



HAL
open science

Molecular Regulation of Cardiac Conduction System Development

Lucie Boulgakoff, Gaetano D'amato, Lucile Miquerol

► **To cite this version:**

Lucie Boulgakoff, Gaetano D'amato, Lucile Miquerol. Molecular Regulation of Cardiac Conduction System Development. *Current Cardiology Reports*, In press, 10.1007/s11886-024-02094-7. hal-04684246

HAL Id: hal-04684246

<https://hal.science/hal-04684246v1>

Submitted on 2 Sep 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Molecular Regulation of Cardiac Conduction System Development

Lucie Boulgakoff, Gaetano D'amato and Lucile Miquerol

Affiliation: Aix-Marseille Université, CNRS IBDM UMR7288, Marseille, France.

Corresponding author and email address: Lucile Miquerol, Lucile.miquerol@univ-amu.fr

Keywords: cardiac conduction, embryonic development, molecular control, morphogenesis, transcription factors

Abstract:

Purpose of review: The cardiac conduction system, composed of pacemaker cells and conducting cardiomyocytes, orchestrates the propagation of electrical activity to synchronize heartbeats. The conduction system plays a crucial role in the development of cardiac arrhythmias. In the embryo, the cells of the conduction system derive from the same cardiac progenitors as the contractile cardiomyocytes and the key question is how this choice is made during development..

Recent Findings: This review focuses on recent advances in developmental biology using the mouse as animal model to better understand the cellular origin and molecular regulations that control morphogenesis of the cardiac conduction system, including the latest findings in single-cell transcriptomics.

Summary: The conducting cell fate is acquired during development starting with pacemaking activity and last with the formation of a complex fast-conducting network. Cardiac conduction system morphogenesis is controlled by complex transcriptional and gene regulatory networks that differ in the components of the cardiac conduction system.

Introduction

The heart, along with the digestive system, is the only organ capable of initiating its own contractions. Cardiac automaticity is made possible by the presence of a natural pacemaker within the heart, the Sinoatrial Node (SAN)¹, which spontaneously generate action potentials (AP). In mammals and birds, the AP is then transmitted to the rest of the heart by a complex network of specialized cardiomyocytes (CMs) forming the cardiac conduction system (CCS) ². The various components of the CCS sequentially propagate the electrical impulse throughout the heart, ensuring the coordination and stereotypy of the beats (Figure 1).

First, rapid propagation of the AP within the atria ensures synchronous contraction of both atria. The AP then reaches the unique electrical connection between the chambers: the atrioventricular node (AVN), where it is advantageously slowed, optimizing ventricular filling prior to contraction. The AP is then transmitted to the fast-conducting ventricular conduction system (VCS) or His-Purkinje. It passes through the His bundle or atrioventricular bundle (AVB), which then divides into the right and left bundle branches (RBB and LBB) on either side of the septum. The isolated RBB and LBB carry the AP along the interventricular septum to the apex and to the terminal part of the CCS: the Purkinje fiber (PF) network of each ventricle. Finally, the PF network conducts the AP along the ventricular free walls as it transmits the depolarization to the working myocardium, initiating ventricular systole from apex to base.

The electrophysiological characteristics of cardiomyocytes within the CCS result from the expression of a wide range of conduction-specific genes, including ion channels responsible for pacemaker activity and action potential characteristics, gap junctions responsible for conduction velocity, and low levels of contractile proteins³⁻⁶. Importantly, each compartment of the CCS is unique, with specific physiological and histological characteristics adapted to their role in cardiac conduction⁷. For example, both the SAN and the AVN rely on *Hcn4*, the main cation channel responsible for the I_{funny} current, which underlies their automaticity^{8,9}. Furthermore, the heterogeneous conduction velocities observed in the different compartments of the CCS are made possible by the expression of a combination of gap junctions with different conductance. Specifically, the SAN and AVN, characterized by slower conduction, predominantly express connexins of low conductance, such as Cx45 and Cx30.2 (9pS)^{3,10}. In contrast, fast-conducting components like the atria, AVB, RRB LBB, LPF and RPF express Cx40, which forms high conductance gap junctions (~180pS)^{3,11} (Figure 1).

Although CCS cells share characteristics with neurons, such as genetic markers, electrophysiological properties and electrical function¹², they are CMs. Indeed, retrospective clonal analysis, revealed a common cellular origin between conducting and contractile CMs^{13,14}. More precisely, the different compartments of the CCS show close lineage relationship with contractile cardiomyocytes from neighboring myocardium, suggesting that conductive and contractile properties are acquired during cardiac morphogenesis¹⁵⁻¹⁸. Thus, although all CCS compartments are integrated into a functional conducting pathway in the mature heart, their origin and developmental regulation largely differ.

Importantly, despite its small volume within the heart, the CCS plays a critical role in cardiac function and in the occurrence of cardiac arrhythmias^{14,19}. Understanding the precise regulation of CCS patterning during heart development is therefore of paramount importance. Due to the considerable influence of genetics, the mouse - which has a very similar morphology, function and molecular regulation of the CCS to that of humans^{20,21} – has become the animal model of choice for understanding the development of the CCS.

Genetic control of SAN development

The heart is the first functional organ in the embryo with transient calcium releases²² and focal contractions starting as early as cardiac crescent stage^{23,24}, and soon being replaced by peristaltic contractions. However, these first cardiac activities can initiate anywhere in the heart tube. A dominant pacemaker emerges at the inflow pole of the heart only after, in a region progressively enriched in *Hcn4*, which prefigures the emplacement of the SAN²⁵.

In the adult heart, SAN cells are characterized by the expression of a unique set of ion channels, i.e. *Hcn4* and gap junctions, such as *Cx45* and *Cx30.2*, which differs from the atrial myocardium that expresses *Cx40*, *Cx43* and *Nppa*²⁶. The SAN is divided into a large head and a tail forming a comma-shaped structure at the junction of the right atrium and superior vena cava¹. Both parts of the SAN arise from a mesodermal pool of progenitors that diverges early from both the first and second heart fields (FHF and SHF) and that will also give rise to the sinus venosus (SV)^{27–30}. The subdivision of the SAN is delineated by distinct gene programs with the expression of transcription factors *Tbx18*⁺/*Nkx2-5*⁻ in the head region and *Nkx2-5*⁺/*Tbx18*⁻ in the tail^{30,31}. Strengthening a bipartite model for SAN development, genetic tracing analyses using inducible *Hcn4-CreERT2* mice lines indicate a progressive temporal activation of *Hcn4* in the SAN, with early expression in progenitors from the tail (from E7.5) and later expression (from E8.5 onward) in the head¹⁶.

The differentiation and maintenance of the pacemaker activity of the SAN is controlled by a gene regulatory network involving *Tbx18*, *Tbx2*, *Shox2*, *Nkx2-5* and *Isl1*^{32,33}.

Illustrating the importance of *Tbx18* for SAN development, inactivation of *Tbx18* causes a hypoplastic SAN with absence of the head and reduced tail region³⁰.

