

## Proximate Analysis and Occurrence of Antibiotic Resistant Escherichia coli in Street Vended Ready to Eat Edible Larvae of Rhynchophorus phoenicis in Port Harcourt, Nigeria

Nzube Nzube Victor, Onoriode Christian Eruteya, Edmond Osi Uguomore, Kingsley Chimuanya Umezurike, Philip Onyelukachukwu Okonkwo

#### ▶ To cite this version:

Nzube Nzube Victor, Onoriode Christian Eruteya, Edmond Osi Uguomore, Kingsley Chimuanya Umezurike, Philip Onyelukachukwu Okonkwo. Proximate Analysis and Occurrence of Antibiotic Resistant Escherichia coli in Street Vended Ready to Eat Edible Larvae of Rhynchophorus phoenicis in Port Harcourt, Nigeria. International Journal of Pathogen Research, 2024, 13, pp.105 - 118.  $10.9734/\mathrm{ijpr}/2024/\mathrm{v}13i4303$ . hal-04671666

## HAL Id: hal-04671666 https://hal.science/hal-04671666v1

Submitted on 22 Aug 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



#### International Journal of Pathogen Research

Volume 13, Issue 4, Page 105-118, 2024; Article no.IJPR.119937 ISSN: 2582-3876

# Proximate Analysis and Occurrence of Antibiotic Resistant *Escherichia coli* in Street Vended Ready to Eat Edible Larvae of *Rhynchophorus phoenicis* in Port Harcourt, Nigeria

Victor Nzube Igbonezu a\*, Onoriode Christian Eruteya a, Edmond Osi Uguomore a, Kingsley Chimuanya Umezurike b and Philip Onyelukachukwu Okonkwo c

#### Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### **Article Information**

DOI: https://doi.org/10.9734/ijpr/2024/v13i4303

**Open Peer Review History:** 

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here:

https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/119937

Original Research Article

Received: 26/05/2024 Accepted: 28/07/2024 Published: 12/08/2024

\*Corresponding author: Email: nzubevictor10@gmail.com;

Cite as: Igbonezu, Victor Nzube, Onoriode Christian Eruteya, Edmond Osi Uguomore, Kingsley Chimuanya Umezurike, and Philip Onyelukachukwu Okonkwo. 2024. "Proximate Analysis and Occurrence of Antibiotic Resistant Escherichia Coli in Street Vended Ready to Eat Edible Larvae of Rhynchophorus Phoenicis in Port Harcourt, Nigeria". International Journal of Pathogen Research 13 (4):105-18. https://doi.org/10.9734/ijpr/2024/v13i4303.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Science, University of Port Harcourt, Choba, River State, Nigeria.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Department of Medical Laboratory Science, Faculty of Health Sciences and Technology, Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Awka, Nigeria.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Life Sciences, University of Benin, Benin City, Edo State, Nigeria.

#### **ABSTRACT**

Rhynchophorus phoenicis, known as the African palm tree larva weevil or edible worm, is a popular edible insect in southern Nigeria and other African countries. These larvae, by-products of oil palm and palm trees, are consumed roasted or boiled and are known for their nutritional and medicinal properties. In Nigeria, they are widely sold along highways in states like Bayelsa, Delta, Edo, Imo, Rivers, and the upper Cross River basin. Preparation methods include consuming them raw, boiled, fried, smoked, or in stews and soups. Typically, the larvae are washed, skewered, sprinkled with salt and pepper, and grilled. They are juicy and have a taste reminiscent of Torzo or cow intestines. Despite their popularity, edible worms pose safety and health concerns due to contamination and the emergence of antimicrobial-resistant bacteria. The indiscriminate use of antimicrobials in animals and humans has led to the development of resistant microorganisms, including multidrugresistant Escherichia coli, which has been increasingly reported in food poisoning outbreaks. There is limited data on the incidence and antibiotic resistance of Escherichia coli in ready-to-eat foods in Nigeria, prompting this study.

The study aimed to determine the proximate composition, occurrence, and antibiotic-resistant pattern of *Escherichia coli* from edible larvae (*Rhynchophorus phoenicis*). Objectives included determining the proximate composition of the larvae, the occurrence of Escherichia coli in them, and their antibiotic susceptibility pattern.

Fifty ready-to-eat food samples of edible larvae were randomly collected from hawkers. These samples, often partially exposed and poorly packaged, were transported to the microbiology laboratory for analysis. Sterilized glassware and media were used, including Nutrient Agar, Eosin Methylene Blue (EMB) Agar, and Mueller Hinton Agar. Samples were diluted using peptone water, and bacteriological determination involved homogenizing 25 grams of the sample in 225 ml of peptone water, followed by serial dilutions. Aliquots were inoculated on EMB Agar plates, and incubated at 37°C for 24 hours, and colonies were counted and recorded as colony-forming units per gram (cfu/g). Isolates were identified using standard microbiological methods and biochemical tests.

Proximate analysis revealed moisture (35.49%), carbohydrate (6.01%), protein (20.73%), ash (1.25%), lipid (22.3%), and fiber (14.23%) content. Bacterial counts ranged from 8.1 x 10^7 to 6.34 x 10^8 cfu/g, with the highest count at the Checkpoint sample and the lowest at Elele-Alumini. Of the 27 isolates, 15 (55.56%) were confirmed as E. coli, with 18.52% of samples exceeding the acceptable microbiological limit ( $\geq$ 10^7 CFU/g). Antibiotic susceptibility tests showed all isolates resistant to augmentin, penicillin, cephalosporin, and ofloxacin, with 46.67% resistance to sulfamethoxazole and streptomycin.

Keywords: Occurrence; Escherichia coli; Rhynchophorus phoenicis; ready-to-eat edible larvae; antibiotic resistance.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Ready-to-eat (RTE) foods are a convenient culinary option, encompassing a range of items that necessitate minimal or no preparation before consumption, aside from potential reheating. These foods, spanning raw, minimally cooked, or fully cooked varieties, hot or cold, are readily available for instant consumption without additional handling. In various African countries, including Nigeria, the prevalence of RTE foods sold by street vendors has surged [1], offering an assortment of snacks, meats, salads, fruits, and beverages. Their popularity has extended to markets, roadsides, and restaurants, with the emergence of migratory food vending, particularly along

highways, exposing RTE foods to microbial hazards.

