



**HAL**  
open science

# The Fire of India: Poetics, Translation and Imitation in the Indian maṣnavīs of ʿĀqil Khān ‘Rāzī’

Victor Baptiste

► **To cite this version:**

Victor Baptiste. The Fire of India: Poetics, Translation and Imitation in the Indian maṣnavīs of ʿĀqil Khān ‘Rāzī’. *Annali di Ca’ Foscari. Serie orientale*, 2024, 60 (1), pp.139-170. 10.30687/AnnOr/2385-3042/2024/01/007 . hal-04672665

**HAL Id: hal-04672665**

**<https://hal.science/hal-04672665v1>**

Submitted on 19 Aug 2024

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L’archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d’enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

# The Fire of India Poetics, Translation and Imitation in the Indian *Maṣnavīs* of 'Āqil Khān 'Rāzī'

Victor Baptiste

École Pratique des Hautes Études (EPHE), France

**Abstract** 'Āqil Khān 'Rāzī' (c. 1026-1108 AH, c. 1617-1696 AD) is a seventeenth-century Indo-Persian poet, historian and statesman who authored a *dīvān*, some mystical treatises, a historical chronicle and a number of *maṣnavīs*. This paper focuses on two of his *maṣnavīs*, *Mihr-u māh* (1065 AH, 1654/55 AD) and *Sham'-u parvāna* (1064 AH, 1658/59 AD), which are adaptations/translations in Persian of two Neo-Indo-Aryan narrative poems written in Awadhī during the sixteenth century. The aim is to understand how 'Āqil Khān adapted/translated these two South Asian texts in Persian. In order to achieve this objective, this study concentrates on the imagery of fire in the prologues and in the conclusions of the compositions. The intertextual elements are analysed, unveiling the literary process devised by 'Āqil Khān 'Rāzī' to compose a complex layered text that could be enjoyed by the multilingual readership of seventeenth-century South Asia.

**Keywords** Persian. Persian literature. Indo-Persian literature. Neo-Indo-Aryan literatures. Mughal Empire. South Asia. Seventeenth century.

**Summary** 1 Introduction. – 1.1 Literary Imitation and Creation in Classical Persian Literature. – 1.2 'Āqil Khān 'Rāzī': His Life and Work. – 2 'Āqil Khān's Persian Adaptations: The Building of a Literary Tradition and the Imagery of the *Satī* in Classical Persian Poetry. – 2.1 The Literary Field of Indo-Persian Adaptations of Hindavi Romances: The Creation of a Genre. – 2.2 'Āqil Khān 'Rāzī' and the Imagery of the *Satī* in the Indo-Persian Literary Tradition of the Sultanate Period: Between Persian and Vernacular Literatures. – 2.3 The *Satī* in 'Āqil Khān's *Maṣnavīs* and Poetic Modernity. – 2.4 *Satī* and Burning Passions: Love and Self-destruction in the Vernacular Intertext of *The Sun and the Moon* (*Mihr-u māh*). – 3 India and Fire: The Importance of Faiḏiān and His Quest for Poetic Novelty in India. – 3.1 India and Its Warm Climate: A Poetic Conceit. – 3.2 India as the Future of Poetry. – 3.3 The Pen-Identity of 'Āqil Khān 'Rāzī': A Synthesis of the Indo-Persian Literary Repertoire. – 4 Conclusion.



## Peer review

Submitted 2024-03-12  
Accepted 2024-06-04  
Published 2024-07-25

## Open access

© 2024 Baptiste | © 4.0



**Citation** Baptiste, V. (2024). "The Fire of India". *Annali di Ca' Foscari. Serie orientale*, 60, 139-170.

Si vous voulez, je peux définir mon écriture comme une espèce de parcours – il y a une très, très belle phrase de Michaux qui dit “J’écris pour me parcourir” –, comme une espèce de parcours, une espèce d’itinéraire que j’essaie de décrire à partir, disons, d’une idée vague, d’un sentiment, d’une irritation, d’un refus, d’une exaltation, en me servant, non pas de tout ce qui me tombe sous la main, mais d’un acquis culturel qui existe déjà. À partir de là, j’essaie, si vous voulez, de dire tout ce que l’on peut dire sur le thème d’où je suis parti. C’est ce que les rhétoriciens appelaient les lieux rhétoriques.

(Georges Perec, *Conférence sur les pouvoirs et limites du romancier français contemporain*, 1967)

## 1 Introduction

In this passage from a speech given at the University of Warwick in 1967, the great novelist and poet Georges Perec (1936-1982) attempts to define his relationship with writing and literary creation. He describes it as a process (a ‘path’) that stems from a feeling but matures only, in the writing process, through reference to a given cultural tradition and to the established literary *loci* or themes (*lieux rhétoriques*) that he tries to expand upon. If we invert the process, it means that, in order to understand the place of a text in literary terms (that is, the literary project of the author), one has to understand the other, older texts that it borrows from, engages with and refers to.

Classical Persian poetry does not differ in that respect: every Persian composition exists in a network of literary interactions that we can unweave following the threads of the rhetorical *topoi* outlining the framework of the composition. Investigating this network allows us to fully grasp the context and the substance of a particular work. In that regard, scholars have repeatedly emphasised the importance of imitation (*istiqbāl*), rewriting (*naẓīra-gōʿī*) and literary response (*javāb-gōʿī*) in the classical Persian poetic milieu.<sup>1</sup> Such referential

---

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my two PhD supervisors, Prof. Nalini Balbir and Prof. Thibaut d’Hubert, who supported and keep supporting me greatly in my research. I would also like to warmly thank Sonya Rhie Mace who was kind enough to proof-read the draft of my article.

This article will rely on the transcription system used in the *Comprehensive Persian-English Dictionary* of Steingass (1892) with the following changes: ﺱ is transcribed s and not š; ﺽ, when *majhūl*, is transcribed ē and not e; ﺞ is transcribed v and not w and, when *majhūl*, is transcribed ō and not o (except when metrically short in words such as *tō/to* or *dō/do*). Neo-Indo-Aryan languages are transcribed in IAST. The schwa has been deleted when unpronounced.

**1** The preferred field of these studies is the ‘New Style’ (*ṭarḥ-i tāza* also called the ‘Indian Style’, *sabk-i hindī*) of Safavid-Mughal poetry: Losensky 1998; Akbarī 2000; Ḥāʾirī, Kalāntar 2012; Āzar 2008. However, many interesting studies were also produced for

devices are a mean for a poet to assert his position in a particular literary field, to pay homage to his predecessors and to attract praise and attention from his target readership. In this paper, I will attempt to locate the position in the Indo-Persian literary sphere of two seventeenth-century *masnavīs* written by ‘Āqil Khān ‘Rāzī’, being adaptations in Persian of romances originally composed in Hindavi,<sup>2</sup> using the elements of poetic referentiality scattered throughout the prologues and the conclusions of both poems.

## 1.1 Literary Imitation and Creation in Classical Persian Literature

This study is located in the scholarly framework of stylistics and literary history. The first concept that I will refer to is that of ‘style’ (*sabk*) as inaugurated by Muḥammad Taqī Bahār ‘Mālik ul-Shu‘arā’ (1943). This concept replaces, in the writing of modern Iranian scholars, the premodern concepts of *shēva*, *ṭarz* and *ravish* (words all referring to the style of a particular poet or school of poetry) since it adds to the traditional mode of analysis of literature the criteria of historicity. *Sabk* redefines style as a historical phenomenon and, as a result, *sabk-shināsī* ‘stylistics’, makes ample use of cultural studies and historical linguistics (Īrānzāda 2016).<sup>3</sup> Following this methodology, this study will try to focus on the particularities of ‘Āqil Khān’s style both on a personal level (choice of words and themes, use of compounds) and on a broader historical level (Mughal-era Indo-Persian literature).

The core concept on which this study relies on, however, is that of poetic imitation in the context of classical Persian literature. The work of Marc Toutant on ‘Alī Shēr Navā’ī demonstrated that imitation plays a central role in Tīmūrid aesthetics (Toutant 2016) and, following the footsteps of senior scholars, I will attempt to demonstrate that imitation was similarly cultivated during the Mughal period. Riccardo Zipoli (1993) in a well-known article and Paul Losensky (1998, 107-13), in his work on Bābā Fighānī and his imitators, elaborate on a number of technical terms used by the classical poets and literary critics to refer to the poetic devices of imitation, emulation and response in the Persianate literary milieu. First, we have the word

---

other branches of classical Persian poetry: Zipoli 1993; Murshidī 2012; Toutant 2016, 245-314; Ingenito 2020.

**2** In this article, I will use this Persian word to refer to Central/Northern Neo-Indo-Aryan literary languages commonly used and cultivated at the Mughal central and provincial courts, such as Braj Bhāṣā, Awadhī or Deccanī.

**3** One of the most cutting-edge study on *sabk-shināsī* in that regard is most probably: Āqābābā’ī 2020.

*istiqbāl* (poetic imitation), which is intended as a tribute or a response to a revered model. Then come the very important terms *mu'āraza* and *tatabbu'*, usually meaning a poetic response to an earlier poem in the same meter and in which rivalry plays an important part. The word *javāb-gō'ī* (a literary answer or response) implies a more peaceful relationship to the model whereas *naẓīra-gō'ī* and *iqtidā'* are general, neutral designations for the practice of *imitatio*.

In this paper, I will further argue that *tarjuma* (adaptation/translation) is also an integral part of the poetics of *istiqbāl* and holds a special place in the multilingual South Asian world. Successfully identifying these devices will allow us to grasp the literary tradition in which we have to locate 'Āqil Khān 'Rāzī's *maṣnavīs*. In order to do so, I will focus on the imagery of fire and burning as it appears in the two poems, mainly in their prologues and in their conclusions.<sup>4</sup> Fire plays a decisive part in the Indo-Persian poetics of the sixteenth and seventeenth century (Sharma 2010) and this intricate fire imagery, through the practice of imitation, reuse, reference and adaptation, made its way into the poetry of later authors, such as Mīrzā Asadullāh 'Ghālib' (1797-1869) (Schimmel 2007, 62-95). This study thus aims at bringing a contribution to the history of the evolution and transformations of poetical *topoi* in Persian literature and their relationship with patronage, historical taste and poetic creativity.

