

## Air pollution exposure and risk of adverse obstetric and neonatal outcomes among women with type 1 diabetes

Andrew D Williams, Jenna Kanner, Katherine L Grantz, Marion Ouidir, Shanshan Sheehy, Seth Sherman, Candace Robledo, Pauline Mendola

#### ▶ To cite this version:

Andrew D Williams, Jenna Kanner, Katherine L Grantz, Marion Ouidir, Shanshan Sheehy, et al.. Air pollution exposure and risk of adverse obstetric and neonatal outcomes among women with type 1 diabetes. Environmental Research, 2022, 197, pp.111152. 10.1016/j.envres.2021.111152. hal-04662386

### HAL Id: hal-04662386 https://hal.science/hal-04662386v1

Submitted on 25 Jul 2024

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - NoDerivatives 4.0 International License



## **HHS Public Access**

Author manuscript *Environ Res.* Author manuscript; available in PMC 2022 June 01.

Published in final edited form as:

Environ Res. 2021 June ; 197: 111152. doi:10.1016/j.envres.2021.111152.

## Air pollution exposure and risk of adverse obstetric and neonatal outcomes among women with type 1 diabetes

Andrew D. Williams<sup>a</sup>, Jenna Kanner<sup>b</sup>, Katherine L. Grantz<sup>c</sup>, Marion Ouidir<sup>c</sup>, Shanshan Sheehy<sup>d</sup>, Seth Sherman<sup>e</sup>, Candace Robledo<sup>f</sup>, Pauline Mendola<sup>c,g</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Public Health program, Department of Population Health, School of Medicine and Health Sciences, University of North Dakota, Room E162, 1301 North Columbia Road Stop 9037, Grand Forks, ND 58202, USA

<sup>b</sup> School of Medicine, University of Maryland, Baltimore, 655 W. Baltimore Stree, Baltimore, MD 21201, USA

<sup>c.</sup>Epidemiology Branch, Division of Intramural Population Health Research, *Eunice Kennedy Shriver* National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, 6710B Rockledge Drive, Bethesda, MD 20892, USA

<sup>d</sup> Slone Epidemiology Center, Boston University School of Medicine, 72 E concord street, Boston, MA 02118, USA

e. The Emmes Company, 401 North Washington Street #700, Rockville, MD 20850, USA

<sup>f.</sup>Department of Population Health and Biostatistics, University of Texas Rio Grande Valley School of Medicine, 2102 Treasure Hill Blvd, Harlingen, TX 78550, USA

<sup>9</sup> Department of Epidemiology and Environmental Health, School of Public Health and Health Professions, University at Buffalo, 401 Kimball Tower, Buffalo, NY 14214, USA

#### Abstract

**Aims/Hypothesis:** Women with type 1 diabetes have increased risk for poor obstetric outcomes. Prenatal air pollution exposure is also associated with adverse outcomes for women and infants. We examined whether women with type 1 diabetes are more vulnerable than other women to pollution-associated risks during pregnancy.

Corresponding Author: Andrew D. Williams, UND School of Medicine and Health Sciences, Room E162, 1301 North Columbia Road Stop 9037, Grand Forks, ND 58202-9037, Phone: 701.777.6718, and rew.d.williams@und.edu.

Andrew D. Williams: Conceptualization, Methodology, Formal analysis, Writing – Original draft Jenna Kanner: Writing – Review & Editing Katherine L. Grantz: Writing – Review & Editing Marion Ouidir: Writing – Review & Editing Shanshan Sheehy: Writing – Review & Editing Seth Sherman: Writing – Review & Editing Candace Robledo: Writing – Review & Editing Pauline Mendola: Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing – Review & Editing

Declaration of interests

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

**Publisher's Disclaimer:** This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Williams et al.

**Methods:** In singleton deliveries from the Consortium on Safe Labor (2002-2008), obstetric and neonatal outcomes were compared for women with type 1 diabetes (n=507) and women without autoimmune disease (n=204,384). Preconception, trimester, and whole pregnancy average air pollutant exposure (ozone (O<sub>3</sub>), carbon monoxide (CO), particulate matter >10 microns (PM<sub>10</sub>), PM >2.5 microns (PM<sub>2.5</sub>), sulfur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>), nitrogen oxides (NO<sub>x</sub>)) were estimated using modified Community Multiscale Air Quality models. Poisson regression models with diabetes\*pollutant interaction terms estimated relative risks and 95% confidence intervals for adverse outcomes, adjusted for maternal characteristics and geographic region.

**Results:** For whole pregnancy exposure to SO<sub>2</sub>, women with type 1 diabetes had 15% increased risk (RR:1.15 95%CI:1.01,1.31) and women without autoimmune disease had 5% increased risk (RR:1.05 95%CI:1.05,1.06) for small for gestational age birth ( $p_{interaction}=0.09$ ). Additionally, whole pregnancy O<sub>3</sub> exposure was associated with 10% increased risk (RR:1.10 95%CI:1.02,1.17) among women with type 1 diabetes and 2% increased risk (RR:1.02 95%CI:1.00,1.04) among women without autoimmune disease for perinatal mortality ( $p_{interaction}=0.08$ ). Similar patterns were observed between PM<sub>2.5</sub> exposure and spontaneous preterm birth.

**Conclusions:** Pregnant women with type 1 diabetes may be at greater risk for adverse outcomes when exposed to air pollution than women without autoimmune disease.

#### Keywords

Air pollution; Neonatal; Obstetrics; Type 1 diabetes; Pregnancy

#### 1. Introduction

Women with type 1 diabetes and their infants are known to be at increased risk for a range of poor obstetric and neonatal outcomes including gestational hypertension, preeclampsia, fetal growth restriction, and preterm birth(Evers et al., 2004; Williams et al., 2019). Additionally, pregnant women and their infants exposed to high levels of ambient air pollution are known to be at increased risk for similar poor obstetric and neonatal outcomes(Männistö et al., 2015; Mendola et al., 2016a, 2016b; Nobles et al., 2018; Zhu et al., 2017). Evidence suggests inflammation may contribute to poor obstetric and neonatal outcomes among both women with type 1 diabetes (Groen et al., 2015; Hoch et al., 2019; Huynh et al., 2015) and women exposed to high levels of air pollution (Fiorito et al., 2018; Lawal, 2017; Li et al., 2016; Moudgil and Choubey, 2011; Reboul et al., 2017).

Poor outcomes among women with type 1 diabetes and their infants may in part be due to autoimmune inflammation (Groen et al., 2015; Hoch et al., 2019; Huynh et al., 2015). Exposure to high levels of air pollution may be related to systemic inflammation and oxidative stress, which may propagate autoimmune inflammation (Fiorito et al., 2018; Lawal, 2017; Li et al., 2016; Moudgil and Choubey, 2011; Reboul et al., 2017). Excessive inflammation during pregnancy may impair placental development.(Kim et al., 2015) In turn, impaired placental development may also contribute to poor obstetric outcomes among women with type 1 diabetes, including hypertensive disorders of pregnancy and small for gestational age birth (Germain et al., 1999; Gutaj and Wender-Ozegowska, 2016; Nijman et al., 2016; Redline, 2012; Wright et al., 2017). However, the relationship between ambient air

Williams et al.

pollution and obstetric and neonatal outcomes among women with type 1 diabetes remains unexamined.

Current evidence suggests pregnant women with type 1 diabetes may be especially susceptible to high levels of air pollution. One prior study reported a stronger association between air pollution and preterm birth among women with pre-existing diabetes compared to women without diabetes, but this study did not differentiate between type 1 diabetes and other types of diabetes (Lavigne et al., 2016). Clinical evidence suggests animals with induced type 1 diabetes may have a greater inflammatory response to air pollution than animals without diabetes (Nemmar et al., 2013; Yan et al., 2014). For instance, diesel exhaust particle exposure was associated with airway resistance and lung inflammation among mice regardless of diabetes status, yet the presence of oxidative stress and inflammatory biomarkers was greater among mice with diabetes (Nemmar et al., 2013). Thus, we hypothesized that women with type 1 diabetes and their infants would have greater risk of poor outcomes when exposed to air pollution compared to women without autoimmune disorders and their infants.