At early stage of development, Short stature homeobox 2 (*Shox2*) gene expression is extended in the sinus venosus to cells presenting pacemaker activity and co-expressing *Hcn4* and *Nkx2-5*³⁴. Later during development, pacemaker cells are restricted to the mature SAN

under the control of a transcriptional balance between *Shox2* and *Nkx2-5* to regulate cell fate. *Shox2* is not directly required for the pacemaker activity of the SAN but the conditional deletion of *Shox2* in *Nkx2-5* tail domain induces sick sinus syndrome in association with a loss of the junction between SAN and atria³⁴. The T-box transcription factor *Tbx3* is expressed in the developing conduction system and, similar to *Shox2*, acts as a transcription repressor of the working myocardium program by down regulating the expression of *Gja5*, *Gja1* and *Scn5A* in pacemaker cells³⁵. Conditional overexpression of *Tbx3* converts atrial cells to a pacemaker phenotype characterized by a downregulation of *Cx43* and upregulation of *Hcn4* expression, which results in ectopic pacemakers³⁶. SAN homeostasis in the adult is also tightly dependent on *Tbx3* expression indicating its importance not only for pacemaker specification but also for maintenance of conduction system integrity³⁷. In contrast to these transcriptional repressors, *Isl1* encodes for a LIM-homeodomain transcription factor and serves as a positive transactivator for the expression of pacemaker specific genes like *Tbx3*, *Shox2* and *Hcn4*³⁸. The conditional inactivation of *Isl1* specifically in the SAN at different stages of development reveals that *Isl1* affects the SAN size and induces bradycardia by playing a cell-autonomously role in proliferation and differentiation of pacemaker cells^{26,39}. Moreover, any disturbances of *Nkx2-5* expression in the adult heart correlate with sinus diseases, showing that the regulation of this transcriptional cascade is also crucial for the maintenance of pacemaker phenotype⁴⁰. *Nkx2-5* is required to form the junction between tail and head. Indeed, inactivation of *Nkx2-5* in the *Shox2* domain does not hamper the development of the node but disturbs the atrial activation suggesting a precise role for this junction⁴¹. In summary, pacemaker cells originate from an independent mesodermal lineage that give rise to the sinus venosus and starts to synchronize heartbeats at E8.0. Defects in SAN development or dysfunction of pacemaker cells in either SAN compartment leads to bradycardia or sick sinus syndrome⁴².

Genetic control of AVN development

The AVN is critical in slowing atrioventricular conduction, thereby introducing a necessary delay between atrial and ventricular contraction⁴³. The AV delay functionally develops around E10, when the chamber-myocardium acquire a conductive phenotype⁴⁴⁻⁴⁶, while the atrioventricular canal (AVC) and OFT, deprived of *Cx40*, remains slow¹¹. As development progresses, the differentiation of the AVN is marked by the expression of *Tbx3*, *Hcn4*, *Cx30.2* and *Cx45*, giving the AVN its pacemaker and slow conducting properties^{10,43,47,48}.

In parallel, the reinforcement of electrical insulation through the development of fibrous tissues further isolates the AVN, leaving it as the only electrical communication between the atria and ventricles.

Genetic tracing using respectively *Tbx2* or *Hcn4* has shown that the AVN arises from the AVC^{49,50} and commit to a AVN fate by E10-E11¹⁸. On the other hand, the annulus fibrosus arises from epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) of epicardial cells at the AV junction²⁷.

The establishment of the AV junction relies on different signaling pathway on the right and left side. On the left side, both the transcriptional program of the AVC myocardium and establishment of the annulus fibrosus relies on *Tbx2*⁵⁰. Consequently, deletion of *Tbx2* results in accessory pathways, detectable anatomically and functionally by optical mapping⁵¹. On the other side, the establishment of the right AV junction depends on Notch and Wnt signaling^{52,53}. Accessory pathways are detectable after Notch activation or in a Wnt loss of function (LOF) model while Wnt activation induces ectopic annulus fibrosus in ventricular myocardium⁵². Rescue experiments suggest that Notch-mediated ventricular pre-excitation results in part from downregulation of Wnt signaling⁵⁴. Notch inhibition also regulates the AV conduction pathway by interfering with AVN maturation. Indeed, downregulation of Notch signaling leads to formation of a small AVN associated with an elevated conduction velocity between atria and ventricles⁵³. However, Notch signaling does not affect the early development of the AVC or the expression of *Tbx3*.

The specification and development of nodal cells is very sensitive to *Nkx2-5* and *Tbx3* gene dosage during embryonic development⁵⁵⁻⁵⁸. Indeed, AVN progenitors are absent in *Nkx2-5* null embryos, and a small AVN develops in *Nkx2-5* haploinsufficient mice or after postnatal deletion of *Nkx2-5*^{56,59}; likewise, *Tbx3* hypomorphic or AVC-deleted alleles cause AV blocks and hypoplasia of the CS. Moreover, inducible deletion of *Tbx3* in the adult heart causes AV blocks associated with a reduced number of AVN cells³⁷. Interestingly, the severity of these AV blocks decreases after a few weeks, suggesting that the AV conduction is able to partially recover from adult depletion of *Tbx3*³⁷. AVN development is also specifically impaired after ventricular deletion of *Gata6* by direct inhibition of its target gene *Id2*⁶⁰. Inactivation of *Id2*, encoding a transcriptional repressor, causes abnormal development of the AVN by perturbing the cell cycle and *Hcn4* expression. As mentioned above, the maturation of the AVN is also

important in the development of AV conduction pathway and this step is disturbed in MyoR mutants by interfering with Cx30.2 expression through a direct interaction with Gata4⁶¹.

Defective development of the AVN is associated with AV electrical insulation disturbances or AV delay that cause AV accessory pathways or AV blocks.

Genetic Control of VCS development

Before the emergence of a specific ventricular conduction system, cardiac conduction follows a unidirectional path from the inflow tract to the LV, RV and finally outflow tract (OFT). The mature activation pattern, characterized by two parallel apex-to-base activation waves, only emerges after ventricular septation and the development of a fast-conducting compartment in the subendocardial myocardium: the Cx40 positive trabeculae^{11,45,46,62,63}. Subsequently, the gradual restriction of Cx40 expression to the future LBB, RBB and PF coincides with their maturation, eventually giving rise to the well-defined VCS^{11,14}.

The proximal VCS – including AVB, RBB and LBB - arises from the primary interventricular ring (PIR)⁶⁴. Interestingly, despite the close proximity and similarities of the BB and PF networks in the adult heart, the PF network does not originate from the PIR like the BB⁶⁴. Instead the PF network arises from the Cx40⁺ trabeculae^{14,65}, which is consistent with the conductive function ensured by the trabeculae during development^{45,46,62,63}. Furthermore, the molecular signature of the trabeculae closely resembles that of mature PFs, and the progressive restriction of trabecular markers, including Cx40, Nppa, Irx3, Etv1, and Sema3a, during compaction reflects the maturation process of the PF network^{11,14,65-69}.

