene in the brackish water flea *Diaphanosoma celebensis*: size-dependent acute toxicity, ingestion, egestion, and antioxidant response. Aquat Toxicol 235:105821. https:// doi. org/ 10. 1016/j. aquat ox. 2021. 105821
- Yu CW, Luk TC, Liao VHC (2021) Long-term nanoplastics exposure results in multi and transgenerational reproduction decline associated with germline toxicity and epigenetic regulation in Caenorhabditis elegans. J Hazard Mater 412:125173. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.jhazm at. 2021. 125173
- Zhang M, Haward M, McGee J (2020a) Marine plastic pollution in the polar south: responses from Antarctic Treaty System. Polar Rec 56:1–9. https:// doi. org/ 10. 1017/ S0032 24742 00003 88
- Zhang Y, Wolosker MB, Zhao Y et al (2020b) Exposure to microplastics cause gut damage, locomotor dysfunction, epigenetic silencing, and aggravate cadmium (Cd) toxicity in *Drosophila*. Sci Total Environ 744:140979. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.140979
- Zhu W, Liu W, Chen Y et al (2023a) Microplastics in Antarctic krill (Euphausia superba) from Antarctic region. Sci Total Environ 870:161880. https:// doi. org/ 10. 1016/j. scito tenv. 2023. 161880
- Zhu W, Zhao N, Guo R, Jin H (2023b) Occurrence of microplastics in Antarctic fishes: abundance, size, shape, and polymer composition. Sci Total Environ 903:166186. https://d oi. org/ 10. 1016/j. scito tenv. 2023. 166186

Fig. 1 Expected physiological, cellular and molecular level potential effects of nanoparticles ingestion in a filter-feeder Antarctic species such as *Laternula elliptica*. Gill tissue is the first to be in contact with these nanopollutants, where solute carrier, vacuole genes, cytoskeleton, immune genes, antioxidants, and translation could be expected to be altered. Regarding digestive glands, the same types of genes could be affected in addition to Endoplasmic Reticulum genes and energy production (ATP) implicated genes. Figure created with Biorender online application



Fig. 2 Scientific metric of number of publications about microplastic in aquatic metazoans, during the period between 2011 and 2023. The search queries in Pubmed were: "nanoplastic" and "aquatic" and "metazoa" for "any field" of the publication (at 05 June 2024). The publications that we found that did not correspond to works related to in nanoplastic of aquatic metazoans were subtracted of the analysis



Fig. 3 Scientific metric of number of publications about nanoplastic in aquatic metazoans, during the period between 2012 and 2023. The search queries in Pubmed were "nanoplastic" and "aquatic" and "metazoa" for "any field" of the publication (at 05 June 2024). The publications that we found that did not correspond to works related to nanoplastic of aquatic metazoans were subtracted of the analysis



Fig. 4 Scientific metric of number of publications about nanometals in aquatic metazoans, during the period between 2007 and 2023. The search queries in Pubmed were "nano metal" and "aquatic" and "metazoa" for "any field" of the publication (at 05 June 2024). The publications that we found did not correspond to works related to nanometal of aquatic metazoans were subtracted of the analysis



Fig. 5 Scientific metric of number of publications about transcriptomic analyses after nanoparticle exposures in aquatic metazoan, during the period between 2007 and 2023. The search queries in Pubmed were "Transcriptomic" and "nanoparticle" and "aquatic" and "metazoa" for "any field" of the publication (at 05 June 2024). The publications that we found not corresponding to works related to transcriptomic after exposure to nanoparticle in aquatic metazoans were subtracted of the analysis



Fig. 6 Integrative experimental design to evaluate the eco-physiological and molecular effects of micro/nanoplastics and nanometals on Antarctic metazoan species. Figure created with Biorender online application