#### 2. Methods

#### 2.1 Data and sample.

The Consortium on Safe Labor (CSL) was a U.S. retrospective cohort study from 2002-2008 that abstracted labor and delivery information from electronic medical records from 19 U.S. hospitals. Data extracted for deliveries at 23 gestational weeks or later (n of pregnancies=228,438) included: maternal sociodemographic characteristics, medical, reproductive and prenatal history, labor and delivery summaries, postpartum and newborn data (Zhang et al., 2010). For these analyses, we excluded multifetal pregnancies (n=5,063; 2.2%), mothers with thyroid disease (n=3,772; 1.6%), systemic lupus erythematosus (n=202;0.08%), multiple sclerosis (n=146; 0.08%), rheumatoid arthritis (n=123; 0.05%), Crohn's disease (n=169; 0.07%), as well as mothers with other autoimmune disease (n=1,764; 0.7%)such as unspecified diseases of connective tissue, thrombophilia, hemorrhagic conditions, ulcerative colitis, coeliac disease, Grave's disease and Hashimoto's thyroiditis. Participants from one site for which ICD-9 codes were not reported and no cases of type 1 diabetes were identified (n=12,308, 5.3%) were also excluded. Type 1 diabetes cases were identified using electronic medical records and discharge ICD-9 codes: 250.01, 250.03, 250.11, 250.13, 250.41, 250.43, 250.51, 250.53, 250.61, 250.63, 250.71, 250.81, 250.83, 250.91, 250.93. The reference population were women without diagnosed autoimmune disease. The analytic sample included 507 women with type 1 diabetes with no known other autoimmune disease, and 204,384 women without any identified autoimmune disease.

Outcome variables were based on our prior study of birth outcomes among women with type 1 diabetes in the CSL (Williams et al., 2019): cesarean delivery (overall, pre-labor, after induced labor, and after spontaneous labor), preeclampsia, preterm birth (PTB; < 37 weeks of gestation; overall, spontaneous preterm delivery, indicated preterm delivery), small for gestational age (SGA), NICU admission, neonatal respiratory distress syndrome, and perinatal mortality (pregnancy loss 23 weeks of gestation through neonatal mortality 7 days) as identified from medical records and ICD-9 codes.

#### 2.2 Air pollution data.

The Air Quality and Reproductive Health study linked CSL data to air pollution exposures using a modified Community Multiscale Air Quality (CMAQ) model. CMAQ is a threedimensional multipollutant air quality model used to predict ambient pollutant levels using emissions data from the National Emission Inventories and meteorological data from the Weather Research Forecasting Model. Maternal exposure was based on the predicted hourly ambient pollutant concentrations within hospital referral regions, weighted to reflect population concentration (Chen et al., 2014). For this study we examined average mean air pollution exposures for ozone (O<sub>3</sub>), carbon monoxide (CO), particulate matter >10 microns (PM<sub>10</sub>), particulate matter >2.5 microns (PM<sub>2.5</sub>), sulfur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>), nitrogen oxides (NO<sub>x</sub>) during the 3-month preconception period, first trimester (gestational weeks 1-13), second trimester (gestational weeks 14-28), and whole pregnancy (conception to birth) exposure windows. Third trimester was not analyzed as preterm births (11% of CSL) would not have complete exposure estimates.

#### 2.3 Statistical Analysis.

Descriptive statistics were summarized by type 1 diabetes status (present/absent). Binary Poisson regression models with the log link function and robust standard errors to account for repeat pregnancies with interaction terms for type 1 diabetes\*pollutant estimated relative risks (RR) and 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) for adverse outcomes. Risks associated with air pollution exposure are estimated based on each interquartile range (IQR; the difference between the 25–75 percentiles based on the distribution among the overall sample) increase. If the coefficient for the interaction term was >0 and p-value was <0.10, this supports the hypothesized interaction that the effect of an IQR increase of air pollution is stronger among women with type 1 diabetes than among women without autoimmune disease. Models include type 1 diabetes status, air pollution, and the interaction term; thus, the RR represents the additional risk attributable to the interaction between type 1 diabetes and air pollution, independent of the risks attributable to type 1 diabetes and air pollution. In separate models, we examined exposure to air pollution in 4 windows: the 3-month preconception period, first trimester, second trimester, and whole pregnancy exposure windows.

Covariates were identified based on previous literature (Evers et al., 2004; Williams et al., 2019). Regression models were adjusted for maternal characteristics including age (continuous), race/ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian/Pacific Islander, Other), preconception Body Mass Index (BMI, <18.5, 18.5-<224.9, 25-<29.9, 30 kg/m<sup>2</sup>), health insurance (public, private, other), marital status (married, divorced/widowed, single, unknown), smoking during pregnancy (yes/no), alcohol use during pregnancy (yes/no), any other chronic diseases (yes/no: asthma, depression, heart disease, hypertension, renal disease) and area-level factors including hospital type (University Teaching Hospital, Community Teaching Hospital, Non-teaching Community Hospital) and census region (Northeast, West, South, Midwest). BMI was imputed using multiple imputations (10 iterations) due to a high degree of missingness (34.1%). All statistical analyses were conducted using SAS 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA). As this is a novel examination of these relationships, we did not adjust for multiple comparisons (Rothman,

1990). Institutional Review Boards approval was obtained at all participating sites and data are de-identified.

#### 3. Results

Women with type 1 diabetes and women without autoimmune disease were similar in regard to race/ethnicity, age, insurance status and marital status (Table 1). Women with type 1 diabetes had higher prevalence of other chronic diseases (i.e., asthma, depression, heart disease, hypertension, renal disease) and higher preconception BMI than women without autoimmune disease (Table 1). A higher percentage of women with type 1 diabetes lived in the South and gave birth at University Teaching Hospitals compared to women without autoimmune disease (Table 1). Mean values and IQR of criteria air pollutants did not meaningfully differ between exposure windows (Table 2) or between women with type 1 diabetes and those without autoimmune disease (Supplemental Table 1). Women with type 1 diabetes and their infants experienced higher rates of all adverse outcomes compared to women without autoimmune disease, except for small for gestational age births (Table 3).

Adjusted results for the association between O<sub>3</sub>, PM<sub>2.5</sub>, and SO<sub>2</sub>, and poor obstetric and neonatal outcomes among women with type 1 diabetes and women without autoimmune disease are in Table 4. Few increases in risk were observed for CO, PM<sub>10</sub>, and NO<sub>x</sub> (Supplemental Table 2). We observed some consistent increased risks with exposure to air pollution among women with type 1 diabetes compared to women without autoimmune disease for spontaneous PTB, indicated PTB, and SGA. Specifically, for spontaneous PTB, women with type 1 diabetes had an increased risk when exposed to similar levels of PM2.5 as women without autoimmune disease (pinteraction<0.10). First trimester exposure to PM2.5 was associated with a 5% increase in risk among women with type 1 diabetes (RR: 1.05 95% CI: 1.00, 1.10) and an approximate 4% decrease in risk among women without autoimmune disease (RR: 0.96 95% CI: 0.96, 0.97, pinteraction = 0.07) with similar associations observed for second trimester and whole pregnancy exposures: 7% increased versus 4% decreased risk for second trimester and 6% increased risk versus 6% decreased risk for whole pregnancy, for type 1 diabetes versus no autoimmune disorder, respectively. Additionally, SO<sub>2</sub> exposure in the first and second trimesters was associated with higher risk of spontaneous PTB among women with type 1 diabetes compared to women without autoimmune disease (Table 4).

