

### Use of Legume Wastewater Extracts on the Storage Stability of Freeze-Dried Lactiplantibacillus plantarum WCFS1

Gonçalo Nuno Martins, María Guerrero Sánchez, Angela Daniela Carboni, Stéphanie Cenard, Fernanda Fonseca, Andrea Gómez-Zavaglia, Paula Cristina

Castilho

### ► To cite this version:

Gonçalo Nuno Martins, María Guerrero Sánchez, Angela Daniela Carboni, Stéphanie Cenard, Fernanda Fonseca, et al.. Use of Legume Wastewater Extracts on the Storage Stability of Freeze-Dried Lactiplantibacillus plantarum WCFS1. Food and Bioprocess Technology, 2024, 10.1007/s11947-024-03554-2. hal-04673277

### HAL Id: hal-04673277 https://hal.science/hal-04673277v1

Submitted on 20 Aug 2024

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

#### RESEARCH



# Use of Legume Wastewater Extracts on the Storage Stability of Freeze-Dried *Lactiplantibacillus plantarum* WCFS1

Gonçalo Nuno Martins<sup>1</sup> · María Guerrero Sánchez<sup>2</sup> · Angela Daniela Carboni<sup>3</sup> · Stéphanie Cenard<sup>4</sup> · Fernanda Fonseca<sup>4</sup> · Andrea Gómez-Zavaglia<sup>3</sup> · Paula Cristina Castilho<sup>1</sup>

Received: 28 June 2024 / Accepted: 6 August 2024 © The Author(s) 2024

#### Abstract

Chickpeas and lentils contain prebiotic carbohydrates, including galacto-oligosaccharides (GOS), that confer health benefits but can also lead to undesirable effects like bloating and flatulence. Legume processing reduces these disadvantages and usually consists of the soaking and cooking of the legume seeds. In their industrial production, the processing water is considered waste. As different carbohydrates have recognized stabilization properties on lactic acid bacteria during dehydration processes, this study aimed to investigate the protective effect of GOS-containing wastewater extracts from cooking chickpeas and lentils, as well as fructo-oligosaccharides, and sucrose (used for comparative purposes), on the stability of freeze-dried *Lactiplantibacillus plantarum* WCFS1, by measuring biological (culturability, storage stability, acidifying activity), chemical (pH), and physical (water content) properties. The best storage stability was observed for mixtures with GOS-containing wastewater legume extracts. Protection of lactic acid bacteria strains with GOS-containing wastewater legume extracts would limit their spoilage in food production, positively impacting the environment.

Keywords Freeze-drying · Galacto-oligosaccharides · Lactic acid bacteria · Pulses · Viability · Wastewaters

#### Abbreviations

BF	Before freezing
C-BW	Chickpea "boiling" water
C-CW	Chickpea cooking water
CFU	Colony-forming unit(s)
DSC	Differential scanning calorimetry

Gonçalo Nuno Martins and María Guerrero Sánchez contributed equally to this work.

#### Highlights

- Legume wastewaters were used for protecting Lactiplantibacillus plantarum WCFS1.
- Wastewaters containing GOS were better than FOS and sucrose.
- Lactiplantibacillus plantarum WCFS1 survived in storage at 37 °C for 3 weeks.
- Boiling legumes without soaking provided the best protective compounds.

Paula Cristina Castilho pcastilho@staff.uma.pt

- <sup>1</sup> CQM–Centro de Química da Madeira, Universidade da Madeira, Campus da Penteada, 9020-105 Funchal, Portugal
- <sup>2</sup> Biosearch S.A.U (a Kerry® Company), R&D Department, 18004 Granada, Spain

DP Degree of polymerization F Freezing FD Freeze-drying/dried FOS Fructo-oligosaccharide(s) Galacto-oligosaccharide(s) GOS Lentils' "boiling" water L-BW L-CW Lentil cooking water MRS De Man, Rogosa, and Sharpe PCA Principal component analysis RH Relative humidity SUC Sucrose Tg Glass transition temperature

- <sup>3</sup> Center for Research and Development in Food Cryotechnology (CIDCA, CCT-CONICET La Plata), RA1900, La Plata, Argentina
- <sup>4</sup> Université Paris-Saclay, INRAE, AgroParisTech, UMR SayFood, 91120 Palaiseau, France

#### Introduction

Chickpeas (*Cicer arietinum* L.) and lentils (*Lens culinaris Medikus* var. *variabilis*) are two of the most important legume crops worldwide (Jukanti et al., 2012; Ninou et al., 2019). Traditionally, they have been consumed in developing countries, where protein-energy malnutrition is prevalent because they are a staple food and a low-cost source of protein. However, in recent years, the inclusion of these legumes in daily diet has grown significantly in North America, Australia, and many European countries, mainly due as a result of consumers increasingly healthy and balanced diet choices (Madurapperumage et al., 2021; Ninou et al., 2019; Siddiq et al., 2022; Sidhu et al., 2022).

Chickpeas and lentils have a high nutritional quality and are a good source of carbohydrates and proteins, together constituting about 80% of the total dry seed mass (Siddig et al., 2022). Legumes' carbohydrates contain a wide range of prebiotic substances including galacto-oligosaccharides (GOS), particularly from the raffinose family of oligosaccharides, and fructo-oligosaccharides (FOS) (Johnson et al., 2020; Martins et al., 2019). These prebiotic carbohydrates are selectively utilized by the host microorganisms and fermented into active metabolites (short-chain fatty acids, branched-chain fatty acids, vitamins, bile acid derivatives) conferring significant human health profits (Gibson et al., 2017; Johnson et al., 2020). Benefits of legumes include treating and preventing constipation, controlling cholesterol levels, and reducing the risk of obesity, diabetes, and certain types of cancer (Maphosa & Jideani, 2017; Martins et al., 2019; Siddiq et al., 2022). Despite these known benefits, chickpeas and lentils contain several compounds that have "anti-nutritional" activity (phytic acid, tannic acid), inhibit mineral absorption, have a bitter taste, increase cooking time, or are non-digestible ( $\alpha$ -GOS) and can cause bloating and flatulence (Munthali et al., 2022; Ninou et al., 2019; Njoumi et al., 2019). In order to reduce the undesirable attributes of chickpeas and lentils, as well as to increase the nutrients' availability and improve their organoleptic characteristics, legume processing methods are applied (Njoumi et al., 2019; Sharma et al., 2022).

Legume processing frequently consists of two main steps: soaking and cooking. Soaking increases hydration, softening the seed coat, and making it easier for water to penetrate during cooking, allowing for faster cooking. The soaked seeds can then be cooked in different ways, but boiling is the easiest and most common method. Cooking improves palatability causing textural changes and chemical reactions that produce volatile compounds important for flavor development (Chigwedere et al., 2019; Serventi, 2020). In the industrial production of legumes, the water resulting from their processing is considered waste and known as *aquafaba* or *liluva*. While aquafaba refers to chickpea cooking water, liluva consists of water from different legumes and different processing methods (Hippolite et al., 2023). The global legume market (chickpeas, lentils, and other grains) represented a CAGR 11.5 Billion US dollars in 2022 (Market Research Future, 2024). Also in 2022, chickpeas and lentil production was around 18.1 (Shahbandeh, 2024) and 6.7 (FAOSTAT, 2023) million metric tons, respectively. Given a joint chickpea and lentil production of 24.7 million metric tons, assuming 1/10 of these legumes are sold pre-cooked or canned (2.47 million metric tons), and a standard 1:4 grain to water ratio is used in their cooking, a rough estimate of the amount of cooking wastewater produced would be 9.88 million metric tons, or around 10,000 million liters. Recycling legume wastewater would limit their wastage, positively impacting the environment (Hippolite et al., 2023; Serventi, 2020). Several studies have shown the potential of legume wastewater in food applications as texture improvers (foaming agents, gel lingers, thickeners) and as a source of prebiotics. In addition, these waters have a high content of proteins, soluble and insoluble carbohydrates, saponins, and minerals (Huang et al. 2017; Mustafa et al., 2018; Serventi et al., 2018; Stantiall et al., 2018).

