

Investigating the dietary niches of fossil Plio-Pleistocene European macaques: The case of Macaca majori Azzaroli, 1946 from Sardinia

Christos Alexandros Plastiras, Ghislain Thiery, Franck Guy, David M Alba,

Takeshi Nishimura, Dimitris S Kostopoulos, Gildas Merceron

To cite this version:

Christos Alexandros Plastiras, Ghislain Thiery, Franck Guy, David M Alba, Takeshi Nishimura, et al.. Investigating the dietary niches of fossil Plio-Pleistocene European macaques: The case of Macaca majori Azzaroli, 1946 from Sardinia. Journal of Human Evolution, 2023, 185, pp.103454. $10.1016/j.jhevol.2023.103454$. hal-04684797

HAL Id: hal-04684797 <https://hal.science/hal-04684797v1>

Submitted on 3 Sep 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Investigating the dietary niches of fossil Plio–Pleistocene European macaques: The case of *Macaca majori* Azzaroli, 1946 from Sardinia

Christos Alexandros Plastiras^{a,b,*}, Ghislain Thiery^b, Franck Guy^b, David M. Alba^c, Takeshi Nishimura^d, Dimitris S. Kostopoulos^a, Gildas Merceron^b

^a Laboratory of Geology and Palaeontology, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, 54 124 Thessaloniki, Greece

^b PALEVOPRIM – UMR 7262 CNRS-INEE, Universit´e de Poitiers, 86073 Poitiers Cedex, France

^c Insitut Català de Paleontologia Miquel Crusafont, Universitat Auntònoma de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain

^d Center for the Evolutionary Origins of Human Behavior, Kyoto University, 41-2 Kanrin, Inuyama,

Aichi 484-8506, Japan

Reference to be cited

Plastiras, C. A., Thiery, G., Guy, F., Alba, D. M., Nishimura, T., Kostopoulos, D. S., & Merceron, G. (2023). Investigating the dietary niches of fossil Plio-Pleistocene European macaques: The case of Macaca majori Azzaroli, 1946 from Sardinia. *Journal of Human Evolution*, *185*, 103454.

Abstract

The genus *Macaca* includes medium to large-bodied monkeys and represents one of the most diverse primate genera, also having a very large geographic range. Nowadays, wild macaque populations are found in Asia and Africa, inhabiting a wide array of habitats. Fossil macaques were also present in Europe from the Late Miocene until the Late Pleistocene. Macaques are considered ecologically flexible monkeys that exhibit highly opportunistic dietary strategies, which may have been critical to their evolutionary success. Nevertheless, available ecological information regarding fossil European species is very sparse, limiting our knowledge of their evolutionary history in this geographic area. To further our understanding of fossil European macaque ecology, we investigated the dietary ecology of *Macaca majori*, an insular endemic species from Sardinia. In particular, we characterized the dental capabilities and potential dietary adaptations of *M. majori* through dental topographic and enamel thickness analyses of two M²s from the Early Pleistocene site of Capo Figari (1.8 Ma). We also assessed its diet through dental microwear texture analysis, while the microwear texture of *M. majori* was also compared with microwear textures from other European fossil macaques from mainland Europe. The dental topographic and enamel thickness analyses suggest that *M. majori* frequently consumed hard/mechanically challenging and/or abrasive foods. The results of the dental microwear analysis are consistent with this interpretation and further suggest that *M. majori* probably exhibited more durophagous dietary habits than mainland Plio–Pleistocene macaques. Overall, our results indicate that *M. majori* probably occupied a different dietary niche compared to its mainland fossil relatives, which suggests that they may have inhabited different paleonvironments.

Keywords: Macacina; Dental microwear; Texture analysis; Dental topography; Enamel thickness; Paleoecology

1. Introduction

The genus *Macaca* represents one of the most successful extant primate genera, exhibiting the second largest geographic distribution among primates, surpassed only by the genus *Homo*. Currently, macaques comprise multiple species and subspecies (Fooden, 1982; Thierry, 2017; Roos et al., 2019). Extant macaque species are mostly found in Asia and occupy a broad range of habitats (Lehman and Fleagle, 2006). However, macaques are also represented in Africa by a single species, *Macaca sylvanus*, which is restricted to isolated temperate forests of Algeria and Morocco (Fooden, 2007). In Asia, the genus is widely distributed from northern Japanese coniferous forests to Sumatran equatorial forests and shows high species diversity (e.g., Fooden, 1982; Fa, 1989; Goldstein and Richard, 1989; Riley, 2008; Tsuji, 2010; Roos et al., 2019). With the sole exception of a semi free-ranging colony of *M. sylvanus* in Gibraltar introduced by humans in historical times (Modolo et al., 2005), macaques are nowadays absent from Europe and Western Asia. Regardless, the fossil record indicates that macaques were widespread in Europe during the Plio–Pleistocene (e.g., Delson, 1980; Alba et al., 2021 and references therein).

1.1. Τhe fossil record of the genus Macaca *in Europe*

According to the fossil record and more recent molecular evidence, the last common ancestor of macaques is dated to around 7.0–6.7 Ma in the Late Miocene of Africa (Szalay and Delson, 1979; Delson, 1980; Thomas and Petter, 1986; Benefit, 2008; Roos et al., 2019). As a result, the genus *Macaca* is the oldest cercopithecine found in Europe where it probably dispersed from Africa by the latest Miocene (Köhler et al., 2000; Alba et al., 2014) in the context of faunal exchanges associated with the Messinian Salinity Crisis (Gibert et al., 2013). Around that time, the level of the Mediterranean Sea fluctuated and eventually desiccated, most likely facilitating the dispersal of the genus from North Africa (Alba et al., 2014; Roos et al., 2019). Nevertheless, macaque remains from the Late Miocene of Europe are scarce, with only two fossil sites reported, Almenara-Casablanca M in Spain (Köhler et al., 2000) and Moncucco

Torinese in Italy (Alba et al., 2014). By the Early Pliocene, macaques were distributed along southern Europe, including eastern France and all the way to the modern Black Sea region (Delson, 1974; Alba et al., 2018). During the earliest Pleistocene, fossil representatives of *Macaca* reached as far as north as Tegelen in the Netherlands (van den Hoek Ostende and de Vos, 2006). Toward the end of the Early Pleistocene, macaques maintained their broad distribution from northern to southern mainland Europe where they persisted into the Middle Pleistocene (Elton and O'Regan, 2014; Konidaris et al., 2022). By the early Late Pleistocene (0.2–0.1 Ma), most of the European macaque fossil sites are found in Spain, but macaque remains are not solely restricted to the Iberian Peninsula as they have been recovered from a wider range of contemporary European sites (see Elton and O'Regan, 2014).

Plio–Pleistocene European macaques are represented by several taxa that belong to the lineage of *Macaca sylvanus*, the extant barbary macaque from North Africa (Szalay and Delson, 1979; Delson, 1980; Alba et al., 2008, 2011, 2018; Roos et al., 2019). Overall, three extinct subspecies of *M. sylvanus* are tentatively distinguished (Alba et al., 2008, 2018): *Macaca sylvanus prisca*, from the Pliocene of Europe, sometimes found associated with other fossil cercopithecids (i.e., *Dolichopithecus* and *Mesopithecus*; Eronen and Rook, 2004; Alba et al., 2014, 2018); *Macaca sylvanus florentina*, from the Late Pliocene to the Early Pleistocene of southern and central Europe (Alba et al., 2011; Rook et al., 2013; Marigó et al., 2014); and *Macaca sylvanus pliocena*, from the Middle Pleistocene of Europe plus Caucasus and Israel (Delson, 1980; Tchernov and Volokita, 1986; Maschenko and Baryshnikov, 2002). In turn, macaque remains from the Early to Middle Pleistocene of Sardinia are usually assigned to a distinct species, *Macaca majori* Azzaroli, 1946 (Gentili et al., 1998; Rook and O'Higgins, 2005; Zoboli et al., 2016).

Figure 1. Virtual reconstruction of the fossil specimens Ty5199 (A) and Ty5203 (B) of *Macaca majori* from Capo Figari, in frontal (A1, B1), left lateral (A2, B2), right lateral (A3, B3), and basal (A4, B4) views. The scanning resolution of Ty5199 and Ty5203 is 60.0 and 48.0 μm, respectively.

1.2. Τhe Sardinian fossil macaque

Macaca majori was described on the basis of a large fossil sample found in Capo Figari fossil site

(Golfo Aranci, northeastern Sardinia; Azzaroli, 1946). Additional fossil remains were recovered from

other fossil sites, such as Is Oreris (Fluminimaggiore) in southwestern Sardinia and more recently from

fissure fillings at Monte Tuttavista (Orosei) in southwestern and eastern Sardinia respectively (Abbazzi et al., 2004; Palombo, 2006; Zoboli et al., 2016). Its overall smaller size compared to other contemporaneous fossil macaques from mainland Europe along with a series of craniodental differences support the presence of an endemic dwarfed distinct species in Sardinia (Rook and O'Higgins, 2005; Zoboli et al., 2016).

The chronostratigraphic range of *M. majori* remains uncertain (Sondaar, 1987; Sondaar and Van der Geer, 2005; Abbazzi et al., 2008; Palombo and Rozzi, 2014). *Macaca majori* was undoubtedly present in Sardinia during the Early to Middle Pleistocene (Van der Made, 1999), yet the time of arrival of the genus *Macaca* to Sardinia is unclear (see Palombo, 2006 and references therein). The absence of *M. majori* from the fossil record of Sardinia after the Middle Pleistocene suggests that the species became extinct by then, although the causes remain unknown (Abbazzi et al., 2004).

1.3. Dietary ecology of fossil European Plio–Pleistocene macaques

The dietary ecology of modern macaque species has been extensively studied (e.g., Maruhashi, 1980; Fooden, 1982; Fa, 1989; Krishnamani, 1994; Agetsuma, 1995; Hill, 1997; Menard and Vallet, 1997; O' Brien and Kinnaird, 1997; Hanya et al., 2003; Pombo et al., 2004; O'Regan et al., 2008; Hanya et al., 2011; Albert et al., 2013; Sengupta et al., 2014; Richter et al., 2015; Tsuji et al., 2015). In general, extant macaques are usually regarded as opportunistic and highly eclectic feeders exploiting a wide variety of food items available in their habitats (Fa, 1989; Thierry, 2011). They primarily depend on plant matter for food, even though they may supplement their diet with faunal resources like insects, invertebrates, eggs, fish, gastropods, and crustaceans (Fooden, 2000; O'Regan et al., 2008; Stewart et al., 2008; Pal et al., 2018). However, dietary information for fossil macaques is sparse (Ramírez-Pedraza et al., 2023) and there is a lack of information for fossil macaque species from Europe.

The presumed closest extant relative of fossil European macaques is *Macaca sylvanus sylvanus* from North Africa, which appears to prefer fruit and/or seeds such as acorns when available but also includes large amounts of leaves in its diet (Ménard and Vallet, 1997; Ménard, 2002). This seems to be a common dietary strategy in macaque species found in temperate habitats, such as *Macaca fuscata* from Japan and some *Macaca mulatta* populations found in high altitudes in China (Hanya et al., 2011; Sengupta and Radhakrishna, 2016; Cui et al., 2019, 2020). By contrast, species found in tropical habitats, such as *Macaca nemestrina* and *Macaca nigra*, apparently consume more fruits than do those in temperate and marginal zones (O'Brien and Kinnaird, 1997; Hanya et al., 2013; Ruppert et al., 2018). In addition, geographical and regional factors that affect the availability of vegetal resources may also influence the dietary choices of some macaque species (e.g., Agetsuma and Nakagawa, 1998; Hanya et al., 2003, 2004; Hanya, 2004). Since European Plio–Pleistocene macaques probably dwelled in temperate and marginal habitats, it may be assumed that they followed dietary strategies similar to those seen in extant temperate species (e.g., Elton and O'Regan, 2014). However, it must be noted that behaviors observed in modern species are not always equivalent to those of extinct taxa, especially when considering the anthropogenic effects on present-day ecosystems.

This work examines the dietary niches of fossil Plio–Pleistocene European macaques, focusing on *M. majori* from Sardinia. Previous research revealed that *M. majori* possesses some unique dental anatomical traits (overall more robust dentition with molars having a more 'inflated' morphology) compared with other species of the genus *Macaca* (Szalay and Delson, 1979; Zanaga, 1998). It has been previously assumed that these derived features might reflect specific dietary adaptations (e.g., Rook and O' Higgins, 2005), potentially towards an overall more durophagous dietary niche. Here, we evaluate this hypothesis by examining the molar morphology of *M. majori* along with its dental microwear texture. We assume that, if its molars possess some distinctive morphological features that could be suggestive of an overall more durophagous diet compared to other cercopithecids, this will also be reflected in its dental

microwear textures. To that end, we first assess the molar morphology of *M. majori* (i.e., via enamel thickness and dental topography) using two fossil molars from two specimens from Capo Figari (Ty5199 and Ty5203; Fig. 1) and compare these with a broad sample of modern cercopithecids which includes folivorous, fruit/seed and mixed feeding species. We then assess the microwear textures of *M. majori* by comparing them with a series of modern cercopithecids with folivorous, fruit/seed eating and mixed feeding dietary habits. Furthermore, in the dental microwear comparative sample we included two extant macaques found in temperate habitats (*M. sylvanus* and *M. fuscata*) and one in more tropical (*M. nemestrina*) and other European mainland *Macaca* fossil specimens (here assigned to *M. s. florentina*). As previously mentioned, due to the opportunistic dietary nature of the extant macaques usually there are distinct differences in the dietary composition of macaques found in temperate habitats compared to macaques found in tropical habitats (Ménard, 2002, Hanya et al., 2011, 2013), that could be reflected in their microwear textures. Therefore, differences and/or similarities in the microwear textures between extant macaque species will allow us to better comprehend ecological factors that may have influenced the diet of the fossil European species (i.e., *M. majori* and *M. s. florentina*). In addition, as previous research suggests inter-population differences in the dietary choices and feeding behavior of some temperate macaque species most likely associated with habitat differences (e.g., Maruhashi, 1980; Agetsuma and Nakagawa, 1995; Ménard and Qarro, 1999), it is possible that this also applied to European mainland fossil macaques and *M. majori*. To evaluate this possibility, we also explore microwear texture differences among three extant populations of a temperate macaque species in our microwear sample, *M. fuscata*, found in northern (Aomori), central (Nagano) and southern (Yakushima) Japan, assuming that potential microwear texture differences will enable us to better understand the dietary ecology of extant and fossil macaques found in temperate environments. Our results have important implications for understanding the diversity of the genus *Macaca* in Europe, its paleoecology during the Plio–Pleistocene and the dietary strategies of extant macaque species. If *M. majori* potentially occupies a dietary niche

relatively distinct from the modern cercopithecids compared here and its European mainland fossil macaques, this may suggest that ecological differences among paleohabitats (e.g., European mainland and Sardinian insular) may have promoted different dietary strategies that favored certain feeding adaptations. This further implies that the opportunistic and generalist dietary nature of macaques may have been a key trait for their extensive geographic distribution and ecological success (e.g., Elton and O'Regan, 2014).

2. Material and methods

2.1. Studied material

Enamel thickness and dental topography The fossil sample of *M. majori* consists of two virtual models of M²s (Fig. 2) from Capo Figari (Sardinia, Italy) housed in the Naturhistorisches Museum Basel (Switzerland). These molars are slightly to moderately worn; following Delson (1973) Ty5203 scores as wear grade B and Ty5199 as wear grade C.

The extant comparative sample consists of 44 M^2 s belonging to 23 cercopithecid species, including papionins, cercopithecins and Asian and African colobines (Supplementary Online Material [SOM] Table S1). All teeth are derived from dry skulls housed in museums and other public institutions. Following previous standard approaches, only individuals with unworn or minimally worn M^2 s were selected, corresponding to grades A to B according to the scoring system of Delson (1973), to ensure that no macroelements were significantly altered by wear (e.g., Olejniczak et al., 2008; Guy et al., 2015; Thiery et al., 2017c).

Figure 2. Virtual reconstructions of the M²s of the *Macaca majori* specimens after segmentation from μCT images (A1: Ty5203; A2: Ty5199), after using the surface preparation and orientation protocol (B1: Ty5203; B2: Ty5199) and the subsampled enamel surfaces (in red; C1: Ty5203; C2: Ty5199). The scanning resolution of the Ty5199 and Ty5203 fossil molars is 20.0 μm.

Dental microwear texture analysis The macaque fossil material included in the dental microwear texture analysis (DMTA) consists of 39 molars from fossil sites in Italy and Spain (SOM Table S2): 30 belong to *M. majori* from Capo Figari (Sardinia), five to *M. s. florentina* from the Upper Valdarno fossil localities, one to *Macaca s.* cf*. prisca* from Villafranca d' Asti–Fornace RDB, and three to *Macaca s.* cf*. florentina* from Cal Guardiola and Vallparadís fossil sites (see Alba et al., 2008). As sample sizes are too small to explore dental microwear texture differences among extinct macaque subspecies or fossil sites of mainland Europe, here we pool the fossil specimens at the species level (SOM Table S2). The fossil specimens from the Cal Guardiola and Vallparadís localities are housed in the Institut Català de Paleontologia Miquel Crusafont (Spain), and those from Capo Figari, Upper Valdarno and Villafranca d' Asti–Fornace RDB are housed in the Naturhistorisches Museum Basel (Switzerland). The extant comparative sample includes only individuals from wild/natural populations of eight cercopithecid species with dietary behaviors that we assume that brackets the extremes of food mechanical properties (i.e., soft-tough, hard-brittle, and more diverse; see SOM Table S3): six species of papionins (*Macaca fuscata*, *n* = 67 [Aomori population, *n* = 26; Nagano population, *n* = 27; Yakushima population, *n* = 14]; *Macaca nemestrina*, *n* = 11; *Macaca sylvanus*, *n* = 9; *Lophocebus albigena*, *n* = 14; *Papio hamadryas*, *n* = 39; *Mandrillus sphinx*, *n* = 33), a cercopithecine (*Chlorocebus aethiops*, *n* = 36), and a colobine (*Colobus guereza*, *n* = 20).

2.2. Dietary categorization

Following Plastiras et al. (2022), all species used in this study were assigned to one of three general dietary categories: folivory, mixed feeding or fruit/seed consumption. This classification is based on dietary information from previously published studies on wild populations (SOM Table S3 and citations therein). Some extant species were used only in enamel thickness and dental topographic analyses, while others only in dental microwear analysis, because there were not available data for both methodologies for all extant species shown in SOM Table S3. However, it is important to note the dietary categorization used here was not based on the actual mechanical properties of the foods ingested and masticated, with studies showing that broad dietary categorization may not always accurately track the food material properties (e.g., Vogel et al., 2008, 2014; McGraw et al., 2012; Smith et al., 2012; Coiner-Collier et al., 2016). Even if that is the case and these dietary categories may be relatively broad, we expect them to reflect dental morphological and microwear differences among primates with contrasting dietary preferences (e.g., folivores vs. fruit/seed-eaters), as well as intermediate morphologies and microwear textures associated with mixed diets (Plastiras et al., 2022).

