

The study of co-hosted FIBA Women's Eurobasket 2021 alliance: the effect of event delivery model on the organizational learning outcomes

Karina Mukanova, Christopher Hautbois, Michel Desbordes

▶ To cite this version:

Karina Mukanova, Christopher Hautbois, Michel Desbordes. The study of co-hosted FIBA Women's Eurobasket 2021 alliance: the effect of event delivery model on the organizational learning outcomes. The 11th International Sport Business Symposium, Aug 2024, Paris, France. The 11th International Sport Business Symposium. Abstracts., 2024. hal-04676739

HAL Id: hal-04676739 https://hal.science/hal-04676739v1


Submitted on 23 Aug 2024


HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.


L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

The study of co-hosted FIBA Women's Eurobasket 2021 alliance: the effect of event delivery model on the organizational learning outcomes

Karina Mukanova¹, Christopher Hautbois and Michel Desbordes² ¹International University of Monaco, ²CIAMS Laboratory, Université Paris Saclay








Implications for the Olympics

OLYMPIC AGENDA 2020+5


As more and more International Federation of Basketball's (FIBA) sporting events and other single sport events are co-hosted (e.g. FIBA World Cup in 2023 by Japan, Indonesia, and the Philippines or most of the latest FIBA Eurobasket events) and the Olympics Games are allowed to go beyond one host city concept as per Agenda 2020+5 (Recommendation 2), these findings prove to be relevant for the Olympic world and its federations in understanding the collaborative patterns between the co-hosts, be it multiple venues, cities and/or countries.



Conclusion

- 1. The intensity of collaboration is low in the given alliance. It is not really a collaborative project.
- 2. Responsibility in legacy formalization does not lie with event owners, but host governments.
- **3. Event owners** should be conscious of the impact of the event structure on co-host collaboration

Managerial implications



Event organizers - NFs

Management Quarterly, 15(1), 48-76. https://doi.org/10.1080/16184742.2014.1000353

- The co-host match-up that is conducive to learning Strategically plan their joint bid before the bidding
- Bidding and post-event stage for event impact-
- related issues
- More strategic planning for *inter-* and extraorganizational learning outcomes (goal alignement, joint objectives)



Event owners - IFs

- Event bid package offer on alliance partner dynamics
- Single bid event package offer on alliance goal alignment
- Co-host manager's role on co-host
- collaboration (+/-) · The importance of the formalization of legacy

References

Amis, J., & Slack, T. (2016). Organisation theory and the management of sport organisations. In B. Houlihan & D. Malcolm (Eds.), Sport and Society (3rd ed.). Sage.

Babiak, K., & Willem, A. (2017). Interorganizational Relationships in Sport: From Theory to Practice. In R. Hoye & M. M. Parent (Eds.), The SAGE Handbook of Sport Management. Sage. https://doi.org/10.4135/9781473957961

Beissel, A. S., & Ternes, N. (2022). The Empire Strikes Back: FIFA 2.0, Global Peacemaking, and the 2026 FIFA Men's World Cup. Journal of Global Sport Management, 1–33. https://doi.org/10.1080/24704067.2022.2116589

Beissel, & Andrews, D. L. (2020). Art of the deal: Donald Trump, soft power, and winning the 2026 FIFA Men's World Cup bid. In B. C. Clift & A. Tomlinson (Eds.), Populism in Sport, Leisure, and Popular Culture. Routledge.

Byun, J., Leopkey, B., & Ellis, D. (2019). Understanding joint bids for international large-scale sport events as strategic alliances. Sport, Business and Management: An International Journal, 10(1), 39– 57. https://doi.org/10.1108/SBM-09-2018-0074

Chalip, L. (2006). Towards Social Leverage of Sport Events. *Journal of Sport & Tourism*, 11(2), 109–127. https://doi.org/10.1080/14775080601155126 Charrier, D., Jourdan, J., Bourbillères, H., Djaballah, M., & Parmantier, C. (2019). L'impact social des grands événements sportifs: Réflexions théoriques et méthodologiques à partir de l'Euro 2016.

Movement & Sport Sciences - Science & Motricité. https://doi.org/10.1051/sm/2019029 Djaballah, M., Hautbois, C., & Desbordes, M. (2015). Non-mega sporting events' social impacts: A sensemaking approach of local governments' perceptions and strategies. European Sport

Mair, J., & Whitford, M. (2013). An exploration of events research: Event topics, themes and emerging trends. International Journal of Event and https://doi.org/10.1108/17582951311307485

Malo, M.-C., & Elkouzi, N. (2001). Alliance stratégique et apprentissage: Collectif des entreprises d'insertion du Québec et Comité économie sociale inter-CDÉ(

157-172. https://doi.org/10.7202/009080ar Preuss, H. (2007). The Conceptualisation and Measurement of Mega Sport Event Legacies. Journal of Sport & Tourism, 12(3-4), 207-228. https://doi.org/10.1080 Preuss, H. (2018). Event legacy framework and measurement. International Journal of Sport Policy and Politics, 11(1), 103–118. https://doi.org/10.1080/1940694

Slack, T. (1997). *Understanding Sport Organizations: The application of the organization theory*. Human Kinetics. Taks, M. (2013). Social sustainability of non-mega sport events in a global world. European Journal for Sport and Society, 10(2), 121–141. https://doi.org/10.1080