Lactiplantibacillus plantarum is a lactic acid bacterium with a large and flexible genome that helps it adapt to diverse habitats including dairy products, fruits, vegetables, sausages, the digestive tract/feces of animals and humans, and soil. For decades, *L. plantarum* strains have been used as starter cultures in the production of fermented products because of their organoleptic properties and as probiotic cultures because of their beneficial properties such as antimicrobial activity, immunological effects, and modulation of the intestinal microbiota (Behera et al., 2018; Carboni et al., 2023; Echegaray et al., 2023; Garcia-Gonzalez et al., 2021).

Freeze-drying is a standard method for preserving probiotic strains facilitating their transportation and storage. However, it exposes the strains to environmental changes that can lead to structural cell damages, or even their death (Broeckx et al., 2016; Fonseca et al., 2015). A recent review by Penha Rodrigues Pereira et al. (2024) describes the main challenges faced in increasing probiotic survival and how to tackle them. To limit the encountered stresses' potential negative effects during the freeze-drying process and to improve the survival of bacteria after storage, the addition of protective molecules to the cell concentrates is an effective strategy (Chen et al., 2023; Guerrero Sanchez et al., 2022). Sugars such as sucrose and prebiotics such as FOS and GOS have been demonstrated to be efficient protective compounds of lactic acid bacteria after freeze-drying and storage stages (Gagneten et al., 2024; Romano et al., 2016; Tymczyszyn et al., 2011). They are commonly used to preserve probiotic strains because they can replace water molecules removed during drying, thus maintaining membrane integrity. Additionally, they have the ability

to form glassy states with high viscosity and low molecular mobility, which limits diffusive damaging reactions (Gagnetenet al., 2024; Golowczyc et al., 2013; Romano et al., 2016; Sosa et al., 2016; Tymczyszyn et al., 2011).

It was recently reported that L. plantarum CIDCA 83114 was able to grow in a culture medium prepared with GOScontaining wastewater legume extracts (Martins et al., 2023). In addition, the wastewater from cooking dry chickpeas was capable of protecting Lactobacillus delbrueckii subsp. bulgaricus CFL1 during freeze-drying and storage by forming a protective glassy matrix surrounding the bacterium (Passot et al., 2024). In this work, we intend to continue studying the applicability of these waste products, namely the cooking wastewaters obtained from lentil seeds. Four GOS-containing wastewater extracts were obtained by applying different procedures to chickpeas and lentils and were repurposed for the protection of lactic acid bacteria during freeze-drying and storage. The GOS mixtures' protective capability over L. plantarum WCFS1 during the freeze-drying and storage was studied by determining the biological activity (culturability, storage stability, acidifying activity) and chemical (pH) and physical (water content) properties of the cell concentrates.

#### **Materials and Methods**

The experimental approach used in this study and the activities measured are shown in Fig. 1.

#### **Chickpeas and Lentil Seeds' Wastewaters**

#### Production

Chickpea and lentil seeds were provided by Continente (Matosinhos, Portugal) and Don Elio (INTA Arroyo Seco, Argentina), respectively. The seeds were kept at 25 °C in dry conditions throughout the making of this work. GOS-containing wastewater extracts were prepared as described previously by Martins et al. (2023). Briefly, cooking waters were produced from raw and soaked chickpeas and lentil seeds in a 1:5 seeds-to-water mass ratio and cooked for 30 min (Fig. 1a). The supernatants were separated and freeze-dried. In total, four extracts were produced: chickpea cooking water (C-CW), chickpea "boiling" water (C-BW), lentil cooking water (L-CW), and lentil "boiling" water (L-BW).

#### **High-Performance Liquid Chromatography**

The carbohydrate content of the produced legume extracts was determined by high-performance liquid chromatography with refractive index detection as described previously by Martins et al. (2023) and may be found in Table 1.

#### **Glass Transition Temperature**

The glass transition temperature of the freeze-dried GOS mixtures (Tg, in °C) was determined by differential scanning



Fig. 1 Diagram of the experimental approach used in this study and showing the activities measured. (a) Experimental procedures for the production of GOS-containing wastewater extracts. (b) Cell growth and acidifying activity of L. plantarum WCFS1 showing when the cells were harvested. (c) Method used for determining the storage stability of L. plantarum WCFS1 using the slope value of the linear

regression. (d) Method used for determining the acidifying activity of L. plantarum WCFS1 using the time necessary for a pH drop of 1.5 units. C-CW, chickpea cooking water; C-BW, chickpea boiling water; L-CW, lentil cooking water; L-BW, lentil boiling water; FOS, fructo-oligosaccharide; SUC, sucrose

Table 1Carbohydratecomposition and Tg values ofthe GOS-containing legumeextracts, the commercial FOSsample, and Suc used in thiswork as determined by <sup>a</sup>Martinset al. (2023), <sup>b</sup>Romano et al.(2016), and <sup>c</sup>in this work

		GOS				FOS (Bene-	Suc
		C-CW	C-BW	L-CW	L-BW	oOrafti)	
% (g/100 g of dry matter)	Monosaccharides	5 <sup>a</sup>	4 <sup>a</sup>	2 <sup>a</sup>	3 <sup>a</sup>	3 <sup>b</sup>	0 <sup>b</sup>
	Disaccharides	18 <sup>a</sup>	18 <sup>a</sup>	4 <sup>a</sup>	4 <sup>a</sup>	5 <sup>b</sup>	100 <sup>b</sup>
	DP=3	23 <sup>a</sup>	21 <sup>a</sup>	11 <sup>a</sup>	$10^{a}$	25 <sup>b</sup>	$0^{b}$
	DP=4	14 <sup>a</sup>	14 <sup>a</sup>	15 <sup>a</sup>	13 <sup>a</sup>	29 <sup>b</sup>	$0^{b}$
	$DP \ge 5$	2 <sup>a</sup>	2 <sup>a</sup>	8 <sup>a</sup>	6 <sup>a</sup>	38 <sup>b</sup>	$0^{b}$
	Total oligosaccharides	39 <sup>a</sup>	37 <sup>a</sup>	34 <sup>a</sup>	29 <sup>a</sup>	92 <sup>b</sup>	$0^{b}$
<i>Tg</i> (°C)		23.33 <sup>c</sup>	41.00 <sup>c</sup>	47.83 <sup>c</sup>	40.22 <sup>c</sup>	46.92 <sup>b</sup>	40.28 <sup>t</sup>

*C-CW* chickpea cooking water, *C-BW* chickpea boiling water, *L-CW* lentil cooking water, *L-BW* lentil boiling water, *FOS* fructo-oligosaccharide, *Suc sucrose*, *DP* degree of polymerization

calorimetry (DSC) using a Q100 calorimeter calibrated with indium, lead, and zinc (TA Instruments-Waters LLC, New Castle, DE, USA). Samples were equilibrated at 10% relative humidity (RH) with a saturated solution of NaOH. Equilibration lasted *ca.* 2 weeks. Five milligrams of each sample was weighed in gold capsules and hermetically sealed. An empty pan was used as a reference. The thermal history of each sample was removed, and then the samples were heated from – 60 to 75 °C with a heating ramp of 10 °C/min. Measures were taken in duplicate.