The timing of segregation also varies between the components of the VCS, occurring later in distal parts of the VCS. The AVB segregates the earliest, prior to E7.5, as shown by clonal analysis of SMA⁺ cells⁷⁰. The segregation to the LBB starts prior to E7.5⁷⁰ and extends after E10.5⁶⁴. Finally, the PF network grows by recruitment of new conductive cells in successive waves throughout development^{65,71}. The first committed PFs can be observed as early as the linear heart tube stage (~E7.5) and likely define a scaffold for the formation of the mature VCS⁶⁵. Interestingly, bipotent trabecular progenitors, which can give rise to both contractile CMs or be recruited to a PF fate, persist in the trabeculae until the time of birth. These bipotent progenitors are responsible for a second wave of PF recruitment that is key to increasing the complexity and density of the PF network⁶⁵. After E14.5, the bipotent pool decreases dramatically and disappears by birth, marking the final segregation of contractile

and conductive lineages⁶⁵. Recently, scRNAseq at fetal stages (E16.5) identified two sub-clusters of immature PFs, one of which expresses intermediate levels of PF genes (Gja5, Etv1, Sema3a, etc.)⁷². This demonstrates heterogeneity in the level of differentiation among PFs, further confirming that conducting cells are recruited sequentially.

The early development of the LBB, like other derivatives from the PIR, is primarily regulated by Tbx3. At these early time points, Tbx3 refrains a fast conduction phenotype^{57,58}, including through the inhibition of Cx40 expression. This is only around E14.5 that a gene regulatory network including Nkx2-5, Tbx5, Id2 is activated in the AVB and BB, favorizing the expression of the fast-conducting proteins including Cx40 and Nav1-5^{58,73,74}. Importantly, mutations of Tbx5 in these VCS components results in spontaneous ventricular tachycardia, lethal arrhythmias⁷³ and AV blocs⁷⁴.

The proximity of the VCS with the endocardium suggests an instructive role of this tissue in the development of the VCS. *Nrg1*, which is expressed by endocardial cells during development, is sufficient to convert immature CMs to a conductive phenotype without impacting proliferation^{75,76}. *Nrg1* functions through the Ras MAPK pathway to activate Etv1, the most enriched TF in the developing and mature VCS^{67,76}. Consistent with the role of *Nrg1* as a ventricular conduction system differentiation driver, its ectopic overactivation in embryonic CMs upregulates a subset of His-Purkinje-specific genes⁷⁷. Additionally, other factors may predispose subendocardial CMs to be *Nrg1* responsive⁷⁵, since, even under homogenous addition of *Nrg1* in organ culture, conductive conversion occurs preferentially in the subendocardial myocardium. Furthermore, responsiveness to *Nrg1* decreases as development proceeds accompanying the progressive segregation of conductive and contractile lineages. After conductive recruitment, *Nrg1* appears to play a role in the late differentiation of PFs, as suggested by the conduction abnormalities observed following post-natal treatment with *Nrg1*-antagonist⁷⁶ (Figure 1).

In parallel, Notch signaling also regulates conductive recruitment. Myocardial overactivation of Notch signaling, either in vivo throughout development, or transiently in neonatal CMs, is sufficient to induce the acquisition of a conductive phenotype by a subset of CMs without affecting their proliferation⁵³. Notch induces the upregulation of both fast conducting proteins, Cx40 and Nav1-5, and nodal proteins, Cx30.2 and Hcn1, leading to the acquisition of PFs electrophysiological properties by former working CMs and thereby, to VCS hyperplasia. Interestingly, only subendocardial CMs are responsive to Notch overactivation,

suggesting that endocardial derived cues, such as *Nrg1*, could cooperate with Notch to pattern the VCS in subendocardial regions. Conversely, *CHF1/Hey2*, seems to repress conductive fate in the surrounding working myocardium and consistent with the observation of VCS hyperplasia in *CHF1/Hey2* KO mice⁷⁸. Thus, Notch signaling regulates PFs patterning both by promoting a conductive fate within the VCS and by repressing conductive conversion in the neighboring working myocardium. Interestingly, conductive conversion under Notch overactivation, in the neonatal period, uncovers a conserved plasticity between conductive and contractile fates⁵³.

ETS Variant Transcription Factor 1 (*Etv1*) is directly activated by *Nrg1* signaling and is necessary and sufficient to instruct a conductive phenotype. Indeed, *Etv1* KO mice display a hypoplastic VCS, especially in the mid and apical PF network where the number of characteristic ellipses is reduced⁷⁶. Conversely, overexpression of *Etv1* in neonatal CMs or human induced pluripotent stem cell-derived cardiomyocytes (hiPSC-CMs) is sufficient to induce a conductive phenotype^{67,76}. *Etv1* also regulates the expression of *Cx40* and *Scn5a* in PFs, and thereby their electrophysiological properties. Thus, the *Nrg1* – *Etv1* axis functions as an upstream determinant of PF commitment and differentiation^{67,75,76}.

Part of the function of *Etv1* appears to be mediated by the transcription factor *Nkx2-5*^{67,76}. *Nkx2-5* expression is up-regulated in the developing His bundle, bundle branches and PFs in a spatiotemporal correspondence with the recruitment of conductive cells^{56,67,72,76,79,80}. This upregulation is necessary for a conversion to a conductive fate as attested by hypoplasia of the AVN, His bundle, LBB and PF network in *Nkx2-5* haploinsufficient mice^{56,59,65,81,82}. Interestingly, the late recruitment of PFs is more sensitive to *Nkx2-5* dosages than the early one. Thus, while the BB and the primitive scaffold are minorly affected in the *Nkx2-5* haploinsufficient mice, the mid and apical PF network is dramatically hypoplastic, with a reduction of two to three fold in the number of ellipses^{56,59,65,81,82}. Surprisingly, the differentiation of the remaining PFs in *Nkx2-5* mutant doesn't seem to be affected, as their electrophysiological and *Cx40* gap junctions number appears normal. However, other studies report that *Nkx2-5* directly and indirectly – in part by upregulating of the homeodomain protein HOP – regulates a number of gap junctions and ion channels, including *Cx40*⁸³. Consistent with this, some cells with intermediate maturation have been identified in *Nkx2-5* haploinsufficient mice by their expression of EH-myomesin without *Cx40*⁸¹. Finally, *Nkx2-5* is implicated in the maintenance of a conductive phenotype, as deletion of one copy of *Nkx2-5*

at birth leads to a progressive loss of fast conduction proteins, including Cx40 and Hcn4⁸⁴. *Nkx2-5* expression in conductive cells is also regulated by the co-repression of the homeobox transcription factor prospero-related homeobox protein 1 (Prox1) and HDAC3⁸⁵.

In cooperation with *Nkx2-5*, *Tbx5* and *Irx3* orchestrate the recruitment and specification of PFs and can thus partially compensate for low levels of *Nkx2-5* in some conditions (Figure 1).

Irx3, which is expressed in the immature proximal VCS and in a subset of trabeculae during development, is restricted to the VCS of the adult heart. Similar to *Nkx2-5* haploinsufficient mice, *Irx3* KO mice develop hypoplastic bundle branches and PF network, defects that manifest mainly in the postnatal period⁸⁶. Furthermore, *Irx3* regulates gap junction expression in PFs by indirectly upregulating *Cx40* and directly inhibiting *Cx43* expression in competition with *Nkx2-5*⁶⁸. As a result, *Irx3* KO mice express only half of the WT levels of Cx40 in their remaining VCS, while their LBB ectopically express *Cx43*, resulting in abnormal contact between the LBB and surrounding myocardium.