"In Nigeria, Rhynchophorus phoenicis (F.) (Coleoptera: Curculionidae), commonly known as the edible worm or African palm weevil, is a traditional delicacy consumed either roasted or boiled, especially in southern Nigeria and other African regions where palm trees thrive. These larvae which feed on palms and are a by-product of palm trees used in palm wine production, hold nutritional and medicinal significance. Widely sold along major Nigerian highways and in states like Bayelsa, Delta, Edo, Imo, Rivers, and the upper Cross-River basin" [2], they are also available in local markets and restaurants. Embraced by various Nigerian tribes, such as

the Ibibio, Ilesha, Urboho, and Isoko, these larvae are sometimes recommended to pregnant women for their nutrient content [3]. In certain instances, the medicinal value of the larvae in aiding treatments of ailments like women's infertility, rashes and wounds, coughs, and colds among others have been reported by Fogoh et al. [4].

"Despite the nutritional value of *R. phoenicis*, detailed data on its nutritional composition remain scarce. Previous studies in Nigeria have overlooked key nutritional aspects, such as vitamin content, amino acids, fatty acids, and minerals" [5,6,3]. "However, palm weevil larvae generally offer valuable nutrients like iron, zinc, essential amino acids, and fat, with reported average protein, fat, fiber, and ash contents" [7].

Escherichia coli (Escherlich) (Enterobacterales: Enterobacteriaceae), a prevalent foodborne pathogen, poses significant health risks, causing urinary tract infections and gastrointestinal diseases. Widely distributed in various environments, including soil, water, sewage, and foods, E. coli transmission primarily occurs through contaminated foods. While few studies have reported E. coli in edible worms, other microorganisms like Staphylococcus aureus, Bacillus species, and Salmonella species have been detected [8,9]. The emergence of multidrug-resistant (MDR) bacterial worldwide presents a grave public health concern. Increasing antibiotic resistance among Escherichia coli strains contributes to morbidity, mortality, and substantial healthcare costs, exacerbated by indiscriminate antibiotic use in veterinary medicine and agriculture.

The growing prevalence of contaminated readyto-eat foods correlates with increased mobility, urbanization, and reliance on ready-to-eat foods for sustenance and employment. This shift has transferred food safety responsibilities from individuals to vendors, many of whom lack awareness of proper food handling practices, unsanitary conditions leading to susceptibility to bacterial contamination. Additionally, open-air vending exposes ready-toeat foods to environmental pollutants like flies and dust.

Given these challenges, there is a pressing need to assess the *Escherichia coli* contamination and antimicrobial resistance profiles of ready-to-eat foods like *Rhynchophorus phoenicis*, particularly

along major transit routes such as the Emuoha Toll Gate East-West Road in Port Harcourt, Nigeria. This study aims to evaluate *Escherichia coli* levels in RTE edible worms of *Rhynchophorus phoenicis* and their resistance to clinically relevant antimicrobial agents, shedding light on potential health risks associated with their consumption.

#### 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### 2.1 Study Location

Samples were obtained from vendors and mobile hawkers by the roadside from different locations (Local Government Areas and Markets) along Emuoha Toll Gate East-West Road, Port-Harcourt, Nigeria. They were: Choba Market, Checkpoint, Ogbakiri Market, Elele-Alimini, and Akpor-Ndele Market.

#### 2.2 Collection of Samples

A total of 50 ready-to-eat food samples of edible larvae were brought randomly from hawkers. These RTE edible larvae were partially exposed and poorly packaged (Plate 1). The sample was aseptically stored in well-labeled and sealed sterile transparent bags and transported immediately to the microbiology laboratory at the Department of Microbiology, University of Port Harcourt for analyses.

#### 2.3 Media Preparation

The following media were employed during this study. All media was prepared according to the manufacturer's specifications.

- Nutrient Agar
- Eosin Methylene Blue (EMB) Agar
- Mueller Hinton Agar

Each of the media was prepared by measuring out the appropriate weight of the agar and dissolving them in 1000ml of distilled water according to the manufacturer's instructions. It was whirled to mix well and mixture was preheated to 100°C to completely dissolve the powder. It was sterilized by autoclaving at 121°C for 15 mins at 15 psi. After sterilization, the medium was allowed to cool at 45°C. On cooling, 20ml of the sterile medium was aseptically dispersed into sterile petri dishes and allowed to solidify. It was then inverted immediately to avoid vapor falling on the medium.



Plate 1. Roasted ready-to-eat edible larvae of Rhynchophorus phoenicis

#### 2.4 Preparation of Diluents

The diluents used for sample analysis were peptone water. Peptone water is used for the cultivation of non-fastidious microorganisms. During the study, Peptone water was used to carry out a 10-fold serial dilution in duplicate for the analysis of the RTE edible worm sample. The medium was prepared by weighing out 15g of peptone medium and transferring it to a conical flask. About 1000ml of distilled water was added and pre-heated to 100°C to dissolve completely. Then 9ml of the diluent was aseptically transferred into test tubes. The test tubes were corked with non-absorbent cotton wool and then sterilized by autoclaving at 121°C for 15 mins at 15psi and allowed to cool.

## 2.5 Preparation of the Sample/Isolation Procedure

The bacteriological determination of ready-to-eat edible larvae samples was carried out by placing individually the samples in a sterile petri dish. A 25g of the composite food sample was weighed out with the aid of a foil paper using a digital weighing balance (*Philip, HR2001, China*) into 225ml of peptone water (*Oxoid, Basingstoke, UK*) in labeled stomacher bags. The sample was homogenized using a stomacher machine for 2 mins. The homogenized sample was then transferred into a sterile conical flask and corked with cotton wool. This served as the stock culture and gave a 10^1 dilution.