2.3. Data collection

Dental topographic and enamel thickness analyses The fossil and extant M²s were scanned using the EasyTom XL duo μCT (Plateforme PLATINA, PALEVOPRIM, University of Poitiers, France) with a voxel resolution ranging from 19.0 to 40.0 micrometers (μm). The μCT scans were processed in Avizo v. 7.0 (Visualization Sciences Group, 2011, Berlin). Each enamel cap was isolated from the dentine tissue using automatic segmentation tools and then was smoothed using the 'smoothing labels' command (size = 3, 3D volume). Each resulting enamel cap surface was extracted using the 'generate surface' module with the unconstrained smoothing type (smoothing extent = 3). The resulting enamel caps then were separated into two components, the outer enamel surface (OES) and the enamel-dentine junction surface (EDJ), using Geomagic studio 2013 (3D Systems Inc., Rock Hill). After removing potential artifacts (e.g., small holes, intersecting triangles produced by the tessellation procedure), the resulting surfaces were set to an equivalent number of polygons (55,000) by a re-tessellation of the original polyhedral surface, with each polygon retaining an equivalent size. This procedure has been previously shown to have no significant affect any macroscopic features present on the tooth crown (Guy et al., 2015; Thiery et al., 2017c, 2021; Plastiras et al., 2022). Τhe position and orientation of all OES/EDJ couples were standardized using a reference plane, created by a best-fit plane procedure applied on the occlusal basin of the EDJ surface, which represents the virtual space xy axis. The x-axis was then aligned with an axis formed by connecting the dentine horn tips of the paracone and protocone. Lastly, the lowermost point of each molar cervix was set to x, y, 0 so that the crown height could be measured on a z positive scale (see Guy et al., 2015; Thiery et al., 2017c; Plastiras et al., 2022).

Topographic and enamel thickness variables are usually measured on either subsampled surfaces (i.e., cropped OES and EDJ on their lowermost point) or the entire enamel cap (Ulhaas et al., 2004; Boyer, 2008; Bunn and Ungar, 2009; Ledogar et al., 2013; Guy et al., 2013; Winchester et al., 2014; Allen et al., 2015; Guy et al.,2015; Pampush et al., 2016; Zanolli et al., 2016; Thiery et al., 2017c; Berthaume et al., 2018, 2020; Plastiras et al., 2022). However, only few studies have incorporated both cropped and uncropped dental crown surfaces (Prufrock et al., 2016; Winchester, 2016; Berthaume et al., 2019), with some suggesting that some topographic measures better correlate with diet when considering subsampled occlusal 3D surfaces (e.g., Allen et al., 2015) because the subsampling process minimizes the influence of some tooth elements that may not actively participate in food processing. Nevertheless, so far to our knowledge there has been no quantitative investigation on the relationship between the two sampling methods with respect to diet. In this work, all enamel thickness and dental topographic variables (see below) were measured using both sampling methods; the 'basin cut off' (BCO) and 'entire enamel cap' (EEC; sensu Berthaume et al., 2019). We did this because we wanted to explore the differences between sampling methods in the studied variables, the relationship between their resulting values and diet, and to provide a baseline for comparisons for future studies.

Seven variables were measured on each molar (SOM Table S1). We used three variables that characterize enamel thickness. Two of these variables estimate 3D relative enamel thickness—the volumetric variable, which measures the volume of the enamel cap (3DRETvol; Martin, 1985; Kono, 2004; Olejniczak et al., 2008), and the geometric variable, which assesses and depicts the 3D spatial distribution of enamel on the tooth crown as the shortest normal distance from the 3D polygonal meshes of the outer enamel surface to the enamel dentine junction surface (3DRETgeo; Thiery et al., 2017b, 2019). Additionally, we measured absolute crown strength (ACS; Schwartz et al., 2020), a linear measure of average enamel thickness modeled as a hemisphere assuming a uniform distribution, that is used to assess the resistance of teeth to fracture (see Schwartz et al., 2020: SOM Fig. S1). Furthermore,

we measured three variables that characterize aspects of molar topography. Dental relief was assessed by relief index (LRFI) and inclination (Boyer, 2008; Guy et al., 2013, 2015) whereas curvature/sharpness was assessed by the area-relative curvature (ARC; Guy et al., 2017; Thiery et al, 2021; Plastiras et al., 2022). The latter curvature-based measure corresponds to the mean curvature normalized by the size of the tooth using a theoretical linear model (see SOM S1). Compared to previous estimates (e.g., Dirichlet normal energy, Bunn et al., 2011), it provides a quantitative and more detailed assessment of curvature, a notion further advocated by later similar metrics (e.g., Pampush et al., 2022). Nevertheless, topographic variables may be considered as abstract expressions of dental form that may be able to discriminate different morphologies but do not necessarily capture only functionally relevant information (Pampush et al., 2022). Lastly, we considered the two-dimensional projection of the occlusal enamel surface (OES 2D) of each specimen as an estimate of the area of the molar crown. Calculations for 3DRETgeo, ACS, LRFI, inclination, ARC, and OES 2D were performed using the beta version of the 'Doolkit' package (Thiery et al., 2021) in R v. 3.6 (R Core Team, 2013), while 3DRETvol was calculated using Geomagic studio 2013 (3D Systems Inc., 2013).

Dental microwear texture analysis Data for DMTA were collected on molar Phase II (crushing) and Phase I (shearing) facets (Maier, 1977). In this analysis, we include both Phase I and II facets, as both facet types bear dietary signals (Louail et al., 2021; Merceron et al., 2021; Plastiras et al., 2022). Analyses were preferentially based on upper and lower M2s in extant species, but the fossil sample also includes some M1s and M3s. Experimental work has shown no significant variation along the upper and lower molar sequences (Ramdarshan et al., 2017).

Following standard protocols, teeth were cleaned and then molded with a silicone dental molding material (polyvinyl siloxane Coltene Whaledent, President Regular Body). Each dental facet was isolated from the silicon molds and then scanned with 'TRIDENT', a confocal DCM8 Leica Microsystems surface profilometer housed at the PALEVOPRIM lab (CNRS and University of Poitiers) using a 100× lens

(see details in Merceron et al., 2016: Supplementary Information). The scanned surfaces were mirrored and automatically freed from any abnormal peaks, and a 200×200 μ m area was then extracted and saved as a digital elevation model to be used for DMTA. The resulting data were analyzed in Toothfrax v. 1.0, Sfrax software (Surfract, [www.surfract.com\)](http://www.surfract.com/) and LeicaMap 7.4 (Leica Microsystems). Four variables were used to characterize microwear surface textures (Scott et al., 2006): complexity (Asfc; dimensionless), anisotropy (epLsar at 1.8 μm; dimensionless), heterogeneity (Hasfc with 81 cells; dimensionless), and textural fill volume (Tfv at the scale of 2.0 μ m; in μ m³).

2.4. Statistical analysis

Dental topographic and enamel thickness analyses Similar dental traits among closely related species (e.g., thick/thin enamel, high/low dental relief) may be due to phylogenetic relatedness, while other factors may have also influenced their evolution (e.g., diet). Estimating the phylogenetic signal may provide some insights into how particular traits possibly evolved (see Symonds and Blomberg, 2014). Hence, we assessed the relationships among the six topographic and enamel thickness variables (e.g., 3DRETvol, 3DRETgeo, ACS, LRFI, inclination, ARC) for both sampling methods (i.e., BCO and EEC) using phylogenetic generalized least squares (PGLS) regression analysis on species averages, which allowed us to evaluate the potential effects of phylogeny on the distribution of the data (see also Winchester et al., 2014; Boyer et al., 2015; Pampush et al., 2016; Thiery, et al., 2017c; Plastiras et al., 2022). The effect of phylogeny was measured using Pagel's lambda (λ΄Pagel, 1994, 1999; Freckleton et al., 2002), which is a measure of phylogenetic signal that ranges from 0 to 1 (0 representing no phylogenetic structuring, 1 representing a perfect fit between data and a Brownian motion model of evolutionary change). A phylogeny for the 23 cercopithecid species included in this study was generated using a consensus tree (100 iterations) downloaded from the 10k Trees Project website v. 3 (Arnold et al., 2010). We also

included OES 2D in our PGLS regression to investigate the effect of size on the distribution of data. To perform the PGLS regression we used the 'caper' package v. 1.0.1 (Orme et al., 2013) in R v. 3.6 (R Core Team, 2013).

To explore the dental traits of the fossil molars of *M. majori* with respect to diet, we first examined the morphological differences among the proposed dietary categories using both sampling methods (BCO and EEC). This was done in SPSS v. 22.0 (IBM Corp, 2013) by comparing the variables among the dietary categories (3DRETvol, 3DRETgeo, ACS, LRFI, inclination, and ARC) using the nonparametric Kruskal-Wallis test, followed by Dunn's post-hoc tests with Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons, and a significance level set to 0.05. Visualizations were performed using R v. 3.6 (R Core Team, 2013). Lastly, two principal component analyses (PCAs) were performed, one for each sampling method, to summarize group differences and to determine where the fossil molars fell with respect to the range of variation of the comparative sample. Computations and visualizations for the PCAs were performed using PAST v. 3.22 (Hammer et al., 2001).

Dental microwear texture analysis To identify microwear texture differences associated with diet, nonparametric Kruskal-Wallis tests were performed on extant species and the fossil macaques (i.e., *M. majori* and *M. s. florentina*) to determine which variables differ significantly among species for each dental facet type (i.e., Phase II and Phase I). Lastly, two nonparametric Kruskal-Wallis tests were performed among the extant populations of *M. fuscata* (i.e., from Aomori, Nagano and Yakushima) to explore potential inter-population differences in dental microwear textures. For all Kruskal-Wallis tests, post-hoc pairwise comparisons were performed for both dental facet types using Dunn's post-hoc tests with Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons, with a significance level set to 0.05. All computations were performed using SPSS v. 22.0 (IBM Corp, 2013).

3. Results

3.1. Dental topographic and enamel thickness analyses

The descriptive statistics of all enamel thickness and dental topographic variables of each dietary category are given in Table 1, while the results of the PGLS analysis are summarized in Table 2. The PGLS analysis reveals significant correlations between pairs of variables, with some suggesting that they are influenced by the phylogenetic structure of the comparative sample (Table 2). It is worth noting that measures of 3D relative enamel thickness (3DRETvol and 3DRETgeo) are strongly correlated with both relief estimates (LRFI and inclination) with a relatively low phylogenetic effect, although the phylogenetic signal varies depending on the sampling method. For instance, 3DRETvol is significantly correlated with inclination and LRFI in both sampling methods, yet based on BCO there seems to be no phylogenetic effect, whereas based on EEC there is some (λ = 0.204 and λ = 0.273 respectively; see Table 2). On the other hand, ACS is correlated with inclination only when considering EEC and with no phylogenetic effect. Furthermore, some significant correlations among variable pairs seem to be influenced by the sampling method, some being significantly correlated based on the BCO method, others based on the EEC method (Table 2). In addition, size (e.g., OES 2D) is significantly correlated with ACS, 3DRETvol, 3DRETgeo and inclination, yet with 3DRETvol and 3DRETgeo only in BCO method, whereas with inclination only in EEC (Table 2).

Table 1

fruit/seed eaters, mixed feeders) for both sampling methods (BCO and EEC).
 $\frac{1}{BCO}$

BCO **Diet** *n***sp** *n***ind BCO EEC** 3DRETvol 3DRETgeo ACS ARC LRFI inclination 3DRETvol 3DRETgeo ACS ARC LRFI inclination Folivores 8 18 Mean 0.189 0.177 1.227 1.804 0.347 119.432 0.119 0.103 105.347 2.153 0.562 105.347 sd 0.028 0.026 0.162 0.106 0.038 2.623 0.013 0.010 1.641 0.124 0.029 1.641 95% Upper 0.203 0.190 1.308 1.857 0.366 120.737 0.126 0.108 106.195 2.216 0.547 106.195 Lower 0.174 0.164 1.146 1.751 0.328 118.127 0.112 0.098 105.378 2.091 0.576 105.378 Fruit/seed eaters 8 13 Mean 0.291 0.280 1.405 1.51 0.278 124.572 0.146 0.125 108.118 1.895 0.524 108.118 sd 0.055 0.053 0.204 0.12 0.044 3.357 0.021 0.016 2.261 0.113 0.036 2.261 95% Upper 0.325 0.312 1.528 1.583 0.305 126.601 0.160 0.135 109.485 1.963 0.546 109.485 Lower 0.258 0.248 1.408 1.437 0.251 122.543 0.133 0.115 106.751 1.826 0.502 106.751 Mixed feeders 8 13 Mean 0.229 0.228 1.897 1.617 0.3 122.766 0.152 0.126 108.112 1.982 0.528 108.112 sd 0.036 0.026 0.611 0.111 0.043 3.542 0.022 0.012 3.246 0.149 0.042 3.246 95% Upper 0.251 0.244 2.267 1.685 0.326 124.907 0.166 0.133 110.074 2.072 0.553 110.074 Lower 0.207 0.212 1.527 1.55 0.274 120.625 0.139 0.118 106.151 1.891 0.502 106.151

Descriptive statistics for dental topographic and enamel thickness variables of the dietary categories proposed (i.e., folivores,

Abbreviations: n_{sp} = number of species; n_{ind} = number of individuals of all species; BCO = basin cut off cropping method (after Berthaume et al., 2019); EEC = entire enamel cap method (after Berthaume et al., 2019); sd = standard deviation; 95% = 95% confidence interval; 3DRETvol = 3D volumetric relative enamel thickness; 3DRETgeo = 3D geometric relative enamel thickness; ACS = absolute crown strength; LRFI = relief index; ARC = area-relative curvature.

Table 2

Phylogenetic generalized least squares correlations on species averages between dental topographic and enamel thickness

variables for both sampling methods.

Abbreviations: BCO = basin cut off cropping method (after Berthaume et al., 2019); EEC = entire enamel cap method (after Berthaume et al., 2019); 3DRETvol = 3D volumetric relative enamel thickness; 3DRETgeo = 3D geometric relative enamel thickness; ACS = absolute crown strength; LRFI = relief index; ARC = area-relative curvature; OES 2D = 2D occlusal enamel surface; std. error = standard error of the slope; AIC = Akaike information criterion; LogL = Log likelihood; BIC = Bayesian information criterion; r^2 = coefficient of determination.

a Pagel's λ is a measure of phylogenetic signal, with 1 representing a perfect fit between the data and a Brownian motion model of change in values through evolution, and 0 representing no phylogenetic structuring.

 b Pairs of variables that are significantly correlated are in bold (alpha = 0.05).

 \circ Multiple r² measures the variation that is explained by the regression model (values range from 0 to 1) and it increases or remains the same as new predictors are added to the multiple regression model. The adjusted $r²$ measures the variation for a multiple regression model and helps determine the how well it fits, while it takes into account the number of independent variables in the model in order to avoid overestimating the impact of adding independent variables to the model.

^d The degrees of freedom for each correlation are 2.

Figure 3. Boxplots showing the results of the dental topographic and enamel thickness analyses. A) threedimensional relative enamel thickness (volumetric); B) three-dimensional relative enamel thickness (geometric); C) absolute crown strength (ACS); D) area-relative curvature (Guy et al., 2017); E) relief index (Boyer, 2008); F) inclination. Data for the dietary categories represented in the sample of extant cercopithecids and *Macaca majori* (Ty5199 and Ty5203) are represented for both BCO (basin cut off, in red) and EEC (entire enamel cap, in blue) sampling methods. The horizontal center line marks the median, the lower and upper bounds of the box mark the 25th and 75th percentiles, whiskers represent the minimum and maximum interquartiles (1.5*interquartile range), and filled (black) circles represent outliers.

Table 3. Kruskal Wallis tests on enamel thickness and dental topographic variables among the proposed dietary categories (i.e., folivores, fruit/seed eaters, mixed feeders) for the two sampling methods (BCO and EEC).

Abbreviations: df = degrees of freedom; BCO = basin cut off cropping method (after Berthaume et al., 2019); EEC = entire enamel cap method (after Berthaume et al., 2019); 3DRETvol = 3D volumetric relative enamel thickness; 3DRETgeo = 3D geometric relative enamel thickness; ACS = absolute crown strength; ARC = area-relative curvature; LRFI = relief index.

The results of the Kruskal-Wallis tests indicate significant differences in all variables (3DRETvol,

3DRETgeo, ACS, LRFI, inclination and ARC) among dietary categories, consistent for both sampling methods (Table 3). Concerning the BCO method, the pairwise comparisons with Bonferroni adjustment reveal that folivores have significantly lower values of 3DRETvol compared to the fruit/seed eaters (Fig. 3A; Table 4), whereas in terms of 3DRETgeo folivores have significantly lower values compared to both fruit/seed eaters and mixed feeders (Fig. 3B; Table 4). Furthermore, folivores also possess significantly lower values of ACS compared to mixed feeders (Fig. 3C; Table 4), while they also possess significantly higher values of ARC, LRFI and significantly lower values of inclination compared to both fruit/seed eaters and mixed feeders (Fig. 3D–F; Table 4). Concerning the EEC method, the pairwise comparisons with Bonferroni adjustment reveal that the folivores exhibit significantly lower values of 3DRETvol and 3DRETgeo compared to fruit/seed eaters and mixed feeders (Fig. 3A; Table 4). Moreover, the folivorous species have significantly lower values of ACS compared mixed feeders (Fig. 3C; Table 4). Lastly, folivores also exhibit significantly higher and lower values of ARC and inclination, respectively, compared to both

fruit/seed eaters and mixed feeders, while they also exhibit significantly higher values of LRFI compared

only to the fruit/seed eaters (Fig. 3D–F; Table 4).

The results of PCAs based on the values of the enamel thickness and dental topographic

variables of the M²s from the extant sample of cercopithecids and the two fossil specimens (Ty5199 and

Ty5203) for both sampling methods are shown in Figure 4. The cumulative variance of the first two

principal components (PC1 and PC2) is 86.04% of the total variance for the BCO sampling method and

83.350% for EEC (SOM Table S4). The proposed dietary categories show less overlap for the BCO method

compared to EEC (Fig. 4A, B).

Table 4 . Dunn's post-hoc tests among the proposed dietary categories (i.e., folivores, fruit/seed eaters, mixed feeders) with *p*-values (before and after Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons) for the enamel thickness and dental topographic variables for both sampling methods. Significant differences following the Bonferroni correction are highlighted in bold.