## 1.2 'Āqil Khān 'Rāzī': His Life and Work

'Āqil Khān 'Rāzī's original name is Mīr 'Alī 'Askarī Khwāfī (Ārzū 2006, 84). He is the son of Muḥammad Taqī and the grandson of Muḥammad Qāsim al-Khwāfī.<sup>5</sup> He was very probably born in India and he himself greatly insists on his Iranian origins, as his family hailed from the city of Khwāf in Khurāsān.<sup>6</sup> He died in 1696<sup>7</sup> and lived for 82 years ('Āmir 2005, 20). We can thus infer that he was born around 1617, during the reign of Nūr ul-Dīn Muḥammad Salīm 'Jahāngīr' (r. 1605-27). He was

<sup>4</sup> In order to grasp, as faithfully as possible, the content of the literary project of 'Āqil Khān 'Rāzī' (its roots and its possible outcomes in later literature), this paper focuses mostly on the prologues and on the concluding remarks of the poems, since it is the space *par excellence* in which Persian *maṣnavī* composers present their references and sources of inspiration, the core imagery of the text and their literary intentions.

<sup>5</sup> Oxford, Bodleian Library, *Samarāt ul-ḥayāt*, f. 1v.

<sup>6</sup> See for example this *bait* from Rāzī's *Mīhr-u māh* (Rāzī 2010, 44):

*ba hindustān agar hastam sukhan-bāf | tār-u pūdam hast dar Khwāf*  
Although I compose poetry in India, my warp and weft are in Khwāf.

<sup>7</sup> *Rabī' ul-ṣānī* 1108 AH. 'Āmir 2005, 21. M.A. 'Āmir explains that it is also claimed in some sources that he died in 1107 AH. His monography on 'Āqil Khān is very good. Another very helpful work on Rāzī is the book of Jāvéd (1999).

the disciple of Shaikh Burhān ul-Dīn ‘Rāz-i Ilāhī’ of the Shattārī order (1589/90-1672/73) and collected his discourses in a compilation entitled *Samarāt ul-ḥayāt* (1643-44).<sup>8</sup> The *takhalluṣ* of Mīr ‘Alī ‘Askarī, ‘Rāzī’, is a reference to his Shaikh’s second name: ‘Rāz-i Ilāhī’.

In his youth, he was a member of the personal entourage of Muḥī ul-Dīn Aurangzēb, the future emperor ‘Ālamgīr (r. 1658-1707) while he was in the Deccan (Shāh Navāz Khān 1890, 821).<sup>9</sup> He composed his first *masnavī*, *The Sun and the Moon* (*Mihr-u māh*), in 1654-55 (‘Āmir 2005, 68). This is a Persian rendition of Mīr Mañjhan Rājgīrī’s *Madhumālātī*, a romance originally written in Awadhī in 1545 and was most probably composed in Burhānpūr or in the newly renamed city of Aurangābād.<sup>10</sup> When Aurangzēb acceded to the throne in 1658, Mīr ‘Alī ‘Askarī received the title of ‘Intelligent Khan’ (‘Āqil Khān) and a promotion (Shāh Navāz Khān 1890, 821). In the same year, he composed *The Candle and the Moth* (*Sham’-u parvāna*), his second *masnavī*. This is a Persian rendition of another Awadhī romance, Malik Muḥammad Jāysi’s *Padmāvat*, written in 1540.<sup>11</sup> During his life, ‘Āqil Khān held varied positions in Mughal administration. In 1663-64 he was employed as a ‘police’ officer (*faujdar-i Dō’āb*) in Awadh; then, he worked as superintendent of the private apartments of the emperor (*dārōgha-yi ghuslkhāna*, 1658-59), general superintendent of the postal service (*dārōgha-yi ḍākchaukī*, 1666-67), military administrator (*bakhshī-yi tan*), and finally governor of Delhi (*ṣūbadār-i Shāhjahānābād*, 1680-81) (‘Āmir 2005, 25-32).

‘Āqil Khān ‘Rāzī’ composed a number of other works in the fields of History (Rāzī 1936), Mystics and Poetry, including a fully edited *dīvān* (Rāzī 2010). Many premodern and modern scholars have noted the similarities of his style with that of Maulānā Rūmī, Niẓāmī or Sa’dī and his remoteness from the more contemporary and intricate Indian style (Ārzū 2006, 84-5; Rāzī 2010, 17-28). I will now examine these claims more in depth.

<sup>8</sup> On this figure, see Burhānpūrī 1951. Oxford, Bodleian Library, *Samarāt ul-ḥayāt*.

<sup>9</sup> He was, more precisely, the *bakhshigārī-yi duvvum* (second superintendant for the wages) of the Prince.

<sup>10</sup> For a critical edition of the work, see Mañjhan 1961. For an English translation see Behl, Weightman 2001.

<sup>11</sup> Critical edition: Jāysi 1951.

## 2 'Āqil Khān's Persian Adaptations: The Building of a Literary Tradition and the Imagery of the *Satī* in Classical Persian Poetry

### 2.1 The Literary Field of Indo-Persian Adaptations of Hindavi Romances: The Creation of a Genre

The literary context in which we have to locate Rāzī's two *masnavīs* is that of the Persian renditions of South Asian tales ('Ābidī 1966) and, more precisely, of the Persian adaptations of vernacular Awadhī romances.<sup>12</sup> The lost translation of the *Candāyan* (an Awadhī romance written by Maulānā Dā'ūd in 1379) by the Chishtī Shaikh 'Abd ul-Quddūs Gangōhī (1455-1537) (Behl, Doniger 2016, 62) and the *Rājkunvar*, a Persian translation of Quṭban Suhrawardī's *Mirigāvatī* composed for the young Prince Salīm in the beginning of the seventeenth century (Orsini 2017),<sup>13</sup> are two early examples of the genre. It is, however, *The Poem on Chastity* ('*Ismatnāma*, an adaptation of the *Candāyan* story) composed by Ḥamid Kalānaurī in 1607-08 that truly inaugurates the poetic framework in which 'Āqil Khān asserts himself (Kalānaurī 1985). Indeed, as Kalānaurī's *Poem on Chastity*, both *The Sun and the Moon* and *The Candle and the Moth*, are *masnavīs* in form and style that take considerable liberty with the original text. The storyline is frequently modified, some events are added, other suppressed, the name of some characters are altered (or erased) and the poetical imagery entirely conceptualised anew.

Another good example of this genre is the *Rat Padam* (1628-29) by Mullā Muḥammad Samī 'Abd ul-Shakūr Bazmī, a translation of *Padmāvat* (Bazmī 1971). In the prologue of the work, Bazmī gives us an important clue about the context in which such *masnavīs* were composed. He narrates the visit his father paid to him, and the piece of advice he gave him:

*guftī ghazal-u qaṣīda bisyār  
gū masnavī ba tāza guftār*

*'ishq-i Ratan-u Padam bayān kun  
afsāna ba pārsī zabān kun*

*dar jōsh bikun khum-i kuhan rā  
nau rang bidih may-i sukhan rā*  
(Bazmī 1971, 49)

<sup>12</sup> *Pemakathās* 'love tales', or *premagāthā/premākhyān* 'love poems', in Hindi literary criticism. Pandey 1982; Orsini 2017, 25-6.

<sup>13</sup> For more details on *Rājkunvar*, see Orsini 2023, 65-71.

You have composed many *ghazals*, many *qaṣīdas*,  
do compose a *maṣnavī* in the modern style,

Narrate the love of Ratan and Padam,  
tell the story in Persian.

Make this old pot boil again,  
add new colours to the wine of poetry.<sup>14</sup>

Bazmī's father's advice is to try out different forms in poetry: the adaptation in Persian of the story of *Padmāvat* is conceived, in that regard, as a fitting exercise.<sup>15</sup> In the case of Bazmī as in the case of Rāzī, the process of translation is indeed not merely understood as a simple literal and faithful translation, but as a full reproduction of the content of the original story into the framework of classical Persian poetry. Very often, this takes the form of an emulation of a revered model:

*chūn ḥarf-i Ratan shumār kardam*  
*Rat az Ratan ikhtiṣār kardam*

*z'ān rū ki miyān-i hindī ash'ār*  
*ma'nī-yi rat ast 'āshiq-i zār*

*ṣad shu'la ba khūn-i dil sirishtam*  
*tā nāma-yi Rat Padam nivishtam*  
(Bazmī 1971, 50)

When I scanned the word 'Ratan',  
I shortened Ratan to Rat,

Because, in poetic Hindī,  
the meaning of 'Rat' is afflicted lover.

I added a hundred sparkles to the blood of my heart  
to write the story of the Rat of Padam.

---

<sup>14</sup> Unless otherwise indicated, all translations are by the Author.

<sup>15</sup> To 'translate' or 'adapt' such Indian stories in Persian, to train one's pen must have been a rather frequent occurrence: Shaikh Aḥmad 'Alī Khān Hāshmi Sandilavī, speaking about 'Āqil Khān in his *tazkira*, sadly remarks that his Indian stories "were deficient in style and did not gain any fame" perhaps because "the composition of the stories happened during the first exercises of poetic writing" (*Chūn az faṣāḥat uftāda chandān shuhrat nayāfta. Shāyad dar avā'il-i mashq-i shī'r ba nazm āvardan-i ān qiṣṣahā vāqi' gashta*) (1970, 178).



Bazmī refers here to the Hindavī word *rat* (skt.: *rata* ‘root’: *ram*, *ramate*) meaning ‘enamoured, fondly attached to’ (Platts 1884). The title of Bazmī’s work is, grammatically, an Arabic title: *Rat Padam*[i]: “The Rat (the ‘mad lover’) of *Padam*”. It mirrors the title of the famous Arabic story of Lailā and Majnūn: *Majnūn Lailā*, “The Mad Lover of Lailā”.<sup>16</sup> Even more telling than this pun is the meter chosen for the poem: it is the same as the one selected by Niẓāmī for his famous Persian adaptation of the story (*hazaj-i musaddas-i akhr-ab-i maqbūz-i maḥzūf*). Here we have a clear case of poetic imitation and response to a revered original poem in the same meter. Bazmī is indulging here in an intertextual *exercice de style*: his aim is to give an ‘Indian’ rendition of a highly respected Persian piece of literature.

