

# **Hydrogenolysis of haloboranes: from synthesis of hydroboranes to formal hydroboration reactions**

Guilhem Zwart, Alexis Mifleur, Gabriel Durin, Emmanuel Nicolas, Thibault

Cantat

#### **To cite this version:**

Guilhem Zwart, Alexis Mifleur, Gabriel Durin, Emmanuel Nicolas, Thibault Cantat. Hydrogenolysis of haloboranes: from synthesis of hydroboranes to formal hydroboration reactions. Angewandte Chemie International Edition, In press,  $10.1002/$ anie.202411468. hal-04688981

## **HAL Id: hal-04688981 <https://hal.science/hal-04688981v1>**

Submitted on 5 Sep 2024

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



[Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - ShareAlike 4.0 International](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/) [License](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/)

#### 1 **Hydrogenolysis of Haloboranes: from Synthesis of Hydroboranes**  2 **to Formal Hydroboration Reactions**

3 Guilhem Zwart, [a] Alexis Mifleur, [a] Gabriel Durin, [a] Emmanuel Nicolas, [a] and Thibault Cantat\*[a]



5 CEA, IRAMIS, NIMBE, CNRS UMR 3299<br>6 91191 Gif-sur-Yvette (France)

 $\frac{6}{7}$  91191 Gif-sur-Yvette (France)<br> $\frac{7}{7}$  E-mail: thibault.cantat@cea.fr

E-mail: [thibault.cantat@cea.fr](mailto:thibault.cantat@cea.fr)

8 Supporting information for this article is given via a link at the end of the document.

9 **Abstract:** Hydroboranes are versatile reagents in synthetic chemist $\bar{w}$ 2 10 but their synthesis relies on energy-intensive processes. Herein,  $\sqrt{2}3$  $11$  report a new method for the preparation of hydroboranes from  $\sqrt{4}$ 12 hydrogen and the corresponding haloboranes. Triethylamine (NEt $5/5$ 13 form with dialkylchloroboranes a Frustrated Lewis Pair (FLP) able  $66$ 14 split H<sub>2</sub> and afford the desired hydroborane with ammonium salt $5.7$ 15 Unreactive haloboranes were unlocked using a catalytic amount  $568$  $16$  Cy<sub>2</sub>BCI, enabling the synthesis of commonly used hydroboranes such 9  $17$  as pinacolborane or catecholborane. The mechanisms of these 0  $18$  reactions have been examined by DFT studies, highlighting the 1 19 importance of the base selection. Finally, the system's robustness has 2  $20$  been evaluated in one-pot B-Cl hydrogenolysis/hydroboratido $3$ 21 reactions of C=C unsaturated bonds.

22 Hydroboranes are reagents of interest for numerous application<br>23 in synthetic chemistry. Their role in the hydroboration of alkenda? in synthetic chemistry. Their role in the hydroboration of alkenes $\sqrt{3}$ 24 allows for the regioselective functionalization of olefins, leading  $68$ 25 the formation of alcohols.  $[1-3]$  Metal-catalyzed C–H borylation  $6f9$  $26$  arenes with pinacolborane economically provides aryl boronates, 0 27 which are widely employed for the Suzuki-Miyaura cross-couplin $61$ <br>28 reaction.<sup>[4]</sup> Moreover, hydroboranes act as useful hydride source 22 reaction.<sup>[4]</sup> Moreover, hydroboranes act as useful hydride source  $2$ 29 for the reduction of organic molecules, under mild conditions. The  $30$  redox potential  $E^0(B(OH)_3, H^+ / B_2H_6)$ : -0.52 V (*vs* NHE) is typical of 31 hydroboranes and shows that B–H groups are amenable to the  $32$  reduction of a wide range of carbonyl-based functions, including  $33$   $CO<sub>2</sub>$  and polyesters. [5] 34 The synthesis of common substituted hydroboranes relies on  $35$  borane (BH<sub>3</sub>) as a precursor.<sup>[3]</sup> BH<sub>3</sub> itself, or its dimer, is obtained 36 from a two-step sequence where trimethylborate is reduced with 37 NaH, following the energy-intensive Brown-Schlesinger process  $38$  (Eq. 1),<sup>[6]</sup> and the resulting sodium borohydride is reacted with BF<sub>3</sub>, 39 leading to a 43 % loss of boron atoms (Eq. 2).<sup>[7]</sup> Alternative  $40$  pathways to alkyl- or aryl- hydroboranes rely on the use of potent  $41$  reductants such as LiAlH<sub>4</sub>,<sup>[8]</sup> and sometimes hydrosilanes,<sup>[9]</sup> on