Similar to *Nkx2-5*, *Tbx5* has a pleiotropic role in cardiac development causing embryonic lethality in *Tbx5*^{-/-} mice and multiple cardiac defects in *Tbx5* haploinsufficient mice⁸⁷. *Tbx5* expression is enriched in the mature VCS compared to the surrounding myocardium and maximal levels of *Tbx5* are required for the recruitment of conductive cells in the His bundle and bundle branches^{67,74,76}. Consequently, *Tbx5* haploinsufficient mice have a hypoplastic His bundle, and immature bundle branches. In addition, *Tbx5* cooperates with *Nkx2-5* to synergistically activate *Cx40* and *Nppa* expression⁸⁷. To date, the role of *Tbx5* specifically in PFs has not been investigated. The cooperative role of *Nkx2-5*, *Tbx5* and *Irx3* is illustrated by their direct physical interactions and the presence of neighboring binding sites for all three TFs in the regulatory regions of a large number of target genes including cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitors⁸⁶. Furthermore, compensatory upregulation of *Nkx2-5* and *Tbx5* has been reported in *Irx3*^{-/-} mice, while double or triple KO mice show increasing defects in VCS patterning^{86,88}.

miR-1, a direct target of *Nkx2-5*, is the most abundant microRNA in the postnatal heart and plays a pleiotropic role in regulating CM electrophysiology, proliferation and VCS development⁸⁹⁻⁹¹. During development, miR-1 represses CM proliferation through a Cdk6 /Pocket protein axis, resulting in control of myocardial and VCS growth⁹⁰. Consequently, premature expression of miR-1 in CMs results in reduced CM proliferation and hypoplasia of

the PF network. Conversely, Pocket proteins 3KO (*p107*^{-/-}, *p130*^{-/-}, *Rb*^{del/del}) mice have hypertrabeculated ventricles due to uncontrolled CM proliferation in both the compact layer and trabeculae resulting in thickening of the His Purkinje system⁹². These results show that increasing or decreasing the proliferation of the trabeculae, which contain the pool of PF progenitors, is sufficient to increase or decrease the production of PFs^{90,92}.

Hand1 is expressed in the LV from E8.5, and, together with *Hand2*, regulates trabecular identity and represses trabeculae proliferation⁹³. Mice lacking *Hand1* expression in the LV (*Hand1*^{ΔLV/ΔLV}) develop a hyperplastic VCS, which may be due to increased trabecular proliferation⁹⁴. However, both the left and right VCS are hyperplastic in *Hand1*^{ΔLV/ΔLV} mice, even if *Hand1* is only expressed in the LV of developing hearts. This, together with the observation that *Hand1* is expressed in the His bundle, RBB, LBB, left PFs and, potentially right PFs in mature hearts⁹⁴, suggests that *Hand1* may have an additional role in PF recruitment or differentiation (Figure 1).

PFs specifically express three proteins of the immunoglobulin family: *Cntn2*, *Ncam-1* and *Alcam*^{95,96}. LOF models indicate that *Ncam-1* KO mice have a hypoplastic VCS, especially at the apex, similar to of *Etv1* KO, *Irx3* KO or *Nkx2-5* heterozygous mice, supporting a role for *Ncam-1* in VCS patterning. In addition, polysialylated *Ncam-1* is essential for PF differentiation by controlling the localization of *Cntn2*, *Ncam-1*, *Cx40*, components of the desmosomes and adherent junctions at the intercalated discs. It is interesting that an adhesion molecule can play a role in the patterning of the VCS and may reveal a feedback loop between electromechanical coupling in the PFs and PF commitment and differentiation. Furthermore, this may explain why some mutant mice develop hypoplasia of the VCS specifically in the neonatal period^{81,86}, when the maturation of the intercalated discs takes place (Figure 1).

Novel insights into the differentiation trajectories of CCS

Recent advancements in single-cell transcriptomic technologies, including single-nucleus RNA sequencing (snRNA-seq) and spatial transcriptomics (STx), have significantly enhanced our understanding of the transcriptomic landscape of the CCS, improving our ability to capture cell-state heterogeneity and define developmental trajectories underlying CCS differentiation. In 2019, single-cell RNA-seq (scRNA-seq) analysis on microdissected mouse embryonic hearts, including the sinoatrial node (SAN), atrioventricular node (AVN), His bundle, and Purkinje fibers (PF), provided the first comprehensive single-cell transcriptional

profiling of the developing CCS⁷². Unsupervised cell clustering along with gene enrichment analysis identified novel conductive cell markers (*Igf1p5*, *Cpne5*, *Smoc2*, *Rgs6*, *Ntm*) and revealed the existence of rare conduction cell subtypes in each CCS component⁷². Direct comparison of embryonic and postnatal scRNA-seq datasets with postnatal stages highlighted high transcriptional similarities between fetal and neonatal stages suggesting that CCS cell fate, particularly for the SAN, cAVN and proximal VCS, may largely occur by E16.5⁹⁷. Cell-state heterogeneity of the CCS, particularly of the SAN, has also been reported in the adult murine heart, including a core cell cluster functionally related to the regulation of heart rate. Among the canonical SAN markers, *Vsnl1* has been identified as a novel SAN gene whose expression regulates the beating rate of hiPSC-CMs and mouse hearts⁹⁸.

The combination of single-cell analysis with unbiased, transcriptome-wide spatial gene expression information is now opening new horizons in understanding cardiac cell states in relation to their anatomic location within the different cardiac compartments. Integration of scRNA-seq and spatially resolved transcriptomic analysis in the developing murine heart has identified a novel cardiomyocyte population expressing *dopamine beta-hydroxylase* (Dbh+ CMs), which is transcriptionally and functionally associated with the CCS in developing and mature murine hearts⁹⁹.

More recently, spatiotemporal resolved scRNA-seq analysis of the developing human heart has provided novel insights into the differentiation of the CCS^{100,101}. In particular, a detailed molecular characterization of conductive cardiomyocytes in the developing CCS compartments highlights their distinct electrophysiological properties in close relationship with spatial and functional associations with other cell types, including specialized fibroblast cell states in the nodes, endocardial cells in the ventricular conduction system, and neurons in the developing autonomic innervation¹⁰⁰. The increasing generation of new multiomic datasets in both, CCS development and disease, will be instrumental for gaining novel insights into CCS development and translate this information to identify novel therapeutic approaches to treat cardiac arrhythmias.

Conclusions

The CCS is a complex tissue with its different compartments having specific functions and thus, specific electrophysiological properties in the adult. Each compartment arises from different populations and its development is regulated by distinct gene regulatory networks.