#### Production and Storage of *L. plantarum* WCFS1 Concentrates

#### **Bacterial Strain and Culture Conditions**

The strain used in this study was *L. plantarum* WCFS1 (NIZO Food Research B.V., The Netherlands). Twenty-five microliters of stock culture stored at -80 °C was thawed at 40 °C for 5 min before inoculating in 10 mL of MRS broth (Scharlau Microbiology; Barcelona, Spain). This pre-culture was incubated at 37 °C for 18 h, and then 80 µL was used to inoculate a second pre-culture containing 10 mL of MRS broth. The second pre-culture was incubated at 37 °C for 10 h, after which 10 mL was used to inoculate a 5-L bioreactor (Biostat® B Type 8,840,326, B. Braun Biotech International GmbH; Melsungen, Germany) containing 4.99 L of culture medium.

The culture medium, sterilized at 121 °C for 20 min, was composed of MRS broth supplemented with 40 g·L<sup>-1</sup> glucose (ADM; Chicago, IL, USA) to avoid starvation stress caused by the depletion of the carbon source after reaching the stationary growth phase. Fermentation was carried out at 37 °C and pH 5.8, which was controlled by the automatic addition of a 20% (w/v) NaOH solution. The stirring was set at 200 rpm to ensure the culture homogenization.

Cell growth and acidifying activity were monitored throughout fermentation. Cell growth was monitored by an

infra-red probe (Excell210; CellD, Roquemaure, France), measuring absorbance at 880 nm. Acidifying activity was determined according to the volume of NaOH solution injected into the bioreactor during fermentation to maintain a controlled pH. The maximum acidifying rate (dv/dt, in mL·min<sup>-1</sup>) was determined as the peak of the first derivative of the NaOH consumption curve. Cells were harvested 3.2 h after reaching the maximum acidifying rate (Fig. 1b), corresponding to the early stationary growth phase. Three fermentations were carried out in order to consider biological replicates.

#### **Concentration and Protection Conditions**

Cell suspensions were concentrated by centrifugation (Avanti® JXN-30 centrifuge, Beckman Coulter; CA, USA) at 15,900 g for 10 min at 4 °C. Cell pellets were resuspended in protective solutions at 23% (w/w) dry matter, using a 1:2 cell pellets-to-protective solution weight ratio. The protective solutions were prepared at 20% (w/w) in 8.9 g·L<sup>-1</sup> NaCl and sterilized by autoclaving at 121 °C for 20 min. Six protective agents were evaluated: the four GOS-containing wastewater extracts produced as described previously by Martins et al. (2023), a commercial FOS sample (Orafti®P95; Beneo Orafti; Tienen, Belgium), and sucrose (Azucarera; Madrid, Spain) (SUC), whose composition and Tg values is in Table 1. Freezedried cells in the presence of sucrose were included for comparative purposes as they represent the typical formulation for long-term storage of lactic acid bacteria.

#### **Stabilization and Storage Conditions**

Protected cell concentrates were frozen at -80 °C for 24 h in cryotubes. The cryotubes were then transferred to pre-cooled shelves at -45 °C in a pilot-scale freeze-dryer (VirTis Genesis 35 L SQ EL-85, SP Scientific; Warminster, PA, USA). The primary drying was carried out at

a temperature of -20 °C and at a chamber pressure of 0.1 mbar and the secondary drying at a temperature of 25 °C. The freeze-dried samples were stored for 1 week at 25 °C or for 3 weeks at 37 °C. Aliquots of the protected cell concentrates were also maintained in cryotubes at -80 °C for analyzing the frozen samples.

The process from production to storage of *L. plantarum* WCFS1 concentrates was repeated three times (three biological replicates). In addition, each measurement (culturability, storage stability, acidifying activity, pH, and water content) was measured in triplicate (three technical replicates).

#### Biological Activity of *L. plantarum* WCFS1 Concentrates

Biological activity measurements were carried out before and after freezing, after freeze-drying, after 1 week of storage at 25 °C, and after 2 and 3 weeks of storage at 37 °C. Before analysis, frozen samples were thawed at room temperature for 5 min, and freeze-dried samples were rehydrated using the same volume of 8.9 g·L<sup>-1</sup> NaCl present before freeze-drying and incubated for 30 min at 37 °C.

#### **Culturability Measurements**

Cell culturability was determined using the agar plate count method. Fresh, thawed, and rehydrated cell suspensions were serially diluted in 8.9 g·L<sup>-1</sup> NaCl, and 100  $\mu$ L of the appropriate dilutions was spread into MRS agar plates (VWR International Eurolab; Barcelona, Spain) in triplicate (three technical replicates). Colonies were enumerated (Scan® 500, Interscience; Saint Nom la Bretèche, France) after incubating the plates for 48 h at 37 °C in anaerobic conditions. Plates containing between 30 and 300 colonies were kept for cell concentration evaluation. Culturability measurements were expressed in log (CFU·mL<sup>-1</sup>).

#### **Accelerated Storage Stability Test**

Storage stability was evaluated from the culturability loss rate during 3 weeks of storage at 37 °C (Fig. 1c), as previously described (Guerrero Sanchez et al., 2022). For each protective formulation and biological replicate, the logarithmic value of the cell count (log (CFU·mL<sup>-1</sup>)) was plotted as a function of the storage time (*t*, in days). The experimental values were fitted using the following equation:

Cell count(log( $CFU \cdot mL^{-1}$ )) =  $-K \times t(days) + b$ 

where the inactivation rate constant K (in log  $(CFU \cdot mL^{-1}) \cdot days^{-1}$ ) refers to the absolute value of the slope

of the linear regression. The lower the absolute value of the slope K, the higher the storage stability was.

Acidifying Activity The Cinac® system (AMS Alliance; Frépillon, France) was used to measure the acidifying activity of the bacterial suspensions according to the procedure described by Spinnler and Corrieu (1989). The measurements were performed in a 37 °C water bath. The growth medium composed of 10 g  $\cdot$ L<sup>-1</sup> glucose and 10  $g \cdot L^{-1}$  yeast extract (Condalab; Madrid, Spain) was sterilized at 121 °C for 20 min before being distributed into 250 mL PYREX® round bottle. Each bottle contained 150 mL of medium and was inoculated with 100 µL of fresh, thawed, or rehydrated cell suspensions diluted 10 times in 8.9 g·L<sup>-1</sup> NaCl. For each sample, the acidifying activity was characterized as the time necessary for a pH drop of 1.5 units (dtpH 1.5, in min). The lower the value of the dtpH 1.5 was, the higher the acidifying activity was (Fig. 1d).

# Chemical and Physical Properties of *L. plantarum* WCFS1 Concentrates

#### рΗ

The pH of *L. plantarum* WCFS1 concentrates was measured at room temperature using a portable pH meter (LAQUA PH1100, Horiba Scientific<sup>®</sup>; Tokyo, Japan), on freezethawed and rehydrated freeze-dried samples prepared according to the procedure described above in the section of biological activity ("Biological Activity of L. plantarum WCFS1 Concentrates" section).

#### Water Content

The Karl Fisher titration method was used to measure the moisture content of the samples, using a Metrohom KF 756 apparatus (Metrohm AG; Herisau, Switzerland). At least 20 mg of powder was mixed with 3 mL of dry methanol and titrated with hydranal coulomat AG (Honeywell Fluka; Seelze, Germany) until the end point was reached. Measurements were carried out after freeze-drying.

#### **Statistical Analyses**

The nonparametric Kruskal–Wallis test and the post hoc Conover Iman test with Bonferroni correction were performed using XLSTAT 19.6 (Addinsoft; Paris, France) to compare data concerning biological and physical activities. A significance level of 95% (p-value < 0.05) was considered.