## 2.2 Āqil Khān ‘Rāzī’ and the Imagery of the *Satī* in the Indo-Persian Literary Tradition of the Sultanate Period: Between Persian and Vernacular Literatures

This literary scheme is extremely important for our topic: the practice of *istiqbāl* is a way for Indo-Persian poets to introduce Indian stories and themes inside the classical canon of Persian poetry. As Niẓāmī is, perhaps, the most revered and imitated Persian *masnavī* composer, many authors intentionally become his followers to emulate the literary tradition and assert their poetic personality. ‘Āqil Khān ‘Rāzī’ is, surely enough, located inside this scheme of referentiality going back to Niẓāmī. The meter he uses in *The Candle and the Moth* is the same as the one used by Niẓāmī in his masterpiece, *The Seven Portraits* (*Haft paikar*) (*khafīf-i musaddas-i makhbūn-i maqtū’*), and the meter of *The Sun and the Moon* is the same as the one selected by Niẓāmī for *Khusrau and Shīrīn* (*hazaj-i musaddas-i maqsūr*). Even if these two *masnavīs*, unlike the poem of Bazmī, are not real literary responses to the model of Niẓāmī, ‘Āqil Khān wishes to engage with the Persian classical canon in a number of ways. He says himself:

*sharar-i Hind dar dil andōzam*  
*z’ātish-i Fārs shu’la afrōzam*

*kōk sāzam ba pardā-yi ushshāq*  
*naghma-yi Hind bā navā-yi ‘Irāq*

---

**16** I cannot thank enough Pr. Thibaut d’Hubert for his help, as he was kind enough to share this intuition with me.

*ba khurāsān kunam zi Hind irsāl*  
*tuhfa-yi kārghāh-i ‘ishq-u jamāl*  
(Rāzī 2017, 94)

I gathered in my heart the sparks of India,  
I fanned the flames with the fire of the Fārs.

I tuned myself to the mode of love,  
I played the melody of India with the scale of Iraq.

I sent, from India to the Khurāsān,  
the wonders of the workshop of love and beauty.

The musical imagery that Rāzī summons here is extremely telling: his purpose is to tell an Indian story in the framework of classical Persian poetry. The theme is Indian, but the literary aspect is completely Persian. This passage also contains an important clue for our study: for ‘Āqil Khān, poetry, and especially poetry about India, is ‘fiery’. Speech is compared to fire and poetic inspiration to sparks. This is a fundamental element as it locates the poem inside a long poetic tradition associating India with heat, fire and burning. One of the first poet to associate India, fire and love stories is Amīr Khusrāu Dihlavī (1253-1325), himself a very famous imitator of Niẓāmī.<sup>17</sup> The practice of *satī* (ritual burning of a wife on the pyre of her deceased husband) is, for example, the key theme of this extract:

*na’ī kam z’ān zan-i hindū dar īn kūy*  
*ki khwud rā zinda sōzad bar pay-i shūy*  
(Khusrāu 1927, 32)

You are not inferior in this town to the Hindu woman,  
who burns herself alive to follow her husband.

The definitive introduction of the literary motif of *satī* is, however, the deed of another Indo-Persian poet, a famous friend of Amīr Khusrāu: Ḥasan Sijzī Dihlālvi (1253/54-c. 1388). Indeed, he authored a *masnavī* entitled *A Poem on Love (‘Ishqnāma)* in 1301, set in the almost contemporary context of the court of the son of Ghiyās ul-Dīn Balban, Malik Muḥammad Qa’ān (who died in 1285), and telling the story of an Indian scribe and an Indian girl (Gould 2021; Dukht Mashhūr 2010). The story culminates with the death of the lover on the pyre of his beloved, taken away from him by a disease. The whole poem is a response to *Lailī and Majnūn* of Niẓāmī and contains numerous

---

<sup>17</sup> On this subject, see Ashraf, Muẓāhirī, Ibrāhīmī 1990 and Murshidī 2012.

references to the great Persian *masnavī*. *A Poem on Love* is extremely important because it established a new poetical theme. Therefrom, poets seeking to give an Indian flavour to their love stories regularly compose, or retell, Indian tales ending with both lovers dying together on a pyre. *Rat Padam* and *The Candle and the Moth* clearly assert themselves as members of this genre. Indeed, at the end of the *Padmāvat* story, the hero, Ratansen, is killed by the Sultān 'Alā ul-Dīn Khiljī and the death of his two wives, Padmāvati and Nāgmatī, takes place on his pyre. In the prologue of *The Candle and the Moth* (*Sham'-u Parvāna*) 'Āqil Khān refers to this fate:

*har do bā ham chigūna sākhta and  
jigar az sōz ham-gudākhta and*

*ātash-i dil chisān furōkhta and  
har do yak-dam chigūna sōkhta and*  
(Rāzī 2017, 91)

Verily, these two are made for each other!  
Their hearts were fused together by the same blaze.

The flames of their hearts reduced them to ashes,  
Indeed they both burned in the very same instant!

This passage allows us to appreciate how the literary theme of the Indian *satī* became a poetic subject ingeniously reused across centuries. Here, the 'burn' is, of course, that of the funerary pyre, but also refers to the burn of love. The flames become an image describing the intensity of the feelings of the lovers and their burning hearts. As a result, the culmination of the story is nothing but the material expression of their deeper spiritual bond. The verbs used in these two verses are quite telling in that regard. In the first verse we have *sākhtan* 'to form' and *gudākhtan* 'to melt, to intermingle', while in the second we have *furōkhtan* 'to kindle, to inflame' and *sōkhtan* 'to burn'. The Indian fire of the *satī* is a material bonding force that fuses together lover and beloved spiritually by taking away the corporal limitations preventing their souls from uniting. This poetic conceit interests Rāzī because it allows the conventional images associated with the pains of love, such as the burn of passion, the fire of the longing etc. to become actual 'physical' elements of the tale.<sup>18</sup>

---

**18** I must thank the Prof. Thibaut d'Hubert, who underlined how this 'concretised metaphor' appears sometimes in visual representations too. See, for example, London, British Library, *Pēm nēm* (add. 16880), f. 138r.

Even if, in the story of Manohar and Madhumālatī, the issue is happier, 'Āqil Khān still alludes to this pyre imagery in the prologue of *The Sun and the Moon*:

*ba Hindustān chi ātash shu'la afrōkht*  
*ki bā-ham 'āshiq-ū ma'shūq sōkht*<sup>19</sup>

In India, the sparks glitter in such a blaze  
that together it burns lover and beloved.

Now, if we briefly stray away from the prologues and take a look at the conclusions of the *maṣnavīs*, another literary layer of this *satī* imagery appears. Indeed, in *The Candle and the Moth*, Rāzī uses other referential devices based on the imagery of self-immolation to identify his composition as a retelling of the Awadhī *Padmāvāt*. This tribute paid to Jāysī is quite visible in the conclusion of the work, after the depiction of the killing of Ratansen by Devapāl and of the self-immolation of the women of Chittaurgarh, the fort and city-state of the hero:

*rāz bā*<sup>20</sup> *dar jahān ba rūy-i zamīn*  
*na Ratan mānd u na 'Alā' ul-Dīn*

*na Padam mānd u nay jamāl-i Padam*  
*burd bā khwud Ratan khayāl-i Padam*

*laik az 'ishq dāstānē mānd*  
*zān vafā-pēshgān nishānē mānd*  
(Rāzī 2017, 243)

Let the secret be known in the world on the surface of the earth:  
neither Ratan nor 'Alā' ul-Dīn remained,

Neither Padam nor her beauty subsisted.  
Ratan took with him the thought of Padam.

But, of love, one story remained,  
a sign of these faithful persons subsisted.

Malik Muḥammad Jāysī writes in his own conclusion:

---

**19** I am currently completing a critical edition of *Mīhr-u māh* based on several manuscripts. In this article, for convenience purposes, I will mostly refer to one manuscript: London, SOAS, *Mīhr-u māh*, f. 5r. When necessary, other manuscript references will be specified.

**20** I read *bā* as *bād[ā]* here.

*kahām ratanasena asa rājā  
kahām suvā asi budhi uparājā*

*kahām alāudīna sultatānū  
kahām rāghau jeim kīnha bakhānū*

*kahām sarūpa padumāvati rānī  
koi na rahā jaga rahī Kahānī  
(Jāysi 1951, 555, stanza 652)*

Where is Ratansen, such a [mighty] king?  
Where is the parrot who devised such intelligent thoughts?

Where is the Sultan 'Alā' ul-Dīn?  
Where is Rāghava who did the description [of the queen]?

Where is the beautiful queen Padmāvati?  
None subsists, only their story remains.

The structure of the two passages is similar: both texts give a list of characters and, playing on the semi-historical nature of the story of Ratansen, Padmāvati and 'Alā' ul-Dīn Khiljī, philosophically remark that no trace of them is to be found now on the surface of the earth. Ratansen and Padmāvati burned together on the pyre and 'Alā' ul-Dīn, guided by his bodily passions, his lust for Padmāvati and his vanity, was, in the same way, destroyed and lost in the abyss of time. The key verb is the same in the two versions: 'to stay' 'to remain' 'to subsist' (*rah-* in Awadhī, *māndan* in Persian). Both poets conclude that the power of love is the force that allowed the lovers to survive in the form of a tale after the destruction of Chittaur and the death of all its inhabitants.

The two passages share the same structure (repetitions, binary division) and the same idea (the triumph of the spirit over the body). 'Āqil Khān is clearly borrowing Jāysi's core ideas, both stylistically and philosophically.<sup>21</sup> This means that at least some parts of the *masnavī* were composed after a close reading and study of the vernacular poem. As a result, it is clear that India-inspired imagery is not, for Rāzī, a mere Persian literary trope used to make the setting more exotic, but a way to cleverly introduce his reader to a complex layered text, partially based on an informed reading of a written version of the Awadhī romance.