The development of the CCS involves the proliferation of progenitors, the recruitment to a conductive fate, the acquisition and the maintenance of conductive properties. Though these processes are in theory independent, many factors have a pleiotropic role in the regulation of several of these steps. Dysregulation of any of these processes can result in mispatterning of one or several components of the CCS, such as hypoplasia. Importantly, all model of CCS hypoplasia - *Nkx2-5*^{+/-}, *Tbx5*^{+/-}, *Irx3*^{-/-}, *Id2*^{-/-}, *Etv1*^{-/-}, *Ncam-1*^{-/-} mice - present slowed conduction in the affected compartment(s)^{59,68,74,76,81,86,96,102-104}. Moreover, abnormal electrophysiological properties of conductive cells, caused by abnormal gap junction or ion channel content, can also, in combination with patterning defects or alone, result in perturbed conduction^{84,104,105}.

Declarations

Funding: This work was supported by the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS), and by grants from the Association Française contre les Myopathies (AFM-Téléthon, #23711) and the French National Research Agency (ANR, “PurkinjeNet”).

Conflict of Interest: Lucie Boulgakoff reports personal fees from Institut Marmara Aix-Marseille University. The other authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Human and Animal Rights and Informed Consent: This article does not contain any studies with human or animal subjects performed by any of the authors.

Key References

65. Choquet C, Kelly RG, Miquerol L. Nkx2-5 defines distinct scaffold and recruitment phases during formation of the murine cardiac Purkinje fiber network. *Nat Commun*. 2020;11(1):5300. doi:10.1038/s41467-020-19150-9. **Findings from this study suggest that PF network morphogenesis takes place in two recruitment phases.**

2020;11(1):5300. doi:10.1038/s41467-020-19150-9. **Findings from this study suggest that PF network morphogenesis takes place in two recruitment phases.**

73. Burnicka-Turek O, Broman MT, Steimle JD, et al. Transcriptional Patterning of the Ventricular Cardiac Conduction System. *Circ Res*. 2020;127(3):139-148. doi:10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.118.314460. **Findings from this study suggest that a critical balance of Tbox genes controls the slow and fast conduction properties of conducting cells.**

References:

1. Boyett MR. “And the beat goes on” the cardiac conduction system: The wiring system of the heart. *Exp Physiol*. 2009;94(10):1035-1049.

- doi:10.1113/expphysiol.2009.046920
2. Anderson RH, Boyett MR, Dobrzynski H, Moorman AFM. The anatomy of the conduction system: Implications for the clinical cardiologist. *J Cardiovasc Transl Res.* 2013;6(2):187-196. doi:10.1007/s12265-012-9433-0
 3. Gros DB, Jongsma HJ. Connexins in mammalian heart function. *BioEssays.* 1996;18(9):719-730. doi:10.1002/bies.950180907
 4. van Kempen MJA, Velde I Ten, Wessels A, et al. Differential connexin distribution accommodates cardiac function in different species. *Microsc Res Tech.* 1995;31(5):420-436. doi:10.1002/jemt.1070310511
 5. Marionneau C, Couette B, Liu J, et al. Specific pattern of ionic channel gene expression associated with pacemaker activity in the mouse heart. *J Physiol.* 2005;562(1):223-234. doi:10.1113/jphysiol.2004.074047
 6. Gaborit N, Le Bouter S, Szuts V, et al. Regional and tissue specific transcript signatures of ion channel genes in the non-diseased human heart. *J Physiol.* 2007;582(2):675-693. doi:10.1113/jphysiol.2006.126714
 7. Dobrzynski H, Anderson RH, Atkinson A, et al. Structure, function and clinical relevance of the cardiac conduction system, including the atrioventricular ring and outflow tract tissues. *Pharmacol Ther.* 2013;139(2):260-288. doi:10.1016/j.pharmthera.2013.04.010
 8. Mangoni ME, Nargeot J. Genesis and regulation of the heart automaticity. *Physiol Rev.* 2008;88(3):919-982. doi:10.1152/physrev.00018.2007
 9. Difrancesco D. The role of the funny current in pacemaker activity. *Circ Res.* 2010;106(3):434-446. doi:10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.109.208041
 10. Kreuzberg MM, Willecke K, Bukauskas FF. Connexin-Mediated Cardiac Impulse Propagation: Connexin 30.2 Slows Atrioventricular Conduction in Mouse Heart. *Trends Cardiovasc Med.* 2006;16(8):266-272. doi:10.1016/j.tcm.2006.05.002
 11. Delorme B, Dahl E, Jarry-guichard T, et al. Developmental regulation of connexin 40 gene expression in mouse heart correlates with the differentiation of the conduction system. *Dev Dyn.* 1995;204(4):358-371. doi:10.1002/aja.1002040403
 12. Gourdie RG, Harris BS, Bond J, et al. Development of the cardiac pacemaking and conduction system. *Birth Defects Res Part C Embryo Today Rev.* 2003;69(1):46-57. doi:10.1002/bdrc.10008
 13. Gourdie RG, Mima T, Thompson RP, Mikawa T. Terminal diversification of the myocyte lineage generates Purkinje fibers of the cardiac conduction system. *Development.* 1995;121(5):1423-1431. doi:10.1242/dev.121.5.1423
 14. Miquerol L, Moreno-Rascon N, Beyer S, et al. Biphasic development of the mammalian ventricular conduction system. *Circ Res.* 2010;107(1):153-161. doi:10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.110.218156
 15. Miquerol L, Bellon A, Moreno N, et al. Resolving cell lineage contributions to the ventricular conduction system with a Cx40-GFP allele: A dual contribution of the first and second heart fields. *Dev Dyn.* 2013;242(6):665-677. doi:10.1002/dvdy.23964
 16. Später D, Abramczuk MK, Buac K, et al. A HCN4+ cardiomyogenic progenitor derived from the first heart field and human pluripotent stem cells. *Nat Cell Biol.* 2013;15(9):1098-1106. doi:10.1038/ncb2824
 17. Liang X, Evans SM, Sun Y. Insights into cardiac conduction system formation provided by HCN4 expression. *Trends Cardiovasc Med.* 2015;25(1):1-9. doi:10.1016/j.tcm.2014.08.009

