



HAL
open science

Intravoxel incoherent motion magnetic resonance imaging to assess early tumor response to radiation therapy: Review and future directions

Emmanuel Mesny, Benjamin Leporq, Olivier Chapet, Olivier Beuf

► To cite this version:

Emmanuel Mesny, Benjamin Leporq, Olivier Chapet, Olivier Beuf. Intravoxel incoherent motion magnetic resonance imaging to assess early tumor response to radiation therapy: Review and future directions. *Magnetic Resonance Imaging*, 2024, 108, pp.129-137. 10.1016/j.mri.2024.02.008 . hal-04663141

HAL Id: hal-04663141

<https://hal.science/hal-04663141v1>

Submitted on 26 Jul 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Intravoxel Incoherent Motion Magnetic Resonance Imaging to Predict Tumor Response to Radiation Therapy: Review and Future Directions

Emmanuel Mesny^{1,2*}, Benjamin Leporq², PhD; Olivier Chapet¹, Olivier Beuf²

¹Radiation Oncology Department, Center Hospitalier Lyon Sud, Pierre Benite, France

²Univ Lyon, INSA- Lyon, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, CNRS, Inserm, CREATIS UMR 5220, U1294, F- 69100, Lyon, France

*Corresponding Author: Emmanuel Mesny, emmanuel.mesny@chu-lyon.fr

Abstract

Keywords: IVIM, MRI, radiotherapy, tumor response

Introduction

Radiotherapy is a hallmark of cancer treatment. Almost 70% of cancer patients will have a radiotherapy during their oncological care, as part of a curative or palliative strategy. Radiation can be used exclusively or in combination with other treatments to cure cancers in approximately 40% of the cases. In these situations, the evaluation of treatment response after radiation can be challenging. Due to the post-radiation inflammatory reactions, morphological and anatomical criteria on imaging are often insufficient to predict the response in the weeks or months following radiotherapy. Concerning magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), sequences have been developed to better estimate the radiation response by using the water diffusion or perfusion properties of the tissues (1, 2). In the late 80's, Le Bihan et al. introduced a new concept called Intra-Voxel Incoherent Motion MR imaging (IVIM) in the brain. IVIM describes the random microscopic motion that occur within a voxel with both intracellular or extracellular water molecules and the incoherent motion due to microcirculation of blood assuming a capillary system organized in a pseudo random fashion. Thus, this method gives information about diffusion and indirectly to tissular perfusion without contrast injection through the quantification of three parameters: the pure diffusion coefficient, the perfusion-related diffusion coefficient and the perfusion fraction. Over the past decade, IVIM has experienced a revival of interest and an important development, especially in the field of cancer imaging. To date, IVIM has

been used primarily at the time of diagnosis to study a wide range of tumors (3, 4). However, its use during radiotherapy and to predict its effectiveness is more limited. Here, we reviewed all IVIM studies investigating the radiation response and discussed their results in the context of the current understanding of the radiation response. We aimed to highlight methodological considerations for future studies using IVIM to evaluate radiation response and to discuss specific issues for this technique.

Basic principles of IVIM

The IVIM theory has already been widely described previously (5, 6). Here, we briefly summarize the basic principles of IVIM. Diffusion MRI measures the signal attenuation due to the Brownian movement of water molecules in a tissue after the application of diffusion sensitive gradients. In IVIM, a voxel is considered to have extravascular and intravascular signal sources. It leads to two distinct diffusion coefficients: D , the molecular diffusion coefficient due to Brownian motion in the extravascular compartment, and D^* , the pseudo-diffusion coefficient representing the complex motion of water in the intravascular network. That is why the signal decay can be represented as a bi-exponential model function of b -values:

$$S_b = S_0(f e^{-bD^*} + (1 - f)e^{-bD}) \quad [1]$$

A wide range of b -values to measure different diffusion-weighted data are needed to correctly fit the bi-exponential model to calculate IVIM parameters. Several algorithms can be used to computing IVIM-related parameters, without consensus on the best fitting approach, yet (7). As D^* is about 10 times greater than D , the intravascular contribution in the bi-exponential model can be neglected at high b -values. Derived from this hypothesis, a two-step fitting approach, employing segmented nonlinear least squares can be used: first, D is calculated with high b -values (classically b -values $> 200 \text{ s/mm}^2$), and then with D solved, all data are used to calculate f and D^* .

Systematic Review

Method

A total of 50 studies was identified through PubMed, Google Scholar and Embase searches conducted through April 2022. We excluded articles that were not written in English, did not report IVIM parameters in humans, were published before 2014 and case reports of only a single subject. These criteria excluded 10 studies, leaving a total of 40 reports of IVIM parameters performed before or during radiotherapy in order to predict radiation response.