#### 2.6 Serial Dilution

Ten-fold serial dilution were made from the stock culture to obtain dilution factors of 10<sup>2</sup>, 10<sup>3</sup>,

10^4, 10^5 and 10^6 respectively to reduce the microbial load as described by Makelele et al. [1]. With the aid of a 1ml pipette, 1ml aliquot of the homogenized sample was transferred from the stock culture which gave a 10^1 dilution into the first test tube containing 9ml peptone water giving a 10^2 dilution factor which was further serially diluted up to 10^6 dilution factor. That is, another 1ml sample from 10^2 to 10^3, 10^3 to 10^4, 10^4 to 10^5, 10^5 to 10^6. For each dilution, a new pipette was used to avoid contamination. This was repeated for all samples.

## 2.7 Isolation and Identification of Microorganisms

From the dilution factors mentioned above, 0.1ml of the aliquots was inoculated in duplicate plates of Eosin Methylene Blue (EMB) Agar for isolation of *Escherichia* spp. using the spread plate method. Using a hockey stick dipped in 70% ethyl alcohol, flamed using the Bunsen burner, and cooled, the inoculum was spread around the plates. The inoculated plates were then inverted and incubated aerobically at 37°C for 24 h.

## 2.8 Determination of Total Viable Plate Counts

Aliquot (0.1 ml) of each of the selected sample dilutions was aseptically spread plated on the sterile solidified nutrient agar (NA: TM, Rajasthan, India) in duplicate. The inoculum was allowed to be absorbed into the agar plate, inverted, and incubated at 30°C for 24 h. After incubation, plates with 30-300 colonies were selected and counted and results recorded. Counts were expressed as colony-forming units

per gram of sample homogenized (cfu/g) and expressed as logarithm (log10 CFU/g) (Harrigan & Mc-Cance, 1990) [10]. The cfu/g was calculated using the average number of colonies for the duplicate plates of the sample.

The following formula was used to calculate the CFU/q:

CFU/g = (Number of Colonies X Dilution Factor) / Volume of Culture (ml)

#### Variables:

CFU/g is the colony-forming units per gram
The number of Colonies is the count of colonies
observed on a plate

The dilution Factor is the factor by which the original sample was diluted

The volume of Culture is the volume of the diluted sample plated (in mL)

#### 2.9 Subculture of the Isolates

Discrete colonies on the Eosin Methylene Blue agar were plated and streaked on freshly prepared nutrient agar based on their morphology which includes color, size, shape, texture, elevation, edge to obtain pure colonies. The plates were then incubated at 37°C for 24 h. Isolates from the nutrient agar were used for biochemical testing and identification.

## 2.10 Identification and Characterization of Isolates

The isolates were identified based on standard microbiological methods. This includes observation of the different characteristics of the colonies such as colony pigmentation, colony elevation, texture, shape, and size. Biochemical tests were also carried out for further identification and characterization.

#### 2.11 Colony Morphology

The colonies of the isolates give a distinctive metallic green sheen which is due to the metachromatic property of dyes (eosin and methylene blue in the ratio of 6:1) and the lactose fermenting property of *E. coli*, which changes the pH of the medium to acidic. Hence, making the medium more selective for *E. coli* makes the identification much easier.

#### 2.12 Confirmation of Isolate (IMViC Test)

All the isolate was subjected to various biochemical tests to characterize and identify

them. Escherichia coli was confirmed biochemically using a traditional method called IMViC tests. This is a set of four tests that are used to differentiate members of Enterobacteriaceae. IMViC is an abbreviation that stands for the Indole, Methyl-red, Voges-Proskauer, and Citrate utilization tests. These tests detect the presence or lack of a particular enzyme by the test organism.

#### 2.13 Indole Test

In the indole test, the bacteria were tested for their ability to produce indole from tryptophan (amino acid) using the enzyme tryptophanase. The indole reacts with the aldehyde in Kovac's reagent to give a red or pink ring at the top of the tube. Peptone water in a tube that contained tryptophan was inoculated with bacteria isolate. The mixture was then incubated overnight at 37°C. Then, a few drops of Kovac's reagent were added to the mixture and the formation of a red or a pink colored ring at the top was seen as a positive reaction. *E. coli are* indole-positive bacteria [10].

#### 2.14 Methyl Red Test

The methyl red test detects the ability of a bacterium to produce acid from glucose fermentation. Methyl red, a PH indicator, remains red at a PH less or equal to 4.4. The isolate was inoculated into glucose phosphate (MRVP) broth, which contains glucose and a phosphate buffer and was incubated at 37°C for 48 h. Three to five drops of MR reagent were added to the tube. The red color development is a positive reaction that occurs when the bacteria have produced enough acid to neutralize the phosphate buffer. Yellow discoloration occurs in MR-negative bacteria. *E. coli* are MR-positive bacteria.

#### 2.15 Voges-proskauer Test

Voges-Proskauer test was used to detect the presence of acetoin in the bacteria-containing media. Acetoin is oxidized to diacetyl in the presence of air and sodium hydroxide. Diacetyl in the presence of alpha-naphthol reacts with guanidine to produce a red color. To perform the VP test, the test bacterium was inoculated into glucose phosphate (MRVP) broth in a tube and incubated for 72 h. Then 15 drops of alphanaphthol were added to the test broth followed by shaking. Then five drops of 40% potassium hydroxide (KOH) were added to the broth and

shaken well. The tubes were then allowed to stand for 15 min to see a positive red discoloration. After 1 h of no color change the isolate was categorized as VP-negative *E. coli*.

#### 2.16 Citrate Utilization Test

The citrate utilization test detects the ability of the bacteria to use citrate as its sole source of carbon and energy. Citrate agar media contains a PH indicator called bromothymol blue. The agar media changes from green to blue at an alkaline PH.