18. Liang X, Wang G, Lin L, et al. HCN4 dynamically marks the first heart field and conduction system precursors. *Circ Res*. 2013;113(4):399-407. doi:10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.113.301588
19. Haïssaguerre M, Shah DC, Jaïs P, et al. Role of Purkinje conducting system in triggering of idiopathic ventricular fibrillation. *Lancet*. 2002;359(9307):677-678. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(02)07807-8
20. Durrer D, VAN DAM RT, FREUD GE, JANSE MJ, MEIJLER FL, ARZBAECHER RC. Total Excitation of the Isolated Human Heart. *Circulation*. 1970;41(6):899-912. doi:10.1161/01.CIR.41.6.899
21. van Eif VWW, Stefanovic S, Mohan RA, Christoffels VM. Gradual differentiation and confinement of the cardiac conduction system as indicated by marker gene expression. *Biochim Biophys Acta - Mol Cell Res*. 2020;1867(3):118509. doi:10.1016/j.bbamcr.2019.07.004
22. Kobayashi T, Maeda S, Ichise N, et al. The beginning of the calcium transient in rat embryonic heart. *J Physiol Sci*. 2011;61(2):141-149. doi:10.1007/s12576-010-0131-x
23. Nishii K, Shibata Y. Mode and determination of the initial contraction stage in the mouse embryo heart. *Anat Embryol (Berl)*. 2006;211(2):95-100. doi:10.1007/s00429-005-0065-x
24. Chen CM, Miranda AMA, Bub G, Srinivas S. Detecting cardiac contractile activity in the early mouse embryo using multiple modalities. *Front Physiol*. 2015;6(JAN):1-9. doi:10.3389/fphys.2014.00508
25. Stieber J, Herrmann S, Feil S, et al. The hyperpolarization-activated channel HCN4 is required for the generation of pacemaker action potentials in the embryonic heart. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2003;100(25):15235-15240. doi:10.1073/pnas.2434235100
26. Vedantham V, Galang G, Evangelista M, Deo RC, Srivastava D. RNA Sequencing of Mouse Sinoatrial Node Reveals an Upstream Regulatory Role for Islet-1 in Cardiac Pacemaker Cells. *Circ Res*. 2015;116(5):797-803. doi:10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.116.305913
27. Cai C-L, Liang X, Shi Y, et al. Isl1 Identifies a Cardiac Progenitor Population that Proliferates Prior to Differentiation and Contributes a Majority of Cells to the Heart. *Dev Cell*. 2003;5(6):877-889. doi:10.1016/S1534-5807(03)00363-0
28. Mommersteeg MTM, Domínguez JN, Wiese C, et al. The sinus venosus progenitors separate and diversify from the first and second heart fields early in development. *Cardiovasc Res*. 2010;87(1):92-101. doi:10.1093/cvr/cvq033
29. Lescroart F, Mohun T, Meilhac SM, Bennett M, Buckingham M. Lineage tree for the venous pole of the heart: Clonal analysis clarifies controversial genealogy based on genetic tracing. *Circ Res*. 2012;111(10):1313-1322. doi:10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.112.271064
30. Wiese C, Grieskamp T, Airik R, et al. Formation of the sinus node head and differentiation of sinus node myocardium are independently regulated by Tbx18 and Tbx3. *Circ Res*. 2009;104(3):388-397. doi:10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.108.187062
31. Christoffels VM, Mommersteeg MTM, Trowe MO, et al. Formation of the venous pole of the heart from an Nkx2-5-negative precursor population requires Tbx18. *Circ Res*. 2006;98(12):1555-1563. doi:10.1161/01.RES.0000227571.84189.65
32. Ye W, Song Y, Huang Z, Zhang Y, Chen Y. Genetic regulation of sinoatrial node development and pacemaker program in the venous pole. *J Cardiovasc Dev Dis*. 2015;2(4):282-298. doi:10.3390/jcdd2040282

33. Christoffels VM, Smits GJ, Kispert A, Moorman AFM. Development of the pacemaker tissues of the heart. *Circ Res*. 2010;106(2):240-254. doi:10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.109.205419
34. Ye W, Wang J, Song Y, et al. A common Shox2–nkx2-5 antagonistic mechanism primes the pacemaker cell fate in the pulmonary vein myocardium and sinoatrial node. *Dev*. 2015;142(14):2521-2532. doi:10.1242/dev.120220
35. Bakker ML, Boink GJJ, Boukens BJ, et al. T-box transcription factor TBX3 reprogrammes mature cardiac myocytes into pacemaker-like cells. *Cardiovasc Res*. 2012;94(3):439-449. doi:10.1093/cvr/cvs120
36. Hoogaars WMH, Engel A, Brons JF, et al. Tbx3 controls the sinoatrial node gene program and imposes pacemaker function on the atria. *Genes Dev*. 2007;21(9):1098-1112. doi:10.1101/gad.416007
37. Frank DU, Carter KL, Thomas KR, et al. Lethal arrhythmias in Tbx3 -deficient mice reveal extreme dosage sensitivity of cardiac conduction system function and homeostasis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci*. 2012;109(3). doi:10.1073/pnas.1115165109
38. Liang X, Zhang Q, Cattaneo P, et al. Transcription factor ISL1 is essential for pacemaker development and function. *J Clin Invest*. 2015;125(8):3256-3268. doi:10.1172/JCI68257
39. Sun Y, Liang X, Najafi N, et al. Islet 1 is expressed in distinct cardiovascular lineages, including pacemaker and coronary vascular cells. *Dev Biol*. 2007;304(1):286-296. doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2006.12.048
40. Nakashima Y, Yanez DA, Touma M, et al. Nkx2-5 suppresses the proliferation of atrial myocytes and conduction system. *Circ Res*. 2014;114(7):1103-1113. doi:10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.114.303219
41. Li H, Li D, Wang Y, et al. Nkx2-5 defines a subpopulation of pacemaker cells and is essential for the physiological function of the sinoatrial node in mice. *Dev*. 2019;146(14):1-9. doi:10.1242/dev.178145
42. Vasnath V. New Approaches to Biological Pacemakers: Links to Sinoatrial Node Development. *Trends Mol Med*. 2015;21(12):773-779. doi:10.1016/j.molmed.2015.10.002.New
43. Bakker ML, Moorman AFM, Christoffels VM. The Atrioventricular Node: Origin, Development, and Genetic Program. *Trends Cardiovasc Med*. 2010;20(5):164-171. doi:10.1016/j.tcm.2011.02.001
44. Chen F, De Diego C, Chang MG, et al. Atrioventricular conduction and arrhythmias at the initiation of beating in embryonic mouse hearts. *Dev Dyn*. 2010;239(7):1941-1949. doi:10.1002/dvdy.22319
45. Christoffels VM, Moorman AFM. Development of the cardiac conduction system why are some regions of the heart more arrhythmogenic than others? *Circ Arrhythmia Electrophysiol*. 2009;2(2):195-207. doi:10.1161/CIRCEP.108.829341
46. Sankova B, Benes J, Krejci E, et al. The effect of connexin40 deficiency on ventricular conduction system function during development. *Cardiovasc Res*. 2012;95(4):469-479. doi:10.1093/cvr/cvs210
47. Horsthuis T, Buermans HPJ, Brons JF, et al. Gene expression profiling of the forming atrioventricular node using a novel Tbx3-based node-specific transgenic reporter. *Circ Res*. 2009;105(1):61-69. doi:10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.108.192443
48. Frank M, Wirth A, Andrié RP, et al. Connexin45 provides optimal atrioventricular nodal conduction in the adult mouse heart. *Circ Res*. 2012;111(12):1528-1538.