**Fig. 2** Biological activity as determined by the culturability (log  $(CFU \cdot mL^{-1})$ ) of *L. plantarum* WCFS1 concentrates protected with six different protective formulations at different steps of the production process: before freezing (BF), after freezing (F), and after freezedrying (FD). C-CW, chickpea cooking water; C-BW, chickpea boiling water; L-CW, lentil cooking water; L-BW, lentil boiling water; FOS, fructo-oligosaccharide; SUC, sucrose



**Fig. 3** Acidifying activity, characterized as the time necessary for a pH drop of 1.5 units (dtpH 1.5, in min), of *L. plantarum* WCFS1 concentrates protected with six different protective formulations at different steps of the production process: before freezing (BF), and after freezing (F), freeze-drying (FD), 1 week of storage at 25 °C (W1 25 °C), and 3 weeks of storage at 37 °C (W3 37 °C). C-CW, chickpea cooking water; C-BW, chickpea boiling water; L-CW, lentil cooking water; SUC, sucrose

#### **Results and Discussion**

# Effect of Protective Formulation on the Survival of *L. plantarum* WCFS1 After Stabilization Processes

Compared to its state before freezing, the biological activity of L. plantarum WCFS1 concentrates slightly decreased after the freezing and freeze-drving stages of the production process. This decrease was observed regardless of the protective formulation used, as determined by their culturability and acidifying activity (Fig. 2  $\Delta < 6\%$  and Fig. 3  $\Delta \le 15\%$ , respectively). No statistical differences in culturability and acidifying activity were observed after these two stages among the protective formulations used (Online Resource 1 and 2). During these processes, microorganisms are exposed to several environmental stresses (thermal, osmotic, mechanical, oxidative) that can cause cell membrane damage, and protein and DNA denaturation, resulting in cell viability and activity loss. However, depending on the bacterial strain, the protective formulation used, and the stress magnitude, the resistance to freezing and freeze-drying processes can strongly differ (Béal & Fonseca, 2015; Fonseca et al., 2015; Tripathi & Giri, 2014). Protective agents play an important role in the conservation of biological activity. It had previously been demonstrated that sucrose, FOS, and GOS are effective protective compounds for lactic acid bacteria during production processes (Romano et al., 2016; Tymczyszyn et al., 2011). In addition, no clear differences in culturability were observed after freeze-drying of Lactobacillus delbrueckii ssp. bulgaricus CIDCA 333 cells protected with two different GOS preparations (Tymczyszyn et al., 2011). The protective capability of sugars and prebiotics on the stabilization of lactic acid bacteria during their freeze-drying and subsequent storage has been mainly associated with two accepted hypotheses. One of them proposes that sugars can replace the water between lipid headgroups when it is removed during drying, maintaining the membrane integrity. The other one is based on sugars capacity to form glassy matrices in which the high viscosity and low molecular mobility constraints molecular interactions (Crowe et al.,

**Table 2** pH value of *L. plantarum* WCFS1 concentrates protected with six different protective formulations after freezing (F), freeze-drying (FD), 1 week of storage at 25 °C (W1 25 °C), 2 weeks of storage at 37 °C (W2 37 °C), and 3 weeks of storage at 37 °C (W3 37 °C)

Protection	ctive formula-	C-CW	C-BW	L-CW	L-BW	FOS	SUC
pН	F	4.43±0.11	4.60±0.16	4.49±0.13	4.43±0.16	$3.72 \pm 0.04$	$4.05 \pm 0.06$
	FD	$4.60 \pm 0.16$	$4.72 \pm 0.10$	$4.57 \pm 0.03$	$4.59 \pm 0.06$	$3.65 \pm 0.04$	$3.98 \pm 0.29$
	W1 25 °C	$4.58 \pm 0.24$	$4.71 \pm 0.10$	$4.58 \pm 0.15$	$4.62 \pm 0.12$	$3.73 \pm 0.07$	$3.99 \pm 0.23$
	W2 37 °C	$4.55 \pm 0.16$	$4.64 \pm 0.09$	$4.59 \pm 0.09$	$4.55 \pm 0.15$	$3.90 \pm 0.03$	$4.07 \pm 0.14$
	W3 37 °C	$4.57 \pm 0.21$	$4.55 \pm 0.08$	$4.53 \pm 0.15$	$4.47 \pm 0.13$	$3.93 \pm 0.07$	$4.08 \pm 0.14$

Data represented are the average and standard deviation of at least two biological replicates. *C-CW* chickpea cooking water, *C-BW* chickpea boiling water, *L-CW* lentil cooking water, *L-BW* lentil boiling water, *FOS* fructo-oligosaccharide, *SUC* sucrose

1988; Golowczyc et al., 2013; Romano et al., 2016; Sosa et al., 2016; Tymczyszyn et al., 2011).

The pH of the L. plantarum WCFS1 concentrates was determined after freezing and freeze-drying (Table 2). During these first two stages of the production process, the pH value was maintained. The protective formulations involving FOS and SUC presented the lowest pH (3.8 pH units of average) compared to those involving GOS-containing legume extracts (4.6 pH units of average). During freezing, the hydrogen ions are concentrated as the available liquid water decreases causing an acid shock to the cells. To minimize this shock, the cell concentrates can be neutralized before freezing. However, neutralization of Bifidobacterium animalis ssp. lactis biomass did not have a positive effect on freeze-drying survival (Saarela et al., 2009). This was also noted in this work, as the differences in pH of the L. plantarum WCFS1 concentrates protected with GOS extracts (less acidic) or with FOS/SUC (more acidic) did not result in a significant change in the cells' biological activity as determined by their culturability and acidifying activity after freezing and freeze-drying.

The survival of dried bacteria is affected by moisture content. Removing the whole water from the cells (0% moisture content) during freeze-drying is harmful to survival as it results in damage to cell proteins. Therefore, a certain amount of water (hydration water) must remain in a dehydrated state for a satisfactory survival rate (Zayed & Roos, 2004). However, a high moisture content leads to a decrease in Tg resulting in a higher T-Tg value (T being the storage temperature), which in turn causes a decrease in bacterial viability. When the Tg is below the storage temperature (T-Tg > 0), the samples change from a glassy stable state into a viscous unstable state (rubbery state), where the mobility of molecules increases. A higher molecular mobility leads to loss of bacterial viability and a decrease of the shelf life of the stored powder (Broeckx et al., 2016; Guerrero Sanchez et al., 2022; Passot et al., 2012). Moisture contents of L. plantarum WCFS1 concentrates after freeze-drying with the different protective formulations were in the range of 1.82-3.87% (Table 3). Our results are comparable to those reported for L. plantarum TISTR 2075 (1.38-3.83% (Savedboworn et al., 2017)), Ligilactobacillus salivarius subsp. salivarius (UCC500) freeze-dried with various protective agents (2.8 to 5.6% (Zayed & Roos, 2004)), L. plantarum NCIMB 8826 freeze-dried in alginate microcapsules coated with chitosan (<10% (Albadran et al., 2015)), and *L. delbrueckii* ssp. *bulgaricus* CFL1 freeze-dried in a sucrose matrix (2.5 to 3.7% (Passot et al., 2012)). Therefore, water content in the range of 1.3–5% appears to lead to better bacterial survival after the freeze-drying process. The optimal water content value of a dried bacterial sample is determined by the nature of the protective formulation used and the storage temperature.

# Effect of Protective Formulation on the Survival of *L. plantarum* WCFS1 After Storage

After freeze-drying, protected *L. plantarum* WCFS1 cells were stored at two different temperatures (25 °C and 37 °C) for 7 and 28 days, respectively.