 borate derivatives or haloboranes.<sup>[10]</sup> The latters being produced 43 from the action of halogenated agents such as  $BCI<sub>3</sub>$  or  $PCI<sub>5</sub>$  to sophisticated boronic esters  $[11]$  or by functionalization of boron trihalides with organometallic reagents.<sup>[12]</sup> As such, all current methods for the synthesis of hydroboranes necessitate the use of stoichiometric amounts of highly reducing agents, which come with a significant overpotential, leading to energy and material 49 wastage.

50 B(OCH<sub>3)</sub><sub>3</sub> + 4 NaH 
$$
\rightarrow
$$
 NaBH<sub>4</sub> + 3 NaOCH<sub>3</sub> (1) 73  
51 3 NaBH<sub>4</sub> + 4 BF<sub>3</sub>  $\rightarrow$  2 B<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub> + 3 NaBF<sub>4</sub> (2) 74

Dihydrogen is an attractive reductant, and developing efficient methods to generate hydroboranes from  $H_2$  would be appealing to improve the energy efficiency of hydroboration chemistry. Yet,  $\mathfrak{H}5-\mathsf{H}_2$  has a mild redox potential (E<sup>0</sup>(H<sup>+</sup>/H<sub>2</sub>): 0.00 V vs. NHE), which translates into unfavorable thermodynamics for the direct hydrogenolysis of haloboranes ( $R_2B-X$ ) to hydroboranes ( $R_2B-H$ ). As such, the formation of B–H bonds from the splitting of  $H_2$  has been mostly explored in Frustrated Lewis Pairs (FLPs) chemistry, $[13,14]$  in particular for the generation of reactive borohydride species in the presence of stoichiometric amounts of a suitable base. This approach, however, has barely been described for the synthesis of hydroboranes [\(Scheme 1\)](#page-1-0). 64 Camaioni *et al.* obtained a 1:1 mixture of Lut·BHCl<sub>2</sub> (Lut : lutidine) 65 and [LutH][BCl<sub>4</sub>] by heating the Lut-BCl<sub>3</sub> Lewis pair under H<sub>2</sub> pressure at elevated temperature  $(T = 100 \degree C)$ .<sup>[15]</sup> Berke and coworkers have reported similar reactivity with  $CIB(C_6F_5)_2$  in presence of 2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidine (TMP), they observed the formation of the hydroborane HB( $C_6F_5$ )<sub>2</sub> in mixture with the chloroborate [TMPH][Cl<sub>2</sub>B( $C_6F_5$ )<sub>2</sub>].<sup>[16]</sup> The authors assumed the formation of a transient chloroborohydride species reacting with a second molecule of  $CIB(C_6F_5)_2$ .



<span id="page-1-0"></span>74 **Scheme 1.** State of the art on chloroboranes hydrogenolysis