Abbreviations: BCO = basin cut off cropping method (after Berthaume et al., 2019); EEC = entire enamel cap method (after Berthaume et al., 2019); 3DRETvol = 3D volumetric relative enamel thickness; 3DRETgeo = 3D geometric relative enamel thickness; ACS = absolute crown strength; ARC = arearelative curvature; LRFI = relief index. a Asymptotic significances (two-sided tests) are displayed with

significance level set at 0.05. **b** Significance values have been adjusted by the Bonferroni correction for multiple tests.

Figure 4. Results of the principal component analyses (PCAs) as bivariate plots of the first and second principal components (PC1 and PC2, respectively) based on enamel thickness and dental topographic variables of the three dietary categories with convex hulls using both BCO (A) and EEC (B) including the fossil M2 s (Ty5199 and Ty5203). Blue = mixed feeders (1 = *Papio*; 2 = *Mandrillus*; 3 = *Cercopithecus campbelli*; 4 = *Chlorocebus aethiops*; 5 = *Erythrocebus patas*; 6 = *Macaca sylvanus*); orange = fruit/seed eaters (1 = *Lophocebus albigena*; 2 = *Lophocebus atterimus;* 3= *Cercocebus torquatus*; 4 = *Cercocebus galeritus*; 5 = *Cercopithecus diana*; 6 = *Cercopithecus pogonias*; 7 = *Cercopithecus nictitans;* 8 = *Cercopithecus cephus*); green = folivores (1 = *Colobus polykomos*; 2 = *Colobus guereza*; 3 = *Procolobus verus*; 4 = *Piliocolobus badius*; 5 = *Colobus satanas*; 6 = *Trachypithecus cristatus*; 7 = *Semnopithecus entellus*; 8 = *Nasalis larvatus*).

In the PCA using the data acquired with the BCO method (Fig. 4A), PC1 accounts for 70.86% of the total variance and is primarily explained by 3DRETgeo (19.03%), LRFI (18.61%), and inclination (18.53%), followed by ARC (17.74%), 3DRETvol (17.48%), and ACS (8.57%). All variables except LRFI and ARC have positive loadings (SOM Tables S4 and S5). In turn, PC2 accounts for 15.71% of the total variance and is mostly explained by ACS (52.71%) followed by 3DRETvol (17.32%), 3DRETgeo (10.10%), ARC (9.06%), LRFI (7.62%), and inclination (3.17%). In this case, 3DRETvol, 3DRETgeo and LRFI have negative loadings while the remaining variables (ACS, inclination, ARC) have positive loadings (SOM Table S5). In the PCA using the data acquired with the EEC method (Fig. 4B), PC1 accounts for 69.93% of the total variance and is primarily explained by inclination (18.39%), followed by 3DRETvol (17.82%), 3DRETgeo (17.21%), LRFI (16.68%), ARC (14.92%), and ACS (14.59%). In this case, ARC and LRFI have negative loadings whereas the remaining variables (3DRETvol, 3DRETgeo, ACS, and inclination) have positive loadings (SOM Table S5). The second PC accounts for 13.41% of the total variance and is mainly explained by ACS (24.72%) and ARC (23.20%), followed by 3DRETgeo (18.17%), LRFI (16.97%), inclination (13.56%), and 3DRETvol (13.05%). In this case, 3DRETvol, 3DRETgeo, and LRFI have negative loadings, while the rest of the variables (i.e., ACS, ARC, and inclination) have positive loadings (SOM Table S5). In both cases, the fossil M²s of *M. majori* (5199 and Ty5203) are situated in the PC1–PC2 shape space closer to the fruit/seed eating cercopithecids (Fig. 4A, B).

Table 5. Descriptive statistics for microwear texture variables on phase II and phase I facets of fossil *Macaca* and extant species.

Abbreviations: Asfc = area-scale fractal complexity; epLsar = exact proportion length-scale anisotropy of relief; Hasfc₈₁ = heterogeneity of area-scale fractal complexity on 81 cells; Tfv = textural fill volume; CI = 95% confidence intervals.

3.2. Dental microwear texture analysis

The descriptive statistics for each microwear texture variable are given in Table 5 and the results of the Kruskal-Wallis tests in Table 6. Results for both Phase II and I facets showed significant differences among species in Asfc, epLsar, Hasfc $_{81}$, and Tfv (Table 6). The post-hoc pairwise comparisons that revealed significant differences between the extinct macaques (i.e., *M. majori* and *M. s. florentina*) and extant species are given in Table 7, whereas the post-hoc pairwise comparisons that showed significant differences among all extant species are shown in SOM Table S6. The pairwise comparisons that were not significant before and after Bonferroni correction are not reported.

In Phase II dental facets, the pairwise comparisons with Bonferroni correction indicate that *Co. guereza* exhibits significantly lower values of Asfc compared to *M. fuscata*, *Ch. aethiops*, *L. albigena*, *M. nemestrina*, and *M. sylvanus* (Fig. 5; SOM Table S6), while *P. hamadryas* also exhibits significantly lower values of Asfc compared to *M. sylvanus*. Furthermore, *M. fuscata* possess significantly lower values of Hasfc compared to *P. hamadryas*, *M. sylvanus*, *L. albigena*, and *M. nemestrina* (SOM Table S6). Lastly, *Ma. sphinx* possess significantly higher values of Tfv compared to *Co. guereza, P. hamadryas*, *M. fuscata*, and *Ch. Aethiops* (SOM Table S6). In Phase I dental facets, *Co. guereza* exhibits significantly lower values of Asfc compared to *Ch. aethiops*, *Ma. Sphinx*, *M. fuscata*, *L. albigena*, and *M. nemestrina* (SOM Table S6). Moreover, *M. nemestrina* possess significantly higher values of Hasfc compared to *Co. guereza*, *P. hamadryas*, and *M. fuscata*, with the latter taxon also exhibiting significantly lower values compared to *L. albigena* (SOM Table S6). Lastly, *Ma. Sphinx* possess significantly higher values of Tfv compared to *Co. guereza*, *P. hamadryas*, *Ch. aethiops*, and *M. fuscata* (SOM Table S6).

Figure 5. Bivariate plots (means with 95% confidence intervals) of complexity (Asfc) and anisotropy (epLsar) on phase I and phase II facets. The fossil sample of *Macaca majori* occupies a space suggestive of an overall more durophagous diet compared with *Macaca sylvanus florentina*.

Table 6. Results of Kruskal-Wallis tests between the fossil *Macaca* (*M. majori*, *M. s. florentina*) and extant species (*Co. guereza*, *M. fuscata, M. nemestrina, M. sylvanus, P. hamadryas, Ma. sphinx, L. albigena, Ch. aethiops)* and) on both phase I and phase II facets with species as factor. Significant differences are highlighted in bold.

Abbreviations: df = degrees of freedom; Asfc = area-scale fractal complexity; epLsar = exact proportion length-scale anisotropy of relief; Hasfc $_{81}$ = heterogeneity of area-scale fractal complexity on 81 cells; Tfv = textural fill volume.

Table 7. Dunn's post-hoc tests among the fossil *Macaca* (*Macaca majori*, *Macaca s. florentina*) and extant species with significant differences (before^a and after^b Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons) in dental microwear texture variables for both phase II and phase I facets. Significant differences after Bonferroni adjustment are shown in bold.

Abbreviations: Asfc = area-scale fractal complexity; epLsar = exact proportion length-scale anisotropy of relief; Hasfc $_{81}$ = heterogeneity of area scale fractal complexity on 81 cells; Tfv = textural fill volume. a Asymptotic significance (two-sided tests) are displayed with significance level set at 0.05.

b Significance values have been adjusted by the Bonferroni correction for multiple tests.

When extant species and fossil macaques are compared, the pairwise comparisons with Bonferroni

correction indicate that *M. majori* exhibits significant texture differences in both dental facet types

(Table 7). In Phase II dental facets, *M. majori* possess significantly higher values of Asfc compared to *Co.*

guereza, *P. hamadryas*, *Ma. sphinx*, *M. fuscata*, and *Ch. aethiops*, while it also exhibits significantly lower values of epLsar compared to *Ma. sphinx* and *Co. guereza* (Fig. 5; Table 7). Lastly, *M. majori* possess significantly higher values of Hasfc compared to *Ma. sphinx* (Table 7). Concerning Phase I dental facets, *M. majori* possess significantly higher values of Asfc compared to *M. s. florentina*, *Co. guereza*, *P. hamadryas*, *Ch. aethiops*, *Ma. sphinx*, and *M. fuscata*, and significantly lower values of epLsar compared to *M. fuscata* and *M. nemestrina* (Fig. 5; Table 7). Moreover, *M. majori* exhibits significantly higher values of Hasfc compared to *M. fuscata*, *Co. guereza* and *P. hamadryas* (Table 7), while in terms of Tfv, *M. majori* exhibits significantly higher values compared to *Co. guereza* and *M. s. florentina*, and significantly lower values compared to *Ma. sphinx* (Table 7).

Table 8. Results of Kruskal-Wallis tests among the wild populations (Aomori, Nagano, Yakushima) of *Macaca fuscata* for both phase I and phase II facets with locality as factor. Significant differences are highlighted in bold.

Abbreviations: df = degrees of freedom; Asfc = area-scale fractal complexity; epLsar = exact proportion length-scale anisotropy of relief; Hasfc₈₁ = heterogeneity of area-scale fractal complexity on 81 cells; Tfv = textural fill volume.

The Kruskal-Wallis tests applied to microwear textures of the wild populations of *M. fuscata* suggested some potential significant differences in the values of Hasfc and Asfc among populations depending on the dental facet type (Table 8). However, it was significant in only one case following Bonferroni correction. In Phase I dental facets, the northern population from Aomori exhibits significantly lower values of Asfc compared to the central from Nagano (Table 9).

Table 9. Dunn's post-hoc tests showing significant differences among the wild populations (Aomori, Nagano, Yakushima) of *Macaca fuscata* (beforea and afterb Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons) for both phase II and phase I facets. Significant differences are highlighted in bold.

Abbreviations: Asfc = area-scale fractal complexity; Hasfc $_{81}$ = heterogeneity of area-scale fractal complexity on 81 cells.

a Asymptotic significance (2-sided tests) are displayed with significance level set at 0.05.

b Significance values have been adjusted by the Bonferroni correction for multiple tests.

4. Discussion

The results of this study provide novel information regarding the dietary ecology of the

Sardinian fossil macaque (*M. majori)* and indicate that its typical dietary habits may have differed from

those of contemporaneous fossil macaques from mainland Europe (i.e., *M. s. florentina*). Below we

address the implications of our results in relation to the potential dietary niches of fossil European

macaques.

4.1. Molar morphology of Macaca majori relative to diet *as evidenced by dental topography and*

enamel thickness

Our comparisons of dental topographic measures support their utility in investigating dental morphology relative to the main dietary preferences of each proposed dietary category. More specifically, the values of ARC, LRFI, and inclination of the folivorous species generally differ significantly from both fruit/seed eating and mixed feeding cercopithecids with both sampling methods (Tables 3 and 4). Nevertheless, the significant differences are more marked between folivores and fruit/seed eaters, as mixed feeders display an intermediate condition (Fig. 3D–F). This is not surprising given that the mixed feeding category includes species with highly variable diets in terms of composition and presumed food material/geometric properties, which may be more effectively processed by a versatile molar morphology.

The comparisons of 3D enamel thickness (3DRETvol, 3DRETgeo) show that folivorous species tend to exhibit significantly lower values of 3DRETvol and 3DRETgeo compared to the fruit/seed eating and mixed feeding species. Likewise, the ACS comparisons also reveal significant differences between the folivores and the mixed feeders (Table 4). However, the observed differences in ACS between folivores and mixed feeders may also reflect molar size differences between species as this metric is directly influenced by overall tooth size, as shown by the significant correlation between ACS and OES 2D (Table 2; see also Schwartz et al., 2020; Guatelli-Steinberg et al., 2022; Plastiras et al., 2022).

The overall results of the dental topographic and enamel thickness analyses suggest that both Ty5199 and Ty5203 fossil molars of *M. majori* from Sardinia exhibit a combination of morphological features (e.g., shorter and more blunt molar cusps with very thick enamel) consistent with previous suggestions (e.g., Szalay and Delson, 1979; Zanaga, 1998), resembling those of fruit/seed eating cercopithecids which primarily rely on mechanically challenging food resources. In fact, both Ty5199 and Ty5203 fossil molars fall outside of the shape space occupied by the proposed dietary categories mostly due to their high 3D relative enamel thickness (volumetric and geometric) values (Fig. 4A, B). The thick enamel observed in Ty5199 and Ty5203 may reflect an adaptation that allowed *M. majori* molars to maintain structural integrity in the presence of high bite forces related to hard-object feeding (e.g., Kay, 1981; Lambert et al., 2004), and/or it could mean that *M. majori* had an overall more abrasive diet (e.g., Molnar and Gantt, 1977; King et al., 2005) and/or a broader diet in terms of food material properties. However, previous research on enamel thickness in the genus *Macaca* revealed that temperate
macaque species exhibit overall thicker molar enamel compared to tropical macaques, suggesting that thick enamel may be adaptive in seasonal environments (e.g., Kato et al., 2014). Nevertheless, enamel thickness seems to be an evolutionarily plastic trait, meaning that factors in addition to diet may promote changes (e.g., Hlusko et al., 2004; Kelly and Swanson, 2008; Pampush et al., 2013). Furthermore, several studies have demonstrated that broad characterizations of primate diets (e.g., folivore/fruit/seed eaters) fail to capture the fact that not all leaves are tough and not all fruits are soft while not all seeds are hard and/or actually masticated (e.g., Martin et al., 2003; Vogel et al., 2008, 2014; McGraw et al., 2012; Smith et al., 2012; Coiner-Collier et al., 2016). This means that not all foods within a given class (e.g., fruits, leaves, seeds etc.) are necessarily correlated with the material properties that would be expected to relate to specific dental morphological features, and the ingestion of certain food items does not necessarily provide information related to feeding behavior (i.e., seeds may be chewed or swallowed whole, etc.). This further implies that categorizing primate diets is indeed complex, as multiple factors may influence the dietary habits of primate species (Guillotin et al., 1994; Tutin et al., 1997; Stevenson et al., 2000; Brugiere et al., 2002; Worman and Chapman, 2006; Taylor et al., 2008, 2018; Schreier et al., 2009; Vinyard et al., 2009; Kamilar and Ledogar, 2011; Ross et al., 2012; McGraw et al., 2014; Hartstone-Rose et al., 2015, 2018; Coiner-Collier et al., 2016; Thiery et al., 2017c).

Additional studies would be required to test whether some of the observed molar morphological features (i.e., low curvature and relief), enamel thickness, as well as additional aspects of dental microstructure that were not investigated here (e.g., enamel prism decussation), are related to specific dietary requirements (i.e., abrasive diet and/or durophagy) of *M. majori*, and if *M. majori* differs (i.e., in molar features and/or in diet) from extant macaques (see Kato et al., 2014), as well as contemporaneous fossil species from mainland Europe (e.g., *M. s. florentina*). Lastly, studies on food material properties of extant macaques will undoubtedly be beneficial as currently there absence of

information regarding the mechanical properties of the foods ingested, bit, and/or chewed by macaques.

4.2. Dietary habits of Macaca majori *as evidenced by dental microwear texture analysis*

Dental microwear analysis is one of the most widely used dietary proxies, with several studies demonstrating its ability to track dietary patterns between primates with contrasting diets (e.g., leaf eaters and hard object foragers), but also to identify more subtle dietary differences (Teaford and Walker, 1984; Teaford, 1985; Teaford and Glander, 1991; El Zaatari et al., 2005; Teaford, 2007; Merceron et al., 2005, 2009, 2021; Scott et al., 2005, 2006, 2012; Ragni et al., 2017; Percher et al., 2018; Plastiras et al., 2022). Most of the previous dental microwear analyses in primates have been focused on occlusal dental facets, Phase II dental facets, as it has been suggested that they better discriminate among primate species with contrasting dietary habits (e.g., Krueger et al., 2008). However, more recent analyses suggest that incorporating Phase I dental facets may also be useful in primate dietary investigations (e.g., Merceron et al., 2021; Plastiras et al., 2022). As Phase I and II dental facets contribute to the shearing and crushing/grinding of food items respectively, it may be assumed that variation in textures on Phase I facets would reflect the abundance and availability of tough vegetal resource, whereas texture differences on Phase II facets would reflect other more mechanically challenging food resources. Nevertheless, this requires further investigation. Even if that is the case, our results support the potential of Phase I dental facets in primate dietary discriminations, and further highlight the overall more durophagous dietary habits of *M. majori*, in agreement with the limited available evidence from isotopic analysis (e.g., Chenery et al., 2008).

When evaluating the dietary signals of both dental facet types, the microwear texture of *M. majori* differs from that of some of the extant cercopithecids analyzed in ways which are suggestive of a more durophagous and/or abrasive diet (Table 7). For instance, it exhibits differences with *Co. guereza*,

a highly folivorous species, but also with mixed feeding species such as *P. hamadryas*, *Ma. sphinx*, *M. fuscata*, and *Ch. aethiops* in the values of Asfc in both dental facet types. In primates, higher values of Asfc are indicative of rough and complex dental surfaces, which are typically associated with durophagy, whereas higher values of epLsar are commonly associated with more frequent consumption of tough foliage (Scott et al., 2006, 2012; Merceron et al., 2009; Calandra and Merceron, 2016; Ragni et al., 2017; Plastiras et al., 2022). In fact, *M. majori* possess the highest values of Asfc and one the lowest values of epLsar in our comparative sample (Fig. 5; Table 5). Furthermore, the high values of Hasfc and Tfv of *M. majori* in both dental facet types are indicative of a more heterogeneous and rougher dental microwear texture, likely resulting from a more heterogeneous diet in terms of food wear particle size and food composition (e.g., Krueger et al., 2008; Scott et al., 2012; Ragni et al., 2017). Setting aside its differences with the extant species, *M. majori* also has significantly higher values of Asfc compared to *M. s. florentina*, at least on Phase I dental facets (Table 7), which may also be suggestive of a harder and/or more abrasive dietary component in the diet of the former compared to the latter.

Although extant macaques seem to primarily feed on fruit and/or seeds if/when available (Hanya et al., 2004; Krishnadas et al., 2011; Hanya et al., 2011; Richter et al., 2013, 2015; Sengupta et al., 2014), their dietary choices are heavily dependent on resource availability in their habitat (Hanya et al., 2011, 2013). For instance, *M. nemestrina*, which is mostly found in tropical habitats of southeast Asia, primarily relies on fruits and/or seeds throughout the year (Krishnamani, 1994; Albert et al., 2013; Sengupta and Radakrishna, 2016 and references therein). In contrast, species occupying temperate habitats with higher seasonality, such as *M. sylvanus* and *M. fuscata*, exhibit a broader dietary spectrum overall (e.g., Hanya et al., 2013; Tsuji et al., 2015). Furthermore, there seem to be dietary differences even among populations of the same species from different latitudes (e.g., Agetsuma and Nakagawa, 1998; Tsuji et al., 2015), as supported by the intraspecific variation of microwear textures revealed here among populations of *M. fuscata* (Table 8).