In the case of storage at 25 °C, biological activity slightly decreased for all protective formulations after 1 week of storage compared to the levels observed after the freezedrying stage ( $\Delta < 2.5\%$  in culturability and  $\Delta < 13.5\%$  in acidifying activity). In addition, no statistical differences were observed among the different protective formulations (Online Resource 1 and 2). After 3 weeks of storage at 37 °C, biological activity abruptly decreased for all protective formulations as compared to that observed after the freezedrying stage ( $\Delta < 16.5\%$  in culturability and  $\Delta < 52.5\%$  in acidifying activity), and GOS extracts' formulations were significantly better cell protectants than SUC's and FOS' (Online Resource 1 and 2). During storage, the culturability of freeze-dried bacteria tended to decrease. Water content, storage temperature, and exposure to oxygen are some of the factors that can affect the viability of dried microorganisms. Low storage temperatures lead to the highest bacterial survival because in these conditions, chemical reactions responsible for cell damage are slowed down (Foerst et al., 2012; Shu et al., 2018). The biological activity of *L. plantarum* WCFS1 cells decreased with the increasing storage temperature (from 25 to 37 °C). The decrease in viability during storage of freeze-dried lactic acid bacteria has been related to membrane damage caused by lipid oxidation (Albadran et al., 2015; Santivarangkna et al., 2008; Savedboworn et al., 2019). However, the loss of membrane integrity and lipid oxidation are not the only detrimental reactions which can occur during storage; the drastic loss of culturability during

Table 3 Moisture content (%) of freeze-dried L. plantarum WCFS1 concentrates protected with six different protective formulations

Protective formulation	C-CW	C-BW	L-CW	L-BW	FOS	SUC
Moisture content (%)	$3.87 \pm 0.19$	$2.92 \pm 1.04$	$1.82 \pm 1.20$	$2.74 \pm 1.03$	$3.30 \pm 1.25$	$3.85 \pm 1.43$

Data represented are average and standard deviation of one to three biological replicates and at least two technical replicates. No statistical differences (at the 95% confidence level) were observed between all samples (six formulations) after freeze-drying. *C-CW* chickpea cooking water, *C-BW* chickpea boiling water, *L-CW* lentil cooking water, *L-BW* lentil boiling water, *FOS* fructo-oligosaccharide, *SUC* sucrose **Fig. 4** Culturability (log (CFU·mL<sup>-1</sup>)) of *L. plantarum* WCFS1 concentrates protected with six different protective formulations during 3 weeks of storage at 37 °C showing the absolute value of the slope of the linear regression (*IK*). C-CW, chickpea cooking water; C-BW, chickpea boiling water; L-CW, lentil cooking water; FOS, fructo-oligosaccharide; SUC, sucrose



storage at 37 °C of *L. salivarius* CECT5713 was associated with damage to proteins, nucleic acids, and peptidoglycans of the cell wall (Guerrero Sanchez et al., 2023).

With regard to the culturability results, the absolute slope (|K|) values were determined, based on the CFU·mL<sup>-1</sup> calculated during the 3 weeks of storage at 37 °C, as depicted in Fig. 4. The lowest |K| values were obtained for the protective formulations involving GOS-containing legume extracts, showcasing a higher protection of *L. plantarum* cells. The four GOS extract formulations provided the highest protection with similar results, with no statistical differences between legumes (chickpea and lentil) or treatments used to obtain the GOS extracts (cooking and boiling). In addition, no statistical differences between FOS and SUC samples were observed (Online Resource 1).

The acidifying activity results (Fig. 3) were in line with the culturability assays, in which the protective formulations involving FOS and SUC were confirmed to have conferred the lowest protection towards L. plantarum cells, by showing very pronounced losses of acidifying activity (with no statistical differences between the two samples). GOS extracts yielded the lowest dtpH 1.5 values, with the most successful protective formulation being L-BW, followed by C-BW, L-CW, and C-CW (Online Resource 2). L-BW and C-BW being the sources of the most successful protective formulations suggest that the boiling treatment used to obtain these GOS extracts is the preferred extraction procedure, providing equal or better results to the cooking counterparts but with less environmental impact, since the soaking of the seeds can be dismissed. The observed results may be explained by a combination of factors. In the present study, average values of T-Tg were as follows: 13.7 °C (C-CW),  $-4.0 \degree C (C-BW)$ ,  $-10.8 \degree C (L-CW)$ , and  $-3.2 \degree C$ (L-BW), as calculated from Tg values found in Table 1, with T = 37 °C, i.e., storage temperature. Examples of Tg curves obtained for each wastewater extract are shown in Online Resource 3. Tymczyszyn et al. (2012) obtained similar results of Tg for commercial GOS at 11% RH. All wastewaters obtained Tg above 37 °C (except for C-CW), which can be useful for these formulations to help the preservation of the bacterial structure (Oluwatosi, et al. 2022; Lee et al., 2020). Tg of commercial FOS is 46.92 °C, while Tg of sucrose is 40.28 °C (Table 1) (Martins et al., 2023; Romano et al., 2016), results that are similar to those obtained for the wastewaters. The Tg results of the present study contribute to the understanding of the correct preservation of the bacteria achieved by the use of legume wastewaters; however, they do not explain the differences observed in terms of culturability, with respect to the other protective samples. Some authors suggest that the presence of mono- and polysaccharides could be useful in protective formulations (Oluwatosi, et al. 2022; Romano et al., 2016, 2021). In previous work, starch was found in great amounts in the produced GOS extracts (as determined by HPLC-RI and colorimetric tests with iodine, Martins et al., 2023), whereas it is not present in neither the FOS nor SUC formulations (Martins et al., 2023). Li et al. (2016) used gelatinized starch for the entrapment of lactic acid bacteria, finding that this material protected against different conditions. The starch is not the most studied material for its protective and encapsulant properties. However, it would be interesting to evaluate the usefulness of this component in the wastewaters of legumes, since they constitute a big part of these foods. Polysaccharides have been shown to increase L. plantarum WCFS1's resistance to freeze-drying by improving cell membrane integrity synergistically with low molecular weight cryoprotectants (Wang et al., 2021). Jawan et al. (2022) also observed that combinations of cryoprotectants, including galactose and trehalose, increased the survivability of Lactobacillus delbrueckii ssp. lactis Gh1 during freeze-drying and storage at different temperatures. However, in the same study, the complex mixture of skim milk did not fare so well in the bacterial preservation. In contrast, Zayed and Roos (2004) reported that the stabilization of L. salivarius subsp. salivarius (UCC 500) was



**Fig. 5** PCA performed using the culturability, acidifying activity, and pH data of the samples after 3 weeks in storage at 37 °C. C-CW, chickpea cooking water; C-BW, chickpea boiling water; L-CW, lentil cooking water; L-BW, lentil boiling water; FOS. fructo-oligosaccharide; SUC, sucrose

enhanced by the combination of various cryoprotective compounds, such as the skim milk mixture. The protective effect in that case was attributed to skim milk containing buffering agents such as proteins and minerals, besides cryoprotective compounds. These compounds could possibly also be found in GOS extracts from the lixiviation of the legumes during soaking and cooking (Serventi, 2020), which may explain the higher pH values observed during the whole production process, including storage (Table 2). Principal component analysis (PCA) was performed with Python software using the culturability, acidifying activity, and pH data of the samples after 3 weeks in storage at 37 °C. The results show the separation of two groups along the PC1 axis, one with the GOS wastewaters and another with FOS and SUC (Fig. 5). This separation is influenced by the pH of the protective formulations and explains the wastewater effectiveness in protecting the microorganisms in the accelerated storage test.