1 Chloroborohydrides intermediates have been isolated from  $5$ 2 sophisticated intramolecular Lewis pairs where  $36$ <br>3 diarylchloroborane is decorated with tetramethylpiperidine (TMB)7 3 diarylchloroborane is decorated with tetramethylpiperidine (TMP)7<br>4 side arms.<sup>[17,18]</sup> Treatment of the  $I(TMP-Ar)$ BHCl<sup>-1</sup> isolated by8 4 side arms.<sup>[17,18]</sup> Treatment of the  $[(TMP-Ar)_2BHC]^2$  isolated by 5<br>5 Fontaine with a strong base (TBD) affords the hydroborane (TMB9 5 Fontaine with a strong base (TBD) affords the hydroborane (TMB9<br>6 Ar)-B-H.<sup>[17]</sup> 40  $6$  Ar)<sub>2</sub>B–H.<sup>[17]</sup><br>7 To unlock To unlock a versatile synthesis of hydroboranes from 1 8 (pseudo-)haloborane precursors and  $H_2$ , we have thought  $\angle 9$  exploit the ability of borane derivatives to split  $H_2$  in the presencted exploit the ability of borane derivatives to split H<sub>2</sub> in the presenced 3  $10$  of a suitable base. The careful selection of the base should indeed 4 11 both ensure a frustrated Lewis Pair character and favour the 5 12 thermodynamics of the hydrogenolysis reaction by trapping the 6 13 released acid.<br>14 Recently, our Recently, our group reported on the hydrogen activation by  $a\&8$ 15 *inverse* frustrated Lewis pair BTPP/Cy<sub>2</sub>BCI (BTPP: *tef*<sup>49</sup>) 16 butyliminotri(pyrrolidino)phosphorane) for the hydrogenolysis  $\delta$  is 17 chlorosilane to hydrosilane.<sup>[19]</sup> During the reaction, the precataly  $\delta$  is 1 chlorosilane to hydrosilane.<sup>[19]</sup> During the reaction, the precataly $\frac{1}{2}$ 18 Cy<sub>2</sub>BCI rapidly evolves into Cy<sub>2</sub>BH in the presence of H<sub>2</sub> and  $\Omega$ 19 BTPP. Motivated by these observations, we aimed to tackle th $53$ 20 hydrogenolysis of B–Cl bonds and we report herein a new  $\frac{21}{55}$  $21$  pathway for the synthesis of common hydroboranes.<br> $22$  The reactivity of the commercially available chlorobor 22 The reactivity of the commercially available chloroborane Cy<sub>2</sub>BO 6<br>23 was first investigated in the presence of one equivalent of the<sup>7</sup> 23 was first investigated in the presence of one equivalent of the  $\sqrt{24}$  bulky base BTPP and an atmosphere of H<sub>2</sub>. As depicted in Eq. 58 24 bulky base BTPP and an atmosphere of H<sub>2</sub>. As depicted in Eq.  $\frac{5}{8}$ <br>25 and under 10 bar H<sub>2</sub>, the dimer [Cy<sub>2</sub>BH]<sub>2</sub> was formed in 41 % yie@ and under 10 bar H<sub>2</sub>, the dimer  $[C_{V2}BH]_2$  was formed in 41 % yield 9  $26$  after 18 h in CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> at room temperature (RT). 27 Cy<sub>2</sub>BCl + BTPP + H<sub>2</sub> (10 bar)  $\rightarrow$  1/<sub>2</sub> [Cy<sub>2</sub>BH]<sub>2</sub> + [BTPPH]Cl (3)  $\frac{61}{62}$ 

28 This promising result led us to investigate the effect of the base  $3$ <br>29 on the reactivity. Various common organic bases, with differe of  $4$ 29 on the reactivity. Various common organic bases, with different  $\frac{30}{100}$  properties (basicity and nucleophilicity), were tested in the same properties (basicity and nucleophilicity), were tested in the same  $5$  $31$  conditions. We observed good yields in the desired hydroborano  $32$  with trialkylamines such as triethylamine (75 %), N, N $57$ 33 diisopropylethylamine (60 %) and N.N-dicylohexylmethylamine 34 (72 %). However, no reaction occurred with pyridine derivativesy

(pyridine, lutidine), 1,8-Diazabicyclo[5.4.0]undec-7-ene (DBU) or guanidines such as 1,5,7-Triazabicyclo[4.4.0]dec-5-ene (TBD), 7-Methyl-1,5,7-triazabicyclo[4.4.0]dec-5-ene (Me-TBD) and 2-tert-Butyl-1,1,3,3-tetramethylguanidine (BTMG) [\(Figure 1\)](#page-2-0). To better understand the key role of the base, DFT calculations were  $40$  performed to determine the influence of the nature of the base on the outcome of the reaction. Prior research conducted in our laboratory, consistent with the literature,  $[15]$  indicate that the H<sub>2</sub> cleavage is likely to occur through a FLP-type mechanism. As such, it is necessary to avoid the formation of stable adducts between the base and the chloroborane reagent. Thus, the Gibbs free energies for the formation of such base $\rightarrow$ CyBCl adducts 47 have also been computed (Figure 1). Strikingly, while DBU, pyridine and guanidines form stable adducts  $(\Delta G = -12.1 \text{ kcal/mol}^{-1}$  with TBD) which prevents the hydrogenolysis, BTPP and the trialkylamines do not interact with Cy<sub>2</sub>BCl (Δ*G* > 23 kcal.mol<sup>-1</sup>), explaining their good activities in the hydrogenolysis reaction.