In particular, the central (Nagano) population of *M. fuscata* exhibits significantly higher Asfc in Phase I dental facets compared to the population from Aomori in North Japan (Table 9). These differences might be indicative of a more constrained dietary spectrum for the northern population in terms of vegetal resources, as reflected by food material properties (Rosenzweig, 1995; Zhou et al., 2011; Tsuji et al., 2015). Such differences may also be associated with the diversity of available vegetal resources which decreases towards northern latitudes in Japan (Maruhashi, 1980; Takasaki, 1981), and potentially other environmental and geographical factors that influence their availability (Hanya et al., 2003; Enari and Sakamaki-Enari, 2013; Tsuji et al., 2015). Regardless, these assumptions require further investigation as we need to better understand how such factors may influence macaque diets and, consequently, their microwear textures, while the inclusion of additional extant macaque species would undoubtedly enable more refined inferences. Notwithstanding these limitations, our results highlight the potential of dental microwear texture analysis in primate dietary investigations (e.g., Scott et al., 2012; Teaford et al., 2017; Martin et al., 2018; Percher et al., 2018; Ungar, 2019; Ungar et al., 2020; Merceron et al., 2021), as well as the potential of the genus *Macaca* for exploring further complex ecological questions, such as the relationship between diet, habitat, and environment.

4.3. The dietary niche of Macaca majori

The results of our analysis suggest that the analyzed M²s of *M. majori* (Ty5199 and Ty5203) display a morphology that more closely resembles durophagous cercopithecid species (i.e., very high values of enamel thickness as well as low relief and curvature), implying that they were adapted to process mechanically challenging food resources on a regular basis. In addition, the dietary behavior of *M. majori*, as evidenced by its microwear texture properties, is consistent with the observed molar morphology.

The complementary patterns of molar topography, enamel thickness, and dental microwear textures suggest that the Sardinian fossil macaque probably occupied a more durophagous dietary niche than did its fossil relatives, which might explain some of the previously observed craniodental differences; palate reduced in length, robust dentition with reduced diastemas, very short upper and lower P3s and molars with 'inflated' morphology (e.g., Szalay and Delson,1979; Zanaga, 1998; Rook and O'Higgins, 2005). The dietary niche of *M. majori* could potentially reflect

paleoecological/paleoenvironmental differences between mainland European and Sardinian paleohabitats (e.g., Rook and O'Higgins, 2005). Indeed, factors such as food resource variation, limitation and abundance, water availability, as well as population densities may differ between mainland and insular habitats (Sondaar, 1977; Sara and Morand, 2002; Palombo, 2008). As a result, insular endemic mammals are sometimes confronted with more mechanically challenging foods and/or abrasive ones (Schüle, 1993; Alcover et al., 1999; Ménard and Qarro, 1999; Hautier et al., 2009). Such an environmental pressure can select dental phenotype (Smith et al., 2011, 2012), and insular endemic mammals tend to have enlarged teeth relative to their body mass (van der Geer, 2014). Our results suggest that *M. majori* probably used mechanically challenging and/or abrasive food resources as diet staples, which could have been relatively abundant in Sardinian paleohabitats.

Sardinia, in particular, was home to megadont lagomorphs (Ochotonidae, Lagomorpha) of the genus *Prolagus* (Moncunill-Solé et al., 2021). Their enlarged cheek teeth (premolars and molars) are currently interpreted as an adaptation to chew large amounts of abrasive food (Moncunill-Solé et al., 2021; but see Angelone, 2005), although this matter has yet to be investigated. A putative consumption of more abrasive foods is consistent with the thick enamel of *M. majori*, as observed in other extant primates (Molnar and Gantt, 1977; Rabenold and Pearson, 2011). While extant macaques do not occupy the same niche as extant ochotonids, Sardinian macaques may have been confronted with the same abrasive resources because of low food abundance. Another explanation for a more abrasive diet is the

higher intake of adherent soil particles, possibly related to ingesting strategies such as rooting, overgrazing and near-surface browsing (Damuth and Janis, 2011). Again, this is consistent with the uniformly high complexity of dental microwear observed on both phase I and phase II facets in *M*. *majori*. Indeed, a higher intake of grit may have also contributed in the complex and rough microwear texture of *M. majori*, although, it is more likely that it was not the sole wear causing agent (Xia et al., 2015; Daegling et al., 2016; Merceron et al., 2016; Ungar et al., 2016; Hedberg and DeSantis, 2017; Adams et al., 2020; Hua et al., 2020; Schulz-Kornas et al., 2020; Teaford et al., 2021; but see Lucas et al., 2013; Van Casteren et al., 2018, 2019, 2020).

Alternatively, the dental phenotype of *M. majori* might be related to life history traits, such as an extended life span, because enamel thickness is also strongly correlated with longevity in extant primates (e.g., Molnar and Gantt; King et al., 2005; Pampush et al., 2013). Many insular endemic mammals are indeed characterized by a slower life history (Clauss et al. 2014; Köhler et al., 2021) and a slower life history has been associated with enlarged teeth and/or hypsodonty in extinct insular mammals (Alcover et al., 1999; Angelone, 2005; Köhler & Moyà-Solà 2010; Jordana et al., 2012). This interpretation does not exclude an effect of paleohabitat nor food properties. More likely, the dental morphology of *M. majori* (e.g., low relief and curvature and thick enamel) is the result of a complex interplay between ecological factors that influence the abundance, availability and distribution of food resources, their mechanical properties (e.g., abrasiveness, hardness, but also nutrient contents) and life history traits. Nevertheless, such assumptions require further investigation ideally with a larger dataset of fossil and extant macaque species.

5. Conclusions

The results of this work provide novel information regarding the dietary ecology of European fossil macaques, which enables a better understanding of their paleoecological context during the Plio– Pleistocene. We combined dental topographic, enamel thickness, and dental microwear texture analyses to characterize the dietary ecology of *M. majori* from Sardinia and draw inferences regarding the dietary niches of fossil European Plio–Pleistocene macaques. Our results indicate that the molar morphology of *M. majori* resembles durophagous cercopithecid species, implying that it probably consumed hard/mechanically challenging and/or abrasive food resources on a frequent basis. This interpretation is consistent with the results of microwear texture analysis, which indicate a highly durophagous dietary behavior. It is possible that mechanically challenging food and/or abrasive food resources, such as some fruits, seeds, and nuts may have been consumed frequently by *M. majori*. However, the contribution of adherent soil particles in its overall very rough dental microwear texture due to certain ingesting strategies (e.g., exploitation of underground storage organs), is also possible. Furthermore, the comparisons of microwear textures between *M. majori* and its fossil mainland relative, *M. s. florentina*, suggest that these macaques may have occupied different dietary niches. Although adequately understanding such differences would require additional investigation, such differences hint at paleoenvironmental differences between European mainland and Sardinian paleohabitats during the Plio–Pleistocene.

Data availability statement

The data that support the findings of this study were generated at the University of Poitiers (France), and access to the data is subject to regulations and approval of the original and governing institutions. These archives will be deposited in a national server that adheres to regulations of the original and governing institutions and will be made accessible once ongoing research programs are complete (within 1 to 3 years of the publication of the current paper). However, 3D meshes are still accessible upon reasonable request,

directly to authors of this study (F. G., and C.-A. P.), upon the authorization of the institutions holding the rights.

Acknowledgments

This research was co-financed by Greece and the European Union (European Social Fund) through the Operational Program "Human Resources Development, Education and Lifelong Learning" in the context of the project "Strengthening Human Resources Research Potential via Doctorate Research" (MIS-5000432), implemented by the State Scholarships Foundation (ΙΚΥ); the Eiffel Excellence Scholarship Programme of the French Ministry of Foreign and European Affairs; and the Diet Scratches Project (ANR-17CE27-0002-01; PI: G. Merceron). This article is also part of R+D+I project PID2020-117289GBI00, funded by the Agencia Estatal de Investigación of the Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation (MCIN/AEI/10.13039/501100011033/), and has also been supported by CERCA Programme/Generalitat de Catalunya. The authors would like to thank the following people and institutions for access to material: L. Costeur from Naturhistorisches Museum Basel (Switzerland); M. Takai and the Center for the Evolutionary Origins of Human Behavior of Kyoto University, Inuyama (Japan); A. Gkeme and the Laboratory of Geology and Paleontology of the Aristotle University of Thessaloniki (Greece); the Institut Català de Paleontologia Miquel Crusafont, Sabadell (Spain); the Royal Museum of Central Africa, Tervuren (Belgium); the Seckenberg Museum of Frankfurt (Germany); and the PALEVOPRIM (CNRS and University of Poitiers, France). Lastly, we are also grateful to J. Surault for his help with the acquisition of DMTA data, and A. Mazurier (Plateforme Platina, IC2MP, Université de Poitiers) for his help with the acquisition of the μCT scans.

References

- Abbazzi, L., Angelone, C., Arca, M., Barisone, G., Bedetti, C., Delfino, M., Kotsakis, T., Marcolini, F., Palombo, M.R., Pavia, M., Piras, P., Rook, L., Torre, D., Tuveri, C., Valli, A.M.F., Wilkens, B., 2004. Plio-Pleistocene fossil vertebrates of Monte Tuttavista (Orosei, Eastern Sardinia, Italy), an overview. Riv. Ital. Paleontol. Stratigr. 110, 681–706.
- Abbazzi, L., Delfino, M., Gallai, G., Trebini, L., Rook, L., 2008. New data on the vertebrate assemblage of Fiume Santo (north-west Sardinia, Italy), and overview on the Late Miocene Tusco-Sardinian palaeobioprovince. Palaeontology 51, 425–451. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-4983.2008.00758.x
- Adams, N.F., Gray, T., Purnell, M.A., 2020. Dietary signals in dental microwear of predatory small mammals appear unaffected by extremes in environmental abrasive load. Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol. 558, 109929. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.palaeo.2020.109929
- Agetsuma, N., 1995. Dietary selection by yakushima macaques (*Macaca fuscata yakui*): The influence of food availability and temperature. Int. J. Primatol. 15, 611–627. https://doi/10.1007/BF02735284
- Agetsuma, N., Nakagawa, N., 1998. Effects of habitat differences on feeding behaviors of Japanese monkeys: comparison between Yakushima and Kinkazan. Primates 39. 275–289. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02573077
- Alba, D.M., Moyà-Solà, S., Madurell, J., Aurell, P., 2008. Dentognathic remains of *Macaca* (Primates, Cercopithecidae) from the late early Pleistocene of Terrassa (Catalonia, Spain). J. Hum. Evol. 55, 1160–1163. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhevol.2008.05.006.
- Alba, D.M., Carlos Calero, J.A., Mancheño, M.Á., Montoya, P., Morales, J., Rook, L., 2011. Fossil remains of *Macaca sylvanus florentina* (Cocchi, 1872) (Primates, Cercopithecidae) from the Early Pleistocene of Quibas (Murcia, Spain). J. Hum. Evol. 61, 703–718. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhevol.2011.09.003
- Alba, D.M., Delson, E., Carnevale, G., Colombero, S., Delfino, M., Giuntelli, P., Pavia, M., Pavia, G., 2014. First joint record of *Mesopithecus* and cf. *Macaca* in the Miocene of Europe. J. Hum. Evol. 67, 1–18. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhevol.2013.11.001
- Alba, D.M., Delson, E., Morales, J., Montoya, P., Romero, G., 2018. Macaque remains from the early Pliocene of the Iberian Peninsula. J. Hum. Evol. 123, 141–147. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhevol.2018.07.005
- Alba, D.M., Rodríguez-Hidalgo, A., Aouraghe, H., van der Made, J., Oujaa, A., Haddoumi, H., Saladié, P., Aissa, A.M., Marín, J., Farkouch, M., Lorenzo, C., Bengamra, S., Delson, E., Chacón, M.G., Sala-Ramos, R., 2021. New macaque fossil remains from Morocco. J. Hum. Evol. 153, 102951. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JHEVOL.2021.102951
- Albert, A., Hambuckers, A., Culot, L., Savini, T., Huynen, M.C., 2013. Frugivory and seed dispersal by northern pigtailed macaques (*Macaca leonina*), in Thailand. Int. J. Primatol. 34, 170–193.
- Alcover, J.A., Perez-Obiol, R., YLL, E.-I., Bover, P., 1999. The diet of *Myotragus balearicus* Bate 1909 (Artiodactyla: Caprinae), an extinct bovid from the Balearic Islands: Evidence from coprolites. Biol. J. Linn. Soc. 66, 57–74. https://doi.org/10.1006/bijl.1998.0260
- Allen, K.L., Cooke, S.B., Gonzales, L.A., Kay, R.F., 2015. Dietary inference from upper and lower molar morphology in platyrrhine primates. PLoS One 10, e0118732. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0118732
- Angelone, C., 2005. Evolutionary trends in dental morphology of the genus *Prolagus* (Ochotonidae, Lagomorpha) in the Mediterranean islands. In: Proceedings of the International Symposium "Insular Vertebrate Evolution: The Palaeontological Approach": September, 16-19 Mallorca. Societat d'Història Natural de les Balears, pp. 17–26.

Arnold, C., Matthews, L.J., Nunn, C.L., 2010. The 10kTrees website: A new online resource for primate phylogeny. Evol. Anthropol. 19, 114–118. https://doi.org/10.1002/evan.20251

Azzaroli, A., 1946. La Scimmia fossile della Sardegna. Riv. Sci. Preist. 1, 168–176.

- Benefit, B., 2008. The biostratigraphy and paleontology of fossil cercopithecoids from eastern Libya. Geol. East Libya 3, 247–265.
- Berthaume, M.A., Delezene, L.K., Kupczik, K., 2018. Dental topography and the diet of *Homo naledi*. J. Hum. Evol. 118, 14–26. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhevol.2018.02.006
- Berthaume, M.A., Winchester, J., Kupczik, K., 2019. Effects of cropping, smoothing, triangle count, and mesh resolution on 6 dental topographic metrics. PLoS One 14, e0216229. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0216229
- Berthaume, M.A., Lazzari, V., Guy, F., 2020. The landscape of tooth shape: Over 20 years of dental topography in primates. Evol. Anthropol. 29, 245-262. https://doi.org/10.1002/evan.21856
- Boyer, D.M., 2008. Relief index of second mandibular molars is a correlate of diet among prosimian primates and other euarchontan mammals. J. Hum. Evol. 55, 1118–1137. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhevol.2008.08.002
- Boyer, D.M., Winchester, J., Kay, R.F., 2015. Technical note: The effect of differences in methodology among some recent applications of shearing quotients. Am. J. Phys. Anthropol. 156, 166–178. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajpa.22619
- Brugiere, D., Gautier, J.P., Moungazi, A., Gautier-Hion, A., 2002. Primate diet and biomass in relation to vegetation composition and fruiting phenology in a rain forest in Gabon. Int. J. Primatol. 23, 999– 1024. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1019693814988
- Bunn, J.M., Ungar, P.S., 2009. Dental topography and diets of four Old World monkey species. Am. J. Primatol. 71, 466–477. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajp.20676
- Bunn, J.M., Boyer, D.M., Lipman, Y., St. Clair, E.M., Jernvall, J., Daubechies, I., 2011. Comparing Dirichlet normal surface energy of tooth crowns, a new technique of molar shape quantification for dietary inference, with previous methods in isolation and in combination. Am. J. Phys. Anthropol. 145, 247–261. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajpa.21489
- Calandra, I., Merceron, G., 2016. Dental microwear texture analysis in mammalian ecology. Mamm. Rev. 46, 215–228. https://doi.org/10.1111/mam.12063
- Chenery, C., O'Regan, H.J., Lamb, A.L., Rook, L., Elton, S., 2008. Modern stable isotope analogues for palaeo diet and environment studies in fossil macaques. In: Giornate Di Paleontologia VIII. Simposio della Società Paleontologica Italiana. Workshop sui Primati Fossili Europei. Riassunti dei Lavori. Accademia Dei Fisiocritici, pp. 123–124.
- Clauss, M., Dittmann, M.T., Müller, D.W., Zerbe, P., Codron, D., 2014. Low scaling of a life history variable: Analyzing eutherian gestation periods with and without phylogeny-informed statistics. Mammal. Biol. 79, 9–16. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mambio.2013.01.002
- Coiner-Collier, S., Scott, R.S., Chalk-Wilayto, J., Cheyne, S.M., Constantino, P., Dominy, N.J., Elgart, A.A., Glowacka, H., Loyola, L.C., Ossi-Lupo, K., Raguet-Schofield, M., Talebi, M.G., Sala, E.A., Sieradzy, P., Taylor, A.B., Vinyard, C.J., Wright, B.W., Yamashita, N., Lucas, P.W., Vogel, E.R., 2016. Primate dietary ecology in the context of food mechanical properties. J. Hum. Evol. 98, 103–118. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhevol.2016.07.005
- Cui, Z., Shao, Q., Grueter, C.C., Wang, Z., Lu, J., Raubenheimer, D., 2019. Dietary diversity of an ecological and macronutritional generalist primate in a harsh high-latitude habitat, the

Taihangshan macaque (*Macaca mulatta tcheliensis*). Am. J. Primatol. 81, e22965. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajp.22965

- Cui, Z., Wang, Z., Zhang, S., Wang, B., Lu, J., Raubenheimer, D., 2020. Living near the limits: Effects of interannual variation in food availability on diet and reproduction in a temperate primate, the Taihangshan macaque (*Macaca mulatta tcheliensis*). Am. J. Primatol. 82, e23080. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajp.23080
- Daegling, D.J., Hua, L.C., Ungar, P.S., 2016. The role of food stiffness in dental microwear feature formation. Arch. Oral Biol. 71, 16–23. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.archoralbio.2016.06.018
- Damuth, J., Janis, C.M., 2011. On the relationship between hypsodonty and feeding ecology in ungulate mammals, and its utility in palaeoecology. Biol. Rev. 86, 733–758. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469- 185X.2011.00176.x
- Delson, 1973. Fossil colobine monkeys of the circum-Mediterranean region and the evolutionary history of the Cercopithecidae (Primates, Mammalia). Ph.D. Dissertation, Columbia University.
- Delson, E., 1974. Preliminary review of cercopithecid distribution in the Circum Mediterranean Region. Mém. Bur. Rech. Géol. Min. 78, 131–135.
- Delson, E., 1980. Fossil macaques, phyletic relationships and a scenario of deployment. In: Lindburg, D.E. (Ed.), The Macaques. Studies in Ecology, Behavior and Evolution. Van Nostrand, New York, pp. 10– 30.
- Elton, S., O'Regan, H.J., 2014. Macaques at the margins: The biogeography and extinction of *Macaca sylvanus* in Europe. Quat. Sci. Rev. 96, 117–130. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2014.04.025
- Enari, H., Sakamaki-Enari, H., 2013. Influence of heavy snow on the feeding behavior of Japanese macaques (*Macaca fuscata*) in Northern Japan. Am. J. Primatol. 75, 534–544.

https://doi.org/10.1002/ajp.22128.