- doi:10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.112.270561
49. Aanhaanen WTJ, Mommersteeg MTM, Norden J, et al. Developmental Origin, Growth, and Three-Dimensional Architecture of the Atrioventricular Conduction Axis of the Mouse Heart. *Circ Res*. 2010;107(6):728-736. doi:10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.110.222992
 50. Aanhaanen WTJ, Brons JF, Domínguez JN, et al. The Tbx2+ primary myocardium of the atrioventricular canal forms the atrioventricular node and the base of the left ventricle. *Circ Res*. 2009;104(11):1267-1274. doi:10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.108.192450
 51. Aanhaanen WTJ, Boukens BJD, Sizarov A, et al. Defective Tbx2-dependent patterning of the atrioventricular canal myocardium causes accessory pathway formation in mice. *J Clin Invest*. 2011;121(2):534-544. doi:10.1172/JCI44350
 52. Gillers BS, Chiplunkar A, Aly H, et al. Canonical Wnt signaling regulates atrioventricular junction programming and electrophysiological properties. *Circ Res*. 2014;116(3):398-406. doi:10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.116.304731
 53. Rentschler S, Yen AH, Lu J, et al. Myocardial notch signaling reprograms cardiomyocytes to a conduction-like phenotype. *Circulation*. 2012;126(9):1058-1066. doi:10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.112.103390
 54. Gomes J, Finlay M, Ahmed AK, et al. Electrophysiological abnormalities precede overt structural changes in arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy due to mutations in desmoplakin-A combined murine and human study. *Eur Heart J*. 2012;33(15):1942-1953. doi:10.1093/eurheartj/ehr472
 55. Bakker ML, Boukens BJ, Mommersteeg MTM, et al. Transcription factor Tbx3 is required for the specification of the atrioventricular conduction system. *Circ Res*. 2008;102(11):1340-1349. doi:10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.107.169565
 56. Pashmforoush M, Lu JT, Chen H, et al. Nkx2-5 Pathways and Congenital Heart Disease. *Cell*. 2004;117(3):373-386. doi:10.1016/S0092-8674(04)00405-2
 57. Hoogaars WMH, Tessari A, Moorman AFM, et al. The transcriptional repressor Tbx3 delineates the developing central conduction system of the heart. *Cardiovasc Res*. 2004;62(3):489-499. doi:10.1016/j.cardiores.2004.01.030
 58. Bhattacharyya S, Munshi N V. Development of the cardiac conduction system. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol*. 2020;12(12):1-21. doi:10.1101/cshperspect.a037408
 59. Jay PY, Harris BS, Maguire CT, et al. Nkx2-5 mutation causes anatomic hypoplasia of the cardiac conduction system. *J Clin Invest*. 2004;113(8):1130-1137. doi:10.1172/JCI19846
 60. Liu F, Lu MM, Patel NN, Schillinger KJ, Wang T, Patel V V. GATA-Binding Factor 6 Contributes to Atrioventricular Node Development and Function. *Circ Cardiovasc Genet*. 2015;8(2):284-293. doi:10.1161/CIRCGENETICS.113.000587
 61. Harris JP, Bhakta M, Bezprozvannaya S, et al. MyoR Modulates Cardiac Conduction by Repressing Gata4. *Mol Cell Biol*. 2015;35(4):649-661. doi:10.1128/mcb.00860-14
 62. Olejníčková V, Šaňková B, Sedmera D, Janáček J. Trabecular architecture determines impulse propagation through the early embryonic mouse heart. *Front Physiol*. 2019;10(JAN):1-12. doi:10.3389/fphys.2018.01876
 63. Olejnickova V, Kocka M, Kvasilova A, et al. Gap Junctional Communication via Connexin43 between Purkinje Fibers and Working Myocytes Explains the Epicardial Activation Pattern in the Postnatal Mouse Left Ventricle. 2021.
 64. Mohan RA, Mommersteeg MTM, Domínguez JN, et al. Embryonic Tbx3+ cardiomyocytes form the mature cardiac conduction system by progressive fate restriction. *Development*. 2018;145(17). doi:10.1242/dev.167361

65. Choquet C, Kelly RG, Miquerol L. Nkx2-5 defines distinct scaffold and recruitment phases during formation of the murine cardiac Purkinje fiber network. *Nat Commun.* 2020;11(1):5300. doi:10.1038/s41467-020-19150-9
66. Li Y, Tian X, Zhao H, et al. Genetic targeting of Purkinje fibres by Sema3a-CreERT2. *Sci Rep.* 2018;8(1):1-9. doi:10.1038/s41598-018-20829-9
67. Shekhar A, Lin X, Lin B, et al. ETV1 activates a rapid conduction transcriptional program in rodent and human cardiomyocytes. *Sci Rep.* 2018;8(1):1-16. doi:10.1038/s41598-018-28239-7
68. Zhang SS, Kim KH, Rosen A, et al. Iroquois homeobox gene 3 establishes fast conduction in the cardiac His-Purkinje network. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2011;108(33):13576-13581. doi:10.1073/pnas.1106911108
69. Sergeeva IA, Christoffels VM. Regulation of expression of atrial and brain natriuretic peptide, biomarkers for heart development and disease. *Biochim Biophys Acta - Mol Basis Dis.* 2013;1832(12):2403-2413. doi:10.1016/j.bbadis.2013.07.003
70. Choquet C, Marcadet L, Beyer S, Kelly R, Miquerol L. Segregation of Central Ventricular Conduction System Lineages in Early SMA+ Cardiomyocytes Occurs Prior to Heart Tube Formation. *J Cardiovasc Dev Dis.* 2016;3(1):2. doi:10.3390/jcdd3010002
71. Sedmera D, Reckova M, DeAlmeida A, et al. Spatiotemporal pattern of commitment to slowed proliferation in the embryonic mouse heart indicates progressive differentiation of the cardiac conduction system. *Anat Rec - Part A Discov Mol Cell Evol Biol.* 2003;274(1):773-777. doi:10.1002/ar.a.10085
72. Goodyer WR, Beyersdorf BM, Paik DT, et al. Transcriptomic profiling of the developing cardiac conduction system at single-cell resolution. *Circ Res.* 2019;125(4):379-397. doi:10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.118.314578
73. Burnicka-Turek O, Broman MT, Steimle JD, et al. Transcriptional Patterning of the Ventricular Cardiac Conduction System. *Circ Res.* 2020;127(3):139-148. doi:10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.118.314460
74. Moskowitz IPG, Pizard A, Patel V V., et al. The T-Box transcription factor Tbx5 is required for the patterning and maturation of the murine cardiac conduction system. *Development.* 2004;131(16):4107-4116. doi:10.1242/dev.01265
75. Rentschler S, Zander J, Meyers K, et al. Neuregulin-1 promotes formation of the murine cardiac conduction system. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2002;99(16):10464-10469. doi:10.1073/pnas.162301699
76. Shekhar A, Lin X, Liu FY, et al. Transcription factor ETV1 is essential for rapid conduction in the heart. *J Clin Invest.* 2016;126(12):4444-4459. doi:10.1172/JCI87968
77. Grego-Bessa J, Gómez-Apiñaniz P, Prados B, Gómez MJ, Macgrogan D, De La Pompa JL. Nrg1 Regulates Cardiomyocyte Migration and Cell Cycle in Ventricular Development. *Circ Res.* 2023;133(11):927-943. doi:10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.123.323321
78. Hartman ME, Liu Y, Zhu WZ, et al. Myocardial deletion of transcription factor CHF1/Hey2 results in altered myocyte action potential and mild conduction system expansion but does not alter conduction system function or promote spontaneous arrhythmias. *FASEB J.* 2014;28(7):3007-3015. doi:10.1096/fj.14-251728
79. Thomas PS, Kasahara H, Edmonson AM, et al. Elevated expression of Nkx-2.5 in Developing myocardial conduction cells. *Anat Rec.* 2001;265(3):307-313. doi:10.1002/ar.1106
80. Harris BS, Spruill L, Edmonson AM, et al. Differentiation of cardiac Purkinje fibers requires precise spatiotemporal regulation of Nkx2-5 expression. *Dev Dyn.*

