

#### Affordances: A Knowledge Representation towards Human-Robot Interaction

Bastien Dussard, Guillaume Sarthou, Aurélie Clodic

#### ▶ To cite this version:

Bastien Dussard, Guillaume Sarthou, Aurélie Clodic. Affordances: A Knowledge Representation towards Human-Robot Interaction. XXII International Conference on Perception and Action (ICPA 2024), Jun 2024, Trondheim, Norway. hal-04664175

#### HAL Id: hal-04664175 https://hal.science/hal-04664175v1

Submitted on 29 Jul 2024

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Affordances: A Knowledge Representation towards Human-Robot Interaction



Bastien Dussard, Guillaume Sarthou, Aurélie Clodic Departement of Robotics, RIS team, LAAS-CNRS



#### Introduction

Goal: Can we make the representation process of the agent's action possibilities autonomous, meaningful, trackable, and explicit?

**Objective:** Design of inference mechanisms and patterns for **affordance representation** in the robot's knowledge base

#### **Scenario:**

- Shared goal manipulation task between human and robot in a kitchen environment
- Actions: take, place, put in, give, hand-over, make reachable (bring closer), pour, ...
- Example: Prepare a recipe or cleaning the table

# Background & Formalism

#### **Capability:** "the ability to carry out a type of activity" [1]


- Agents can have different capabilities given their configurations (embodiements)
- Combinations of capabilities can enable higher-level capabilities


#### **Disposition:** "the property of a thing that is a potential" [2]

- Objects can have dispositions, which can be linked to particular sub-parts
- Conceptual equivalence: objects can be referred to by dispositions (not only by class)

#### **Affordance:** "What the environment offers to the animal, either for good or ill"[3]

- Opportunities for action within an agent-environment system
- Affordances stem from the "fit" between agent's capabilities and entity's dispositions :






**Social Affordance:** "Social affordances are possibilities for social interaction or possibilities for action that are shaped by social practices and norms"[5]

- Opportunities for action within a multi-agent system
- Widens the action possibility range of agents by providing new ways of actualizing a

given disposition using other agents



# **Knowledge Base:** Ontology

- "formal specification of a shared conceptualization" allowing to define concepts, individuals, and relationships.
- E.g. clara is an individual of the class Human and is linked to the individual fred by the property hasBrother
- Enables DL-logic reasoning processes to infer new knowledge

# **Affordance formalism:** Chemero's

- Relational view: Affords- $\Phi(feature, ability)$
- $\Phi$  is a behavior, *feature* is an entity's disposition and *ability* an agent's capability
- E.g grasping behavior, graspabe disposition and grasping capability)


# Representation of Capability & Disposition

# Capability:

- Inheritance relations over a single individual representing the agent's capabilities (pr2 hasCapability pr2\_capa)
- Inference mechanism according to equivalent classes defined as the possession of

subsets of components

GraspingCapability ≡ hasAvailableComponent some (Gripper and MotionPlanningAlgorithm)




# Disposition:

**LAAS-CNRS:** 

Dr Aurélie Clodic

- Similar pattern as for Capability, a single individual represents the object's dispositions (knife\_0 hasDisposition knife\_0\_disp)
- Objects can be composed of subparts which can provide the object with a given disposition

**CuttingDisposition ≡ hasAvailablePart some** (hasDisposition some CuttingDisposition)



# Representation of affordances Agent-Object(s)


#### Affordance agent-object:

- The match between capabilities and dispositions enable the affordance relation to be created between the agent and the object
- Agent-tool systems bring new affordances through the acquisition of a new capability provided by the tool (functional affordance)
- E.g: pr2 hasCuttingAffordance tomato\_1

# pr2\_capa CuttingCapabilit

#### Affordance agent-dispositional match:


- The reciprocal dispositions of objects create an individual encompassing the involved dispositions and the interacting dispositions
- Dispositional Match (DM): "partners for a mutual manifestation that is their common product"[6]
- Trigger/Bearer roles
- E.g: pr2 hasXAffordance knife\_dishwasher\_dm




# Representation of Cooperative Affordances


## **Cooperative Affordance:**

- Affordance in multi-agent systems which allows for an agent to actualize a given disposition by using the other agents in its environment, even if it doesn't have the required capability to act directly upon the entity
- E.g. an agent wanting to move a heavy object could leverage the presence of another agent with sufficient lifting capability
- Cooperative dispositional match (CD): An agent's capability can be seen as a reciprocal disposition to an object's actualizable disposition






### **Combined Patterns:**




An agent uses its interaction capabilities to actualize a DM given another agent's presence




An agent uses its interaction capabilities to coordinate two other agents to actualize the disposition

# Overview of combined patterns:


- Cooperatives affordances can be used to actualize the disposition of a given entity by several means
- E.g. the dispositions of  $o_1$  and  $o_2$  can be actualized in 4 differents ways given the perspective of one agent



An agent uses its own capabilities and the ones from another agent to actualize a DM



An agent uses its interaction capabilities to transitively actualize the disposition via another agent which in turn can leverage the capabilities of a third agent



# Discussion

# Affordance ontological representation:

- Represent/Infer simultaneously the action possibilities of agents in the environment
- Leverage the presence of other agents with the cooperative affordances
- Future works could be to add effect in the representation, for instance to enable planning algorithms to provide a task plan.

# References

Project ELSA (Effective Learning of Social Affordances): ANR-21-CE33-0019

1] Solano, L., Romero, F., and Rosado, P., (2016). An ontology for integrated machining focusing on resource capabilities. International Journal of Computer Integrated Manufacturing. [2] M. T. Turvey, (1992). Ecological foundations of cognition: Invariants of perception and action. American Psychological Association.

ET DE ROBOTIQUE

[3] Gibson, J. J. (1979). The Ecological Approach to Visual Perception. Houghton Mifflin.

- [4] Carvalho, E. M. (2020). Social Affordance. Springer, Cham. [5] C. B. Martin, (2010). The mind in nature. OUP Oxford.
- [6] Şahin et al (2007). To afford or not to afford: A new formalization of affordances toward affordance-based robot control. Adaptive Behavior.