- Eronen, J.T., Rook, L., 2004. The Mio-Pliocene European primate fossil record: Dynamics and habitat tracking. J. Hum. Evol. 47, 323–341. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhevol.2004.08.003.
- Fa, J.E., 1989. The genus *Macaca*: a review of taxonomy and evolution. Mamm. Rev. 19, 45–81. https://doi.org/10.1111/J.1365-2907.1989.TB00401.X
- Fooden, J., 1982. Ecogeographic segregation of macaque species. Primates 23, 574–579. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02373969
- Fooden, J., 2000. Systematic review of rhesus macaque, *Macaca mulatta* (Zimmermann, 1780). Fieldiana Zool. 96, 1–180. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.title.7192
- Fooden, J., 2007. Systematic review of the Barbary macaque, *Macaca sylvanus* (Linnaeus, 1758). Fieldiana Zool. 113, 1–60. https://doi.org/10.3158/0015-0754(2007)113[1:SROTBM]2.0.CO;2
- Freckleton, R.P., Harvey, P.H., Pagel, M., 2002. Phylogenetic analysis and comparative data: A test and review of evidence. Am. Nat. 160, 712–725. https://doi.org/10.1086/343873
- Gentili, S., Mottura, A., Rook, L., 1998. The Italian fossil primate record: Recent finds and their geological context. Geobios. 31, 675–686. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0016-6995(98)80054-5
- Gibert, L., Scott, G.R., Montoya, P., Ruiz-Sánchez, F J., Morales, J., Luque, L., Abella, J., Lería, M., 2013. Evidence for an African-Iberian mammal dispersal during the pre-evaporitic Messinian. Geology, 41, 691–694. https://doi.org/10.1130/G34164.1
- Goldstein, S.J., Richard, A.F., 1989. Ecology of rhesus macaques (*Macaca mulatta*) in northwest Pakistan. Int. J. Primatol. 10, 531–567. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02739364

Guatelli-Steinberg, D., Schwartz, G.T., O'Hara, M.C., Gurian, K., Rychel, J., McGraw, W.S., 2022. Molar

form, enamel growth, and durophagy in *Cercocebus* and *Lophocebus*. Am. J. Biol. Anthropol. https://doi.org/ 10.1002/ajpa.24592.

- Guillotin, M., Dubost, G., Sabatier, D., 1994. Food choice and food competition among the three major primate species of French Guiana. J. Zool. 233, 551–579. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469- 7998.1994.tb05365.x
- Guy, F., Gouvard, F., Boistel, R., Euriat, A., Lazzari, V., 2013. Prospective in (primate) dental analysis through tooth 3D topographical quantification. PLoS One 8, e66142. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0066142
- Guy, F., Lazzari, V., Gilissen, E., Thiery, G., 2015. To what extent is primate second molar enamel occlusal morphology shaped by the enamel-dentine junction? PLoS One 10, e0138802. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0138802
- Guy, F., Thiery, G., Lazzari, V., 2017. 3D quantification of the occlusal enamel curvature: A decisive feature in dental function analysis and diet in Primates. In: 17th International Symposium on Dental Morphology & 2nd Congress of International Association for Paleodontology, p. 104.
- Hammer, Ø., Harper, D.A., Ryan, P.D., 2001. PAST: Paleontological statistics software package for education and data analysis. Palaeontol. Electron. 4, 4. http://palaeoelectronica.org/2001_1/past/issue1_01.htm.
- Hautier, L., Bover, P., Alcover, J.A., Michaux, J., 2009. Mandible morphometrics, dental microwear pattern, and palaeobiology of the extinct Balearic Dormouse *Hypnomys morpheus*. Acta Palaeontol. Pol. 54, 181–194. https://doi.org/10.4202/app.2008.0001
- Hanya, G., 2004. Diet of a japanese macaque troop in the coniferous forest of Yakushima. Int. J. Primatol. 25, 55–71. https://doi.org/10.1023/B:IJOP.0000014645.78610.32
- Hanya, G., Noma, N., Agetsuma, N., 2003. Altitudinal and seasonal variations in the diet of Japanese macaques in Yakushima. Primates 44, 51–59. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10329-002-0007-7
- Hanya, G., Yoshihiro, S., Zamma, K., Matsubara, H., Ohtake, M., Kubo, R., Noma, N., Agetsuma, N., Takahata, Y., 2004. Environmental determinants of the altitudinal variations in relative group densities of Japanese macaques on Yakushima. Ecol. Res. 19, 485–493. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1440-1703.2004.00662.x
- Hanya, G., Ménard, N., Qarro, M., Tattou, M.I., Fuse, M., Vallet, D., Yamada, A., Go, M., Takafumi, H., Tsujino, R., Agetsuma, N., Wada, K., 2011. Dietary adaptations of temperate primates: Comparisons of Japanese and Barbary macaques. Primates 52, 187–198. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10329-011-0239-5.
- Hanya, G., Tsuji, Y., Grueter, C.C., 2013. Fruiting and flushing phenology in Asian tropical and temperate forests: implications for primate ecology. Primates 54, 101–110. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10329- 012-0341-3.
- Hartstone-Rose, A., Parkinson, J.A., Criste, T., Perry, J.M.G., 2015. Comparing apples and oranges—The influence of food mechanical properties on ingestive bite sizes in lemurs. Am. J. Phys. Anthropol. 157, 513–518. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajpa.22726.
- Hartstone-Rose, A., Deutsch, A.R., Leischner, C., Pastor, F., 2018. Dietary correlates of primate masticatory muscle fiber architecture. Anat. Rec. 301, 311–324. https://doi.org/10.1002/ar.23715
- Hedberg, C., DeSantis, L.R.G., 2017. Dental microwear texture analysis of extant koalas: Clarifying causal agents of microwear. J. Zool. 301, 206–214.
- Hill, D.A., 1997. Seasonal variation in the feeding behavior and diet of Japanese macaques (*Macaca fuscata yakui*) in lowland forest of Yakushima. Am. J. Primatol. 43, 305–320.

https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1098-2345(1997)43:4<305::AID-AJP2>3.0.CO;2-0.

- Hlusko, L.J., Suwa, G., Kono, R.T., Mahaney, M.C., 2004. Genetics and the evolution of primate enamel thickness: A baboon model. Am. J. Phys. Anthropol. 124, 223–233. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajpa.10353.
- Homewood, K.M., 1978. Feeding strategy of the Tana mangabey (*Cercocebus galeritus galeritus*) (Mammalia: Primates). J. Zool. 186, 375–391. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7998.1978.tb03926.x
- Hua, L., Chen, J., Ungar, P.S., 2020. Diet reduces the effect of exogenous grit on tooth microwear. Biosurface and Biotribology. 6, 48–52. https://doi.org/10.1049/bsbt.2019.0041

IBM Corp., 2013. IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows. Version 22.0. IBM Corp., Armonk.

- Jordana, X., Marín-Moratalla, N., DeMiguel, D., Kaiser, T.M., Köhler, M., 2012. Evidence of correlated evolution of hypsodonty and exceptional longevity in endemic insular mammals. Proc. Roy. Soc B 279, 3339–3346. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2012.0689
- Kamilar, J.M., Ledogar, J.A., 2011. Species co-occurrence patterns and dietary resource competition in primates. Am. J. Phys. Anthropol. 144, 131–139. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajpa.21380
- Kato, A., Tang, N., Borries, C., Papakyrikos, A.M., Hinde, K., Miller, E., Kunimatsu, Y., Hirasaki, E., Shimizu, D., Smith, T.M., 2014. Intra- and interspecific variation in macaque molar enamel thickness. Am. J. Phys. Anthropol. 155, 447–459. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajpa.22593
- Kay, R.F., 1981. The Nut-crackers- a new theory of the adaptations of the ramapithecinae. Am. J. Phys. Anthropol. 55, 141–151.
- Kelley, J.L., Swanson, W.J., 2008. Dietary change and adaptive evolution of *enamelin* in humans and among primates. Genetics 178, 1595–1603. https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.107.077123
- King, S.J., Arrigo-Nelson, S.J., Pochron, S.T., Semprebon, G.M., Godfrey, L.R., Wright, P.C., Jernvall, J., 2005. Dental senescence in a long-lived primate links infant survival to rainfall. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 102, 16579–16583. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0508377102
- Köhler, M., Moyà-Solà, S., 2010. Reply to Meiri and Raia: Small offspring size and fast life history all the way? Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 107, 2010. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0915090107
- Köhler, M., Moyà-Solà, S., Alba, D.M., 2000. *Macaca* (Primates, Cercopithecidae) from the Late Miocene of Spain. J. Hum. Evol. 38, 447–452. Köhler, M., Moyà-Solà, S., Alba, D.M., 2000. Macaca (Primates, Cercopithecidae) from the Late Miocene of Spain. J. Hum. Evol. 38, 447–452.
- Köhler, M., Herridge, V., Nacarino-Meneses, C., Fortuny, J., Moncunill-Solé, B., Rosso, A., Sanfilippo, R., Palombo, M.R., Moyà-Solà, S., 2021. Palaeohistology reveals a slow pace of life for the dwarfed Sicilian elephant. Sci. Rep. 11, 22862. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-02192-4
- Konidaris, G.E., Athanassiou, A., Panagopoulou, E., Harvati, K., 2022. First record of *Macaca* (Cercopithecidae , Primates) in the Middle Pleistocene of Greece. J. Hum. Evol. 162, 103104. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhevol.2021.103104
- Kono, R.T., 2004. Molar enamel thickness and distribution patterns in extant great apes and humans: New insights based on a 3-dimensional whole crown perspective. Anthropol. Sci. 112, 121–146. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1537/ase.03106
- Krishnadas, M., Chandrasekhara, K., Kumar, A., 2011. The response of the frugivorous lion-tailed macaque Macaca silenus to a period of fruit scarcity. Am. J. Primatol. 73, 1250–1260. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajp.20997
- Krishnamani, R., 1994. Diet composition of the bonnet macaque (*Macaca radiata*) in a tropical dry evergreen forest of southern India. Trop. Biodivers. 2, 285–302.
- Krueger, K.L., Scott, J.R., Kay, R.F., Ungar, P.S., 2008. Technical note: Dental microwear textures of "Phase I" and "Phase II" facets. Am. J. Phys. Anthropol. 137, 485–490. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajpa.20928.
- Lambert, J.E., Chapman, C.A., Wrangham, R.W., Conklin-Brittain, N. Lou, 2004. Hardness of cercopithecine foods: Implications for the critical function of enamel thickness in exploiting fallback foods. Am. J. Phys. Anthropol. 125, 363–368. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajpa.10403.
- Ledogar, J.A., Winchester, J.M., St. Clair, E.M., Boyer, D.M., 2013. Diet and dental topography in pitheciine seed predators. Am. J. Phys. Anthropol. 150, 107–121. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajpa.22181
- Lehman, S.M., Fleagle, J.G. (Eds.), 2006. Primate Biogeography. Springer New York, New York. https://doi.org/10.1007/0-387-31710-4
- Louail, M., Ferchaud, S., Souron, A., Walker, A.E.C., Merceron, G., 2021. Dental microwear textures differ in pigs with overall similar diets but fed with different seeds. Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol. 572, 110415. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.palaeo.2021.110415
- Lucas, P.W., Omar, R., Al-Fadhalah, K., Almusallam, A.S., Henry, A.G., Michael, S., Thai, L.A., Watzke, J., Strait, D.S., Atkins, A.G., 2013. Mechanisms and causes of wear in tooth enamel: Implications for hominin diets. J. R. Soc. Interface. 10, 20120923. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsif.2012.0923
- Maier, W., 1977. Die evolution der bilophodonten Molaren der Cercopithecoidea: eine funktionsmorphologische Untersuchung. Z. Morphol. Anthropol. 68, 26–56.
- Marigó, J., Susanna, I., Minwer-Barakat, R., Madurell-Malapeira, J., Moyà-Solà, S., Casanovas-Vilar, I., Robles, J.M., Alba, D.M., 2014. The primate fossil record in the Iberian Peninsula. J. Iber. Geol. 40, 179–211. https://doi.org/10.5209/rev_JIGE.2014.v40.n1.44094
- Martin, F., Plastiras, C.-A., Merceron, G., Souron, A., Boisserie, J.-R., 2018. Dietary niches of terrestrial cercopithecines from the Plio-Pleistocene Shungura Formation, Ethiopia: Evidence from Dental Microwear Texture Analysis. Sci. Rep. 8, 14052. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-32092-z
- Martin, L.B., 1985. Significance of enamel thickness in hominoid evolution. Nature 314, 260–263. https://doi.org/10.1038/314260a0
- Martin, L.B., Olejniczak, A.J., Maas, M.C., 2003. Enamel thickness and microstructure in pitheciin primates, with comments on dietary adaptations of the middle Miocene hominoid *Kenyapithecus*. J. Hum. Evol. 45, 351–367. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhevol.2003.08.005
- Maruhashi, T., 1980. Feeding behavior and diet of the Japanese monkey (*Macaca fuscata yakui*) on Yakushima Island, Japan. Primates 21, 141–160. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02374030
- Maschenko, E.N., Baryshnikov, G.F., 2002. The taxonomic status of a macaque (Pimates, Cercopithecidae) from the Middle Pleistocene of Georgia. Paleontol. J. 36, 403–413.
- McGraw, W.S., Pampush, J.D., Daegling, D.J., 2012. Brief communication: Enamel thickness and durophagy in mangabeys revisited. Am. J. Phys. Anthropol. 147, 326–333. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajpa.21634.
- McGraw, W.S., Vick, A.E., Daegling, D.J., 2014. Dietary variation and food hardness in sooty mangabeys (*Cercocebus atys*): Implications for fallback foods and dental adaptation. Am. J. Phys. Anthropol. 154, 413–423. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajpa.22525.
- Ménard, N., 2002. Ecological plasticity of Barbary macaques (*Macaca sylvanus*). Evol. Anthropol. 11, 95– 100. https://doi.org/10.1002/evan.10067
- Ménard, N., Qarro, M., 1999. Bark stripping and water availability: A comparative study between Moroccan and Algerian Barbary macaques (*Macaca sylvanus*). Rev. d'Ecologie (erre Vie) 54, 123–

Ménard, N., Vallet, D., 1997. Behavioral responses of Barbary macaques (*Macaca sylvanus*) to variations in environmental conditions in Algeria. Am. J. Primatol. 43, 285–304.

https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1098-2345(1997)43:4<285::AID-AJP1>3.0.CO;2-T.

- Merceron, G., Scott, J., Scott, R.S., Geraads, D., Spassov, N., Ungar, P.S., 2009. Folivory or fruit/seed predation for *Mesopithecus*, an earliest colobine from the late Miocene of Eurasia? J. Hum. Evol. 57, 732–738. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhevol.2009.06.00
- Merceron, G., Ramdarshan, A., Blondel, C., Boisserie, J.R., Brunetiere, N., Francisco, A., Gautier, D., Milhet, X., Novello, A., Pret, D., 2016. Untangling the environmental from the dietary: Dust does not matter. Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. 283, 20161032. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2016.1032
- Merceron, G., Kallend, A., Francisco, A., Louail, M., Martin, F., Plastiras, C.-A., Thiery, G., Noûs, C., Boisserie, J.-R., 2021. Further away with dental microwear analysis: Food resource partitioning among Plio-Pleistocene monkeys from the Shungura Formation, Ethiopia. Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol. 572, 110414. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.palaeo.2021.110414>
- Modolo, L., Salzburger, W., Martin, R.D., 2005. Phylogeography of Barbary macaques (*Macaca sylvanus*) and the origin of the Gibraltar colony. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 102, 7392–7397. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0502186102
- Molnar, S., Gantt, D.G., 1977. Functional implications of primate enamel thickness. Am. J. Phys. Anthropol. 46, 447–454.
- Moncunill-Solé, B., Tuveri, C., Arca, M., Angelone, C., 2021. Tooth and long bone scaling in Sardinian ochotonids (Early Pleistocene-Holocene): Evidence for megalodontia and its palaeoecological implications. Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol. 582, 110645.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.palaeo.2021.110645

- Norconk, M.A., Wright, B.W., Conklin-brittain, N.L., Vinyard, C.J., 2009. Mechanical and nutritional properties of food as factors in Platyrrhine dietary adaptations. In: Garber, P.A. (Ed.), South American Primates: Developments in Primatology: Progress and Prospects. Springer, New York, pp. 279–319.
- Norconk, M.A., Wright, B.W., Conklin-brittain, N.L., Vinyard, C.J., 2009. Mechanical and nutritional properties of food as factors in Platyrrhine dietary adaptations. In: Garber, P.A. (Ed.), South American Primates: Developments in Primatology: Progress and Prospects. Springer, New York, pp. 279–319.
- Norris, J., 1988. Diet and feeding behavior of semi-free ranging mandrills in an enclosed gabonais forest. Primates. 29, 449–463. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02381133
- O'Brien, T.G., Kinnaird, M.F., 1997. Behavior, diet, and movements of the Sulawesi crested black macaque (*Macaca nigra*). Int. J. Primatol. 18, 321–351. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1026330332061
- O'Regan, H.J., Chenery, C., Lamb, A.L., Stevens, R.E., Rook, L., Elton, S., 2008. Modern macaque dietary heterogeneity assessed using stable isotope analysis of hair and bone. J. Hum. Evol. 55, 617–626. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhevol.2008.05.001.
- Olejniczak, A.J., Smith, T.M., Skinner, M.M., Grine, F.E., Feeney, R.N.M., Thackeray, J.F., Hublin, J.J., 2008. Three-dimensional molar enamel distribution and thickness in *Australopithecus* and *Paranthropus*. Biol. Lett. 4, 406–410. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2008.0223
- Orme, D., Freckleton, R.P., Gavin, T., Petzoldt, T., Fritz, S., Isaac, N., Pearse, W., 2013. The caper package: Comparative analyses of phylogenetics and evolution in R. Methods Ecol. Evol. 3, 145–151.

Owens, J.R., Honarvar, S., Nessel, M., Hearn, G.W., 2015. From frugivore to folivore: Altitudinal

variations in the diet and feeding ecology of the Bioko Island drill (*Mandrillus leucophaeus poensis*). Am. J. Primatol. 77, 1263–1275. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajp.22479.

