



HAL
open science

Flexibility of a large blindly synthesized avatar database for occupational research: Example from the CONSTANCES cohort for stroke and knee pain

Marc Fadel, Julien Petot, Pierre-Antoine Gourraud, Alexis Descatha

► To cite this version:

Marc Fadel, Julien Petot, Pierre-Antoine Gourraud, Alexis Descatha. Flexibility of a large blindly synthesized avatar database for occupational research: Example from the CONSTANCES cohort for stroke and knee pain. PLoS ONE, 2024, 19 (7), pp.e0308063. 10.1371/journal.pone.0308063. hal-04683806

HAL Id: hal-04683806

<https://hal.science/hal-04683806v1>

Submitted on 26 Oct 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Flexibility of a large blindly synthesized avatar database for occupational research: Example from the CONSTANCES cohort for stroke and knee pain

Marc Fadel^{1*}, Julien Petot², Pierre-Antoine Gourraud^{3,4}, Alexis Descatha^{1,5}

1 Univ Angers, CHU Angers, Univ Rennes, Inserm, EHESP, Irset (Institut de recherche en santé, environnement et travail) - UMR_S, IRSET-ESTER, SFR ICAT, CAPTV CDC, Angers, France, **2** Octopize, Nantes, France, **3** Nantes Université, INSERM, CR2TI - Center for Research in Transplantation and Translational Immunology, Nantes, France, **4** Nantes Université, CHU Nantes, Pôle Hospitalo-Universitaire 11: Santé Publique, Clinique des données, INSERM, CIC 1413, Nantes, France, **5** Department of Occupational Medicine, Epidemiology and Prevention, Donald and Barbara Zucker School of Medicine, Hofstra/Northwell, United States of America

* marc.fadel@univ-angers.fr



OPEN ACCESS

Citation: Fadel M, Petot J, Gourraud P-A, Descatha A (2024) Flexibility of a large blindly synthesized avatar database for occupational research: Example from the CONSTANCES cohort for stroke and knee pain. PLoS ONE 19(7): e0308063. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0308063>

Editor: Mir Ali, University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences, UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Received: September 7, 2023

Accepted: July 16, 2024

Published: July 31, 2024

Peer Review History: PLOS recognizes the benefits of transparency in the peer review process; therefore, we enable the publication of all of the content of peer review and author responses alongside final, published articles. The editorial history of this article is available here: <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0308063>

Copyright: © 2024 Fadel et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: Aggregated data of OR, are given in the [S1 Appendix](#). The dataset used for this study is third-party data and not publicly available to ensure the confidentiality of study

Abstract

Objectives

Though the rise of big data in the field of occupational health offers new opportunities especially for cross-cutting research, they raise the issue of privacy and security of data, especially when linking sensitive data from the field of insurance, occupational health or compensation claims. We aimed to validate a large, blinded synthesized database developed from the CONSTANCES cohort by comparing associations between three independently selected outcomes, and various exposures.

Methods

From the CONSTANCES cohort, a large synthetic dataset was constructed using the avatar method (Octopize) that is agnostic to the data primary or secondary data uses. Three main analyses of interest were chosen to compare associations between the raw and avatar dataset: risk of stroke (any stroke, and subtypes of stroke), risk of knee pain and limitations associated with knee pain. Logistic models were computed, and a qualitative comparison of paired odds ratio (OR) was made.

Results

Both raw and avatar datasets included 162,434 observations and 19 relevant variables. On the 172 paired raw/avatar OR that were computed, including stratified analyses on sex, more than 77% of the comparisons had a OR difference ≤ 0.5 and less than 7% had a discrepancy in the statistical significance of the associations, with a Cohen's Kappa coefficient of 0.80.

participants. Nevertheless, they are accessible providing authorizations from the National Data Protection Authority, the INSERM Institutional Review Board and the CONSTANCES' scientific committee. The procedure to access data from the CONSTANCES cohort is available on the web page <https://www.constances.fr/conduct-project-ongoing.php>. Colleagues interested in replicating our findings are encouraged to contact Marc Fadel. The authors did not have any special access privileges that others would not have.