Further optimization of the reaction conditions was carried out, using NE $t_3$  as the base [\(Table 1\)](#page-3-0). The reaction performed well in 55 various solvents. Using dichloromethane (entry 1) yielded 75% of  $[Cy<sub>2</sub>BH]<sub>2</sub>$  after 18 hours at RT under 10 bar of H<sub>2</sub>. Under the same 57 conditions, aromatic solvents slightly improved the yield to 83 % and 80 % in  $C_6D_6$  and  $C_6D_5C$ , respectively (entries 2 and 3). In toluene (entry 4), the reaction yielded only 63 %, and using 60 cyclohexane (entry 5) gave 61 %. More polar solvents decreased reactivity: in THF-d<sub>8</sub> (entry 6) only 27 % of  $[Cy_2BH]_2$  were formed, and no product was obtained in MeCN- $d_3$  (entry 7). In anisole, considered a green alternative to aromatic solvents, $[20]$  the 64 reaction yielded 63 %, similar to toluene (entry 8). A large excess of base neither significantly helped nor hindered the reaction, and using NEt<sub>3</sub> as the solvent yielded  $[Cy_2BH]_2$  in 69 % after 18 hours (entry 9). We chose benzene as the preferred solvent and investigated the effects of temperature and  $H_2$  pressure.



<span id="page-2-0"></span>Figure 1. Base screening and calculation formation of adducts. Conditions: Cy<sub>2</sub>BCI (0.1 mmol), CD<sub>2</sub>CI<sub>2</sub> (0.33 mol.L<sup>-1</sup>), base (0.11 mmol, 1.1 eq.), H<sub>2</sub> (10 bar). Mesitylene as internal standard. NMR yields after titration by 4-octyne (See ESI for details). DFT Calculations: MN15L/Def2TZVP/W06, SMD (solvent: benzene). Pyrr = Pyrrolidino

1 After 4 hours at RT, the reaction yielded 71 % of hydroboran $\theta$ 1 2 (entry 10), but increasing the temperature unexpectedly lowered  $\overline{2}$ <br>3 the yields: at 70 °C and 120 °C, the yields were 7 % and 4 %  $\overline{3}$ 3 the yields: at 70 °C and 120 °C, the yields were 7 % and 4 %, 3<br>4 respectively, after 4 hours in C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub> (entries 11 and 12), A \$4 4 respectively, after 4 hours in  $C_6D_6$  (entries 11 and 12). As 454 S expected, we noticed that the vield in hydroborane was directived 5 expected, we noticed that the yield in hydroborane was direct  $66$ <br>6 linked to the H<sub>2</sub> pressure: reducing or increasing the pressure  $66$ 6 linked to the H<sub>2</sub> pressure: reducing or increasing the pressure  $66$ <br>7 s or 15 bar led to vields of 57 % and 79 % respectively (entries 137 5 or 15 bar led to yields of 57 % and 79 % respectively (entries 137 8 and 14).

<span id="page-3-0"></span>9 **Table 1**. Optimization of the reaction conditions

	Cy. $B - C I + NEt_3$ Сý	$\frac{H_2}{\text{solvent, r.t.}}$		$\begin{bmatrix} cy \ bg-H \ cy' \end{bmatrix}$ + [NEt <sub>3</sub> H]Cl		41 42 43
Entry	Solvent	T (°C)	H2 (bar)	Time	Yield	
$\mathbf{1}$	CD <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub>	rt	10	18h	75 %	
$\overline{2}$	$C_6D_6$	rt	10	18h	83%	
3	$PhCl-d5$	rt	10	18h	80%	
$\overline{\mathbf{4}}$	Toluene-d <sub>8</sub>	rt	10	18h	63%	
5	$C_6D_{12}$	rt	10	18h	61 %	
6	THF- $d_8$	rt	10	18h	27 %	44
7	$MeCN-d3$	rt	10	18h	0 %	45
8	Anisole	rt	10	18h	63 %	46 47
9	NE <sub>t3</sub>	rt	10	18h	69%	
10	$C_6D_6$	rt	10	4 h	71 %	48
11	$C_6D_6$	70	10	4 h	7 %	49
12	$C_6D_6$	120	10	4h	4 %	50 51
13	$C_6D_6$	rt	5	4h	57 %	52
14	$C_6D_6$	rt	15	4 h	79 %	53 54

 $10$  Conditions: Cy<sub>2</sub>BCl (0.1 mmol), NEt<sub>3</sub> (0.11 mmol, 1.1 eq.), solvento  $\frac{11}{12}$  (0.33 mol.L<sup>-1</sup>), H<sub>2</sub>. Mesitylene as internal standard. NMR yields after titration by 6 4-octyne.