For indicated PTB, exposure to high levels of  $O_3$  was associated with increases in risk among women with type 1 diabetes but not women without autoimmune disease in the first trimester and second trimester exposure windows (Table 4). Exposure to  $PM_{10}$  in the first trimester was also associated with increased risk of indicated PTB (RR: 1.07 95% CI: 1.02, 1.12) among women with type 1 diabetes but not women without autoimmune disease (RR: 1.01 95% CI:1.00, 1.02), (p<sub>interaction</sub> = 0.09) and similar associations were observed for whole pregnancy exposure to  $PM_{10}$  (Table 4).

For SGA, exposure to SO<sub>2</sub> in all 4 exposure windows was associated with increases in risk among women with type 1 diabetes but not women without autoimmune disease ( $p_{interaction}$  all < 0.10). Similarly, exposure to PM<sub>2.5</sub> in the second trimester ( $p_{interaction} = 0.09$ ) and

whole pregnancy ( $p_{interaction} = 0.06$ ) exposure windows was associated with higher risk of SGA among women with type 1 diabetes than among women without autoimmune disease (Table 4).

For perinatal mortality, whole pregnancy  $O_3$  exposure was associated with increased risk among women with type 1 diabetes (RR: 1.10 95% CI: 1.02, 1.17) compared to women without autoimmune disease (RR: 1.02 95% CI: 1.00, 1.04) (p<sub>interaction</sub> = 0.08). Exposure to SO<sub>2</sub> during all 4 exposure windows were associated with an approximate 15% increased risk of perinatal mortality among women with type 1 diabetes with observed decreased risk among women without autoimmune disease, yet these observations were not statistically significant, nor were the interaction terms statistically significant.

#### 4. Conclusions

This study is the first report of the association between criteria air pollutants and poor obstetric and neonatal outcomes among women with type 1 diabetes and their infants. We found modest evidence of increased risk for spontaneous and indicated preterm birth, small for gestational age births and perinatal mortality indicating that women with type 1 diabetes and their infants had greater risk of poor outcomes when exposed to high levels of air pollution compared to women without autoimmune disorders and their infants. Exposures during three months preconception, first trimester, and second trimester were consistently associated with increased risks among women with type 1 diabetes suggesting chronic exposure to air pollution increases risk of poor obstetric and neonatal outcomes. The observed risks represent a marginal increase in risk above the average obstetric and neonatal risks associated with type 1 diabetes. As women with type 1 diabetes and their infants are at increased risk for a range of poor outcomes, understanding exposures that may further increase risk is important.

In addition to hyperglycemia and potential vascular disease, autoimmune inflammation may contribute to poor outcomes among pregnant women with type 1 diabetes and their infants (Groen et al., 2015; Huynh et al., 2015). For instance, placental tissue from women with type 1 diabetes has increased levels of inflammatory biomarkers (Hoch et al., 2019; Huynh et al., 2015), and evidence suggests this inflammation may occur early in pregnancy (Groen et al., 2015; Hoch et al., 2019), which in turn increases risk of maternal vascular malperfusion and distal villous hypoplasia in the placenta (Desoye and Shafrir, 1994; Gutaj and Wender-Ozegowska, 2016; Maahs et al., 2010). Maternal vascular malperfusion is associated with an increased risk for preterm birth (Germain et al., 1999; Nijman et al., 2016), small for gestational age birth (Gutaj and Wender-Ozegowska, 2016; Wright et al., 2017), and other maternal complications like preeclampsia (Wright et al., 2017). Evidence suggests distal villous hypoplasia may be associated with poor fetal growth and stillbirth (Gutaj and Wender-Ozegowska, 2016; Redline, 2012).

Poor obstetric outcomes associated with high levels of air pollution may be related to systemic inflammation and oxidative stress. For example, exposure to high levels of  $PM_{2.5}$  is associated with the generation of reactive oxygen species (Li et al., 2016; Reboul et al., 2017), which interfere with inflammatory kinases that regulate transcription factors and

Williams et al.

increase circulation of proinflammatory cytokines (Lawal, 2017). These proinflammatory cytokines may then propagate autoimmune inflammation leading to vascular complications (Fiorito et al., 2018; Hettfleisch et al., 2017; Männistö et al., 2015; Mendola et al., 2016b; Moudgil and Choubey, 2011; Zhu et al., 2017) and less than optimal conditions for placentation (Kim et al., 2015). Furthermore, women exposed to high levels of air pollution have increased risk for impaired placental vasculature (Hettfleisch et al., 2017) and lower placental weight (van den Hooven et al., 2012), thus increasing risk for poor obstetric and neonatal outcomes (Germain et al., 1999; Gutaj and Wender-Ozegowska, 2016; Nijman et al., 2016; Redline, 2012; Wright et al., 2017).

These two lines of evidence taken together suggest women with type 1 diabetes, a population predisposed for poor placental vascularization, experience excessive autoimmune inflammation when exposed to higher levels of air pollution, thus further increasing risk for poor placental vascularization and resulting poor obstetric and neonatal outcomes. We did have some observations to support our hypothesis, as air pollution exposure during three months preconception, first trimester, and second trimester were consistently associated with increased risks of some adverse outcomes (PTB, SGA, and perinatal mortality) among women with type 1 diabetes. The preconception and early pregnancy observations align with evidence that air pollution exposure early in pregnancy is associated with impaired placental vasculature (Hettfleisch et al., 2017).

Among general populations of pregnant women, several studies have reported increased preterm delivery risks associated with air pollution exposure across pregnancy, yet evidence is mixed (Estarlich et al., 2016; Johnson et al., 2016; Kingsley et al., 2017; Mendola et al., 2016a). Our observations suggest a potentially different risk profile for preterm birth among women with type 1 diabetes than women without autoimmune disease when exposed to criteria air pollutants. When exposed to PM2.5 or SO2 in preconception and first and second trimester exposure windows, women with type 1 diabetes had a higher risk for spontaneous preterm delivery than women without autoimmune disease. A similar difference in risk was observed for prenatal  $O_3$  or  $PM_{10}$  exposure associated with indicated preterm birth. The observed differences in risk for women with type 1 diabetes compared to women without autoimmune is in line with prior data. One study examined the association between whole pregnancy criteria air pollution exposure and birth outcomes among women with various comorbidities found some evidence of an increased risk for preterm birth among women with pre-existing diabetes (Lavigne et al., 2016). However, women with type 1 diabetes were grouped with women with type 2 diabetes, and the prevalence of the specific type of diabetes within the larger pre-existing diabetes group was not reported.

We found an increased risk for SGA among women with type 1 diabetes and women without autoimmune disease when exposed to SO<sub>2</sub>. This increase in risk was observed for preconception exposures, and across pregnancy exposure windows, suggesting chronic exposure to SO<sub>2</sub> is associated with SGA. However, risk estimates among women with type 1 diabetes were 7-10% higher than among women without autoimmune disease. While a lower risk for SGA has been observed among women with type 1 diabetes (Evers et al., 2004; Williams et al., 2019), women with long-standing type 1 diabetes (diagnosed at age 0-4 years) may be at increased risk of SGA due to complications of vasculopathy and placental

insufficiency (Gutaj and Wender-Ozegowska, 2016). The sample of women with type 1 diabetes in the CSL may be especially susceptible to exposure to air pollution during pregnancy and may be driving the observed increase in risk for SGA.

For perinatal mortality, the most severe outcome we examined, we observed a higher risk among women with type 1 diabetes compared to women without autoimmune disease for whole pregnancy exposure to  $O_3$ . Similar risk differences were observed for  $SO_2$  exposure, yet observations were not statistically significant. These observed increases in risk may indicate a poor environment for fetal development, thus increasing risk of both stillbirth and neonatal mortality. In contrast, whole pregnancy exposure to  $NO_x$  was associated with a decrease in risk of perinatal mortality. Although our findings are more suggestive than conclusive, the severity of this perinatal mortality warrants further examination of these potential relationships among this high-risk population of pregnant women.