GOS are composed of a variable number of galactose units, within 2 and 10. The type of linkage between units varies according to their origin and obtaining process. Plantbased GOS are  $\alpha$ -GOS and are important components of seeds. The prebiotic effect of  $\alpha$ -GOS is mainly associated with tri- and tetrasaccharides (Martins et al., 2019). In addition to the prebiotic properties, the ability of GOS to act as protectants has been reported. In this work, the four GOScontaining wastewater legume extracts appeared as better protective formulations than fructo-oligosaccharides and sucrose for stabilizing *L. plantarum* WCFS1 during storage at 37 °C (Figs. 3 and 4). *L. plantarum* CIDCA 83114 spraydried with protective formulations containing GOS and maltodextrin allowed the recovery of 93% microorganisms; in contrast, only 64% microorganisms were recovered when no GOS were included in the formulation (Sosa et al., 2016). Lactobacillus delbrueckii subsp. bulgaricus CFL1 protected with a formulation including C-BW wastewater exhibited a good resistance to freeze-drying and storage stages (Passot et al., 2024). Protective formulations with different GOS compositions were also demonstrated to be highly efficient in the preservation of L. delbrueckii ssp. bulgaricus CIDCA 333 both after freeze-drying and storage at 5 and 25 °C (Tymczyszyn et al., 2011). The efficiency of GOS as protectants could be related to their ability to immobilize cells in a glassy matrix, which reduces molecular mobility and consequently slows down degradation reactions, and to their enough disaccharides content, useful for stabilizing and thus preserving bacterial membranes (Passot et al., 2024; Torres et al., 2011; Tymczyszyn et al., 2012). In addition to their proven efficacy, the use of  $\alpha$ -GOS from legumes' wastewaters to stabilize probiotic cultures is attractive because they may be safely incorporated into food products without raising health concerns regarding lactose intolerance in consumers, as is the case of  $\beta$ -GOS that are obtained from lactose, or other milk-derived products (Jawan et al., 2022). According to the Global Aquafaba Market Outlook (2023 to 2033) report by Persistence Market Research (Persistence Market Research, 2023), in 2022, worldwide sales of aquafaba accounted for 38.8 million US\$, with an expected growth in CAGR between 2023 and 2033 of 13.1%. The main cause behind economic growth is the increasing interest in vegan products, namely egg substitutes. The report explains how aquafaba is set to become a viable alternative to egg products when preparing vegan versions of meringues, waffles, and pancakes. The environmental concerns, along with dietary tendencies and increased interest in functional foods, brew favorable conditions for the incorporation of GOS wastewaters and stabilized lactic acid bacteria in novel food products with health benefits.

#### Conclusions

In the present study, the stability of *Lactiplantibacillus plantarum* WCFS1 with the addition of different protective molecules (GOS extracts from chickpeas and lentils, fructo-oligosaccharides, and sucrose) was evaluated. The biological activity of the bacteria decreased after freezing and freeze-drying, with no influence of the protective molecule used. However, after storage at 37 °C for 3 weeks, wastewaters from legumes' preparation containing GOS were the most effective protective compounds towards *L. plantarum* WCFS1, proving the initial hypothesis correct. The culturability studies showed no significant differences between the legumes, or cooking procedures; however, the sample with the boiling wastewater obtained from cooking without soaking of lentils showed the best acidifying

activity. Our results suggest the boiling method is economically and environmentally advantageous, conferring the best protection for the lactic acid bacterium, with decreased costs and time, with the dismissal of the soaking procedure of the seeds. The protective capacity of the GOS wastewaters appears to be explained by a combination of physical-chemical factors intrinsic to these mixtures, namely their buffering capability, the increased Tg values, and the presence of the carbohydrates of various sizes, including the polysaccharide starch, in contrast with the reference materials used for comparison. The increased shelf-life of probiotic cultures is a serious concern, with economic and environmental impact. Prebiotic and probiotic mixtures' incorporation in food products could represent an interesting application for these substances, thus improving the consumers' health through their diet, besides nutraceutical supplementation.

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1007/s11947-024-03554-2.

Acknowledgements We would like to thank Biosearch S.A.U (a Kerry<sup>®</sup> company) for hosting GNM's secondment within the scope of the PREMIUM project and Stéphanie Passot from AgroParisTech and Sonia Campoy from Biosearch S.A.U (a Kerry<sup>®</sup> company) for helping us optimize some of the processes used. We would also like to thank Javier Lecot (CIDCA, CCT-CONICET) for his assistance with the DSC, and Diogo Freitas (UMa) for his help with the PCA analysis.

Author Contribution G.N.M, M.G.S, A.D.C, and S.C are responsible for the experimental work and methodology. G.N.M, M.G.S, and F.F did the conceptualization. G.N.M. and M.G.S. did the data curation and writing of the original draft. F.F, A.G.-Z, and P.C.C did the supervision, the review of the manuscript, and the funding acquisition.

Funding Open access funding provided by FCTIFCCN (b-on). This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under grant agreement No. 777657. This work was supported by the Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia (FCT) with Portuguese Government funds through the CQM Base Fund—UIDB/00674/2020 (https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.54499/ UIDB/00674/2020), Programmatic Fund—UIDP/00674/2020 (https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.54499/UIDP/00674/2020), and the Argentinean Agency for the Scientific Promotion (ANPCyT, PICT(2020)/0482). G.N.M received a Ph.D. scholarship from FCT (Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia) - UI/BD/152066/2021 (https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.54499/UI/BD/152066/2021). A.D.C received a Ph.D. scholarship from CONICET (Argentinean Research Council), and A.G.-Z is a member of the Research Career from CONI-CET. This work was also supported by ARDITI-Agência Regional para o Desenvolvimento da Investigação Tecnologia e Inovaçãothrough funds fromRegião Autónoma da Madeira-Governo Regional.

**Data Availability** The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

#### Declarations

Competing Interest The authors declare no competing interests.

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

#### References

- Albadran, H. A., Chatzifragkou, A., Khutoryanskiy, V. V., & Charalampopoulos, D. (2015). Stability of probiotic *Lactobacillus plantarum* in dry microcapsules under accelerated storage conditions. *Food Research International*, 74, 208–216. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.foodres.2015.05.016
- Béal, C., & Fonseca, F. (2015). Freezing of probiotic bacteria. In P. Foerst & C. Santivarangkna (Eds.), Advances in probiotic technology (pp. 179–212). CRC Press. https://doi.org/10.1201/ b18807-14
- Behera, S. S., Ray, R. C., & Zdolec, N. (2018). Lactobacillus plantarum with functional properties: An approach to increase safety and shelf-life of fermented foods. BioMed Research International, 2018, 1–18. https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/9361614
- Broeckx, G., Vandenheuvel, D., Claes, I. J. J., Lebeer, S., & Kiekens, F. (2016). Drying techniques of probiotic bacteria as an important step towards the development of novel pharmabiotics. *International Journal of Pharmaceutics*, 505(1–2), 303–318. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpharm.2016.04.002
- Carboni, A. D., Martins, G. N., Gómez-Zavaglia, A., & Castilho, P. C. (2023). Lactic acid bacteria in the production of traditional fermented foods and beverages of Latin America. *Fermentation*, 9(4), 315. https://doi.org/10.3390/fermentation9040315
- Chen, B., Wang, X., Li, P., Feng, X., Mao, Z., Wei, J., Lin, X., Li, X., & Wang, L. (2023). Exploring the protective effects of freezedried *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* under optimized cryoprotectants formulation. *LWT*, 173, 114295. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt. 2022.114295
- Chigwedere, C. M., Njoroge, D. M., Van Loey, A. M., & Hendrickx, M. E. (2019). Understanding the relations among the storage, soaking, and cooking behavior of pulses: A scientific basis for innovations in sustainable foods for the future. *Comprehensive Reviews in Food Science and Food Safety*, 18(4), 1135–1165. https://doi.org/10.1111/1541-4337.12461
- Crowe, J. H., Crowe, L. M., Carpenter, J. F., Rudolph, A., Wistrom, C., Spargo, B., & Anchordoguy, T. (1988). Interactions of sugars with membranes. *Biochimica Et Biophysica Acta*, 947(2), 367–384. https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-4157(88)90015-9
- Echegaray, N., Yilmaz, B., Sharma, H., Kumar, M., Pateiro, M., Ozogul, F., & Lorenzo, J. M. (2023). A novel approach to *Lactiplantibacillus plantarum*: From probiotic properties to the omics insights. *Microbiological Research*, 268, 127289. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.micres.2022.127289
- FAOSTAT (2023). Lentils production in world. Accessed on July 31, 2024. https://www.helgilibrary.com/indicators/lentils-production/world/
- Foerst, P., Kulozik, U., Schmitt, M., Bauer, S., & Santivarangkna, C. (2012). Storage stability of vacuum-dried probiotic bacterium