A loopful of the bacteria was streaked onto a citrate agar slant without stabbing the butt and incubated at 37°C for 24 h with a loose cap. Citrate in the media breaks down to oxaloacetate and acetate due to the action of the enzyme citritase. Oxaloacetate was further broken down into pyruvate and CO2. Production of Na2CO3 from sodium citrate changes the media into alkaline pH, and hence color change from green to blue. The blue color formation is a positive reaction, whereas the slant remaining green colored is a feature for a negative test. *E. coli* is citrate-negative [10].

# 2.17 Proximate Analyses of the RTE Food Sample of Rhynchphorus phoenicis

The proximate composition of ash content, moisture content, crude protein, crude lipid, crude fiber, and total carbohydrate of the RTE sample was determined as described by the Association of Official Analytical Chemists [11]. The crude protein content was determined by Kjedahi method, adopted from the procedures of James [12] and Chang [13]. The crude fat content was determined by solvent extraction using the Soxhlet technique. The food sample was first hydrolyzed with boiling HCL before extraction with petroleum ether. Each extraction group was then dried in a forced air oven at 105°C for 3 h (to constant mass), cooled in desiccator and then weighed to obtain the dry solids content. To determine ash content, the food sample (1g) were minced, weighed, and ignited in the crucible. Then it was transferred in the muffle furnace held at dark red at a rate of 550°C for 6-8 h until the residue was white. Moisture content was determined by drying the sample overnight at 105°C. Each parameter was conducted in duplicates.

#### Calculation of moisture:

$$Moisture (\%) = \frac{Weigh loss x 100}{Original weigh of the sample 1}$$

#### Calculation of crude protein:

$$N2~(\%) = \frac{0.00014~x~Vol~of~acid~x~Filtration~flask~for~preparation~of~digest~x~100}{Weigh~of~sample~x~Amount~of~digest~introduced~into~the~flask}$$

Protein (%) = % of total N2 
$$x$$
 6.25

#### Calculation of crude fat:

Fat (%) = 
$$\frac{Weight \ of \ the \ oil \ x \ 100}{Weight \ of \ the \ samples \ taken \ 1}$$

#### Calculation of ash:

$$Ash (\%) = \frac{Weight of ash x 100}{Original weight of the sample taken1}$$

#### **Calculation of Carbohydrate:**

$$Carbohydrate~(\%) = 100\% - (\%Water + Ash + \%Proteins + \%Lipids)$$

#### 2.18 Antibiotics Susceptibility Assay

#### A Kirby-Bauer method as described by Cheesbrough [10] was employed to test the antibiotic susceptibility pattern of confirmed Escherichia spp. The antibiotics used were gentamycin (10ug GEN), augmentin (30ug AU), ciprofloxacin CPX). (5ua trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole SXT). streptomycin (10ug S), penicillin (6ug PN), cephalosporin (30ug CEP), ofloxacin (5ug OFX), nalidixic acid (30ug NA) and pefloxacin (5ug PEF). The tests were performed by standard disk diffusion technique on Muller Hinton Agar (TM, Rajasthan, India) with the turbidity standard (0.5 McFarland). The plates were incubated aerobically at 35c for 16 to 18h. After incubation. test plates were examined for confluent growth. and the diameter of each zone of inhibition was measured in mm. Each inhibition zone diameter was interpreted using an interpretive chart from the World Health Organization [14].

#### 3. RESULTS

## 3.1 Proximate Values of RTE Edible Larvae

The value of moisture content is 35.49%, carbohydrate is 6.01%, protein is 20.73%, ash is 1.25%, lipid is 22.3% and fiber is 14.23%. The composition of moisture was the highest, followed by lipid, protein, fiber, carbohydrate, and ash (Table 1).

#### 3.2 Mean Counts of RTE Edible Larvae

The results showed that TVC ( $log_{10}cfu/g$ ) in Checkpoint was the highest (6.34 x 10<sup>8</sup>), followed by Choba Market (4.49 x 10<sup>8</sup>), Ogbakiri (1.14 x 10<sup>8</sup>), Akpor-Ndele (9.4 x 10<sup>7</sup>) while Elele-Alumini had the lowest count (8.1 x 10<sup>7</sup>) (Table 2).

Table 1. Proximate analysis of a composite sample of RTE edible larvae of *Rhynchophorus* phoenicis

| S/No | Sample identity                            | %        | %    | %       | %    | %     | %     |
|------|--|----------|------|---------|------|-------|-------|
|      |  | Moisture | CHO  | Protein | Ash  | Lipid | Fibre |
| 1    | RTE Edible Larvae (Rhynchophorusphoenicis) | 35.49    | 6.01 | 20.73   | 1.25 | 22.3  | 14.23 |

Table 2. Mean count of Escherichia coli in RTE edible larvae of Rhynchophorus phoenicis

| Location of samples | Sample location/Mean count (log₁₀ CFU/g) |                        |             |  |  |
|---------------------|--|------------------------|-------------|--|--|
|                     | No of colonies                           | CFU/g                  | Log₁₀ CFU/g |  |  |
| Akpor-Ndele         | 94                                       | $9.4 \times 10^7$      | 7.9731      |  |  |
| Ogbakiri Market     | 114                                      | 1.14 x 10 <sup>8</sup> | 8.0569      |  |  |
| Checkpoint          | 634                                      | 6.34 x 10 <sup>8</sup> | 8.8021      |  |  |
| Choba Market        | 449                                      | 4.49 x 10 <sup>8</sup> | 8.6522      |  |  |
| Elele-Alumini       | 81                                       | $8.1 \times 10^7$      | 7.9085      |  |  |

Table 3. Distribution of Escherichia spp. on EMB agar from different location samples

| Location of samples | Number of samples | Number of confirmed Escherichia spp. n (%) |
|---------------------|-------------------|--|
| Choba Market        | 10                | 5 (18.52)                                  |
| Checkpoint          | 10                | 5 (18.52)                                  |
| Elele-Alumini       | 10                | 5 (18.52)                                  |
| Akpor-Ndele         | 10                | 6 (22.22)                                  |
| Ogbakiri Market     | 10                | 6 (22.22)                                  |