We observed several inverse associations, suggesting women exposed to high levels of air pollution may have low risk for adverse outcomes. Replication of our findings among other samples is needed to better understand these inverse associations. One potential explanation for the observed inverse associations is the healthy survivor effect. Exposure to higher levels of air pollution during preconception and early pregnancy is associated with increased risk of pregnancy loss early in pregnancy (prior to 20 weeks)(Enkhmaa et al., 2014; Green et al., 2009; Zhang et al., 2019). The healthy survivor effect suggests the prevalence of pregnant women and fetuses more susceptible to air pollution may be lower in these data, as the CSL only contains births after 23 gestational weeks or later (Smith et al., 2020). Despite the potentially low prevalence of highly susceptible pregnancies we still observed increases in risk among women with type 1 diabetes, suggesting that even among healthy survivors, women with type 1 diabetes are more susceptible to negative consequences of air pollution exposure. Among women without autoimmune disease, the protective effects may be evidence of the healthy survivor effect among a non-immunocompromised population. Additional research on air pollution and pregnancy loss is warranted to better understand the healthy survivor phenomenon.

Our findings are notable for several reasons. This first examination of the association between ambient air pollution and obstetric outcomes among women with type 1 diabetes suggests women with type 1 diabetes are a high-risk population that may be more susceptible to air pollution exposure. The large amount of clinical data allowed us to examine a range of maternal and neonatal outcomes during and after pregnancy. Additionally, the richness of the data allowed us to account for maternal complications like cardiovascular disease and renal disease. The air pollution exposure models account for population density, weather, and temporal and spatial variability, which may better predict exposure levels than other methods(Chen et al., 2014). As we observed several increases in risk for key obstetric and neonatal outcomes, further research is warranted to better understand the physiologic mechanisms underlying these associations.

Limitations of this study should be taking into consideration. The CSL did not collect information on placental pathology. However, given the current evidence regarding vasculopathy among women with type 1 diabetes and vascular effects of air pollution

exposure, these results can inform future work regarding the proposed pathway regarding placental vascularization. As the CSL lacks data on serum glucose levels and disease management data, we were unable to assess whether exposure to higher levels of air pollution effects metabolic control among women with type 1 diabetes. Therefore, our observed risks are average for women with type 1 diabetes, and poorly controlled type 1 diabetes may increase the risks for poor outcomes.

We also do not have access to inflammatory biomarker data within the CSL, thus our confidence in the specific role of inflammation is modest. However, this study is the first to consider the interaction between type 1 diabetes and air pollution among pregnant women, and results suggest exposure to high levels of air pollution may increase risk for some poor obstetric and neonatal outcomes among women with type 1 diabetes. Given these initial findings and the suggestive evidence regarding the role of inflammation, additional research is warranted to better understand the potential biologic mechanisms.

While the sensitivity of ICD-codes for obstetric conditions is good,(Goff et al., 2012; Yasmeen et al., 2006; Zhang et al., 2010) it is possible this approach missed several cases. However, we expect that these conditions would be recorded in the medical records as autoimmune conditions are known to increase risk of pregnancy complications and are relevant for labor and delivery.

The criteria air pollution estimates are averaged over the hospital referral region in which the birth occurred and were not based on participant address. Misclassification may occur depending on length of time mothers spend inside the hospital referral region, although it does account for some local mobility. Approximately 10-30% of pregnant women move during pregnancy, yet most pregnant women move within the region to areas with similar levels of air pollution (Bell and Belanger, 2012). We also lack data on daily activity patterns. Pregnant women in their first trimester, compared to a general population sample, spend a similar amount of time indoors (Nethery et al., 2009). Thus, concerns regarding misclassification due to daily activity patterns are diminished.

In conclusion, women with type 1 diabetes appear to have higher risks for some obstetric and neonatal outcomes when exposed to similar levels of air pollution, compared to women without autoimmune disease. Chronic exposure may be important, suggesting a unique risk profile among women with type 1 diabetes compared to a general population of pregnant women. Given exposure to air pollution is difficult to manage across pregnancy, a better understanding of the role of disease management may be important to reduce these observed risks. These marginal increases in risk among women with type 1 diabetes merit additional attention given the severity of these poor obstetric and neonatal outcomes.

#### Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

#### Acknowledgments

**Funding:** This research was supported by the Intramural Research Program of the National Institutes of Health, Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (NICHD), including funding for the Consortium on Safe Labor (Contract No. HHSN267200603425C) and the Air Quality and Reproductive Health Study (Contract No. HHSN275200800002I, Task Order No. HHSN27500008). This paper has been cleared for publication by the NICHD but the funding source had no role in the design, analysis, interpretation or writing of the manuscript.

#### Data availability:

Consortium on Safe Labor data is publicly available at https://dash.nichd.nih.gov/. Geographic identifying information is not publicly available, please see http:// grants.nih.gov/grants/policy/data\_sharing/ for National Institutes of Health data sharing policy.

#### Abbreviations

95% CI	95 percent confidence intervals
CMAQ	Community Multiscale Air Quality
СО	carbon monoxide
CSL	Consortium on Safe Labor
IQR	interquartile range
NO <sub>x</sub>	nitrogen oxides
03	ozone carbon monoxide
PM <sub>10</sub>	particulate matter >10 microns
PM <sub>2.5</sub>	PM >2.5 microns
РТВ	preterm birth
RR	risk ratio
SGA	small for gestational age birth
SO <sub>2</sub>	sulfur dioxide

#### References

- Bell ML, Belanger K, 2012. Review of research on residential mobility during pregnancy: Consequences for assessment of prenatal environmental exposures. J. Expo. Sci. Environ. Epidemiol 10.1038/jes.2012.42
- Chen G, Li J, Ying Q, Sherman S, Perkins N, Sundaram R, Mendola P, 2014. Evaluation of observation-fused regional air quality model results for population air pollution exposure estimation. Sci. Total Environ. 485-486, 563–574. 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.03.107 [PubMed: 24747248]
- Desoye G, Shafrir E, 1994. Placental metabolism and its regulation in health and diabetes. Mol. Aspects Med 10.1016/0098-2997(94)90004-3

