

An enhanced characterization of gait deviations in hemiparesis by combining knee and ankle kinematics

Lorenzo Hermez, Nesma Houmani, Sonia Garcia-Salicetti, Omar Galarraga, Vincent Vigneron

▶ To cite this version:

Lorenzo Hermez, Nesma Houmani, Sonia Garcia-Salicetti, Omar Galarraga, Vincent Vigneron. An enhanced characterization of gait deviations in hemiparesis by combining knee and ankle kinematics. Annual Meeting of the European Society for Movement Analysis in Adults and Children (ESMAC), Sep 2024, Oslo, Norway. pp.91-92, 10.1016/j.gaitpost.2024.07.106. hal-04663986

HAL Id: hal-04663986 https://hal.science/hal-04663986v1

Submitted on 17 Dec 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

An enhanced characterization of gait deviations in Hemiparesis by combining knee and ankle kinematics

Lorenzo Hermez¹, Nesma Houmani¹, Sonia Garcia-Salicetti¹, Omar Galarraga², Vincent Vigneron³

¹SAMOVAR, Télécom SudParis, Institut Polytechnique de Paris, 91120 Palaiseau, France ²Movement Analysis Laboratory, UGECAM Ile-de-France, 77170 Coubert, France ³Informatique, Bio-Informatique et Systèmes Complexes (IBISC) EA 4526, Université Paris-Saclay, 91020 Evry, France

Introduction: Gait quality measures such as GDI [1] and GPS [2] rely on the Euclidian distance between timenormalized kinematic data to an average standard derived from healthy samples. Not accounting for temporal shifts can make these measures difficult to interpret or even unsuitable in some cases. For instance, in adult Hemiparesis, GDI and GPS are paradoxically often closer to the standard for the affected limb than for the unaffected limb [3,4]. We propose a novel method to characterize normal gait by identifying multiple representatives among the control group, and to compute deviations using an elastic metric taking into account temporal shifts.

Research question: Can multiple healthy representatives of knee and ankle kinematics characterize normal gait variability and finely quantify deviations in healthy subjects and patients with Hemiparesis?

Methods: We considered data of Healthy Controls (HC) and patients with Hemiparesis (HP). We extended the method in [4] by identifying Normal Gait Profiles (NGPs) corresponding to knee and ankle cycles of HC, separately, in the sagittal plane. NGPs were real strides obtained from representatives after a *K*-medoid clustering with a Dynamic Time Warping (DTW) metric [4]. To analyze gait deviations, DTW distances to knee and ankle NGPs were computed and concatenated into a vector, for each stride in both groups (HC and HP). Finally, distance vectors were normalized between '0' and '1' and a Hierarchical Clustering was applied to stratify deviations into four clusters.

Results: Three NGPs were required to accurately capture the variability of normal gait for both knee and ankle kinematics. Upon applying Hierarchical Clustering to the 6-dimensional vectors, HC strides were grouped into the two closest clusters to NGPs (green and purple in Figure 1.a). Furthermore, we successfully identified the affected lower limb for 17 out of 21 (81%) HP patients, since the unaffected limb was assigned to the two closest clusters to NGPs, while the affected limb was assigned to the two furthest clusters from NGPs (orange and red in Figure 1.a). With GDI and GVS, we notice a loss of distinction between impacted and non-impacted sides for most HP patients.

Discussion: Jointly stratifying deviations from knee and ankle NGPs, after computing such joints' NGPs separately, leads to a refined characterization of normal gait, and highlights the unilateral aspect of Hemiparesis comparatively to GVS and GDI. This extends our results in [6] obtained on the knee joint. These findings underscore the importance of utilizing DTW to capture temporal and angular differences. In future work, we aim to incorporate kinematics of additional joints and planes.

References

- [1] M.H. Schwartz, A. Rozumalski, 2008. The gait deviation index: a new comprehensive index of gait pathology. Gait & Posture, 28: 351–357.
- [2] R. Baker, J.L. McGinley, M.H. Schwartz, S. Beynon, A. Rozumalski, H. Kerr Graham, O. Tirosh, 2009. The gait profile score and movement analysis profile, Gait & Posture, 30: 265–269.
- [3] O. Galarraga, A. Le Saux, F. Hareb, 2018. A new method for computing gait deviation scores in hemiparesis. Gait Posture. 65: 170-171.
- [4] L. Hermez, A. Halimi, N. Houmani, S. Garcia-Salicetti, O. Galarraga, V. Vigneron, 2023. Clinical gait analysis: characterizing normal gait and pathological deviations due to neurological diseases. Sensors, 23, 6566.
- [5] H. Sakoe, S. Chiba. Dynamic Programming Algorithm Optimization for Spoken Word Recognition. In the Proc. of the IEEE Trans. Acoust. Speech Signal Process, 1978, 26, 43–49.
- [6] L. Hermez, N. Houmani, S. Garcia-Salicetti, O. Galarraga, V. Vigneron. Gait deviation and neurological diseases: a comparative study of quantitative measures. In the Proc. of the 11th IEEE International Conference on E-Health and Bioengineering (EHB 2023), November 2023, Bucharest, Romania.



Figure 1: Distribution of cycles per person (a bar represents one person) within the four clusters obtained on: (a) DTW, (b) GDI, (c) GVS. Cycles in green are the closest to normal gait, followed by purple, orange and red (the furthest).