| particles, exposure time, ta  | arget tissue isolated, and mo      | olecular biomarker(s), with re                                    | clated references |                                       | •   |                        |
|---|------------------------------------|---|-------------------|---------------------------------------|---|------------------------|
| Micro/Nanoparticle  | Species                            | Concentration   | Exposure time     | Tissue                                | Biomarker   | Reference              |
| 2 and 6 µm polystyrene  | Mollusk Crassostrea<br>gigas       | 23 µg.Ľ <sup>–1</sup>   | 2 months          | Digestive glands<br>Gonads<br>Oocytes | Transcripts of: "gluco-<br>corticoid stimulus,"<br>"fatty acid catabolic<br>processes," "respiratory<br>burst," and "cellular<br>response to mechanical<br>stimulus"<br>"Glutamine biosynthetic<br>processes," "positive<br>regulation of finsulin<br>secretion," "positive<br>regulation of epithelial<br>"ovarian follicle cell-<br>"Protoclysis," "embryo<br>development," and "ion<br>biding" | Sussarellu et al. 2016 |
| 6 and 0.05 μm poly-<br>styrene with arsenic<br>co-exposure  | Crustacea Brachionus<br>plicatilis | 2500 µg.L <sup>-1</sup>   | 24 h              | Whole organism                        | MXR-related ABC genes:  | Kang et al. 2021       |
| 0.05 µm polystyrene   | Crustacea Brachionus<br>koreanus   | 100 and 1000 µg.L <sup>-1</sup>                                   | 7 d               | Whole organism pooled                 | Transcripts of: "Cell<br>cycle," "PPARα/RXRα<br>Activation," Cardiac<br>necrosis/Cell Death,"<br>"Increase renal prolif-<br>eration," "NRF2-medi-<br>ated oxidative Stress<br>response," and TGB-β<br>Signaling   | Jeong et al. 2021      |
| Mix A: polyamide, poly-<br>carbonate, polyethyl-<br>ene terephthalate and<br>polyvinylchloride<br>Mix B: Acrylonitrile-<br>burdlene-styrene<br>terpolymer, plasticized<br>polyvinyl chloride,<br>polyvinyl chlorid | Crustacea Daphraia<br>magna        | 1% of the algae particles<br>(290,000 particles.L <sup>-1</sup> ) | 48<br>4           | Whole organism                        | Clone BL2.2 to Mix A:<br>HSP60 HSP70, Act,<br>aTub, SERCA<br>Clone BL2.2 to Mix<br>B: GST, Act, aTub,<br>GAPDH, STX16<br>GAPDH, STX16<br>Clone Max4 to Mix A:<br>SDH and SERCA<br>Clone Max4 to Mix B:<br>HSP60 and SDH   | Imbof et al. 2017      |

Table 1 Ecotoxicity studies evaluating biomarkers upon micro/nanoplastics and man-made nanoparticle exposure, specifying type of particle tested, model species, concentration of micro/nano-

| Table 1 (continued)   |  |   |                                  |                               |   |   |
|---|--|---|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|---|
| Micro/Nanoparticle  | Species  | Concentration   | Exposure time                    | Tissue                        | Biomarker   | Reference                               |
| polystyrene 0.05, 0.5, and<br>6-µm  | Crustacea Diaphanosoma<br>celebensis                       | 100, 1000, and<br>10,000 μg.L <sup>-1</sup> with<br>0.001% Tween 20 | 48 h                             | Whole organism                | Antioxidant transcripts   | Yoo et al. 2021                         |
| 0.05 µm amino-modified<br>polystyrene   | Crustacea Artemia fran-<br>ciscana                         | 1000 µg.L <sup>-1</sup>   | 48 h (larvae), 14 d<br>(uvenile) | Whole organism                | <i>clap</i> and <i>cstb</i> up-regu-<br>lated   | Bergami et al. 2017<br>Varó et al. 2019 |
| 0.075 µm polystyrene  | Crustacea Macrobra-<br>chium Nipponense<br>juveniles       | 5000, 10,000, 20,000,<br>and 40,000 µg.L <sup>-1</sup>              | 28 đ                             | Hepatopancreas,               | Molting related tran-<br>scripts: chitin synthase,<br>chitin deacetylase,<br>ecdysteroid receptor,<br>retinoid X receptor and<br>CDK2   | Li et al. 2022a                         |
| 0.075 µm polystyrene  | Crustacea Macrobra-<br>chium nipponense<br>juveniles       | 5000, 10,000, 20,000,<br>and 40,000 µg.L <sup>-1</sup>              | 28 đ                             | Gills                         | Ion transport related<br>transcripts: NKA,<br>CA, CAMKI, AMPK,<br>PEPCK, and energy<br>metabolism COXI  | Li et al. 2022b                         |
| 0.5 µm polystyrene  | Crustacea Macrobra-<br>chium nipponense                    | 0, 40, 400, 400, and<br>40,000 μg.L –1                              | 21 d                             | Liver                         | calcium-calmodulin-<br>dependent protein<br>kinase I; ecdysteroid<br>receptor, and leucine-<br>rich repeat-containing<br>G-protein-coupled<br>receptor 2                              | Fan et al. 2022                         |
| 2 and 6 µm polystyrene  | Mollusk <i>Mytilus spp</i> .                               | 32,000 μg.L <sup>-1</sup> *day <sup>-1</sup>                        | 7 d (+7 d depuration)            | Gills                         | T 7 d: Lys, Cat, P-glyco-<br>protein<br>T 14 d: Pk, Sod, Gpx, Idp   | Paul-Pont et al. 2016                   |
| 0.11 ± 0.007 µm poly-<br>styrene  | Mollusk Myritus gal-<br>loprovincialis                     | 5, 50, 500, 500, and<br>50,000 με. L <sup>-1</sup>                  | 96 h                             | Digestive glands and<br>Gills | Digestive gland:<br>HSP70 at 50 mg.L $-1$<br>Gills:<br>Cyp11 at 0.5 mg.L <sup>-1</sup><br>cyp32 at 5 mg.L <sup>-1</sup><br>Cat 0.05 mg.L <sup>-1</sup><br>Lys at 5 mg.L <sup>-1</sup> | Brandts et al. 2018                     |
| No size informa-<br>tion—carboxylated<br>polystyrene, crushed<br>polystyrene (PSC) and<br>mixture of nanoplastics<br>produced from micro-<br>plastic debris environ-<br>mentally weathered and<br>collected from a beach<br>in Guadeloupe (NPG) | Mollusk Crassostrea vir-<br>ginica and Isognomon<br>alatus | 10 and 100 µg.L <sup>-1</sup>                                       | 48 h                             | Gills and Visceral mass       | Mitochondrial 12S at<br>10 µg.L <sup>-1</sup> PSL<br>Gadd45 at 100 µg.L <sup>-1</sup><br>NPG<br>bcl-2 at 100 µg.L <sup>-1</sup> PSL   | Lebordais et al. 2021                   |