13 To shed some light on the mechanism behind the hydrogenolysis 39 14 of Cy<sub>2</sub>BCl to  $[Cy_2BH]_2$ , DFT calculations were carried out at the 15 MN15L/Def2TZVP/W06 level of theory, using the SMD model  $\frac{100}{100}$ 16 account for the solvation in benzene [\(Figure 2\)](#page-3-1) (see SI for details $^{61}_{2}$ 17 Overall, the reaction proceeds through the cleavage of H<sub>2</sub> by 18 Cy<sub>2</sub>BCl and NEt<sub>3</sub>, followed by the decoordination of the chloride<sup>53</sup><br>19 anion and the final dimerization of Cy<sub>2</sub>BH. The splitting of H<sub>2</sub><sup>64</sup> anion and the final dimerization of Cy<sub>2</sub>BH. The splitting of H<sub>2</sub>  $\frac{64}{5}$ 20 rate determining and proceeds *via* a first transition state, **TS1**,  $\overset{65}{\phantom{1}}$ 21 line with an FLP-type reactivity.<sup>[21]</sup> The corresponding energy<sup>66</sup>  $22$  barrier of Δ*G<sup>‡</sup>* = 25.4 kcal.mol<sup>-1</sup> is consistent with the energies<br>23 proposed by Roy et al. and Camaioni et al. for an organoborane<sup>0</sup> 23 proposed by Roy et al. and Camaioni et al. for an organoborane  $\frac{68}{10}$ <br>24 amine pair.<sup>[15,22]</sup> The resulting chloroborohydride/ammonium saft amine pair.<sup>[15,22]</sup> The resulting chloroborohydride/ammonium sall  $25$  [Cy<sub>2</sub>BClH][HNEt<sub>3</sub>] (**Int1**,  $\Delta G = 14.6$  kcal.mol<sup>-1</sup>) is not the final  $\frac{26}{25}$  product as it evolves to Cy<sub>2</sub>BH after a barrier-less decoordination. 27 of the chloride anion. Finally,  $[Cy_2BH]_2$  is formed through  $\frac{1}{2}$ 28 dimerization step with a low lying transition state **TS2**  $29 \text{ (}\Delta G^{\ddagger} = 17.9 \text{ kcal.mol}^{-1}, \Delta \Delta G^{\ddagger} = 3.4 \text{ kcal.mol}^{-1}. \text{ The reaction}^{2}$  $30$  profile is consistent with the absence of any observable  $5$ 

intermediate during the hydrogenolysis of  $Cy<sub>2</sub>BCI$ , in contrast with the findings of Fontaine and co-authors, who could monitor the formation of a chloroborohydride intermediate (Scheme 1).<sup>[17]</sup> Interestingly, the overall reaction is computed to be endergonic  $(\Delta G = +9.0 \text{ kcal/mol}^{-1})$ , although it proceeds at room temperature in good yields. In fact, both products,  $[Cy_2BH]_2$  and  $[HNEt_3]Cl$ , are insoluble in the reaction mixture and their precipitation is likely the  $38$  driving force of an overall exergonic reaction. This is consistent 39 with the observation of the effect of temperature during the  $40$  optimization process. It was shown that increasing the temperature led to a significant decrease of reactivity [\(Table 1](#page-3-0) Entries 11 and 12) probably because it increases the solubility of the products, preventing the reaction to proceed.



<span id="page-3-1"></span>Figure 2. Computed mechanism for the synthesis of [Cy<sub>2</sub>BH]<sub>2</sub> from Cy<sub>2</sub>BCI, NEt<sub>3</sub> and H2. DFT Calculations: MN15L/Def2TZVP/W06, SMD (solvent: benzene).