Cervical cancer

Studies are summarized in *Table 1*. The 12 studies were conducted at 3T with an average TR/TE of 3500/69 ms. IVIM parameters were acquired with 11 ± 3 b-values, with generally more than half of the b-values ≤ 200 s/mm². Most studies used a maximal b-value of 1000 s/mm² [800-2000]. 8/12 (66%) studies performed an MRI with acquisition of IVIM parameters during the radiation treatment. 4/12 (33%) studies used a segmentation method based on the whole tumor volume (VOI) to assess IVIM parameters. Across all studies, we observed a trend of increase of the values of D, D* and f during radiotherapy compared to baseline and this increase was significantly higher in responder patients in five studies (8–12). At baseline, higher value of D and lower value of f were associated to poor response to radiotherapy (8, 13–15).

Rectal cancer

Studies are summarized in *Table 2*. 3/11 studies (27%) were conducted at 3T and 8/11 (73%) at 1.5 T. The average TR/TE was 3756/80 ms. IVIM parameters were acquired with 11 ± 8 b-values, with an average maximal b-value of 1000 s/mm² [800-2000]. None studies performed an MRI during the radiation treatment: 9/11 (82%) performed an MRI with IVIM acquisition before and after the radiotherapy and 2/11 (18%) only before the radiotherapy. 8/11 (73%) studies used a segmentation method based on the whole tumor volume (VOI). Only diffusion-related IVIM parameter D showed a significant change during radiotherapy, with higher variation in good responders. The values of f and D* (measured before radiotherapy) were predictive of pathological complete response in three studies (16–18). 3 studies had negative results (19–21).

HNSCC and nasopharyngeal cancer

Studies are summarized in *Table 3*. 5/9 studies (55%) were conducted at 3T and 4/9 (45%) at 1.5 T. The average TR/TE was 4120/80 ms. IVIM parameters were acquired with 11 ± 2 b-values, with an average maximal b-value of 1100 s/mm² [800-2000]. 7/9 (77%) studies performed an MRI with acquisition of IVIM parameters during the radiation treatment. 3/9 (33%) studies used a segmentation method based on the whole tumor volume (VOI). The value of D and f before radiotherapy were predictive to the response of radiotherapy (22, 23). IVIM parameters showed significant changes (generally increase) during radiotherapy in four study (24–27) and a low value of the diffusion coefficient D was associated to complete response after radiation treatment without finding a cut-off value.

Other malignancies

Studies are summarized in *Table 4*. 2 studies reported changes of IVIM parameters during radiotherapy in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC). One used a 1.5T field and the other a 3T. The average TR/TE was 4314/65.9 ms. The 2 studies used a maximal b-value of 800 s/mm² with an average of 10.5 ± 2.1 b-values and IVIM acquisition during radiotherapy. Baseline diffusion parameters were higher in non-responder patients. D and f increased during radiation treatment with greater changes in responder patients

4 studies reported changes of IVIM parameters during radiotherapy in brain tumors (2 : brain metastases, 1 : meningioma and 1 : glioma). 3 were conducted at 3T and one at 1.5T. The average TR/TE of 6000/90 ms. IVIM parameters were acquired with 7 ± 3 b-values and IVIM acquisition during radiotherapy. The average maximal b-value was 1200 s/mm² [800-2000]. All studies used a segmentation method based on the whole tumor volume (VOI). IVIM parameters increased during treatment. A higher D at baseline and a lower f during the first weeks of radiation was associated with early progression (28).

Discussion

Standardization of IVIM acquisition

IVIM parameters seem to be promising biomarker of tumor response, however they are sensitive but non-specific (confounding factors are necrosis, altered vasculature...). They are also very sensitive to variations in acquisition parameters. Therefore, there is a need for standardization of practices and acquisition protocols to minimize distortion and have reproducible measure. In order to obtain robust IVIM parameters-derived prediction models, acquisition parameters should be as reproducible as possible for each tumor site; especially with full reporting of number of b-values, maximal b-value, repetition/echo times used.

The choice of the segmentation method has an impact in IVIM parameters measurement. IVIM parameters derived from a whole tumor volume region of interest (ROI) are more robust than other ROI methods (single-slice or small sample ROI) to predict tumor response after radiotherapy. A reason for this could be the sampling of the whole tumor volume reducing the bias caused by sampling errors and allowing to have a better picture of the tumor heterogeneity (18, 29). The whole tumor volume ROI is also more repeatable and reproducible with better interobserver agreement (30). The repeatability of quantitative imaging markers depends on the size of the ROIs, with generally low repeatability for small ROIs (31, 32).