| dicro/Nanoparticle   | Species                                 | Concentration                    | Exposure time  | Tissue         | Biomarker   | Reference              |
|--|---|----------------------------------|--|----------------|---|------------------------|
| 05 µm Surface charged<br>polystyrene-NH 2                          | Mollusk Mytitus gal-<br>loprovincialis  | 10 µg.L <sup>-1</sup>            | 24 h first exposure, 72 h<br>depuration and 24 h s<br>exposure | Hemocytes      | First exposure: PCNA,<br>p53, Epp, Lyso, and<br>MytB<br>Second exposure: Epp,<br>Lyso, MytB, MytC, and<br>FREP  | Auguste et al. 2020    |
| 05 µm surface charged<br>(polystyrene-NH 2 )<br>polystyrene-NH 2 ) | Echinodermata Særechi-<br>nus neumayeri | 1000 and 5000 µg.L <sup>-1</sup> | 6 and 24 h   | coelomocytes   | PS-COOH 1 $\mu$ g mL <sup>-1</sup><br>Sod at 4 and 24 h<br>Cat at 24 h<br>Mt at 6 and 24 h<br>NF-kB and Centr at 24 h<br>Grp78 at 6 and 24 h<br>Grp78 at 6 and 24 h<br>Grp77 at 6 and 24 h<br>Carp 170 at 6 h<br>PS-COOH 5 $\mu$ g mL <sup>-1</sup><br>LBP/BP1 at 24 h<br>HSP 70 at 24 h<br>HSP 70 at 24 h<br>Bax at 6 h<br>PS-NH <sub>2</sub> 1 $\mu$ g mL <sup>-1</sup><br>Sod at 24 h<br>Mt at 6 h<br>Mt at 6 h<br>Mt at 6 h<br>Mt at 24 h<br>Grp78 and<br>Grp78 and<br>Grp78 and<br>Grp70 at 24 h | Berganni et al. 2019   |
| .024 ± 0.007 μm ano-<br>TiO 2                                      | Mollusk Mytillus gal-<br>loprovincialis | 100 µg.L <sup>-1</sup>           | 96 h   | Gills          | Abcbl and MT-20 in co-<br>exposure with Cd  | Della Torre et al. 201 |
| Nano-TiO 2   | Mollusk Myrtius gal-<br>loprovincialis  | 100 µg. L <sup>-1</sup>          | 96 h   | Digestivegland | Transcripts of:<br>"Microtubule-based-<br>movement"<br>"Cellular component<br>organization at cellular<br>level"<br>"Cellular catabolic<br>process  | Banni et al. 2016      |

|                     | Reference          | Reviewed in Revel et al.<br>2017   |
|---------------------|--------------------|--|
|                     | Biomarker          | Transcripts of:<br>Defense; immune<br>system; cell membrane<br>transporters; metabo-<br>lism; nervous system;<br>growth and develop-<br>ment; reproduction |
|                     | Tissue             | Various tissues  |
|                     | Exposure time      | Various exposure times   |
|                     | Concentration      | Various concentrations   |
|                     | Species            | Metazoan From micro-<br>crustaceans to fish  |
| Table 1 (continued) | Micro/Nanoparticle | Others nanoparticles<br>studies  |