Table 4. Interpretation of total Escherichia counts of RTE edible larvae of *Rhynchophorus phoenicis* with microbiological guidelines for RTE foods – category 5

| Sample location | No of samples (n) | No of<br>Escherichia<br>spp.(n) | Satisfactory      | Borderline      | Unsatisfactory    |
|-----------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
|                 | N = 50            | N = 27                          | < 10 <sup>5</sup> | $10^5 - < 10^7$ | ≥ 10 <sup>7</sup> |
| Choba Market    | 10                | 5                               | -                 | 3               | 2                 |
| Checkpoint      | 10                | 5                               | -                 | 2               | 3                 |
| Elele-Alumini   | 10                | 5                               | -                 | 5               | -                 |
| Akpor-Ndele     | 10                | 6                               | -                 | 6               | -                 |
| Ogbakiri        | 10                | 6                               | -                 | 6               | -                 |
| Total           |                   |                                 |                   | 22 (81. 48%)    | 5 (18.52%)        |

Table 5. Biochemical test (IMViC) result for the identification of *E. coli* 

| Sample location | Bio    | ochemical     | Test (IMViC) | result  | Identification of <i>E. coli</i> |  |  |
|-----------------|--------|---------------|--------------|---------|----------------------------------|--|--|
| /Sampling point | Indole | Methyl Voges- |              | Citrate | + <i>E. coli</i> present         |  |  |
|                 |        | Red           | Proskauer    |         | - <i>E. coli</i> absent          |  |  |
| Choba Market    |        |               |              |         |                                  |  |  |
| 1               | +      | +             | -            | -       | + E. coli                        |  |  |
| 2               | +      | +             | -            | -       | + E. coli                        |  |  |
| 3               | +      | +             | -            | -       | + E. coli                        |  |  |
| 4               | +      | +             | -            | +       | - E. coli                        |  |  |
| 5               | -      | -             | -            | +       | - E. coli                        |  |  |
| Checkpoint      |        |               |              |         |                                  |  |  |
| 1               | +      | -             | -            | -       | - E. coli                        |  |  |
| 2               | +      | +             | -            | -       | + E. coli                        |  |  |
| 3               | +      | +             | -            | -       | + E. coli                        |  |  |
| 4               | +      | -             | -            | -       | - E. coli                        |  |  |
| 5               | +      | +             | -            | -       | + E. coli                        |  |  |
| Elele-Alumini   |        |               |              |         |                                  |  |  |
| 1               | -      | -             | +            | +       | - E. coli                        |  |  |
| 2               | +      | +             | -            | -       | + E. coli                        |  |  |
| 3               | -      | -             | +            | +       | - E. coli                        |  |  |
| 4               | +      | +             | -            | -       | + E. coli                        |  |  |
| 5               | +      | +             | -            | -       | + E. coli                        |  |  |
| Akpor-Ndele     |        |               |              |         |                                  |  |  |
| 1               | +      | +             | -            | -       | + E. coli                        |  |  |
| 2               | +      | -             | -            | +       | - E. coli                        |  |  |
| 3               | +      | +             | -            | -       | + E. coli                        |  |  |
| 4               | -      | -             | -            | +       | - E. coli                        |  |  |
| 5               | -      | -             | -            | +       | - E. coli                        |  |  |
| 6               | +      | +             | -            | -       | + E. coli                        |  |  |
| Ogbakiri Market |        |               |              |         |                                  |  |  |
| 1               | +      | -             | -            | -       | - E. coli                        |  |  |
| 2               | +      | +             | -            | -       | + E. coli                        |  |  |
| 3               | +      | +             | -            | -       | + E. coli                        |  |  |
| 4               | +      | -             | -            | +       | - E. coli                        |  |  |
| 5               | +      | +             | -            | -       | + E. coli                        |  |  |
| 6               | +      | -             | -            | _       | - E. coli                        |  |  |




Fig. 1. Antibiotic resistance pattern of *Escherichia coli* isolated from RTE edible larvae of *Rhynchophorus phoenicis*. GEN = Gentamycin, AU = Augmentin, CPX = Ciprofloxacin, SXT = Sulfamethoxazole, S = Streptomycin, PN = Penicillin, CEX = Cephalexin, OFX = Ofloxacin, NA = Nalidixic acid, and PEF = Pefloxacin.

## 3.3 Distribution of *Escherichia* spp. on EMB Agar

Escherichia spp. are Gram-negative, rod-shaped bacteria when viewed under a microscope. When cultured on Eosin Methylene Blue agar, which is a selective medium for gram-negative bacteria, they appear as a metallic sheen green colony. Out of the total of 50 samples, 27 were positive for *Escherichia spp* (Table 3).

## 3.4 Interpretation of Sanitary Quality of the RTE Edible Larvae

The sanitary quality of the RTE edible larvae interpreted with consideration was microbiological guidelines for ready-to-eat foods; category 5 (cooked foods chilled but with some handling before sale or consumption). All 27 confirmed Escherichia spp. of the edible larvae revealed that 81.48% (22 of 27) of Escherichia spp. in the edible larvae were below the acceptable limit (105 to <107) CFU/g while 18.52% (5 of 27) of the Escherichia spp. in the edible larvae were unsatisfactory (≥107 CFU/g). The RTE edible larvae from checkpoint were the most unsatisfactory (Table 4).

#### 3.5 Confirmation of Escherichia coli.

The result of the confirmation test done to identify *E. coli* from *Escherichia* spp through the

biochemical test was positive for Indole, Methyl red, Voges-Proskauer and Citrate test. Out of the 27 *Escherichia* spp., 15 were positive for *E. coli* (Table 5).

## 3.6 Antibiotic Resistance Pattern of Tested *Escherichia coli* in RTE Edible Larvae

The *E. coli* from the RTE edible larvae were tested with 10 antibiotics. All isolates were resistant to augmentin, penicillin, cephalosporin, and nalidixic acid at 100%. Other isolates showed varying antibiotic resistance patterns with 46.67% resistance to sulfamethoxazole and streptomycin (Fig. 1).