---

**21** This makes this passage fall into the ambiguous category of *sariqat* (literary 'borrowing/theft'), a technical word in Arabic and Persian sometimes used with a positive connotation and sometimes in a more derogatory way. Losensky 1998, 105-6; Grunbaum 1944.

As I will attempt to demonstrate below, this mix of references and selected imagery, aiming at localising the text inside the Persian tradition and of well-thought borrowings of ideas or style elements from the Hindavī text is exactly what defines a rendition in Persian in the ‘adaptation’ genre I defined earlier. This network is however quite intricate since, as we just saw, the Hindavī references are usually much less obvious than the Persian ones. The Persian classical elements (reprisal of a meter or of a famous storyline) indeed enjoy the status of framework, or model, and are usually claimed, more or less clearly, in the prologue or the conclusion, whereas the elements borrowed from the Hindavī appear in the text, as they feed the poet’s inspiration. I will now attempt to go back to this Persian referential structure to understand more in depth how ‘Aqil Khān framed the Awadhī romance with the tools of classical Persian poetics.

### 2.3 The *Satī* in ‘Aqil Khān’s *Masnavīs* and Poetic Modernity

If the origin of what will be later known as the *Poem on Satī* (*satīnāma*) tradition (Bābāsafarī, Sālmīyān 2008) is indeed traceable to the writings of Ḥasan Sijzī and Amīr Khusrau, and has received considerable inspiration from vernacular South Asian literature, it was also a very fashionable Persian genre in Rāzī’s lifetime. As a result, ‘Aqil Khān refers directly to works composed by almost contemporary authors in order to assert the modernity of his work. He does it quite openly in *The Candle and the Moth* by describing his poem in the following words:

*mukhtaṣar nuskhā’ē zi sōz-ū gudāz*  
*jāmī’-i ḥusn-u ‘ishq-u nāz-ū niyāz*  
(Rāzī 2017, 92)

It is a condensed version of the poem *Burning and Melting* (*Sōz-u gudāz*), bringing together beauty and love, disdain and supplication.

‘Aqil Khān mentions here, in a *double entendre*, *Burning and Melting* (*Sōz-u gudāz*), a *masnavī* composed by the poet Nau’ī Khabūshānī (1562-1610) for Akbar’s son, Prince Dāniyāl (Nau’ī 1969). In the prologue of the work Nau’ī reveals that Dāniyāl Mīrzā asked him to compose a new tale as he got weary of the old stories of the moth and the candle, Shīrīn and Farhād or Lailī and Majnūn (Nau’ī 1969, 38-9). He wants something ‘unheard of’, written in a modern style, dealing with Indian topics. He is, in that regard, fascinated by fire worship and the ritual suicide of women on the pyre of their husbands in India (39-40).

Nau’ī Khabūshānī, often following the footsteps of Ḥasan Sijzī, thus devises a tale set in India, during the reign of Akbar, telling the

story of two Hindu idol-worshippers (63). Nau'ī does not linger on the details of the story, as it is clear that his goal is more poetic than narrative in nature. The idea is to fully engage with all the intricacies of a given literary topos and to elaborate on this imagery of fire, burning and Indian idol worship by resorting to classical Persian poetic devices (Sharma 2010). By doing so, Nau'ī is strongly asserting his belonging to the latest trend in Persian poetry: the new style (*tarz-i nau* or *tāza-gō'ī*) in which poets seek novelty, originality and strangeness in meaning and form by resorting to complex images without discarding their literary heritage (Losensky 1998, 195-204). In *Burning and Melting*, Nau'ī addresses God in these terms:

*khudāyā shēva-yi 'ishqam āmōz*  
*dilam z'ādash-i īn zan afrōz*  
(Nau'ī 1969, 92)

O God, teach me the ways of love,  
set ablaze my heart with the fire of that woman!

In the new style that Nau'ī pursues, poetic images become so pervasive that they reach a metapoetical status as they succeed in representing, at the same time, the feelings of the characters, the core concept of the story (the power of passion) and the poetic devices used by the poet (metaphors, similes, poetic speech). Fire is an image for the feeling of love felt by the female character who, burned inwardly by her passion, becomes capable of physically consuming her body on the sacrificial pyre. But Nau'ī makes the image of fire depart from the mere context of the story to transform it into the manifestation of the power of God in the physical world. He begs God to toss him into the fire of love depicted in the tale so that he would get poetically inspired: fire becomes an image for inspiration and a metapoetical device. This capacity of images to become complex poetic objects, metaliterary in nature and self-referential, is a defining feature of the new style. In that regard, and even if he never reaches the level of intricacy of the true poets of the new style, 'Āqil Khān is clearly a conscious follower of Nau'ī Khabūshānī and other poets of this trend:

*chūn dilam shu'la-bāz shud ba zabān*  
*shu'la-zan shud zabān ba gōsh-i jahān*

*naqsh bastam ba lauḥ-i afsāna*  
*nām-i īn nāma sham'-u parvāna*  
*har kujā sham' rukh bar afrōzad*  
*jān-i parvāna lam'a'ash sōzad*

*sham' ham dar ghamash zi girya-u sō  
z birasānad shab shitāb ba rōz  
z'ān ki yak shu'la mē-kunad khāna  
dar dil-i sham'-u jān-i parvāna*

*ham chunīn īn do 'ishq-bāz-i majāz dīda<sup>22</sup>  
az yak sharār sōz-u gudāz  
ātash-i 'ishq bar-af-rōkhta and  
har do az yak zabāna sōkhhta and  
(Rāzī 2017, 93)*

When my ardent heart lit my tongue,  
it set on fire the ears of this world.

I inscribed, on the tablet of tales,  
the name of this story: The Candle and the Moth.

Wherever a candle lights up its face,  
its splendour sets the moth's soul ablaze.

When the despaired candle ardently weeps,  
it quickly turns its nights into days.

Since but one flame dwells  
both in the candle's heart and in the moth's soul,

Similarly, these two worldly lovers  
were burned and consumed by one and only flash of sparks.

They were set ablaze by the fire of love;  
one and only flame burned them both.

Āqil Khān explains the choice of his title *The Candle and the Moth* as a reference to the poetic tradition of fire imagery in Persian classical poetry. First, he brings into play a classical comparison: the tongue, symbolising poetic inspiration, is likened to a flame. Rāzī then follows Sa'dī's footsteps and elaborates on the candle and the moth (an image of absolute love and devotion) by borrowing from him the image of the 'weeping candle'.<sup>23</sup> The originality of Rāzī is to reuse this candle and moth imagery in the context of an Indian love story culminating

---

<sup>22</sup> The critical edition has only the recensions *yada* and *dīd*. This word was corrected to *dīda* to respect the meter and obtain a satisfactory meaning.

<sup>23</sup> See Sa'dī 1941, 120: *shabē yād dāram ki chashmam na khuft | shanīdam ki parvāna bā sham' guft | ki man 'ashīqam gar bisōzam ravāst | tarā girya-u sōz-bāri chirāst*.



in a self-sacrifice. Padmāvati and Ratansen, at the very end, burn in the same fire very much like the moth who, attracted by the candle, burns to his death in the flame.

Fire is also the essence of good love poetry: every poem about passion must burn the ears of its audience with its 'fiery tongue'. The high degree of recursivity and metapoeticity that Rāzī instils in his images is quite typical of the intricacies of the new style, even if the rest of the poem does not reach the imaginal complexity of other similar works.

In *The Sun and the Moon*, 'Āqil Khān also engages with very similar topics:

*dilā sōzē ki dārī ba zabān dih  
zabān-i shu'la bar nūk-i qalam nih*

*biband az shu'la nakhl in bōstān rā  
bizan dam ātash-i Hīndūstān rā<sup>24</sup>*

O heart, place your burn on the tongue,  
put the tip of your flame on the tip of your pen.

With this blaze, plant the tree in the orchard,  
blow the fire of India.

Here again, we have a close association among the burning fire of love, poetic inspiration (the pen) and 'fiery' India. Rāzī clearly follows the footsteps of Nau'ī Khabūshānī. However, in his case, the Indian fiery imagery that he develops has another point of origin in the vernacular poetics of Mañjhan and Jāysī. Indeed, as I tried to demonstrate earlier, the Persian intertext is a framework that allows the poet to claim his place in the classical repertoire, but is not the only intertextual element that 'Āqil Khān employs. If we read, for example, the prologue of *The Sun and the Moon* while keeping in mind the rest of the composition, another picture appears in which Rāzī's reading and emulation of the vernacular text plays an important part.

---

24 London, SOAS, *Mīhr-u māh*, f. 4v.

## 2.4 Satī and Burning Passions: Love and Self-destruction in the Vernacular Intertext of *The Sun and the Moon* (*Mihr-u māv*)

Indeed, when, in *The Sun and the Moon*'s prologue, Rāzī foregrounds fire-based imagery, he also draws attention on the very components he borrows from the Awadhī romances. Indeed, in the *Madhumālātī* fire is also a major poetical element, as it represents the inner pains of separation (*biraha*, skt.: *viraha*) and, more broadly, love and its soul-transforming powers. Separation, like the *satī*, is a voluntary self-immolation of the lover, motivated by his passion for the beloved but, contrary to *satī*, it happens only spiritually. It is beyond doubt that a part of 'Aqil Khān's readership was familiar with the Awadhī romances or, at least, with the vernacular poetics used by Mañjhan and Jāysī, including the fire-based imagery.<sup>25</sup> The universe that he devises is thus designed to resonate with both the earlier Indo-Per-sian tradition and Neo-Indo-Aryan literature.