Implementation during radiotherapy: MR LINAC

Kooreman et al tested the feasibility of performing daily IVIM sequences on 44 patients treated on LINAC 1.5T MRI (Unity®, Elekta, Crawley) for prostate cancer. They collected the different IVIM parameters (D, D*, f) at each fraction within the prostate tumor and healthy prostate tissue (31). *Table 5* shows the main acquisition parameters used to perform the MRI sequence. Analysis of IVIM parameters showed that pre-treatment values of the coefficient D were significantly higher in the non-tumor tissue than in the tumor ($1.46 \pm 0.02 \times 10^{-3}$ vs. $1.19 \pm 0.04 \times 10^{-3}$ mm²/s). No significant difference was found for f and D*. All IVIM parameters increased progressively during radiation therapy (except coefficient D in non-tumoral tissue). Maximum b-values on MR LINAC are limited due to lower maximum gradient strength (15 mT/m) and lower slew rate (65 T/m/s) compared to values used in diagnostic systems (30 to 80mT/m with 200 T/m/s). That is why *Kooreman et al* used a maximum b-value of 500 s/mm² only to minimize the echo time and have a sufficient signal to

noise ratio (SNR). The choice of the b-value is also influenced by the 2×4 channel (four anterior, four posterior) receive coil array, which results in a lower SNR compared to ??? . Another limitation for IVIM measurement on the Unity system is its split gradients design that can lead to biases in spatial measurements of IVIM parameters and distortions. The Elekta Unity MR-LINAC consortium have recommended to use a maximum b-value of 500 s/mm² and have showed that accurate diffusion measurement is reliable within a radius of 7 cm from the iso-center (33). Implementation of IVIM sequences on MR-LINAC would allow the development of new strategies such as dose painting. However, several challenges remain to be addressed for quantitative sequences on MR-LINAC before safely performing a daily IVIM-based ‘radiation boost’. For example, geometric distortions or spatial resolution need to be improved before performing techniques such as dose painting (34). Daily IVIM-parameters obtained at each fraction of radiotherapy may be useful to predict patient outcomes after integration in radiomic models (35, 36).

Conclusion

In conclusion, IVIM can provide diffusion and perfusion related information during radiotherapy to evaluate tumor response. Radiation therapy seems to lead to an increase in all parameters, higher in better responders. However, no clear patterns of changes or cut-offs can be identified from the analysis of limited literature data. Further investigations are required to better understand changes in IVIM parameters during radiotherapy. Full reporting of acquisition parameters and better standardization are expected to facilitate comparison among studies. MR guided radiotherapy is a promising tool for the future development of IVIM during the radiotherapy.

Acknowledgments

- This work was performed within the framework of LabEx PRIMES (ANR-11-LABX-0063), program "Investissements d'Avenir" (ANR-11-IDEX-0007).