To explore the robustness of the methodology, the hydrogenolysis of a variety of B–X bond-containing compounds was tested [\(Table 2\)](#page-3-2).  $[Cy_2BH]_2$  was obtained by treatment of the  $Cy<sub>2</sub>BCI$  with NEt<sub>3</sub> under 10 bar of H<sub>2</sub> with 92 % yield with a longer reaction time from the optimized conditions (46 h) (entry 1), while no reactivity was observed starting from Cy<sub>2</sub>BI (entry 2). Hydrogenolysis of the chloro- and triflate-9-BBN derivatives afforded 9-BBN dimer in 85 % and 67 % yields, after 18 h and 56 46 h, respectively (entries 4 and 5). These conditions did not 57 afford the desired product from I-9-BBN in acceptable yields (6 % after 120 h, entry 6). However, substituting the NEt<sub>3</sub> base with the bulkier tertiary amine Cy<sub>2</sub>NMe unlocked the reactivity of the iodoboranes, providing  $[Cy_2BH]_2$  and 9-BBN in 84 and 50 % yields, respectively, after 45 h and 21 h (entries 3 and 7). Moreover, the use of  $Cy<sub>2</sub>NMe$  led to an increased reactivity with the triflate derivatives (entry 8). The efficiency of the method was illustrated with the synthesis of the commonly used 9-BBN hydroborane on a 1 mmol scale (entry 9): using anisole as a sustainable solvent, 9-BBN dimer was isolated in 84 % yield after a 48 h hydrogenolysis of the chloroborane with  $NEt<sub>3</sub>$  and 15 bar  $H<sub>2</sub>$  and elimination of the ammonium by-product in THF (see SI). During the reactions involving iodoboranes, and in lesser extent triflate boranes, we observed the formation of side products by NMR. Reacting I-9-BBN with NEt<sub>3</sub> in  $C_6D_6$  led to a major signal at δ: -0.2 ppm in boron NMR (vs BF<sub>3</sub>OEt<sub>2</sub> at 0.0 ppm). Such a downfield shift is consistent with a tetracoordinate boron environment. Interestingly, the group of Vedejs reported a similar

<span id="page-3-2"></span>75 **Table 2**. Examples of catalyzed chloroboranes hydrogenolysis



 $\frac{46}{1}$  Conditions: R<sub>2</sub>BX (0.1 mmol), Base (0.11 mmol, 1.1 eq.), C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub> (0.33 mol.L<sup>-1</sup>), 7  $2$  H<sub>2</sub> (10 bar), room temperature. Mesitylene as internal standard. NMR yieldom 3 after titration by 4-octyne. [a] R<sub>2</sub>BX (1 mmol), NEt<sub>3</sub> (1.1 mmol, 1.1 eq.), Anisoleo <sup>1</sup> instead of C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub> (0.5 mol.L<sup>-1</sup>), H<sub>2</sub> (15 bar), room temperature. [b] Isolated yield $\bigcap$ 

5 reaction between the 9-borabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane bis-triflimid $\delta$ 1  $6$  (NTf<sub>2</sub>-9-BBN) and NEt<sub>3</sub> in CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, with a <sup>11</sup>B NMR signal assigned 2  $7$  to the corresponding borenium (δ: 85.1 ppm).<sup>[23]</sup> Mixing OTf- $\frac{5.3}{2}$ 8 BBN with NEt<sub>3</sub> in CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> resulted to an equivalent ship  $\frac{3}{4}$ <br>9 (8:84.8.ppm) which evolved after 17h to the signal at  $\frac{5}{2}$ , 0.3.pp  $\frac{5}{2}$ (δ: 84.8 ppm), which evolved after 17h to the signal at δ: -0.3 ppm. 10 A reasonable interpretation of these findings is the formation of  $\frac{5}{9}$ 11 boronium salt  $[(C_8H_{15})B(NEt_3)_2]$ OTf, which occurs in the presence $\sqrt{2}$ 12 of a good leaving group such as a iodide or triflate anion and limi $\frac{58}{58}$ 13 the hydrogenolysis step. In our case, the steric hindrance of the  $9^9$ 14 cyclohexyl group on the Cy<sub>2</sub>NMe might reduce the formation of 15 such by-products (borenium or boronium) and favor the 16 hydrogenolysis reaction.