#### 4. DISCUSSION

Ready-to-eat (RTE) food products are considered high-risk foods since no additional action such as re-heating is required before eating. These foods are liable to contaminated from different sources during production and market handling making them unfit for consumption and constituting a public health hazard. Improper handling of RTE food may cause contaminations and display at improper temperature favors the rapid growth of pathogens and may result in foodborne outbreaks. It is common to hear travelers

complaining of stomach upset which might be linked to RTE foods consumed along the route because of varying incubation periods of foodborne pathogens such as bacteria. In this study, microbiological qualities of the ready-to-eat edible larvae of *R. phoenicis*, proximate analysis and antibiotics sensitivities were investigated.

The proximate compositions of ready-to-eat edible larvae of R. phoenicis indicated that it is sufficient in nutrients. Several authors have reported that edible larvae are very excellent sources of protein [15,16,17,18] in comparison with proteins in chicken (24.96%), pork (16.57%), goat meat (20.14%) and beef (18.81%) [19]. This study showed 20.73% proximate value for protein in the R. phoenicis larvae which truly can be compared to the other above-mentioned sources of protein. The proximate value of lipid in this study (22.3%) is compared to 19.54% reported for the same kind of larvae by Okaraonye & Ikewuchi [20]. The carbohydrate content of edible larvae of R. phoenicis in this study was also low (6.01%). This supports the report by Opara et al. [16] that edible larvae are poor energy-giving foods. The moisture content of the edible larvae evaluated in this study was relatively high (35.49%) but found to be slightly lower than that previously reported for the larva [21,3,22]. The moisture content evaluated in the present reveals their stability and susceptibility to contamination by microorganisms. The larvae of R. phoenicis have been reported to have a relatively low ash content, ranging from 0.60% to 5.76% [23]. This is in agreement with the findings of this study as the ash content was 1.25%. The low fiber content of RTE Edible larvae of R. phoenicis is an adequate source of diet roughage for bowel peristalsis [20].

It is evident from the results that out of the 50 samples, a total of 27 Escherichia spp. was isolated of which 15 (55.56%) were identified as E. coli (Tables 3 & 5). The highest prevalence and occurrence of Escherichia-positive samples were from those obtained at Akpor-Ndele 6 (22.22%) of which 4 (25.00%) represented E. coli and Ogbakiri 6 (22.22%) of which 3 (18.75%) represented E. coli. This was followed by Checkpoint, Choba, and Elele-Alumini, which had an occurrence of 5 (18.52%) of which 3 (18.75%) represented E. coli. The mean total viable bacterial count (TVC) of RTE edible larvae results showed that TVC (log<sub>10</sub>cfu/g) in Checkpoint was the highest (6.34 x 108), followed by Choba (4.49 x 108), Ogbakiri (1.14 x 108), Ndele (9.4 x 107) while Elele-Alimini had

the lowest count  $(8.1 \times 10^7)$  (Table 2). Escherichia coli was recovered from every sampling point in this study implying that contamination may arise during or processing and these larvae could be a potential vehicle for the transmission of diarrheagenic infection. The prevalence of E. coli can be attributed to handling, processing, and storage. Also, the protein nature of the larvae can support the growth of microorganism. Ikenebomeh & [24], reported the isolation Staphylococcus aureus, Bacillus cereus, and E. aerogenes from fresh and roasted edible larvae from 5 locations in Delta and Edo states of Nigeria. Also, Ekrakene and Igeleke [2], isolated S. aureus (100%), B. cereus (30%), E. coli (20%), Enterococcus faecalis (45%) and Pseudomononas aeruginosa (35%) from roasted larva of the palm weevil, R. phoenicis. Ngoka et al. [9] also reported the occurrence of Staphylococcus species in RTE edible larvae of R. phoenicis vended along the Port Harcourt-Bayelsa route, Nigeria.

In an attempt to determine the antimicrobial resistance pattern of E. coli isolates, all the isolates showed 100% resistance to four (augmentin, antibiotics tested penicillin, cephalosporin, and nalidixic acid). Other isolates showed varying antibiotic resistance patterns 46.67% for sulfamethoxazole streptomycin. Overall, they were 100% sensitive to gentamycin, ciprofloxacin, ofloxacin, and pefloxacin. Harakeh et al. [25] reported that E. coli of RTE meats origin were 100% resistant to trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole and Cephalexin and 88.9% resistant to erythromycin, augmentin, ciprofloxacin, and oxacillin. This is similar to the findings in this study as this study also found high resistance to cephalexin and augmentin but lower resistance to sulfamethoxazole. Rahman et al. [26] reported that E. coli from RTE meats amoxicillin (76.00%). were resistant to (84.00%), sulfonamide-trimethoprim but oxytetracycline (92%)susceptible gentamycin (100%) and ciprofloxacin (100%). This correlates with this present study as it also found a higher susceptibility to gentamycin and ciprofloxacin while it showed a high resistance to sulfamethoxazole. A high degree of sensitivity to gentamycin and ofloxacin has been previously reported [27,28,29,1]. The resistance shown by E. coli strains in this and previous studies to common antibiotics of choice may be due to abuse of drugs used in animal husbandry or mutation and the appearance of new bacterial strains.

#### 5. CONCLUSION

This study reveals that ready-to-eat (RTE) edible larvae (Rhynchophorus phoenicis) are a rich source of essential nutrients, offering potential health benefits for consumers. However, our findings also indicate that these larvae can harbor bacterial pathogens, posing a risk of foodborne illness transmission. Therefore. crucial to implement effective food safety measures to mitigate this risk and ensure the safe consumption of RTE edible larvae. The study showed that 18.52% of samples tested had total Escherichia counts above the acceptable microbiological limit (≥107 CFU/g) for RTE-vended foods. Escherichia coli isolated from the RTE edible larvae of Rhynchophorus phoenicis showed various dimensions of resistance patterns to commercial antibiotics with multi-resistance which may lead to human infection as reported in several countries. These findings provide significant insights for evaluating the potential human health risks associated with consuming ready-to-eat food from communities where inadequate cooking or post-processing handing practices may lead to contamination. The data can inform risk assessments and guide the development of targeted interventions to mitigate the risk of foodborne illnesses in vulnerable populations. This study thus emphasized the need for intensive surveillance by local health authorities of E. coli and other pathogenic bacteria in RTE foods variety to avert food-borne infection and spot emerging anti-microbial resistance phenotypes since anti-microbial resistant strains may colonize the human population via these RTE foods. unhygienic practices during processing and discouraged, should be requirement for a superior and realistic approach to ensure roadside food vendors comply with standard food safety practices.