Funding: NO - Include this sentence at the end of your statement: The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript. Funding. The study is funded by a regional Public Fund (TEC-TOP project): Pays-de-la-Loire Region, Angers Loire Métropole, Univ Angers, CHU Angers. The CONSTANCES Cohort Study was supported and funded by the French National Health Insurance Fund ("Caisse nationale d'assurance maladie", CNAM). The CONSTANCES Cohort Study is an "Infrastructure nationale en Biologie et Santé" and benefits from a grant from the French National Agency for Research (ANR-11-INBS-0002). CONSTANCES is also partly funded by Merck Sharp & Dohme (MSD), AstraZeneca, Lundbeck and L'Oréal through Inserm-Transfert. None of these funding sources had any role in the design of the study, collection and analysis of data or decision to publish.

Competing interests: Enter: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist. In addition to the affiliation, Alexis Descatha has received fees from Elsevier Masson as editor-in-chief of the journal "les archives des maladies professionnelles et de l'environnement". Octopize had no role in the design of the study, collection and analysis of data or decision to publish.

Conclusions

This study shows the flexibility and the multiple usage of a synthetic database created with the avatar method in the particular field of occupational health, which can be shared in open access without risking re-identification and privacy issues and help bring new insights for complex phenomenon like return to work.

Introduction

The rise of massive data in the past decade has brought health research to new heights allowing analyses of exhaustive and integrated population data [1]. Though these information technology tools have been developed and have expanded from a long time in other domains [2], their application in health is more recent. Epidemiological studies can include billions of person-year and data thanks to complex linkage of databases [3]. Private and public health insurance databases are particularly interesting because of the sheer number of observations and variables available which allow research on many subjects including description of morbidity and mortality, exploration of etiology and relation between health determinants, study of work and limitations outcomes as well as medico-economic studies and the impact of policy changes [4,5].

In occupational health research, the development of new modeling approaches like machine learning is bringing new insights in complex phenomenon, like occupational injuries or return-to-work [6–8]. For example, linking data from insurance companies, occupational health units, health care organizations or compensation claims and applying machine learning methods could bring promising results in the field of occupational health research and rehabilitation [6]. However, most of the time, these approaches need a substantial amount of data [9] and some of them (black box models) are not reproducible without the original data which brings out the issue of data sharing [10].

Issues regarding data security and possible re-identification have grown in the public and in governmental agencies. Some studies managed to re-identify databases with great accuracy which highlights the unsatisfactory reality for data anonymization [11,12]. Data related to the occupational medical files are of particular concern in terms of security and confidentiality as they deal with both health data (medical confidentiality) and data related to the workplace (professional confidentiality). Furthermore, the latest guidelines in France recommend collecting information on sensitive topics like medical fitness for work, occupational exposures, addictions, psychiatric diseases, vaccinations etc [13,14]. With that in mind, the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) imposed harsh conditions for defining anonymous data and proposed several recommendations to evaluate the robustness of an anonymization process [15].

Synthetic data generation methods are powerful tools that can generate a dataset different from the original while retaining its statistical properties [16,17]. Several methods exist but they often require knowing the underlying hypotheses on the variables' relation in specific analyses [18], and rarely allows a true quantification of the re-identification risk [17]. The avatar method has been suggested for generating synthetic datasets and has proven to be effective in specific analyses in terms of statistical properties as well as ensuring data privacy [19]. However, this method has yet to be tested in the context of a large cohort dataset with few hypotheses for possible statistical analyses when generating the synthetic dataset.

Therefore, the aim of this study was to create a large synthetic database and evaluate associations between three selected outcomes and several relevant variables using data from the CONSTANCES cohort.

Methods

The initial database used in this study originated from data of the CONSTANCES cohort, a French population-based cohort created in 2012. The design and characteristics of the cohort are detailed in another paper [20]. In short, approximately 200,000 randomly selected adults aged between 18 to 69 years and affiliated with the National Social Security system (more than 80% of the French population) make up the cohort. Each participant completed several self-administered baseline questionnaires and underwent a health screening by medical professionals at Social Security affiliated centers and completed self-administered surveys. These questionnaires and examinations allowed having data on demographic, lifestyle habits, occupational exposures, comorbidities including joint pain and daily limitations. The first case of stroke during the period of data collection (2012–2018) was also available thanks to a linkage between the cohort and the French National Health Insurance records.