References

1. Pham TT, Liney GP, Wong K, Barton MB: Functional MRI for quantitative treatment response prediction in locally advanced rectal cancer. *Br J Radiol* 2017; 90:20151078.
2. Borggreve AS, Goense L, van Rossum PSN, et al.: Preoperative Prediction of Pathologic Response to Neoadjuvant Chemoradiotherapy in Patients With Esophageal Cancer Using 18F-FDG PET/CT and DW-MRI: A Prospective Multicenter Study. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2020; 106:998–1009.
3. He N, Li Z, Li X, et al.: Intravoxel Incoherent Motion Diffusion-Weighted Imaging Used to Detect Prostate Cancer and Stratify Tumor Grade: A Meta-Analysis. *Front Oncol* 2020; 10:1623.
4. Togao O, Hiwatashi A, Yamashita K, et al.: Differentiation of high-grade and low-grade diffuse gliomas by intravoxel incoherent motion MR imaging. *Neuro Oncol* 2016; 18:132–141.
5. Le Bihan D: What can we see with IVIM MRI? *Neuroimage* 2019; 187:56–67.
6. Lima M, Le Bihan D: Clinical Intravoxel Incoherent Motion and Diffusion MR Imaging: Past, Present, and Future. *Radiology* 2016; 278:13–32.
7. Barbieri S, Donati OF, Froehlich JM, Thoeny HC: Impact of the calculation algorithm on biexponential fitting of diffusion-weighted MRI in upper abdominal organs. *Magn Reson Med* 2016; 75:2175–2184.
8. Bian H, Liu F, Chen S, et al.: Intravoxel incoherent motion diffusion-weighted imaging evaluated the response to concurrent chemoradiotherapy in patients with cervical cancer. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 2019; 98:e17943.
9. Xu C, Sun H, Du S, Xin J: Early treatment response of patients undergoing concurrent chemoradiotherapy for cervical cancer: An evaluation of integrated multi-parameter PET-IVIM MR. *Eur J Radiol* 2019; 117:1–8.
10. Kato H, Esaki K, Yamaguchi T, et al.: Predicting Early Response to Chemoradiotherapy for Uterine Cervical Cancer Using Intravoxel Incoherent Motion MR Imaging. *Magn Reson Med Sci* 2019; 18:293–298.
11. Perucho JAU, Wang M, Vardhanabhuti V, Tse KY, Chan KKL, Lee EYP: Association between IVIM parameters and treatment response in locally advanced squamous cell cervical cancer treated by chemoradiotherapy. *Eur Radiol* 2021; 31:7845–7854.
12. Zhu L, Wang H, Zhu L, et al.: Predictive and prognostic value of intravoxel incoherent motion (IVIM) MR imaging in patients with advanced cervical cancers undergoing concurrent chemoradiotherapy. *Sci Rep* 2017; 7:11635.
13. Zheng X, Guo W, Dong J, Qian L: Prediction of early response to concurrent chemoradiotherapy in cervical cancer: Value of multi-parameter MRI combined with clinical prognostic factors. *Magn Reson Imaging* 2020; 72:159–166.
14. Zhu L, Zhu L, Wang H, et al.: Predicting and Early Monitoring Treatment Efficiency of Cervical Cancer Under Concurrent Chemoradiotherapy by Intravoxel Incoherent Motion Magnetic Resonance Imaging. *J Comput Assist Tomogr* 2017; 41:422–429.

15. Zheng X, Li C, Zhang L, et al.: Combining Intravoxel Incoherent Motion Diffusion Weighted Imaging and Texture Analysis for a Nomogram to Predict Early Treatment Response to Concurrent Chemoradiotherapy in Cervical Cancer Patients. *J Oncol* 2021; 2021:9345353.
16. Lu W, Jing H, Ju-Mei Z, et al.: Intravoxel incoherent motion diffusion-weighted imaging for discriminating the pathological response to neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy in locally advanced rectal cancer. *Sci Rep* 2017; 7:8496.
17. Hu H, Jiang H, Wang S, Jiang H, Zhao S, Pan W: 3.0 T MRI IVIM-DWI for predicting the efficacy of neoadjuvant chemoradiation for locally advanced rectal cancer. *Abdom Radiol (NY)* 2021; 46:134–143.
18. Li H, Yuan Y, Chen X-L, et al.: Value of intravoxel incoherent motion for assessment of lymph node status and tumor response after chemoradiation therapy in locally advanced rectal cancer. *Eur J Radiol* 2022; 146:110106.
19. Petrillo A, Fusco R, Granata V, et al.: MR imaging perfusion and diffusion analysis to assess preoperative Short Course Radiotherapy response in locally advanced rectal cancer: Standardized Index of Shape by DCE-MRI and intravoxel incoherent motion-derived parameters by DW-MRI. *Med Oncol* 2017; 34:198.
20. Fusco R, Sansone M, Granata V, et al.: Diffusion and perfusion MR parameters to assess preoperative short-course radiotherapy response in locally advanced rectal cancer: a comparative explorative study among Standardized Index of Shape by DCE-MRI, intravoxel incoherent motion- and diffusion kurtosis imaging-derived parameters. *Abdom Radiol (NY)* 2019; 44:3683–3700.
21. Liu S, Wen L, Hou J, et al.: Predicting the pathological response to chemoradiotherapy of non-mucinous rectal cancer using pretreatment texture features based on intravoxel incoherent motion diffusion-weighted imaging. *Abdom Radiol (NY)* 2019; 44:2689–2698.
22. Hou J, Yu X, Hu Y, et al.: Value of intravoxel incoherent motion and dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI for predicting the early and short-term responses to chemoradiotherapy in nasopharyngeal carcinoma. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 2016; 95:e4320.
23. Lu L, Li Y, Li W: The Role of Intravoxel Incoherent Motion MRI in Predicting Early Treatment Response to Chemoradiation for Metastatic Lymph Nodes in Nasopharyngeal Carcinoma. *Adv Ther* 2016; 33:1158–1168.
24. Ding Y, Hazle JD, Mohamed ASR, et al.: Intravoxel incoherent motion imaging kinetics during chemoradiotherapy for human papillomavirus-associated squamous cell carcinoma of the oropharynx: preliminary results from a prospective pilot study. *NMR Biomed* 2015; 28:1645–1654.
25. Xiao-ping Y, Jing H, Fei-ping L, et al.: Intravoxel incoherent motion MRI for predicting early response to induction chemotherapy and chemoradiotherapy in patients with nasopharyngeal carcinoma. *J Magn Reson Imaging* 2016; 43:1179–1190.
26. Marzi S, Piludu F, Sanguineti G, et al.: The prediction of the treatment response of cervical nodes using intravoxel incoherent motion diffusion-weighted imaging. *Eur J Radiol* 2017; 92:93–102.
27. Fujima N, Yoshida D, Sakashita T, et al.: Prediction of the treatment outcome using intravoxel incoherent motion and diffusional kurtosis imaging in nasal or sinonasal squamous cell carcinoma patients. *Eur Radiol* 2017; 27:956–965.