#### **DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)**

We the author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts.

#### **COMPETING INTERESTS**

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

#### REFERENCES

- Makelele LK, Kazadi ZA, Oleko RW, Mpalang NK, Ngbolua NK, Gedeon BN. Microbiological quality of food sold by street vendors in Kisangani, Democratic Republic of Congo. African Journal of Food Science. 2015;9(5):285-290.
- Ekrakene T and Igeleke CL. Microbial isolates from the roasted larva of the palm weevil (*Rhynchophorus phoenicis* [F]) from Edo and Delta states of Nigeria. Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences. 2007;1(4):763-768.
- 3. Ekpo KE, Onigbinde AO. Nutritional potentials of the larva of *Rhynchophorus phoenicis* (F). Pakistan Journal of Nutrition. 2005;4:287-290.
- 4. Fogoh JM, Aurele AA, Philippe L, Patrice L. Exploitation, trade and farming of palm weevil grubs in Cameroon. Working paper 178, Bogor, Indonesia; CIFOR; 2015.
- 5. Braide W, Nwaoguikpe RN. Assessment of microbiology quality and nutritional values of a processed edible weevil caterpillar (*Rhynchophorus phoenicis*) in Port Harcourt, Southern Nigeria. International Journal of Biological and Chemical Science. 2011;5(2):410 418.
- 6. Elemo BO, Eleme GN, Makinde M, Erukainure OL. Chemical evaluation of African palm weevil, Rhychophorus phoenicis, larvae as a food source. Journal of Insect Science. 2011;11:146.
- 7. Rumpold BA, Schluter OK. Nutritional composition and safety aspects of edible insects. Molecular Nutrition & Food Research. 2013;57:802 823.
- 8. Ramashia SE, Tangulani T, Mashau ME, Nethathe B. Microbiological quality of different dried insects sold at Thohoyandou open market, South Africa. Food Research. 2020;4(6):2247 2255.
- 9. Ngoka C, Eruteya OC, Ibiene AA. Occurrence of virulent and antibiotic resistant Staphylococcus species in readyto-eat *Rhynchophorus phoenicis* and *Archachatina marginata* vended along the Port Harcourt-Bayelsa route. African Journal of Microbiology Research. 2021;15(2):107-119.
- 10. Cheesbrough M. District laboratory practice in tropical countries, part 2. Cambridge University Press; 2010.
- AOAC (Association of Official Analytical Chemists). Official methods of Analysis of

- AOAC International, 17th Edition, II. Washington, DC, USA; 2000.
- 12. James CS and James CS. Theory of analytical methods for specific food constituents. Analytical chemistry of foods. 1995:37 67.
- 13. Chang SK. Protein analysis in food. 3rd Edition. Kluwe Academic Plenum Publishers: New York, USA. 2003; 134-135.
- CLSI (Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute). Performance standards for antimicrobial disk susceptibility tests; Approved Standards-12th Edition. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. Wayne, USA; 2015.
- 15. Banjo AD, Lawal OA & Songonuga EA. The nutritional value of fourteen species of edible insects in southwestern Nigeria. African Journal of Biotechnology. 2006;5(3):298 301.
- Opara, FT Sanyigha, IP Ogbuewu, Okoli IC. Studies on the production trend and quality characteristics of palm grubs in the tropical rainforest zone of Nigeria. Journal of Agricultural Technology. 2012;8:851-860.
- 17. Amadi EN, Kiin-Kabari DB, Kpormon LB, Robinson VKK. Microbial flora and nutritional composition of adult palm wine beetle (Rhynchophorus phoenicis). International Journal of Current Applied Microbiology and Science. 2014;3(11):189-192.
- 18. Ebenebe CI, Okpoko VO. Edible insect consumption in the South Eastern Nigeria. International Journal of Science and Engineering. 2015;6:171-177.
- 19. Afolabi FT, Arowesebe AR, Adeyemi SM. Antibiotic susceptibility pattern and plasmid profilling of some isolates obtained from raw meats sold in Ibadan, Metropolis. Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology Research. 2017;7(4):13 22.
- 20. Okaraonye CC, Ikewuchi JC. Rhynchophorus phoenicis (F) Larvae Meal: Nutritional value and health implications. Journal of Biological Sciences2008;8(7):1221-1225.
- 21. Edijala JK, Egbogbo O, Anigboro AA. Proximate composition and cholesterol concentrations of *Rhynchophorus phoenicis* and *Oryctes monoceros* larvae subjected to different heat treatments. African Journal of Biotechnology. 2009;8(10):2346 2348.