The initial database consisted of 162,434 observations and 280 variables that had been previously obtained for other studies [21,22]. The Avatar method, was used to create a synthetic dataset (“avatar dataset”) based on the original data (“raw dataset”). Details on how the Avatar methods works are available in another paper [19]. The team in charge of synthesizing the raw dataset was blinded to the analysis which would be run using variables from the raw dataset. The only information was a list of 22 variables of interest (S1 Appendix) to which a weight of 10 was added. The parameter chosen for the creation of the avatar dataset were $k = 20$ (number of neighbors) and $n_{cp} = 20$ (number of components for the search of neighbors). Neighbors correspond to the closest individuals from a data point using the Euclidian distance in the multidimensional projection space.

Three main analyses were carried out in both the raw dataset and the avatar dataset. Bivariate logistic or multinomial models were computed to evaluate the associations considered. The first main analysis assessed the association between stroke and relevant risk factors including age (continuous), sex (male, female), body mass index (continuous), smoking (number of pack years, i.e. the number of packs smoked per day multiplied by the number of years the person has smoked), history of diagnosed dyslipidemia (no, yes), diabetes (no, yes), high blood pressure (no, yes), occupational status (high skilled white-collar jobs, Self-owner/Chief Executive Officer/Professional jobs, low skilled white-collar jobs, blue-collar workers) and long working hours exposure duration (<10 years, ≥ 10 years). Long working hour was defined in the CONSTANCES cohort as working time greater than 10 hours a day for more than 50 days per year. The outcome was an occurrence of stroke (no, yes all subtypes) or subtype of strokes (no, ischemic stroke, hemorrhagic stroke). The second main analysis assessed the association between knee pain and relevant risk factors including age, sex, body mass index, occupational status, sedentary lifestyle (no, yes) and high rating on Borg Rating of Perceived Exertion Scale (<13 , ≥ 13). The outcome was considered in three categories: no/low pain, moderate pain and severe pain. The third main analysis assessed the effect of knee pain (as defined in the 2nd main analysis) on several reported limitations: daily life limitations because of health problems (no, yes), daily life limitations because of pain (no, yes), limitations for climbing stairs (no, yes), limitations for walking (no, yes) and limitations for carrying 5kg (no, yes). For all the main analyses, stratified analyses for the male and female subgroups were carried out. Variables selected for the three main analyses included both native variables, i.e. variables that were not modified (age, body mass index, diabetes diagnosed) and “reconstructed” variables, i.e. either by combining several categories together (occupational status, stroke subtypes, long working hours) or by combining several variables together (smoking, sedentary lifestyle, knee pain).

A qualitative approach was used to compare the raw/avatar paired odds-ratios (ORs) and their 95% confidence interval as the aim was to obtain clinically comparable associations and

not necessarily associations that were statistically not different. A Cohen's kappa coefficient was calculated to measure the reliability of significance of the ORs based on the raw dataset or avatar dataset. Analyses were performed using R (version 4.2, packages "CompareGroups, EpiDisplay").

All study participants provided written informed consent prior to enrollment. The study had institutional review board approval for research on human subjects (Commission nationale de l'informatique et des libertés n° 910486, "Comité consultatif sur le traitement de l'information en matière de recherche n° 10.628).

Results

Both raw dataset and avatar dataset had 162,434 observations and the same number of variables. A descriptive table of the two datasets can be found in [Table 1](#).

Differences between the Avatar and Raw data sets in terms of the percentage distribution of responses for the various risk factors were mostly small ($\leq 2\%$), with only one category, the "Current/former smoker <30" which had a moderate difference ($>5\%$ but $\leq 10\%$). On the 172 raw/avatar paired ORs calculated ([Table 1](#) and [S1 Appendix](#)), 77% had a difference ≤ 0.5 . OR were closer to each other for smaller effect magnitude ([Fig 1](#)).