17 Notably, no reaction was observed when the less Lewis acidic B-18 chloro-catecholborane (catBCl) or B-chloropinacolborane 19 (pinBCl) were used in place of  $CyzBCI$ . Indeed, although the  $20$  by by by care care the care in the modynamically possible hydrogenolysis of catBCl is thermodynamically possible  $21 \times 21$  (ΔG = +6.4 kcal.mol<sup>-1</sup>), the transition state for the splitting of H<sub>2</sub>  $22$  with catBCl and NEt<sub>3</sub> was computed at 33 kcal.mol<sup>-1</sup> (instead of  $23$  26 kcal.mol<sup>-1</sup> for Cy<sub>2</sub>BCI), which is not accessible at room ( 24 temperature. However, it has been reported that substituents on 25 boron can exchange through transborylation.<sup>[24,25]</sup> and  $W_2$  $26$  envisioned a system where Cy<sub>2</sub>BH is used as an intermediate to  $27$  transfer its hydride to catBCL DFT calculations were performed. 27 transfer its hydride to catBCl. DFT calculations were performed,  $28$  and demonstrated that the hydrogen transfer from  $Cv_2BH$  to and demonstrated that the hydrogen transfer from  $Cy_2BH$   $63$ 29 catBCl is thermodynamically favorable (ΔG = -9.1 kcal.mol $\frac{1}{64}$ through a low energy transition state (**TS3**,  $\Delta$ G<sup> $\pm$ </sup> = 17.4 kcal.mol<sup>-1</sup> $\frac{\Delta}{\Delta}$ 5<br>31 which corresponds to the redistribution of the H and Cl<sub>i</sub> which corresponds to the redistribution of the H and  $\tilde{\mathsf{Q}}$ 32 substituents *via* σ-bond metathesis (see SI, Figure 21). The 7 33 hydrogenolysis of catBCI was hence tested in the presence  $\breve{\mathsf{g}}'_8$ 34 10 mol% Cy<sub>2</sub>BCl and 2 equivalents of triethylamine at 60  $\degree$  $\overset{\sim}{\mathsf{GQ}}$ 35 Under these conditions, catBH was slowly formed in 50 % yielon 36 within 7 days [\(Scheme 2\)](#page-4-0). Similarly, B-chloro-pinacolborano 37 (pinBCI) afforded 83 % of pinBH in 2 days at RT. The reaction with  $\frac{1}{2}$  PhBCl<sub>2</sub> gave 83 % of the monohydrogenolyzed product PhBHCl in 4 days at RT. However, the more hydridic 9-BBN, associated with an increased reaction temperature (80 °C), was necessary to push the hydrogenolysis towards the formation of PhBH<sub>2</sub>. Using these variations, PhBH<sub>2</sub> was obtained in 84 % yield within 7 days.



<span id="page-4-0"></span>Scheme 2. Examples of catalyzed chloroboranes hydrogenolysis

Finally, to evaluate the potential of this new method, we explored the possibility to promote the hydroboration of alkenes and alkynes, where the hydroborane is produced *in-situ* from a chloroborane,  $H_2$  and a base. While no reaction was observed upon mixing Cy<sub>2</sub>BCl with triethylamine and styrene, exposing this reaction mixture to a 10 bar pressure of  $H_2$  led to the formation of the corresponding hydroboration product phenethyldicyclohexylborane in 94 % yield after 22 h [\(Scheme 3\)](#page-4-1). When cyclohexene and diphenylacetylene were used, 54 tricyclohexylborane and (*Z*)-dicyclohexyl(1,2-diphenylvinyl) borane were obtained in 96 % and 88 % yields, respectively in 72 h and 22 h. Interestingly, under these metal-free conditions, no hydrogenation of the C=C multiple bond was observed and the products of the alkene/alkyne were selectively transformed to their hydroboration products.



<span id="page-4-1"></span>**Scheme 3.** Examples of alkenes and alkynes hydroboration from Cy<sub>2</sub>BCl and  $H<sub>2</sub>$ 

In conclusion, we reported herein the synthesis of hydroboranes from (pseudo-)haloboranes using  $H_2$  as a hydride source and a base, using either stoichiometric or catalytic paths. Experiments 66 coupled with theoretical calculations highlighted the critical role of the base, which enables the activation of  $H_2$  by Frustrated Lewis 68 Pairs chemistry and ensures an overall exergonic hydrogenolysis through the precipitation of products. Trialkylamines, and especially NEt<sub>3</sub>, led to the formation of dialkylborane derivatives in quantitative vields. Less reactive substrates were converted with the use of a catalytic amount of dialkylboranes, allowing us

1 to synthesize the valuable hydroboranes 9-BBN, catBH and  $\frac{1}{2}$ 

2 pinBH in good yields and mild conditions without relying on strong  $\frac{2}{64}$ <br>3 hydridic reductants.

hydridic reductants.

- [24] D. J. Pasto, V. Balasubramaniyan, P. W. Wojtkowski, *Inorg. Chem.* **1969**, 8, 594–598.<br>[25] A. D. Bage, K. Nicholson, T. A. I
- [25] A. D. Bage, K. Nicholson, T. A. Hunt, T. Langer, S. P.<br>64 Thomas. Synthesis 2023, 55, 62–74. Thomas, *Synthesis* **2023**, *55*, 62–74.