28. Jabejdar Maralani P, Myrehaug S, Mehrabian H, et al.: Intravoxel incoherent motion (IVIM) modeling of diffusion MRI during chemoradiation predicts therapeutic response in IDH wildtype glioblastoma. *Radiother Oncol* 2021; 156:258–265.
29. Wei Y, Gao F, Wang M, et al.: Intravoxel incoherent motion diffusion-weighted imaging for assessment of histologic grade of hepatocellular carcinoma: comparison of three methods for positioning region of interest. *Eur Radiol* 2019; 29:535–544.
30. Nougaret S, Vargas HA, Lakhman Y, et al.: Intravoxel Incoherent Motion-derived Histogram Metrics for Assessment of Response after Combined Chemotherapy and Radiation Therapy in Rectal Cancer: Initial Experience and Comparison between Single-Section and Volumetric Analyses. *Radiology* 2016; 280:446–454.
31. Kooreman ES, van Houdt PJ, Keesman R, et al.: Daily Intravoxel Incoherent Motion (IVIM) In Prostate Cancer Patients During MR-Guided Radiotherapy-A Multicenter Study. *Front Oncol* 2021; 11:705964.
32. Jafari-Khouzani K, Paynabar K, Hajighasemi F, Rosen B: Effect of Region of Interest Size on the Repeatability of Quantitative Brain Imaging Biomarkers. *IEEE Trans Biomed Eng* 2019; 66:864–872.
33. Kooreman ES, van Houdt PJ, Keesman R, et al.: ADC measurements on the Unity MR-linac - A recommendation on behalf of the Elekta Unity MR-linac consortium. *Radiother Oncol* 2020; 153:106–113.
34. van der Heide UA, Houweling AC, Groenendaal G, Beets-Tan RGH, Lambin P: Functional MRI for radiotherapy dose painting. *Magn Reson Imaging* 2012; 30:1216–1223.
35. Chen H, He Y, Zhao C, et al.: Reproducibility of radiomics features derived from intravoxel incoherent motion diffusion-weighted MRI of cervical cancer. *Acta Radiol* 2021; 62:679–686.
36. Jia H, Jiang X, Zhang K, et al.: A Nomogram of Combining IVIM-DWI and MRI Radiomics From the Primary Lesion of Rectal Adenocarcinoma to Assess Nonenlarged Lymph Node Metastasis Preoperatively. *J Magn Reson Imaging* 2022.
37. Zhu L, Zhu L, Shi H, et al.: Evaluating early response of cervical cancer under concurrent chemoradiotherapy by intravoxel incoherent motion MR imaging. *BMC Cancer* 2016; 16:79.
38. Gao S, Du S, Lu Z, Xin J, Gao S, Sun H: Multiparametric PET/MR (PET and MR-IVIM) for the evaluation of early treatment response and prediction of tumor recurrence in patients with locally advanced cervical cancer. *Eur Radiol* 2020; 30:1191–1201.
39. Zhang H, Zhou Y, Li J, Zhang P, Li Z, Guo J: The value of DWI in predicting the response to synchronous radiochemotherapy for advanced cervical carcinoma: comparison among three mathematical models. *Cancer Imaging* 2020; 20:8.
40. Nougaret S, Vargas HA, Lakhman Y, et al.: Intravoxel Incoherent Motion-derived Histogram Metrics for Assessment of Response after Combined Chemotherapy and Radiation Therapy in Rectal Cancer: Initial Experience and Comparison between Single-Section and Volumetric Analyses. *Radiology* 2016; 280:446–454.
41. Bakke KM, Hole KH, Dueland S, et al.: Diffusion-weighted magnetic resonance imaging of rectal cancer: tumour volume and perfusion fraction predict chemoradiotherapy response and survival. *Acta Oncol* 2017; 56:813–818.