- Pagel, M., 1994. Detecting correlated evolution on phylogenies: A general method for the comparative analysis of discrete characters. Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. 255, 37–45. https://www.jstor.org/stable/49836
- Pagel, M., 1999. Inferring the historical patterns of biological evolution. Nature 401, 877–884. https://doi.org/10.1038/44766.
- Pal, A., Kumara, H.N., Mishra, P.S., Velankar, A.D., Singh, M., 2018. Extractive foraging and tool-aided behaviors in the wild Nicobar long-tailed macaque (*Macaca fascicularis umbrosus*). Primates 59, 173–183. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10329-017-0635-6.
- Palombo, M.R., 2006. Biochronology of the Plio-Pleistocene terrestrial mammals of Sardinia: The state of the art. Hell. J. Geosci. 41, 47–66.
- Palombo, M.R., 2008. Insularity and its effects. Quat. Int. 182, 1–5.
- Palombo, M.R., Rozzi, R., 2014. How correct is any chronological ordering of the Quaternary Sardinian mammalian assemblages? Quat. Int. 328–329, 136–155.
- Pampush, J.D., Duque, A.C., Burrows, B.R., Daegling, D.J., Kenney, W.F., McGraw, W.S., 2013. Homoplasy and thick enamel in primates. J. Hum. Evol. 64, 216–224. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhevol.2013.01.009.
- Pampush, J.D., Spradley, J.P., Morse, P.E., Harrington, A.R., Allen, K.L., Boyer, D.M., Kay, R.F., 2016. Wear and its effects on dental topography measures in howling monkeys (*Alouatta palliata*). Am. J. Phys. Anthropol. 161, 705–721. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajpa.23077.
- Pampush, J.D., Morse, P.E., Fuselier, E.J., Skinner, M.M., Kay, R.F., 2022. Sign-oriented Dirichlet Normal Energy: Aligning dental topography and dental function in the R-package molaR. J. Mamm. Evol. 29, 713–732. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10914-022-09616-6
- Percher, A.M., Merceron, G., Nsi Akoue, G., Galbany, J., Romero, A., Charpentier, M.J.E., 2018. Dental microwear textural analysis as an analytical tool to depict individual traits and reconstruct the diet of a primate. Am. J. Phys. Anthropol. 165, 123–138. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajpa.23337.
- Plastiras, C.-A., Thiery, G., Guy, F., Kostopoulos, D.S., Lazzari, V., Merceron, G., 2022. Feeding ecology of the last European colobine monkey, *Dolichopithecus ruscinensis*. J. Hum. Evol. 168, 103199. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhevol.2022.103199.
- Pombo, A.R., Waltert, M., Mansjoer, S.S., Mardiastuti, A., 2004. Home range, diet and behaviour of the Tonkean macaque (*Macaca tonkeana*) in Lore Lindu National Park, Sulawesi. In: Gerold, G., Fremery, M., Guhardja, E. (Eds.), Land Use, Nature Conservation and the Stability of Rainforest Margins in Southeast Asia. Springer, Berlin, pp. 313–325.
- Prufrock, K.A., López-Torres, S., Silcox, M.T., Boyer, D.M., 2016. Surfaces and spaces: Troubleshooting the study of dietary niche space overlap between North American stem primates and rodents. Surf. Topogr. Metrol. Prop. 4, 24005. https://doi.org/10.1088/2051-672X/4/2/024005
- Rabenold, D., Pearson, O.M., 2011. Abrasive, silica phytoliths and the evolution of thick molar enamel in primates, with implications for the diet of *Paranthropus boisei*. PLoS One. 6: e28379. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0028379
- Ragni, A.J., Teaford, M.F., Ungar, P.S., 2017. A molar microwear texture analysis of pitheciid primates. Am. J. Primatol. 79, 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajp.22697.

Ramírez-Pedraza, I., Martínez, L.M., Aouraghe, H., Rivals, F., Tornero, C., Haddoumi, H., Estebaranz-

Sánchez, F., Rodríguez-Hidalgo, A., van der Made, J., Oujaa, A., Ibáñez, J.J., Mhamdi, H., Souhir, M., Aissa, A.M., Chacón, M.G., Sala-Ramos, R., 2023. Multiproxy approach to reconstruct fossil primate feeding behavior: Case study for macaque from the Plio-Pleistocene site Guefaït-4.2 (eastern Morocco). Front. Ecol. Evol. 11, 1011208. https://doi.org/10.3389/fevo.2023.1011208

- R Core Team, 2013. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna.
- Ramdarshan, A., Blondel, C., Gautier, D., Surault, J., Merceron, G., 2017. Overcoming sampling issues in dental tribology: Insights from an experimentation on sheep. Palaeontol. Electron. 19, 53A. https://doi.org/10.26879/762
- Richter, C., Taufiq, ., Hodges, K., Ostner, J., Schülke, O., 2013. Ecology of an endemic primate species (*Macaca siberu*) on Siberut Island, Indonesia. Springerplus. 2, 32–33. https://doi.org/10.1186/2193-1801-2-137
- Richter, C., Gras, P., Hodges, K., Ostner, J., Schülke, O., 2015. Feeding behavior and aggression in wild Siberut macaques (*Macaca siberu*) living under low predation risk. Am. J. Primatol. 77, 741–752. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajp.22393.
- Riley, E.P., 2008. Ranging patterns and habitat use of Sulawesi Tonkean macaques (*Macaca tonkeana*) in a human-modified habitat. Am. J. Primatol. 70, 670–679. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajp.20543
- Rook, L., Croitor, R., Delfino, M., Ferretti, M.P., Gallai, G., Pavia, M., 2013. The Upper Valdarno Plio-Pleistocene vertebrate record: An historical overview, with notes on palaeobiology and stratigraphic significance of some important taxa. Ital. J. Geosci. 132, 104–125. https://doi.org/10.3301/IJG.2012.16

Rook, L., O'Higgins, P., 2005. A comparative study of adult facial morphology and its ontogeny in the

fossil macaque *Macaca majori* from Capo Figari, Sardinia, Italy. Folia Primatol. 76, 151–171. https://doi.org/10.1159/000084378

- Ross, C.F., Iriarte-Diaz, J., Nunn, C.L., 2012. Innovative approaches to the relationship between diet and mandibular morphology in primates. Int. J. Primatol. 33, 632–660. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10764- 012-9599-y
- Roos, C., Kothe, M., Alba, D.M., Delson, E., Zinner, D., 2019. The radiation of macaques out of Africa: Evidence from mitogenome divergence times and the fossil record. J. Hum. Evol. 133, 114–132. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhevol.2019.05.017.
- Rosenzweig, M.L., 1995. Patterns in time. In: Rosenzweig, M.L. (Ed.), Species Diversity in Space and Time. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, pp. 8–49. https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511623387
- Rowe, N., Goodall, J., Mittermeier, R., 1996. The Pictorial Guide to the Living Primates. Pogonias Press, East Hampton.
- Ruppert, N., Holzner, A., See, K.W., Gisbrecht, A., Beck, A., 2018. Activity budgets and habitat use of wild southern pig-tailed macaques (*Macaca nemestrina*) in oil palm plantation and forest. Int. J. Primatol. 39, 237–251. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10764-018-0032-z
- Sara, M., Morand, S., 2002. Island incidence and mainland population density: Mammals from Mediterranean islands. Divers. Distrib. 8, 1–9.
- Schreier, B.M., Harcourt, A.H., Coppeto, S.A., Somi, M.F., 2009. Interspecific competition and niche separation in primates: A global analysis. Biotropica 41, 283–291. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744- 7429.2008.00486.x
- Schüle, W., 1993. Mammals, Vegetation and the Initial Human Settlement of the Mediterranean Islands: A Palaeoecological Approach. J. Biogeogr. 20, 399. https://doi.org/10.2307/2845588
- Schulz-Kornas, E., Winkler, D.E., Clauss, M., Carlsson, J., Ackermans, N.L., Martin, L.F., Hummel, J., Müller, D.W.H., Hatt, J.M., Kaiser, T.M., 2020. Everything matters: Molar microwear texture in goats (*Capra aegagrus hircus*) fed diets of different abrasiveness. Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol. 552, 109783. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.palaeo.2020.109783
- Schwartz, G.T., McGrosky, A., Strait, D.S., 2020. Fracture mechanics, enamel thickness and the evolution of molar form in hominins. Biol. Lett. 16, 3–8. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2019.0671
- Scott, R.S., Ungar, P.S., Bergstrom, T.S., Brown, C.A., Childs, B.E., Teaford, M.F., Walker, A., 2006. Dental microwear texture analysis: Technical considerations. J. Hum. Evol. 51, 339–349. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhevol.2006.04.006.
- Scott, R.S., Teaford, M.F., Ungar, P.S., 2012. Dental microwear texture and anthropoid diets. Am. J. Phys. Anthropol. 147, 551–579. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajpa.22007.
- Sengupta, A., Radhakrishna, S., 2016. Influence of fruit availability on fruit consumption in a generalist primate, the rhesus macaque *Macaca mulatta*. Int. J. Primatol. 37, 703–717. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10764-016-9933-x
- Sengupta, A., Mcconkey, K.R., Radhakrishna, S., 2014. Seed dispersal by rhesus macaques *Macaca mulatta* in Northern India. Am. J. Primatol. 76, 1175–1184. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajp.22302
- Smith, T.M., Bacon, A.M., Demeter, F., Kullmer, O., Nguyen, K.T., De Vos, J., Wei, W., Zermeno, J.P., Zhao, L., 2011. Dental tissue proportions in fossil orangutans from mainland Asia and Indonesia. Hum. Orig. Res. 1, e1. https://doi.org/10.4081/hor.2011.3
- Smith, T.M., Olejniczak, A.J., Zermeno, J.P., Tafforeau, P., Skinner, M.M., Hoffmann, A., Radovčić, J., Toussaint, M., Kruszynski, R., Menter, C., Moggi-Cecchi, J., Glasmacher, U.A., Kullmer, O., Schrenk, F., Stringer, C., Hublin, J.J., 2012. Variation in enamel thickness within the genus *Homo*. J. Hum.

Evol. 62, 395–411. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhevol.2011.12.004

- Sondaar, P.Y., 1977. Insularity and its effect on mammal evolution. In: Hecht, M.K., Goody, P.C., Hecht, B.M. (Eds.), Major Patterns of Vertebrate Evolution. Plenum Press, New York, pp. 671–707. https://doi.org/10.1007/978
- Sondaar, P., 1987. Pleistocene mammals and extinctions of islands endemics. Mem. Soc. Geol. Fr. 150, 159–165.
- Sondaar, P.Y., Van Der Geer, A.A.E., 2005. Evolution and extinction of Plio-Pleistocene island ungulates. Quaternaire 2, 241–256.
- Stevenson, P.R., Quiñones, M.J., Ahumada, J.A., 2000. Influence of fruit availability on ecological overlap among four Neotropical primates at Tinigua National Park, Colombia. Biotropica 32, 533–544. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-7429.2000.tb00499.x
- Stewart, A.M.E., Gordon, C.H., Wich, S.A., Schroor, P., Meijaard, E., 2008. Fishing in *Macaca fascicularis*: A rarely observed innovative behavior. Int. J. Primatol. 29, 543–548. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10764-007-9176-y
- Symonds, M.R.E., Blomberg, S.P., 2014. Modern phylogenetic comparative methods and their application in evolutionary biology. In: Garamszegi, L.Z. (Ed.), Modern Phylogenetic Comparative Methods and Their Application in Evolutionary Biology. Springer, Berlin, pp. 105–130. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-43550-2_19

Szalay, F.S., Delson, E., 1979. Evolutionary History of the Primates. Academic Press, New York.

Takasaki, H., 1981. Troop size, habitat quality, and home range area in Japanese macaques. Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol. 9, 277–281. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00299883

- Taylor, A.B., Terhune, C.E., Toler, M., Holmes, M., Ross, C.F., Vinyard, C.J., 2018. Jaw-muscle fiber architecture and leverage in the hard-object feeding Sooty Mangabey are not structured to facilitate relatively large bite forces compared to other papionins. Anat. Rec. 301, 325–342. https://doi.org/10.1002/ar.23718
- Taylor, A.B., Vogel, E.R., Dominy, N.J., 2008. Food material properties and mandibular load resistance abilities in large-bodied hominoids. J. Hum. Evol. 55, 604–616. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhevol.2008.04.001.
- Tchernov, E., Volokita, M., 1986. Insectivores and primates from the Early Pleistocene of'Ubeidiya formation. In: Tchernov, E. (Ed.), Les Mammifères du Pléistocène Inférieur de la Vallée du Jourdain à Oubéidiyeh. Association Paléorient, Paris, pp. 54–62.
- Teaford, M.F., 2007. Dental microwear and paleoanthropology: Cautions and possibilities. In: Bailey, S.E., Hublin, J.-J. (Eds.), Dental Perspectives on Human Evolution. Springer, Dordrecht, pp. 345–368.
- Teaford, M.F., Glander, K.E., 1991. Dental microwear in live wild-trapped *Alouatta palliata* from Costa Rica. Am. J. Phys. Anthropol. 85, 313–319. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ajpa.1330850310>
- Teaford, M.F., Walker, A., 1984. Quantitative differences in dental microwear between primate species with different diets and a comment on the presumed diet of *Sivapithecus*. Am. J. Phys. Anthropol. 64, 191–200. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajpa.1330640213.
- Teaford, M.F., Ungar, P.S., Taylor, A.B., Ross, C.F., Vinyard, C.J., 2017. In vivo rates of dental microwear formation in laboratory primates fed different food items. Biosurf. Biotribol. 3, 166–173. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bsbt.2017.11.005
- Teaford, M.F., Ross, C.F., Ungar, P.S., Vinyard, C.J., Laird, M.F., 2021. Grit your teeth and chew your food: Implications of food material properties and abrasives for rates of dental microwear formation in

laboratory *Sapajus apella* (Primates). Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol. 583, 110644. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.palaeo.2021.110644.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.palaeo.2021.110644)

- Thierry, B., 2011. The macaques: A double-layered social organization. In: Campbell, C.J., Fuentes, A., MacKinnon, K.C., Bearder, S.K., Stumpf, R.M. (Eds.), Primates in Perspective, 2nd ed. Oxford University Press, New York, pp. 229e241.
- Thierry, B., 2017. Macaque (*Macaca*). In: Fuentes, A. (Ed.), The International Encyclopedia of Primatology. John Wiley and Sons, Inc, New York, pp. 1–4.
- Thiery, G., Guy, F., Lazzari, V., 2019. Enamel distribution in 3D: Is enamel thickness more uneven in the upper second molars of durophagous hominoids? Bull. Mem. Soc. Anthropol. Paris 31, 52–59. https://doi.org/10.3166/bmsap-2019-0060
- Thiery, G., Guy, F., Lazzari, V., 2017a. Investigating the dental toolkit of primates based on food mechanical properties: Feeding action does matter. Am. J. Primatol. 79, 1–15. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajp.22640.
- Thiery, G., Lazzari, V., Ramdarshan, A., Guy, F., 2017b. Beyond the map: Enamel distribution characterized from 3D dental topography. Front. Physiol. 8, 524. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2017.00524>
- Thiery, G., Gillet, G., Lazzari, V., Merceron, G., Guy, F., 2017c. Was *Mesopithecus* a seed eating colobine? Assessment of cracking, grinding and shearing ability using dental topography. J. Hum. Evol. 112, 79–92. Thiery, G., Gillet, G., Lazzari, V., Merceron, G., Guy, F., 2017c.
- Thiery, G., Gibert, C., Guy, F., Lazzari, V., Geraads, D., Spassov, N., Merceron, G., 2021. From leaves to seeds? The dietary shift in late Miocene colobine monkeys of southeastern Europe. Evolution 75, 1983-1999. https://doi.org/10.1111/evo.14283

Thomas, H., Petter, G., 1986. Révision de la faune de mammifères du Miocène supérieurde Menacer (ex-Marceau), Algérie: Discussion sur l'âge du gisement. Geobios 19, 357–373. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0016-6995(86)80023-7

- Tsuji, Y., 2010. Regional, temporal, and interindividual variation inf the feeding ecology of Japanese macaques. In: Nakagawa, N., Nakamichi, M., Sugiura, H. (Eds.), The Japanese Macaques. Springer, Tokyo, pp. 99–127. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-4-431-53886-8_5
- Tsuji, Y., Ito, T., Wada, K., Watanabe, K., 2015. Spatial patterns in diet of the Japanese macaque *Macaca fuscata* and their environmental determinants. Mamm. Rev. 45, 227–238. https://doi.org/10.1111/mam.12045
- Tutin, C.E.G., Ham, R.M., White, L.J.T., Harrison, M.J.S., 1997. The primate community of the Lopé Reserve, Gabon: Diets, responses to fruit scarcity, and effects on biomass. Am. J. Primatol. 42, 1– 24. https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1098-2345(1997)42:1<1::AID-AJP1>3.0.CO;2-0
- Ulhaas, L., Kullmer, O., Schrenk, F., Henke, W., 2004. A new 3-d approach to determine functional morphology of cercopithecoid molars. Ann. Anat. 186, 487–493. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0940- 9602(04)80090-6.
- Ungar, P.S., 2019. Inference of diets of early hominins from primate molar form and microwear. J. Dent. Res. 98, 398–405. https://doi.org/10.1177/0022034518822981.
- Ungar, P.S., Scott, J.R., Steininger, C.M., 2016. Dental microwear differences between eastern and southern African fossil bovids and hominins. S. Afr. J. Sci. 112, #2015-0393. http://dx.doi.org/10.17159/sajs.2016/20150393
- Ungar, P.S., Abella, E.F., Burgman, J.H.E., Lazagabaster, I.A., Scott, J.R., Delezene, L.K., Manthi, F.K., Plavcan, J.M., Ward, C. V., 2020. Dental microwear and Pliocene paleocommunity ecology of

bovids, primates, rodents, and suids at Kanapoi. J. Hum. Evol. 140, 102315. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhevol.2017.03.005.