#### **Acknowledgements**

For financial support of this work, we acknowledge CEA, CNRS,

- the University Paris-Saclay, the European Research Council
- (ERC Consolidator Grant Agreement no. 818260), and the French
- National Research Agency (ANR) under France 2030 program
- (reference ANR-22-PEHY-0007). This work was performed using
- 10 HPC/AI resources from GENCI-TGCC (Grant 2023
- A0140814129, 2024 AD010814129).

 **Keywords:** Hydroborane Synthesis • H<sup>2</sup> Activation • Frustrated Lewis Pair • Hydrogenolysis

- [1] R. S. Dhillon, *Hydroboration and Organic Synthesis: 9- Borabicyclo [3.3.1] Nonane (9-BBN)*, Springer Science & Business Media, **2007**. 14 [1] R. S. Boral Busine: C. G. Boral Busine: C. G. 4092-<br>
21 18 19 20 31 S. S. M. E. 2013<br>
22 21 223 24 25 Sons S. R. E. 2013<br>
22 21 223 24 25 [6] M. J. Ullma 20000 H. C. Coler A. V. Ullma 20000 [8] H. C. Coler A. Yeap.
	- [2] C. G. Scouten, H. C. Brown, *J. Org. Chem.* **1973**, *38*, 4 092 - 4094.
	- [3] G. Zweifel, H. C. Brown, in *Org. React.*, John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, **2011**, pp. 1–54.
	- [4] S. M. Preshlock, B. Ghaffari, P. E. Maligres, S. W. Krska, R. E. Jr. Maleczka, M. R. I. Smith, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, *135*, 7572–7582.
	- [5] S. G. Bratsch, *J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data* **1989**, *18*, 1–21.
	- [6] M. Dragan, *Catalysts* **2022**, *12*, 356.
	- [7] R. J. Brotherton, C. J. Weber, C. R. Guibert, J. L. Little, in *Ullmanns Encycl. Ind. Chem.*, John Wiley & Sons, Ltd,
	- H. C. Brown, S. Krishnamurthy, J. L. Hubbard, R. A. Coleman, *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1979**, *166*, 281–291.
	- A. Yeganeh-Salman, I. Elser, K. L. Bamford, D. Ebanks, D. W. Stephan, *Dalton Trans.* **2022**, *51*, 17962–17966.
	- [10] H. C. Brown, S. U. Kulkarni, *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1981**, 218, 299–307.<br>5 H. C. Brown, S.
	- [11] H. C. Brown, S. U. Kulkarni, *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1979**, 168, 281–293.<br>**K.** Smith, *Chel*
	-
	- [12] K. Smith, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **1974**, *3*, 443–465. [13] D. W. Stephan, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2021**, *143*, 20002– .20014<br>I 3. Para
	- [14] J. Paradies, *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2023**, *56*, 821–834.
	- B. Ginovska, T. Autrey, K. Parab, M. E. Bowden, R. G. Potter, D. M. Camaioni, *Chem. – Eur. J.* **2015**, *21*, 15713– 15719.
	- [16] C. Jiang, O. Blacque, H. Berke, *Organometallics* **2009**, *28*, 5233–5239.
	- [17] M.-A. Courtemanche, É. Rochette, M.-A. Légaré, W. Bi, F.-G. Fontaine, *Dalton Trans.* **2016**, *45*, 6129–6135.
	- [18] K. Chernichenko, B. Kótai, M. Nieger, S. Heikkinen, I.
	- Pápai, T. Repo, *Dalton Trans.* **2017**, *46*, 2263–2269. [19] G. Durin, J.-C. Berthet, P. Thuéry, E. Nicolas, T. Cantat, *Chem. – Eur. J.* **2023**, *29*, e202302155.
	- D. Prat, A. Wells, J. Hayler, H. Sneddon, C. Robert McElroy, S. Abou-Shehada, P. J. Dunn, *Green Chem.* **2016**, *18*, 288–296.
	- [21] T. A. Rokob, A. Hamza, A. Stirling, T. Soós, I. Pápai, *Angew. Chem.* **2008**, *120*, 2469–2472.
	- [22] M. Sultana, A. Paul, L. Roy, *ChemistrySelect* **2020**, *5*, .13397–13406<br>.erokofievs
	- [23] A. Prokofjevs, J. W. Kampf, E. Vedejs, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2011**, *50*, 2098–2101.

### **Entry for the Table of Contents**



Institute and/or researcher Twitter usernames: @LCMCE\_Lab