42. Petrillo A, Fusco R, Granata V, et al.: Assessing response to neo-adjuvant therapy in locally advanced rectal cancer using Intra-voxel Incoherent Motion modelling by DWI data and Standardized Index of Shape from DCE-MRI. *Ther Adv Med Oncol* 2018; 10:1758835918809875.
43. Liang C-Y, Chen M-D, Zhao X-X, Yan C-G, Mei Y-J, Xu Y-K: Multiple mathematical models of diffusion-weighted magnetic resonance imaging combined with prognostic factors for assessing the response to neoadjuvant chemotherapy and radiation therapy in locally advanced rectal cancer. *Eur J Radiol* 2019; 110:249–255.
44. Yang L, Xia C, Zhao J, Zhou X, Wu B: The value of intravoxel incoherent motion and diffusion kurtosis imaging in the assessment of tumor regression grade and T stages after neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy in patients with locally advanced rectal cancer. *Eur J Radiol* 2021; 136:109504.
45. Paudyal R, Oh JH, Riaz N, et al.: Intravoxel incoherent motion diffusion-weighted MRI during chemoradiation therapy to characterize and monitor treatment response in human papillomavirus head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. *J Magn Reson Imaging* 2017; 45:1013–1023.
46. Qin Y, Yu X, Hou J, et al.: Predicting chemoradiotherapy response of nasopharyngeal carcinoma using texture features based on intravoxel incoherent motion diffusion-weighted imaging. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 2018; 97:e11676.
47. Xiao Y, Chen Y, Chen Y, He Z, Yao Y, Pan J: Longitudinal Assessment of Intravoxel Incoherent Motion Diffusion Weighted Imaging in Evaluating the Radio-sensitivity of Nasopharyngeal Carcinoma Treated with Intensity-Modulated Radiation Therapy. *Cancer Res Treat* 2019; 51:345–356.
48. Li FP, Wang H, Hou J, et al.: Utility of intravoxel incoherent motion diffusion-weighted imaging in predicting early response to concurrent chemoradiotherapy in oesophageal squamous cell carcinoma. *Clin Radiol* 2018; 73:756.e17-756.e26.
49. Zheng H, Ren W, Pan X, et al.: Role of intravoxel incoherent motion MRI in early assessment of the response of esophageal squamous cell carcinoma to chemoradiotherapy: A pilot study. *J Magn Reson Imaging* 2018; 48:349–358.
50. Gaeta M, Benedetto C, Minutoli F, et al.: Use of diffusion-weighted, intravoxel incoherent motion, and dynamic contrast-enhanced MR imaging in the assessment of response to radiotherapy of lytic bone metastases from breast cancer. *Acad Radiol* 2014; 21:1286–1293.
51. Shah AD, Shridhar Konar A, Paudyal R, et al.: Diffusion and Perfusion MRI Predicts Response Preceding and Shortly After Radiosurgery to Brain Metastases: A Pilot Study. *J Neuroimaging* 2021; 31:317–323.
52. Mahmood F, Johannesen HH, Geertsens P, Hansen RH: Repeated diffusion MRI reveals earliest time point for stratification of radiotherapy response in brain metastases. *Phys Med Biol* 2017; 62:2990–3002.
53. Franconeri A, Sacco S, Raciti MV, et al.: Intravoxel incoherent motion as a tool to detect early microstructural changes in meningiomas treated with proton therapy. *Neuroradiology* 2021; 63:1053–1060.