- 2006;235(1):38-49. doi:10.1002/dvdy.20580
81. Meysen S, Marger L, Hewett KW, et al. Nkx2.5 cell-autonomous gene function is required for the postnatal formation of the peripheral ventricular conduction system. *Dev Biol.* 2007;303(2):740-753. doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2006.12.044
 82. Choquet C, Nguyen THM, Sicard P, et al. *Deletion of Nkx2-5 in Trabecular Myocardium Reveals the Developmental Origins of Pathological Heterogeneity Associated with Ventricular Non-Compaction Cardiomyopathy.* Vol 14.; 2018. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1007502
 83. Ismat FA, Zhang M, Kook H, et al. Homeobox protein Hop functions in the adult cardiac conduction system. *Circ Res.* 2005;96(8):898-903. doi:10.1161/01.RES.0000163108.47258.f3
 84. Choquet C, Sicard P, Vahdat J, et al. Nkx2-5 Loss of Function in the His-Purkinje System Hampers Its Maturation and Leads to Mechanical Dysfunction. 2023.
 85. Risebro CA, Petchey LK, Smart N, et al. Epistatic rescue of Nkx2.5 adult cardiac conduction disease phenotypes by prospero-related homeobox protein 1 and HDAC3. *Circ Res.* 2012;111(2). doi:10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.111.260695
 86. Kim KH, Rosen A, Hussein SMI, et al. Irx3 is required for postnatal maturation of the mouse ventricular conduction system. *Sci Rep.* 2016;6(December 2014):1-14. doi:10.1038/srep19197
 87. Bruneau BG, Nemer G, Schmitt JP, et al. A murine model of Holt-Oram syndrome defines roles of the T-Box transcription factor Tbx5 in cardiogenesis and disease. *Cell.* 2001;106(6):709-721. doi:10.1016/S0092-8674(01)00493-7
 88. Moskowitz IPG, Kim JB, Moore ML, et al. A Molecular Pathway Including Id2, Tbx5, and Nkx2-5 Required for Cardiac Conduction System Development. *Cell.* 2007;129(7):1365-1376. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2007.04.036
 89. Qian L, Wythe JD, Liu J, et al. Tinman/Nkx2-5 acts via miR-1 and upstream of Cdc42 to regulate heart function across species. *J Cell Biol.* 2011;193(7):1181-1196. doi:10.1083/jcb.201006114
 90. Samal E, Evangelista M, Galang G, Srivastava D, Zhao Y, Vedantham V. Premature microRNA-1 expression causes hypoplasia of the cardiac ventricular conduction system. *Front Physiol.* 2019;10(MAR):1-12. doi:10.3389/fphys.2019.00235
 91. Zhang Y, Sun L, Zhang Y, et al. Overexpression of microRNA-1 causes atrioventricular block in rodents. *Int J Biol Sci.* 2013;9(5):445-462. doi:10.7150/ijbs.4630
 92. Park DS, Tompkins RO, Liu F, et al. Pocket proteins critically regulate cell cycle exit of the trabecular myocardium and the ventricular conduction system. *Biol Open.* 2013;2(9):968-978. doi:10.1242/bio.20135785
 93. Vincentz JW, Toolan KP, Zhang W, Firulli AB. Hand factor ablation causes defective left ventricular chamber development and compromised adult cardiac function. *PLoS Genet.* 2017;13(7):1-20. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1006922
 94. Vincentz JW, Firulli BA, Toolan KP, et al. Variation in a Left Ventricle-Specific Hand1 Enhancer Impairs GATA Transcription Factor Binding and Disrupts Conduction System Development and Function. *Circ Res.* 2020:575-589. doi:10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.119.315313
 95. Pallante BA, Giovannone S, Fang-Yu L, et al. Contactin-2 Expression in the Cardiac Purkinje Fiber Network. *Circ Arrhythmia Electrophysiol.* 2010;3(2):186-194. doi:10.1161/CIRCEP.109.928820
 96. Delgado C, Bu L, Zhang J, et al. Neural cell adhesion molecule is required for

- ventricular conduction system development. *Dev.* 2021;148(11). doi:10.1242/DEV.199431
97. van Eif VWW, Devalla HT regulation of the cardiac conduction systemD., Boink GJJ, Christoffels VM. Transcriptional Regulation of the Postnatal Cardiac Conduction System Heterogeneity. *Nat Rev Cardiol.* 2024;15(10):617-630. doi:10.1038/s41569-018-0031-y
 98. Liang D, Xue J, Geng L, et al. Cellular and molecular landscape of mammalian sinoatrial node revealed by single-cell RNA sequencing. *Nat Commun.* 2021;12(1). doi:10.1038/s41467-020-20448-x
 99. Sun T, Grassam-Rowe A, Pu Z, et al. Dbh + catecholaminergic cardiomyocytes contribute to the structure and function of the cardiac conduction system in murine heart. *Nat Commun.* 2023;14(1):1-23. doi:10.1038/s41467-023-42658-9
 100. Farah EN, Hu RK, Kern C, et al. *Spatially Organized Cellular Communities Form the Developing Human Heart.* Springer US; 2024. doi:10.1038/s41586-024-07171-z
 101. Lázár E, Mauron R, Andrusivová Ž, et al. Spatial Dynamics of the Developing Human Heart. *bioRxiv.* 2024. doi:10.1101/2024.03.12.584577
 102. Choquet C, Boulgakoff L, Kelly RG, Miquerol L. New insights into the development and morphogenesis of the cardiac purkinje fiber network: Linking architecture and function. *J Cardiovasc Dev Dis.* 2021;8(8). doi:10.3390/jcdd8080095
 103. Koizumi A, Sasano T, Kimura W, et al. Genetic defects in a His-Purkinje system transcription factor, IRX3, cause lethal cardiac arrhythmias. *Eur Heart J.* 2016;37(18):1469-1475. doi:10.1093/eurheartj/ehv449
 104. Van Rijen HVM, Van Veen TAB, Van Kempen MJA, et al. Impaired conduction in the bundle branches of mouse hearts lacking the gap junction protein Connexin40. *Circulation.* 2001;103(11):1591-1598. doi:10.1161/01.CIR.103.11.1591
 105. Kim EE, Shekhar A, Lu J, et al. PCP4 regulates Purkinje cell excitability and cardiac rhythmicity. *J Clin Invest.* 2014;124(11):5027-5036. doi:10.1172/JCI77495




Figure 1: The anatomy, conduction properties and molecular regulation of the development of the CCS . On the left, the anatomy and conduction properties of the different components of the murine or human CCS. The conduction velocity within the heart depends on the specific expression of connexins in different components of the CCS. On the right, interactions of known factors controlling the development of the VCS through the regulation of proliferation, recruitment and differentiation of conductive cells. SAN, sinus node; AVN, Atrioventricular node; AVB, Atrioventricular bundle; RBB, Right bundle branch; LBB, Left bundle branch; PFs, Purkinje fibers.