- Enkhmaa D, Warburton N, Javzandulam B, Uyanga J, Khishigsuren Y, Lodoysamba S, Enkhtur S, Warburton D, 2014. Seasonal ambient air pollution correlates strongly with spontaneous abortion in Mongolia. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth 14, 1–7. 10.1186/1471-2393-14-146 [PubMed: 24383788]
- Estarlich M, Ballester F, Davdand P, Llop S, Esplugues A, Fernández-Somoano A, Lertxundi A, Guxens M, Basterrechea M, Tardón A, Sunyer J, Iñiguez C, 2016. Exposure to ambient air pollution during pregnancy and preterm birth: A Spanish multicenter birth cohort study. Environ. Res 147, 50–58. 10.1016/j.envres.2016.01.037 [PubMed: 26851724]
- Evers IM, De Valk HW, Visser GHA, 2004. Risk of complications of pregnancy in women with type 1 diabetes: Nationwide prospective study in the Netherlands. Br. Med. J 328, 915–918. 10.1136/ bmj.38043.583160.ee [PubMed: 15066886]
- Fiorito G, Vlaanderen J, Polidoro S, Gulliver J, Galassi C, Ranzi A, Krogh V, Grioni S, Agnoli C, Sacerdote C, Panico S, Tsai MY, Probst-Hensch N, Hoek G, Herceg Z, Vermeulen R, Ghantous A, Vineis P, Naccarati A, 2018. Oxidative stress and inflammation mediate the effect of air pollution on cardio- and cerebrovascular disease: A prospective study in nonsmokers. Environ. Mol. Mutagen 59, 234–246. 10.1002/em.22153 [PubMed: 29114965]
- Germain AM, Carvajal J, Sanchez M, Valenzuela GJ, Tsunekawa H, Chuaqui B, 1999. Preterm labor: Placental pathology and clinical correlation. Obstet. Gynecol. 94, 284–289. 10.1016/ S0029-7844(99)00324-5 [PubMed: 10432144]
- Goff SL, Pekow PS, Markenson G, Knee A, Chasan-Taber L, Lindenauer PK, 2012. Validity of using ICD-9-CM codes to identify selected categories of obstetric complications, procedures and comorbidities. Paediatr. Perinat. Epidemiol 26, 421–429. 10.1111/j.1365-3016.2012.01303.x [PubMed: 22882786]
- Green RS, Malig B, Windham GC, Fenster L, Ostro B, Swan S, 2009. Residential Exposure to Traffic and Spontaneous Abortion. Environ. Health Perspect 117, 1939–1944. 10.1289/ehp.0900943 [PubMed: 20049215]
- Groen B, Uuldriks GA, De Vos P, Visser JT, Links TP, Faas MM, 2015. Impaired trophoblast invasion and increased numbers of immune cells at day 18 of pregnancy in the mesometrial triangle of type 1 diabetic rats. Placenta 36, 142–149. 10.1016/j.placenta.2014.12.004 [PubMed: 25555500]
- Gutaj P, Wender-Ozegowska E, 2016. Diagnosis and Management of IUGR in Pregnancy Complicated by Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus. Curr. Diab. Rep 10.1007/s11892-016-0732-8
- Hettfleisch K, Bernardes LS, Carvalho MA, Pastro LDM, Vieira SE, Saldiva SRDM, Saldiva P, Francisco RPV, 2017. Short-term exposure to urban air pollution and influences on placental vascularization indexes. Environ. Health Perspect 125, 753–759. 10.1289/EHP300 [PubMed: 27384326]
- Hoch D, Gauster M, Hauguel-de Mouzon S, Desoye G, 2019. Diabesity-associated oxidative and inflammatory stress signalling in the early human placenta. Mol. Aspects Med 10.1016/ j.mam.2018.11.002
- Huynh J, Dawson D, Roberts D, Bentley-Lewis R, 2015. A systematic review of placental pathology in maternal diabetes mellitus. Placenta 36, 101–114. 10.1016/j.placenta.2014.11.021 [PubMed: 25524060]
- Johnson S, Bobb JF, Ito K, Savitz DA, Elston B, Shmool JLC, Dominici F, Ross Z, Clougherty JE, Matte T, 2016. Ambient fine particulate matter, nitrogen dioxide, and preterm birth in New York City. Environ. Health Perspect 124, 1283–1290. 10.1289/ehp.1510266 [PubMed: 26862865]
- Kim CJ, Romero R, Chaemsaithong P, Kim JS, 2015. Chronic inflammation of the placenta: Definition, classification, pathogenesis, and clinical significance. Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol 10.1016/ j.ajog.2015.08.041
- Kingsley SL, Eliot MN, Glazer K, Awad YA, Schwartz JD, Savitz DA, Kelsey KT, Marsit CJ, Wellenius GA, 2017. Maternal ambient air pollution, preterm birth and markers of fetal growth in Rhode Island: Results of a hospital-based linkage study. J. Epidemiol. Community Health 71, 1131–1136. 10.1136/jech-2017-208963 [PubMed: 28947670]
- Lavigne E, Yasseen AS, Stieb DM, Hystad P, van Donkelaar A, Martin RV, Brook JR, Crouse DL, Burnett RT, Chen H, Weichenthal S, Johnson M, Villeneuve PJ, Walker M, 2016. Ambient air pollution and adverse birth outcomes: Differences by maternal comorbidities. Environ. Res 148, 457–466. 10.1016/j.envres.2016.04.026 [PubMed: 27136671]

- Lawal AO, 2017. Air particulate matter induced oxidative stress and inflammation in cardiovascular disease and atherosclerosis: The role of Nrf2 and AhR-mediated pathways. Toxicol. Lett 10.1016/j.toxlet.2017.01.017
- Li W, Wilker EH, Dorans KS, Rice MB, Schwartz J, Coull BA, Koutrakis P, Gold DR, Keaney JF, Lin H, Vasan RS, Benjamin EJ, Mittleman MA, 2016. Short-Term Exposure to Air Pollution and Biomarkers of Oxidative Stress: The Framingham Heart Study. J. Am. Heart Assoc 5. 10.1161/ JAHA.115.002742
- Maahs DM, West NA, Lawrence JM, Mayer-Davis EJ, 2010. Epidemiology of type 1 diabetes. Endocrinol. Metab. Clin. North Am 10.1016/j.ecl.2010.05.011
- Männistö T, Mendola P, Liu D, Leishear K, Sherman S, Laughon SK, 2015. Acute air pollution exposure and blood pressure at delivery among women with and without hypertension. Am. J. Hypertens 28, 58–72. 10.1093/ajh/hpu077 [PubMed: 24795401]
- Mendola P, Wallace M, Hwang BS, Liu D, Robledo C, Männistö T, Sundaram R, Sherman S, Ying Q, Grantz KL, 2016a. Preterm birth and air pollution: Critical windows of exposure for women with asthma. J. Allergy Clin. Immunol 138, 432–440.e5. 10.1016/j.jaci.2015.12.1309 [PubMed: 26944405]
- Mendola P, Wallace M, Liu D, Robledo C, Männistö T, Grantz KL, 2016b. Air pollution exposure and preeclampsia among US women with and without asthma. Environ. Res 148, 248–255. 10.1016/ j.envres.2016.04.004 [PubMed: 27085496]
- Moudgil KD, Choubey D, 2011. Cytokines in autoimmunity: Role in induction, regulation, and treatment. J. Interf. Cytokine Res 10.1089/jir.2011.0065
- Nemmar A, Al-salam S, Subramaniyan D, Yasin J, Yuvaraju P, Beegam S, Ali BH, 2013. Influence of experimental type 1 diabetes on the pulmonary effects of diesel exhaust particles in mice. Toxicol. Lett. 217, 170–176. 10.1016/j.toxlet.2012.11.004 [PubMed: 23147376]
- Nethery E, Brauer M, Janssen P, 2009. Time-activity patterns of pregnant women and changes during the course of pregnancy. J. Expo. Sci. Environ. Epidemiol 19, 317–324. 10.1038/jes.2008.24 [PubMed: 18478047]
- Nijman TAJ, van Vliet EOG, Benders MJN, Mol BWJ, Franx A, Nikkels PGJ, Oudijk MA, 2016. Placental histology in spontaneous and indicated preterm birth: A case control study. Placenta 48, 56–62. 10.1016/j.placenta.2016.10.006 [PubMed: 27871473]
- Nobles CJ, Grantz KL, Liu D, Williams A, Ouidir M, Seeni I, Sherman S, Mendola P, 2018. Ambient air pollution and fetal growth restriction: Physician diagnosis of fetal growth restriction versus population-based small-for-gestational age. Sci. Total Environ. 650, 2641–2647. 10.1016/ j.scitotenv.2018.09.362 [PubMed: 30296771]
- Reboul C, Boissière J, André L, Meyer G, Bideaux P, Fouret G, Feillet-Coudray C, Obert P, Lacampagne A, Thireau J, Cazorla O, Richard S, 2017. Carbon monoxide pollution aggravates ischemic heart failure through oxidative stress pathway. Sci. Rep 7. 10.1038/srep39715
- Redline RW, 2012. Distal villous immaturity. Diagnostic Histopathol. 18, 189–194. 10.1016/ j.mpdhp.2012.02.002
- Rothman K, 1990. No adjustments are needed for multiple comparisons. Epidemiology 1, 43–46. [PubMed: 2081237]
- Smith RB, Beevers SD, Gulliver J, Dajnak D, Fecht D, Blangiardo M, Douglass M, Hansell AL, Anderson HR, Kelly FJ, Toledano MB, 2020. Impacts of air pollution and noise on risk of preterm birth and stillbirth in London. Environ. Int 134, 105290. 10.1016/j.envint.2019.105290 [PubMed: 31783238]
- van den Hooven EH, Pierik FH, de Kluizenaar Y, Hofman A, van Ratingen SW, Zandveld PYJ, Russcher H, Lindemans J, Miedema HME, Steegers EAP, Jaddoe VWV, 2012. Air pollution exposure and markers of placental growth and function: The Generation R Study. Environ. Health Perspect 120, 1753–1759. 10.1289/ehp.1204918 [PubMed: 22922820]
- Williams A, Grantz K, Seeni I, Robledo C, Li S, Ouidir M, Nobles C, Mendola P, 2019. Obstetric and neonatal complications among women with autoimmune disease. J. Autoimmun 103. 10.1016/ j.jaut.2019.05.015
- Wright E, Audette MC, Ye XY, Keating S, Hoffman B, Lye SJ, Shah PS, Kingdom JC, 2017. Maternal vascular malperfusion and adverse perinatal outcomes in low-risk nulliparous women, in:

Williams et al.