- Van Casteren, A., Lucas, P.W., Strait, D.S., Michael, S., Bierwisch, N., Schwarzer, N., Al-Fadhalah, K.J., Almusallam, A.S., Thai, L.A., Saji, S., Shekeban, A., Swain, M. V., 2018. Evidence that metallic proxies are unsuitable for assessing the mechanics of microwear formation and a new theory of the meaning of microwear. R. Soc. Open Sci. 5. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsos.171699
- Van Casteren, A., Lucas, P.W., Strait, D.S., Michael, S., Bierwisch, N., Schwarzer, N., Al-Fadhalah, K.J., Almusallam, A.S., Thai, L.A., Saji, S., Shekeban, A., Swain, M. V., 2019. Metallic proxies remain unsuitable for assessing the mechanics of microwear formation: Reply to comment on van Casteren et al. (2018). R. Soc. Open Sci. 6, 190572. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsos.171699
- Van Casteren, A., Strait, D.S., Swain, M. V., Michael, S., Thai, L.A., Philip, S.M., Saji, S., Al-Fadhalah, K., Almusallam, A.S., Shekeban, A., McGraw, W.S., Kane, E.E., Wright, B.W., Lucas, P.W., 2020. Hard plant tissues do not contribute meaningfully to dental microwear: Evolutionary implications. Sci. Rep. 10, 582. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-57403-w
- Van der Geer, A.A., 2014. Parallel patterns and trends in functional structures in extinct island mammals. Integr. Zool. 9, 167–182. https://doi.org/10.1111/1749-4877.12066
- Van den Hoek Ostende, L.W., de Vos, J., 2006. A century of research on the classical locality of Tegelen (province of Limburg, The Netherlands). Cour. Forsch.-inst. Senckenb. 256, 291–304.
- Van der Made, J., 1999. Biogeography and stratigraphy of the Mio-Pleistocene mammals of Sardinia and the description of some fossils. Deinsea 7, 337–360.
- Vinyard, C.J., Wall, C.E., Williams, S.H., Mork, A.L., Armfield, B.A., César, L., Melo, D.O., Valençamontenegro, M.M., Bernardo, Y., Valle, M., Adélia, M., Oliveira, B.D., Lucas, P.W., Schmitt, D.,

Taylor, A.B., Hylander, W.L., 2009. The evolutionary morphology of the tree gouging in marmosets. In: Ford, S., Porter, L., Davis, L. (Eds.), The Smallest Anthropoids. Developments in Primatology: Progress and Prospects. Springer, Boston, pp. 395–409.

Visualization Sciences Group, 2011. Avizo 7.0.0. Konrad-Zuse-Zentrum für Informationstechnik, Berlin.

Vogel, E.R., van Woerden, J.T., Lucas, P.W., Utami Atmoko, S.S., van Schaik, C.P., Dominy, N.J., 2008. Functional ecology and evolution of hominoid molar enamel thickness: *Pan troglodytes schweinfurthii* and *Pongo pygmaeus wurmbii*. J. Hum. Evol. 55, 60–74. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhevol.2007.12.005.

- Vogel, E.R., Zulfa, A., Hardus, M., Wich, S.A., Dominy, N.J., Taylor, A.B., 2014. Food mechanical properties, feeding ecology, and the mandibular morphology of wild orangutans. J. Hum. Evol. 75, 110–124. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhevol.2014.05.007.
- Wieczkowski, J., 2004. Ecological correlates of abundance in the Tana mangabey (*Cercocebus galeritus*). Am. J. Primatol. 63, 125–138. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajp.20046
- Wieczkowski, J., 2009. Brief communication: Puncture and crushing resistance scores of Tana River mangabey (*Cercocebus galeritus*) diet items. Am. J. Phys. Anthropol. 140, 572–577. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajpa.21132.
- Wieczkowski, J., 2013. The value of measuring food availability on the ground for a semiterrestrial frugivore, the Tana River Mangabey (*Cercocebus galeritus*) of Kenya. Int. J. Primatol. 34, 973–985.
- Winchester, J.M., Boyer, D.M., St. Clair, E.M., Gosselin-Ildari, A.D., Cooke, S.B., Ledogar, J.A., 2014. Dental topography of platyrrhines and prosimians: Convergence and contrasts. Am. J. Phys. Anthropol. 153, 29–44. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajpa.22398.

Winchester, J.M., 2016. Molar topographic shape as a system for inferring functional morphology and

developmental patterning in extant cercopithecoids. Ph.D. Dissertation, Stony Brook University.

- Worman, C.O.D., Chapman, C.A., 2006. Densities of two frugivorous primates with respect to forest and fragment tree species composition and fruit availability. Int. J. Primatol. 27, 203–225.
- Xia, J., Zheng, J., Huang, D., Tian, Z.R., Chen, L., Zhou, Z., Ungar, P.S., Qian, L., 2015. New model to explain tooth wear with implications for microwear formation and diet reconstruction. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 112, 10669–10672. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1509491112
- Zanaga, M., 1998. *Macaca majori* Azzaroli 1946, primate endemico del Pleistocene della Sardegna. Unpublished Thesis, Università degli Studi di Firenze.
- Zanolli, C., Dean, C., Rook, L., Bondioli, L., Mazurier, A., Macchiarelli, R., 2016. Enamel thickness and enamel growth in *Oreopithecus*: Combining microtomographic and histological evidence. C. R. Palevol 15, 209–226. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crpv.2015.02.001
- Zhou, Q., Wei, H., Huang, Z., Huang, C., 2011. Diet of the Assamese macaque *Macaca assamensis* in limestone habitats of Nonggang, China. Curr. Zool. 57, 18–25. https://doi.org/10.1093/czoolo/57.1.18
- Zoboli, D., Pillola, G.L., Rook, L., 2016. New remains of *Macaca majori* Azzaroli, 1946 (Primates, cercopithecidae) from Is Oreris (Fluminimaggiore, Southwestern Sardinia). Boll. Soc. Paleontol. Ital. 55, 227–230. https://doi.org/10.4435/BSPI.2016.21

Supplementary Online Material (SOM):

Investigating the dietary niches of fossil Plio-Pleistocene European macaques: The case of *Macaca majori* from Sardinia

Christos Alexandros Plastiras $a,b,*$, Ghislain Thiery b , Franck Guy b , David M. Alba c , Takeshi Nishimura ^d, Dimitris S. Kostopoulos ^a, Gildas Merceron ^b

^a*Laboratory of Geology and Palaeontology, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, 54 124 Thessaloniki, Greece*

^b*PALEVOPRIM – UMR 7262 CNRS-INEE, Université de Poitiers, 86073 Poitiers Cedex, France*

^c*Insitut Català de Paleontologia Miquel Crusafont, Universitat Auntònoma de Barcelona, Edifici ICTA-ICP, c/ Columnes s/n, Campus de la UAB, 08193 Cerdanyola del Vallès, Barcelona, Spain*

^d*Center for the Evolutionary Origins of Human Behavior, Kyoto University Inuyama, Aichi 848/8506, Japan*

***Corresponding author**.

Email address: chrisalexander_plastiras@yahoo.gr (C.A. Plastiras)

SOM S1

Supplementary methods

Technical note: Area-relative curvature (ARC)

Measuring the sharpness of dental occlusal surfaces is the core objective of several dental topography variables, including angularity (Ungar and Williamson, 2000), sharpness index (Karme, 2008; Ungar et al., 2018), mean curvature (Guy et al., 2013), Dirichlet normal energy (DNE; Bunn et al., 2011; Pampush et al., 2016), and a robustly implemented algorithm for Dirichlet normal energy (ariaDNE; Shan et al., 2019). Some of these variables rely on abstract measurements that are difficult to connect with sharpness, or to interpret in terms of dental morphology. For instance, angularity is the derivative of the slope (Ungar and Williamson, 2000), while DNE is the deformation of the normal space (Bunn et al., 2011). Other variables are sizedependent, such as mean curvature (Thiery, 2016:67). Some of these variables are also characterized by a strong dependence on the orientation of the xy plane (angularity, sharpness index) or must be calculated on dental elevation models (sharpness index).

Area-relative curvature (ARC) is an attempt to measure curvature using a measurement that is independent from the aforementioned factors, but also easy to interpret from a morphological perspective. It has been used to characterize the dental morphology of primates in a handful of works (Thiery, 2016; Guy et al., 2017; Thiery et al., 2021; Plastiras et al., 2022), but it has yet to be comprehensively described in a peer-reviewed study. We define ARC as the ratio between the mean curvature of a structure over the mean curvature of a theoretical structure that would have the same 3D surface area, but would have the shape of a hemisphere. The curvature of a hemisphere is related to its surface area by the following equation:

(1) $H_{\text{Hemisphere}} = 2.4481 * 1/\sqrt{(A_{\text{Hemisphere}})}$

where $H_{\text{Hemisphere}}$ is the mean curvature and $A_{\text{Hemisphere}}$ the surface area of the hemisphere (see SOM Fig. 1 for a graphical representation of this relationship).
Then, for a given structure, ARC is computed as follows:

- (2) ARC_{structure} = $H_{structure}$ / $H_{Hemisphere}$
- (3) $ARC_{structure} = H_{structure} * $\sqrt{(A_{Hemisphere})}/2.4481$$

Based on the classification of (nondental) topographic variables proposed by Delahaye (2005), ARC falls into the category of 'shape indices', which "determine the degree of resemblance between an object and another reference object" (Thiery, 2016:15, our translation from the French original). For ARC, the reference object is a hemisphere, and the ARC approximates how much the mean curvature of a tooth surface differs from that of a hemisphere. A hemisphere's average ARC will equal 1; by contrast, most dental surfaces would have average ARC higher than 1. The higher the ARC, the sharper the tooth and, reciprocally, the lower the ARC, the blunter the tooth.

Area-relative curvature is strongly correlated with the recently described convex DNE (Pampush et al., 2022); this is to be expected, as both variables were designed to quantify surface sharpness—and, in fact, authors of the current study also found a high correlation with total DNE. However, contrary to convex DNE—which only takes into account the positive curvature areas average ARC takes into account the entire crown (positive, negative, and null curvature, i.e., flat areas). Furthermore, convex DNE computes the sum of sharp Dirichlet energies divided by the associated triangle area, whereas average ARC is a comparison between the average curvature of the surface and that of a hemisphere. In short, both variables measure the same aspect of tooth shape (sharpness), but they were designed following completely different approaches, meaning that 1) their applications can differ and 2) they might behave differently depending on the investigated organisms.

SOM Figure S1. Relationship between mean curvature and surface area in hemispheres of growing sizes. Blue = mean curvature (no dimension); orange = area-relative curvature (ARC; no dimension).

Enamel thickness, crown strength, and dental topographic variables for *Macaca majori* and the modern sample.

Abbreviations: RMCA = Royal Museum of Central Africa, Tervuren (Belgium); MNHN = Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, Paris (France); MHNPn = Muséum d'Histoire Naturelle, Perpignan (France); PALEVOPRIM = Laboratoire Paléontologie, Evolution Paléoécosystèmes, Paléoprimatologie UMR CNRS 7262 - Université de Poitiers (France); SMF = Senckenberg Museum of Frankfurt, (Germany), BCO = basin cut off cropping method (after Berthaume et al., 2019); EEC = entire enamel cap method (after Berthaume et al., 2019); 3DRETvol = 3D volumetric relative enamel thickness (dimensionless); 3DRETgeo = 3D geometric relative enamel thickness (dimensionless); ACS = absolute crown strength; ARC = area-relative curvature (dimensionless); LRFI = relief index (dimensionless); OES 2D = 2D occlusal enamel surface.

ID	Tooth	Species	Phase	Facet	Locality	Asfc	epLsar*1000	Hasfc ₈₁	Tfv
Ty12456	M ²	M. majori	Ш	f9	Capo Figari	3.107	1.684	0.857	40050.927
Ty12458	M^2	M. majori	$\ensuremath{\mathsf{II}}$	f9	Capo Figari	3.150	1.303	0.702	50172.447
Ty12460	M ₂	M. majori	Ш	f9	Capo Figari	4.385	2.313	0.833	37233.637
Ty12462	M ²	M. majori	Ш	f9	Capo Figari	3.040	0.760	1.002	42805.837
Ty12464	M ²	M. majori	Ш	f9	Capo Figari	11.865	2.286	0.694	43647.665
Ty12469	M_3	M. majori	Ш	f9	Capo Figari	2.216	2.211	1.099	23349.728
Ty12470	M_3	M. majori	I	f9	Capo Figari	5.126	2.374	0.628	35190.093
Ty12499	M ₂	M. majori	Ш	f9	Capo Figari	6.555	2.519	0.577	49167.380
Ty12502	M ³	M. majori	Ш	f9	Capo Figari	3.863	1.719	0.548	18120.040
Ty12514	M_3	M. majori	Ш	f9	Capo Figari	1.956	5.544	0.554	254809.860
Ty5209	M ₂	M. majori	Ш	f9	Capo Figari	7.328	2.440	0.948	50773.059
Ty5210	M ₂	M. majori	Ш	f9	Capo Figari	7.604	1.792	0.457	43386.387
Ty5211	M_3	M. majori	Ш	f9	Capo Figari	2.539	4.025	1.309	56952.860
Ty5213	M_3	M. majori	Ш	f9	Capo Figari	3.166	0.562	0.526	18555.083
Ty5215	M ₃	M. majori	Ш	f9	Capo Figari	5.350	3.177	0.446	34518.138
Ty5216	M_3	M. majori	Ш	$f9$	Capo Figari	2.873	5.444	0.935	36768.227
Ty5217	M_3	M. majori	I	$f9$	Capo Figari	3.543	1.133	0.610	43480.752
Ty5218	M ₂	M. majori	Ш	f9	Capo Figari	6.599	1.301	0.629	33147.042
Ty5221	M ₁	M. majori	Ш	f9	Capo Figari	8.272	1.972	0.827	56310.013
Ty5223	M ¹	M. majori	Ш	f9	Capo Figari	1.520	1.440	0.414	43031.019
Ty5226	M^1	M. majori	Ш	f9	Capo Figari	1.937	1.425	0.537	39392.814
Ty5229	M ¹	M. majori	I	f12	Capo Figari	3.153	0.673	0.496	70380.953
Ty5302	M ₂	M. majori	Ш	f9	Capo Figari	3.012	2.054	0.539	232205.771
Ty5304	M_3	M. majori	Ш	f9	Capo Figari	5.327	2.489	0.574	81683.004
IPS14955	M ²	M. s. fiorentina	Ш	f12	Cal Guardiola	2.468	0.886	0.557	58357.367
IPS35016	M ₁	M. s. fiorentina	Ш	f11	Cal Guardiola	1.734	4.772	0.575	33822.806

Fossil *Macaca* (*Macaca majori*, *Macaca sylvanus florentina*) raw dental microwear data.

Abbreviations: Asfc = area scale fractal complexity; epLsar = exact proportion length-scale anisotropy of relief; Hasfc $_{81}$

= heterogeneity of area-scale fractal complexity on 81 cells; Tfv = Textural fill volume.

The extant species used in each methodology, with average body mass for males (M) and females (F) and the dietary categorization used in this study with the respective sources for dietary information (modified from Plastiras et al., 2022).

a Body mass estimates given here represent the average body mass for each species taken from Smith and Jungers (1997) and references therein.

Amount of explained variance for every principal component of the PCAs for both sampling methods (i.e., BCO and EEC) using the enamel thickness (3DRETvol, 3DRETgeo, ACS) and dental topographic variables (ARC, LRFI, inclination) among the proposed dietary categories (i.e., folivores, mixed feeders, fruit/seed eaters).

Abbreviations: BCO = basin cut off cropping method (after Berthaume et al., 2019); EEC = entire enamel cap method (after Berthaume et al., 2019); 3DRETvol = 3D volumetric relative enamel thickness; 3DRETgeo = 3D geometric relative enamel thickness; ACS = absolute crown strength; ARC = area-relative curvature; LRFI = relief index.

Loadings of the principal components of the PCAs for both sampling methods (i.e., BCO and EEC).

Abbreviations: BCO = basin cut off cropping method (after Berthaume et al., 2019); EEC = entire enamel cap method (after Berthaume et al., 2019); 3DRETvol = 3D volumetric relative enamel thickness; 3DRETgeo = 3D geometric relative enamel thickness; ACS = absolute crown strength; ARC = area-relative curvature; LRFI = relief index.

Dunn's post-hoc tests among the extant species with significant differences (before^a and after^b Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons) in dental microwear texture variables for both phase II and phase I facets. Significant differences are highlighted in bold.

Abbreviations: Asfc = area-scale fractal complexity; epLsar = exact proportion length-scale anisotropy of relief; Hasfc $_{81}$ =

heterogeneity of area-scale fractal complexity on 81 cells; Tfv = textural fill volume.

a Asymptotic significance (two-sided tests) are displayed with significance level set at 0.05.

b Significance values have been adjusted by the Bonferroni correction for multiple tests.

SOM References

Agetsuma, N., 1995. Foraging strategies of yakushima macaques (*Macaca fuscata yakui*). Int. J. Primatol. 15, 595–609.