In the case of the fire imagery, the proximity of the *satī*-related images and the descriptions of the pains of separation is very clear in Mañjhan's text. Indeed, in the *Madhumālātī* we find expressions such as: *nakha sikha uṭhī kumvara ke jvālā* (the flames [of passion] took up the Prince's [whole body], from head to toe); *eka agini dui ṭhāem bārā* (there is one fire [= love] lit in two places [= bodies]); *pema agini* (the fire of love); *aba lahi guputa jarium tehi āgī* (until now I have burned secretly in that fire); *biraha daum* (the bonfire of separation).<sup>26</sup> It is this poetical universe that Rāzī is trying to emulate in the *Mihr-u māv* when he uses expressions such as: *sōz-i dil* (the burn of his/her heart); *zi sōz-i 'ishq-i 'alam-sōz nālīd* (he cried because of the burn of love, fire raiser of the world - when Manohar is separated from Madhumālātī); *gham-i dil-sōz-i khwud kard iḡhār* (she expressed her heart-burning pain - when Madhumālātī is separated from Manohar). See also the following passages, where he puts such words in the mouth of Madhumālātī: *zi sōz-i ḥijr-i tō rōzam siyah shud* (my days have been obscured by the burn of my separation from you), *labam khushk ast az āh-i jigar-tāb* (my lips were dried up by heart-burning sighs).<sup>27</sup>

To remain in the textual framework that I chose for this article, focusing on the introductions and conclusions of the *masnavīs*, a close

<sup>25</sup> See for example the *Present of India (Tuhfat ul-Hind)*, an encyclopaedia of the Indian sciences, including poetics, written during Aurangzēb's reign (Mīrzā Khān 1975) or the *dhrupad* poems sung in Braj Bhāṣā at the Mughal court: Delvoye 1991.

<sup>26</sup> Jāysī 1951, 62 stanza 45, 98 stanza 117, 126 stanza 151, 164 stanza 309, 164 stanza 310.

<sup>27</sup> London, SOAS, *Mihr-u māv*, ff. 19v, 29r, 33r etc.; London, SOAS, *Mihr-u māv*, f. 27v; London, SOAS, *Mihr-u māv*, f. 49r; London, SOAS, *Mihr-u māv*, f. 49r.

reading of the concluding remarks of *The Sun and the Moon* also allows us to appreciate how ‘Āqil Khān interwove his composition with the Awadhī text and how fire imagery played an important part in this literary layering. Let us first read an extract of the conclusion of Mañjhan’s *Madhumālatī*:

*pema kai āgi sahī jeim āmcā*  
*so jaga janami kāla seum bāmcā*  
(Jāysī 1951, 481, stanza 538)

The one who endured the flames of the fire of love  
enjoys in this world a life spared from death.

The next stanza adds:

*kabitā gāta jabahi lahi rahāi jagata maham nāum*  
(Jāysī 1951, 482, stanza 539)

As long as poetry’s limbs will live on, their names [Madhumālatī  
and Manohar] will subsist in the world.

The fire of love is described by Mañjhan as a mystical force that transforms the soul, purifies it with its burning flames and opens the doors of immortality to the lovers. Love poetry, similarly, carrying the story of Manohar and Madhumālatī, makes their passionate ‘burning’ legacy live on in the world. Rāzī, in his own conclusion borrows some of these ideas:

*khwushā hāl-i dil-i āzād-i mardē*  
*ki gardad banda-yi ‘ishqē-u dardē [...]*

*ba har maḥfil buvad z’ū dāstānē*  
*ba har manzil bimānad z’ū nishānē [...]*

*gar īn shu’la buland āvāza kardī*  
*ba dilhā dāgh-i ḥasrat tāza sāzī<sup>28</sup>*

Blessed is the rank of the heart of the man  
who became the slave of a passion or a pain [of love]. [...]

In every assembly his story is told,  
at every step a sign of him remains. [...]

---

28 London, SOAS, *Mihr-u mäh*, ff. 14v-15r.

If you made this flame [= story] famous  
you left a new scar of suffering in the hearts.

Like Mañhan, our poet describes love and its sufferings as a pathway to immortality. The heart of the lover is free because he is liberated from the fetters of the material world and, as a result, his spiritual passion remains in the world in the form of a tale that grants him immortality. Since love is equated with the inner-burning fire of separation, the amorous *masnavī* is compared to a flame, that, if spread around, burns the hearts of the listeners when they experience the pain of love through it. Once again, Rāzī borrows the poetic ideas of his vernacular source text. He ‘domesticates’ the imagery of *satī* and separation by bringing into play Persian literary references such as works by Sa’dī or Nau’ī but, as we saw reading the prologues, he also always conscientiously focuses the attention of his readership on the ‘indianity’ of the imagery he develops. This is not merely a trick to set the stage in South Asia, but also a way to signify to his cultured readers that he wants them to identify, reading between the lines, the vernacular poetics of love-in-separation typical of Awadhī and other Neo-Indo-Aryan literatures (Vaudeville 1962).

The poetic devices and tropes that ‘Āqil Khān uses to suggest an Indian setting in his *masnavīs* rely greatly on the combined imagery of fire and self-immolation. However, love in separation and *satī* are not the only pillars of the complex imaginal world introduced by Rāzī to the reader in the prologues. Indeed, he also builds thoroughly on the warm nature of the climate in India to develop the intertext of his *masnavīs*. This image is not inherited from Nau’ī, so we must further our research to locate its origin.

### 3 India and Fire: The Importance of Faiẓī and His Quest for Poetic Novelty in India

#### 3.1 India and Its Warm Climate: A Poetic Conceit

Let us dive in a few extracts, taken from *The Sun and the Moon*:

*ba Hind īn shu'la afrōzanda-tar bīn  
dar īn iqlīm khwūr sōzanda-tar bīn*<sup>29</sup>

Look, in India the sparks glow more,  
look, in that climate the sun shines more.

And from *The Candle and the Moth*:

*bas ki dar Hind ḥusn jilva-gar ast  
ātash-i 'ishq khāna-sōz-tar ast*

*shu'la-yi 'ishq-i Hind pur-sharar ast  
z'ān ki dar Hind mihr garm-tar ast*

*sōz dar Hind sīna-sāz buvad  
naghma-yi Hind jān-gudāz buvad*  
(Rāzī 2017, 89)

When beauty reveals itself in India,  
the fire of love set houses on fire far quicker.

The flame of Indian love is full of sparks,  
because in India the sun is warmer.

In India, the burn cuts deep,  
the song of India melts the soul.

The core idea here is that, in India, love is more intense. As in the poetics of 'Āqil Khān love and fire are one and the same; the power of Indian love is characterised by its burning features. In India, the climate is warmer because the sun shines more intensively. As a result, the sparks glow brighter, and the flames burn more violently. The lovers, sick with the fever of passion, are reduced to ashes, and their souls melt one into another. This imagery, together with the theme of *satī* and of love in separation, is the backbone of 'Āqil Khān's

<sup>29</sup> London, SOAS, *Mihr-u māh*, f. 4v.

Indian *masnavīs*. Although the mastery that Rāzī has over this *locus* is quite remarkable, it is not exactly his invention.

In fact, our poet refers here to another great piece of Indo-Persian literature which is the *masnavī Nal and Daman (Nal-u Daman)* written by Abū'l-Faiḏ Ibn Mubārak 'Faizī' in 1594-95 AD at the request of Akbar the Great (Faizī 1987, 28, 48-9).<sup>30</sup> The poetic setting for the adaptation in Persian of an Indian story that Faizī creates in the prologue of the poem is, in that regard, the unambiguous model for later authors like 'Abd ul-Shakūr 'Bazmī' or 'Āqil Khān 'Rāzī'. Indeed, it is probably in this composition that we come across the image of the new Persian adaptation versus the old Indian story for the first time. Likewise, the poetic idea of the warmth of India's climate appears to be Faizī's creation, and was clearly borrowed from him by Rāzī:

*dil bā dil tan bā tan ba-ham dōst  
āmēkhtand chūn do maghz-u yak pōst*

*īn ḥusn ba 'ishq shud giriftār  
chūn bāda bā shīsha naḡma bā tār*

*īn shu'la ba Hind garm-khēz ast  
īnjā ki āftāb tēz ast*

*'ishq-i 'Arab-u 'Ajam shanīdam  
az Hind bigōyam ānchi dīdam  
(Faizī 1987, 48-9)*

Heart with heart, body with body,  
the two lovers fused together like two fleshs in one body.

Beauty tied itself to love,  
as wine to the bottle, a music to its strings.

These flames are powerful in India  
because here the sun is strong.

I heard about love in Arab lands and in Iran,  
I will relate what I saw in India.

---

**30** Faizī (1547-1595) is a very important author who was the poet laureate of Akbar's court. *Nal and Daman* was meant to be part of a complete *Khamsa*, a rewriting of Nizāmī's work, and in which *Nal and Daman* was intended to be a response to *Lailī and Majnūn*. This *masnavī* tells the story, borrowed with a few alterations from the *Mahābhārata*, of King Nala and Princess Damayantī. It appears to have been extremely influential since many *tazkira* writers praise it greatly. Faizī 1987, 28-53.

This extract is important because it shows how the three elements that we have concentrated upon so far (love and fire, the *satī* and the warm climate of India) were put together for the first time by Faizī. At the end of the story, Daman commits suicide on the pyre of her deceased husband, Nal. Faizī describes how they become one, both on a spiritual and a bodily level. India is then promoted by Faizī to the rank of third 'great land of love', after the Arab world ('*arab*') and Iran ('*ajam*').

### 3.2 India as the Future of Poetry

The climate imagery that 'Āqil Khān resorts to is, unambiguously, a reference to a literary tradition going back to Ḥasan Sijzī and Amīr Khusrau, in which Faizī is, by far, the most important predecessor for the seventeenth-century author. 'Āqil Khān 'Rāzī' is perfectly conscious of what he owes to Faizī and presents, poetically, the heritage he engages with in *The Candle and the Moth*:

*'ishq tā sākht naghma-yi ushshāq  
parda dar gasht īn navā ba 'Irāq*

*gāh Farhād shīsha bar sar zad  
gāh Khusrau ba sīna kharjar zad*

*dar 'Arab tā damīd īn afsūn  
šad cho Majnūn fasāna shud ba junūn*

*bāz īn shu'la tā ba Hind afrōkht  
bas ki ma'shūq misl-i 'āshiq sōkht*

*Padam az ātash-i Ratan sōzad  
sōz-i Nal kharman-i Daman sōzad*

*ānchi madhumālat az Manōhar dīd  
dahdah-i mihr-u māh kam-tar dīd  
(Rāzī 2017, 89)*

When love sung the song of lovers,  
its tune reached Iraq.