Lactobacillus paracasei F19. Food and Bioproducts Processing, 90(2), 295–300. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fbp.2011.06.004

- Fonseca, F., Cenard, S., & Passot, S. (2015). Freeze-drying of lactic acid bacteria. In W. F. Wolkers & H. Oldenhof (Eds.), Cryopreservation and Freeze-Drying Protocols (Vol. 1257, pp. 477–488). Springer New York. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4939-2193-5\_24
- Gagneten, M., Passot, S., Cenard, S., Ghorbal, S., Schebor, C., & Fonseca, F. (2024). Mechanistic study of the differences in lactic acid bacteria resistance to freeze-or spray-drying and storage. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 108(1), 1–16. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-024-13186-3
- Garcia-Gonzalez, N., Battista, N., Prete, R., & Corsetti, A. (2021). Health-promoting role of *Lactiplantibacillus plantarum* isolated from fermented foods. *Microorganisms*, 9(2), 349. https://doi. org/10.3390/microorganisms9020349
- Gibson, G. R., Hutkins, R., Sanders, M. E., Prescott, S. L., Reimer, R. A., Salminen, S. J., Scott, K., Stanton, C., Swanson, K. S., Cani, P. D., Verbeke, K., & Reid, G. (2017). Expert consensus document: The International Scientific Association for Probiotics and Prebiotics (ISAPP) consensus statement on the definition and scope of prebiotics. *Nature Reviews Gastroenterology* & *Hepatology*, 14(8), 491–502. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrgas tro.2017.75
- Golowczyc, M., Vera, C., Santos, M., Guerrero, C., Carasi, P., Illanes, A., Gómez-Zavaglia, A., & Tymczyszyn, E. (2013). Use of whey permeate containing in situ synthesised galacto-oligosaccharides for the growth and preservation of *Lactobacillus plantarum*. *Journal of Dairy Research*, 80(3), 374–381. https://doi.org/10.1017/ S0022029913000356
- Guerrero Sanchez, M., Passot, S., Ghorbal, S., Campoy, S., Olivares, M., & Fonseca, F. (2023). Insights into the mechanisms of *L.* salivarius CECT5713 resistance to freeze-dried storage. *Cryobiol*ogy, 112, 104556. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cryobiol.2023.104556
- Guerrero Sanchez, M., Passot, S., Campoy, S., Olivares, M., & Fonseca, F. (2022). Effect of protective agents on the storage stability of freeze-dried *Ligilactobacillus salivarius* CECT5713. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 106(21), 7235–7249. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s00253-022-12201-9
- Hippolite, L. R., Feng, Z., Zhang, Y., Lee, S. J., & Serventi, L. (2023). Sensory quality of upcycled legume water: Expectation vs. reality. *Frontiers in Food Science and Technology*, 3, 1143371. https:// doi.org/10.3389/frfst.2023.1143371
- Huang, S., Liu, Y., Zhang, W., Dale, K. J., Liu, S., Zhu, J., & Serventi, L. (2018). Composition of legume soaking water and emulsifying properties in gluten-free bread. *Food Science and Technol*ogy International, 24(3), 232–241. https://doi.org/10.1177/10820 13217744903
- Jawan, R., Abbasiliasi, S., Tan, J., Kapri, M., Mustafa, S., Halim, M., & Ariff, A. (2022). Influence of type and concentration of lyoprotectants, storage temperature and storage duration on cell viability and antibacterial activity of freeze-dried lactic acid bacterium, *Lactococcus lactis* Gh1. Drying Technology, 1–17. https://doi.org/ 10.1080/07373937.2021.1874968
- Johnson, N., Johnson, C. R., Thavarajah, P., Kumar, S., & Thavarajah, D. (2020). The roles and potential of lentil prebiotic carbohydrates in human and plant health. *Plants People Planet*, 2(4), 310–319. https://doi.org/10.1002/ppp3.10103
- Jukanti, A. K., Gaur, P. M., Gowda, C. L. L., & Chibbar, R. N. (2012). Nutritional quality and health benefits of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.): A review. *British Journal of Nutrition*, 108(S1), S11–S26. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007114512000797
- Lee, K., Shoda, M., Kawai, K., & Kosebi, S. (2020). Relationship between glass transition temperature, and desiccation and heat tolerance in *Salmonella enterica*. *PLoS ONE*, *15*(5), e0233638. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0233638

- Madurapperumage, A., Tang, L., Thavarajah, P., Bridges, W., Shipe, E., Vandemark, G., & Thavarajah, D. (2021). Chickpea (*Cicer* arietinum L.) as a source of essential fatty acids – A biofortification approach. Frontiers in Plant Science, 12, 734980. https://doi. org/10.3389/fpls.2021.734980
- Maphosa, Y., & Jideani, V. A. The role of legumes in human nutrition. (2017). Functional Food - Improve Health Through Adequate Food, 13. https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.69127
- Market Research Future. (2024). Legumes market research report information by source (lentils, beans, peas, and others), by category (conventional and organic) and by region (North America, Europe, Asia-Pacific, And Rest Of The World). Accessed on July 31, 2024. https://www.marketresearchfuture.com/reports/legum es-market-8254
- Martins, G. N., Ureta, M. M., Tymczyszyn, E. E., Castilho, P. C., & Gomez-Zavaglia, A. (2019). Technological aspects of the production of fructo and galacto-oligosaccharides. Enzymatic synthesis and hydrolysis. *Frontiers in Nutrition*, 6, 78. https://doi.org/10. 3389/fnut.2019.00078
- Martins, G. N., Carboni, A. D., Hugo, A. A., Castilho, P. C., & Gómez-Zavaglia, A. (2023). Chickpeas' and lentils' soaking and cooking wastewaters repurposed for growing lactic acid bacteria. *Foods*, *12*(12), 2324. https://doi.org/10.3390/foods121222324
- Munthali, J., Nkhata, S. G., Masamba, K., Mguntha, T., Fungo, R., & Chirwa, R. (2022). Soaking beans for 12 h reduces split percent and cooking time regardless of type of water used for cooking. *Heliyon*, 8(9), e10561. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2022. e10561
- Mustafa, R., He, Y., Shim, Y. Y., & Reaney, M. J. T. (2018). Aquafaba, wastewater from chickpea canning, functions as an egg replacer in sponge cake. *International Journal of Food Science & Technol*ogy, 53(10), 2247–2255. https://doi.org/10.1111/ijfs.13813
- Ninou, E., Papathanasiou, F., Vlachostergios, D. N., Mylonas, I., Kargiotidou, A., Pankou, C., Papadopoulos, I., Sinapidou, E., & Tokatlidis, I. (2019). Intense breeding within lentil landraces for high-yielding pure lines sustained the seed quality characteristics. *Agriculture*, 9(8), 175. https://doi.org/10.3390/agriculture9080175
- Njoumi, S., Josephe Amiot, M., Rochette, I., Bellagha, S., & Mouquet-Rivier, C. (2019). Soaking and cooking modify the alpha-galactooligosaccharide and dietary fibre content in five Mediterranean legumes. *International Journal of Food Sciences and Nutrition*, 70(5), 551–561. https://doi.org/10.1080/09637486.2018.1544229
- Oluwatosin, S. O., Tai, L., & S., & Fagan-Endres, M. A. (2022). Sucrose, maltodextrin and inulin efficacy as cryoprotectant, preservative and prebiotic-towards a freeze dried Lactobacillus plantarum topical probiotic. *Biotechnology Reports*, 33, e00696. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.btre.2021.e00696
- Passot, S., Cenard, S., Lieben, P., Ghorbal, S., Martins, G. N., Castilho, P. C., & Fonseca, F. (2024). Chickpeas' cooking wastewater as an alternative source of galacto-oligosaccharides for improving the freeze-dried resistance of lactic acid bacteria. ACS Food Science & Technology, acsfoodscitech.4c00120. https://doi.org/10.1021/ acsfoodscitech.4c00120
- Passot, S., Cenard, S., Douania, I., Trelea, I. C., & Fonseca, F. (2012). Critical water activity and amorphous state for optimal preservation of lyophilised lactic acid bacteria. *Food Chemistry*, 132(4), 1699–1705. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2011.06.012
- Pereira, P. R., & E., Silva Da Graça, J., Manfrinato Ferreira, B., Fasura Balthazar, C., Xavier-Santos, D., França Bezerril, F., Magnani, M., & Sant'Ana, A. S. (2024). What are the main obstacles to turning foods healthier through probiotics incorporation? A review of functionalization of foods by probiotics and bioactive metabolites. *Food Research International*, *176*, 113785. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.foodres.2023.113785