- Akosim, C., Joseph, J., Egwumah, P.O., 2010. Assessment of feeding behaviour of baboons (*Papio anubis*) in Hong Hills Adamawa State, Nigeria. J. Res. For. Wildl. Environ. 2, 60–72.
- Barrett, A.S., 2005. Foraging ecology of the vervet monkey (*Chlorocebus aethiops*) in mixed lowveld bushveld and sour lowveld bushveld of the Blydeberg Conservancy, Northern Province, South Africa. Master's Thesis, University of South Africa.
- Bennett, E.L., Sebastian, A.C., 1988. Social organization and ecology of proboscis monkeys (*Nasalis larvatus*) in mixed coastal forest in Sarawak. Int. J. Primatol. 9, 233–255. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02737402
- Berthaume, M.A., Winchester, J., Kupczik, K., 2019. Effects of cropping, smoothing, triangle count, and mesh resolution on 6 dental topographic metrics, PLoS One https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0216229
- Boonratana, R., 1993. The ecology and behaviour of the Proboscis monkey (*Nasalis larvatus*) in the Lower Kinabatangan, Sabah. Ph.D. Dissertation, Mahidol University.
- Brugiere, D., Gautier, J.P., Moungazi, A., Gautier-Hion, A., 2002. Primate diet and biomass in relation to vegetation composition and fruiting phenology in a rain forest in Gabon. Int. J. Primatol. 23, 999–1024. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1019693814988
- Bunn, J.M., Boyer, D.M., Lipman, Y., St Clair, E. M., Jernvall, J., Daubechies, I., 2011. Comparing Dirichlet normal surface energy of tooth crowns, a new technique of molar shape quantification for dietary inference, with previous methods in isolation and in combination. Am. J. Phys. Anthropol. 145, 247–261. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajpa.21489
- Buzzard, P.J., 2006. Ecological partitioning of *Cercopithecus campbelli*, *C. petaurista*, and *C. diana* in the Taï Forest. Int. J. Primatol. 27, 529–558. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10764-006-9022-7
- Caldecott, J.O., 1986. Mating patterns, societies and the ecogeography of macaques. Anim. Behav. 34, 208–220. https://doi.org/10.1016/0003-3472(86)90025-4
- Caton, J.M., 1999. Digestive strategy of the Asian colobine genus *Trachypithecus*. Primates 40, 311–325. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02557555
- Crockett, C.M., Wilson, W.L., 1980. The ecological separation of *Macaca nemestrina* and *Macaca fascicularis* in Sumatra: In: Lindburg, D.G. (Ed.), The Macaques: Studies in Ecology, Behavior and Evolution. Van Nostrand Reinhold Company, New York, pp. 148–181.
- Curtin, S.H., 2004. Diet of the Roloway Monkey, *Cercopithecus diana roloway*, in Bia National Park, Ghana. In: Glenn, M.E., Cords, M. (Eds.), The Guenons: Diversity and Adaptation in African Monkeys. Kluwert Academin Publishers, New York, pp. 351–371. https://doi.org/10.1007/0-306-48417-X_23
- Daegling, D.J., McGraw, W.S., 2001. Feeding, diet, and jaw form in West African *Colobus* and *Procolobus*. Int. J. Primatol. 22, 1033– 1055. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1012021823076
- Dasilva, G.L., 1994. Diet of *Colobus polykomos* on Tiwai Island: Selection of food in relation to its seasonal abundance and nutritional quality. Int. J. Primatol. 15, 655–680. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02737426
- Davies, A.G., Oates, J.F., Dasilva, G.L., 1999. Patterns of frugivory in three west African colobine monkeys. Int. J. Primatol. 20, 327– 357. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1020596503533
- Delahaye, D., 2005. Modélisation d'un système spatial complexe: Le bassin versant. In: Guermond, Y. (Ed.), Modélisations en Géographie – Déterminismes et Complexités. Hermès-Lavoisier, Paris, pp. 235–359.
- El Alami, A., Van Lavieren, E., Rachida, A., Chait, A., 2012. Differences in activity budgets and diet between semiprovisioned and wild-feeding groups of the endangered Barbary macaque (*Macaca sylvanus*) in the central high Atlas mountains, Morocco. Am. J. Primatol. 74, 210–216. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajp.21989
- Enari, H., Sakamaki-Enari, H., 2013. Influence of heavy snow on the feeding behavior of Japanese macaques (*Macaca fuscata*) in Northern Japan. Am. J. Primatol. 75, 534–544. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajp.22128
- Fa, J.E., 1986. On the ecological status of the Barbary macaque *Macaca sylvanus* in North Morocco: Habitat influences versus

human impact. Biol. Conserv. 35, 215–258. https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-3207(86)90065-0

- Fashing, P.J., 2001. Feeding ecology of guerezas in the Kakamega Forest, Kenya: The importance of *Moraceae* fruit in their diet. Int. J. Primatol. 22, 579–609. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1010737601922
- Guy, F., Gouvard, F., Boistel, R., Euriat, A., Lazzari, V., 2013. Prospective in (primate) dental analysis through tooth 3D topographical quantification. PLoS One 8, e66142. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0066142
- Guy, F., Thiery, G., Lazzari, V., 2017. 3D quantification of the occlusal enamel curvature: A decisive feature in dental function analysis and diet in Primates. In 17th International Symposium on Dental Morphology, 2nd Congress of International Association for Paleodontology, p. 104.
- Hanya, G., Ménard, N., Qarro, M., Tattou, M.I., Fuse, M., Vallet, D., Yamada, A., Go, M., Takafumi, H., Tsujino, R., Agetsuma, N., Wada, K., 2011. Dietary adaptations of temperate primates: Comparisons of Japanese and Barbary macaques. Primates 52, 187–198. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10329-011-0239-5

Harding, R.S.O., 1976. Predation by a troop of olive baboons (*Papio anubis*). Am. J. Phys. Anthropol. 38, 587–591.

Harris, T.R., 2010. Multiple resource values and fighting ability measures influence intergroup conflict in guerezas (*Colobus guereza*). Anim. Behav. 79, 89–98. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anbehav.2009.10.007

- Harris, T.R., Chapman, C.A., 2007. Variation in diet and ranging of black and white colobus monkeys in Kibale National Park, Uganda. Primates 48, 208–221. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10329-006-0036-8
- Henzi, S.P., Brown, L.R., Barrett, L., Marais, A.J., 2011. Troop size, habitat use, and diet of chacma Baboons (*Papio hamadryas ursinus*) in commercial pine pantations: Implications for management. Int. J. Primatol. 32, 1020–1032. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10764-011-9519-6
- Hill, D.A., 1997. Seasonal variation in the feeding behavior and diet of Japanese macaques (*Macaca fuscata yakui*) in lowland forest of Yakushima. Am. J. Primatol. 43, 305–320. https//doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1098-2345(1997)43:4<305::AID-AJP2>3.0.CO;2-0
- Hill, R.A., Dunbar, R.I.M., 2002. Climatic determinants of diet and foraging behaviour in baboons. Evol. Ecol. 16, 579–593. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1021625003597
- Hongo, S., Nakashima, Y., Akomo-Okoue, E.F., Mindonga-Nguelet, F.L., 2018. Seasonal change in diet and habitat use in wild mandrills (*Mandrillus sphinx*). Int. J. Primatol. 39, 27–48.https://doi.org/10.1007/s10764-017-0007-5
- Horn, A.D., 1987. The socioecology of the black mangabey (*Cercocebus aterrimus*) Near Lake Tumba, Zaire. Am. J. Primatol. 12, 165–180. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajp.1350120204
- Hoshino, J., 1985. Feeding ecology of mandrills (*Mandrillus sphinx*) in campo animal reserve, Cameroon. Primates 26, 248–273. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02382401
- Iguchi, M., Izawa, K., 1990. Digging and eating of underground plant-parts by wild Japanese monkeys (*Macaca fuscata*). Primates 31, 621–624. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02382548
- Isbell, L.A., 1998. Diet for a small primate: Insectivory and gummivory in the (large) patas monkey (*Erythrocebus patas pyrrhonotus*). Am. J. Primatol. 45, 381–398. https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1098-2345(1998)45:4<381::AID-AJP5>3.0.CO;2-S
- Isbell, L.A., Young, T.P., 2007. Interspecific and temporal variation of ant species within *Acacia drepanolobium* ant domatia, a staple food of patas monkeys (*Erythrocebus patas*) in Laikipia, Kenya. Am. J. Primatol. 69, 1387–1398. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajp.20444
- Johnson, C., Swedell, L., Rothman, J., 2012. Feeding ecology of olive baboons (*Papio anubis*) in Kibale National Park, Uganda: Preliminary results on diet and food selection. Afr. J. Ecol. 50, 367–370. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2028.2011.01316.x
- Kane, E.E., McGraw, W.S., 2018. Dietary variation in diana monkeys (*Cercopithecus diana*): The effects of polyspecific associations. Folia Primatol. 88, 455–482. https://doi.org/10.1159/000484560
- Karme, A., 2008. Diet analysis for bunodont omnivore groups Suina and Hominidae: Extending, objectifying and quantifying

mesowear with 3D and GIS. Master's Thesis, University of Helsinki.

- Kavanagh, M., 1978. The diet and feeding behaviour of *Cercopithecus aethiops tantalus*. Folia Primatol. 30, 30–63. https://doi.org/10.1159/000155854
- Kool, K.M., 1992. Food selection by the silver leaf monkey, *Trachypithecus auratus sondaicus*, in relation to plant chemistry. Oecologia 90, 527–533. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01875446
- Kudo, H., Mitani, M., 1985. New record of predatory behavior by the mandrill in Cameroon. Primates 26, 161–167. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02382015
- Lahm, S.A., 1986. Diet and habitat preference of *Mandrillus sphinx* in Gabon: Implications for foraging strategy. Am. J. Primatol. 26, 9–26. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajp.1350110103
- Maibeche, Y., Moali, A., Yahi, N., Menard, N., 2015. Is diet flexibility an adaptive life trait for relictual and peri-urban populations of the endangered primate *Macaca sylvanus*? PLoS One 10, e0118596. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0118596
- Marsh, C.W., 1981. Diet choice among red Colobus (*Colobus badius rufomitratus*) on Tanariver, Kenya. Folia Primatol. 35, 147–178. https://doi.org/10.1159/000155971
- Maruhashi, T., 1980. Feeding behavior and diet of the Japanese monkey (*Macaca fuscata yakui*) on Yakushima Island, Japan. Primates 21, 141–160. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02374030
- Matsuda, I., Tuuga, A., Higashi, S., 2009. The feeding ecology and activity budget of proboscis monkeys. Am. J. Primatol. 71, 478– 492. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajp.20677
- McGraw, W.S., 2017. Mangabeys (*Cercocebus* and *Lophocebus*). In: Fuentes, A. (Ed.), The International Encyclopedia of Primatology. John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, pp. 1–3.
- McGraw, W.S., Pampush, J.D., Daegling, D.J., 2012. Brief communication: Enamel thickness and durophagy in mangabeys revisited. Am. J. Phys. Anthropol. 147, 326–333. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajpa.21634
- McGraw, W.S., van Casteren, A., Kane, E., Geissler, E., Burrows, B., Daegling, D.J., 2016. Feeding and oral processing behaviors of two colobine monkeys in Tai Forest, Ivory Coast. J. Hum. Evol. 98, 90–102. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhevol.2015.06.001
- McGraw, W.S., Vick, A.E., Daegling, D.J., 2014. Dietary variation and food hardness in sooty mangabeys (*Cercocebus atys*): Implications for fallback foods and dental adaptation. Am. J. Phys. Anthropol. 154, 413–423. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajpa.22525
- McKey, D., Gartlan, J.S., Waterman, P.G., F.L.S., Choo, G.M., 1981. Food selection by black colobus monkeys (*Colobus satanas*) in relation to plant chemistry. Biol. J. Linn. Soc. 16, 115–146.
- Ménard, N., Vallet, D., 1997. Behavioral responses of Barbary macaques (*Macaca sylvanus*) to variations in environmental conditions in Algeria. Am. J. Primatol. 43, 285–304. https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1098-2345(1997)43:4<285::AID-AJP1>3.0.CO;2-T
- Mitani, M., 1989. *Cercocebus torquatus*: Adaptive feeding and ranging behaviors related to seasonal fluctuations of food resources in the tropical rain forest of south-western Cameroon. Primates 30, 307–323. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02381257
- Morris, K., Goodall, J., 1977. Competition for meat between chimpanzees and baboons of the Gombe national park. Folia Primatol. 28, 109–121. https://doi.org/10.1159/000155801
- Mowry, C.B., Decker, B.S., Shure, D.J., 1996. The role of phytochemistry in dietary choices of Tana river red Colobus monkeys (*Procolobus badius rufomitratus*). Int. J. Primatol. 17, 63–84. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02696159
- Nakagawa, N., 2000. Foraging energetics in patas monkeys (*Erythrocebus patas*) and tantalus monkeys (*Cercopithecus aethiops tantalus*): implications for reproductive seasonality. Am. J. Primatol. 52, 169–185. https://doi.org/10.1002/1098- 2345(200012)52:4<169::AID-AJP2>3.0.CO;2-B
- Nakagawa, N., 2003. Difference in food selection between patas monkeys (*Erythrocebus patas*) and tantalus monkeys (*Cercopithecus aethiops tantalus*) in Kala Maloue National Park, Cameroon, in relation to nutrient content. Primates 44, 3–11. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10329-002-0001-0
- Newton, P., 1992. Feeding and ranging patterns of forest hanuman langurs (*Presbytis entellus*). Int. J. Primatol. 13, 245–285. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02547816
- Newton-Fisher, N.E., Okecha, A.A., 2006. The diet of olive baboons (*Papio anubis*) in the Budongo Forest Reserve, Uganda. In: Newton-Fisher, N.E., Notman, H., Paterson, J.D., Reynolds, V. (Eds.), Primates of Western Uganda. Springer, pp. 61–73. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-387-33505-6_4
- Nsi Akoue, G., Mbading-Mbading, W., Willaume, E., Souza, A., Mbatchi, B., Charpentier, M.J.E., 2017. Seasonal and individual predictors of diet in a free-ranging population of mandrills. Ethology 123, 600–613. https://doi.org/10.1111/eth.12633
- Oates, J.F., Swain, T., Zantovska, J., 1977. Secondary compounds and food selection by colobus monkeys. Biochem. Syst. Ecol. 5, 317–321. https://doi.org/10.1016/0305-1978(77)90032-1
- Oates, J.F., Whitesides, G.H., 1990. Association between olive colobus (*Procolobus verus*), diana guenons (*Cercopithecus diana*), and other forest monkeys in Sierra Leone. Am. J. Primatol. 21, 129–146. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajp.1350210206
- Olupot, W., 1988. Long‐term variation in mangabey (*Cercocebus albigena johnstoni* Lydekker) feeding in Kibale National Park, Uganda. Afr. J. Ecol. 36, 96–101. https://doi.org/10.1006/anbe.2000.1709

Pampush, J.D., Winchester, J.M., Morse, P.E., Vining, A.Q., Boyer, D.M., Kay, R.F., 2016. Introducing molaR: A new R package for

quantitative topographic analysis of teeth (and other topographic surfaces). J. Mamm. Evol. 23, 397–412. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10914-016-9326-0

- Pampush, J.D., Morse, P.E., Fuselier, E.J., Skinner, M.M., Kay, R.F., 2022. Sign-oriented Dirichlet normal energy: Aligning dental topography and dental function in the R-package molaR. J. Mamm. Evol. 29: 713–732. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10914-022- 09616-6
- Percher, A.M., Merceron, G., Nsi Akoue, G., Galbany, J., Romero, A., Charpentier, M.J.E., 2018. Dental microwear textural analysis as an analytical tool to depict individual traits and reconstruct the diet of a primate. Am. J. Phys. Anthropol. 165, 123–138. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajpa.23337
- Plastiras, C. A., Thiery, G., Guy, F., Kostopoulos, D.S., Lazzari, V., & Merceron, G., 2022. Feeding ecology of the last European colobine monkey, *Dolichopithecus ruscinensis*. J. Hum. Evol. 168, 103199. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhevol.2022.103199
- Pochron, S.T., 2000. The core dry-season diet of yellow baboons (*Papio hamadryas cynocephalus*) in Ruaha National Park, Tanzania. Folia Primatol. 71, 346–349. https://doi.org/10.1159/000021758
- Poulsen, J.R., Clark, C.J., Smith, T.B., 2001. Seasonal variation in the feeding ecology of the grey-cheeked mangabey (*Lophocebus albigena*) in Cameroon. Am. J. Primatol. 54, 91–105. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajp.1015
- Pruetz, J.D., Isbell, L.A., 2000. Correlations of food distribution and patch size with agonistic interactions in female vervets (*Chlorocebus aethiops*) and patas monkeys (*Erythrocebus patas*) living in simple habitats. Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol. 38–47. https://doi.org/10.1007/s002650000272
- Punekar, S.A., 2002. Some food plants of Hanuman langur *Semnopithecus entellus* (Dufresne) in the Western Ghats of Maharashtra, India. Zoos' Print J. 17, 797–801.
- Rowe, N., Goodall, J., Mittermeier, R., 1996. The Pictorial Guide to the Living Primates. Pogonias Press, East Hampton.
- Salter, R.E., MacKenzie, N.A., Nightingale, N., Aken, K.M., Chai, P., 1985. Habitat use, ranging behaviour, and food habits of the proboscis monkey, *Nasalis larvatus* (van Wurmb), in Sarawak. Primates 26, 436–451. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02382458
- Sayers, K., Norconk, M.A., 2008. Himalayan *Semnopithecus entellus* at Langtang National Park, Nepal: Diet, activity patterns, and resources. Int. J. Primatol. 29, 509–530. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10764-008-9245-x
- Schreier, A.L., Schlaht, R.M., Swedell, L., 2019. Meat eating in wild hamadryas baboons: Opportunistic trade-offs between insects and vertebrates. Am. J. Primatol. 81, 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajp.23029
- Shan, S., Kovalsky, S.Z., Winchester, J.M., Boyer, D.M., Daubechies, I., 2019. ariaDNE: A robustly implemented algorithm for Dirichlet energy of the normal. Methods Ecol. Evol. 10, 541–552. https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.13148
- Shimizu, D., 2002. Functional implications of enamel thickness in the lower molars of red colobus (*Procolobus badius*) and Japanese macaque (*Macaca fuscata*). J. Hum. Evol. 43, 605–620. https://doi.org/10.1006/jhev.2002.0593
- Smith, R.J., Jungers, W.L., 1997. Body mass in comparative primatology. J. Hum. Evol. 32, 523–559. https://doi.org/10.1006/jhev.1996.0122
- Swedell, L., Hailemeskel, G., Schreier, A., 2008. Composition and seasonality of diet in wild hamadryas baboons: Preliminary findings from Filoha. Folia Primatol. 79, 476–490. https://doi.org/10.1159/000164431
- Thiery, G., 2016. Morphofunctional analysis of dental topography in extant and extinct primates. Ph.D. Dissertation, University of Poitiers. https://www.theses.fr/2016POIT2294
- Thiery, G., Gibert, C., Guy, F., Lazzari, V., Geraads, D., Spassov, N., Merceron, G., 2021. From leaves to seeds? The dietary shift in late Miocene colobine monkeys of southeastern Europe. Evolution 75, 1983–1997. https://doi.org/10.1111/evo.14283
- Tsuji, Y., Ito, T., Wada, K., Watanabe, K., 2015. Spatial patterns in diet of the Japanese macaque *Macaca fuscata* and their environmental determinants. Mamm. Rev. 45, 227–238. https://doi.org/10.1111/mam.12045
- Tutin, C.E.G., Ham, R.M., White, L.J.T., Harrison, M.J.S., 1997. The primate community of the Lope Reserve, Gabon: Diets, responses to fruit scarcity, and effects on biomass. Am. J. Primatol. 42, 1–24. https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1098-

2345(1997)42:1<1::AID-AJP1>3.0.CO;2-0

- Ungar, P., Williamson, M., 2000. Exploring the effects of tooth wear on functional morphology: A preliminary study using dental topography. Palaeontol. Electron. 3, 1. http://www-odp.tamu.edu/paleo/2000_1/ gorilla/issue1_00.htm
- Ungar, P.S., Healy, C., Karme, A., Teaford, M., Fortelius, M., 2018. Dental topography and diets of platyrrhine primates. Hist. Biol. 30, 64–75. https://doi.org/10.1080/08912963.2016.1255737
- Whitten, P.L., 1983. Diet and dominance among female vervet monkeys (*Cercopithecus aethiops*). Am. J. Primatol. 5, 139–159. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajp.1350050205
- Wright, B.W., Ulibarri, L., O'Brien, J., Sadler, B., Prodhan, R., Covert, H.H., Nadler, T., 2008. It's tough out there: Variation in the toughness of ingested leaves and feeding behavior among four colobinae in Vietnam. Int. J. Primatol. 29, 1455–1466. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10764-008-9294-1
- Yeager, C.P., 1989. Feeding ecology of the proboscis monkey (*Nasalis larvatus*). Int. J. Primatol. 10, 497–530. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02739363