There, Farhād hit himself with a bottle,  
there, Khusrau stabbed himself with a knife.

When the spell reached Arab lands,  
a hundred men were struck by madness like Majnūn.

Then, this spark set India on fire  
and lovers and beloved burned.

Padam burned in the fire of Ratan,  
the love of Nal set ablaze the pyre of Daman.

What Madhumālātī saw in Manohar  
concealed the glitter of the sun and the moon.

In this excerpt, Rāzī stages a cosmology of love in which the “song of lovers” is dispatched throughout the world. It reaches Iran first and strikes Farhād and Khusrau, two famous lovers of the Persian literary tradition. Then the spell bewitches the Arab world and maddens the well-known Majnūn. The last country to be touched is India, where love inflames Ratan and Padam, as well as Nal and Daman. This last passage shows that ‘Āqil Khān had, without doubts, Faizī’s *Nal and Daman* present in his mind while he was writing.<sup>31</sup> Every type of love has its specificities: in Iran, self-destruction, in Arab lands, madness, and in India, self-consumption. The love of Manohar and Madhumālātī, even if it does not culminate in *satī*, takes place in that scheme; it is a ‘burning love’ because it is the story of the passion of two celestial bodies: Manohar is the sun and Madhumālātī, the moon.

The fact that Indian lovers come last in ‘Āqil Khān’s cosmology is important: he is attempting to demonstrate the newness of his subject, imitating in that regard many contemporary poets. The genius of the passage is to assert, at the same time, the mastery of Rāzī over the Persian literary tradition, and his modernity in his choice of topic. Besides, ‘Āqil Khān correlates the literary power of Indian subjects with the historical phenomenon of the mass migration toward India of Persianate elites:

*savād-i chashm-u rang-i rūy-i hindū*  
*buvad dīl-band chūn gēsū-yi hindū*

*az’ān har kas ba Hind āyad safar-sāz*  
*ba mulk-i khwēshtan kam-tar ravad bāz*

*magar tājir ki ū bē-dard bāshad*  
*u yā saiyāḥ-i ‘ālam-gard bāshad*<sup>32</sup>

---

**31** India is the last step in the narrative because it is the ‘newcomer’ in the Persian tradition: Farhād and Khusrau appear in the *Shāhnāma* (eleventh century), Lailī and Majnūn in the *Khamsa* (twelfth century), and Nal and Daman in Faizī’s *maṣnavī* (sixteenth century).

**32** London, SOAS, *Mihr-u māh*, f. 5r.



The blackness of the eyes and the colour of the face of the Hindu  
is as charming as the hair of the Hindu.

This is the reason why everybody tries his luck in India, and rarely  
goes back to his land,

Unless we are speaking of an inconsiderate merchant or of a traveller  
going all round the world.

‘Āqil Khān refers here to one of the *topoi* concerning India, which is the blackness of the Hindus and the beauty of young Hindu men (Losensky, Sharma 2011, 93). ‘Āqil Khān remarks that, as a result, everyone is eager to move to South Asia: *The Sun and the Moon* is a poetic response to this immigration of Persian elites in Hindūstān. If India is a new virgin place full of opportunities for ambitious young men, this field is also open for poetry dealing with fresh, unheard-of topics to explore, inspired by Neo-Indo-Aryan literatures. This recalls Nau’ī Khabūshānī’s literary project, aiming at novelty and renewal, stimulated by Prince Dāniyāl’s patronage. Courtly patronage plays indeed quite an important part in this literary scheme. The desire to hear and read new, contemporary productions is characteristic of Mughal cultural sponsorship policy, seeking to assert its distinctiveness in the Persianate world (Sharma 2010, 256). In that regard, the two *masnavīs* are typical of the Mughals’ imperial literary taste, at the same time deeply rooted in the classical Persian tradition and eager to develop its own novelty and originality.

### 3.3 The Pen-Identity of ‘Āqil Khān ‘Rāzī’: A Synthesis of the Indo-Persian Literary Repertoire

The will of ‘Āqil Khān to assert a distinctive Indo-Persian voice is, as a matter of facts, very explicit in *The Sun and the Moon*’s conclusion:

*basā ā’īna-yi raushan-ẓamīrān*  
*zi Hind andākh̄ta partau ba Īrān*

*ba Khusrau bīn ki māh-i kishvar-i Balkh*  
*ba Hindustān namūda ghurra’ash salkh*

*ba gōsh-i jān sukhan rā dād ta’lif*  
*zi i’jāzash zabān-i ‘ājiz zi tauṣif*

*Ḥasan rā bīn ki az ḥusn-i kalāmash*  
*chi labrēz-i ma’ānī būd jāmash*

*girāmī nukta-sanj-i dihlavī būd  
sarāsar nazm-u nasrash ma'navī būd*

*zi Faiẓī gō ki īn faiẓ az kujā yāft  
chu dar Hindūstān nushū-u namā yāft*

*savād-i Hind rā gar nukta-dānī  
sipāhī dān zi afvāj-i ma'ānī*

*ba tab'am lauḥ-i hindī chūn falak dād  
ba šābūn-i 'irāqī shust ustād<sup>33</sup>*

Many enlightened minds' mirrors  
have reflected in Iran a ray of India.

See Khusrau, the moon of the country of Balkh:  
his crescent phase completed its month in India.

He supplied poetry to the ear of the soul;  
his miracles filled the tongue of the impotent with words.

See Ḥasan: thanks to the beauty of his poetry,  
how did his cup become full with meaning!

He was a precious poet from Delhi,  
both his poetry and his prose were exceptionally deep.

As for Faiẓī: tell us where did he find this eloquence?  
- It is because he grew up in India.

If you are a connoisseur of the darkness of India,  
learn that you are a soldier from the armies of meaning.

Since the heavens have imprinted my nature with the tablet of India,  
my master washed it with the soap of Iraq.

Rāzī is stating the main components of his poetic identity: he was born and raised in India, appreciates Hindavī poetry, is highly literate in Persian and admires the great Indo-Persian authors. Rāzī builds a chain of poets starting with Khusrau and Ḥasan Sijzī and going through Faiẓī in order to, finally, include him. As I attempted to demonstrate earlier, Amīr Khusrau, Ḥasan of Delhi and Faiẓī all

---

**33** I follow here the recension of another manuscript: Oxford, Bodleian Library, *Mihru māh*, f. 58r-58v.

brought a contribution to the imagery of fire in an Indian setting by developing poetically the theme of *satī*, of love in separation or of the heat of the climate in South Asia. 'Āqil Khān, closing his *maṣnavī*, obviously wishes to make his identification with this literary universe clear to his reader.

However, the literary connection binding together those poets runs even deeper than their mere identity of Indo-Persian poets speaking from South Asia to the Persianate cosmopolis. Indeed, as we saw in some of the chosen extracts above, Rāzī developed in his two *maṣnavīs* a complex intertextual structure, sometimes straying away from the original poem, sometimes getting closer and closer to it, borrowing ideas and expressions from the Awadhī text. This method of layering or 'palimpsest', in the words of Gérard Genette (1982), of the text, adding elements inspired by Indo-Aryan literatures to the Persianate framework, is in itself a tribute to the literary tradition in which Rāzī locates himself.

Ḥasan Sijzī Dihlavī is, perhaps, the first to have included in his *Tale of Love* major South Asian themes, while referring to Niẓāmī on many instances (Gould, Tahmasebian 2021).<sup>34</sup> As for Amīr Khusrāu, he is famous for having opened his *divān* with the verse "The cloud rains and I am separated from my friend" (*abr mē-bārad u man mē-shavam zi yār judā*) (Schimmel 1992, 203), a clear reference to the twelve-month separation of the lover from the beloved (*bārahmāsa*) as described in many Neo-Indo-Aryan poems (Vaudeville 1965). Abū'l-Faiẓ 'Faizī', literate in Hindavī, Persian and Sanskrit, is the last member of this literary tradition and the most important one for Rāzī, since Faizī's literary project is his main model. Indeed, in *Nal Daman*, Faizī strays away from the storyline found in the Mahābhārata (Desai 1958) and recomposes the tale in Persian, in the framework created by Niẓāmī, while discreetly following some of the conventions and tropes of the Awadhī *pemakathā* genre. Many passages do conceal a subtle intertext pointing at Awadhī works such as the *Padmāvati* and the *Madhumālātī*.<sup>35</sup>

<sup>34</sup> The final *satī* scene is the most obvious element, but, moreover, at some point in the story, the beloved woman tells her lover that she will give herself to him while her husband is away on a business trip (Gould, Tahmasebian 2021, 84), which is a typical element of Indic literatures. See for example the *Śukasaptatī* in which Prabhāvati, the wife of Madana Sena the Brahmin, plans to take a lover while her husband is away on a business trip. See Haksar 2000.