- Persistence Market Research. (2023). Global aquafaba market outlook (2023 to 2033). Accessed on July 31, 2024. https://www.persi stencemarketresearch.com/market-research/aquafaba-market.asp
- Romano, N. Marro, M., Marsal, M., Loza-Álvarez, P., Gomez-Zavaglia, A. (2021). Fructose derived oligosaccharides prevent lipid membrane destabilization and DNA conformational alterations during vacuum-drying of Lactobacillus delbrueckii subsp. bulgaricus. Food Research International 143: 110235. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.foodres.2021.110235
- Romano, N., Schebor, C., Mobili, P., & Gomez-Zavaglia, A. (2016). Role of mono- and oligosaccharides from FOS as stabilizing agents during freeze-drying and storage of *Lactobacillus delbrueckii* subsp. *Bulgaricus. Food Research International*, 90, 251–258. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2016.11.003
- Saarela, M. H., Alakomi, H., Puhakka, A., & Mättö, J. (2009). Effect of the fermentation pH on the storage stability of *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* preparations and suitability of *in vitro* analyses of cell physiological functions to predict it. *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, *106*(4), 1204–1212. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2672. 2008.04089.x
- Santivarangkna, C., Kulozik, U., & Foerst, P. (2008). Inactivation mechanisms of lactic acid starter cultures preserved by drying processes. *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, 105(1), 1–13. https:// doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2672.2008.03744.x
- Savedboworn, W., Kerdwan, N., Sakorn, A., Charoen, R., Tipkanon, S., & Pattayakorn, K. (2017). Role of protective agents on the viability of probiotic *Lactobacillus plantarum* during freeze drying and subsequent storage. *International Food Research Journal*, 24(2), 787–794.
- Savedboworn, W., Teawsomboonkit, K., Surichay, S., Riansa-ngawong, W., Rittisak, S., Charoen, R., & Phattayakorn, K. (2019). Impact of protectants on the storage stability of freeze-dried probiotic *Lactobacillus plantarum. Food Science and Biotechnology*, 28(3), 795–805. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10068-018-0523-x
- Serventi, L. (2020). Upcycling legume water: From wastewater to food ingredients. Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10. 1007/978-3-030-42468-8
- Serventi, L., Wang, S., Zhu, J., Liu, S., & Fei, F. (2018). Cooking water of yellow soybeans as emulsifier in gluten-free crackers. *European Food Research and Technology*, 244(12), 2141–2148. https://doi. org/10.1007/s00217-018-3122-4
- Shahbandeh, M. (2024). Volume of chickpeas produced worldwide 2022, by country. Accessed on July 31, 2024. https://www.stati sta.com/statistics/722203/chickpeas-production-volume-by-count ry-worldwide/
- Sharma, N., Sahu, J. K., Joshi, S., Khubber, S., Bansal, V., Bhardwaj, A., Bangar, S. P., & y Bal, L. M. (2022). Modulation of lentil antinutritional properties using non-thermal mediated processing techniques–A review. *Journal of Food Composition and Analysis*, *109*(3), 104498. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfca.2022.104498
- Shu, G., Wang, Z., Chen, L., Wan, H., & Chen, H. (2018). Characterization of freeze-dried Lactobacillus acidophilus in goat milk powder and tablet: Optimization of the composite cryoprotectants and evaluation of storage stability at different temperature. *LWT*, 90, 70–76. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2017.12.013
- Siddiq, M., Uebersax, M. A., & Siddiq, F. (2022). Global production, trade, processing and nutritional profile of dry beans and other

pulses. In M. Siddiq & M. A. Uebersax (Eds.), *Dry Beans and Pulses* (1st ed., pp. 1–28). Wiley. https://doi.org/10.1002/97811 19776802.ch1

- Sidhu, J., Zafar, T., Benyathiar, P., & Nasir, M. (2022). Production, processing, and nutritional profile of chickpeas and lentils. In *Dry beans and pulses: Production, processing, and nutrition* (pp. 383–407). https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119776802.ch15
- Sosa, N., Gerbino, E., Golowczyc, M., Schebor, C., Gomez Zavaglia, A., & Tymczyszyn, E. (2016). Effect of galacto-oligosaccharides: Maltodextrin matrices on the recovery of *Lactobacillus plantarum* after spray-drying. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 3(7), 584. https:// doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2016.00584
- Spinnler, H., & Corrieu, G. (1989). Automatic method to quantify starter activity based on pH measurement. *Journal of Dairy Sci*ence, 56, 755–764. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022029900029332
- Stantiall, S. E., Dale, K. J., Calizo, F. S., & Serventi, L. (2018). Application of pulses cooking water as functional ingredients: The foaming and gelling abilities. *European Food Research* and Technology, 244(1), 97–104. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00217-017-2943-x
- Torres, D. P. M., Bastos, M., Gonçalves, M. D. P. F., Teixeira, J. A., & Rodrigues, L. R. (2011). Water sorption and plasticization of an amorphous galacto-oligosaccharide mixture. *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 83(2), 831–835. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2010.08. 063
- Tripathi, M. K., & Giri, S. K. (2014). Probiotic functional foods: Survival of probiotics during processing and storage. *Journal of Functional Foods*, 9, 225–241. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jff.2014. 04.030
- Tymczyszyn, E. E., Gerbino, E., Illanes, A., & Gómez-Zavaglia, A. (2011). Galacto-oligosaccharides as protective molecules in the preservation of *Lactobacillus delbrueckii subsp. Bulgaricus*. *Cryobiology*, 62(2), 123–129. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cryobiol. 2011.01.013
- Tymczyszyn, E. E., Sosa, N., Gerbino, E., Hugo, A., Gómez-Zavaglia, A., & Schebor, C. (2012). Effect of physical properties on the stability of *Lactobacillus bulgaricus* in a freeze-dried galactooligosaccharides matrix. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 155(3), 217–221. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro. 2012.02.008
- Wang, G., Luo, L., Dong, C., Zheng, X., Guo, B., Xia, Y., Tao, L., & Ai, L. (2021). Polysaccharides can improve the survival of *Lactiplantibacillus plantarum* subjected to freeze-drying. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 104(3), 2606–2614. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds. 2020-19110
- Zayed, G., & Roos, Y. H. (2004). Influence of trehalose and moisture content on survival of *Lactobacillus salivarius* subjected to freeze-drying and storage. *Process Biochemistry*, 39(9), 1081– 1086. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0032-9592(03)00222-X

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.