There was a strong agreement when considering the reliability of a significant association, with a Cohen's kappa coefficient of 0.80. Among the 13 raw/avatar paired ORs with a discrepancy in the significance of the associations, only one had an OR difference >0.5 and another had an opposite significant association.

Discussion

This study shows the flexibility and the multiple usage of a synthetic database created with the avatar method. Protection of data is a serious issue which rightfully impedes data sharing. The aim of this study was not to prove the statistical superiority of the avatar methods, but to test it in a blinded exploratory context of a large cohort dataset with minimum assumptions on the analyses that would be carried out. Though collaboration and open access data have become key aspects of modern research, stealing data for economic gains has become more frequent, and health facilities are not immune to this threat [23]. The avatar method seems to be a promising tool for protecting sensitive data and for both specific analyses and exploration analysis. The avatar version of an exhaustive database could be used by several researchers to study multiple outcomes and factors and share their hypotheses before formulating more precise statistical and etiological models [18]. Large, anonymized databases linking sensitive data are relevant in the case of data pertaining to work, health, or compensation data, and in the case of data sharing and open access, especially for black-box machine learning models that cannot be shared with the training datasets. In the rehabilitation field, powerful machine learning models trained on large datasets could be promising tools for on field practitioners, and not just research, if they become available without compromising the original participants' data privacy.

Possible application examples could include presentation of data to employers and workers on sensitive issues (like addictions), sharing databases used for analyses (transparency), sharing databases for multicentric analyses (e.g. across occupational health occupational health units) with possibilities of international projects, or sharing databases that were used to train machine learning models.

The avatar associations seemed to overestimate raw associations ≥ 2 ([Fig 1](#)). One explanation could be linked to the behavior of the avatar method. By design, the Avatar method tends to center individuals to local barycenters. With a parameter $k = 20$, the avatar method could

Table 1. Distribution of variables in the raw and avatar dataset, crude odds ratios for the occurrence of stroke (Stroke analysis), and crude odds ratios for reported limitations, across selected risk factors.

	Avatar	Raw	Crude Odds Ratio Avatar dataset	Crude Odds Ratio Raw dataset
Age (Years)	47.8 (12.3)	47.8 (13.1)	1.07 (1.07–1.08)	1.07 (1.06–1.07)
Sex				
Female	85865 (52.9%)	86132 (53.1%)	Ref	Ref
Male	76422 (47.1%)	76154 (46.9%)	2.15 (1.85–2.51)	1.93 (1.66–2.25)
Body mass index (kg/m ²)	24.9 (3.31)	25.0 (4.48)	1.10 (1.08–1.12)	1.05 (1.04–1.07)
Dyslipidemia diagnosed				
No	149545 (92.1%)	148550 (91.5%)	Ref	Ref
Yes	12889 (7.93%)	13884 (8.55%)	5.63 (4.81–6.57)	5.41 (4.63–6.30)
Smoking (Pack.Years)				
Not smoker	72083 (48.6%)	89251 (54.9%)	Ref	Ref
Current/former smoker <30 pack-years	74090 (49.9%)	66789 (41.1%)	1.19 (1.02–1.41)	1.07 (0.91–1.25)
Current/former smoker ≥30 pack-years	2289 (1.54%)	6394 (3.94%)	7.46 (5.58–9.82)	3.67 (2.90–4.60)
Diabetes diagnosed				
No	161023 (99.1%)	160270 (98.7%)	Ref	Ref
Yes	1411 (0.87%)	2164 (1.33%)	4.82 (3.24–6.89)	3.41 (2.34–4.79)
High blood pressure diagnosed				
No	144509 (89.0%)	143516 (88.4%)	Ref	Ref
Yes	17925 (11.0%)	18918 (11.6%)	4.40 (3.78–5.13)	4.52 (3.88–5.24)
Occupation				
High-skilled white-collar jobs	45481 (30.5%)	43830 (30.0%)	Ref	Ref
Self-employed/Chief Executive Officer, Professional jobs	49436 (33.2%)	49623 (34.0%)	1.15 (0.95–1.39)	1.16 (0.95–1.42)
Low-skilled white-collar jobs	39452 (26.5%)	37742 (25.8%)	0.85 (0.68–1.06)	0.98 (0.78–1.22)
Blue-collar jobs	14534 (9.76%)	14955 (10.2%)	1.41 (1.08–1.81)	1.73 (1.35–2.20)
Long working hours				
<10 years	142198 (87.5%)	141361 (87.0%)	Ref	Ref
≥10 years	20236 (12.5%)	21073 (13.0%)	1.53 (1.26–1.84)	1.72 (1.43–2.05)
Stroke				
No	161704 (99.6%)	161697 (99.5%)	/	/
Yes	730 (0.45%)	737 (0.45%)	/	/
Stroke subtypes				
No Stroke	161751 (99.6%)	161697 (99.5%)	/	/
Ischemic stroke	503 (0.31%)	534 (0.33%)	/	/
Hemorrhagic stroke	180 (0.11%)	203 (0.12%)	/	/
Sedentary lifestyle				
No	71625 (44.1%)	71277 (43.9%)	/	/
Yes	90809 (55.9%)	91157 (56.1%)	/	/
Borg scale				
<13	133742 (82.3%)	130114 (80.1%)	/	/
≥13	28692 (17.7%)	32320 (19.9%)	/	/
Daily life limitations because of health problems				
No	113538 (69.9%)	109655 (67.5%)	Ref	Ref
Yes	48896 (30.1%)	52779 (32.5%)	*1.82 (1.78–1.86)	*1.73 (1.69–1.77)
			†6.79 (6.57–7.02)	†5.48 (5.32–5.65)
Daily life limitations because of pain				
No	147493 (90.8%)	145594 (89.6%)	Ref	Ref
Yes	14941 (9.20%)	16840 (10.4%)	*1.89 (1.81–1.97)	*1.80 (1.73–1.88)