<sup>35</sup> For example, in a scene that recalls the *Madhumālātī*, the birth of love in *Nal's* heart causes a sickness for which the doctors cannot find a cure. *Daman* is said to be from the Deccan - the dancers that captivate the hero in the beginning of *Madhumālātī* are also from the Deccan - and is described to the hero in a way that would perfectly correspond, albeit in a deeply persianised way, to a head-to-toe description, a classical element of the *pemakathā* genre. The lovers, burning with the fire of separation, write each other letters that resemble the messages exchanged by the protagonists of

It is this type of literary palimpsest that ‘Āqil Khān is trying to emulate: his claim of belonging to an Indo-Persian literary tradition and his use of fire imagery to reinforce this pen-identity are ways to signify to his reader that he writes ‘in’ the Persian classical repertoire while subtly infusing Hindavī tropes in between the lines. When Rāzī speaks, like Faiẓī does in his own prologue, about the “Indian imprint” of his nature he is not merely indulging in a display of stylistic mastery, but expressing something of his own story as a litterateur. Since he was undoubtedly exposed to South Asian tales in his life, including some belonging to the Awadhī *pemakathā* genre, some of the poetical material that fills his mind (both narrative patterns and images) is Indian in nature (and thus possesses, for the pun, a dark complexion). However, his literary language, the one his master taught him, is Persian: it is the poetic and scholarly tradition to which he refers and speaks, turning it into the ‘soap’ with which he ‘washes away’ the darkness of his Indian mind filled with Neo-Indo-Aryan pieces of literature.<sup>36</sup>

This literary imagery playing with black and white contrasts presents to the reader, in a playful way, a new manner of making Persian literature prompted by the composite and multilingual nature of the cultural life in South Asia during the seventeenth century. It is true that the search for novelty in poetry during the Mughal period is largely embodied by the intricacies of the new style as practised by ‘Urfī Shīrāzī, Šā’ib Tabrēzī or, later on, Bēdil Dihlavī, who developed the complexity of poetic images to previously unseen degrees. However, as we saw in the case of the *Rat Padam*, the *Burning and Melting* and the texts of ‘Āqil Khān, the adaptor poets also laid claim to novelty and modernity, enriching their imagery system in the *masnavī* with complex intertexts, both referring to intra-Persian references but also going, in disguise, in the direction of Hindavī texts.

---

Mañjhan and Jāysi’s texts (including a *fīrāqnāma*, a Persian equivalent to what would be a *barahmāsa* in Hindavī). Finally yet importantly, a bird intervenes at some point in the story as a go-between and, strangely enough, happens to be from Sarangdīp (Ceylon), exactly as is the parrot in the story of Padmāvati. One can refer to the very useful summary of the tale in Subrahmanyam, Alam 2012, 218-40.

**36** Another pun is present in that verse: the *nukta-dān* is the ‘connoisseur of the *nukāt*’ that is of the ‘subtleties’ (*pointes* in French, *acutezze*, *argutezze* in Italian) but also, literally, of the ‘dots’, the fundamental graphical element of the Perso-Arabic script made by the reed pen dipped in black ink on white paper, a conceit once again pointing at the dark appearance of Indians.

## 4 Conclusion

‘Āqil Khān is not considered to be a major poet in Indo-Persian literary historiography. His name scarcely appears in compilations and scholarly dissertations, and a large volume of his poetic compositions remains unedited.<sup>37</sup> However, his work is a matter of interest for a number of reasons. First, he is located at an interesting intersection of the Persian literary tradition. His references are deeply classical (Niẓāmī, Sa’dī, Rūmī), and he does not engage as profoundly as others in the intricacies of the new style. Nevertheless, he pays considerable attention to the modern literary accomplishments of Faiẓī or Nau’ī. ‘Āqil Khān rarely comes up with new images or poetic conceits himself, as he prefers to borrow them from other compositions and to build in the framework of Persian Indian-themed romances. He is, second, particularly good at manipulating these traditional images and uses the Indian fire imagery in very resourceful ways, in the two *masnavīs*, using his knowledge of vernacular South Asian literature to enrich his compositions. In that regard, our two texts are not only literary responses to Faiẓī’s *Nal and Daman* but also imitations of Mañjhan and Jāysi’s *Madhumālātī* and *Padmāvat*. As Rāzī explains, his literary purpose is to tell these Indian stories in an Iranian mode, that is, to disguise them but, like any disguise would, he still lets the cultured reader get a glimpse of the original poem in between the lines. The fire-inspired *topoi* to which he resorts are a mean of locating his *masnavīs* in a distinctly Persian poetic context while maintaining a creative ambiguity *vis-à-vis* the original thematic framework of the two Avadhī *pemakathās* (for example, fire imagery can also refer to the vernacular theme of separation). The inner dynamism of these two poems is provided by Rāzī’s deep engagement with the concept of love. The imagery and the style are infused with mystic and philosophical ideas about passion, intertwined with the fire-imagery, that are, in themselves, a form of *istiqbāl* pointing at a number of features of Niẓāmī’s or Faiẓī’s poetry as well as the key-concept and core-imagery of love (*pema*) as depicted in the Avadhī *pemakathās* (Pandey 1982). In conclusion, I would like to emphasise that the study of stylistic features and intertextuality are two essential and inter-linked fields as far as Indo-Persian poetics are concerned. Unknott-ing the grid of references and poetic images spread in ‘Āqil Khān’s two Indian *masnavīs* allowed me to locate this author more precisely in the literary field of his time and to appreciate the intricacies of his multilingual poetic culture. As Georges Perec would put it, writing was for ‘Āqil Khān a process stemming from deep feelings (love

<sup>37</sup> Such is the case of *Mīhr-u Māh* but also, for example, of *Kashkōl* or *Naghmāt ul-‘ishq*, two mystical treatises. See the list of his works in Jāved 1999, 61.

and passion) that reached maturity through the cultivation of his composite cultural heritage (both Persian and Hindavī) and through the exploration of the *loci poetici* cherished by former generations of poets. Much remains to be done in the field of the Indo-Persian translations/adaptations of Awadhī romances and many of them still lie unedited in manuscript format, but I would like to suggest, as a concluding remark, that a fruitful approach could be to look deeper into the palimpsests that they offer, seeking to retrace how early-modern Persian-speaking authors read and reinterpreted texts from Neo-Indo-Aryan literatures before turning them into *masnavīs*. Such a work could bring significant contributions to the literary and cultural history of the Mughal Empire by shedding light on the reading and writing practices of Persianate intellectuals during the seventeenth century and beyond.

## Bibliography

### Primary sources

- Ārzū, S.ul-D. 'A.Kh. (2006). *Majma' ul-nafā'is: bakhsh-i mu'āshirān* (The Meeting of the Marvels: Part on the Contemporaries). Edited by Mīr Hāshim Muḥaddis. Tehran: Anjuman-i āṣār-u mafāhir-i farhangī. Silsila-yi intishārāt-i anjuman-i āṣār-u mafāhir-i farhangī 353.
- Bazmī, M.S. 'A.ul-Sh. (1971). *Dāstān-i Padmāvat* (The Story of Padmāvat). Edited by Syed Amīr Ḥasan 'Ābidī. Tehran: Bunyād-i farhang-i Īrān.
- Faiḏī, 'A.ul-F. (1987). *Masnavī-yi Nal-u Daman-i Faiḏī: taḥqīq-u tadvīn* (The Masnavī of Nal and Daman by Faiḏī: Research and Edition). Edited by Muḥammad Tāyyāb Ṣiddīqī. Patna: Book Emporium.
- Jāysi, M.M. (1951). *Jāysi granthāvalī, Padmāvat, Akharāvaṭ, Ākhirī kalām aur Maharī bāisī* (The Works of Jāysi: Padmāvat, Akharāvaṭ, Ākhirī Kalām and Maharī Bāisī). Edited by Mātāprasād Gupta. Allahabad: Hindustani Academy.
- Kalānaurī, Ḥ. (1985). *Ṣmat-nāma yā dāstān-i Lōrak-u Mainā* (A Book on Chastity or the Story of Lōrak and Mainā). Edited by Saiyid Amīr Ḥasan 'Ābidī. New Delhi: Markaz-i taḥqīqāt-i zabān-u adabiyāt-i fārsī dar Hind.
- Khusrau Dihlavī, A. (1927). *Masnavī-yi Shīrīn-u Khusrau* (The Masnavī of Shīrīn and Khusrau). Edited by Maulavī Ḥājī 'Alī Aḥmad Khān. Aligarh: Muslim University Press.
- Mañjhan Rājgīrī, S. (1961). *Mañjhan kṛta Madhumālatī: bhūmikā, saṃpadit pāṭh, pāṭhāmtar, artha aur śabdānukramaṇī* (Mañjhan's Madhumālatī: Introduction, Edited Text with the Variants, Paraphrase, and Lexicon). Edited by Mātāprasād Gupta. Allahabad: Mitra prakāśan.
- Mīrzā Khān, Ibn F.ul-D.M. (1975). *Tuḥfat ul-Hind* (The Present of India). Edited by Nūr ul-Ḥasan Ansārī. Tehran: Intishārāt-i bunyād-i farhang-i Īrān. Zabān-u adabiyāt-i fārsī 39.
- Nau'ī Khabūshānī, M.R. (1969). *Sōz-u gudāz* (Burning and Melting). Edited by Saiyid Amīr Ḥasan 'Ābidī. Delhi: Bunyād-i farhang-i Īrān.

- Platts, J.T. (1884). *A Dictionary of Urdu, Classical Hindi, and English*. London: W.H. Allen & Co.
- Rāzī, 'Ā.Kh. (1936). *Vāqī'āt-i 'ālamgīrī* (An Account on 'Ālamgīr). Edited by Chaghtāī. Lahore: Dīn-i Muḥammad Press.
- Rāzī, 'Ā.Kh. (2010). *Divān-i 'Āqil Khān Rāzī Khwāfī (shā'ir-i qarn-i yāzdahum muqīm-i Hind)* (The Divān of 'Āqil Khān Rāzī Khwāfī [A Poet of the Eleventh Century who lived in India]). Edited by Muḥammad Amīn 'Āmir. New Delhi: Markaz-i taḥqīqāt-i fārsī-yi rāyzanī-yi farhang-i safārat-i jumhūrī-yi islāmī-yi Īrān.
- Rāzī, 'Ā.Kh. (2017). *Taḥqīqāt-i intiqādī-yi maṣnavī-yi Sham'-u parvāna-yi 'Āqil Khān Rāzī bā muqaddama-u ḥavāshī* (A Critical Edition of the Masnavī "The Candle and the Moth" of 'Āqil Khān Rāzī with Introduction and Notes) [PhD dissertation]. Edited by Fāṭima Nishāt. Aligarh: Aligarh Muslim University. <https://shodhganga.inflibnet.ac.in/handle/10603/191379>.
- Sā'dī (1941). *Kulliyāt-i Sā'dī* (Complete Works of Sā'dī). Edited by Muḥammad 'Alī Furūghī. Tehran: Chāpkhāna-yi burūkhīm.
- Sandilavī, Sh.A. (1970). *Tazkira-yi makhzan ul-gharā'ib* (The Anthology of the "Treasury of Rarities"). Edited by 'Allāma 'Alā ul-Dīn Ṣiddīqī. Lahore: University Oriental College.
- Shāh Navāz Khān, N. Sh.ul-D. (1890). *Ma'āsir ul-Umarā'* (The Memorable Deeds of the Nobles), vol. 2. Edited by Maulavī 'Abdul Raḥīm and Maulavī Mīrẓā Ashraf 'Alī. Calcutta: The Asiatic Society of Bengal.
- Steingass, F.J. (1892). *A Comprehensive Persian-English Dictionary, Including the Arabic Words and Phrases to be Met with in Persian Literature*. London: Routledge & K. Paul.