Study	Number of patients	Timing of the MRI exam in weeks	TR/TE (ms)	b -value max (s/mm ²)	Number of b -values	b -values ≤ 200 s/mm ²	Type of segmentation	Predictive IVIM parameters
Zhu et al, 2016 (37)	21	Before RT/during RT (W2 and W4) / post-RT	2834/105	1000	14	9	sROI	none ?
Zhu et al, 2017 (14)	37	Before RT/ during RT (W2 and W4) / post-RT	shortest	800	9	7	mROI	f_b
Zhu et al, 2017 (12)	30	Before RT and during RT (W2 and W4)	2834/105	1000	14	9	mROI	f_{W2}, f_{W4}, D_{W4}
Kato et al, 2019 (10)	17	Before RT and during RT (W2 and W4)	4800/65	800*	6	4	sROI	$\Delta\%D_{W2}, \Delta\%f_{W2}, \Delta\%D^*_{W2}$
Xu et al, 2019 (9)	41	Before RT/during RT (W3) / post-RT	3900/minimum	1000	14	9	mROI	$\Delta\%f, D_{W3}, f_{W3}$
Bian et al, 2019 (8)	28	Before RT/during RT (D7 and D21) / post-RT	3500/minimum	850	5	3	sROI	$\Delta\%D, D_b, f_{D7}, D_{D7}, \Delta\%f$
Gao et al, 2020 (38)	51	Before RT and during RT	5000/100	1000	14	9	VOI	$\Delta\%D, \Delta\%f$
Zheng et al, 2020 (13)	85	Before RT	4000/76,9	2000	10	6	VOI	D, f
Liu et al, 2020	53	Before RT and during RT (D3)	3883 /59	1200	11	7	mROI	D_b, D_{D3}
Zhang et al, 2020 (39)	84	Before RT	2000/87	1000	11	8	VOI	D
Perucho et al, 2021 (11)	45	Before and post-RT	2000/54	1000	13	9	VOI	f_b
Zheng et al, 2021 (15)	93	Before RT	4000/77	1200	8	6	sROI	D, f

Table 1: Reports of IVIM parameters in order to predict radiation response in locally advanced cervical cancer.

RT : radiotherapy ; *TR* : repetition time ; *TE* : echo time ; *MRI* : magnetic resonance imaging ; *sROI* : single Region Of Interest : defined in only one slice ; *mROI* : multiple Regions Of Interest : defined in multiple adjacent slices ; *VOI* : volume of interest : IVIM parameters calculated in the whole volume of the tumor ; $\Delta\%$: relative percentage change; *W* : week ; *D* : day; *b* : before

* gradients oriented in the 3 orthogonal directions (not specified in the other publications of the table)

Study	Number of patients	Field	Timing of the MRI	TR/TE (ms)	<i>b</i> -value max (s/mm ²)	Number of <i>b</i> used	<i>b</i> -values ≤ 200	Type of segmentation	Predictive IVIM parameters
Nougaret et al, 2016 (40)	31	1.5T	Before RT and post-RT	3925/min	1500	34	32	VOI	D
Lu et al, 2017 (16)	42	1.5T	Before RT and post-RT	4500/97	800	12	9	mROI	$\Delta\%D$, D_{post} , D^*_{b} , f_b
Petrillo et al, 2017 (19)	35	1.5T	Before RT and post-RT	2700/83	800	7	4	VOI	\emptyset
Bakke et al, 2017 (41)	27	1.5T	Before RT and post-RT	6500/117	900*	3	1	VOI	f_b
Petrillo et al, 2018 (42)	88	1.5T	Before RT and post-RT	2700/83	800	7	4	VOI	$\Delta\%D$, $\Delta\%f$, $\Delta\%D^*$
Liang et al, 2019 (43)	60	3T	Before RT	2000/60	1000	9	6	VOI	D
Fusco et al, 2019 (20)	34	1.5T	Before RT and post-RT	2700/83	800	7	4	VOI	D^* , f
Yang et al, 2021 (44)	42	3T	Before RT and post-RT	3800/57	800	9	8	mROI	$\Delta\%D$, $\Delta\%f$, $\Delta\%D^*$
Liu et al, 2019 (21)	41	1.5T	Before RT	4500/97	800*	12	9	VOI	\emptyset
Hu et al, 2021 (17)	50	3T	Before RT and post-RT	2000/80	2000	11	8	sROI	$\Delta\%D$, D_{post} , D^*_{post} , D^*_{b} , f_b
Li et al, 2022 (18)	79	1.5T	Before RT and post-RT	6000/70	1200	11	4	VOI	D, D^* , f

Table 2: Reports of IVIM parameters in order to predict radiation response in non-metastatic rectal cancer.

RT : radiotherapy ; *TR* : repetition time ; *TE* : echo time ; *MRI* : magnetic resonance imaging ; *sROI* : single Region Of Interest : defined in only one slice ; *mROI* : multiple Regions Of Interest : defined in multiple adjacent slices ; *VOI* : volume of interest : IVIM parameters calculated in the whole volume of the tumor ; $\Delta\%$: relative percentage change; *b* : before

* gradients oriented in the 3 orthogonal directions (not specified in the other publications of the table)