Obstetrics and Gynecology. Lippincott Williams and Wilkins, pp.1112–1120. 10.1097/ AOG.00000000002264

- Yan Y-H, C-K Chou C, Wang J-S, Tung C-L, Li Y-R, Lo K, Cheng T-J, 2014. Subchronic effects of inhaled ambient particulate matter on glucose homeostasis and target organ damage in a type 1 diabetic rat model. Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol 281, 211–20. 10.1016/j.taap.2014.10.005 [PubMed: 25454026]
- Yasmeen S, Romano PS, Schembri ME, Keyzer JM, Gilbert WM, 2006. Accuracy of obstetric diagnoses and procedures in hospital discharge data. Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol 194, 992–1001. 10.1016/j.ajog.2005.08.058 [PubMed: 16580288]
- Zhang J, Troendle J, Reddy UM, Laughon SK, Branch DW, Burkman R, Landy HJ, Hibbard JU, Haberman S, Ramirez MM, Bailit JL, Hoffman MK, Gregory KD, Gonzalez-Quintero VH, Kominiarek M, Learman LA, Hatjis CG, Van Veldhuisen P, 2010. Contemporary cesarean delivery practice in the United States. Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol 203, 326.e1–326.e10. 10.1016/ j.ajog.2010.06.058 [PubMed: 20708166]
- Zhang L, Liu W, Hou K, Lin J, Zhou C, Tong X, Wang Ziye, Wang Y, Jiang Y, Wang Ziwei, Zheng Y, Lan Y, Liu S, Ni R, Liu M, Zhu P, 2019. Air pollution-induced missed abortion risk for pregnancies. Nat. Sustain 2, 1011–1017. 10.1038/s41893-019-0387-y
- Zhu Y, Zhang C, Liu D, Ha S, Kim SS, Pollack A, Mendola P, 2017. Ambient Air Pollution and Risk of Gestational Hypertension. Am. J. Epidemiol 186, 334–343. 10.1093/aje/kwx097 [PubMed: 28472208]

#### Highlights

- First study of air pollution and obstetric events among women with type 1 diabetes
- Women with type 1 diabetes may be more susceptible to air pollution than controls
- Clinicians may better counsel women with type 1 diabetes about pregnancy intentions
- Increases in risk merit additional attention given severity of the outcomes

#### Table 1.

Percent of demographic characteristics for women with type 1 diabetes and women without autoimmune disease by demographic variables from the Consortium of Safe Labor, 2002-2008

	Women without autoimmune disease (N=204384)	Type I Diabetes (N=507)	p-value <sup>a</sup>
Race			
White	51.4	55.2	<.01
Black	20.8	24.5	
Hispanic	16.9	13.8	
Other	10.9	6.5	
Age (years)			
<20	9.3	9.3	0.63
20-24	25.3	25.0	
25-29	28.0	26.8	
30-34	22.4	23.9	
35	15.0	15.0	
Insurance			<.01
Private	57.1	59.0	
Public	30.3	35.7	
Other	12.6	5.3	
Marital Status			<.05
Married	60.3	55.4	
Widowed/Divorced	1.6	1.3	
Single	35.2	38.6	
Unknown	2.8	4.5	
Smoking			0.85
Yes	6.3	6.5	
No	93.7	93.5	
Alcohol			0.46
Yes	1.7	2.1	
No	98.3	97.9	
Other chronic diseases <sup>b</sup>			<.01
Yes	14.5	25.6	
No	85.5	74.3	
Preconception Body Mass Index (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )			<.01
Underweight (<18.5)	3.6	1.3	
Normal (18.5-<25)	25.2	17.5	
Overweight (25-<30)	19.7	22.4	
Obese ( 30)	17.2	23.0	
Missing	34.1	35.5	
Hospital Type			<.01
University teaching	39.6	46.9	

		Women without autoimmune disease (N=204384)	Type I Diabetes (N=507)	p-value <sup>a</sup>
	Community teaching	52.6	48.3	
	Community non-teaching	7.8	4.8	
Region				<.01
	Northeast	19.0	13.2	
	South	38.8	44.9	
	Midwest	10.2	12.8	
	West	31.9	28.9	

 ${}^{A}_{\ \ \, \rm p}\mbox{-values}$  assessed using Fisher's Exact Test.

 ${}^{B}_{}_{}$  asthma, depression, heart disease, hypertension, renal disease

#### Page 17

#### Table 2.

Mean, range and interquartile range of criteria air pollutants by exposure window

Pollutant	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	IQR
3 months preconception				
$PM_{2.5} \ (\mu g/m^3)$	11.63	4.60	22.03	5.36
$PM_{10}  (\mu g/m^3)$	22.05	10.25	39.44	6.05
NO <sub>x</sub> (ppb)	29.02	5.09	92.97	27.00
SO <sub>2</sub> (ppb)	4.06	0.80	21.00	3.46
CO (ppm)	553.61	171.68	1125.23	260.23
O <sub>3</sub> (ppb)	30.10	10.04	49.36	12.16
First trimester				
$PM_{2.5}~(\mu g/m^3)$	11.68	4.63	22.00	5.13
$PM_{10}  (\mu g/m^3)$	21.96	10.29	38.95	6.22
NO <sub>x</sub> (ppb)	30.26	5.51	89.62	29.06
SO <sub>2</sub> (ppb)	4.07	0.81	18.66	3.48
CO (ppm)	561.12	179.09	1102.43	269.82
O <sub>3</sub> (ppb)	29.42	10.23	48.73	12.16
Second trimester				
$PM_{2.5}~(\mu g/m^3)$	11.58	4.64	21.97	4.74
$PM_{10}  (\mu g/m^3)$	21.73	10.14	41.89	6.19
NO <sub>x</sub> (ppb)	30.13	5.76	90.65	28.98
SO <sub>2</sub> (ppb)	4.00	0.81	18.98	3.27
CO (ppm)	554.41	178.96	1103.11	269.55
O <sub>3</sub> (ppb)	29.64	10.33	49.52	11.86
Whole pregnancy				
$PM_{2.5}~(\mu g/m^3)$	11.63	5.73	19.64	4.58
$PM_{10}  (\mu g/m^3)$	21.89	10.73	39.74	4.32
NO <sub>x</sub> (ppb)	29.80	6.38	81.64	22.47
SO <sub>2</sub> (ppb)	4.01	0.96	15.83	3.04
CO (ppm)	551.17	224.90	1045.57	210.98
O <sub>3</sub> (ppb)	29.73	13.64	46.38	7.78

#### Table 3.

Rate per 1000<sup>a</sup> (and frequency) of obstetric and neonatal outcomes for singleton pregnancies from the Consortium of Safe Labor 2002-2008 (n=204951)

	Women without autoimmune disease (n=204,384)	Type I Diabetes (n=507)	p-value <sup>b</sup>
Cesarean Delivery	278.5 (56,926)	670.6 (340)	<.05
Prelabor cesarean	113.6 (23,218)	270.2 (137)	<.05
After spontaneous labor	93.0 (19,016)	195.3 (99)	<.05
After induced labor	71.9 (14,692)	205.1 (104)	<.05
Preterm Birth	111.0 (22,701)	426.0 (216)	<.05
Spontaneous	78.4 (16,038)	248.5 (126)	<.05
Indicated	17.8 (3,650)	145.9 (74)	<.05
Preeclampsia	46.4 (9,498)	159.7 (81)	<.05
NICU Admission	115.4 (23,593)	408.2 (207)	<.05
Neonatal Respiratory	31.1 (6,357)	130.1 (66)	<.05
Distress Syndrome			
Small for Gestational Age	109.3 (22,350)	65.0 (33)	<.05
Perinatal Mortality	5.9 (1,214)	13.8 (7)	<.05