## Secondary sources

- 'Ābidī, S.A.Ḥ (1966). "Indian Stories in Indo-Persian Literature". *Indian Literature*, 3(9), 28-42.
- Akbarī, M. (2000). "Istiqbāl dar shī'r-i fārsī-u ahmiyyat-i shī'r-i Bābā Fighānī az nazār-i istiqbāl" (Imitation in Persian Poetry and the Importance of Bābā Fighānī's Poetry with Respect to Imitation). *Faṣḥnāma-yi dānishkadah-i adabiyāt-u ulūm-i insānī*, 155(43), 125-41.
- 'Āmir, M.A. (2005). *Ahd-i 'ālamgīrī kā nāmvar muvarrikh aur shā'ir: 'Āqil Khān Rāzī, aḥvāl-u āsjār* (A Famous Historian and Poet of 'Ālamgīr's Period: 'Āqil Khān Rāzī, his Life and Work). Calcutta: Graphic Print.
- Āqābābā'ī, S. (2020). *Sabk-shināsī-yi idrākī: taḥlīl-i muqāyasa-yi sabk dar āfarīnashhā-yi adabī-u hunarī-yi daura-yi ṣafavī-u gūrkānī* (Perceptual Stylistics: A Comparative Analysis of Style in Literary and Artistic Creations of the Safavī and Mughal Periods). Tehran: Intishārāt-i 'ilmī.
- Ashraf, S.; Muẓāhirī, S.A.; Ibrāhīmī, Kh. (1990). "Dar madār-i Niẓāmī, Hasht bi-hisht-u Haft akhtar" (Around Niẓāmī: Eight Paradises and Seven Stars). *Īrānshināsī*, 5(2), 135-59.
- Āzar, M.Ḥ. (2008). "Sāya-yi 'Urfī bar ṭarz-i tāza (aṣar-pazīrī-yi shā'irān-i sabk-i 'aṣr-i ṣafavī az 'Urfī Shīrāzī)" (The Shadow of 'Urfī over the New Style ['Urfī's Influence over the Poets of the School of the Safavid Period]). *Adabiyāt-i fārsī, dānishgāh-i āzād-i Mashhad*, 20(5), 104-23.
- Bābāsafarī, 'A.A.; Sālmīyān, Gh.R. (2008). "Satī-u bāztāb-i ān dar adab-i fārsī" (Satī and its Reflection in Persian Literature). *Dānishkadah-i zabān-u*

- 'ulūm-i insānī-yi Mashhad, 160, 49-76. <https://doi.org/10.22067/jls.v4i1i1.13182>.
- Bahār, M.T. (1943). *Sabk-shināsī yā tārikh-i taṭāuvar-i naṣr-i fārsī* (Stylistics or the History of the Development of Persian Prose). Tehran: Chāpkhāna-yi khwudkār.
- Behl, A.; Weightman, S. (2001). *Madhumalati: An Indian Sufi Romance*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Behl, A.; Doniger, W. (2016). *Love's Subtle Magic: An Indian Islamic Literary Tradition, 1379-1545*. Oxford: Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780195146707.001.0001>.
- Burhānpūrī, B.M.Kh. (1951). "Ḥaẓrat Burhān ul-Dīn Rāz-i Ilāhī". *Ma'ārif*, 5(67), 378-90.
- Delvoye, F."N." (1991). "Les chants dhrupad en langue braj des poètes-musiciens de l'Inde Moghole". Mallison, F. (éd.), *Littératures médiévales de l'Inde du nord*. Paris: Ecole Française d'Extrême-Orient, 139-85.
- Desai, Z.ul-D. (1958). "The Story of Nala–Damayanti as Told by Faidi and Its Comparison with the Original Sanskrit Version". *Journal of the Oriental Institute (Baroda)*, 1(8), 81-96; 2(8), 183-98.
- Dukht Mashhūr, P. (2010). "Barrasī-u taḥlīl-i 'Ishqnāma-yi Amīr Ḥasan Sijzī Dihlavī" (An Analysis of the Poem on Love by Amīr Ḥasan Sijzī Dihlavī). *Faslnāma-yi taḥqīqāt-i zabān-u adab-i fārsī-yi dānishgāh-i āzād-i islāmī*, 1(2), 165-78.
- Genette, G. (1982). *Palimpsestes: La littérature au second degré*. Paris: Seuil. Point essais.
- Gould, R.R.; Tahmasebian, K. (2021). "The Temporality of Desire in Ḥasan Dihlavī's 'Ishqnāma". *Journal of Medieval Worlds*, 3-4(2), 72-95. <https://online.ucpress.edu/jmw/article/2/3-4/72/116074/The-Temporality-of-Desire-in-asan-Dihlavī-s>.
- Grunebaum, G.E. von. (1944). "The Concept of Plagiarism in Arabic Theory". *Journal of Near Eastern Studies*, 4(3), 234-53.
- Ḥā'irī, M.Ḥ; Kalāntar, N. (2012). "Barrasī-yi sabk-shinākhtī-yi naẓīra-gō'ī-yi Ṣā'ib az Bābā Fighānī-u Muḥtasham Kāshānī" (An Stylistical Analysis of the Imitation by Ṣā'ib of Bābā Fighānī and Muḥtasham Kāshānī). *Gird-hamā'ī-yi sarāsarī-yi anjuman-i tarvij-i zabān-u adab-i fārsī-yi Īrān*, 3.
- Haksar, A.N.Dh. (2000). *Shuka Saptati – Seventy Tales of the Parrot*. New Delhi: Harper Collins Publishers.
- Ingenito, D. (2020). "Through the Mirror of Your Glances: The Sacred Aesthetics of Sa'di's Lyric Subject". *Beholding Beauty Sa'di of Shiraz and the Aesthetics of Desire in Medieval Persian Poetry*. Leiden; Boston: Brill, 207-33. Brill Studies in Middle Eastern Literatures 41.
- Īrānzāda, N. (2016). "Naẓārīya-yi sabk dar Īrān (ravishhā-yi sabk-shināsī)" (The Theory of Style in Iran [the Schools of Stylistics]). *Sabk-shināsī-yi naẓm-u naṣr-i fārsī (bahār-i adab)*, 212(4), 1-20.
- Jāved, Sh.A. (1999). *Āqil Khān Rāzī, aḥvāl-u āsār* ('Āqil Khān Rāzī, his Life and Work). Nagpur: Muhammad Khalīl Khān.
- Losensky, P. (1998). *Welcoming Fighānī: Imitation and Poetic Individuality in the Sa-favid-Mughal Ghazal*. Costa Mesa; Calif: Mazda Publishers. Bibliotheca Iranica 5.
- Losensky, P.; Sharma S. (2011). *In the Bazaar of Love: The Selected Poetry of Amīr Khusrau*. Gurgaon: Penguin Books.



- Murshidī, S. (2012). *Naẓīrahā-yi Haft paikar-i Niẓāmī tā qarn-i dahum* (The Rewritings of the Seven Portraits of Niẓāmī up to the Tenth Century) [PhD dissertation]. Tehran: Islamic Azad University.
- Nomani, Sh. (1984). *Shi'r ul-'Ajam* (Persia's Poetry), vol. 4. Azamgarh: Maṭṭba'-i ma'ārif.
- Orsini, F. (2017). "The Social History of a Genre: Kathas Across Languages in Early Modern North India". *The Medieval History Journal*, 1(20), 1-37. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0971945817707589>.
- Orsini, F. (2023). *East of Delhi: Multilingual Literary Culture and World Literature*. Oxford: Oxford University Press. South Asia Research. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780197658291.001.0001>.
- Pandey, Sh.M. (1982). *Madhyayugīn premākhyān* (Medieval Love Stories). Allahabad: Lokabhāratī prakāśan.
- Schimmel, A. (1992). *A Two-Colored Brocade: The Imagery of Persian Poetry*. Chapel Hill: The University of North Carolina Press.
- Schimmel, A. (2007). *A Dance of Sparks: Imagery of Fire in Ghalib's Poetry*. 2nd ed. New Delhi: Ghalib Academy.
- Sharma, S. (2010). "Novelty, Tradition and Mughal Politics in Nau'ī Sūz u Gudāz". *The Necklace of the Pleiades: 24 Essays on Persian Literature, Culture and Religion. Studies in Persian Literature Presented to Heshmat Moayyad on His 80th Birthday*. Leiden: Leiden University Press, 250-65. Iranian Studies Series. <https://doi.org/10.1017/9789400600096.017>.
- Subrahmanyam, S.; Alam, M. (2012). *Writing the Mughal World: Studies on Culture and Politics*. New York: Columbia University Press.
- Toutant, M. (2016). *Un empire de mots: Pouvoir, culture et soufisme à l'époque des derniers Timourides au miroir de la Khamsa de Mīr 'Alī Shīr Nawā'ī*. Paris; Louvain; Bristol: Peeters. Turcica 22.
- Vaudeville, C. (1962). "La Conception de l'amour divin chez Muhammad Jāyāsī: virah et 'ishq". *Journal Asiatique*, 250(4), 351-62.
- Vaudeville, C. (1965). *Bārahmāsā: les chansons des douze mois dans les littératures indo-aryennes*. Pondichéry: Institut français d'indologie. Publications de l'Institut français d'indologie 28.
- Zipoli, R. (1993). *The Technique of the Ġawāb: Replies by Nawā'ī to Ḥāfiẓ and Ġāmī*. Venice: Cafoscarina.