- 22. Womeni HM, Tiencheu B, Linder M, Nabayo EMC, Tenyang N, Mbiapo FT, Villeneuve P, Fanni J, Parmentier M. Nutritional value and effect of cooking, drying and storage process on some functional properties of *Rhynchophorus phoenicis*. International Journal of Life Science and Pharmaceutical Research. 2012;2(3):203-219.
- 23. Sidduqui SA, Thanpandiyan K, Adli DN, Yudhistira B, Fernando I, De Palo P. Overview of the African palm weevil (*Rhychophorus phoenicis*) as food and feed A critical review. Journal of Insects as Food and Feed. 2024;1-28.
- 24. Ikenebomeh MJ and Elohor Microbiology of fresh and roasted edible worms' larvae (Rhynchophorus phoenics). In: The book of abstract of the 29th annual general conference & meeting (Abeokuta2005) on microbes as agents of sustainable development, organized by Nigerian Society for Microbiology (NSM), University of Agriculture, Abeokuta, from 6-10th November, 2005:26.
- 25. Harakeh S, Yassine H, Gharios M, Barbour E, Hajjar S, El-Fadal M, Toufeili I, **Tannous** Isolation. molecular R. antimicrobial characterization and resistance of Salmonella and Escherichia coli isolates from meat-based fast food in Lebanon. Science οf Environment. 2005;341(1-3):33-44.
- 26. Rahman MA, Rahman AK, Islam MA, Alam MM. Antimicrobial resistance of *Escherichia coli* isolated from milk, beef and chicken meat in Bangladesh. Bangladesh Journal of Veterinary Medicine. 2017;15(2):141 146.
- 27. Okonko IO, Adejoye OD, Ogunnusi TA, Fajobi, EA, Shittu OB. Microbiological and physicochemical analysis of different water samples used for domestic purposes in Abeokuta and Ojota, Lagos State, Nigeria. African Journal of Biotechnology. 2008;7(3):617-621.
- 28. Mordi RM and Momoh MI. Incidence of proteus species in wound infections and their sensitivity pattern in the University of Benin Teaching Hospital. African Journal of Biotechnology. 2009;8(5):725-730.
- 29. Umofia I. Antibiotic susceptibility studies of some bacterial isolates from packaged milk of marketed in Zaria, Nigeria. M.Sc. Thesis. Department of Pharmaceutics and Pharmaceutical Microbiology, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria, Nigeria; 2012.

## Appendix A

#### Confirmation of Escherichia coli

Table 1. Incidence of Escherichia spp. and Escherichia coli in RTE edible larvae

| Sample location | Escherichia spp. n (%) | Escherichia coli n (%) |
|-----------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| Choba Market    | 5 (18.52)              | 3 (18.75)              |
| Checkpoint      | 5 (18.52)              | 3 (18.75)              |
| Elele-Alumini   | 5 (18.52)              | 3 (18.75)              |
| Akpor-Ndele     | 6 (22. 22)             | 3 (18.75)              |
| Ogbakiri Market | 6 (22.22)              | 4 (25.00)              |
| Total           | 27                     | 16 (59.26)             |

#### Appendix B

Antibiotic resistance pattern of tested Escherichia coli in rte edible larvae

Table 2. The varying antibiotics resistance pattern of all isolate

| Isolate code | GEN  | AU  | СРХ  | SXT  | S    | PN  | CEP | OFX  | NA  | PEF  |
|--------------|------|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|-----|------|
| Α            | 15mm | (R) | 20mm | (R)  | 15mm | (R) | (R) | 16mm | (R) | 14mm |
| В            | 25mm | (R) | 20mm | 14mm | 20mm | (R) | (R) | 25mm | (R) | 20mm |
| С            | 20mm | (R) | 25mm | (R)  | (R)  | (R) | (R) | 30mm | (R) | 20mm |
| D            | 15mm | (R) | 23mm | (R)  | (R)  | (R) | (R) | 25mm | (R) | 22mm |
| E            | 25mm | (R) | 35mm | 20mm | 13mm | (R) | (R) | 30mm | (R) | 12mm |
| F            | 12mm | (R) | 25mm | 20mm | (R)  | (R) | (R) | 16mm | (R) | 22mm |
| G            | 16mm | (R) | 23mm | 17mm | 10mm | (R) | (R) | 20mm | (R) | 15mm |
| Н            | 10mm | (R) | 25mm | (R)  | (R)  | (R) | (R) | 16mm | (R) | 16mm |
| I            | 17mm | (R) | 20mm | (R)  | 10mm | (R) | (R) | 20mm | (R) | 17mm |
| J            | 11mm | (R) | 25mm | 11mm | (R)  | (R) | (R) | 15mm | (R) | 19mm |
| K            | 15mm | (R) | 30mm | 12mm | (R)  | (R) | (R) | 12mm | (R) | 16mm |
| L            | 13mm | (R) | 20mm | 16mm | 22mm | (R) | (R) | 10mm | (R) | 17mm |
| M            | 12mm | (R) | 22mm | (R)  | (R)  | (R) | (R) | 28mm | (R) | 20mm |
| N            | 9mm  | (R) | 28mm | (R)  | 12mm | (R) | (R) | 13mm | (R) | 12mm |
| 0            | 13mm | (R) | 24mm | 14mm | 20mm | (R) | (R) | 14mm | (R) | 10mm |

Table 3. Susceptible and resistance pattern of different antibiotics from the RTE edible larvae

| Antibiotics            | Susceptible n (%) | Resistance n (%) |  |
|------------------------|-------------------|------------------|--|
| Gentamycin (GEN)       | 15 (100.00)       | 0 (0.00)         |  |
| Augmentin (AU)         | 0 (0.00)          | 15 (100.00)      |  |
| Ciprofloxacin (CPX)    | 15 (100.00)       | 0 (0.00)         |  |
| Sulfamethoxazole (SXT) | 8 (53.33)         | 7 (46.67)        |  |
| Streptomycin (S)       | 8 (53.33)         | 7 (46.67)        |  |
| Penicillin (PN)        | 0 (0.00)          | 15 (100.00)      |  |
| Cephalosporin (CEP)    | 0 (0.00)          | 15 (100.00)      |  |
| Ofloxacin (OFX)        | 15 (100.00)       | 0 (0.00)         |  |
| Nalidixic acid (NA)    | 0 (0.00)          | 15 (100.00)      |  |
| Pefloxacin (PEF)       | 15 (100.00)       | 0 (0.00)         |  |

#### Appendix C

#### Isolation and Identification of Escherichia coli

The presence of *Escherichia coli* was detected by spread plates and incubated at 37°C for 48 hours. Samples that were positive showed a greenish metallic sheen which is the appearance of *Escherichia coli*.



Plate 1. Appearance of greenish metallic sheen Escherichia coli on EMB Agar

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/119937