(Continued)

Table 1. (Continued)

	Avatar	Raw	Crude Odds Ratio Avatar dataset	Crude Odds Ratio Raw dataset	
			†7.86 (7.52–8.23)	†6.78 (6.51–7.07)	
Limitations for climbing stairs					
	No	154280 (95.0%)	152306 (93.8%)	Ref	Ref
	Yes	8154 (5.02%)	10128 (6.24%)	*1.91 (1.79–2.04)	*1.83 (1.72–1.95)
			†12.5 (11.8–13.3)	†11.8 (11.2–12.5)	
Limitations for walking					
	No	155736 (95.9%)	153704 (94.6%)	Ref	Ref
	Yes	6698 (4.12%)	8730 (5.37%)	*2.10 (1.96–2.26)	*1.72 (1.61–1.84)
			†13.1 (12.3–14.1)	†10.6 (10.0–11.2)	
Limitations for carrying 5kg					
	No	152492 (93.9%)	149489 (92.0%)	Ref	Ref
	Yes	9942 (6.12%)	12945 (7.97%)	*1.79 (1.70–1.89)	*1.46 (1.39–1.53)
			†8.50 (8.06–8.97)	†5.47 (5.23–5.72)	
Knee pain					
	No/low pain	77836 (47.9%)	79328 (48.8%)	/	/
	Moderate pain	63725 (39.2%)	56329 (34.7%)	/	/
	Severe pain	20873 (12.9%)	26777 (16.5%)	/	/

* OR for those with moderate knee pain

†OR for those with severe knee pain.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0308063.t001>

create some local clusters. This could magnify the computed odds ratio. A similar behavior was found for the effect size in the case of low k value [19]. In the case of exploratory analyses, it may not be a liability as the aim is to identify clinically relevant associations, meaning that an effect size greater than 2 would be considered as a “strong” association.