 $A_{Rates}$  per 1000 are specific for each autoimmune disease category (rate = (outcome n/autoimmune disease N)\*1000)

 $^{B}$  p-values obtained using generalized estimating equations to account for women who had more than one pregnancy in the study.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

# Table 4.

Adjusted relative risks and their 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) for the association between 1 IQR increase in ozone, particulate matter<sub>2.5</sub>, and sulfur dioxide exposure and adverse obstetric and neonatal outcomes among women with type 1 diabetes and their infants in the Consortium of Safe Labor Singleton Pregnancies, 2002-2008

Ozone		Precon	Preconception	First Trimester	imester	Second Trimester	rimester	Whole Pregnancy	egnancy
		Type I Diabetes RR (95% CI) (n=507)	No Autoimmune RR (95% CI) (n= 204,384)	Type I Diabetes RR (95% CI) (n=507)	No Autoimmune RR (95% CI) (n= 204,384)	Type I Diabetes RR (95% CI) (n=507)	No Autoimmune RR (95% CI) (n= 204,384)	Type I Diabetes RR (95% CI) (n=507)	No Autoimmune RR (95% CI) (n= 204,384)
Cesarean		1.01 (1.00, 1.01)	0.99 (0.99, 0.99)	1.00 (1.00, 1.01)	0.99 (0.99, 0.99)	1.00 (1.00, 1.01)	0.99 (0.99, 0.99)	1.00 (1.00, 1.01)	0.99 (0.99, 0.99)
	Prelabor	$0.99\ (0.99, 1.00)$	(0.99, (0.99, 0.99))	$0.99\ (0.99, 1.00)$	(0.99, (0.99, 0.99))	(0.99, (0.99, 0.99))	(0.99, (0.99, 0.99))	0.99 (0.99, 0.99)	0.99 (0.99, 0.99)
	After Induction	0.99 (0.97, 1.01)	$0.98\ (0.98,\ 0.98)$	$0.98\ (0.96,1.00)$	$0.98\ (0.98, 0.98)$	$0.97\ (0.95,\ 0.99)$	$0.98\ (0.98,\ 0.98)$	$0.95\ (0.93,\ 0.98)$	0.96 (0.95, 0.96)
	After Spontaneous	1.01 (1.00, 1.03)	0.99 (0.99, 0.99)	1.01 (1.00, 1.03)	$0.99\ (0.99, 1.00)$	1.02 (1.00, 1.03)	$0.99\ (0.99, 1.00)$	1.01 (1.00 (1.03)	(0.99, (0.99, 0.99))
Preterm		1.00 (0.99, 1.02)	1.00 (1.00, 1.00)	1.01 (1.00, 1.03)	$0.99\ (0.99, 1.00)$	1.00 (0.98, 1.01)	1.00 (1.00, 1.00)	1.01 (0.99, 1.03)	1.01 (1.00, 1.01)
	Indicated	Indicated 1.01 (0.98, 1.04)	0.99 (0.98, 0.99)	1.04 (1.01, 1.07)	(0.99, (0.99, 0.99))	1.03 (0.99, 1.06)	$(0.99 \ (0.98, 0.99))$	1.00 (0.97, 1.03)	$0.98\ (0.97,0.99)$
	Spontaneous	1.00 (0.98, 1.02)	1.00 (1.00, 1.01)	1.00 (0.98, 1.02)	1.00 (1.00, 1.01)	0.99 (0.97, 1.01)	1.01 (1.00, 1.01)	1.02 (1.00, 1.04)	1.02 (1.01, 1.02)
Preeclampsia		$1.00\ (0.99,\ 1.00)$	(0.99, (0.99, 0.99))	$0.99\ (0.99,\ 1.00)$	(0.99, (0.99, 0.99))	$0.99\ (0.99,\ 1.00)$	(0.99, (0.99, 0.99))	$0.99\ (0.99,\ 1.00)$	0.99 (0.99, 0.99)
Small for Gestational Age	nal Age	$0.99\ (0.94,1.03)$	(0.99, (0.99, 0.99))	1.01 (0.97, 1.05)	$0.99\ (0.99, 1.00)$	1.01 (0.97, 1.05)	(0.99, (0.99, 0.99))	1.01 (0.96, 1.07)	$0.99\ (0.98,\ 0.99)$
Neonatal Respiratory Distress Syndrome	ory Distress	1.00 (0.98, 1.03)	1.00 (1.00, 1.00)	1.00 (0.97, 1.03)	1.01 (1.01, 1.01)	0.99 (0.96, 1.02)	1.01 (1.01, 1.02)	1.00 (0.95, 1.04)	1.03 (1.03, 1.04)
NICU Admission		$0.99\ (0.99,1.00)$	1.00(1.00, 1.00)	$0.99\ (0.99, 1.00)$	$1.00\ (1.00,\ 1.00)$	$1.00\ (0.99,\ 1.00)$	$1.00\ (1.00,\ 1.00)$	$0.99\ (0.99,\ 1.00)$	$1.00\ (1.00,\ 1.00)$
Perinatal Mortality	y	$0.92\ (0.84,1.01)$	$0.99\ (0.99, 1.00)$	0.93 (0.85, 1.02)	1.01 (1.00, 1.02)	0.94 (0.86, 1.02)	1.01 (1.00, 1.02)	1.10 (1.02, 1.17)	1.02 (1.00, 1.04)
Particulat	Particulate Matter 2.5	Preconception	ception	First Trimester	imester	Second Trimester	rimester	Whole Pregnancy	egnancy
		Type I Diabetes RR (95% CI) (n=507)	No Autoimmune RR (95% CI) (n= 204,384)	Type I Diabetes RR (95% CI) (n=507)	No Autoimmune RR (95% CI) (n= 204,384)	Type I Diabetes RR (95% CI) (n=507)	No Autoimmune RR (95% CI) (n= 204,384)	Type I Diabetes RR (95% CI) (n=507)	No Autoimmune RR (95% CI) (n= 204,384)
Cesarean		1.00 (0.98, 1.02)	$1.00\ (0.99,\ 1.00)$	1.00 (0.98, 1.02)	$0.99\ (0.99, 1.00)$	1.00 (0.98, 1.02)	$0.99\ (0.99,\ 1.00)$	1.00 (0.98, 1.02)	0.99 (0.99, 1.00)
	Prelabor	1.01 (1.00, 1.02)	1.00 (1.00, 1.00)	1.01 (1.01, 1.02)	1.00 (1.00, 1.00)	1.01 (1.01, 1.02)	1.00 (1.00, 1.00)	1.01 (1.01, 1.02)	1.00 (1.00, 1.00)
	After Induction	0.96 (0.90, 1.02)	1.02 (1.01, 1.02)	0.96 (0.90 (1.03)	1.01 (1.01, 1.02)	0.96 (0.90 (1.03)	1.01 (1.01, 1.02)	0.97 (0.91, 1.04)	1.02 (1.02, 1.03)
	After Spontaneous	1.02 (0.99, 1.05)	0.99 (0.99, 0.99)	1.02 (0.99, 1.05)	0.99 (0.99, 0.99)	1.02 (0.99, 1.05)	0.99 (0.99, 0.99)	1.01 (0.99, 1.05)	$0.98\ (0.98,\ 0.99)$
Preterm		$0.98\ (0.94,\ 1.01)$	0.97, 0.96, 0.97)	1.01 (0.98, 1.04)	0.97 (0.96, 0.97)	0.99 (0.95, 1.01)	0.97 (0.97, 0.97)	1.00 (0.96, 1.05)	0.95 (0.95, 0.96)
	Indicated	$0.88\ (0.81,\ 0.96)$	0.98 (0.97, 0.99)	$0.98\ (0.91,\ 1.06)$	0.99 (0.98, 1.00)	$0.88\ (0.81,\ 0.97)$	$0.99\ (0.98, 1.01)$	$0.88\ (0.81,\ 0.97)$	$0.99\ (0.98, 1.01)$
	Spontaneous	1.01 (0.96, 1.07)	0.96 (0.96, 0.97)	1.05 (1.00, 1.10)	0.96 (0.96, 0.97)	1.07 (1.02, 1.13)	0.96 (0.96, 0.97)	1.06 (1.00, 1.11)	0.94 (0.93, 0.95)

Environ Res. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2022 June 01.