Study	Number of patients	Field	Timing of the MRI	TR/TE (ms)	b -value max (s/mm ²)	Number of b used	b -values ≤ 200	Type of segmentation	Predictive IVIM parameters
Ding et al, 2015 (24)	31	3T	Before RT/during RT (W3)	3600/80	800*	12	9	mROI	D
Hou et al, 2016 (22)	43	1.5T	Before RT/during RT	4225/106	1000*	10	6	mROI	D, $\Delta\%D$
Xiao-ping et al, 2016 (25)	50	1.5T	Before RT/during RT	4225/106	1000*	10	6	sROI	D, $\Delta\%D$
Lu et al, 2016 (23)	102	3T	Before RT and post-RT	3300/47	800	9	7	VOI	f_b
Paudyal et al, 2017 (45)	34	3T	Before RT and during RT (W1, W2 and W3)	4000/shortest	2000	10	5	VOI	D
Marzi et al, 2017 (26)	34	1.5T	Before RT/during RT / post-RT	4500/77	800*	9	6	mROI	D, D*, f
Fujima et al, 2017 (27)	28	1.5T	Before RT and during RT	4500/64	2000*	12	8	mROI	f_b , $\Delta\%D$
Qin et al, 2018 (46)	81	3T	Before RT and post-RT	4225/106	1000	10	6	VOI	D
Xiao et al, 2019 (47)	47	3T	Before RT/ at D5, D10, D15, D20, D25 of RT	4495/69	1000*	14	9	mROI	D, D*, f

Table 3: Reports of IVIM parameters in order to predict radiation response in non-metastatic nasopharyngeal or head and neck squamous cell carcinoma.

RT : radiotherapy ; *TR* : repetition time ; *TE* : echo time ; *MRI* : magnetic resonance imaging ; *sROI* : single Region Of Interest : defined in only one slice ; *mROI* : multiple Regions Of Interest : defined in multiple adjacent slices ; *VOI* : volume of interest : IVIM parameters calculated in the whole volume of the tumor ; $\Delta\%$: relative percentage change ; *W* : week ; *D* : day ; *b* : before ; *CIC* : chemotherapy induction cycle

* gradients oriented in the 3 orthogonal directions (not specified in the other publications of the table)

Study	Number of patients	Type of tumor	Field	Timing of the MRI	TR/TE (ms)	<i>b</i> -value max (s/mm ²)	Number of <i>b</i> used	<i>b</i> -values ≤ 200	Type of segmentation	Predictive IVIM parameters
Li et al, 2018 (48)	33	OSCC	1.5T	Before RT/during RT (20Gy) / post-RT	6000/76.8	800	12	9	mROI	D, Δ%D
Zheng et al, 2018 (49)	23	OSCC	3T	Before RT/during RT / post-RT	2628/55	800	9	7	mROI	D, <i>f</i>
Gaeta et al, 2014 (50)	15	Bone metastases (breast cancer)	1.5T	Before RT and post-RT	1880/73	1000	8	5	sROI	∅
Shah et al, 2021 (51)	16	Brain metastases	3T	Before RT and post-RT	4000/100	2000	10	5	VOI	D*, <i>f</i>
Mahmood et al, 2017 (52)	21	Brain metastases	3T	Before RT/at each fraction/ post-RT	7411/110	800*	8	4	VOI	D
Franconeri et al, 2021 (53)	17	Méningioma	3T	Before RT/at D10, D20, D30 of RT/post-RT	5800/75	1000	7	5	VOI	D, D*
Jabehdar et al, 2021 (28)	38	Glioma	1.5T	Before RT/during RT (D10, D20)	6800/73.7	1000*	3	1	VOI	<i>f</i> _{D10}

Table 4: Reports of IVIM parameters in order to predict radiation response in various tumor locations.

RT : radiotherapy ; *TR* : repetition time ; *TE* : echo time ; *MRI* : magnetic resonance imaging ; *sROI* : single Region Of Interest : defined in only one slice ; *mROI* : multiple Regions Of Interest : defined in multiple adjacent slices ; *VOI* : volume of interest : IVIM parameters calculated in the whole volume of the tumor ; Δ% : relative percentage change ; *W* : week ; *D* : day ; *OSCC* : oesophageal squamous cell carcinoma

* gradients oriented in the 3 orthogonal directions (not specified in the other publications of the table)

Sequence	Single-shot echo planar image (ss-EPI)
Field of view (mm ³)	430 × 430 × 60
Voxel size (mm ³)	4 × 4 × 4
TR/TE (ms)	2960/82
b-values (# averages) (s/mm ²)	0 (8), 30 (8), 150 (8), 500 (16)
Fat suppression technique	SPAIR

Table 5 : Acquisition parameters used to perform the IVIM sequence in *Kooreman et al, Front Oncol 2021 (31)*