The main limitation of this study is that only one database was used to compare the associations of the avatar and raw databases. Therefore, generalizing the behavior of avatar associations is difficult, especially since it could also depend on the parameter selected for the creation of the avatar dataset. However, the multiplicity of the analyses and the variables used as well as the size of the raw database gives us confidence in the reproducibility of our results. Another limit of the avatar method is that the creation of the avatar dataset requires the solicitation of a third-party software, is not available in open access. Lastly, only a qualitative comparison of the associations since the aim of this study was to obtain associations that were clinically close. Most of the studies compare the overall of confidence intervals [24], though this method has limitations in the case of large dataset with small confidence intervals, as was the case our analysis of knee pain, which had close OR with non-overlapping confidence intervals (Table 1). The partially blinded creation of the avatar dataset is the main strength of this study. Though the data analysts knew about a list of variables of interest (see S1 Appendix), they did not know which analyses would be conducted and had to synthesize a very large database (280 variables). Another strong point is the dataset which originates from a large population-based cohort allowing exploring multiple aspects of health at the same time, from rare events like stroke to common health issues like knee pain and daily life limitations.

To conclude, the avatar method for creating synthesized data seems to be a promising tool for flexible, exploratory analyses of large databases, especially for data sharing and open access, though further research in other large databases will be needed to confirm these findings.




Fig 1. Scatter plot of paired raw/avatar odds ratio by their confidence interval mean width.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0308063.g001>

Supporting information

S1 Appendix. List of variables to which weights were assigned and Table of all raw/avatar pair odds ratios.

(PDF)

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Marc Fadel, Pierre-Antoine Gourraud, Alexis Descatha.

Data curation: Marc Fadel, Julien Petot, Alexis Descatha.

Formal analysis: Marc Fadel, Julien Petot, Alexis Descatha.

Funding acquisition: Alexis Descatha.

Methodology: Marc Fadel, Pierre-Antoine Gourraud.

Supervision: Alexis Descatha.

Writing – original draft: Marc Fadel.

Writing – review & editing: Julien Petot, Pierre-Antoine Gourraud, Alexis Descatha.

References

1. Hu H, Galea S, Rosella L, Henry D. Big Data and Population Health: Focusing on the Health Impacts of the Social, Physical, and Economic Environment. *Epidemiology*. 2017; 28: 759. <https://doi.org/10.1097/EDE.0000000000000711> PMID: 28682850
2. Gupta M, George JF. Toward the development of a big data analytics capability. *Information & Management*. 2016; 53: 1049–1064. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.im.2016.07.004>
3. Chetty R, Stepner M, Abraham S, Lin S, Scuderi B, Turner N, et al. The Association Between Income and Life Expectancy in the United States, 2001–2014. *JAMA*. 2016; 315: 1750–1766. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2016.4226> PMID: 27063997
4. Mues KE, Liede A, Liu J, Wetmore JB, Zaha R, Bradbury BD, et al. Use of the Medicare database in epidemiologic and health services research: a valuable source of real-world evidence on the older and disabled populations in the US. *Clinical Epidemiology*. 2017; 9: 267–277. <https://doi.org/10.2147/CLEP.S105613> PMID: 28533698
5. Akbarzadeh Khorshidi H, Hassani-Mahmooei B, Haffari G. An Interpretable Algorithm on Post-injury Health Service Utilization Patterns to Predict Injury Outcomes. *J Occup Rehabil*. 2020; 30: 331–342. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10926-019-09863-0> PMID: 31620997
6. Gross DP, Steenstra IA, Harrell FE, Bellinger C, Zaïane O. Machine Learning for Work Disability Prevention: Introduction to the Special Series. *J Occup Rehabil*. 2020; 30: 303–307. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10926-020-09910-1> PMID: 32623556
7. Cheng ASK, Ng PHF, Sin ZPT, Lai SHS, Law SW. Smart Work Injury Management (SWIM) System: Artificial Intelligence in Work Disability Management. *J Occup Rehabil*. 2020; 30: 354–361. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10926-020-09886-y> PMID: 32236811
8. Badreau M, Fadel M, Yves R, Bertin M, Rapicault C, Gilbert F, et al. Comparison of Machine Learning Methods in the Study of Cancer Survivors' Return to Work: An Example of Breast Cancer Survivors with Work-Related Factors in the CONSTANCES Cohort. *J Occup Rehabil*. In press.
9. van der Ploeg T, Austin PC, Steyerberg EW. Modern modelling techniques are data hungry: a simulation study for predicting dichotomous endpoints. *BMC Med Res Methodol*. 2014; 14: 137. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2288-14-137> PMID: 25532820
10. Price WN. Big data and black-box medical algorithms. *Science Translational Medicine*. 2018; 10: eaao5333. <https://doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.aao5333> PMID: 30541791
11. Rocher L, Hendrickx JM, de Montjoye Y-A. Estimating the success of re-identifications in incomplete datasets using generative models. *Nat Commun*. 2019; 10: 3069. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-10933-3> PMID: 31337762
12. Rothstein MA. Is deidentification sufficient to protect health privacy in research? *Am J Bioeth*. 2010; 10: 3–11. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15265161.2010.494215> PMID: 20818545
13. Haute Autorité de Santé. Le dossier médical en santé au travail. In: Haute Autorité de Santé [Internet]. Jan 2009 [cited 18 Apr 2024]. Available: https://www.has-sante.fr/jcms/c_757826/fr/le-dossier-medical-en-sante-au-travail.
14. Haute Autorité de Santé. Catégories d'informations susceptibles d'être intégrées dans le volet santé au travail du dossier médical partagé. In: Haute Autorité de Santé [Internet]. Mar 2023 [cited 18 Apr 2024]. Available: https://www.has-sante.fr/jcms/p_3382712/fr/categories-d-informations-susceptibles-d-etre-integrees-dans-le-volet-sante-au-travail-du-dossier-medical-partage.
15. EUR-Lex. Directive 95/46/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 24 October 1995 on the protection of individuals with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data. *OJ L Oct 24, 1995*. Available: <http://data.europa.eu/eli/dir/1995/46/oj/eng>.
16. El Emam K. Seven Ways to Evaluate the Utility of Synthetic Data. *IEEE Security & Privacy*. 2020; 18: 56–59. <https://doi.org/10.1109/MSEC.2020.2992821>
17. El Emam K, Mosquera L, Bass J. Evaluating Identity Disclosure Risk in Fully Synthetic Health Data: Model Development and Validation. *J Med Internet Res*. 2020; 22: e23139. <https://doi.org/10.2196/23139> PMID: 33196453