-
₽
~
<u> </u>
Ē
5
0
$\mathbf{\nabla}$
<
_
DU DU
=
5
0,
0
<u> </u>
<u> </u>
$\overline{\mathbf{O}}$
Ă.

Ozone		Preconception	ception	First Tr	First Trimester	Second 1	Second Trimester	Whole Pregnancy	regnancy
		Type I Diabetes RR (95% CI) (n=507)	No Autoimmue RR (95% CI) (n= 204,384)	Type I Diabetes RR (95% CI) (n=507)	No Autoimmune RR (95% CI) (n=204,384)	Type I Diabetes RR (95% CI) (n=507)	No Autoimmune RR (95% CI) (n= 204,384)	Type I Diabetes RR (95% CI) (n=507)	No Autoimmune RR (95% CI) (n= 204,384)
Preeclampsia		0.99 (0.98, 1.00)	1.00 (1.00, 1.00)	0.99 (0.98, 1.00)	1.00 (1.00, 1.00)	0.99 (0.98, 1.00)	1.00 (1.00, 1.00)	0.99 (0.98, 1.00)	1.00 (1.00, 1.00)
Small for Gestational Age	ıl Age	1.10 (0.98, 1.24)	1.00(1.00, 1.01)	$1.06\ (0.95,\ 1.17)$	1.00(1.00, 1.01)	1.12 (0.99, 1.26)	1.00 (1.00, 1.00)	1.15 (0.99, 1.33)	1.01 (1.00, 1.01)
Neonatal Respiratory Distress Syndrome	y Distress	0.99 (0.92, 1.06)	0.95 (0.94, 0.96)	0.99 (0.98, 1.00)	1.00 (1.00, 1.00)	0.99 (0.92, 1.07)	0.96 (0.95, 0.96)	0.98 (0.89, 1.08)	0.93 (0.92, 0.94)
NICU Admission		$1.00\ (0.99,\ 1.01)$	(99, 0.99, 0.99)	1.00 (0.99, 1.01)	(0.99, (0.99, 0.99))	1.00 (0.99, 1.01)	0.99 (0.99, 0.99)	$1.00\ (099,\ 1.01)$	(0.99, (0.99, 0.99))
Perinatal Mortality		0.85 (0.72, 1.02)	$0.96\ (0.95,\ 0.98)$	$0.84\ (0.71,\ 0.99)$	$0.96\ (0.94,\ 0.98)$	0.85 (0.72, 1.00)	0.98 (0.96, 1.00)	1.07 (0.76, 1.49)	$0.95\ (0.93,\ 0.98)$
Sulfur Dioxide		Preconception	ception	First Tr	First Trimester	Second Trimester	rimester	Whole P	Whole Pregnancy
		Type I Diabetes RR (95% CI) (n=507)	No Autoimmune RR (95% CI) (n= 204,384)	Type I Diabetes RR (95% CI) (n=507)	No Autoimmune RR (95% CI) (n= 204,384)	Type I Diabetes RR (95% CI) (n=507)	No Autoimmune RR (95% CI) (n= 204,384)	Type I Diabetes RR (95% CI) (n=507)	No Autoimmune RR (95% CI) (n= 204,384)
Cesarean		0.97 (0.94, 1.00)	0.96 (0.96, 0.96)	0.96 (0.93, 0.99)	0.96 (0.95, 0.96)	0.96 (0.93, 0.99)	0.96 (0.95, 0.96)	0.95 (0.92, 0.98)	$0.94\ (0.93,\ 0.94)$
	Prelabor	1.00 (0.99, 1.02)	0.99 (0.99, 0.99)	1.00 (0.99, 1.02)	0.99 (0.99, 0.99)	1.00 (0.99, 1.02)	(0.99, (0.99, 0.99))	1.00 (0.99, 1.01)	0.99 (0.99, 0.99)
	After Induction	0.92 (0.82, 1.02)	0.96 (0.95, 0.97)	0.91 (0.82, 1.02)	0.96 (0.95, 0.97)	0.91 (0.82, 1.01)	0.96 (0.95, 0.97)	0.90 (0.80, 1.00)	$0.94\ (0.93,\ 0.95)$
	After Spontaneous	$1.00\ (0.95,\ 1.05)$	0.96 (0.96, 0.97)	0.99 (0.94, 1.04)	0.96 (0.95, 0.96)	0.98 (0.93, 1.03)	0.96 (0.95, 0.96)	0.97 (0.92, 1.02)	$0.94\ (0.93,\ 0.94)$
Preterm		0.97 (0.92, 1.03)	$0.95\ (0.94,\ 0.95)$	0.97 (0.92, 1.03)	$0.95\ (0.94,\ 0.95)$	0.96 (0.90, 1.01)	0.95 (0.94, 0.96)	0.96 (0.90, 1.02)	$0.94\ (0.93,\ 0.94)$
	Indicated	1.00 (0.99, 1.02)	$0.99\ (0.99, 0.99)$	$0.99\ (0.98, 1.01)$	0.99 (0.99, 0.99)	$0.99\ (0.98, 1.01)$	0.99 (0.99, 0.99)	1.00 (0.99, 1.02)	0.99 (0.99, 0.99)
	Spontaneous	1.02 (0.94, 1.11)	$0.94\ (0.93,\ 0.95)$	1.04 (0.96, 1.12)	0.94 (0.93, 0.95)	1.01 (0.95, 1.08)	0.94 (0.93, 0.95)	0.99 (0.92, 1.08)	$0.92\ (0.91,\ 0.94)$
Preeclampsia		0.99 (0.97, 1.00)	1.00 (1.00, 1.00)	$0.99\ (0.97, 1.00)$	1.00 (1.00, 1.00)	$0.99\ (0.97, 1.00)$	1.00 (1.00, 1.00)	0.99 (0.97, 1.00)	1.00 (1.00, 1.00)
Small for Gestational Age	ıl Age	1.14(1.00, 1.31)	1.03 (1.02, 1.03)	1.13 (1.01, 1.26)	1.03 (1.02, 1.03)	1.10 (1.00,1.22)	1.03 (1.03, 1.04)	1.15 (1.01, 1.31)	1.05 (1.05, 1.06)
Neonatal Respiratory Distress Syndrome	y Distress	0.92 (0.80, 1.05)	0.92 (0.90, 0.94)	0.91 (0.80, 1.04)	0.92 (0.90, 0.93)	0.92 (0.81, 1.04)	0.91 (0.89, 0.93)	0.91 (0.79, 1.04)	0.89 (0.87, 0.91)
NICU Admission		1.01 (0.99, 1.02)	0.99 (0.99, 0.99)	1.02 (1.00, 1.03)	0.99 (0.99, 0.99)	1.00 (0.99, 1.02)	(0.99, (0.99, 0.99))	1.01 (0.99, 1.02)	0.99 (0.99, 0.99)
<b>Perinatal Mortality</b>		1.16 (0.82, 1.65)	0.97 (0.94, 1.00)	1.14 (0.81, 1.62)	0.96(0.93,0.99)	1.15 (0.81, 1.62)	0.97 (0.93, 1.00)	1.14 (0.80, 1.62)	0.95 (0.91, 1.00)

Environ Res. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2022 June 01.

à 1 à 5. a census region. Bold indicates statistically significant interaction (p<10) between air pollution and type 1 diabetes status.