18. Snoke J, Raab GM, Nowok B, Dibben C, Slavkovic A. General and specific utility measures for synthetic data. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series A (Statistics in Society)*. 2018; 181: 663–688. <https://doi.org/10.1111/rssa.12358>
19. Guillaudeux M, Rousseau O, Petot J, Bennis Z, Dein C-A, Goronflot T, et al. Patient-centric synthetic data generation, no reason to risk re-identification in biomedical data analysis. *npj Digit Med*. 2023; 6: 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41746-023-00771-5> PMID: 36899082
20. Goldberg M, Carton M, Descatha A, Leclerc A, Roquelaure Y, Santin G, et al. CONSTANCES: a general prospective population-based cohort for occupational and environmental epidemiology: cohort profile. *Occup Environ Med*. 2017; 74: 66–71. <https://doi.org/10.1136/oemed-2016-103678> PMID: 27884936
21. Ngabirano L, Fadel M, Leclerc A, Evanoff BA, Dale AM, Roquelaure Y, et al. Comparison Between a Job-Exposure Matrix (JEM) Score and Self-Reported Exposures for Carrying Heavy Loads Over the Working Lifetime in the CONSTANCES Cohort. *Ann Work Expo Health*. 2020; 64: 455–460. <https://doi.org/10.1093/annweh/wxaa015> PMID: 32105298
22. Fadel M, Sembajwe G, Gagliardi D, Pico F, Li J, Ozguler A, et al. Association Between Reported Long Working Hours and History of Stroke in the CONSTANCES Cohort. *Stroke*. 2019; 50: 1879–1882. <https://doi.org/10.1161/STROKEAHA.119.025454> PMID: 31216962
23. Spence N, Bhardwaj N, Paul D, Coustasse A. Ransomware in Healthcare Facilities: A Harbinger of the Future? *Management Faculty Research*. 2018. Available: https://mds.marshall.edu/mgmt_faculty/231.
24. Azizi Z, Zheng C, Mosquera L, Pilote L, El Emam K. Can synthetic data be a proxy for real clinical trial data? A validation study. *BMJ Open*. 2021; 11: e043497. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2020-043497> PMID: 33863713